United States Patent

[11] 3,622,475

[72] Inventors **Harry T. Shiver;**
William E. Campbell, both of Portland, Oreg.

[21] Appl. No. **769,434**
[22] Filed **Aug. 21, 1968**
[45] Patented **Nov. 23, 1971**

[73] Assignee **Reynolds Metals Company**
Richmond, Va.

Continuation-in-part of application Ser. No. 400,059, Sept. 29, 1964, now abandoned. This application Aug. 21, 1968, Ser. No. 769,434

[54] **REDUCTION CELL CONTROL SYSTEM**
31 Claims, 4 Drawing Figs.


[52] **U.S. Cl.** **204/67,** 204/243, 204/245
[51] **Int. Cl.** **C22d 3/12,** C22d 3/02
[50] **Field of Search** 204/67, 243–247

[56] **References Cited**
UNITED STATES PATENTS

1,837,070	12/1931	Roth	204/245 X
3,294,656	12/1966	Schmitt	204/67
3,317,413	5/1967	Chambran	204/243
3,329,592	7/1967	Uhrenholdt	204/243

Primary Examiner—Howard S. Williams
Assistant Examiner—D. R. Valentine
Attorney—Glenn, Palmer, Lyne, Gibbs & Thompson

ABSTRACT: Method of operating (and apparatus including) an electrolytic cell for the production of aluminum, in which provision is made for monitoring the cell resistance, producing feed control signals responsive to cell resistance changes, and regulating the feeding of alumina into the bath in accordance with such signals.






FIG. 1.




FIG. 2.

INVENTORS
HARRY T. SHIVER
WILLIAM E. CAMPBELL
BY
Glenn, Palmer & Matthews
attorneys




FIG. 3.

INVENTORS
HARRY T. SHIVER
WILLIAM E. CAMPBELL

BY
Glenn, Palmer & Matthews
attorneys




FIG. 4.

INVENTORS
HARRY T. SHIVER
WILLIAM E. CAMPBELL
BY
Glenn, Palmer & Matthew
attorneys

REDUCTION CELL CONTROL SYSTEM

This is a continuation of application Ser. No. 400,059, filed Sept. 29, 1964, and now abandoned.

This invention relates to the control of reduction cells and, particularly, to a method of operating electrolytic cells for the production of aluminum.

INTRODUCTION

The production of aluminum by electrolysis of an aluminum-containing compound, e.g., alumina, Al_2O_3, is a very old process. The alumina is broken down into its components, the oxygen is liberated at the anode and the aluminum is deposited at the cathode. Conventionally, use has been made of two types of electrolytic cells, viz, that commonly referred to as a "prebake" cell and that commonly referred to as a "Soderberg" cell. In the prebake cell the anode comprises a number of individually adjustable carbon blocks that are prebaked before being installed in the cell, while in the Soderberg cell or continuous anode cell the anode comprises a single large mass of carbon that is baked in situ during operation of the electrolytic cell, thereby utilizing part of the heat generated by the reduction process. In either case the molten salt bath is covered by a crust of frozen electrolyte and alumina which diminishes heat losses from the cell.

It is conventional practice to control the operation of an alumina reduction cell by periodically breaking in the crust (in order to introduce alumina into the bath), and by periodically adjusting the anode-cathode spacing (known as the "A–C distance"), both of which control steps have the effect of changing the cell resistance, the latter, by varying the electrical resistance path through the bath between the anode and cathode, and the former, by changing the concentration of alumina dissolved in the bath. While it is theoretically possible to keep the bath concentration constant in order to evaluate the effect of varying A–C distance, and vice versa, it has been difficult to devise a way of applying such information in the control of cell operation, largely because actual alumina concentration in the bath at a particular time is not readily determined except by direct sampling and analytical procedures which are time consuming and impractical for continuous or frequently repeated use, and also because it is difficult to make a direct physical measurement of the A-C distance with sufficient accuracy. Accordingly, the exercise of operating control by varying alumina concentration and changing the anode bridge setting has required the exercise of considerable expertise and subjective judgment on the part of skilled operators. It has been customary to allow wide variations in cell performance between a relatively starved condition (low alumina concentration), leading toward the "anode effect," and an overfed condition (at or above the saturation point) leading toward "sickness" of the pot due to A–C or temperature instability. In this regard, however, some attempts are indicated in the prior art to impose various restraints on cell operation, such as circuit means to anticipate an anode effect and avoid a "light" before it occurs, or alumina feeder devices to enable closer control over the rate of feeding alumina into the bath. The difficulty still remains that control of the cell by varying the alumina concentration and changing the A–C distance independently of each other, without some readily applied objective standard of cell performance in response to such control actions, necessarily produces an uncertain result.

With the foregoing problems in mind, work was undertaken to evaluate the respective influences of alumina concentration and A–C distance on cell behavior; and it was discovered that, at constant A–C distance and otherwise stable operating conditions, the characteristic resistance curve (cell resistance vs. alumina concentration) is convex downwardly, indicating the existence of a minimum value or values of the cell resistance component due to alumina concentration. Furthermore, the family of similar cell resistance curves for different values of constant A–C distance was discovered to exhibit a locus of these minimum resistance points occurring at substantially the same concentration of alumina in the bath. Stated differently,

this experimental effort led to the conclusion that, regardless of A–C distance, there is an optimum alumina concentration or narrow range of concentrations for any reduction pot providing the lowest cell resistance which is attainable in relation to alumina content of the bath. The foregoing observations also led to the conclusion that detected changes in cell resistance during the reduction operation might be used as a means of determining the corresponding changes in alumina concentration, thereby providing a method of controlling the feeding program to obtain any desired response of the cell in this regard.

