




- (51) International Patent Classification:
A42B 3/12 (2006.01)
- (21) International Application Number:
PCT/US2017/020830
- (22) International Filing Date:
3 March 2017 (03.03.2017)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:
62/303,884 4 March 2016 (04.03.2016) US
- (71) Applicant: APEX BIOMEDICAL COMPANY, LLC
[US/US]; Po Box 28101, Portland, OR 97228 (US).
- (72) Inventors: TSAI, Stanley; Po Box 28101, Portland, OR 97228 (US). KNOWLES, Kevin; Po Box 28101, Portland, OR 97228 (US). BOTTLANG, Michael; Po Box 28101, Portland, OR 97228 (US). BENNETT, Jeffrey; Po Box 28101, Portland, OR 97228 (US). MADEY, Steven; Po Box 28101, Portland, OR 97228 (US).
- (74) Agents: PREWITT, Steven, J. et al.; SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C., Pacwest Center, 1211 Sw 5th Avenue, Suite 19000, Portland, OR 97204 (US).
- (81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DJ, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KH, KN, KP, KR, KW, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: PROTECTIVE LINER FOR HELMETS AND OTHER ARTICLES



(57) Abstract: Embodiments herein employ a novel strategy based on a floating cellular liner that acts as a torsional suspension system to dampen rotational acceleration, such as head acceleration in a helmet, in response to an oblique impact. Specifically, the torsional suspension consists of an anisotropic cellular liner that is at least partially recessed inside a more rigid adjacent shell, relative to which the cellular liner can simultaneously undergo translation and in-plane compression.



Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

— with information concerning one or more priority claims
considered void (Rule 26bis.2(d))

PROTECTIVE LINER FOR HELMETS AND OTHER ARTICLES

Cross Reference to Related Applications

[0001] The present application claims priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62/303,884, filed March 4, 2016, entitled "Protective Liner for Helmets and Other Articles," the entire disclosure of which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety. The present application is also a Continuation-in-Part of and claims priority to U.S. Patent Application No. 13/803,962, filed March 14, 2013, entitled "Protective Helmet for Mitigation of Linear and Rotational Acceleration," which claims priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/670,258, filed July 11, 2012, entitled "Protective Helmet for Mitigation of Linear and Rotational Acceleration," the entire disclosures of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

Technical Field

[0002] Embodiments herein relate to a protective liner, such as for use in helmets and other articles.

Background

[0003] Contemporary helmets are primarily designed to protect a skull from fracture during impact. The brain is however most sensitive to rapid head rotation, or rotational acceleration, which is readily caused by an oblique impact to the head.

Brief Description of the Drawings

[0004] Embodiments will be readily understood by the following detailed description in conjunction with the accompanying drawings and the appended claims. Embodiments are illustrated by way of example and not by way of limitation in the figures of the accompanying drawings.

[0005] Fig. 1A illustrates a cross-sectional view of an example of a liner configuration, in accordance with various embodiments;

[0006] Fig. 1B illustrates the cross-sectional view of Fig. 1A, but during impact with a spherical object that subjects the cellular liner to in-plane and out-of-plane compression, in accordance with various embodiments;

[0007] Fig. 2A illustrates a cross-sectional view of an example of a helmet, shown in unloaded, non-deformed configuration, in accordance with various embodiments;

[0008] Fig. 2B illustrates the cross-sectional view of Fig. 2A, shown during impact in a loaded, partially deformed configuration, and depicting relative translation of a portion of the cellular liner, and depicting in-plane compression of another portion of the cellular liner, in accordance with various embodiments;

[0009] Fig. 3 illustrates a cross-sectional view of an alternative example of a helmet, wherein the cellular liner comprises two or more cellular liner segments that are recessed inside the polymer foam liner;

[0010] Fig. 4 illustrates a cross-sectional view of a helmet in conjunction with an inner liner used for comfort and fit to the user's head;

[0011] Fig. 5 illustrates a cross-sectional view of an alternative example of a helmet, wherein the cellular liner is recessed in the outside surface of the polymer foam liner and covered by an outside shell; and

[0012] Fig. 6 depicts helmet impact test results, illustrating the efficacy by which embodiments herein mitigate rotational head acceleration compared to standard polymer foam helmets, and compared to helmets that employ alternative strategies for mitigation of rotational head acceleration.

