

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
17 November 2005 (17.11.2005)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2005/107705 A2

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **A61K 9/00**

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/US2005/013206

(22) International Filing Date: 20 April 2005 (20.04.2005)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
10/837,357 30 April 2004 (30.04.2004) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **ALLERGAN, INC.** [US/US]; 2525 Dupont Drive, Irvine, CA 92612 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **WHITCUP, Scott, M.** [US/US]; 27591 Los Trail Drive, Laguna Hills, CA 92653 (US). **WEBER, David, A.** [US/US]; 5427 Blackhawk Drive, Danville, CA 94506 (US).

(74) Agents: **DONOVAN, Stephen et al.**; c/o Allergan, Inc., 2525 Dupont Drive, Irvine, CA 92612 (US).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).


Published:

— without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: EXTENDED THERAPEUTIC EFFECT OCULAR IMPLANT TREATMENTS

Vitreous Humor Concentrations of Dexamethasone in Treated Eye: Comparison of all Dose Levels and Dosage Forms



(57) Abstract: Medicaments and methods for treating ocular conditions by inserting an implant comprising an active agent into an ocular site of a patient thereby obtaining an amelioration of a symptom of the ocular condition (i.e. a therapeutic effect) for an extended period of time during which a therapeutic amount or a detectable amount of the active agent is not present at the ocular site.

EXTENDED THERAPEUTIC EFFECT OCULAR IMPLANT TREATMENTS

by

Scott M. Whitcup and David A. Weber

5

BACKGROUND

This invention relates to methods for extended treatment of an ocular condition. In particular, the present invention relates to methods for extended treatment of an ocular condition with an intraocular implant.

10 An ocular condition can include an inflammatory, neoplastic, infectious, vascular, neovascular and/or degenerative disease, ailment or condition which affects or involves the eye or one of the parts or regions of the eye. Broadly speaking the eye includes the eyeball and the tissues and fluids which constitute the eyeball, the periocular
15 muscles (such as the oblique and rectus muscles) and the portion of the optic nerve which is within or adjacent to the eyeball. An anterior ocular condition is a disease, ailment or condition which affects or which involves an anterior (i.e. front of the eye) ocular region, location or site (hereafter an ocular site), such as a periocular muscle, an eye lid or an
20 eye ball tissue or fluid which is located anterior to the posterior wall of the lens capsule or ciliary muscles. Thus, an anterior ocular condition primarily affects or involves, the conjunctiva, the cornea, the anterior chamber, the iris, the posterior chamber (behind the iris but in front of the posterior wall of the lens capsule), the lens or the lens capsule and
25 blood vessels and nerve which vascularize or innervate an anterior ocular region or site. A posterior ocular condition is a disease, ailment or condition which primarily affects or involves a posterior ocular site such as choroid or sclera (in a position posterior to a plane through the posterior wall of the lens capsule), vitreous, vitreous chamber, retina,
30 optic nerve (including the optic disc), and blood vessels and nerves which vascularize or innervate a posterior ocular site.

Thus, a posterior ocular condition can include a disease, ailment or condition, such as for example, macular degeneration (such as non-exudative age related macular degeneration and exudative age related macular degeneration); macular hole; light, radiation or thermal damage to a posterior ocular tissue; choroidal neovascularization; acute macular neuroretinopathy; macular edema (such as cystoid macular edema and diabetic macular edema); Behcet's disease, retinal disorders, diabetic retinopathy (including proliferative diabetic retinopathy); retinal arterial occlusive disease; central retinal vein occlusion; uveitic retinal disease; retinal detachment; ocular trauma which affects a posterior ocular site; a posterior ocular condition caused by or influenced by an ocular laser treatment; posterior ocular conditions caused by or influenced by a photodynamic therapy; photocoagulation; radiation retinopathy; epiretinal membrane disorders; branch retinal vein occlusion; anterior ischemic optic neuropathy; non-retinopathy diabetic retinal dysfunction, retinitis pigmentosa and glaucoma. Glaucoma can be considered a posterior ocular condition because the therapeutic goal is to prevent the loss of or reduce the occurrence of loss of vision due to damage to or loss of retinal cells or retinal ganglion cells (i.e. neuroprotection).

An anterior ocular condition can include a disease, ailment or condition, such as for example, aphakia; pseudophakia; astigmatism; blepharospasm; cataract; conjunctival diseases; conjunctivitis; corneal diseases; corneal ulcer; dry eye syndromes; eyelid diseases; lacrimal apparatus diseases; lacrimal duct obstruction; myopia; presbyopia; hyperopia; pupil disorders; refractive disorders and strabismus. Glaucoma can also be considered to be an anterior ocular condition because a clinical goal of glaucoma treatment can be to reduce a hypertension of aqueous fluid in the anterior chamber of the eye (i.e. reduce intraocular pressure).

The present invention is directed to a method for providing an extended treatment of an ocular condition, such as an anterior ocular condition or a posterior ocular condition or to an ocular condition which can be characterized as both an anterior ocular condition and a posterior ocular condition.

Therapeutic compounds useful for the treatment of an ocular condition can include cytokines and active agents with, for example, an anti-neoplastic (i.e. anti-cancer), anti-angiogenesis, kinase inhibition, anticholinergic, anti-adrenergic and/or anti-inflammatory activity.

Macular degeneration, such as age related macular degeneration ("AMD") is a leading cause of irreversible vision loss in elderly populations. It is estimated that thirteen million Americans have evidence of macular degeneration. Macular degeneration results in a break down or injury to the macula, the central part of the retina responsible for the sharp, direct vision needed to read or drive. Central vision is especially or selectively affected. Macular degeneration is diagnosed as either dry or wet (exudative). The dry form of macular degeneration is more common than the wet form of macular degeneration, with about 90% of AMD patients being diagnosed with dry AMD. The wet form of the disease usually leads to more rapid and more serious vision loss. Macular degeneration can produce a slow or sudden painless loss of vision. The cause of macular degeneration is not clear. The dry form of AMD may result in thinning of macular tissues, depositing of pigment in the macula, or a combination of the two processes. With wet AMD, new blood vessels grow within and beneath the retina and leak blood and fluid. This leakage causes injury to retinal cells and creates blind spots in central vision.

Macular edema (ME) can result in a swelling or thickening of the macular and appears to be a nonspecific response of the retina to a variety of insults. Thus, ME is associated with a number of diseases,

including anterior or posterior uveitis, retinal vascular abnormalities (diabetic retinopathy and retinal venous occlusive disease), as a sequela of cataract surgery (Irvine-Gass Syndrome), macular degeneration, vitreo-macular traction syndrome, inherited or acquired retinal

5 degeneration, eye inflammation, idiopathic central serous chorioretinopathy, pars planitis, retinitis pigmentosa, radiation retinopathy, posterior vitreous detachment, epiretinal membrane formation, idiopathic juxtafoveal retinal telangiectasia, following Nd:YAG capsulotomy or iridotomy. Some patients with ME may have a history of

10 use of topical epinephrine or prostaglandin analogs for glaucoma. Macular edema involves the development of microangiopathy, characterized by abnormal retinal vessel permeability and capillary leakage into the adjacent retinal tissues. The macula becomes thickened due to accumulation of fluid which leaks out of weak blood

15 vessel walls due to a breakdown of the inner blood-retinal barrier at the level of the capillary endothelium, often resulting in significant disturbances in visual acuity. The blood and fluid leaks out of the weak vessel walls into a very small area of the macula which is rich in cones, the photoreceptors that detect color and from which daytime vision

20 depends. Blurring then occurs in the middle or just to the side of the central visual field. Visual loss can progress over a period of years. Symptoms of ME include blurred central vision, distorted vision, vision tinted pink and light sensitivity.

25 In some cases macular edema can resolve spontaneously or with show remission after a short-term treatment. However, in cases of persistent macular edema (PME), visual loss continues to be a significant therapeutic challenge. Therapies for macular edema utilize a stepwise approach including surgical and medical methods. A first line

30 of treatment for certain types of ME can be anti-inflammatory drops topically applied. Currently there are no approved therapies for the treatment of PME. Macular edema that has failed to respond to drug

therapy and laser photocoagulation represents a significant unmet medical need.

Drug therapy for macular edema can include topical, periocular, subconjunctival/intravitreal, or systemic corticosteroids; topical and systemic nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents (NSAIDs); and/or immunosuppressants. Nonetheless, with variable incidence, macular edema can persist regardless of treatment or causation resulting in severe vision loss. Liquid, intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide (available from Bristol Myers Squibb under the tradename Kenalog-40[®]) injection has been used to treat ocular inflammation and macula edema. Kenalog-40[®] is a suspension triamcinolone acetonide (40 mg/mL) formulated with sodium chloride for isotonicity, 0.9% (w/v) benzyl alcohol as preservative, 0.75% carboxymethylcellulose sodium, and 0.04%, polysorbate 80. It is approved for intramuscular depot delivery for the treatment of inflammation and has been used intravitreally to treat ocular inflammation as well as macular edema due to numerous causes. Unfortunately, side-effects including elevated intraocular pressure, cataract, endophthalmitis (such as infectious endophthalmitis and sterile endophthalmitis), retinal toxicity and crystalline retinal deposits have been reported from clinical use of intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide.

Surgical methods for the treatment of macular edema including laser photocoagulation have had mixed results. Focal/grid laser photocoagulation for the prevention of moderate visual loss has been shown to be efficacious in diabetic retinopathy and branch retinal vein occlusion patients, but not in central retinal vein occlusion patients. As a last resort, a vitrectomy is sometimes performed in patients who have persistent macular edema that has failed to respond to less invasive treatments.

Dexamethasone, a potent anti-inflammatory in the corticosteroid family, has been shown to suppress inflammation by inhibiting edema, fibrin deposition, capillary deposition and phagocytic migration of the inflammatory response. Corticosteroids prevent the release of
5 prostaglandins which have been identified as one of the causative agents of cystoid macular edema. Additionally, corticosteroids including dexamethasone have also been shown to have potent anti-permeability activity by inhibiting the synthesis of VEGF. Despite known anti-inflammatory and anti-permeability properties, use of corticosteroid in
10 the treatment of macular edema has been limited because of the inability to deliver and to maintain adequate quantities of the drugs at the macular without resultant toxicities.

Previously, dexamethasone use has yielded varying degrees of
15 success in treating retinal disorders including macular edema largely due to the inability to deliver and maintain adequate quantities of the drug to the posterior segment (vitreous) without resultant toxicities. Topical administration of 1 drop (50 μ l) of a 0.1% dexamethasone ophthalmic suspension, 4 times a day is equivalent to approximately
20 200 μ g per day, however, only about 1% (2 μ g per day) reaches the anterior segment, and only a fraction of that amount moves into the posterior segment (vitreous). Although intravitreal injections of dexamethasone have been used, the exposure of the drug is very temporal as the half-life of the drug within the eye is approximately 3
25 hours. Periocular and posterior sub-Tenon's injections of dexamethasone have been used, but with only short-term treatment effect.

Treatment with corticosteroids must be monitored closely due to
30 potential toxicity and long-term side effects. Adverse reactions listed for conventional ophthalmic dexamethasone preparations include: glaucoma (with optic nerve damage, visual acuity and field defects),

posterior subcapsular cataract formation, and secondary ocular infection from pathogens including herpes simplex. Systemic doses of dexamethasone can be as high as 9000 $\mu\text{g/kg/day}$, of which only a small portion reaches the posterior segment, and may be associated with
5 additional hazardous side-effects including hypertension, hyperglycemia, increased susceptibility to infection, and peptic ulcers.

Although an efficient means of delivering a drug to the posterior segment is direct delivery into the vitreous body, the natural
10 pharmacokinetics of the eye typically result in a short half-life unless the drug can be delivered using a formulation capable of providing sustained release. By delivering a drug intravitreally, the blood-eye barrier is circumvented and intraocular therapeutic levels can be achieved without the risk of systemic toxicity.

15 An anti-inflammatory (i.e. immunosuppressive) agent can be used for the treatment of an ocular condition which involves inflammation, such as an uveitis or macula edema. Thus, topical or oral glucocorticoids have been used to treat uveitis. A major problem with topical and oral
20 drug administration is the inability of the drug to achieve an adequate (i.e. therapeutic) intraocular concentration. See e.g. Bloch-Michel E. (1992). *Opening address: intermediate uveitis*, In *Intermediate Uveitis*, Dev. Ophthalmol, W.R.F. Böke et al. editors., Basel: Karger, 23:1-2; Pinar, V., et al. (1997). *Intraocular inflammation and uveitis*" In *Basic and Clinical Science Course. Section 9 (1997-1998)* San Francisco: American Academy of Ophthalmology, pp. 57-80, 102-103, 152-156; Böke, W. (1992). *Clinical picture of intermediate uveitis*, In *Intermediate Uveitis*, Dev. Ophthalmol. W.R.F. Böke et al. editors., Basel: Karger, 23:20-7; and Cheng C-K et al. (1995). *Intravitreal sustained-release dexamethasone device in the treatment of experimental uveitis*, Invest.
25 Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 36:442-53.
30

Systemic glucocorticoid administration can be used alone or in addition to topical glucocorticoids for the treatment of uveitis. However, prolonged exposure to high plasma concentrations (administration of prednisone 1 mg/kg/day for 2-3 weeks) of steroid is often necessary so
5 that therapeutic levels can be achieved in the eye.

Unfortunately, these high drug plasma levels commonly lead to systemic side effects such as hypertension, hyperglycemia, increased susceptibility to infection, peptic ulcers, psychosis, and other
10 complications. Cheng C-K et al. (1995). *Intravitreal sustained-release dexamethasone device in the treatment of experimental uveitis*, Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 36:442-53; Schwartz, B. (1966). *The response of ocular pressure to corticosteroids*, Ophthalmol. Clin. North Am. 6:929-89; Skalka, H.W. et al. (1980). *Effect of corticosteroids on cataract*
15 *formation*, Arch Ophthalmol 98:1773-7; and Renfro, L. et al. (1992). *Ocular effects of topical and systemic steroids*, Dermatologic Clinics 10:505-12.

Additionally, delivery to the eye of a therapeutic amount of an active
20 agent can be difficult, if not impossible, for drugs with short plasma half-lives since the exposure of the drug to intraocular tissues is limited. Therefore, a more efficient way of delivering a drug to treat a posterior ocular condition is to place the drug directly in the eye, such as directly into the vitreous. Maurice, D.M. (1983). *Micropharmaceutics of the eye*,
25 *Ocular Inflammation Ther.* 1:97-102; Lee, V.H.L. et al. (1989). *Drug delivery to the posterior segment* Chapter 25 In Retina. T.E. Ogden and A.P. Schachar eds., St. Louis: CV Mosby, Vol. 1, pp. 483-98; and Olsen, T.W. et al. (1995). *Human scleral permeability: effects of age, cryotherapy, transscleral diode laser, and surgical thinning*, Invest.
30 Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 36:1893-1903.

- Techniques such as intravitreal injection of a drug have shown promising results, but due to the short intraocular half-life of active agent, such as the glucocorticoid dexamethasone (approximately 3 hours), intravitreal injections must be frequently repeated to maintain a therapeutic drug level. In turn, this repetitive process increases the potential for side effects such as retinal detachment, endophthalmitis, and cataracts. Maurice, D.M. (1983). *Micropharmaceutics of the eye*, Ocular Inflammation Ther. 1:97-102; Olsen, T.W. et al. (1995). *Human scleral permeability: effects of age, cryotherapy, transscleral diode laser, and surgical thinning*, Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 36:1893-1903; and Kwak, H.W. and D'Amico, D. J. (1992). *Evaluation of the retinal toxicity and pharmacokinetics of dexamethasone after intravitreal injection*, Arch. Ophthalmol. 110:259-66.
- Additionally, topical, systemic, and periocular glucocorticoid treatment must be monitored closely due to toxicity and the long-term side effects associated with chronic systemic drug exposure sequelae. Rao, N.A. et al. (1997). *Intraocular inflammation and uveitis*, In Basic and Clinical Science Course. Section 9 (1997-1998) San Francisco: American Academy of Ophthalmology, pp. 57-80, 102-103, 152-156; Schwartz, B. (1966). *The response of ocular pressure to corticosteroids*, *Ophthalmol Clin North Am* 6:929-89; Skalka, H.W. and Pichal, J.T. (1980). *Effect of corticosteroids on cataract formation*, *Arch Ophthalmol* 98:1773-7; Renfro, L and Snow, J.S. (1992). *Ocular effects of topical and systemic steroids*, *Dermatologic Clinics* 10:505-12; Bodor, N. et al. (1992). *A comparison of intraocular pressure elevating activity of loteprednol etabonate and dexamethasone in rabbits*, *Current Eye Research* 11:525-30.
- U.S. patent 6,217,895 discusses a method of administering a corticosteroid to the posterior segment of the eye, but does not disclose a bioerodible implant.