The problem remained, however, that the absolute value of cell resistance would not necessarily be a valid control function if the bath resistance were varied to an unknown extent by sporadic changes in A–C distance. Further observations of cell performance have indicated that A–C distance is not materially altered for conventional cells over a substantial period of the time between successive tapping operations to remove accumulated aluminum, primarily because the rate of decrease in A–C distance occasioned by increasing volume of molten aluminum in the cell is offset to a great extent by the progressive consumption of carbon anode materials tending to increase the A–C distance; and in any event, such imbalance as may exist can be compensated by selective adjustment of the actual anode-cathode spacing. Thus, it has been found that improved cell performance may be achieved by operating at a substantially constant (or otherwise defined) A–C spacing based upon a selected criterion such as maximum production in terms of KW hr./lb., while controlling the cell resistance (or voltage, where current is constant) by means of a feeding program in which additions of alumina are made in response to predetermined changes in a function of alumina concentration, such as the cell resistance. It has also been found that A–C distance can be controlled more accurately if periodic adjustments thereof are made at substantially the same bath alumina concentration. This permits closer analysis of the effects of A–C distance on operation of the cell than had been possible with the prior art practice in which adjustments were made substantially without regard to changes in cell resistance due to varying alumina concentration.

An illustration of how this may be accomplished in practice is the following. The reduction cell is provided with an alumina feeder having two rates of feed, one less than the rate of depletion of bath alumina content as aluminum is produced, and the other, a greater rate. Operation of the cell following startup proceeds with the feeder set at either one of these feed rates, for example, the lower rate, with the A–C distance at a predetermined setting. Upon detection or prediction of increasing cell resistance, the feeder is switched to the higher rate and left at that setting until the tendency toward increasing cell resistance is slowed, stopped or reversed. Upon detection or prediction of subsequently increasing cell resistance, indicative of the alumina concentration reaching a value on the opposite side of the minimum point of the cell resistance curve, the feeder is switched back to the lower setting. Further feeder adjustments continue along the same lines. Depending upon the selected range of variation in ore content for control purposes, the cell resistance thereby can be kept substantially constant at or near the lowest resistance in terms of alumina concentration.

Alternative modifications of this general procedure may be employed to maintain the cell resistance within other prescribed limits. In the case of Soderberg cells, for example, the difficulty in distributing alumina throughout the bath may necessitate operating entirely on the lean side of alumina concentration, at a cell resistance somewhat greater than minimum.

A further aspect of the invention concerns detection of excessive ore content tending toward a sludging or overheating effect, and a method of distinguishing malfunction of the cell in this regard from similar upsets occasioned by low carbons in a prebaked anode. It has been otherwise determined that the alternating-current component of voltage superimposed on

the DC voltage applied to the cell is a reliable indicator that a low carbon exists, i.e. that one or more of the carbon blocks in a pack is set too close to the cathode compared with others, so that the low carbon carries a disproportionately high current. In accordance with the present invention, however, it has been found that a sensing system based upon this technique may also be employed to detect undesirable sludging conditions in the cell, and accordingly, if the cell has recently received a massive feeding (as by breaking in the crust at some point in its operation, such as may occur at startup), or has recently been tapped to remove aluminum, the apparent indication of a low carbon in this manner may be interpreted rather as indicative of the need to reduce the rate of feed or even shut off the alumina feeder altogether for a period of time until the indicator has returned to normal. This knowledge serves to extend the applicability of resistance control to periods of operation including various upsets associated with periodic massive feeding or removal of aluminum from the cell.

For a better understanding of the invention and its various objects, advantages and details, there follows a detailed description in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, in which:

FIG. 1 shows schematically an alumina reduction cell;

FIG. 2 illustrates a reduction cell diagrammatically with associated sensing and control means useful in accordance with the invention;

FIG. 3 illustrates typical variations with time of bath alumina concentration and resistance of an alumina reduction cell; and

FIG. 4 illustrates the variation with bath alumina content of total cell resistance at different A–C distances, and of the various components of cell voltage of an alumina reduction cell operating at a constant A–C distance and constant cell current.

An alumina reduction cell suitable for purposes of the invention is shown schematically in FIG. 1, including a steel shell **11** lined with an insulating layer **12** and a carbonaceous conductive lining **13**. Iron rods **18** embedded in the lining **13** are connected with cathode bus **19**. The lining **13** contains a pool of molten aluminum **14** and a bath **15** of alumina dissolved in molten electrolyte. Other forms of linings and cathode constructions can be used to contain the molten aluminum **14** and bath **15** and to impress a cathodic potential on the molten aluminum **14**, such as a nonconductive lining and a conductive cathode element (e.g. titanium diboride or the like) extending into contact with the molten aluminum.