Detailed Description of Disclosed Embodiments

[0013] In the following detailed description, reference is made to the accompanying drawings which form a part hereof, and in which are shown by way of illustration embodiments that may be practiced. It is to be understood that other embodiments may be utilized and structural or logical changes may be made without departing from the scope. Therefore, the following detailed description is not to be taken in a limiting sense.

[0014] Various operations may be described as multiple discrete operations in turn, in a manner that may be helpful in understanding embodiments; however, the order of description should not be construed to imply that these operations are order-dependent.

[0015] The description may use perspective-based descriptions such as up/down, back/front, and top/bottom. Such descriptions are merely used to facilitate

the discussion and are not intended to restrict the application of disclosed embodiments.

[0016] The terms “coupled” and “connected,” along with their derivatives, may be used. It should be understood that these terms are not intended as synonyms for each other. Rather, in particular embodiments, “connected” may be used to indicate that two or more elements are in direct physical contact with each other. “Coupled” may mean that two or more elements are in direct physical contact. However, “coupled” may also mean that two or more elements are not in direct contact with each other, but yet still cooperate or interact with each other.

[0017] For the purposes of the description, a phrase in the form “A/B” or in the form “A and/or B” means (A), (B), or (A and B). For the purposes of the description, a phrase in the form “at least one of A, B, and C” means (A), (B), (C), (A and B), (A and C), (B and C), or (A, B and C). For the purposes of the description, a phrase in the form “(A)B” means (B) or (AB) that is, A is an optional element.

[0018] The description may use the terms “embodiment” or “embodiments,” which may each refer to one or more of the same or different embodiments. Furthermore, the terms “comprising,” “including,” “having,” and the like, as used with respect to embodiments, are synonymous, and are generally intended as “open” terms (e.g., the term “including” should be interpreted as “including but not limited to,” the term “having” should be interpreted as “having at least,” the term “includes” should be interpreted as “includes but is not limited to,” etc.).

[0019] With respect to the use of any plural and/or singular terms herein, those having skill in the art can translate from the plural to the singular and/or from the singular to the plural as is appropriate to the context and/or application. The various singular/plural permutations may be expressly set forth herein for sake of clarity.

[0020] In various embodiments, methods, apparatuses, and systems for mitigation of rotational acceleration are provided. Embodiments herein employ a novel strategy based on a floating cellular liner that acts as a torsional suspension system to dampen rotational acceleration, such as head acceleration in a helmet, in response to an oblique impact. Specifically, the torsional suspension consists of an anisotropic cellular liner that is at least partially recessed inside a rigid polymer foam shell, relative to which the cellular liner can simultaneously undergo translation and in-plane compression.

[0021] Previous attempts have employed other strategies for mitigation of rotational head acceleration to reduce the risk of brain injury that are considerably less effective. For example, intermediate layers have been used that are not permitted to slide relative to adjacent layers. Such solutions rely only on shear deformation within the layer. Other attempts use a sliding layer disposed between an inner and outer helmet shell to facilitate displacement of the outer shell relative to the inner shell. However, the intermediate layer is not capable of absorbing rotational energy by in-plane compression.

[0022] Embodiments herein provide an impact absorption system that acts as a torsional suspension system for use in protective helmets to shield the head from linear and rotational accelerations. A brain is particularly vulnerable to rotational head accelerations, but contemporary helmets lack an effective mechanism to dampen rotational head accelerations in oblique impacts. In various embodiments, the helmets disclosed herein include a torsional suspension consisting of an anisotropic cellular liner that is at least partially recessed in an adjacent shell made of rigid expanded polymer foam. The cellular liner is separated from the polymer shell by polymer film, or another barrier layer, to create a floating cellular liner that can translate relative to the adjacent polymer shell. Thus, an oblique impact to the helmet will cause relative sliding between the cellular liner and the polymer shell, simultaneously to in-plane compression of the cellular liner. In combination, this simultaneous in-plane compression and sliding will absorb torsional energy to reduce rotational head acceleration.

[0023] Embodiments herein provide protective helmets designed to lessen the amount of harmful acceleration (both straight linear and rotational) that reaches the brain of a wearer during an impact to the helmet. In various embodiments, the helmets may include the torsional suspension system for both cushioning and absorbing linear and rotational energy, thus reducing peak acceleration or deceleration of a wearer's head in an impact. In various embodiments, this reduction in head acceleration and deceleration may result in a corresponding reduction in the magnitude of acceleration or deceleration experienced by the brain, reducing the risk and/or severity of traumatic brain injury (TBI).