U.S. patent 5,501,856 discloses controlled release pharmaceutical preparations for intraocular implants to be applied to the interior of the eye after a surgical operation for disorders in retina/vitreous body or for
5 glaucoma.

U.S. patent 5,869,079 discloses combinations of hydrophilic and hydrophobic entities in a biodegradable sustained release implant, and describes a polylactic acid polyglycolic acid (PLGA) copolymer implant
10 comprising dexamethasone. As shown by *in vitro* testing of the drug release kinetics, the 100-120 μ g 50/50 PLGA/dexamethasone implant disclosed did not show appreciable drug release until the beginning of the fourth week, unless a release enhancer, such as HPMC was added to the formulation.

15

U.S. patent 5,824,072 discloses implants for introduction into a suprachoroidal space or an avascular region of the eye, and describes a methylcellulose (i.e. non-biodegradable) implant comprising dexamethasone. WO 9513765 discloses implants comprising active
20 agents for introduction into a suprachoroidal or an avascular region of an eye for therapeutic purposes.

U.S. patents 4,997,652 and 5,164,188 disclose biodegradable ocular implants comprising microencapsulated drugs, and describes implanting
25 microcapsules comprising hydrocortisone succinate into the posterior segment of the eye.

U.S. patent 5,164,188 discloses encapsulated agents for introduction into the suprachoroid of the eye, and describes placing microcapsules and plaques comprising hydrocortisone into the pars plana. U.S.
30 patents 5,443,505 and 5,766,242 disclose implants comprising active agents for introduction into a suprachoroidal space or an avascular

region of the eye, and describes placing microcapsules and plaques comprising hydrocortisone into the pars plana.

5 Zhou *et al.* disclose a multiple-drug implant comprising 5-fluorouridine, triamcinolone, and human recombinant tissue plasminogen activator for intraocular management of proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR). Zhou, T, *et al.* (1998). *Development of a multiple-drug delivery implant for intraocular management of proliferative vitreoretinopathy*, Journal of Controlled Release 55: 281-295.

10

U.S. patent 6,046,187 discusses methods and compositions for modulating local anesthetic by administering one or more glucocorticosteroid agents before, simultaneously with or after the administration of a local anesthetic at a site in a patient.

15

U.S. patent 3,986,510 discusses ocular inserts having one or more inner reservoirs of a drug formulation confined within a bioerodible drug release rate controlling material of a shape adapted for insertion and retention in the "sac of the eye," which is indicated as being bounded by the surfaces of the bulbar conjunctiva of the sclera of the eyeball and the palpebral conjunctiva of the eyelid, or for placement over the corneal section of the eye.

20

U.S. patent 6,369,116 discusses an implant with a release modifier inserted within a scleral flap.

25

EP 0 654256 discusses use of a scleral plug after surgery on a vitreous body, for plugging an incision.

30 U.S. patent 4,863,457 discusses the use of a bioerodible implant to prevent failure of glaucoma filtration surgery by positioning the implant either in the subconjunctival region between the conjunctival membrane

overlying it and the sclera beneath it or within the sclera itself within a partial thickness sclera flap.

5 EP 488 401 discusses intraocular implants, made of certain polylactic acids, to be applied to the interior of the eye after a surgical operation for disorders of the retina/vitreous body or for glaucoma.

EP 430539 discusses use of a bioerodible implant which is inserted in the suprachoroid.

10

Significantly, it is known that PLGA co-polymer formulations of a bioerodible polymer comprising an active agent typically release the active agent with a characteristic sigmoidal release profile (as viewed as time vs percent of total active agent released), that is after a relatively
15 long initial lag period (the first release phase) when little if any active agent is released, there is a high positive slope period when most of the active agent is released (the second release phase) followed by another near horizontal (third) release phase, when the drug release reaches a plateau.

20

Thus, there is a need for a extended therapeutic treatment of an ocular condition, such as posterior ocular condition. In particular, there is a need for treatment over an extended duration, for example, time periods extending up to 60 days, 90 days, 120 days, 6 months, 8
25 months, 12 months or more, after release of a therapeutic amount of a drug at an ocular site, such as the vitreous. Such extended treatment with an active agent can be advantageous to prevent recurrence of the inflammatory or other posterior ocular condition treated. It can also minimize the number of surgical interventions required by the patient
30 over time to treat an ocular condition.

SUMMARY

The present invention meets these and other needs and provides for methods and implants which can provide an extended treatment of an ocular condition after release of a therapeutic amount of a drug from an implant placed in the vitreous and with maintenance of such a therapeutic effect for an extended period during which a therapeutic level or amount of the drug is not present is not detectable in the vitreous.

10 Definitions

The following terms as used herein have the following meanings:

“About” means approximately or nearly and in the context of a numerical value or range set forth herein means $\pm 10\%$ of the numerical value or range recited or claimed.

“Active agent” and “drug” are used interchangeably and refer to any substance used to treat an ocular condition.

20 “Anterior ocular condition” means a disease, ailment or condition which affects or which involves an anterior (i.e. front of the eye) ocular site, such as a periocular muscle, an eye lid or an eye ball tissue or fluid which is located anterior to the posterior wall of the lens capsule or ciliary muscles. Thus, an anterior ocular condition primarily affects or involves, the conjunctiva, the cornea, the conjunctiva, the anterior chamber, the iris, the posterior chamber (behind the iris but in front of the posterior wall of the lens capsule), the lens or the lens capsule and blood vessels and nerve which vascularize or innervate an anterior ocular region or site.

30

“Bioerodible polymer” means a polymer which degrades *in vivo*, and wherein erosion of the polymer over time is required to release the

active agent. The words "bioerodible" and "biodegradable" are synonymous and are used interchangeably herein.

5 "Extended" as in "extended therapeutic effect" means for a period of time greater than thirty days after release of all or all substantially all of an active agent *in vivo* from an intraocular implant. More preferably the extended therapeutic effect persists for at least 60 days after release of all or all substantially all of an active agent *in vivo* from an intraocular implant.

10

"Glaucoma" means primary, secondary and/or congenital glaucoma. Primary glaucoma can include open angle and closed angle glaucoma. Secondary glaucoma can occur as a complication of a variety of other conditions, such as injury, inflammation, vascular disease and diabetes.

15

"Inflammation-mediated" in relation to an ocular condition means any condition of the eye which can benefit or potentially benefit from treatment with an anti-inflammatory agent, and is meant to include, but is not limited to, uveitis, macular edema, acute macular degeneration, retinal detachment, ocular tumors, fungal or viral infections, multifocal choroiditis, diabetic uveitis, proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR), sympathetic ophthalmia, Vogt Koyanagi-Harada (VKH) syndrome, histoplasmosis, and uveal effusion.

20

"Injury" or "damage" are interchangeable and refer to the cellular and morphological manifestations and symptoms resulting from an inflammatory-mediated condition, such as, for example, inflammation.

25

"Measured under infinite sink conditions *in vitro*," means assays to measure drug release *in vitro*, wherein the experiment is designed such that the drug concentration in the receptor medium never exceeds 5% of

30

saturation. Examples of suitable assays may be found, for example, in USP 23; NF 18 (1995) pp. 1790-1798.

"Ocular condition" means a disease, ailment or condition which
5 affects or involves the eye or one or the parts or regions of the eye, such as a retinal disease. The eye includes the eyeball and the tissues and fluids which constitute the eyeball, the periocular muscles (such as the oblique and rectus muscles) and the portion of the optic nerve which is within or adjacent to the eyeball.

10 "Posterior ocular condition" means a disease, ailment or condition which affects or involves a posterior ocular region or site such as choroid or sclera (in a position posterior to a plane through the posterior wall of the lens capsule), vitreous, vitreous chamber, retina, optic nerve
15 (including the optic disc), and blood vessels and nerve which vascularize or innervate a posterior ocular region or site.

"Steroidal anti-inflammatory agent" and "glucocorticoid" are used interchangeably herein, and are meant to include steroidal agents,
20 compounds or drugs which reduce inflammation when administered at a therapeutically effective level.

"Substantially" means at least 51%. A release of substantially all of an active agent occurs when, at least 24 hours after *in vivo* insertion of
25 an intraocular implant, a therapeutic amount of the active agent is not present in the vitreous. A release of essentially all of an active agent is deemed to occur when, at least 24 hours after *in vivo* insertion of an intraocular implant, a detectable amount of the active agent is not present in the vitreous. "Substantially" in relation to the blending, mixing
30 or dispersing of an active agent in a polymer, as in the phrase "substantially homogeneously dispersed" means that there are no or

essentially no particles (i.e. aggregations) of active agent in such a homogenous dispersal.

5 "Suitable for insertion (or implantation) in (or into) an ocular region or site" with regard to an implant, means an implant which has a size (dimensions) such that it can be inserted or implanted without causing excessive tissue damage and without unduly physically interfering with the existing vision of the patient into which the implant is implanted or inserted.

10

A method according to the present invention can be carried out using an implant suitable for insertion, placement or implantation at an ocular site, such as the vitreous. Suitable implant can be made using the methods and materials set forth in U.S. patent application serial number
15 10/340,237.

The present invention encompasses a method for treating an ocular condition. The method can have the steps of firstly inserting an implant into an ocular site of a patient with an ocular condition. The implant can
20 be made of an active agent, and a carrier associated with the active agent. The carrier can be a polymer or a bioceramic material. The carrier can be associated with the active agent by mixing the active agent and the carrier, dispersing the active agent in the carrier, encapsulating the active agent by the carrier, incorporating the active
25 agent within the carrier, and the like.

The next (second) step in the method can be releasing substantially all of the active agent from the implant. The third step in the method can be obtaining an improvement in the ocular condition at a time when a
30 therapeutic amount of the active agent is not present at the ocular site. A fourth step in the method can be maintaining the improvement in the

ocular condition for an extended period of time during which a therapeutic amount of the active agent is not present at the ocular site.

5 The releasing (second) step can occur over a first period of time X, and the subsequent extended period of time during which an improvement in the ocular condition is maintained, although a therapeutic amount of the active agent is not present at the ocular site, is a second period of time between 0.5X and 100X. The first period of time can be between about 30 days and about 40 days, such as about 35
10 days. The second period of time can be between about 30 days and about 180 days.

15 The active agent can be an anti-inflammatory agent and the carrier can be a bioerodible polymer. The implant can have a weight between about 1 μ g and about 100 mg. The implant can have no dimension less than about 0.1 mm and no dimension greater than about 20 mm. The implant can have a volume of from about 1 mm³ to about 100 mm³, but preferably the implant has a volume of between about 5-20 mm³

20 The improvement of the ocular condition obtained by a method within the scope of the present invention can be determined by observing an improved visual acuity, by observing an improved visual contrast sensitivity, by observing a decreased retinal or choroidal blood vessel leakage, by observing a decreased retinal or macular thickness, or by
25 observing a reduced number of cells in the aqueous or vitreous humor or by determining a reduced flare.

30 The improvement in the ocular condition can occur at a time when a detectable amount of the active agent is not present at the ocular site. The ocular site can be vitreous and the active agent can be dexamethasone.

Another method within the scope of the present invention is a method for treating a chronic ocular condition by (a) inserting an implant into an ocular site of a patient with an ocular condition, the implant comprising (i) an active agent, and (ii) a carrier associated with the active agent; (b) releasing substantially all of the active agent from the implant; (c) obtaining an improvement in the ocular condition at a time when a therapeutic amount of the active agent is not present at the ocular site, and; (d) maintaining the improvement in the ocular condition for an extended period of time during which a therapeutic amount of the active agent is not present at the ocular site.

A further method within the scope of the present invention is a method for treating an inflammatory posterior ocular condition by (a) inserting a biodegradable implant into a posterior ocular site of a patient with an inflammatory posterior ocular condition, the biodegradable implant comprising (i) an anti-inflammatory active agent mixed with (ii) a biodegradable polymer; (b) releasing substantially all of the anti-inflammatory active agent from the biodegradable implant; (c) obtaining an improvement in the inflammatory posterior ocular condition at a time when a therapeutic amount of the anti-inflammatory active agent is not present at the posterior ocular site, and; (d) maintaining the improvement in the inflammatory ocular condition for an extended period of time during which a therapeutic amount of the anti-inflammatory active agent is not present at the posterior ocular site. The inserting step is preferably carried out by insertion of the implant through the pars plana and adjacent thereto in the vitreous cavity. Alternately, the insertion step can be carried out by placing the biodegradable implant into the vitreous about 2 mm to about 6 mm anterior of the macular and not along the visual axis of incoming light through the pupil

30

A detailed method within the scope of the present invention is a method for treating persistent macular edema (a) inserting a

biodegradable implant deep into the vitreous of a patient with persistent macular edema, the biodegradable implant comprising (i) dexamethasone mixed with (ii) a bioerodible PLGA co-polymer; (b) releasing all of the dexamethasone from the biodegradable implant; (c) obtaining an improvement in the persistent macular edema at a time when a therapeutic amount of the dexamethasone is not present in the vitreous, and; (d) maintaining the improvement in the persistent macular edema for an extended period of time during which a therapeutic amount of the dexamethasone is not present in the vitreous. The inserting step is preferably carried out by insertion of the implant through the pars plana and adjacent thereto in the vitreous cavity. Alternately, the insertion step can be carried out by placing the biodegradable implant into the vitreous about 2 mm to about 6 mm anterior of the macular and not along the visual axis of incoming light through the pupil. The releasing step can comprise releasing between about 350 700 μ g of dexamethasone from the biodegradable implant. Additionally, the releasing step can entail releasing about 700 μ g of dexamethasone from the biodegradable implant within about 30 days to 40 days after the inserting step. Notably, the obtaining step can comprise obtaining an improvement in the visual acuity of the patient. The improvement in the visual acuity of the patient can be obtained within about 30 days to about 180 days after the inserting step. Significantly, the maintaining step, by which the improvement in the visual acuity of the patient with persistent macular edema can be maintained for an extended period of time during which a therapeutic amount of the dexamethasone is not present in the vitreous, is a period of time of about 30 days to about 150 days after the obtaining step.

A preferred method within the scope of the present invention is a method for improving the visual acuity of a patient with persistent macular edema by (a) inserting a biodegradable implant into the vitreous of a patient with persistent macular edema by inserting the

biodegradable implant through the pars plana and adjacent thereto in the vitreous cavity or alternately, the insertion step can be carried out by placing the biodegradable implant into the vitreous about 2 mm to about 6 mm anterior of the macular and not along the visual axis of incoming
5 light through the pupil, the biodegradable implant comprising (i) about 350- 700 μ g dexamethasone mixed with (ii) a bioerodible PLGA co-polymer; (b) releasing the 350-700 μ g of dexamethasone from the biodegradable implant within about 30 days to about 40 days after the inserting step; (c) obtaining an improvement in the visual acuity of the
10 patient with the persistent macular edema at a time within about 30 days and 180 days after the inserting step during which time when a therapeutic amount of the dexamethasone is not present in the vitreous, and; (d) maintaining the improvement in the visual acuity of the patient with the persistent macular edema for about 30 days to about 150 days
15 after the obtaining step during a time when a therapeutic amount of the dexamethasone is not present in the vitreous.