Suspended above the electrolyte, and partially immersed therein, is a carbon anode which may be formed of individually adjustable prebaked carbon blocks **16**, as shown, for a conventional multiple-anode-type cell, or which may consist substantially of a large mass of carbon adjustable only as a unit, for a conventional Soderberg-type cell. Molten electrolyte **15** is covered by a crust **17** which consists essentially of frozen electrolyte constituents and additional alumina. As alumina is consumed in electrolyte **15**, more alumina may be fed into the electrolyte by breaking in a portion of crust **17** or, preferably, by use of a mechanical alumina feeder **24** (e.g., the positive metering type described in copending application Ser. No. 342,388 of A. J. Kiley and H. T. Shiver filed Feb. 4, 1964 now U.S. Pat. No. 3,371,026). A supply of alumina for the feeder **24** or for replenishing the crust is maintained conveniently in a bin **23**. Anode **16** is supported from anode rod **21** which is removably clamped to bridge bus **22**, which in turn is electrically connected to anode bus **20**. The anode bus **20** and cathode bus **19** are connected to the respective poles of a suitable source of electrolyzing current.

By virtue of the applied electromotive force, or voltage, the electrolyzing current is forced to flow through the aforesaid electrical connection means and through the molten electrolyte layer **15** between the anode **16** and the molten aluminum pool **14**, whereby alumina dissolved in the molten electrolyte layer is electrolyzed into its constituents, with aluminum metal accumulating in the molten aluminum layer **14**, the oxygen being liberated substantially in combination with the carbon of anode **16** and escaping the cell as carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide gases through holes in crust **17**. Accumulated aluminum is siphoned from the molten aluminum pool **14** periodically, ordinarily at regular intervals of 24 to 48 hours. Anode carbon is replenished by periodically replacing blocks **16** in multiple-anode cells or by adding fresh carbon paste to the top of self-baking Soderberg anodes.

The amount of carbon monoxide gas generated at the anode is believed to indicate the degree of cell inefficiency, and is generated in increased amounts during periods of cell upsets caused by: maladjustment of the anode carbon blocks **16**; sludge accumulations partially covering the contact surface between molten aluminum pool **14** and the cell lining **13**; excessively high temperatures in the molten contents of the cell; and other factors that may arise when the cell is not operated at optimum conditions.

The sensing and control means utilized in the control system of the present inventions are shown diagrammatically in FIG. 2. Associated with the cell **30** are cathode bus **19**, anode bus **20**, anode **16**, and feeder means **24** as in FIG. 1. Anode **26** is provided with anode positioner means **35**, which may be manually operated chain or motor by which the operator causes anode **16** to move up or down, and which in the present example also is responsive to a signal from computer **40** through scanner **39**. Feeder means **24** is actuated by a feed-rate controller **31** to control the feeding of alumina into cell **30** at one of at least three settings—off, high and low—as determined by operation of three-position switch **32**.

A cell voltage alternating-current component amplifier **33**, in electrical connection with cathode bus **19** and anode bus **20**, detects, amplifies and integrates a portion (in the frequency range of about 1 to 20 cycles per second) of the alternating-current voltage component superimposed on the essentially DC voltage acting between cathode bus **19** and anode bus **20**, and supplies a signal proportional to the amplitude of said alternating-current component for display on meter **34** and for reading by computer **40** through scanner **39**. A device suitable for this purpose is the subject of copending application Ser. No. 342,505 of Robert V. Brown filed Feb. 4, 1964, now U.S. Pat. No. 3,345,273. The alternating-current component can be used as an indication of upset conditions in the cell caused by maladjustment of anode blocks relative to each other or by sludge accumulations, as hereinafter discussed.

Resistance function generator **36** provides a signal proportional to the cell resistance which is displayed on recorder **37** or meter **38** and may be read by computer **40** through scanner **39**. Resistance function generator **36** may comprise a Hall effect generator wafer, supplied with an input DC current proportional to the reciprocal of the line (or cell) current I. The wafer is disposed between the poles and within the airgap of an electromagnet, powered by a current proportional to the difference between (a) the voltage E_p acting between the cathode bus **19** and the anode bus **20**, and (b) a predetermined constant voltage k, whereby the output Hall effect voltage is proportional to the product of (E–k) and 1/I, as described in detail in copending application Ser. No. 399,403, of Lester H. Wolgast filed Sept. 25, 1964 now U.S. Pat. No. 3,387,210. Alternatively, cell resistance may be calculated from data presented to the computer **40**, thus eliminating the need for resistance function generator **36**. Because the aforementioned superimposed alternating-current component of the cell voltage may create small fluctuations in the output of generator **36**, recorder **37** and meter **38** are preferably provided with suitable damping means and the signal read by computer **40** is preferably integrated over 1 or more seconds before reading.

The scanner **39** connects computer **40** in response to a program with the output signals from each of a plurality of cells being controlled, either sequentially or on command of the computer program or operator as may be desired, The computer **40** is provided with suitable input means **41** for the reception of additional data.

Referring next to FIG. 3, curve A indicates the resistance of an alumina reduction cell operating at substantially constant A–C distance over a period of time, and curve B is smoothed representation of the bath alumina content as determined by chemical analysis of sample of electrolyte taken from the cell at appropriate times. The curve A (cell resistance) is the summation of curves C, D and E which represent the resistance components R_{bath}, $B_{external}$ and $R_{overvoltage}$, respectively. In the operation represented by these curves, the cell was given a feeding of alumina by breaking in a portion of the crust **17** in the conventional manner and electrolysis was then allowed to proceed without further mechanical feeding until the alumina content was depleted to the point that an "anode effect" occurred. From the peak **47B** just prior to time T_1 it is observed that feeding by the conventional method resulted initially in an excessive alumina content in the molten electrolyte **15** and, further, that the excess portion thereof was depleted at a greater rate than occurred subsequently. This rapid decrease of alumina apparently is caused by precipitation of alumina as sludge onto the electrical contact surface between cell lining **13** and the molten aluminum pool **14**, which in turn causes overheating and lowers cell efficiency. Following time T_1 the cell resistance declined more slowly to a minimum value R_{c2} at time T_2 corresponding to alumina content **54**, after which the resistance rose rapidly as the alumina content declined further, until at time T_3 (corresponding to alumina concentration **56**) an anode effect was observed.