[0024] In various embodiments, the helmets disclosed herein may include a torsional suspension consisting of an anisotropic cellular liner that is at least partially recessed in an adjacent shell made of expanded polymer foam. In embodiments, the

cellular liner is separated from the polymer shell, such as by a polymer film, to facilitate relative sliding. Thus, an oblique impact to the helmet will cause relative sliding between the cellular liner and the polymer shell, simultaneously to in-plane compression of a portion of the cellular liner. In combination, this simultaneous in-plane compression and sliding will absorb torsional energy to reduce rotational head acceleration. The cellular liner is retained within the recess of the polymer shell without the necessity of using additional fasteners, adhesive etc. Rather, the cellular liner is sized to fit snug within the recess and to be retained within the recess as a friction fit with the shell or foam. In embodiments, only a minor amount of pressure is used to reduce the size of the cellular liner, temporarily, to place it within the recess. Once the pressure is released, the cellular liner presses against the side walls of the recess and remains in place. By eliminating additional fasteners, adhesive, etc., translation of the cellular liner within the recess is not encumbered.

[0025] In various embodiments, in addition to providing a torsional suspension system, the cellular liner may also compress in a direction normal to its surface to deplete impact energy directed normal to the helmet surface.

[0026] In various embodiments, the cellular liner may also shear in part by folding or sideways collapse of its cellular structure to further mitigate torsional and normal impact loads.

[0027] In various embodiments, the cellular liner may be comprised of a lightweight aluminum structure. One of skill in the art will appreciate that other lightweight, compressible materials may be employed, such as cardboard or paper pulp, various synthetic or natural foams, plastic, polymers, and the like.

[0028] In various embodiments, the cellular liner may be comprised of a cell geometry with auxetic properties to allow for spherical deformation of the cellular liner without distorting the regular cell geometry. By using a cellular liner with auxetic properties, the cellular liner may be shaped to fit into curved recesses, as would be typical of many helmets and other articles.

[0029] In various embodiments, the torsional suspension system of the helmets disclosed herein may be used to construct any type of protective headgear, such as safety helmets, motorcycle helmets, bicycle helmets, ski helmets, lacrosse helmets, hockey helmets, football helmets, batting helmets for baseball and softball, headgear for rock and mountain climbers, headgear for boxers, construction helmets, helmets for defense and military applications, and headgear for

underground activities. While helmets are described with respect to particular embodiments herein, various features herein are applicable to other articles, such as other types of protective gear, such as face masks, elbow pads, knee pads, shoulder pads, shin guards, and the like, potential impact surfaces such as various surfaces (internal or external) of a vehicle, including a dashboard and crushable surfaces on automotive brake pedals. Alternatively, embodiments described herein may also be used in association with soles of safety shoes that would dampen the impact in case of a fall from height.

[0030] **FIG. 1A** illustrates a cross-sectional view of an example of the impact damping system shown in a simplified manner (flat) without the spherical curvature of helmets or shapes of other articles to illustrate certain basic concepts.

[0031] The impact damping system **100** is comprised of an anisotropic cellular liner **101** that is partially recessed inside an adjacent liner **102** made of rigid polymer foam. A barrier layer **103** is located at the interface between cellular liner **101** and rigid foam liner **102** to facilitate gliding of the cellular liner **101** parallel to rigid foam liner **102**. This layer **103** also prevents cells **104** of cellular liner **101** from penetrating into the surface of foam liner **102**, which would restrict relative sliding between cellular liner **101** and the foam liner **102**. Recess **105** provides a geometric constraint of at least a part of the periphery of the cellular liner, with recess **105** having both a base surface and side walls defining the recess or pocket in which the cellular liner fits and is constrained.

[0032] In embodiments, layer **103** may be constrained within the pocket by interaction with recess **105** or by affixation, such as adhesive, or it may be a coating, or, in other embodiments, layer **103** may essentially be free to move, but be constrained within recess **105** by the presence of cellular liner **101** in recess **105**.

[0033] **FIG. 1B** illustrates the same cross-sectional view of **Fig. 1A**, but during impact with a spherical object **106** in an oblique direction **108** that subjects the cellular liner **101** to in-plane compression, out-of-plane compression, and shear. In-plane compression of cellular liner **101** is evident by cell densification in section **109** between the impact location and the geometric constraint **110**. This densification is caused by the recess in the rigid foam liner **102**, which prevents translation of the boundary of cellular liner **101**. In contrast, section **111** of cellular liner **101** does not exhibit in-plane compression, since it translates relative to the rigid foam liner **102**, in a direction away from geometric constraint **105**. Therefore, the gliding interface

provided by layer **103**, in combination with the geometric constraints **105** and **110** of the recessed cellular liner enables partial in-plane compression of only a section **109** of the cellular liner **101** in response to an oblique impact **108**.