The present invention also includes a method for treating an ocular condition by inserting an implant into the vitreous cavity of a patient with
20 an ocular condition, the implant comprising (i) a steroid, and (ii) a carrier associated with the steroid; followed by releasing substantially all of the steroid from the implant, and then obtaining an improvement in the ocular condition with no increase in intraocular pressure in the patient above about 25 mm Hg, where the patient had a baseline (i.e. prior to
25 implant insertion) IOP of less or equal to about 25 mm Hg.

The present invention also includes a method for treating an ocular condition by inserting an implant into the vitreous cavity of a patient with an ocular condition, the implant comprising (i) a steroid, and (ii) a carrier
30 associated with the steroid; followed by releasing substantially all of the steroid from the implant, and then obtaining an improvement in the

ocular condition with no occurrence of an ocular cataract in the patient subsequent to insertion of the implant .

DRAWINGS

5

Figure 1 is a graph showing vitreous humor concentrations (ng/ml) of dexamethasone over a period of 72 hours for two tabletted implants (350 μ g or 700 μ g of dexamethasone) and for two extrusion formed implants (350 μ g or 700 μ g of dexamethasone)

10

Figure 2 is a graph showing cumulative percent of dexamethasone released into the vitreous humor over a period of 72 hours for two tabletted implants (350 μ g or 700 μ g of dexamethasone) and for two extrusion formed implants (350 μ g or 700 μ g of dexamethasone)

15

Figure 3 is a graph showing vitreous humor concentrations (ng/ml) of dexamethasone over a period of 84 days for two tabletted implants (350 μ g or 700 μ g of dexamethasone) and for two extrusion formed implants (350 μ g or 700 μ g of dexamethasone)

20

Figure 4 is a graph showing cumulative percent of dexamethasone released into the vitreous humor over a period of 84 days for two tabletted implants (350 μ g or 700 μ g of dexamethasone) and for two extrusion formed implants (350 μ g or 700 μ g of dexamethasone).

25

Figure 5 illustrates diagrammatically a cross-sectional view of an eye.

DESCRIPTION

30

The present invention is based upon the discovery of methods for obtaining and for maintaining a therapeutic treatment of an ocular condition during a period of time during which a therapeutic amount of

an active agent is not present. A method within the scope of the present invention can be carried out by inserting an implant comprising an active agent into an ocular site of a patient. Over a first period of time, the implant then releases all of its active agent. There is then obtained an
5 amelioration of a manifestation or of a symptom of the ocular condition (i.e. a therapeutic effect) for a second period of time during which a detectable or therapeutic amount of the active agent is not present at the ocular site.

10 It is generally accepted that treatment of a chronic ocular condition requires chronic administration of a therapeutic amount of a suitable active agent. Glaucoma is a chronic ocular condition characterized by high (i.e. greater than 25 mm Hg) intra ocular (aqueous humor) pressure. It is known that if a patient receiving topical (i.e. applied as
15 eye drops) anti-glaucoma medication stops using the topical anti-glaucoma medication his intraocular pressure ("IOP") will quickly revert to its former (baseline) high (unmedicated) intraocular pressure rise. The period for return to baseline IOP is referred to as the washout period. Well before the conclusion of the washout period (i.e. usually
20 after a few hours or at most a few days) of time there is no longer a therapeutic amount or a detectable amount of the active agent in question present for treatment of the ocular condition. Thus the term washout refers to the time period required for return to substantially a baseline (pre-therapeutic) condition, not to the time period required for
25 removal of the active agent. The washout period for topical beta-blocker anti-glaucoma medication is about four weeks. The washout period for topical sympathomimetics (stimulating alpha and beta receptors; i.e. epinephrine) anti-glaucoma medication is about three weeks. The washout period for topical miotics (i.e. pilocarpine) anti-glaucoma
30 medication is about 48 hours. Marcon, I., *A double-masked comparison of betaxolol and levobunolol for the treatment of primary open-angle glaucoma*, Arq Bras Oftalmol 1990;53(1):27-32.

Additionally, it is known that the washout period for topical carbonic anhydrase inhibitor (i.e. dorzolamide) anti-glaucoma medication is two to four weeks, and that the washout period for alpha adrenergic receptor agonists (i.e. brimonidine) is also about two to four weeks. Molfino, F., et al., *IOP-lowering effect of dorzolamide 2% versus brimonidine tartrate 0.2%. A prospective randomized cross over study*, Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1998 Mar 15;39(4):S481. The washout period for brimonidine may be as long as five weeks and as long as eight weeks for a prostaglandin (i.e. latanoprost) anti-glaucoma medication. Stewart, W., et al., *Washout periods for brimonidine 0.2 % and latanoprost 0.005%*, Am J Ophthalmol 2001 Jun;131(6):798-799.

To confirm and to supplement the existence of washout periods, three different population of patients with glaucoma were examined, as set forth by Example A, *supra*. The results set forth by Example A show that within a short period of a day or two after stopping chronic use of various anti-glaucoma medications, the mean intraocular pressure of all patient populations increased significantly, thereby showing that a chronic intraocular condition requires chronic treatment to obtain an ongoing therapeutic effect.

Thus, it was surprising and unexpected to discover that methods within the scope of the present invention permit ongoing therapeutic treatment of a chronic ocular condition for an extended period of time after the washout period - when both a therapeutic level of the active agent is (long since) no longer present and by which time it was expected that a return or a substantial return to a baseline condition (i.e. as assessed prior to commencement of therapy) should have occurred in the patients. Specifically, prior to the present invention it was thought that continuous and prolonged therapy (i.e. chronic active agent [i.e. steroid] administration) was required to treat a chronic ocular condition.

The present invention thereby permits an ocular condition to be treated with use of less active agent for a shorter duration with fewer side effects and complications, as compared to a treatment of the same ocular condition with the same active agent administered as a non-implant formulation (i.e. as a liquid intravitreal injection). Thus, the present invention permits a short term treatment (i.e. release of an active agent from an intra-vitreous implant over 10-40 days) to provide a long term therapeutic benefit (i.e. for 150 days or longer after all the active agent has been released from the implant) with fewer side effects and fewer complications due to removal of the need for chronic dosing. Thus a desired clinical (therapeutic) effect such as lower IOP, less inflammation, decreased retinal thickness, increased visual acuity, increased visual contrast sensitivity, reduced retinal and/or choroidal blood vessel leakage can be obtained and maintained for an extended period of time (i.e. about 6 months or longer) after intra-vitreous release (i.e. after a sustained release over 10-40 days) of all the active agent.

The present invention encompasses biodegradable ocular implants and implant systems and methods of using such implants and implant systems for treating posterior ocular conditions. The implants can be formed to be monolithic, that is the active agent is homogeneously distributed or dispersed throughout the biodegradable polymer matrix. Additionally, the implants can be formed to release an active agent into an ocular region of the eye over various time periods. Thus, the active agent can be released from implants made according to the present invention for a period of time of, for example, 30-40 days.

Biodegradable Implants for Treating an Ocular Condition

The implants of the present invention can include an active agent mixed with or dispersed within a biodegradable polymer. The implant compositions can vary according to the preferred drug release profile,

the particular active agent used, the ocular condition being treated, and the medical history of the patient. Active agents that may be used include, but are not limited to (either by itself in an implant within the scope of the present invention or in combination with another active agent): ace-inhibitors, endogenous cytokines, agents that influence basement membrane, agents that influence the growth of endothelial cells, adrenergic agonists or blockers, cholinergic agonists or blockers, aldose reductase inhibitors, analgesics, anesthetics, antiallergics, anti-inflammatory agents, antihypertensives, pressors, antibacterials, antivirals, antifungals, antiprotozoals, anti-infectives, antitumor agents, antimetabolites, antiangiogenic agents, tyrosine kinase inhibitors, antibiotics such as aminoglycosides such as gentamycin, kanamycin, neomycin, and vancomycin; amphenicols such as chloramphenicol; cephalosporins, such as cefazolin HCl; penicillins such as ampicillin, penicillin, carbenicillin, oxycillin, methicillin; lincosamides such as lincomycin; polypeptide antibiotics such as polymixin and bacitracin; tetracyclines such as tetracycline; quinolones such as ciproflaxin, etc.; sulfonamides such as chloramine T; and sulfones such as sulfanilic acid as the hydrophilic entity, anti-viral drugs, e.g. acyclovir, gancyclovir, vidarabine, azidothymidine, dideoxyinosine, dideoxycytosine, dexamethasone, ciproflaxin, water soluble antibiotics, such as acyclovir, gancyclovir, vidarabine, azidothymidine, dideoxyinosine, dideoxycytosine; epinephrine; isoflurphate; adriamycin; bleomycin; mitomycin; ara-C; actinomycin D; scopolamine; and the like, analgesics, such as codeine, morphine, keterolac, naproxen, etc., an anesthetic, e.g. lidocaine; .beta.-adrenergic blocker or .beta.-adrenergic agonist, e.g. ephedrine, epinephrine, etc.; aldose reductase inhibitor, e.g. epalrestat, ponalrestat, sorbinil, tolrestat; antiallergic, e.g. cromolyn, beclomethasone, dexamethasone, and flunisolide; colchicine, antihelminthic agents, e.g. ivermectin and suramin sodium; antiamebic agents, e.g. chloroquine and chlortetracycline; and antifungal agents, e.g. amphotericin, etc., anti-angiogenesis compounds such as

anecortave acetate, retinoids such as Tazarotene, anti-glaucoma agents, such as brimonidine (Alphagan and Alphagan P), acetazolamide, bimatoprost (Lumigan), Timolol, mebefunolol; memantine; alpha-2 adrenergic receptor agonists; 2ME2; anti-
5 neoplastics, such as vinblastine, vincristine, interferons; alpha., beta. and .gamma., antimetabolites, such as folic acid analogs, purine analogs, and pyrimidine analogs; immunosuppressants such as azathioprine, cyclosporine and mizoribine; mitotic agents, such as carbachol, mydriatic agents such as atropine, etc., protease inhibitors
10 such as aprotinin, camostat, gabexate, vasodilators such as bradykinin, etc., and various growth factors, such epidermal growth factor, basic fibroblast growth factor, nerve growth factors, and the like.

In one variation the active agent is methotrexate. In another
15 variation, the active agent is a retinoic acid. In another variation, the active agent is an anti-inflammatory agent such as a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agent. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents that may be used include, but are not limited to, aspirin, diclofenac, flurbiprofen, ibuprofen, ketorolac, naproxen, and suprofen. In a further variation, the
20 anti-inflammatory agent is a steroidal anti-inflammatory agent, such as dexamethasone.

Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Agents

The steroidal anti-inflammatory agents that may be used in the ocular
25 implants include, but are not limited to, 21-acetoxypregnenolone, alclometasone, algestone, amcinonide, beclomethasone, betamethasone, budesonide, chloroprednisone, clobetasol, clobetasone, clocortolone, cloprednol, corticosterone, cortisone, cortivazol, deflazacort, desonide, desoximetasone, dexamethasone, diflorasone,
30 diflucortolone, difluprednate, enoxolone, fluazacort, flucoronide, flumethasone, flunisolide, fluocinolone acetonide, fluocinonide, fluocortin butyl, fluocortolone, fluorometholone, fluperolone acetate, fluprednidene

acetate, fluprednisolone, flurandrenolide, fluticasone propionate, formocortal, halcinonide, halobetasol propionate, halometasone, halopredone acetate, hydrocortamate, hydrocortisone, loteprednol etabonate, mazipredone, medrysone, meprednisone, 5 methylprednisolone, mometasone furoate, paramethasone, prednicarbate, prednisolone, prednisolone 25-diethylamino-acetate, prednisolone sodium phosphate, prednisone, prednival, prednylidene, rimexolone, tixocortol, triamcinolone, triamcinolone acetonide, triamcinolone benetonide, triamcinolone hexacetonide, and any of their 10 derivatives.

In one embodiment, cortisone, dexamethasone, fluocinolone, hydrocortisone, methylprednisolone, prednisolone, prednisone, and triamcinolone, and their derivatives, are preferred steroidal anti- 15 inflammatory agents. In another preferred variation, the steroidal anti-inflammatory agent is dexamethasone. In another variation, the biodegradable implant includes a combination of two or more steroidal anti-inflammatory agents.

20 The active agent, such as a steroidal anti-inflammatory agent, can comprise from about 10% to about 90% by weight of the implant. In one variation, the agent is from about 40% to about 80% by weight of the implant. In a preferred variation, the agent comprises about 60% by weight of the implant. In a more preferred embodiment of the present 25 invention, the agent can comprise about 50% by weight of the implant.

Biodegradable Polymers

In one variation, the active agent can be homogeneously dispersed in the biodegradable polymer of the implant. The implant can be made, for 30 example, by a sequential or double extrusion method. The selection of the biodegradable polymer used can vary with the desired release kinetics, patient tolerance, the nature of the disease to be treated, and

the like. Polymer characteristics that are considered include, but are not limited to, the biocompatibility and biodegradability at the site of implantation, compatibility with the active agent of interest, and processing temperatures. The biodegradable polymer matrix usually
5 comprises at least about 10, at least about 20, at least about 30, at least about 40, at least about 50, at least about 60, at least about 70, at least about 80, or at least about 90 weight percent of the implant. In one variation, the biodegradable polymer matrix comprises about 40% to 50% by weight of the implant.

10

Biodegradable polymers which can be used include, but are not limited to, polymers made of monomers such as organic esters or ethers, which when degraded result in physiologically acceptable degradation products. Anhydrides, amides, orthoesters, or the like, by
15 themselves or in combination with other monomers, may also be used. The polymers are generally condensation polymers. The polymers can be crosslinked or non-crosslinked. If crosslinked, they are usually not more than lightly crosslinked, and are less than 5% crosslinked, usually less than 1% crosslinked.

20

For the most part, besides carbon and hydrogen, the polymers will include oxygen and nitrogen, particularly oxygen. The oxygen may be present as oxy, e.g., hydroxy or ether, carbonyl, e.g., non-oxo-carbonyl, such as carboxylic acid ester, and the like. The nitrogen can be present
25 as amide, cyano, and amino. An exemplary list of biodegradable polymers that can be used are described in Heller, Biodegradable Polymers in Controlled Drug Delivery, In: "CRC Critical Reviews in Therapeutic Drug Carrier Systems," Vol. 1. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL (1987).

30

Of particular interest are polymers of hydroxyaliphatic carboxylic acids, either homo- or copolymers, and polysaccharides. Included

among the polyesters of interest are homo- or copolymers of D-lactic acid, L-lactic acid, racemic lactic acid, glycolic acid, caprolactone, and combinations thereof. Copolymers of glycolic and lactic acid are of particular interest, where the rate of biodegradation is controlled by the ratio of glycolic to lactic acid. The percent of each monomer in poly(lactic-co-glycolic)acid (PLGA) copolymer may be 0-100%, about 15-85%, about 25-75%, or about 35-65%. In certain variations, 25/75 PLGA and/or 50/50 PLGA copolymers are used. In other variations, PLGA copolymers are used in conjunction with polylactide polymers.

10

Biodegradable polymer matrices that include mixtures of hydrophilic and hydrophobic ended PLGA may also be employed, and are useful in modulating polymer matrix degradation rates. Hydrophobic ended (also referred to as capped or end-capped) PLGA has an ester linkage hydrophobic in nature at the polymer terminus. Typical hydrophobic end groups include, but are not limited to alkyl esters and aromatic esters. Hydrophilic ended (also referred to as uncapped) PLGA has an end group hydrophilic in nature at the polymer terminus. PLGA with a hydrophilic end groups at the polymer terminus degrades faster than hydrophobic ended PLGA because it takes up water and undergoes hydrolysis at a faster rate (Tracy et al., *Biomaterials* 20:1057-1062 (1999)). Examples of suitable hydrophilic end groups that may be incorporated to enhance hydrolysis include, but are not limited to, carboxyl, hydroxyl, and polyethylene glycol. The specific end group will typically result from the initiator employed in the polymerization process. For example, if the initiator is water or carboxylic acid, the resulting end groups will be carboxyl and hydroxyl. Similarly, if the initiator is a monofunctional alcohol, the resulting end groups will be ester or hydroxyl.