Referring to FIG. **4**, the family of curves F_1, F_2, F_3 and F_4 illustrates the variation of total cell resistance R_c relative to bath alumina concentration for different constant values of A–C distance, over the range between the maximum ore content **51** that is soluble in the bath under normal cell-operating conditions and the concentration **56** at which the anode effect occurs. When the cell current also is maintained substantially constant, the variation of cell voltage likewise exhibits the form indicated for cell resistance at the top of FIG. **4**; and the curves G, H and J at the lower part of that figure represent components of such voltage. While the present disclosure emphasizes resistance control, thereby eliminating the necessity for maintaining the current constant, it will be appreciated that voltage control may be employed in accordance with the present invention under conditions imposing constant current.

It is seen from curve F_1 of FIG. **4** that the corresponding cell resistance decreases from an alumina content **51** through points **55**, **55''** and **55'** to a minimum value at **54**. Without feeding, the cell resistance passes through such minimum value and thereafter increases through higher values at **53'** and **53** until it reaches the point **56** at which the resistance increases rapidly and the cell goes into an anode effect. It has been discovered, furthermore, that a surprising characteristic of the family of curves F_1, F_2, F_3 and F_4 is that all pass through their respective minimum values (where rate of change of R_c is zero) at about the same alumina concentration point **54**, indicating that there is a substantially optimum bath alumina concentration for a given reduction cell over a wide range a A–C distances. This discovery means that, by observing and utilizing the cell resistance characteristic, having once determined the foregoing wave forms, the cell may be operated continuously at or near optimum bath alumina concentration without need for periodically determining the bath concentration through direct analysis.

The particular values of bath alumina concentration corresponding to points **51**, **54** and **56** depend upon various design and operational parameters, and values of cell resistance corresponding to various alumina concentrations also are dependent on these parameters and especially on A–C distance of the cell. Having determined and spaced the cell anode and cathode at the desired A–C distance for any particular cell, however, it is unnecessary to consider these other parameters in routine control operations. Thus, a predetermined optimum bath alumina concentration is provided by reference to curve A, and feeding of the cell thereafter is based on observation of the variations of cell resistance as displayed on indicator **38** or preferably on recorder **37**.

Referring again to curve F_1 of FIG. **4**, as alumina is consumed through electrolytic action it will be observed at the ore content **53** that the cell resistance is increasing, and the operator will feed the cell as by breaking in a portion of crust **17** that in his judgement will raise the ore content of the cell to a value **55** not greater than the value **51** above which sludging occurs. At each feeding he may sharpen his judgement as to the amount of crust to be broken in by watching the variation of resistance with time and particularly by noting the presence or absence of the resistance hump **47A** illustrated on curve A of FIG. **3**. As the electrolytic action proceeds the ore concentration will decrease again to the value **53**; and the operator will again note the increasing cell resistance and feed the cell, thus effectively controlling the bath alumina concentration between the limits **53** and **55**. The limits for control purposes may be sensed in terms of differences in the absolute value of cell resistance as well as changes in the magnitude or sign of the slope of the resistance curve within the selected control range.

When the cell is equipped with feeder means **24** and feed rate controller **31**, the operator or computer system will, upon sensing at the alumina content **53** that the cell resistance is increasing, change three-position switch **32** from the "low" position, which has previously been calibrated to deliver alumina to the cell at a lesser rate than the rate of consumption, to the "high" position which has previously been calibrated to deliver alumina to the cell at a rate in excess of the rate of consumption. As the bath alumina concentration then increases by virtue of the faster feeding rate, the cell resistance at first declines, then seemingly remains constant, and finally can be observed to be increasing at the alumina content **55''**. When the cell resistance is indicated to be increasing, or to have remained approximately constant for a selected period of time, switch **32** is changed from the high to the low position, and the cell resistance is reduced as the alumina concentration decreases again toward **53**. Thus, by periodically setting switch **32** according to the variations of cell resistance, the bath alumina concentration is maintained within the limits as desired.

Inclusion of computer means **40** in the control system normally permits detection of changes in the resistance-time curve more acutely than can be accomplished by the operator. Thus, computer **40** may be used if desired to sense a control limit **53'** at higher concentration than **53** so that bath concentration may be kept within the narrower ranges **53'** to **55''** or **53'** to **55'**. Additionally, if feeder means **24** through feed rate controller **31** and switch **32** is responsive to computer **40**, the operator may be substantially relieved of his responsibilities in the control of cell ore content.

Although the continual removal of carbon from the anode creates potential increase in the A–C distance, and hence in the resistance of the cell, it has been found that this effect occurs slowly enough (in conventionally designed alumina reduction cells wherein the cathode area is larger than the adjacent anode surface) that the ability to control cell alumina content in the foregoing manner is not impaired. The small changes in resistance that occur from this cause are adequately taken care of by anode resetting after metal tapping, and by infrequent periodic resettings of the anode to the desired A–C distance where needed.

