



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁵ : G21F 9/14, 9/16	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 94/05015 (43) International Publication Date: 3 March 1994 (03.03.94)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/AU93/00413 (22) International Filing Date: 13 August 1993 (13.08.93) (30) Priority data: PL 4141 18 August 1992 (18.08.92) AU (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): TECHNOLOGICAL RESOURCES PTY. LIMITED [AU/AU]; Level 39, 55 Collins Street, Melbourne, VIC 3001 (AU). (72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only) : HOLLITT, Michael, John [AU/AU]; 80 Tyne Street, Box Hill North, VIC 3129 (AU). McCLELLAND, Ross, Alexander [AU/AU]; Lot 136 Murrawong Road, Maryknoll, VIC 3812 (AU). LIDDY, Matthew, Jon [AU/AU]; Wimmera Industrial Minerals Pty. Ltd., 2nd Floor, 15 Bank Street, South Melbourne, VIC 3125 (AU). HART, Kaye, Patricia [AU/AU]; 8 Arvenis Crescent, Balgownie, NSW 2519 (AU). McGLINN, Peter, John [AU/AU]; 13 Armagh Parade, Thirroul, NSW 2515 (AU).		(74) Agent: BLAIR, J., G.; Griffith Hack & Co., 3rd Floor, 509 St. Kilda Road, Melbourne, VIC 3004 (AU). (81) Designated States: AT, AU, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CZ, DE, DK, ES, FI, GB, HU, JP, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LU, MG, MN, MW, NL, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SK, UA, US, VN, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). Published <i>With international search report.</i>
(54) Title: STABILISATION OF RADIONUCLIDES INTO WASTES (57) Abstract The specification discloses a process for stabilising radionuclides extracted during the upgrading of minerals. The process comprises forming a composition of a radionuclide and a component and roasting the composition so that the component forms a crystalline phase having a structure that binds the radionuclides. Suitable components include a compound of a lanthanide and/or phosphorus and zirconia. Zirconia in its cubic form is useful in stabilising uranium and thorium.		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	FR	France	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GB	United Kingdom	NE	Niger
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	NZ	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	IT	Italy	PT	Portugal
BY	Belarus	JP	Japan	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic			SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovak Republic
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	UA	Ukraine
DE	Germany	MG	Madagascar	US	United States of America
DK	Denmark	ML	Mali	UZ	Uzbekistan
ES	Spain	MN	Mongolia	VN	Viet Nam
FI	Finland				

Stabilisation of Radionuclides into Wastes

This invention relates to the stabilisation of radionuclides derived from naturally occurring materials into forms which are not accessible to the environment and are therefore suitable for disposal.

In a particular embodiment the present invention provides a process whereby a stable solid waste is formed by hydrolysis and roasting of aqueous solutions or suspensions containing radionuclides, particularly radionuclides in the decay chains of naturally occurring radioisotopes of uranium and thorium. In a general aspect the process of the invention comprises two basic steps for stabilising radionuclides present in a process stream, namely:-

1. Ensuring the presence of a chemical composition and distribution in the stream, which upon roasting of the stream will be effective in stabilisation of radionuclides into crystalline phases such as to prevent significant immediate redistribution of radionuclides upon disposal into the environment.
2. Roasting of the stream in such a manner as to be effective in the formation of such phases.

Additional steps may be employed as will be described below.

Various processes for the treatment of ores, concentrates and processed materials have the effect of taking contained radionuclides into aqueous solution or rendering radionuclides sufficiently soluble to allow extraction by water in the environment. For example, the processing of uranium ores to yellowcake, the extraction of rare earths from monazite and processes for the production of upgraded products from mineral sands concentrates (for

example ilmenite and zircon) result in the production of such materials.

In addition, various steps in the nuclear fuel cycle will have the effect of rendering both naturally occurring and synthetic radioisotopes accessible to environmental mobilisation. As a result, wastes from such processing must generally be stored in supervised and monitored repositories, despite the fact that the wastes are frequently of extremely low radioactivity.