25
30

Additional Agents

Other agents may be employed in the formulation for a variety of purposes. For example, buffering agents and preservatives may be employed. Preservatives which may be used include, but are not limited to, sodium bisulfite, sodium bisulfate, sodium thiosulfate, benzalkonium
5 chloride, chlorobutanol, thimerosal, phenylmercuric acetate, phenylmercuric nitrate, methylparaben, polyvinyl alcohol and phenylethyl alcohol. Examples of buffering agents that may be employed include, but are not limited to, sodium carbonate, sodium borate, sodium phosphate, sodium acetate, sodium bicarbonate, and the like, as
10 approved by the FDA for the desired route of administration. Electrolytes such as sodium chloride and potassium chloride may also be included in the formulation.

The biodegradable ocular implants can also include additional
15 hydrophilic or hydrophobic compounds that accelerate or retard release of the active agent. Additionally, release modulators such as those described in U.S. Patent No. 5,869,079 can be included in the implants. The amount of release modulator employed will be dependent on the desired release profile, the activity of the modulator, and on the release
20 profile of the glucocorticoid in the absence of modulator. Where the buffering agent or release enhancer or modulator is hydrophilic, it may also act as a release accelerator. Hydrophilic additives act to increase the release rates through faster dissolution of the material surrounding the drug particles, which increases the surface area of the drug
25 exposed, thereby increasing the rate of drug diffusion. Similarly, a hydrophobic buffering agent or enhancer or modulator can dissolve more slowly, slowing the exposure of drug particles, and thereby slowing the rate of drug diffusion.

30 Release Kinetics

An implant within the scope of the present invention can be formulated with an active agent (or a prodrug of an active agent)

dispersed within a biodegradable polymer matrix. Without being bound by theory, it is believed that the release of the active agent can be achieved by erosion of the biodegradable polymer matrix and by diffusion of the particulate agent into an ocular fluid, e.g., the vitreous, with subsequent dissolution of the polymer matrix and release of the active agent. Factors which influence the release kinetics of active agent from the implant can include such characteristics as the size and shape of the implant, the size of the active agent particles, the solubility of the active agent, the ratio of active agent to polymer(s), the method of manufacture, the surface area exposed, and the erosion rate of the polymer(s). The release kinetics achieved by this form of active agent release are different than that achieved through formulations which release active agents through polymer swelling, such as with crosslinked hydrogels. In that case, the active agent is not released through polymer erosion, but through polymer swelling and drug diffusion, which releases agent as liquid diffuses through the pathways exposed.

The release rate of the active agent can depend at least in part on the rate of degradation of the polymer backbone component or components making up the biodegradable polymer matrix. For example, condensation polymers may be degraded by hydrolysis (among other mechanisms) and therefore any change in the composition of the implant that enhances water uptake by the implant will likely increase the rate of hydrolysis, thereby increasing the rate of polymer degradation and erosion, and thus increasing the rate of active agent release.

The release kinetics of the implants of the present invention can be dependent in part on the surface area of the implants. A larger surface area exposes more polymer and active agent to ocular fluid, causing faster erosion of the polymer matrix and dissolution of the active agent particles in the fluid. Therefore, the size and shape of the implant may also be used to control the rate of release, period of treatment, and

active agent concentration at the site of implantation. At equal active agent loads, larger implants will deliver a proportionately larger dose, but depending on the surface to mass ratio, may possess a slower release rate. For implantation in an ocular region, the total weight of the implant preferably ranges, e.g., from about 200-15000 μg , usually from about 1000-5000 μg . In one variation, the total weight of the implant is about 1200 to about 1,800 μg . In another variation, the total weight of the implant is about 2400 to about 3,600 μg . Preferably, the implant has a weight between about 100 μg and about 2 mg.

10

The bioerodible implants are typically solid, and may be formed as particles, sheets, patches, plaques, films, discs, fibers, rods, and the like, or may be of any size or shape compatible with the selected site of implantation, as long as the implants have the desired release kinetics and deliver an amount of active agent that is therapeutic for the intended medical condition of the eye. The upper limit for the implant size will be determined by factors such as the desired release kinetics, toleration for the implant at the site of implantation, size limitations on insertion, and ease of handling. For example, the vitreous chamber is able to accommodate relatively large rod-shaped implants, generally having diameters of about 0.05 mm to 3 mm and a length of about 0.5 to about 10 mm. In one variation, the rods have diameters of about 0.1 mm to about 1 mm. In another variation, the rods have diameters of about 0.3 mm to about 0.75 mm. In yet a further variation, other implants having variable geometries but approximately similar volumes may also be used.

The proportions of active agent, polymer, and any other modifiers may be empirically determined by formulating several implants with varying proportions. A USP approved method for dissolution or release test can be used to measure the rate of release (USP 23; NF 18 (1995) pp. 1790-1798). For example, using the infinite sink method, a weighed

30

sample of the drug delivery device is added to a measured volume of a solution containing 0.9% NaCl in water, where the solution volume will be such that the drug concentration is after release is less than 20%, and preferably less than 5%, of saturation. The mixture is maintained at 37°C and stirred slowly to ensure drug diffusion after bioerosion. The appearance of the dissolved drug as a function of time may be followed by various methods known in the art, such as spectrophotometrically, HPLC, mass spectroscopy, etc.

10 Applications

Examples of ocular conditions which can be treated by the implants and methods of the invention include, but are not limited to, glaucoma, uveitis, macular edema, macular degeneration, retinal detachment, ocular tumors, bacterial, fungal or viral infections, multifocal choroiditis, diabetic retinopathy, proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR), sympathetic ophthalmia, Vogt Koyanagi-Harada (VKH) syndrome, histoplasmosis, uveal diffusion, and vascular occlusion. In one variation, the implants are particularly useful in treating such medical conditions as uveitis, macular edema, vascular occlusive conditions, proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR), and various other retinopathies.

Methods of Implantation

The biodegradable implants can be inserted into the eye by a variety of methods, including placement by forceps, by trocar, or by other types of applicators, after making an incision in the sclera. In some instances, a trocar or applicator may be used without creating an incision. In a preferred variation, a hand held applicator is used to insert one or more biodegradable implants into the eye. The hand held applicator typically comprises an 18-30 GA stainless steel needle, a lever, an actuator, and a plunger. Suitable devices for inserting an implant or implants into a posterior ocular region or site includes those disclosed in United States patent application serial number 10/666,872.

The method of implantation generally first involves accessing the target area within the ocular region with the needle, trocar or implantation device. Once within the target area, e.g., the vitreous cavity, a lever on a hand held device can be depressed to cause an actuator to drive a plunger forward. As the plunger moves forward, it can push the implant or implant into the target area (i.e. the vitreous).

Methods for Making Implants

Various techniques may be employed to make implants within the scope of the present invention. Useful techniques include phase separation methods, interfacial methods, extrusion methods, compression methods, molding methods, injection molding methods, heat press methods and the like.

Choice of the technique, and manipulation of the technique parameters employed to produce the implants can influence the release rates of the drug. Room temperature compression methods result in an implant with discrete microparticles of drug and polymer interspersed. Extrusion methods result in implants with a progressively more homogenous dispersion of the drug within a continuous polymer matrix, as the production temperature is increased.

The use of extrusion methods allows for large-scale manufacture of implants and results in implants with a homogeneous dispersion of the drug within the polymer matrix. When using extrusion methods, the polymers and active agents that are chosen are stable at temperatures required for manufacturing, usually at least about 50°C. Extrusion methods use temperatures of about 25°C to about 150°C, more preferably about 60°C to about 130°C.

Different extrusion methods may yield implants with different characteristics, including but not limited to the homogeneity of the dispersion of the active agent within the polymer matrix. For example, using a piston extruder, a single screw extruder, and a twin screw
5 extruder will generally produce implants with progressively more homogeneous dispersion of the active. When using one extrusion method, extrusion parameters such as temperature, extrusion speed, die geometry, and die surface finish will have an effect on the release profile of the implants produced.

10

In one variation of producing implants by a piston extrusion methods, the drug and polymer are first mixed at room temperature and then heated to a temperature range of about 60°C to about 150°C, more usually to about 130°C for a time period of about 0 to about 1 hour, more
15 usually from about 0 to about 30 minutes, more usually still from about 5 minutes to about 15 minutes, and most usually for about 10 minutes. The implants are then extruded at a temperature of about 60°C to about 130°C, preferably at a temperature of about 75°C.

20 In an exemplary screw extrusion method, the powder blend of active agent and polymer is added to a single or twin screw extruder preset at a temperature of about 80°C to about 130°C, and directly extruded as a filament or rod with minimal residence time in the extruder. The extruded filament or rod is then cut into small implants having the
25 loading dose of active agent appropriate to treat the medical condition of its intended use.

Implant systems according to the invention can include a combination of a number of bioerodible implants, each having unique polymer
30 compositions and drug release profiles that when co-administered provide for an extended continuous release of drug. Examples of fast release implants include those made of certain lower molecular weight,

- fast degradation profile polylactide polymers, such as R104 made by Boehringer Ingelheim GmbH, Germany, which is a poly(D,L-lactide) with a molecular weight of about 3,500. Examples of medium release implants include those made of certain medium molecular weight,
- 5 intermediate degradation profile PLGA co-polymers, such as RG755 made by Boehringer Ingelheim GmbH, Germany, which is a poly(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide with wt/wt 75% lactide :25% glycolide, a molecular weight of about 40,000 and an inherent viscosity of 0.50 to 0.70 dl/g. Examples of slow release implants include those made of certain other
- 10 high molecular weight, slower degradation profile polylactide polymers, such as R203/RG755 made by Boehringer Ingelheim GmbH, Germany, for which the molecular weight is about 14,000 for R203 (inherent viscosity of 0.25 to 0.35 dl/g) and about 40,000 for RG755.
- 15 Examples of implants include those formed with RG755, R203, RG503, RG502, RG 502H as the first polymer, and RG502, RG 502H as the second polymer. Other polymers that can be used include PDL (poly(D,L-lactide)) and PDLG (poly(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide)) polymers available from PURAC America, Inc. Lincolnshire, IL.
- 20 Poly(caprolactone) polymers can also be used. The characteristics of the specified polymers are (1) RG755 has a molecular weight of about 40,000, a lactide content (by weight) of 75%, and a glycolide content (by weight) of 25%; (2) R203 has a molecular weight of about 14,000, and a 100%; (3) RG503 has a molecular weight of about 28,000, a lactide
- 25 content of 50%, and a glycolide content of 50%; (4) RG502 has a molecular weight of about 11,700 (inherent viscosity of 0.16 to 0.24 dl/g), a lactide content of 50%, and a glycolide content of 50%, and; (5) RG502H has a molecular weight of about 8,500, a lactide content of 50%, a glycolide content of 50% and free acid at the end of polymer
- 30 chain.

Generally, if inherent viscosity is 0.16 the molecular weight is about 6,327, and if the inherent viscosity is 0.28 the molecular weight is about 20670.

5 According to our invention continual or substantially continual release of drug at levels corresponding to at least 10 ng/ml of dexamethasone or dexamethasone equivalent for between about 5-40 days can be achieved.

10 This may be more clearly understood with reference to Figure 5 which illustrates a cross-sectional view of a human eye 10 in order to illustrate the various sites that may be suitable for implantation of an implant in accordance with the present invention.

15 The eye 10 comprises a lens 12 and encompasses the vitreous chamber 14. Adjacent to the vitreous chamber is the optic part of the retina 16. Implantation may be into the vitreous 14, intraretinal 16 or subretinal 18. The retina 16 is surrounded by the choroid 20. Implantation may be intrachoroidal or suprachoroidal 22. Between the
20 optic part of the retina and the lens, adjacent to the vitreous, is the pars plana 24. Surrounding the choroid 20 is the sclera 26. Implantation may be intrascleral 26 or episcleral 28. The external surface of the eye is the cornea 30. Implantation may be epicorneal 30 or intra-corneal 32. On the external surface of the eye is the conjunctiva 34. Behind the cornea
25 is the anterior chamber 36, behind which is the lens 12. The posterior chamber 38 surrounds the lens, as shown in the figure. Opposite from the external surface is the optic nerves, and the arteries and vein of the retina. Implants into the meningeal spaces 40, the optic nerve 42 and the intraoptic nerve 44 allows for drug delivery into the central nervous
30 system, and provide a mechanism whereby the blood-brain barrier may be crossed.

Other sites of implantation include the delivery of anti-tumor drugs to neoplastic lesions, e.g. tumor, or lesion area, e.g. surrounding tissues, or in those situations where the tumor mass has been removed, tissue
5 adjacent to the previously removed tumor and/or into the cavity remaining after removal of the tumor. The implants may be administered in a variety of ways, including surgical means, injection, trocar, etc.

EXAMPLES

10 The following examples illustrate aspects and embodiments of the invention.

Example A

15 Rapid Loss of Therapeutic Effect Upon Cessation of Ocular Medication

To examine loss or reduction of a therapeutic effect (i.e. IOP lowering) upon cessation of chronic medication administration used to treatment a chronic ocular condition, three different populations of patients with glaucoma were examined.

20

1. A population of twenty patients with glaucoma was examined. It was determined that on day 28 after the patients had been receiving topical Lumigan 0.03% once a day for three weeks and then twice a day for one week, the mean IOP on day 28 was -8 mm Hg from baseline.

25 Yet on day 30 after 2 days with no medication administered it was determined that the mean IOP of the 20 patients was only -6 mm Hg from baseline.

2. Similarly for a separate population of 20 patients with glaucoma it
30 was determined that on day 28 after the patients had been receiving topical timolol 0.5% twice a day for four weeks, the mean IOP on day 28 was -4 mm Hg from baseline. Yet on day 30 after 2 days with no

medication administered the mean IOP of the 20 patients was only -2 mm Hg from baseline.

3. For a separate (third) population of 18 patients with glaucoma it was determined that on day 14 after the patients had been receiving topical brimonidine for 14 days, the mean IOP on day 14 was -2 mm Hg from baseline. Yet on day 15 after 24 hours with no medication administered the mean IOP of the 18 patients was only -0.8 mm Hg from baseline.

10

The results set forth by Example A show that within a short period of a day or two after stopping chronic use of various anti-glaucoma medications, the mean intraocular pressure of all patient populations increased significantly, thereby showing that a chronic intraocular condition requires chronic treatment to obtain an ongoing therapeutic effect.

15

Example 1

Manufacture of Compressed Tablet Implants

20 Micronized dexamethasone (Pharmacia, Peapack, NJ) and micronized hydrophobic end 50/50 PLGA (Birmingham Polymers, Inc., Birmingham, AL) were accurately weighed and placed in a stainless steel mixing vessel. The vessel was sealed, placed on a Turbula mixer and mixed at a prescribed intensity, e.g., 96 rpm, and time, e.g., 15 minutes. The resulting powder blend was loaded one unit dose at a time into a single-cavity tablet press. The press was activated at a pre-set pressure, e.g., 25 psi, and duration, e.g., 6 seconds, and the tablet was formed and ejected from the press at room temperature. The ratio of dexamethasone to PLGA was 70/30 w/w for all compressed tablet implants.

30

Example 2

Manufacture of Extruded Implants

Micronized dexamethasone (Pharmacia, Peapack, NJ) and unmiconized PLGA were accurately weighed and placed in a stainless steel mixing vessel. The vessel was sealed, placed on a Turbula mixer and mixed at a prescribed intensity, e.g., 96 rpm, and time, e.g., 10-15 minutes. The unmiconized PLGA composition comprised a 30/10 w/w mixture of hydrophilic end PLGA (Boehringer Ingelheim, Wallingford, CT) and hydrophobic end PLGA (Boehringer Ingelheim, Wallingford, CT). The resulting powder blend was fed into a DACA Microcompounder-Extruder (DACA, Goleta, CA) and subjected to a pre-set temperature, e.g., 115°C, and screw speed, e.g., 12 rpm. The filament was extruded into a guide mechanism and cut into exact lengths that corresponded to the designated implant weight. The ratio of dexamethasone to total PLGA (hydrophilic and hydrophobic end) was 60/40 w/w for all extruded implants.