CONTROL OF LEDGING

Some alumina reduction cell designs are known in which it is desirable to protect the substantially vertical surfaces of cell lining **13** or shell **11** from corrosion and erosion by maintaining a "ledge" or layer of frozen electrolyte in the cell (see **55***a* in FIG. **1**). Control of the thickness of this ledge may be critical and is presently based on the judgment of the operator. This approach may be improved by using in the control system according to the present invention a set of three concentration limits in which ledge thickness is slowly reduced, maintained constant, or slowly increased, respectively. An operator subsequently need only select one of these control ranges as in his judgment the ledge is too thick, about right, or too thin.

DETECTION OF UPSET CONDITIONS

The signal from AC component amplifier **33** displayed on indicator means **34**, which may comprise an indicating meter or one or more signal lights, instructs the operator or the computer **40** of an upset condition in the cell, and the nature of its cause may be determined from other considerations. During desirable operating conditions the strength of said signal will be relatively low for multiple-block anodes (and will be substantially reproducibly constant for single, large-flat-surface anodes as, for instance, Soderberg anodes).

If the signal strength rises above its normal value after breaking in a portion of the crust **17**, the operator or computer will recognize the upset as being due to the presence of alumina-containing sludge in the cell. In this condition the next manual feeding in omitted or switch **32** of feed rate controller **31** is thrown to the "off" position. An anode adjustment ordinarily is neither necessary nor desirable at this point. Operation of the cell is continued without feeding until the signal of indicator **34** has returned substantially to its normal value or the need for resuming feeding otherwise is indicated.

A high reading on indicator **34** in the absence of upsets caused by tapping or massive feeding indicates that one or more of the blocks **16** is set low with respect to the other blocks and is carrying an excessive amount of current. This condition arises frequently in conventionally operated multiple block anode cells, causing a reduction in the cell output and sometimes leading to melting of the metallic connection to the subject block. Upon noting a high reading on indicator **34** and further noting that the cell has not just previously been tapped or fed massively, the multiple-block anode cell operator will adjust the position of the individual blocks **16** relative to each other so that each block is carrying it proportionate share of current, using a suitable means of measuring the current to each block. Such current-measuring means may comprise calibrated resistors in the electrical circuit between block **16** and anode bus **20** or, preferably, a portable rod-current meter to measure the current in rod **21** such as described in copending application Ser. No. 342,506 of Lester H. Wolgast filed Feb. 4, 1964, now abandoned; cf. Canadian Pat. No. 791,284.

Although it is recognized that substantial differences in current-carrying capacity exist among the several blocks that comprise a multiple-block anode, in conventional practice the operator still adjusts each block to about the same current loading, primarily because there previously has been available no convenient method of accurately predicting what the current loading would be for each block, and the operator therefore has relied upon the natural tendency of the system to adjust itself during operation. It has been found, however, that self-adjustment proceeds so slowly, and is so frequently upset by attempts of the operator to adjust the individual blocks, that optimum adjustment of multiple-block anodes seldom is attained. A method of distributing current which is suitable for use in conjunction with the present invention in this regard is disclosed and claimed in copending application Ser. No. 397,755 of John L. Dewey filed Sept. 21, 1964, now U.S. Pat. No. 3,491,002.

If the cell resistance R_c increases above its normal value at the optimum ore content **54** while indicator **34** is at its normal condition, the cell is becoming overheated and the multiple anodes **16** are lowered to reduce cell resistance and power input to the cell.

For a Soderberg cell, however, a persistent change of indicator **34** from normal when the cell has not been subject to upset by tapping or crust breaking may indicate the approach or onset of an electrical short circuit across molten electrolyte layer **15** between the anode and molten aluminum layer **14**, requiring the initiation of corrective action to remove an anode protrusion.

On the other hand, somewhat different control procedures are employed in connection with tapping the cell to remove aluminum. It has been found desirable, for instance, to adjust the A-C distance following completion of the tapping operation, by setting the anode-cathode spacing to an initially higher cell resistance than otherwise would be optimum under more stable operating conditions; and it is ordinarily advisable in these circumstances also to interrupt manual feeding or set the feeder at its low rate of feed. Operation of the cell is continued thereafter to allow response to these control actions, and additional control steps may follow upon the occurrence or reoccurrence of an upset condition; but in the absence of upset, the A–C distance may then be readjusted successively closer to the desired setting for normal operation as the cell returns to stable behavior.

If an upset condition subsequently is detected, this is interpreted as indicating the need to check for a low carbon (in the manner of dealing with upsets not associated with tapping or massive feeding of the cell, discussed previously). However, if an upset is detected during operation at the higher resistance setting, the persistence of an indication of upset is related to development of a sludging condition which necessitates ceasing to feed alumina into the bath and may also require raising the anode, especially if the A–C distance has been readjusted meanwhile from the aforesaid higher resistance setting. Feeding is discontinued entirely or else the feeder is set at a reduced rate not greater than its low rate of feed until the indication of upset is eliminated.

In general therefore, the A–C distance is increased somewhat following a tapping operation; and readjustment thereof toward normal cell resistance (corresponding to an optimum A–C distance for continuing operation of the cell) is carried out only in the absence of upsets occasioned either by a sludging condition due to excessive undissolved alumina or by a low carbon.