10 A common problem in the conversion of radionuclide bearing wastes to stable forms is the multiplicity of radionuclides which are normally present. For example, the most common form of uranium, uranium 238 has 7 other elements in its decay chain which will all be present
15 whenever uranium 238 is present. Similarly thorium 232 has 7 other elements in its decay chain. In order to prevent environmental mobility all of the multiplicity of radionuclides which are present in a waste stream must be simultaneously stabilised into environmentally inaccessible
20 forms. In particular, uranium, thorium and radium must at least be stabilised. Few cost effective schemes to achieve such outcomes exist. Those schemes which do exist commonly are suited to synthetic high level waste derived from nuclear reactors for which high cost waste disposal schemes
25 can be contemplated. Further for these schemes there has been little effort or reported success with stabilisation of shorter lived decay progeny of uranium or thorium.

The only method for radium stabilisation which has previously been reported is coprecipitation, with sulphuric
30 acid and barium chloride additions to form a radium bearing barium sulphate. This method requires large additions of expensive barium chemicals and is not fully effective. The solid wastes thus produced cannot be released safely into the environment as exposure to ground and surface water can

result in solubilisation of contained radium.

The literature of radioactive waste forms (Harker, A.B., "Tailored Ceramics", in Radioactive Wasteforms for the Future, Lutze W. and Ewing R.C. eds., North Holland, 1988) lists the following crystalline ceramic phases as host phases for waste stabilisation:

<u>Actinide and rare earth hosts</u>		
10	Flourite structure solid solutions	$\text{UO}_2 - \text{ThO}_2 - \text{ZrO}_2$
	Zirconolite	$\text{CaZrTi}_2\text{O}_7$
	Pyrochlores	$(\text{Gd}, \text{La})_2 \text{Ti}_2\text{O}_9$
	Perovskites	CaTiO_3
	Monazite	$(\text{Gd}, \text{La}) \text{PO}_4$
15	Zircon	ZrSiO_4
<u>Strontium and alkaline earth hosts</u>		
	Magnetoplumbites	$(\text{Ca}, \text{Sr}) (\text{Al}, \text{Fe})_{12}\text{O}_{19}$
	Perovskites	$(\text{Ca}, \text{Sr})\text{TiO}_3$
20	Hollandite	$\text{Ba Al}_2\text{Ti}_6\text{O}_{16}$
<u>Alkali Hosts</u>		
	Nepheline	$(\text{Na}, \text{Cs}) \text{Al SiO}_4$
	Perovskite	$(\text{Gd}, \text{La})_{0.5} \text{Na}_{0.5} \text{TiO}_3$
25	Magnetoplumbite	$(\text{Na}, \text{Cs})_{0.5} \text{La}_{0.5} \text{Al}_{12}\text{O}_{19}$
	Hollandite	$(\text{Ba}_x \text{Cs}_y \text{Na}_2) \text{Al}_2\text{Ti}_6\text{O}_{16}$
<u>Non-fission product host phases</u>		
	Spinel	$(\text{Mg}, \text{Ni}, \text{Fe}) (\text{Al}, \text{Fe}, \text{Cr})_2\text{O}_3$
30	Corundum	Al_2O_3
	Rutile	TiO_2
	Pseudobrookite	Fe_2TiO_5

While other ceramic phases exist in various waste forms the other phases are usually minor phases of less importance to waste stabilisation.

Methods for the formation of ceramic wastes typically involve sintering of ceramic precursors (possibly after

preliminary drying and roasting) under high pressures (eg. 650 atmosphere) and at high temperatures (above 1000°C) in order to produce ceramic monoliths of low surface area and therefore low reactivity. Nevertheless it has been
5 demonstrated that such waste forms are accessible to environmental alteration, particularly in slightly acidic and in slightly basic aqueous solutions (as are frequently encountered in natural ground and surface water), and can deliver mobile radionuclides into the environment. The
10 previously proposed methods are thus expensive and not fully effective.