[0034] Out-of-plane compression and shear deformation of cellular liner **101** primarily occurs at the impact site between sections **109** and **111**, and contributes to impact energy dissipation by crumpling and shear folding of cells **107** similar to a traditional crumple zone. In summary, this impact damping system delivers a unique combination of impact damping strategies to absorb normal and tangential impact forces during an oblique impact. It dampens the impact load component that acts parallel to cellular liner **101** by in-plane compression of a section **109** of cellular liner **101**. It dampens the impact load component that acts perpendicular to cellular liner **101** by out-of-plane compression of cellular liner **101** at the vicinity of the impact location **107**. It furthermore supports shear deformation of cellular liner **101** in the vicinity of impact location **107**.

[0035] Cellular liner **101** has anisotropic properties with a compressive stiffness that is lower in-plane than out-of-plane. Consequently, the in-plane compression caused by considerable gliding and densification of cellular liner **101** is considerably greater than the out-of-plane compression of cellular liner **101** at impact location **107**.

[0036] In embodiments, a barrier layer may be a film, sheet, or coating, such as polymer film.

[0037] **FIG. 2A** illustrates a cross-sectional view of a helmet with an example of the impact damping system. In the illustrated embodiment, the impact damping system **200** is comprised of an anisotropic cellular liner **201** that is partially recessed inside an adjacent liner **202** made of rigid polymer foam. A barrier layer **203** is located at the interface between cellular liner **201** and rigid foam liner **202** to facilitate gliding of the cellular liner **201** parallel to rigid foam liner **202**. Recess **204** provides a geometric constraint along at least a part of the periphery of cellular liner **201**. In various embodiments, cellular liner **201** may have a hexagonal cell geometry, or an auxetic cell geometry which allows for spherical deformation of the cellular liner while retaining a regular cell geometry.

[0038] In various embodiments, outer helmet layer **106** may be sufficiently stable, rigid, and/or non-compressible to distribute impact forces over an extended area. One of skill in the art will appreciate that the shapes depicted in the figures are

merely exemplary, and that the helmet shape can vary depending on the particular sporting event or activity for which the helmet is designed. Furthermore, helmets in accordance with the present disclosure may include additional features, such as a cage for a hockey helmet, a face mask for a football helmet, a visor for a motorcycle helmet, and/or retention straps, chin straps, and the like. Although not shown in the illustrated embodiment, cellular liner **201**, foam liner **202**, and plastic film may include one or more ventilation openings to permit air flow for cooling the wearer's head. Although not shown in the illustrated embodiment, the cell walls of cellular liner **201** may have geometric perturbations that facilitate shear deformation and in-plane compression of cellular liner **201**.

[0039] **FIG. 2B** illustrates the same cross-sectional view of **Fig. 2A**, but during an external oblique impact **209**. This impact compresses the helmet onto the wearer's head **205** and subjects the cellular liner **201** to oblique loading **207** that is absorbed by in-plane compression, out-of-plane compression, and localized shear of cellular liner **201**. In-plane compression of cellular liner **201** occurs to the left side of the impact location, as depicted by cell densification of cellular liner **201** that is pushed against geometric constraint **204**. The opposite side of cellular liner **201** translates relative to the rigid polymer foam liner **202**, creating an area **208** in the recessed foam liner **202** that is void of the cellular liner **201**. In summary, the tangential component of impact **209** is absorbed by in-plane compression of cellular liner **202**, whereby in-plane compression is distributed over a large area of cellular liner **202**, extending considerably beyond the zone of impact. In contrast, the radial component of the impact **209** is absorbed by out-of-plane compression and shear in the vicinity of the impact location, albeit the resulting deformation of the cellular liner is not shown in the illustrated embodiment.

[0040] **FIG. 3** illustrates an alternative embodiment, whereby two or more cellular liners **301** are placed in corresponding recess areas in the rigid polymer foam liner **302**. Each individual cellular liner **301** is separated from foam liner **302** by a barrier layer **303** located at the interface between cellular liners **301** and rigid foam liner **302** to facilitate gliding of the cellular liners **301** relative to rigid foam liner **302**.