ADJUSTING A–C DISTANCE

When practicing the aforedescribed portions of this invention the bath alumina concentration is maintained within a predetermined range. Upon detecting that the alumina concentration is at a known value within the control range, the operator will consider the need for adjusting the anode to achieve a cell resistance corresponding to a predetermined optimum value of A–C distance; and thereafter will maintain the anode position substantially constant throughout the balance of the alumina control cycle. When the bath alumina concentration again reaches the same or another known value, the operator will repeat the process of adjusting the anode position as required.

The method of determining the optimum A–C distance and of calculating therefrom the corresponding value of cell resistance will be understood from the following discussion. The effective A–C distance of the cell may be obtained from a mathematical relation derived from the results of a few simple tests performed while the alumina concentration is maintained substantially constant. The A–C distances so obtained are then plotted against corresponding values of a cell performance characteristic such as the production rate, obtained from knowledge of the weight of alumina added to the cell or the weight of aluminum removed from the cell over a suitable period, or by other methods, and the A–C distance corresponding to the maximum cell production rate is estimated from the plot. This optimum value of A–C distance is then used to calculate the corresponding resistance of the cell for a predetermined power input to the plant. The value of the cell resistance R_c is obtained for the particular alumina content as the sum of:

1. External resistance, R_{ext}, which consists of the sum of the ohmic resistances in the electrical circuits of (a) the anode system between and inclusive of anode bus **20** and carbon block **16** and of (b) the cathode system between and inclusive of the molten aluminum layer **14** and cathode bus **19**, which are measured periodically with suitable electrical measuring means;

2. The resistive portion of the overvoltage, R_{or}, which is obtained as the first derivative of the anode overvoltage with

respect to cell current from measurements at several cell currents of the voltage difference between (a) a carbon or graphite reference electrode bathed with a constant composition mixture of CO^2 and CO (N. E. Richards & B. J. Welch, "Extractive Metallurgy of Aluminum Volume 2," John Wiley & Sons, 605 Third Ave., New York 16; 1963) and contacting the molten electrolyte within a through-hole in the carbon block **16**, and (b) a point within block **16** adjacent said throughhole and adjacent the bottom of said block but protected from access of the molten electrolyte; and

3. The resistance of the molten electrolyte, R_B, which is given by the relation

$$R_B=Z/KA_e \qquad (1)$$

wherein Z is the A–C distance in appropriate units; K is the conductivity of the molten electrolyte in consistent units and may be measured, or obtained from electrolyte analyses and published data, and A_e is the effective conduction area of the molten electrolyte, estimatable from electrical analog models; and wherein the value of $1/KA_e$ may be determined as the first derivative of cell resistance with respect to Z from measurements of total cell resistance at several defined positions of the anode. It is preferred to perform these measurements during periods when the cell is operating normally under stable operating conditions, viz; (1) the superimposed alternating current component of the cell voltage has substantially its normal value as previously discussed; (2) the cell has not recently been tapped, or fed massively as by breaking in the crust; (3) the cell electrolyte temperature is within its normal operating range, which is generally considered for prebake cells to be about 980°–1,000° C. and for Soderberg cells about 970° to 990° C. and which depends substantially on the location within the cell at which the temperature is measured; and (4) for multiple-block anode cells, any newly set blocks have attained, say, 80 percent or better of their normal current loading.

Thus, a mathematical relation for the determination of A–C distance and calculation of the corresponding cell resistance at the selected alumina concentration is $R_{cell}=R_{ext}+R_{or}+Z/KA_e$ (2). It has been found that R_{ext} may vary with the age of the cell, the presence or absence of sludge, and the condition of the anode and hence should be measured frequently; R_{or} depends primarily on the physical size of the carbon blocks **16** and the chemical constitution and method of manufacture of said carbon blocks and hence need be determined only infrequently; and A_e depends primarily on the size of the carbon blocks depends **16** and the degree of ledging along the cell sidewalls and, slightly, on the A–C distance and depth of immersion of the block **16** in the molten electrolyte, and hence is preferably redetermined whenever a substantial change is made in the normal value of one or more of these factors.

We have further found that that portion of R_{or} which varies with the chemical composition and methods of manufacture of the anode carbon may be determined readily from laboratory measurements of overvoltage made, for instance, in accordance with the methods described by Richards and Welch (op. cit.). Those skilled in the art will recognize that such data, in combination with the methods of optimizing the A–C distance in accordance with the invention, provide a method of estimating the economic impact of proposed changes in carbon composition or in carbon manufacture before committing such proposals to expensive and lengthy tests on the production line in conventional manner.

The A–C distance is converted into an optimum cell resistance by application of equation **2**, and the alumina reduction cell is set to said optimum resistance value periodically during the process of controlling the alumina concentration within selected limits.

As used in this application, reference to "frequent intervals" concerns actions or events occurring every 5 minutes or so, and that terminology is intended to cover substantially continuous operations as well as those which occur periodically at regular or irregular intervals. The term "periodically" is not limited to repeated occurrences at regular intervals, but is used in the sense of "from time to time."

While the presently preferred practices of the invention have been described, it will be appreciated that the invention may be otherwise variously embodied and practiced within the scope of the following claims.

