

UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE

2,617,830

POLYGLYCOL ETHERS OF 7-ETHYL-2-METHYL-UNDECANOL-4

Milton Kosmin, Dayton, Ohio, assignor to Monsanto Chemical Company, St. Louis, Mo., a corporation of Delaware

No Drawing. Application June 30, 1950, Serial No. 171,560

5 Claims. (Cl. 260-615)

1 The present invention relates to compounds having high surface-activity in aqueous solutions and to processes for preparing the said compounds.

In my prior Patents Nos 2,508,035 and 2,508,036, issued May 16, 1950, I have disclosed polyglycol ethers of certain branched chain alcohols, namely, 5-ethyl nonanol-2 and 2-n-propyl heptanol. These products are produced by condensing ethylene oxide with the respective alcohols. While

2 of the present and related products with the detergency of Gardinol, which is a commercial detergent product, by sulfating the alcohols derived by hydrogenation of cocoanut oil fatty acids.

The detergency of the present and related products as determined by the Harris method is illustrated in the following table:

The present products are prepared by condensing ethylene oxide with 7-ethyl-2-methyl un-

Product Tested	Detergency—Percent of Gardinol			
	100% Active		Built ¹	
	50 p. p. m.	300 p. p. m.	50 p. p. m.	300 p. p. m.
n-Tetradecanol+10 moles ethylene oxide.	110	100	109	127
n-Tetradecanol+15 moles ethylene oxide.	102	103	108	128
2-n-Propyl-heptanol+5 moles ethylene oxide.	50	45	111	106
2-n-Propyl-heptanol+10 moles ethylene oxide.	100	78	99	109
2-n-Propyl-heptanol+15 moles ethylene oxide.	102	87	93	109
5-Ethyl nonanol-2+5 moles ethylene oxide.	36	37	106	112
5-Ethyl nonanol-2+10 moles ethylene oxide.	114	110	110	111
5-Ethyl nonanol-2+15 moles ethylene oxide.	110	101	101	116
7-Ethyl-2-methyl undecanol-4+5 moles ethylene oxide.	126	120	123	140
7-Ethyl-2-methyl undecanol-4+10 moles ethylene oxide.	124	127	131	137
7-Ethyl-2-methyl undecanol-4+15 moles ethylene oxide.	124	118	124	137

¹ The builder consisted of 40 parts of tetrasodium pyrophosphate, 40 parts of starch for each 20 parts of the active constituent.


these compounds show especially low and therefore advantageous wetting out speeds when aqueous solutions thereof are tested by the Draves test, these products have been found to be somewhat deficient in detergency.

I have now found that if at least 5, but less than 21, moles of ethylene oxide be condensed with 7-ethyl-2-methyl undecanol-4 that a series of products is obtained which exhibit not only excellent wetting out properties but also excellent detergency. As a matter of fact, the detergency of the present products is superior to that of the corresponding products made from n-tetradecanol.

Relative detergency may be measured by any quantitative method; however for the purpose of evaluating the present and related products I have used the method described by Jay C. Harris in Soap and Sanitary Chemicals for August and September 1943. By the application of this method it is possible to evaluate the detergency of a product in terms of any standard detergent. For convenience I have compared the detergency

decanol-4 until at least 5 moles, but not in excess of 20 moles, have been condensed with the alcohol. The condensation may be carried out by mixing ethylene oxide either as gas or liquid with the alcohol and heating to a temperature of from 110 to 170° C. The condensation reaction is aided by the presence of a catalyst. For this purpose any alkaline materials, such as an alkali metal hydroxide or alcoholate may be used. The catalyst may be employed in relatively small amounts, usually from 0.5% to 1% being employed. The catalyst is added to the liquid alcohol at the beginning of the reaction.

The products so produced are secondary alcohol ethers and are believed to have the structure:



3

where n is an integer having a value of from 4 to 19.

The following examples will further illustrate this invention:

EXAMPLE 1

150.9 g. (0.705 mole) of 7-ethyl-2-methyl undecanol-4 was placed in a glass flask, 1.5 g. of powdered KOH added and the contents then heated to 120° C. A stream of gaseous ethylene oxide was passed into the alcohol by means of a gas dispersing tube and the stream continued until 155.1 g. of ethylene oxide had combined. The mass became hot due to the exothermic reaction heat and the temperature was controlled by cooling the flask by the application of cold water to the exterior. In this way the temperature was maintained at about 150° during the progress of the reaction.

The product obtained corresponds to the pentaethylene glycol ether of 7-ethyl-2-methyl undecanol-4.

EXAMPLE 2

A portion of the product obtained above, weighing 153 g., was further treated with 77.5 g. of ethylene oxide using the same apparatus as described above. The product obtained corresponded to the decaethylene glycol ether of 7-ethyl-2-methyl undecanol-4.

EXAMPLE 3

A portion of the product obtained in Example 2, weighing 139.5 g., was treated with 46.7 g. of ethylene oxide in the manner described above. The product corresponded to the pentadecyl ethylene glycol ether of 7-ethyl-2-methyl undecanol-4.

The speed of wetting, as measured by the Draves test of the products when dissolved in water to form a 0.5% solution gave the following values:


Table

Product Tested	Speed of Wetting, Seconds
Example 1.....	4.5
Example 2.....	2.6
Example 3.....	3.0
n-Tetradecanol+10 moles ethylene oxide.....	9.1
n-Tetradecanol+15 moles ethylene oxide.....	37.0

What I claim is:


1. Compounds having the formula:

4




where n has a value of at least 4 but not in excess of 20.


2. Compounds having the formula:



3. Compounds having the formula:



4. Compounds having the formula:



5. The process which comprises passing ethylene oxide into 7-ethyl-2-methyl undecanol-4 at a temperature between 110° C. and 170° C. until at least 5 moles, but less than 21 moles, of said ethylene oxide have combined with each mole of said 7-ethyl-2-methyl undecanol-4.

MILTON KOSMIN.

REFERENCES CITED

The following references are of record in the file of this patent:

UNITED STATES PATENTS

Number	Name	Date
1,696,874	Young	Dec. 25, 1928
1,970,578	Schoeller et al.	Aug. 21, 1934
2,226,119	De Groote et al.	Dec. 24, 1940
2,316,842	Coleman	Apr. 20, 1943
2,355,823	Schlegel	Aug. 15, 1944
2,491,533	Swern	Dec. 20, 1949
2,527,970	Sokol	Oct. 31, 1950