[0041] While **FIG. 3** illustrates multiple, separate cellular liners placed into separate recesses, **FIGS. 2A** and **2B**, for example, illustrate a single/unitary cellular liner. As shown in **FIGS. 2A** and **2B**, the unitary cellular liner extends across a substantial portion of the underlying surface area, such as at least 50%, at least

60%, or at least 70% of the surface. The underlying surface area can be defined as the inward facing surface of the foam liner, wherein the foam liner has an inward facing surface (facing toward the wearer) and an outward facing surface (facing away from the wearer). Alternatively, the underlying surface area can be defined as the outward facing surface of the foam liner. In such an embodiment, the cellular liner may be present between the foam liner and an outer hard shell.

[0042] **FIG. 4** illustrates the same cross-sectional view of **Fig. 2A**, but with the addition of a inner liner **405** made of a softer foam or textile material to provide improved fit and comfort for the helmet wearer. Inner liner **505** may also serve to prevent skin abrasion that otherwise could be caused during impact by direct compression of cellular liner **401** onto the wearer's head.

[0043] **FIG. 5** illustrates an alternative embodiment, whereby cellular liner **501** is recessed in the outside of rigid polymer foam liner **502**. A barrier layer **503** is located at the interface between cellular liner **501** and rigid foam liner **502** to facilitate gliding of the cellular liner **501** parallel to rigid foam liner **502**. Recess **504** provides a geometric constraint along at least a part of the periphery of the cellular liner **501**. An out shell **505** may be used to cover cellular liner **501** for added impact protection or for aesthetic reasons.

[0044] **FIG. 6** depicts helmet impact test results, illustrating the efficacy by which various embodiments herein mitigate rotational head acceleration compared to standard polymer foam helmets, and compared to helmets that employ alternative strategies for mitigation of rotational head acceleration. Adding a slip liner, commercialized under the trademark "MIPS", and disclosed by US patent 6,758,671, reduces rotational head acceleration in response to an oblique impact by 27% compared to a standard bicycle helmet consisting of expanded polymer foam (EPS). Adding a honeycomb liner, commercialized under the trademark "Koroyd", into air vents of an EPS helmet shell will increase the rotational head acceleration in response to an oblique impact by 3% compared to a standard bicycle helmet consisting of expanded polymer foam (EPS). Combining both the "MIPS" slip liner and the "Koroyd" honeycomb-filled air vents will decrease the rotational head acceleration in response to an oblique impact by 19% compared to a standard bicycle helmet consisting of expanded polymer foam (EPS). In contrast, recessing the floating cellular liner as described herein in an EPS shell will decrease the rotational head acceleration in response to an oblique impact by 71% compared to a

standard bicycle helmet consisting of expanded polymer foam (EPS). This direct comparison of technologies demonstrates that embodiments herein achieve an unprecedented level of impact absorption that cannot be achieved or replicated by merely combining existing technologies of slip layers and cellular liners.

[0045] Although certain embodiments have been illustrated and described herein, it will be appreciated by those of ordinary skill in the art that a wide variety of alternate and/or equivalent embodiments or implementations calculated to achieve the same purposes may be substituted for the embodiments shown and described without departing from the scope. Those with skill in the art will readily appreciate that embodiments may be implemented in a very wide variety of ways. This application is intended to cover any adaptations or variations of the embodiments discussed herein. Therefore, it is manifestly intended that embodiments be limited only by the claims and the equivalents thereof.

Claims


What is claimed is:

1. A protective helmet, comprising:
an anisotropic cellular liner with a compressive stiffness that is lower in-plane than out-of-plane;
an adjacent liner made of rigid foam; and
and a barrier layer between said anisotropic liner and adjacent foam liner,
wherein the anisotropic liner is at least partially recessed and confined in the rigid foam liner to prevent global translation of the anisotropic liner relative to the rigid foam liner.
2. The protective helmet of claim 1, wherein the anisotropic cellular liner is comprised of an open cell structure with auxetic properties to allow for spherical deformation of the liner without irregular distortion of the cell geometry.
3. The protective helmet of claim 1, wherein the anisotropic cellular liner has an in-plane compressive stiffness that is at least 50% lower than its out-of-plane compressive stiffness when compressed in a direction normal to the liner surface.
4. The protective helmet of claim 1, wherein the barrier layer comprises a coating or discrete sheet element that prevents penetration of the anisotropic cellular liner into the surface of the rigid foam liner.
5. The protective helmet of claim 1, wherein the barrier layer comprises a polymer film.
6. The protective helmet of claim 1, wherein the rigid foam liner is made of expanded foam.
7. The protective helmet of claim 1, wherein the anisotropic cellular liner is recessed in the inside or outside of the rigid foam liner.