What is claimed is:

1. The method of operating an electrolytic cell for the production of aluminum, wherein alumina dissolved in a molten salt bath is reduced to aluminum metal by passing electric current through said bath, comprising the steps of:

feeding charges of alumina into the bath at frequent intervals, selectively varying the time interval between charges to provide controlled rates of feed including a high rate and a low rate, the former being greater than the rate of consumption of alumina through electrolytic action and the latter being less than said rate of consumption;

periodically sensing a function of bath alumina concentration; and

regulating the rate of feeding in relation to said function, by changing from one of said high and low rates of feed to the other.

2. The method of claim **1**, including alternately feeding alumina into the bath at said high and low rates of feed, and, upon an indication of increasing cell resistance when operating at either of said rates, changing to the other.

3. The method of claim **1** in which the cell has adjustably spaced electrodes, including the step of:

periodically adjusting the electrode spacing of said cell, thereby changing the value of cell resistance at a particular bath alumina concentration; and,

wherein said sensing of a function of bath alumina concentration comprises monitoring the cell resistance during the interval between successive adjustments of the electrode spacing.

4. The method of claim **1** wherein said low rate of feed is substantially zero.

5. The method of claim **4** wherein said regulating of the rate of feeding alumina into the bath includes operating the cell alternately with and without feeding, and initiating further feeding at said high rate of feed in response to changes in cell resistance during said interval between successive adjustments of electrode spacing.

6. The method of operating an electrolytic cell for the production of aluminum, wherein alumina dissolved in a molten salt bath is reduced to aluminum metal by passing electric current through said bath, comprising the steps of:

feeding alumina into the bath, controlling said feeding to provide a high rate and a low rate of feed, the former being greater than the rate of consumption of alumina through electrolytic action and the latter being less than said rate of consumption;

periodically sensing a function of bath alumina concentration; and,

regulating the rate of feeding in relation to said function, by changing from one of said high and low rates of feed to the other.

7. The method of claim **6** in which the cell has adjustably spaced electrodes, including the step of:

periodically adjusting the electrode spacing of said cell, thereby changing the value of cell resistance at a particular bath alumina concentration; and

wherein said sensing of a function of bath alumina concentration comprises monitoring the cell resistance during the interval between successive adjustments of the electrode spacing.

8. The method of claim **7** wherein said sensing further comprises producing a feed control signal for indicating changes in cell resistance during said interval; and wherein said regulating includes operating the cell alternately with and without feeding, said low rate of feed being substantially zero, and changing from operation without feeding to operation at said high rate of feed in response to said feed control signal.

9. In the operation of an electrolytic cell for the production of aluminum wherein alumina dissolved in a molten salt bath is reduced to aluminum metal by passing electric current through said bath between adjustably spaced electrodes, the cell resistance being affected by the spacing of such electrodes and by variations in bath alumina concentration, the method which comprises:

periodically adjusting the cell's electrode spacing thereby changing the value of cell resistance at a particular alumina concentration of the bath;

monitoring the cell resistance during the interval between successive adjustments of the electrode spacing, said monitoring being effective to detect the occurrence of a transition from decreasing to increasing resistance of the cell, each such transition indicating that the cell is tending toward either an overfed condition or an underfed condition, but, when it occurs during operation of the cell without feeding, indicating a tendency toward an underfed condition;

producing a feed control signal for indicating the occurrence of said transition from decreasing to increasing cell resistance when it occurs during operation of the cell without feeding; and,

feeding alumina into the bath in response to said signal as electrolysis proceeds, continuing the operation of said cell following said feeding, and initiating further feeding upon a recurrence of said signal.

10. The method of claim 9 wherein said monitoring of cell resistance includes generating output signals proportional to cell resistance; and,

producing said feed control signal in response to said output signals which indicate a transition from decreasing to increasing resistance of the cell when said transition occurs during operation of the cell without feeding.

11. The method of claim 10 wherein said output signals are proportional to (E-k/I); E being the voltage across said cell, I being the line current passing through said cell, and k being a predetermined constant voltage.

12. The method of claim 9 including the step of regulating said feeding of alumina into the bath to maintain the cell resistance substantially constant during the period between successive adjustments of the electrode spacing.

13. The method of claim 9 which includes discontinuing said feeding of alumina into said bath prior to the occurrence of increasing resistance of the cell during feeding.

14. The method of claim 9 wherein said continuing operation of the cell proceeds without feeding.

15. The method of claim 9 wherein said feeding proceeds to the extent that subsequent operation of the cell without feeding results in decreasing cell resistance.

16. In operation of an electrolytic cell for the production of aluminum metal by passing electric current through said bath between adjustably spaced electrodes, the cell resistance being affected by the spacing of such and by variations in bath alumina concentration, the method which comprises:

periodically adjusting the cell's electrode spacing, thereby changing the value of cell resistance at a particular alumina concentration of the bath;

producing a feed control signal for indicating changes in cell resistance during the interval between successive adjustments of the electrode spacing;

feeding alumina into the bath in response to said feed control signal; and,

regulating said feeding to counteract said changes in cell resistance, thereby maintaining the cell resistance substantially constant over the period between said adjustments of the electrode spacing.

17. The method of claim 16 wherein said regulating includes feeding alumina into the bath and alternately discontinuing said feeding or reducing the rate of feeding to prevent overfeeding and to avoid upset conditions of the cell due to excessive feeding.

18. The method of claim 17 in which said feeding and adjusting of the electrode spacing are effective to maintain the cell resistance substantially constant over the period between successive tapping generations to remove accumulated aluminum.