There has previously been very little work aimed at stabilising radionuclides into low level radioactive wastes. There exists a need for a low cost process for the
15 stabilisation of uranium and thorium and radionuclides in the decay chains of uranium and thorium into wastes containing from tens of parts per million to percents of uranium and thorium. Such stabilisation must be effected as to prevent dissolution of the contained radionuclides -
20 from the wastes at a rate greater than that which can be absorbed and removed by environmental processes without accumulation to unacceptable levels significant to biological function.

Clearly there is considerable incentive to discover
25 alternative methods for the stabilisation of radionuclides into wastes which can be disposed of into the environment without significant risk of mobilisation, particularly for wastes derived in part from natural sources.

Accordingly the present invention provides a process
30 for stabilisation of radionuclides derived from naturally occurring sources, the process comprising the steps of:-

- (i) forming a composition of a radionuclide and sufficient of a stabilising component to ensure

that when the composition is roasted, a crystalline phase is formed having a structure that binds the radionuclide;

- (ii) 5 roasting the composition under conditions sufficient to form a crystalline phase in which the radionuclide is bound to reduce its environmental mobility.

10 The radionuclide bearing material may be in any form which is amenable to subsequent formation of the desired phases. It is particularly beneficial if the radionuclides are present in an aqueous solution to which additives can be added in solution to provide excellent mixing. In such cases the aqueous solution may be evaporated prior to roasting if desired, and components in the solution may
15 also be hydrolysed from salts to oxides hydrated oxides and hydroxides prior to roasting. Alternatively solutions may be directly spray roasted, allowing evaporation, hydrolysis (pyrohydrolysis) and crystalline phase formation to occur simultaneously.

20 The roasted products of the process which is herein disclosed are of high surface area ($1 - 100\text{m}^2$ per gram) and yet exhibit virtually no solubility of contained radionuclides. Expensive high pressure calcination may hence be avoided, demonstrating the superior performance of
25 the waste form of the disclosed process by comparison with previously reported waste forms. Certainly it is not anticipated that it would be necessary to operate the process outside of normal chemical processing pressure ranges e.g. up to 20 atmospheres.

30 Additives (used in small proportions) which have in particular been found to be beneficial in the process herein disclosed are lanthanide compounds and phosphorus compounds. Even a small addition of a lanthanide compound in the presence of phosphorus can result in highly

effective stabilisation of uranium and thorium.

Stabilisation of radium can be assisted by careful control over phosphorus addition. In particular, it may be beneficial that sufficient phosphorus is present or added (for example as phosphoric acid to the solution) to ensure that the roasted, pyrohydrolysed waste does not have a basic effect when added to neutral water (ie. does not require acid addition to maintain pH below 5). Further, the effect of phosphorus in stabilising radium is only expected for wastes containing at least a trace of phosphorus (eg. greater than 0.1% P by weight) and radium stabilisation will often be improved by further phosphorus addition beyond the point of elimination of basicity in water.

It is further disclosed that the addition of zirconium compounds, which can produce a zirconia phase which to act as a host for uranium and thorium, particularly in the presence of elements which stabilise the cubic form of zirconia can also be beneficial.

Other additives may be made and any other elements may be present provided that the combination of elements does not interfere with the disclosed effects. For example, sulphuric acid may be added to assist in the pyrohydrolysis of alkali chlorides.

The process as herein disclosed has the particular capability of effectiveness in the stabilisation of all radionuclides in the decay chains of uranium -238 and thorium -232. In particular, uranium, thorium and radium can be rendered inert to subsequent aqueous leaching.

However, the process is not constrained by the necessity to stabilise all such radionuclides and can be applied for example to the stabilisation of thorium radionuclides alone where such an effect is beneficial.