8. The protective helmet of claim 1, wherein shear-loading in response to an oblique impact to the helmet surface is at least partially absorbed by in-plane compression of a portion of the anisotropic liner, caused by tangential translation of a portion of the anisotropic liner within the confines of the recessed area of the rigid foam liner.
9. The protective helmet of claim 1, wherein the adjacent liner made of rigid foam has an inward facing surface and an outward facing surface, and the anisotropic cellular liner is a unitary structure that covers at least 50% of the inward facing surface or outward facing surface of the adjacent liner made of rigid foam.
10. An impact protection system, comprising:
 - a first layer comprising a polymer foam; and
 - a second layer made of an open cellular structure, wherein the first layer is separated from the second layer by a barrier layer to prevent penetration of the open cellular structure into the polymer foam layer.
11. The impact protection system of claim 10, wherein the second layer is geometrically constrained along at least part of the periphery within a recess of the first layer.
12. The impact protection system of claim 10, wherein the barrier layer is integral to either the first or second layer.
13. The impact protection system of claim 10, wherein the open cellular structure exhibits auxetic properties.
14. The impact protection system of claim 10, wherein the open cellular structure exhibits anisotropic properties.
15. The impact protection system of claim 10, wherein the barrier layer further facilitates relative sliding between the layers.

16 The impact protection system of claim 10, wherein the barrier layer comprises a polymer film.

Figure 1A







Figure 2A






Figure 2B

Figure 3





Figure 4







Figure 6



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US2017/020830**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER****A42B 3/12(2006.01)i**

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

A42B 3/12; A63B 71/10; A42B 3/02; A42B 3/00

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Korean utility models and applications for utility models

Japanese utility models and applications for utility models

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

eKOMPASS(KIPO internal) & Keywords:anisotropic liner, foam liner, barrier layer, rotational acceleration, open cell structure,liner, helmet**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 2014-0013492 A1 (APEX BIOMEDICAL COMPANY LLC) 16 January 2014 See claims 1, 6, 14, 21; paragraphs [0002], [0020], [0022], [0034]; and figures 1, 2, 6, 7.	1-16
Y	US 2004-0025229 A1 (TAKAHASHI, MASAMI et al.) 12 February 2004 See claim 1; paragraphs [0086], [0087]; and figures 1, 7.	1-9, 11
Y	US 2008-0066217 A1 (DEPREITERE, BART et al.) 20 March 2008 See claim 13; paragraphs [0006], [0011], [0052]; and figure 8	2, 10-16
A	WO 2012-020066 A1 (TIAX LLC) 16 February 2012 See the entire document.	1-16
A	US 4710984 A (ASPER, JEAN-JACQUES et al.) 08 December 1987 See the entire document.	1-16



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

05 June 2017 (05.06.2017)

Date of mailing of the international search report

07 June 2017 (07.06.2017)

Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR

International Application Division

Korean Intellectual Property Office

189 Cheongsa-ro, Seo-gu, Daejeon, 35208, Republic of Korea



Facsimile No. +82-42-481-8578

Authorized officer

CHO, Ki Yun

Telephone No. +82-42-481-5655



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/US2017/020830

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2014-0013492 A1	16/01/2014	CN 104427896 A EP 2854584 A1 EP 2854584 A4 WO 2014-011802 A1	18/03/2015 08/04/2015 01/02/2017 16/01/2014
US 2004-0025229 A1	12/02/2004	EP 1388300 A2 EP 1388300 A3 EP 1388300 B1 JP 2004-076167 A JP 4059729 B2 KR 10-1081672 B1 KR 10-2004-0014322 A US 6925657 B2	11/02/2004 06/07/2005 26/03/2008 11/03/2004 12/03/2008 10/11/2011 14/02/2004 09/08/2005
US 2008-0066217 A1	20/03/2008	EP 1776022 A1 EP 1776022 B1 US 7930771 B2 WO 2006-005143 A1	25/04/2007 07/05/2008 26/04/2011 19/01/2006
WO 2012-020066 A1	16/02/2012	WO 2012-020066 A9	16/02/2012
US 4710984 A	08/12/1987	EP 0166691 A1 EP 0166691 B1 WO 86-00198 A1	02/01/1986 23/11/1988 16/01/1986