19. The method of claim 15 wherein said feed control signal is provided for indicating the occurrence of a transition from decreasing to increasing resistance of the cell during a period of operation without feeding.

20. In the operation of an electrolytic cell for the production of aluminum wherein alumina dissolved in a molten salt bath is reduced to aluminum metal by passing electric current through said bath between adjustably spaced electrodes, the cell resistance being affected by the spacing of such electrodes and by variations in bath alumina concentration, the method which comprises:

periodically adjusting the cell's electrode spacing thereby changing the value of cell resistance at a particular alumina concentration of the bath; and,

feeding alumina into the bath, monitoring the cell resistance as operation of the cell proceeds, and regulating subsequent feeding to counteract changes in cell resistance during the interval between successive adjustments of the electrode spacing.

21. The method of claim 20 in which periodic adjustments of the electrode spacing are made at substantially the same bath alumina concentration.

22. The method of claim 20 including the step of:

selecting a predetermined value of cell resistance corresponding to the desired A–C distance for operation of the cell at a particular bath alumina concentration; and,

wherein said adjusting of the electrode spacing is effective to maintain the cell resistance close to said predetermined value as operation of the cell proceeds.

23. The method of claim 20 wherein the cell has a cathode and a plurality of prebaked carbon anodes; and in which said regulating includes operating the cell alternately without feeding or at reduced feeding to prevent overfeeding and to avoid upset conditions of the cell and increased cell resistance due to excessive feeding.

24. The method of claim 23 including the steps of:

operating the cell under stable conditions at substantially constant electrode spacing; and,

varying the bath alumina concentration to find an optimum concentration providing substantially minimum cell resistance; and,

wherein said regulating of subsequent feeding is effective to maintain the bath alumina concentration close to said optimum concentration as operation of the cell proceeds.

25. The method of claim 20 which includes conducting said monitoring of the cell resistance following a feeding operation effective to achieve increased bath alumina concentration such that continued operation of the cell following said feeding results in decreasing bath alumina concentration over a period of decreasing cell resistance;

producing a feed control signal for indicating the occurrence of a transition from decreasing to increasing resistance of the cell as operation of the cell proceeds at substantially constant electrode spacing; and,

initiating further feeding in response to said signal.

26. In the operation of an electrolytic cell for the production of aluminum wherein alumina dissolved in a molten salt bath is reduced to aluminum metal by passing electric current through said bath between adjustably spaced electrodes, the cell resistance being affected by the spacing of such electrodes and by variations in bath alumina concentration, the method which comprises:

feeding alumina into the bath to achieve increased bath alumina concentration such that continued operation of the cell following said feeding in decreasing bath alumina concentration over a period of decreasing cell resistance;

monitoring cell resistance as operation of the cell proceeds at substantially constant electrode spacing;

producing a feed control signal for indicating the occurrence of a transition from decreasing to increasing resistance of the cell; and,

initiating further feeding in response to said signal.

27. Apparatus for the production of aluminum comprising:

a. an electrolytic cell for reducing alumina dissolved in a molten salt bath, including adjustably spaced electrodes for passing electric current through said bath;

b. control means for producing a feed control signal responsive to changes in cell resistance during a period in which the anode-cathode spacing of the cell remains substantially constant; and,

c. feeder means for introducing alumina into the bath in response to said feed control signal.

28. Apparatus according to claim **27** wherein said control means include means providing output signals proportional to (E-*k*)/I; E being the voltage across and I the line current passing through the cell, and *k* being a predetermined constant voltage.

29. Apparatus for the production of aluminum, comprising:

a. An electrolytic cell for reducing alumina dissolved in a molten salt bath, including adjustably spaced electrodes for passing electric current through said bath;

b. control means for detecting changes in cell resistance, and for generating a feed control signal responsive to said changes during a period in which the electrode spacing remains substantially constant; and

c. feeder means for introducing alumina into the bath in accordance with said feed control signal.

30. Apparatus according to claim **29** wherein said control means are operative to actuate said feeder means upon detecting the occurrence of a transition from decreasing to increasing resistance of the cell.

31. Apparatus for the production of aluminum, comprising:

a. an electrolytic cell for reducing alumina dissolved in a molten salt bath, including adjustably spaced electrodes for passing electric current through said bath;

b. means for adjusting the cell's electrode spacing in response to a position control signal;

c. means for introducing alumina into the bath in response to a feed control signal; and

d. control means responsive to changes in cell resistance for producing said position control signal, and for producing a feed control signal responsive to changes in cell resistance during a period in which the anode-cathode spacing of the cell remains substantially constant.

* * * * *

UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE

CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

Patent No. 3,622,475 Dated November 23, 1971

Inventor(s) Harry T. Shiver, et al

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent are hereby corrected as shown below:

On the cover sheet in the heading in item [73], "Continuation-in-part" should read -- Continuation -- . Column 11, line 37, "(E-k/I)" should read -- (E-k)/I -- ; line 57, after "the spacing of such", insert -- electrodes -- . Column 12, line 4, "generations" should read -- operations -- ; line 18, insert a comma after "spacing"; line 72, after "following said feeding" insert -- results -- .

Signed and sealed this 24th day of October 1972.

(SEAL)
Attest:

EDWARD M.FLETCHER,JR.
Attesting Officer

ROBERT GOTTSCHALK
Commissioner of Patents

FORM PO-1050 (10-69)

USCOMM-DC 60376-P69
* U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1969 O—366-334.