The additives used are constrained only by their

effect in the process. Any additives having the desired effect of stabilisation of radionuclides into wastes and not interfering with the disclosed effects may be used. In some circumstances it will not be necessary to make
5 additions to the stream to be treated by the process in order for the process to be effective.

The process as disclosed is not otherwise constrained. It may be conducted in any equipment and on any solution or other waste material which is capable of forming the
10 desired phase combination. For most waste streams only small additions of additives will be required.

It is the combination of at least two elements (for example phosphorus and a lanthanide), under the conditions described which results in the complete effectiveness of
15 the presently disclosed scheme in stabilising the full range of important radionuclides. No other ceramic waste form which specifically stabilises by chemical means uranium, thorium and all decay progeny simultaneously has previously been disclosed. A lanthanide that has been
20 found to be particularly useful is cerium.

The following examples further illustrate the invention.

Examples:

Chloride solutions having the compositions indicated in
25 the attached Table 1 were first evaporated to dryness at 80°C to produce solid residues. These residues were then held under a flow of steam at 200°C for one hour and then under a flow of steam and air at 800°C for two hours, ensuring both the completion of all possible hydrolysis and
30 the development of crystalline properties. The granular solid residues were then allowed to cool in air.

The solid wastes were then leached at room temperature (62.5 g/L) in synthetic groundwater (5 g/L sodium chloride, 500 mg/L sulphuric acid) maintained at pH

below 5 by periodic additions of acetic acid. The leach was continued for 24 hours, after which the residue was filtered, washed with fresh synthetic groundwater and dried.

5 Roasted and leached wastes were subjected to chemical analysis and gamma spectroscopy analysis for major elements and radionuclides. Radionuclide extraction from the solid wastes in leaching is also indicated for each case in the attached Table 1.

10 Clearly those samples having lanthanide (eg. Ce) and P additions under circumstances which produced a waste needing little or no acid addition to maintain pH below 5 provided wastes which did not subsequently allow leaching of radionuclides. The absence of these elements or
15 conditions resulted in a far less stable waste. However, it is expected that other elements may substitute for these main constituents, allowing for a range of effective compositions, provided that the effective circumstances as disclosed are maintained.

20 Further, the addition of barium salts (made to liquor A1-9 of the attached table in a separate test) was found to have a strongly negative impact on the stability of uranium and radium in the wastes produced by otherwise identical treatment. Hence wastes containing barium, lanthanide and
25 phosphorus (as have previously been produced in waste forms, due to the composition of wastes from nuclear fuel processing which contain zirconium and phosphorus) are herein disclosed as ineffective for the purposes for which the present invention is practised. In general where the
30 effectiveness of the process depends on the presence of phosphorus and lanthanides the presence of elements which form more stable phosphates than lanthanides may require the addition of incremental compensating phosphorus for all other identical conditions.

Solutions derived from the production of synthetic rutile by acid leaching of thermally treated ilmenite to which additives were made to result in solutions having the composition indicated in the attached Table 2 were also
5 treated according to the method described above.

Roasted and leached wastes were subjected to chemical analysis and gamma spectroscopy analysis for major elements and radionuclides. Radionuclide extraction from the solid wastes in leaching is also indicated for each case in the
10 attached Table 2.

[illegible]

Waste Leach Results

H ₂ SO ₄	14.2	14.2	15.7	15.7	15.7	15.7	15.7	15.7	10.9
Addition (g/l)									

[illegible][illegible][illegible]

Ra Extraction											
%	0-10	21	18	34	71	44	0	28	11	15	44

Table 2: Liquor Compositions and Waste Stability

	Liquor, g/L		
	A4-1	A4-2	A4-3
Fe	84.4	86.9	83.8
Zr	0.009	5.15	5.12
Si	0.023	0.028	0.028
Ti	0.177	0.171	0.150
Y	0.011	0.012	0.012
Mg	2.29	2.41	2.10
Al	0.146	0.175	2.70
P	0.097	1.38	2.65
Ca	0.110	0.115	0.116
Ce	0.048	0.158	0.168
Hf	-	-	-
Cl	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Na	0.515	0.555	0.546
U -238	0.180	0.182	0.158
Th -232	0.102	0.106	0.090
Ra -226*			
H ₂ SO ₄ Addition (g/l)	0	0	0