15

Thus, the implant is composed of dexamethasone and a PLGA [poly(D,L-lactide-co glycolide)] polymer matrix. There are two sizes of the implant: one containing about 350 μg of dexamethasone and one containing about 700 μg of dexamethasone. Both sizes of implant contain 60% by weight of drug and 40% by weight of polymer.

20

As set forth above, the implants can be manufactured by a continuous extrusion process by double extrusion using a twin-screw extruder. The implants can have a microstructure consisting of a micronized dexamethasone particles homogeneously dispersed in a continuous polymer matrix.

25

The implants can be approximately cylindrical in shape. The 700 μg implants can have a diameter of about 460 μm (0.460 mm) and a length of about 6 mm, and the 350 μg implant can have the same diameter and a length of about 3 mm.

30

The total weight of the 700 μ g implants can be about 1.2 mg and the total weight of the 350 μ g implant can be about 0.6 mg. Weight tolerance for a population of implants can be about $\pm 10\%$ by weight or less.

5

The PLGA polymer matrix can be a mixture of acid end and ester end polymers. PLGA molecules are terminated at one end by an-OH (hydroxyl) group and at the other end by a -COOR group where for acid end molecules R=H and for ester end molecules R=alkyl. The PLGA
10 used in the Posurdex™ implant can be a mixture of 75% by weight acid end PLGA and 25% by weight ester end PLGA. That is, the implants will be about 60% by weight drug, about 30% by weight acid end PLGA, and about 10% by weight ester end PLGA. Both acid and ester end PLGA will contain 50% lactide units and 50% glycolide units.

15

Example 3

Method and Devices for Placing Implants Into the Vitreous

Implants were placed into the posterior segment of the right eye of New Zealand White Rabbits by incising the conjunctiva and sclera
20 between the 10 and 12 o'clock positions with a 20-gauge microvitrectomy (MVR) blade. Fifty to 100 μ L of vitreous humor was removed with a 1-cc syringe fitted with a 27-gauge needle. A sterile trocar, preloaded with the appropriate implant (drug delivery system, DDS), was inserted 5 mm through the sclerotomy, and then retracted
25 with the push wire in place, leaving the implant in the posterior segment. Sclerae and conjunctivae were then closed using a 7-0 Vicryl suture. Suitable applicators which have been used to place implants of Examples 1 and 2 in the vitreous of human eyes are set forth in U.S. patent application serial number 10/666,872.

30

Example 4

Comparison of Tablet and Extruded

Dexamethasone Bioerodible Vitreal Implants Over 72 Hours

Examples 4 and 5 set forth a pre-clinical study carried out to evaluate

5 biodegradable polymeric implants inserted in the posterior chamber (i.e. in the vitreous) of an eye. The implant contained the anti-inflammatory steroid dexamethasone as the active agent. These implant are referred to below a "DEX PS DDS" implants. These implants and can be made as a tablet ("T") or as an extrusion ("E"), using a continuous extrusion
10 process.

The dexamethasone can be used as the acetate salt or in the form of the sodium phosphate ester. In ophthalmology dexamethasone sodium phosphate has been widely used for over 40 years as a topically applied
15 solution (0.1%). The maximum safe dose of dexamethasone for intravitreal injection or for release from an implanted sustained-release devices is believed to be about 4,800 μg to 5,000 μg . Thus, the total dose of 350 μg or 700 μg delivered with the DEX PS DDS is a non-toxic dose. The test implant DEX PS DDS is a polymeric matrix designed to
20 deliver dexamethasone *in vivo* over a time period of approximately 35 days.

As set forth below, two animal studies were carried out (a 72 hour study and an 84 day study), with the DEX PS DDS, using both a tablet
25 (tableted implant) and an extruded form of the implant, to evaluate the intraocular and systemic pharmacokinetics (PK) of this intraocular drug delivery system.

These experiments showed that both the tableted and extruded
30 dosage forms can release 700 μg or 350 μg of dexamethasone over about the 35 days it takes the implant to bioerode.

A 72 hour experiment was carried out to compare the pharmacokinetics of tableted and extruded forms at two dose levels of DEX PS DDS[®] upon implantation into the posterior segment (vitreous) of the eyes of New Zealand white rabbits.

5

The four types of implants used in this experiment were designated as 350µg extruded DEX PS DDS ("350E"), 700 µg extruded DEX PS DDS ("700E"), 350 µg tableted DEX PS DDS ("350T") and 700 µg tableted DEX PS DDS ("700T").

10

On Day 0, 120 male New Zealand white rabbits each received 1 of the 4 types of test implants (30 rabbits per test implant) in the posterior segment (vitreous) of the right eye. The left eye of each animal served as a control. Euthanasia and necropsy were performed at 3, 6, 12, 24, and 72 hours after dosing. Prior to euthanasia, plasma was collected for evaluation of plasma dexamethasone concentrations. Aqueous and vitreous humor samples were collected from the test and control eyes at necropsy, and the vitreous humor sample was divided into two sections. The experiment was carried out in compliance with Good Laboratory Practice (GLP Regulations, 21 CFR, Part 58). The section of the vitreous humor containing the DEX PS DDS remnant(s) was analyzed for dexamethasone concentrations and vitreous humor and aqueous humor of the treated eye were assayed for dexamethasone concentrations, as was the vitreous and the aqueous humor of the control eye, and the plasma.

25

No mortality occurred following implantation of the DEX PS DDS. Anesthesia and surgical recovery led to minor weight loss in 28 of 48 animals necropsied at 24 and 72 hours, but no other morbidity was reported during the experiment.

30

As shown by Figure 1 concentration profiles in the vitreous humor were similar for the 350E and 700E implants of DEX PS DDS, with peak mean vitreous humor concentrations observed at 3 hours (194.65 ng/mL and 912.33 ng/mL, respectively) and 6 hours (163.40 ng/mL and 918.50 ng/mL, respectively).

Mean dexamethasone concentrations with the extruded dosage form were considerably higher for the 700 μ g dose level than for the 350 μ g dose level. Between 6 and 24 hours, dexamethasone concentrations declined, and then, for the extruded implants, increased from 24 to 72 hours. This pattern of drug release suggests that the initial concentrations of dexamethasone observed in the vitreous resulted from surface release of dexamethasone, which led to early peak mean concentrations. This initial peak in concentration was followed by a decline in mean drug concentration and then an increase in drug concentration with the initiation of dexamethasone release from the polymer matrix (see Figure 1).

Initial mean vitreous humor dexamethasone concentrations at 3 and 6 hours were lower for the tablet dosage form than the extruded dosage form at both dose levels. However, the tablet dosage form demonstrated higher drug concentrations than the extruded dosage form at all remaining time points (12, 24 and 72 hours) at both dose levels. Overall, peak mean vitreous humor dexamethasone concentrations were similar between the two dosage forms at corresponding dose levels. The mean vitreous humor concentrations for the tablet dosage form within each dose level did not change substantially over the 72-hour study period. Peak mean vitreous humor concentrations of dexamethasone were observed at 24 hours for both the 350T and 700T groups (261.82 ng/mL and 716.33 ng/mL, respectively) (Figure 1).

The proportion of dexamethasone released from the DEX PS DDS over the 72-hour study period for the extruded dose levels (350E and 700E) was consistent across both dose levels at approximately 15%. Likewise the tablet dosage form also had similar dexamethasone release profiles for both dose levels (350T and 700T), but released a significantly greater proportion of the total dexamethasone (approximately 35%) by the end of the 72-hour study (Figure 2).

Consistent with the greater release of dexamethasone from the tablet dosage form during this 72-hour study, higher mean concentrations of drug were measured in the aqueous humor at all sampling points for both the 350T and 700T groups when compared to the 350E and 700E groups. In general, for both dosage forms and dose levels, the mean aqueous humor concentrations of dexamethasone were approximately 10 times lower than the mean vitreous humor concentrations of dexamethasone.

Plasma dexamethasone concentrations were observed at all sampling points for the tablet dosage form, but only minimally above the limit of quantification (1.00 ng/mL). Measurable dexamethasone concentrations were not observed in the plasma of animals in the 350E group, and plasma concentrations were measurable, but at very low concentrations, for the 700E group at 3, 6 and 12 hours.

With a single exception, mean dexamethasone concentrations were below the limit of quantification in the vitreous and aqueous humor of the control eyes for both dosage forms at all dose levels. The exception was observed in the 350T dose control group in which a vitreous humor concentration of 2.98 ng/mL was observed at 6 hours.

Conclusion

Although release profiles were similar among dose levels (350 μ g and 700 μ g) within each dosage form, the extruded dosage form released approximately 15% of the dose over the study period while the tablet dosage form released approximately 35% over the same period.

The results of this study demonstrate that peak mean vitreous humor concentrations of dexamethasone are similar for the tablet and extruded dosage forms over the 72-hour study period. For both dosage forms the mean concentrations of dexamethasone observed in the vitreous humor, aqueous humor, and plasma were consistent with the dose levels administered.

Example 5 Comparison of Tablet and Extruded Dexamethasone Bioerodible Vitreal Implants Over 84 Days

An 84 day experiment was carried out to compare the pharmacokinetics of tableted and extruded forms at two dose levels of DEX PS DDS[®] upon implantation into the posterior segment (vitreous) of the eyes of New Zealand white rabbits.

This experiment was designed to evaluate the intraocular (vitreous and aqueous humor) and systemic (plasma) pharmacokinetics (PK) of two dosage forms of DEX PS DDS, tableted and extruded, with each dosage form evaluated at two dose levels. The same four test implant types used in Example 1 were used in this experiment: 350 μ g extruded DEX PS DDS (350E), 700 μ g extruded DEX PS DDS (700E), 350 μ g tableted DEX PS DDS (350T) and 700 μ g tableted DEX PS DDS (700T).

30

On Day 0, 312 male New Zealand White rabbits each received 1 of the 4 test implants (78 rabbits per test article) in the posterior segment (vitreous) of the right eye. The left eye of each animal served as a

control. Euthanasia and necropsy were performed at Days 1, 3, 7, 14, 21, 28, 35, 45, 56, 70, and 86. Prior to euthanasia, plasma was collected for evaluation of plasma dexamethasone levels. Vitreous humor samples were collected at necropsy, and the vitreous was divided into two
5 sections: the section containing the DEX PS DDS remnant(s) and the remaining vitreous humor section without DEX PS DDS remnants were analyzed.

The experiment was carried out in compliance with Good Laboratory
10 Practice (GLP Regulations, 21 CFR, Part 58). The section of the vitreous humor containing the DEX PS DDS remnant(s) was analyzed for dexamethasone concentrations and vitreous humor and aqueous humor of the treated eye were assayed for dexamethasone concentrations, as was the vitreous and the aqueous humor of the control eye, and the
15 plasma.

A subset of twenty-four animals (6 per test implant) underwent weekly ophthalmic examinations to monitor the polymer matrix dissolution of the test article and dissolution was evaluated in all animals with test article implants prior to euthanasia. Dissolution was evaluated by a veterinary
20 ophthalmologist using a numerical grading scale.

No mortality occurred following implantation of the DEX PS DDS. Anesthesia and surgical recovery led to minor weight loss early in the study, however none of the animals necropsied after Day 45
25 demonstrated an overall weight loss between surgery and necropsy, indicating that any early weight loss was regained.

Vitreous humor concentrations of dexamethasone were observed in the 350E group on Day 1 (10.66 ng/mL) through Day 28 (6.99 ng/mL),
30 with peak mean concentrations at Day 14 (111.30 ng/mL) and Day 21 (105.10 ng/mL). In the 700E group, mean vitreous humor concentrations of dexamethasone were measured from Day 1 (52.63

ng/mL) through Day 28 (119.70 ng/mL), with peak mean concentrations observed on Day 14 (435.60 ng/mL) and Day 21 (527.50 ng/mL). By Day 35, mean concentrations of dexamethasone were at or below the limit of quantification (2.50 ng/mL) for both levels of the extruded dosage
5 form (Figure 3).

For the 350T group, peak mean dexamethasone concentrations in the vitreous humor were identified on Day 1 (142.20 ng/mL) and Day 3 (89.58 ng/mL), with measurable concentrations observed through Day
10 56 (2.79 ng/mL). For the 700T group, peak mean dexamethasone concentrations were also observed at Day 1 (198.56 ng/mL) and Day 3 (193.06 ng/mL), with measurable concentrations observed intermittently through Day 86 (3.03 ng/mL) (Figure 3).

15 The percent of dexamethasone released for each dosage form at each dose level was determined by assaying the section of the vitreous humor containing the DEX PS DDS remnants. Overall, the extruded dosage form provided a more consistent release of dexamethasone as evidenced by the lower standard deviations over the sampling period.
20 For both dosage forms and dose levels, the mean percent of dexamethasone released by Day 35 was >90% (Figure 4).

In the treated right eye, measurable concentrations of dexamethasone were found in the aqueous humor for both dosage
25 forms and both dose levels at most time points up to Day 28, with peak mean aqueous humor concentrations paralleling peak mean vitreous humor concentrations. However, at most time points, peak mean plasma concentrations of dexamethasone were below, at or slightly above the limit of quantification (1.00 ng/mL). In the vitreous and
30 aqueous humor of the control eyes dexamethasone content was generally below the limit of quantification.

Polymer matrix dissolution was evaluated in each group of animals at necropsy. Complete dissolution of the polymer matrix was observed at approximately 3 months in 58% of the animals receiving the extruded dosage form, and in 17% of the animals receiving the tablet dosage form, suggesting improved polymer matrix dissolution for the extruded dosage form.

In a subset of 24 animals, polymer matrix dissolution was assessed weekly. For the extruded dosage form, significant dissolution (1-24% remaining) had occurred in all but one eye by Day 46. Similarly, for the tablet dosage form, significant dissolution had occurred in all eyes by Day 57. Complete polymer matrix dissolution was observed by approximately 5 months for 67% of the extruded dosage form group and for 58% of the tablet dosage form group.

In summary, both dosage forms released an equivalent dose of dexamethasone, i.e., either 350 μg or 700 μg , over approximately 35 days, but achieved peak concentrations at different time points during the release period. Gradual, less variable release of dexamethasone, and more rapid dissolution of the polymer matrix were observed with the extruded dosage form.

Example 6

Extended Treatment of Macular Edema with an Intravitreal Dexamethasone Implant

An experiment was carried out with a biodegradable drug delivery system for implanting into the vitreous of the eye and release of dexamethasone (referred to hereafter as a "DEX PS DDS"). Such an implant can be used for the treatment of ocular conditions, such as macular edema.

30

Implants made according to the methods of Examples 1 and 2 were used. These implants form an intravitreal drug delivery system, which can be referred to as a Dexamethasone Posterior Segment Drug

Delivery System (DEX PS DDS®), can deliver a 350 μ g or 700 μ g dose of dexamethasone intravitreally over approximately 35 days, allowing for a lower total dose and sustained drug levels to the target areas. DEX PS DDS is composed of dexamethasone homogeneously dispersed into
5 a biodegradable matrix of copolymers of lactic acid and glycolic acid, PLGA (poly [lactic-glycolic] acid), a material commonly used in medical devices such as absorbable sutures. Dexamethasone is released gradually into the back of the eye over a period of approximately 35 days. The DEX PS DDS does not need to be removed since the
10 copolymer dissolves completely over time.

By effectively delivering a sustained release anti-inflammatory drug intravitreally, DEX PS DDS can offer patients and clinicians a valuable new therapeutic option in the treatment of persistent macular edema that
15 has persisted despite intervention, while reducing the potential for side-effects typically observed from steroid administration through other routes of delivery (e.g. systemic, etc.).

The objective of the experiment was to compare the safety and
20 efficacy of two doses of DEX PS DDS (350 μ g and 700 μ g) versus observation (i.e. patients in which no implant was used) in the treatment of persistent macular edema (PME) persisting at least 90 days after laser treatment or after 90 days of medical management by a physician. Patients with PME associated with diabetic retinopathy, uveitis, retinal
25 vein occlusion, and Irvine-Gass Syndrome were in the experiment.

A total of 306 patients, ages ≥ 12 years old, with persistent macular edema associated with diabetic retinopathy, uveitis, branch retinal vein occlusion (BRVO), central retinal vein occlusion (CRVO) or Irvine-Gass
30 Syndrome, persisting for at least 90 days following treatment were part of this 180-day study. At baseline, each patient provided written informed consent and a complete medical history including ocular history

and prior medications (within the last 30 days). Potential study participants underwent measurements of best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) based on ETDRS and intraocular pressure (IOP), and were examined for clinical signs of anterior chamber cells, anterior chamber flare, anterior vitreous cells, cataract, vitreal haze/retinal obscuration, vitreous/retinal hemorrhage, retinal detachment/tear and macular edema. Patients also underwent fluorescein angiography, fundus photography, and optical coherence tomography. Diabetic patients were tested for HbA_{1c} and pre-menopausal women underwent urine pregnancy testing. For the purposes of this experiment persistent macular edema was defined as retinal thickening at the center of the fovea, visual acuity equal to or worse than 20/40, and angiographic evidence of leakage in the perifoveal capillary network.

After signing the informed consent form and determination of eligibility, patients were randomly assigned to treatment with 350 μ g DEX PS DDS, or 700 μ g DEX PS DDS, or observation.

DEX PS DDS (350 μ g or 700 μ g dexamethasone) was surgically implanted in the study eye of patients in the two active treatment groups. Insertion was performed through an incision in the pars plana inferotemporally, unless contraindicated during surgery by the Investigator. After closure, the suture knot was buried and subconjunctival and topical antibiotics were used prophylactically. If the delivery system became contaminated or damaged prior to insertion, it was replaced with a new, sterile system.

Visual Acuity

The visual acuity of patients in this study was measured according to the standard procedure developed for the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) and adapted for the Age-Related Eye Disease Study Protocol (AREDS) and this study. Visual acuity testing

was required at a distance of 4-meters and, for subjects with sufficiently reduced vision, at 1- meter. ETDRS Charts 1 and 2 were used for testing the right and left eye, respectively, and Chart R was used for refraction.

5

Contrast Sensitivity

Contrast sensitivity, the ability of the eye to discern subtle degrees of contrast and size, is a sensitive measure of visual function which can be affected by the presence of retinal disease. Contrast sensitivity testing was performed as an additional measure of visual function using standardized, preprinted charts and standardized photopic and mesopic illumination by certified examiners. The outcome was measured as the lowest level of contrast at which a patient could distinguish pattern size displayed using a sine-wave contrast sensitivity vision test.

15

Fluorescein Angiography

Fluorescein angiography was conducted prior to randomization to provide angiographic evidence of leakage involving the perifoveal capillary networks and at select follow-up visits to assess anatomical improvement in macular edema. A central reading laboratory, FPRC (Fundus Photograph Reading Center, Madison, WI), was used to perform all readings. Readers were masked to patient treatment assignments.

25

Fundus Photography

Fundus photography was performed to assess macular thickness, an anatomical measure of macular edema, using standard techniques by certified photographers at each site. The photographs were assessed by masked readers at a central reading laboratory (FPRC).

Optical Coherence Tomography

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) is a laser-based non-invasive, diagnostic system providing high-resolution images of the retina (10 μm).

- 5 Macular thickness, an anatomical indicator of macular edema, was assessed by a central reading laboratory (FPRC) from images obtained at Baseline and select follow-up visits. Readers were masked to patient treatment assignments.

10 Schedule of Exams

Patients, including both treatment groups and the observation group, were assessed according to the following schedule:

- 15 BCVA by ETDRS (Baseline, Days 7, 30, 60, 90, 180 or Early Termination);

Contrast sensitivity (Baseline, Days 30, 60, 90 or Early Termination);

- 20 Intraocular pressure (Baseline, Days 1, 7, 30, 60, 90, 180 or Early Termination);

- 25 Slit lamp biomicroscopy with fundus contact lens (Baseline, Days 1, 7, 30, 60, 90 or Early Termination) for clinical signs of macular edema;

- Slit lamp assessment (Baseline, Days 1, 7, 30, 60, 90, 180 or Early Termination) for anterior chamber cells, anterior chamber flare, anterior vitreous cells, and cataract(s);

- 30 Indirect ophthalmoscopy (Baseline, Days 1, 7, 30, 60, 90, 180 or Early Termination) for vitreal haze/retinal obscuration, vitreous/retinal hemorrhage, retinal detachment/tear. DEX PS

DDS was observed on Days 30, 60, 90, 180 or Early Termination only (with scleral depression);

5 Fluorescein angiography (Baseline, Days 30, 90 or Early Termination);

10 Fundus photography (Baseline, Day 0 [DEX PS DDS-treated group only if deemed necessary] Day 1 [Observation Group only if deemed necessary], Days 30, 60, 90 or Early Termination);

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) for macular thickness quantification at clinical sites where test available (Baseline, Days 30, 90 or Early Termination);

15 Vital signs: blood pressure (Baseline, Days 1, 7, 30, 60, 90);

HbA_{1c} – Baseline, Days 30, 60, 90 or Early Termination (if diabetic).

20 Efficacy

The primary efficacy parameter was BCVA (by ETDRS) improvement at the Day 90 follow-up visit. The BCVA improvement rate was defined as the proportion of subjects who had 2 lines or more improvement from baseline.

25

Secondary efficacy parameters were:

- BCVA improvement at the Day 30 and Day 60 follow-up visits from baseline;
 - Mean change in the BCVA in LogMAR (log of the minimum angle of resolution) at the Day 30, Day 60, and Day 90 follow-up visits from baseline;
- 30

- Mean change in measurements based on the contrast sensitivity evaluation performed at the Day 30, Day 60 and Day 90 follow-up visits from baseline;
- Mean change in measurements based on the fluorescein angiography evaluation performed at the Day 30 and Day 90 follow-up visits;
- Mean change in measurements based on the fundus photography evaluation performed at the Day 30, Day 60 and Day 90 follow-up visits;
- Mean change in the retinal thickness in 1-mm diameter center subfield based on the OCT evaluation at the Day 30 and Day 90 follow-up visits from baseline;
- Mean change in measurements based on the clinical signs of persistent macular edema (PME) evaluation by slit lamp biomicroscopy performed at the Day 1, Day 7, Day 30, Day 60 and Day 90 follow-up visits;
- Mean change in BCVA from Baseline to the Day 7, 30, Day 60 and Day 90 follow-up visits.

20 Results

A total of 315 patients with persistent macular edema (PME) were enrolled in the clinical study. One hundred five (105) patients were assigned to each of the three study groups, i.e., DEX PS DDS 350 µg, DEX PS DDS 700 µg, or observation only. Five patients assigned to the 350 µg treatment group and 4 patients assigned to the 700 µg treatment group withdrew before DEX PS DDS treatment was initiated due to a change in eligibility status since randomization or personal reasons and therefore were not included within the intent-to-treat population. Of the total intent-to-treat (ITT) study population (n=306), 51.3% of the patients were male and 48.7% were female, with 9 patients less than 40 years of age (2.9%), 119 patients in the 40 to 65 year range (38.9%), and 178 patients over 65 years of age (58.2%). The mean age was 66 years (SD

= 11.9) consistent with the greater prevalence of eye pathologies among older adults. The majority of patients were Caucasian (77.8%), with the remaining patients from the following ethnic groups: Black (7.5%), Hispanic (11.1%), Asian (2.6%), and Native American (1.0%).

5

Improved Visual Acuity

As shown in Table 1, use of both the 350 μ g and the 700 μ g DEX PS DDS resulted in visual acuity improvement of two or more lines in BCVA at Day 30, Day 90 and as well at Day 180. All the visual acuity improvement percentages shown in Table 1 were greater at each time (Day 90 and Day 180) and for each type of implant (350 μ g and 700 μ g) than was the visual acuity improvement percentage seen in the patients in the observation group.

Thus, it was demonstrated that use of an implant according to the method set forth herein permits a patients' visual acuity to improve and that the patient's improved visual acuity can be retained for a period of time long after the implant has released all the dexamethasone.

20

TABLE 1
Improved Visual Acuity 30, 60 and 90 Days after Placement of Intravitreal Implant

	DEX PS DDS 350 μ g (n=100)	DEX PS DDS 700 μ g (n=101)	Observation group (n=105)
Day 30 BCVA n	89	93	94
≥ 2 line gain	21%	22%	16%
≥ 3 line gain	9%	13%	6%
Day 90 BCVA n	92	98	100
≥ 2 line gain	26%	37%	19%
≥ 3 line gain	13%	16%	9%

Day 180 BCVA n	92	98	100
≥ 2 line gain	27%	36.%	19%
≥ 3 line gain	13%	19%	8%

Improved Visual Contrast Sensitivity

Change in contrast sensitivity, an assessment of visual function, was measured at baseline, and at Days 30, 60, and 90 using a sine-wave contrast sensitivity vision test. As shown by Table 2, the LOCF mean Contrast Sensitivity Score at 1.5 cpd (Patch A) was higher in patients in both DEX PS DDS treatment groups compared to the observation group at Day 60 ($p < 0.001$) and Day 90 ($p = 0.006$). The improvement appeared first among those in the 350 μg treatment group at Day 30 ($p = 0.028$), but by Day 60 patients in both the 350 μg and the 700 μg treatment groups experienced significant visual function improvement ($p < 0.001$ and $p = 0.011$, respectively). This improvement was maintained to Day 90 ($p = 0.004$ and $p = 0.010$, respectively). A similar treatment effect (data not shown) on LOCF mean Contrast Sensitivity Score at 3.0 cpd (Patch B) was observed for both the 350 μg and the 700 μg DEX PS DDS treatment groups, compared to the observation group, by Day 60 ($p < 0.001$ and $p = 0.030$, respectively), and continued through Day 90 for both treatment groups ($p = 0.021$ and $p = 0.007$, respectively).

20

Thus, it was demonstrated that use of an implant according to the method set forth herein permits a patients' visual contrast sensitivity to improve and that the patient's improved visual contrast sensitivity can be retained for a period of time long after the implant has released all the dexamethasone.

25

Table 2

**Improved Visual Contrast Sensitivity 30 Days, 60 Days and 90 Days after
Placement of Intravitreal Implant**

	Treatment Group		
	DEX PS DDS 350 µg	DEX PS DDS 700 µg	Observation Group
Change from Baseline at Day 30	0.89	0.56	0.38
Change from Baseline at Day 60	1.21	0.80	0.13
Change from Baseline at Day 90	1.02	0.97	0.33

5

Improved (Decreased) Retinal Thickness (OCT)

Change in retinal thickness, an anatomical measure of macular edema, was assessed by Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) at Days 30 and 90. Patients who had baseline and at least one follow-up evaluation were included in this analysis. As shown by Table 3, the LOCF average retinal thickness score was improved by Day 30 for both treatment groups as compared to the observation group ($p < 0.001$ for 350 µg and 700 µg DEX PS DDS). This improvement continued to Day 90 for both treatment groups, with a significantly greater decrease in retinal thickness in the 700 µg treatment group ($p < 0.001$) and the 350 µg treatment group ($p = 0.016$) compared to the observation group.

Thus, it was demonstrated that use of an implant according to the method set forth herein permits a patients' aberrant retinal thickness to improve and that the patient's improved (decreased) retinal thickness can be retained for a period of time long after the implant has released all the dexamethasone.

TABLE 3
Improved (Decreased) Retinal Thickness as Measured by Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) 30 Days and 90 Days after Placement of Intravitreal Implant

Retinal Thickness (μm)	Treatment Group		
	DEX PS DDS 350 μg	DEX PS DDS 700 μg	Observation Group
Change from Baseline at Day 30	-102.96	-157.11	12.73
Change from Baseline at Day 90	-63.08	-147.20	9.54

Improved (Decreased) Retinal Vessel Leakage

Categorical improvement in leakage of the retinal vasculature, an anatomical measure of macular edema, was assessed by fluorescein angiography at baseline, Day 30 and at Day 90. Patients who had baseline and at least one follow-up evaluation were included in the analysis. As shown by Table 4, LOCF fluorescein leakage scores improved as compared to the observation group for patients in both treatment groups by Day 30., By Day 90, fluorescein leakage for both DEX PS DDS treatment groups was significantly improved over the observation group (700 μg ; $p < 0.001$ and 350 μg ; $p = 0.001$).

Thus, it was demonstrated that use of an implant according to the method set forth herein permits a patients' retinal blood vessel leakage to decrease and that this improvement can be retained for a period of time long after the implant has released all the dexamethasone.

TABLE 4
Improved (Decreased) Retinal Blood Vessel Leakage as Measured by
Fluorescein
Angiography 30 Days and 90 Days after Placement of Intravitreal Implant

	Treatment Group		
	DEX PS DDS 350 µg	DEX PS DDS 700 µg	Observation Group
Improvement in Maximum Fluorescein Leakage			
Change from Baseline at Day 30			
≥ 2 levels better	17%	28%	6%
≥ 3 levels better	11%	22%	4%
Change from Baseline to Day 90			
≥ 2 levels better	20%	34%	4%
≥ 3 levels better	16%	25%	1%

10 Improved (Decreased) Retinal Thickness (Fundus Photography)

Categorical improvement in retinal thickness was also assessed by fundus photography at baseline, Day 30 and at Day 90. Patients who had baseline and at least one follow-up evaluation were included in the analysis. As shown by Table 5, at Day 30, retinal thickness scores for both DEX PS DDS treatment groups were significantly improved over the observation group (700 µg; $p < 0.001$ and 350 µg; $p = 0.031$). By Day 90, the 700µg treatment group continued to show statistical significance in improvement of LOCF retinal thickness scores ($p < 0.001$).

20 Thus, it was demonstrated that use of an implant according to the method set forth herein permits a patients' aberrant retinal thickness to

improve and that the patient's improved (decreased) retinal thickness can be retained for a period of time long after the implant has released all the dexamethasone.

5

TABLE 5
Improved (Decreased) Retinal Thickness as Measured by Fundus
Photography 30 Days and 90 Days after Placement of Intravitreal Implant

Improved Retinal Thickness	Treatment Group		
	DEX PS DDS 350 µg	DEX PS DDS 700 µg	Observation Group
Change from Baseline at Day 30			
≥ 2 levels better	13%	23%	2%
≥ 3 levels better	6%	14%	1%
Change from Baseline at Day 90			
≥ 2 levels better	16%	30%	4%
≥ 3 levels better	10%	24%	2%

10

Intraocular Pressure

Intraocular pressure (IOP) was recorded on days 1, 7, 30, 60, 90 and the 180. Over the course of the study 22 events of elevation in IOP ≥ 25 mm Hg were noted in 17 patients receiving the 350 µg treatment and 22 events in 15 patients receiving the 700 µg treatment. No event of elevation in IOP ≥ 25 mmHg was noted in the observation group. Differences in IOP of ≥ 25 mm Hg between the 700 µg treatment group (n=7) and the observation group were significant at the day 180 visit only (p=0.014). No statistical difference was seen at any time interval for the 350 µg treatment group as compared to the observation group.

20

Of the 7 patients with elevated IOP at day 180, one patient had an ocular history of glaucoma in both eyes at the baseline visit. A second patient did not receive medication and the elevated IOP resolved the same day.