Waste Leach Results

Acetic Acid Addition

0.5.M mL/L	0	5.2	5.0
U Extraction %	19.8	0.13	0.08
Th Extraction %	0.11	0	0
Ra Extraction %	3	7	4

n.d. = not determined

* in radiochemical equilibrium with uranium

CLAIMS

1. A process for stabilisation of radionuclides derived from naturally occurring sources, the process comprising the steps of:-

- (i) forming a composition of a radionuclide and sufficient of a stabilising component to ensure that when the composition is roasted, a crystalline phase is formed having a structure that binds the radionuclide;
- (ii) roasting the composition under conditions sufficient to form a crystalline phase in which the radionuclide is bound to reduce its environmental mobility.

2. A process according to Claim 1, wherein the stabilising component is a compound of a lanthanide and/or phosphorus.

3. A process according to Claim 2, wherein the radionuclide includes uranium and/or thorium and/or progeny radionuclides in the decay chains of thorium and uranium radioisotopes.

4. A process according to Claim 2, wherein the radionuclide includes radium.

5. A process according to Claim 1, wherein the stabilising component is a zirconium compound that is capable of producing a zirconia phase when roasted.

6. A process according to Claim 5, wherein the


stabilising component includes an element that promotes the formation of a cubic form of zirconia.

7. A process according to claims 5 and 6, wherein the radionuclides include uranium and thorium.

8. A process according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the composition comprises a solution of the radionuclide and stabilising component.

9. A process according to Claim 8, wherein the solution is spray roasted.

10. A process according to Claim 1, wherein roasting is conducted at a pressure no greater than 20 atmospheres.

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER Int. Cl. ⁵ G21F 9/14, 9/16 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC					
B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC : G21F 9/14, 9/16 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched AU : IPC as above Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base, and where practicable, search terms used) DERWENT : IPC as above JOPAL : IPC as above					
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT					
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to Claim No.			
X	AU,B, 48708/79 (RINGWOOD) 17 January 1980 (17.01.80) whole document especially claims	1, 3-10			
X	US,A, 4329248 (RINGWOOD) 11 May 1982 (11.05.82) column 3 line 34 to column 4 line 6, column 6 lines 37-54, column 8 line 53 to column 9 line 4 and claims	1, 5-6, 8-10			
X	DE,A, 3611871 (JAPAN ATOMIC ENERGY RESEARCH INSTITUTE) 5 March 1987 (05.03.87) whole document especially claims				
☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. ☒ See patent family annex.					
<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%; vertical-align: top;"> * Special categories of cited documents : "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed </td> <td style="width: 33%; vertical-align: top;"> "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family </td> <td style="width: 33%;"></td> </tr> </table>			* Special categories of cited documents : "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family	
* Special categories of cited documents : "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family				
Date of the actual completion of the international search 15 November 1993 (15.11.93)		Date of mailing of the international search report 18 NOV 1993 (18.11.93)			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/AU AUSTRALIAN INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY ORGANISATION PO BOX 200 WODEN ACT 2606 AUSTRALIA Facsimile No. 06 2853929		Authorized officer  A.S. MOORE Telephone No. (06) 2832537			

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate of the relevant passages	Relevant to Claim No.
X	W. LUTZE et al (ed.), "Radioactive waste forms for the future", published 1988 by Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. pages 243-247	1, 5
A	GB,A, 2130783 (NUKEM GMBH) 6 June 1984 (06.06.84) page 2 lines 9-20, claims	1-2

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH

Information on patent family members

PCT/AU 93/00413

This Annex lists the known "A" publication level patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

[illegible]