5

As shown by Table 6, increases of IOP ≥ 10 mm Hg from baseline occurred in a small number of eyes in all three groups. At no time was there a statistical difference between the 350 μ g group and the observation group. When the 700 μ g treatment group was compared to the observation group, statistical difference was seen at Day 60 only (p=0.044).

10

Prior to the present invention it was believed that intravitreal administration of a steroid would cause a much more significant increase in intraocular pressure than was observed in the present study. See e.g. Wingate R., et al., *Intravitreal triamcinolone and elevated intraocular pressure*, Aust & New Zea. J of Ophthalmology 27(6):431-2, Dec 1999; Gillies M., et al., *Safety of an intravitreal injection of triamcinolone*, Arch Ophthalmol vol 122, 336-340 Mar 2004, and; Jonas J. et al., *Intraocular pressure after intravitreal injection of triamcinolone acetonide*, Br. J. Ophthalmol 2003; 87: 24-27

15

20

TABLE 6

Summary of Change from Baseline to Follow-up Visits in Intraocular Pressure ≥ 10 mmHg

25

Study Group		Follow-up Visit					
		Day 1	Day 7	Day 30	Day 60	Day 90	Day 180
DEX PS DDS 350 μ g	% of patients with Increase in IOP over baseline of ≥ 10 mm Hg	5	2	2	2	2	2
DEX PS DDS 700 μ g	% of patients with Increase in IOP over baseline of ≥ 10 mm Hg	5	3	1	3	2	6
Observation							

Group	% of patients with Increase in IOP over baseline of ≥ 10 mm Hg	3	4	2	0	1
-------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Cataract Development

There was no significant difference in cataract development between treatment groups at any time point. Over 60% of patients in all three study groups had a cataract present at baseline. As shown by Table 7 there was no significant new cases of cataract.

TABLE 7
New Cases of Cataract Observed During the Study

Treatment Group		Number of New Cataracts by Type of Cataract		
		Cortical	Nuclear	Subcapsular
350 µg DEX PS DDS	(n = 100)	0	1	0
700 µg DEX PS DDS	(n = 101)	1	1	1
Observation Group	(n = 105)	1	1	1

10

Prior to the present invention it was believed that intravitreal administration of a steroid would cause a much more significant increase in cataracts than was observed in the present study. See e.g. Gillies M., et al., *Safety of an intravitreal injection of triamcinolone*, Arch Ophthalmol vol 122, 336-340 Mar 2004.

15

Implant Dissolution and Location

DEX PS DDS dissolution and location were monitored by slit lamp examination at all visits over the course of the study. DDS dissolution was quantified based on a scale of absent, trace present, <25%, <50%, ≤100% or >100% present. No differences were observed between the dissolution rate of the 350 µg and the 700 µg treatment groups. At the Day 180 visit, 68.1% of patients in the 350 µg group and 65.3% of patients in the 700 µg group had no visible presence of residual DEX PS

20

DDS. Of the remaining patients, most had only trace to $\leq 25\%$ amounts of residual DEX PS DDS remaining by the Day 180 visit. There was no significant difference in the location of the DEX PS DDS between the two treatment groups at any time during the course of the study.

5

The DEX PS DDS was found to be stable upon placement. No significant migration of the DEX PS DDS was noted for either the 350 μg or 700 μg treatment group. Categorical analysis across all DEX PS DDS locations showed no significant difference at any time interval using

10 Fisher's Exact test.

To conclude the safety and efficacy of two doses of Dexamethasone Posterior Segment Drug Delivery System (DEX PS DDS) versus observation for the treatment of persistent macular edema (PME) was tested in a Phase 2, prospective, randomized, multicenter, dose-ranging, controlled clinical trial. A total of 315 patients, ≥ 15 years of age, with PME associated with diabetic retinopathy, uveitis, branch retinal vein occlusion (BRVO), central retinal vein occlusion (CRVO) or Irvine-Gass Syndrome present for at least 90 days despite prior intervention were enrolled in this 180-day study. Patients were randomized in a 1:1:1 ratio to one of three study groups: 700 μg DEX PS DDS, 350 μg DEX PS DDS or observation. The intent-to-treat (ITT) population consisted of 306 patients who were balanced between the three study groups in terms of patient sex, age, race, baseline etiology and duration of initial onset and duration of persistent macular edema.

30

Example 7
Extended Treatment of Ocular Conditions
with Various Active Agents

An implant can be formulated with various active agents following the procedures in Examples 1 and 2. These implants can provide an extended therapeutic treatment of an ocular condition, that is a

therapeutic affect during a period of time after release of all of the active agent from the implant and during which there is no longer a therapeutic amount of the active agent present at the ocular site at which the implant was placed. Thus, an implant can be prepared containing a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agent, such as ketoralac (available from
5 Allergan, Irvine, California as ketoralac tromethamine ophthalmic solution, under the tradename Acular). Thus, for example, a ketoralac extended therapeutic treatment implant can be implanted into an ocular site (i.e. into the vitreous) of a patient with an ocular condition for a
10 desired extended therapeutic effect. The ocular condition can be an inflammatory condition such as uveitis or the patient can be afflicted with one or more of the following afflictions: macular degeneration (including non-exudative age related macular degeneration and exudative age related macular degeneration); choroidal neovascularization; acute
15 macular neuroretinopathy; macular edema (including cystoid macular edema and diabetic macular edema); Behcet's disease, diabetic retinopathy (including proliferative diabetic retinopathy); retinal arterial occlusive disease; central retinal vein occlusion; uveitic retinal disease; retinal detachment; retinopathy; an epiretinal membrane disorder;
20 branch retinal vein occlusion; anterior ischemic optic neuropathy; non-retinopathy diabetic retinal dysfunction, retinitis pigmentosa and glaucoma. The implant(s) can be inserted into the vitreous using the procedure such as trocar implantation. The implant can release a therapeutic amount of the active agent to provide and retain a
25 therapeutic effect for an extended period of time to thereby treat a symptom of an ocular condition.

Such an implant to provide an extended therapeutic treatment of an ocular condition can also be prepared containing a steroid, such an anti-
30 angiogenesis steroid, such as an anecortave, as the active agent.

VEGF (Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor) (also known as VEGF-A) is a growth factor which can stimulate vascular endothelial cell growth, survival, and proliferation. VEGF is believed to play a central role in the development of new blood vessels (angiogenesis) and the survival of immature blood vessels (vascular maintenance). Tumor expression of VEGF can lead to the development and maintenance of a vascular network, which promotes tumor growth and metastasis. Thus, increased VEGF expression correlates with poor prognosis in many tumor types. Inhibition of VEGF can be an anticancer therapy used alone or to complement current therapeutic modalities (eg, radiation, chemotherapy, targeted biologic therapies).

VEGF is believed to exert its effects by binding to and activating two structurally related membrane receptor tyrosine kinases, VEGF receptor-1 (VEGFR-1 or flt-1) and VEGFR-2 (flk-1 or KDR), which are expressed by endothelial cells within the blood vessel wall. VEGF may also interact with the structurally distinct receptor neuropilin-1. Binding of VEGF to these receptors initiates a signaling cascade, resulting in effects on gene expression and cell survival, proliferation, and migration. VEGF is a member of a family of structurally related proteins (see Table A below). These proteins bind to a family of VEGFRs (VEGF receptors), thereby stimulating various biologic processes. Placental growth factor (PlGF) and VEGF-B bind primarily to VEGFR-1. PlGF modulates angiogenesis and may also play a role in the inflammatory response. VEGF-C and VEGF-D bind primarily to VEGFR-3 and stimulate lymphangiogenesis rather than angiogenesis.

Table A

VEGF Family Members	Receptors	Functions
VEGF (VEGF-A)	VEGFR-1, VEGFR-2, neuropilin-1	Angiogenesis Vascular maintenance
VEGF-B	VEGFR-1	Not established
VEGF-C	VEGF-R, VEGFR-3	Lymphangiogenesis

VEGF-D	VEGFR-2, VEGFR-3	Lymphangiogenesis
VEGF-E (viral factor)	VEGFR-2	Angiogenesis
PIGF	VEGFR-1, neuropilin-1	Angiogenesis and inflammation

- An extended therapeutic effect implant system to treat an ocular condition can contain as active agent a compound which acts to inhibit formation of VEGF or to inhibit the binding of VEGF to its VEGFR. The
- 5 active agent can be, for example, ranibizumab (rhuFab V2) (Genentech, South San Francisco, California) and the implant(s) can be made using the method of Example 1 or the method of Example 2, but with use of ranibizumab as the active agent, instead of dexamethasone.
- Ranibizumab is an anti-VEGF (vascular endothelial growth factor)
- 10 product which may have particular utility for patients with macular degeneration, including the wet form of age-related macular degeneration. The implant can be loaded with about 100-300 μ g of the ranibizumab
- 15 Pegaptanib is an aptamer that can selectively bind to and neutralize VEGF and may have utility for treatment of, for example, age-related macular degeneration and diabetic macular edema by inhibiting abnormal blood vessel growth and by stabilizing or reverse blood vessel leakage in the back of the eye resulting in improved vision. An extended
- 20 therapeutic treatment implant can be made with of pegaptanib sodium (Macugen; Pfizer Inc, New York or Eyetech Pharmaceuticals, New York) as the active agent by loading about 1 mg to 3 mg of Macugen according to the Example 1 or 2 method.
- 25 The pegaptanib sodium extended release implant system can be implanted into an ocular region or site (i.e. into the vitreous) of a patient with an ocular condition for a desired extended therapeutic effect.

An extended treatment bioerodible intraocular implant for treating an ocular condition, such as an ocular tumor can also be made as set forth in this Example using about 1 mg of the VEGF Trap compound available from Regeneron, Tarrytown, new York.

5

An extended therapeutic treatment implant treat an ocular condition can contain a beta-adrenergic receptor antagonist (i.e. a "beta blocker) such as levobunolol, betaxolol, carteolol, timolol hemihydrate and timolol. Timolol maleate is commonly used to treat of open-angle
10 glaucoma. Thus, an extended therapeutic treatment bioerodible implant containing timolol maleate (available from multiple different suppliers under the trade names Timoptic, Timopol or Loptomit) as the active agent can be made using the method of Example 1 or the method of Example 2, but with use of timolol maleate instead of dexamethasone.
15 Thus, about 50 μ g of the timolol maleate can be loaded into each of the three implants prepared according to the Example 1 or method.

The timolol extended release implant system can be implanted into an ocular region or site (i.e. into the vitreous) of a patient with an ocular
20 condition for a desired extended therapeutic effect. The ocular condition can be an inflammatory condition such as uveitis or the patient can be afflicted with one or more of the following afflictions: macular degeneration (including non-exudative age related macular degeneration and exudative age related macular degeneration); choroidal
25 neovascularization; acute macular neuroretinopathy; macular edema (including cystoid macular edema and diabetic macular edema); Behcet's disease, diabetic retinopathy (including proliferative diabetic retinopathy); retinal arterial occlusive disease; central retinal vein occlusion; uveitic retinal disease; retinal detachment; retinopathy; an
30 epiretinal membrane disorder; branch retinal vein occlusion; anterior ischemic optic neuropathy; non-retinopathy diabetic retinal dysfunction, retinitis pigmentosa and glaucoma.

An extended therapeutic treatment implant system can be used to treat an ocular condition can contain a prostamide. Prostamides are naturally occurring substances biosynthesized from anandamide in a pathway that includes COX2. Bimatoprost (Lumigan) is a synthetic prostamide analog chemically related to prostamide F. Lumigan has been approved by the FDA for the reduction of elevated intraocular pressure (IOP) in patients with open-angle glaucoma or ocular hypertension who are intolerant of or insufficiently responsive to other IOP-lowering medications. Lumigan is believed to lower intraocular pressure by increasing the outflow of aqueous humor.

Thus, an extended therapeutic treatment bioerodible implant containing Lumigan (Allergan, Irvine, California) as the active agent can be made using the method of Example 1 or the method of Example 2, but with use of Lumigan instead of dexamethasone. Thus, about 100 μ g of Lumigan can be loaded into each of the three implants prepared according to the Example 1 or 2 method.

The Lumigan extended therapeutic effect implant can be implanted into an ocular region or site (i.e. into the vitreous) of a patient with an ocular condition for a desired therapeutic effect. The ocular condition can be an inflammatory condition such as uveitis or the patient can be afflicted with one or more of the following afflictions: macular degeneration (including non-exudative age related macular degeneration and exudative age related macular degeneration); choroidal neovascularization; acute macular neuroretinopathy; macular edema (including cystoid macular edema and diabetic macular edema); Behcet's disease, diabetic retinopathy (including proliferative diabetic retinopathy); retinal arterial occlusive disease; central retinal vein occlusion; uveitic retinal disease; retinal detachment; retinopathy; an epiretinal membrane disorder; branch retinal vein occlusion; anterior

ischemic optic neuropathy; non-retinopathy diabetic retinal dysfunction, retinitis pigmentosa and glaucoma.

5 An extended therapeutic treatment implant can be used to treat an ocular condition wherein the implant contains as the active agent an alpha-2 adrenergic receptor agonist, such as clonidine, apraclonidine, or brimonidine. Thus, an extended release bioerodible implant system containing brimonidine (Allergan, Irvine, California, as Alphagan or Alphagan P) as the active agent can be made using the method of
10 Example 1 or the method of Example 2, but with use of Alphagan instead of dexamethasone. Thus, about 50 μ g of Alphagan can be loaded into an implant prepared according to the Example 1 or 2 method.

15 The brimonidine extended therapeutic treatment implant can be implanted into an ocular region or site (i.e. into the vitreous) of a patient with an ocular condition for a desired therapeutic effect. The ocular condition can be an inflammatory condition such as uveitis or the patient can be afflicted with one or more of the following afflictions: macular
20 degeneration (including non-exudative age related macular degeneration and exudative age related macular degeneration); choroidal neovascularization; acute macular neuroretinopathy; macular edema (including cystoid macular edema and diabetic macular edema); Behcet's disease, diabetic retinopathy (including proliferative diabetic
25 retinopathy); retinal arterial occlusive disease; central retinal vein occlusion; uveitic retinal disease; retinal detachment; retinopathy; an epiretinal membrane disorder; branch retinal vein occlusion; anterior ischemic optic neuropathy; non-retinopathy diabetic retinal dysfunction, retinitis pigmentosa and glaucoma.

30

An extended therapeutic effect implant used to treat an ocular condition can contain a retinoid such as an ethyl nicotinate, such as a

tazarotene. Thus, an extended release bioerodible implant system containing tazarotene (Allergan, Irvine, California) as the active agent can be made using the method of Example 1 or the method of Example 2, but with use of tazarotene instead of dexamethasone. Thus, about
5 100 μ g to 300 μ g of tazarotene can be loaded into each of the three implants prepared according to the Example 1 or 2 method.

The tazarotene extended therapeutic treatment implant can be implanted into an ocular region or site (i.e. into the vitreous) of a patient
10 with an ocular condition for a desired therapeutic effect.

Generally, tyrosine kinase inhibitors are small molecule inhibitors of growth factor signaling. Protein tyrosine kinases (PTKs) comprise a large and diverse class of proteins having enzymatic activity. The PTKs
15 play an important role in the control of cell growth and differentiation. For example, receptor tyrosine kinase mediated signal transduction is initiated by extracellular interaction with a specific growth factor (ligand), followed by receptor dimerization, transient stimulation of the intrinsic protein tyrosine kinase activity and phosphorylation. Binding sites are
20 thereby created for intracellular signal transduction molecules and lead to the formation of complexes with a spectrum of cytoplasmic signaling molecules that facilitate the appropriate cellular response (e.g., cell division, metabolic homeostasis, and responses to the extracellular microenvironment).

25

With respect to receptor tyrosine kinases, it has been shown also that tyrosine phosphorylation sites function as high-affinity binding sites for SH2 (src homology) domains of signaling molecules. Several intracellular substrate proteins that associate with receptor tyrosine
30 kinases (RTKs) have been identified. They may be divided into two principal groups: (1) substrates which have a catalytic domain; and (2) substrates which lack such domain but serve as adapters and associate

with catalytically active molecules. The specificity of the interactions between receptors or proteins and SH2 domains of their substrates is determined by the amino acid residues immediately surrounding the phosphorylated tyrosine residue. Differences in the binding affinities
5 between SH2 domains and the amino acid sequences surrounding the phosphotyrosine residues on particular receptors are consistent with the observed differences in their substrate phosphorylation profiles. These observations suggest that the function of each receptor tyrosine kinase is determined not only by its pattern of expression and ligand availability
10 but also by the array of downstream signal transduction pathways that are activated by a particular receptor. Thus, phosphorylation provides an important regulatory step which determines the selectivity of signaling pathways recruited by specific growth factor receptors, as well as differentiation factor receptors.

15

Aberrant expression or mutations in the PTKs have been shown to lead to either uncontrolled cell proliferation (e.g. malignant tumor growth) or to defects in key developmental processes. Consequently, the biomedical community has expended significant resources to discover
20 the specific biological role of members of the PTK family, their function in differentiation processes, their involvement in tumorigenesis and in other diseases, the biochemical mechanisms underlying their signal transduction pathways activated upon ligand stimulation and the development of novel drugs.

25

Tyrosine kinases can be of the receptor-type (having extracellular, transmembrane and intracellular domains) or the non-receptor type (being wholly intracellular). The RTKs comprise a large family of transmembrane receptors with diverse biological activities. The intrinsic
30 function of RTKs is activated upon ligand binding, which results in phosphorylation of the receptor and multiple cellular substrates, and subsequently in a variety of cellular responses.

At present, at least nineteen (19) distinct RTK subfamilies have been identified. One RTK subfamily, designated the HER subfamily, is believed to be comprised of EGFR, HER2, HER3 and HER4. Ligands to the Her subfamily of receptors include epithelial growth factor (EGF), TGF- α , amphiregulin, HB-EGF, betacellulin and heregulin.

A second family of RTKs, designated the insulin subfamily, is comprised of the INS-R, the IGF-1R and the IR-R. A third family, the "PDGF" subfamily includes the PDGF α and β receptors, CSFIR, c-kit and FLK-II. Another subfamily of RTKs, identified as the FLK family, is believed to be comprised of the Kinase insert Domain-Receptor fetal liver kinase-1 (KDR/FLK-1), the fetal liver kinase 4 (FLK-4) and the fms-like tyrosine kinase 1 (flt-1). Each of these receptors was initially believed to be receptors for hematopoietic growth factors. Two other subfamilies of RTKs have been designated as the FGF receptor family (FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3 and FGFR4) and the Met subfamily (c-met and Ron).

Because of the similarities between the PDGF and FLK subfamilies, the two subfamilies are often considered together. The known RTK subfamilies are identified in Plowman et al, 1994, DN&P 7(6): 334-339, which is incorporated herein by reference.

The non-receptor tyrosine kinases represent a collection of cellular enzymes which lack extracellular and transmembrane sequences. At present, over twenty-four individual non-receptor tyrosine kinases, comprising eleven (11) subfamilies (Src, Frk, Btk, Csk, Abl, Zap70, Fes/Fps, Fak, Jak, Ack and LIMK) have been identified. At present, the Src subfamily of non-receptor tyrosine kinases is comprised of the largest number of PTKs and include Src, Yes, Fyn, Lyn, Lck, Blk, Hck, Fgr and Yrk. The Src subfamily of enzymes has been linked to

oncogenesis. A more detailed discussion of non-receptor tyrosine kinases is provided in Bolen, 1993, *Oncogen* 8: 2025-2031, which is incorporated herein by reference.

- 5 Many of the tyrosine kinases, whether an RTK or non-receptor tyrosine kinase, have been found to be involved in cellular signaling pathways leading to cellular signal cascades leading to pathogenic conditions, including cancer, psoriasis and hyper immune response.
- 10 In view of the surmised importance of PTKs to the control, regulation and modulation of cell proliferation the diseases and disorders associated with abnormal cell proliferation, many attempts have been made to identify receptor and non-receptor tyrosine kinase "inhibitors" using a variety of approaches, including the use of mutant ligands (U.S.
- 15 Patent No. 4,966,849), soluble receptors and antibodies (PCT Application No. WO 94/10202; Kendall & Thomas, 1994, *Proc. Nat'l Acad. Sci* 90: 10705-09; Kim, et al, 1993, *Nature* 362: 841-844), RNA ligands (Jellinek, et al, *Biochemistry* 33: 10450-56); Takano, et al, 1993, *Mol. Bio. Cell* 4:358A; Kinsella, et al, 1992, *Exp. Cell Res.* 199: 56-62;
- 20 Wright, et al, 1992, *J. Cellular Phys.* 152: 448-57) and tyrosine kinase inhibitors (PCT Application Nos. WO 94/03427; WO 92/21660; WO 91/15495; WO 94/14808; U.S. Patent No. 5,330,992; Mariani, et al, 1994, *Proc. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res.* 35: 2268).
- 25 An extended therapeutic treatment implant to treat an ocular condition can contain a tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) such as a TKI set forth in published U.S. patent application 2004 00019098 (available from Allergan, Irvine, California) as the active agent can be made using the method of Example 1 or the method of Example 2, but with use of a TKI
- 30 instead of dexamethasone. Thus, about 100 μ g of a TKI can be loaded into each of the three implants prepared according to the Example 1 or 2 method.

The TKI extended therapeutic effect implant can be implanted into an ocular region or site (i.e. into the vitreous) of a patient with an ocular condition for a desired extended therapeutic effect.

5

It is believed that overstimulation of the *N*-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor by glutamate is implicated in a variety of disorders. Memantine is an NMDA antagonist which can be used to reduce neuronal damage mediated by the NMDA receptor complex. Memantine is a available form Merz Pharmaceuticals, Greensboro, North Carolina under the trade name Axura. An extended release implant system can be used to treat an ocular condition. The implant can contain an NMDA antagonist such as memantine. Thus, an extended therapeutic treatment bioerodible implant containing memantine as the active agent can be made using the method of Example 1 or the method of Example 2, but with use of memantine instead of dexamethasone. Thus, about 400 μ g of memantine can be loaded into each of the three implants prepared according to the Example 1 or 2 method.

20 The memantine extended release implant system can be implanted into an ocular region or site (i.e. into the vitreous) of a patient with an ocular condition for a desired extended therapeutic effect.

Certain estratropones have anti-angiogenesis, anti-neoplastic and related useful therapeutic activities. An extended therapeutic treatment implant can contain an estratropone such as 2-methoxyestradiol (available form Entremed, Inc., of Rockville, Maryland under the tradename Panzem). Thus, an extended therapeutic treatment bioerodible implant containing memantine as the active agent can be made using the method of Example 1 or the method of Example 2, but with use of 2-methoxyestradiol instead of dexamethasone. 2-methoxyestradiol can be used as a small molecule angiogenic inhibitor

to block abnormal blood vessel formation in the back of the eye. Thus, about 400 μ g of 2-methoxyestradiol can be loaded into each of the three implants prepared according to the Example 1 or 2 method.

- 5 The 2-methoxyestradiol extended release implant system can be implanted into an ocular region or site (i.e. into the vitreous) of a patient with an ocular condition for a desired extended therapeutic effect.

10 Using the same methodology set forth in Examples 1 and 2, additional extended therapeutic treatment implants can be prepared wherein the active agent is, for example, an agent to treat intravitreal hemorrhage (such as Vitrase, available from Ista Pharmaceuticals), an antibiotic (such as cyclosporine, or gatifloxacin, the former being available from Allergan, Irvine, California under the tradename Restasis
15 and the later from Allergan under the tradename Zymar), ofloxacin, an androgen, epinastine (Elestat, Allergan, Irvine, CA), or with a combination of two or more active agents (such as a combination in a single extended release implant of a prostamide (i.e. brimatoprost) and a
20 best blocker (i.e. timolol) or a combination of an alpha 2 adrenergic agonist (i.e. brimonidine) and a beta blocker, such as timolol) in the same extended delivery system. A method using an implant within the scope of the present invention can be used in conjunction with a photodynamic therapy or laser procedure upon an eye tissue.

- 25 All references, articles, patents, applications and publications set forth above are incorporated herein by reference in their entireties.

Accordingly, the spirit and scope of the following claims should not be limited to the descriptions of the preferred embodiments set forth above

What is claimed is:

- 5 1. A medicament, which is an implant, for use in treating an ocular condition by
 - (a) inserting the implant into an ocular site of a patient with an ocular condition, the implant comprising (i) an active agent, and (ii) a carrier associated with the active agent;
 - (b) releasing substantially all of the active agent from the implant, and;
 - 10 (c) obtaining an improvement in the ocular condition at a time when a therapeutic amount of the active agent is not present at the ocular site.
2. The medicament of claim 1, further comprising the step of maintaining the improvement in the ocular condition for an extended period of time during which
15 a therapeutic amount of the active agent is not present at the ocular site.
3. The medicament of claim 2, wherein the releasing step occurs over a first period of time X, and the subsequent extended period of time during which an improvement in the ocular condition is maintained, although a therapeutic
20 amount of the active agent is not present at the ocular site, is a second period of time between 0.5X and 100X.
4. The medicament of claim 1, wherein the active agent is an anti-inflammatory agent.
25
5. The medicament of claim 1, wherein the carrier is a bioerodible polymer.
6. The medicament of 1, wherein the implant has a weight between about 1 μ g and about 100 mg.
30
7. The medicament of claim 1, wherein the implant has no dimension less than about 0.1 mm and no dimension greater than about 20 mm.

Docket 17696 (OCU)

8. The medicament of claim 1 wherein the improvement of the ocular condition is determined by observing an improved visual acuity.
- 5 9. The medicament of claim 1 wherein the improvement of the ocular condition is determined by observing an improved visual contrast sensitivity.
- 10 10. The medicament of claim 1 wherein the improvement of the ocular condition is determined by observing a decreased retinal or choroidal blood vessel leakage.
11. The medicament of claim 1 wherein the improvement of the ocular condition is determined by observing a decreased retinal or macular thickness.
- 15 12. The medicament of claim 1, wherein the improvement in the ocular condition occurs at a time when a detectable amount of the active agent is not present at the ocular site.
- 20 13. The medicament of claim 1, wherein the ocular site is the vitreous.
14. The medicament of claim 1, wherein the active agent is dexamethasone.
- 25 15. The medicament of claim 3, wherein the first period of time is between about 30 days and about 40 days.
16. The medicament of claim 3, wherein the first period of time is about 35 days.
- 30 17. The medicament of claim 15, wherein the second period of time is between about 30 days and about 180 days.
18. A medicament, which is an implant, for treating a chronic ocular condition by:

(a) inserting the implant into an ocular site of a patient with an ocular condition, the implant comprising (i) an active agent, and (ii) a carrier associated with the active agent;

(b) releasing substantially all of the active agent from the implant;

5 (c) obtaining an improvement in the ocular condition at a time when a therapeutic amount of the active agent is not present at the ocular site, and;

(d) maintaining the improvement in the ocular condition for an extended period of time during which a therapeutic amount of the active agent is not present at the ocular site.

10

19. A medicament, which is a biodegradable implant, for treating an inflammatory posterior ocular condition by:

(a) inserting the biodegradable implant into a posterior ocular site of a patient with an inflammatory posterior ocular condition, the biodegradable implant

15 comprising (i) an anti-inflammatory active agent mixed with (ii) a biodegradable polymer;

(b) releasing substantially all of the anti-inflammatory active agent from the biodegradable implant;

20 (c) obtaining an improvement in the inflammatory posterior ocular condition at a time when a therapeutic amount of the anti-inflammatory active agent is not present at the posterior ocular site, and;

(d) maintaining the improvement in the inflammatory ocular condition for an extended period of time during which a therapeutic amount of the anti-inflammatory active agent is not present at the posterior ocular site.

25

20. The medicament of claim 19, wherein the inserting step comprises the step of placing the biodegradable implant into the vitreous through the pars plana and placing the implant adjacent thereto in the vitreous cavity.

30 21. A medicament, which is a biodegradable implant, for treating persistent macular edema by:

(a) inserting the biodegradable implant deep into the vitreous of a patient with persistent macular edema, the biodegradable implant comprising (i) dexamethasone mixed with (ii) a bioerodible PLGA co-polymer;

(b) releasing all of the dexamethasone from the biodegradable implant;

5 (c) obtaining an improvement in the persistent macular edema at a time when a therapeutic amount of the dexamethasone is not present in the vitreous, and;

(d) maintaining the improvement in the persistent macular edema for an extended period of time during which a therapeutic amount of the dexamethasone is not present in the vitreous.

10

22. The medicament of claim 21, wherein the inserting step comprises the step of placing the biodegradable implant into the vitreous about 2 mm to about 6 mm anterior of the macular

15 23. The medicament of claim 21, wherein the releasing step comprises the step of releasing about 700 μ g of dexamethasone from the biodegradable implant.

24. The medicament of claim 23, wherein the releasing step comprises the step of releasing about 700 μ g of dexamethasone from the biodegradable implant
20 within about 30 days to 40 days after the inserting step.

24. The medicament of claim 21, wherein the obtaining step comprises obtaining an improvement in the visual acuity of the patient.

25 25. The medicament of claim 24, wherein the improvement in the visual acuity of the patient is obtained within about 30 days to about 180 days after the inserting step.

26. The medicament of claim 25, wherein the maintaining step, by which the
30 improvement in the visual acuity of the patient with persistent macular edema is maintained for an extended period of time during which a therapeutic amount of

the dexamethasone is not present in the vitreous, is a period of time of about 30 days to about 150 days after the obtaining step.

27. A medicament, which is a biodegradable implant, for improving the visual acuity of a patient with persistent macular edema by:

- (a) inserting the biodegradable implant into the vitreous of a patient with persistent macular edema by placing the biodegradable implant about 2 mm to about 6 mm anterior of the macular, the biodegradable implant comprising (i) about 700 μ g dexamethasone mixed with (ii) a bioerodible PLGA co-polymer;
- 10 (b) releasing the 700 μ g of dexamethasone from the biodegradable implant within about 30 days to about 40 days after the inserting step;

- (c) obtaining an improvement in the visual acuity of the patient with the persistent macular edema at a time within about 30 days and 180 days after the inserting step during which time a therapeutic amount of the dexamethasone is
- 15 not present in the vitreous, and;

- (d) maintaining the improvement in the visual acuity of the patient with the persistent macular edema for about 30 days to about 150 days after the obtaining step during a time a therapeutic amount of the dexamethasone is not present in the vitreous.

20

28. A medicament, which is an implant, for treating an ocular condition by:

- (a) inserting the implant into the vitreous cavity of a patient with an ocular condition, the implant comprising (i) a steroid, and (ii) a carrier associated with the steroid;
- 25 (b) releasing substantially all of the steroid from the implant, and;
- (c) obtaining an improvement in the ocular condition with no increase in intraocular pressure above about 25 mm Hg.

29. A medicament, which is an implant, for treating an ocular condition by:

Docket 17696 (OCU)

- (a) inserting the implant into the vitreous cavity of a patient with an ocular condition, the implant comprising (i) a steroid, and (ii) a carrier associated with the steroid;
- (b) releasing substantially all of the steroid from the implant, and;
- 5 (c) obtaining an improvement in the ocular condition with no occurrence of an ocular cataract in the patient.

FIGURE 1
Vitreous Humor Concentrations of Dexamethasone in Treated
Eye: Comparison of all Dose Levels and Dosage Forms




FIGURE 2
Percent of Dexamethasone Released from DEX PS DDS over
Time for all Dose Levels and Dosage Forms




FIGURE 3
Vitreous Humor Concentrations of Dexamethasone in Treated Eye:
Comparison of all Dose Levels and Dosage Forms






FIGURE 4
Percent of Dexamethasone Released from DEX PS DDS Over Time for
all Dose Levels and Dosage Forms



**FIG. 5**