



(86) **Date de dépôt PCT/PCT Filing Date:** 2010/02/10
(87) **Date publication PCT/PCT Publication Date:** 2010/08/19
(45) **Date de délivrance/Issue Date:** 2016/08/16
(85) **Entrée phase nationale/National Entry:** 2011/08/15
(86) **N° demande PCT/PCT Application No.:** CN 2010/070622
(87) **N° publication PCT/PCT Publication No.:** 2010/091638
(30) **Priorité/Priority:** 2009/02/16 (CN200910009058.1)

(51) **Cl.Int./Int.Cl. B01D 53/14** (2006.01),
B01D 53/50 (2006.01)


(72) **Inventeurs/Inventors:**
WEI, XIONGHUI, CN;
HAN, FANG, CN;
ZHANG, JIANBIN, CN;
ZHANG, PENGYAN, CN;
GAO, DAOLONG, CN;
...

(73) **Propriétaires/Owners:**
BEIJING BOYUAN-HENGSHENG HIGH-TECHNOLOGY
CO., LTD., CN;
YONGFENG BOYUAN INDUSTRY CO., LTD., CN;

(54) **Titre : PROCEDE D'ELIMINATION DE SOX CONTENUS DANS DU GAZ AU MOYEN DE POLYETHYLENE GLYCOL**
(54) **Title: METHOD FOR REMOVING SOX FROM GAS USING POLYETHYLENE GLYCOL**

(57) **Abrégé/Abstract:**

A method for removing SO_x (x=2 and/or 3) from gas using a solution having polyethylene glycol as the main ingredient. First, SO_x in the gas is absorbed by the solution of polyethylene glycol. Second, the solution of polyethylene glycol which has absorbed SO_x is regenerated by one or more of the heating, vacuum, ultrasonic, microwave or radiation methods, thereby releasing the by-products of sulfur dioxide and sulfur trioxide. The regenerated solution of polyethylene glycol is recycled. When the water content of the regenerated solution of polyethylene glycol is high enough to affect the desulfurization, it needs to be removed. Removal methods include heating and rectification, absorption using a water absorbent, or a combination of these methods. The polyethylene glycol solution is recycled after dehydration.



(72) **Inventeurs(suite)/Inventors(continued):** WANG, JINFEI, CN; ZOU, CHUAN, CN; HU, CHUN, CN

(73) **Propriétaires(suite)/Owners(continued):** PEKING UNIVERSITY, CN

(74) **Agent:** GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP



ABSTRACT

A method for removing SO_x ($x=2$ and/or 3) from gas using a solution having polyethylene glycol as the main ingredient. First, SO_x in the gas is absorbed by the solution of polyethylene glycol. Second, the solution of polyethylene glycol which has absorbed SO_x is regenerated by one or more of the heating, vacuum, ultrasonic, microwave or radiation methods, thereby releasing the by-products of sulfur dioxide and sulfur trioxide. The regenerated solution of polyethylene glycol is recycled. When the water content of the regenerated solution of polyethylene glycol is high enough to affect the desulfurization, it needs to be removed. Removal methods include heating and rectification, absorption using a water absorbent, or a combination of these methods. The polyethylene glycol solution is recycled after dehydration.

METHOD FOR REMOVING SO_x FROM GAS USING POLYETHYLENE GLYCOL

Technical field

The present invention relates to a purification method of removing SO_x from flue gas, SO_x-containing exhaust gases and/or industrial raw material gases, that is, a method of removing SO_x (x =2 and/or 3) from flue gas, SO_x-containing exhaust gases and/or industrial raw material gases.

Background art

With the rapid development of various industries, the consumption and discharge of flue gas, sulfur-containing industrial raw material gases and other types of exhaust gases are increasing. The discharge of sulfur-containing exhaust gases has resulted in severe environmental problems such as the formation of acid rain, corrosion of buildings by acidification, and respiratory and skin diseases, which being endangering human health. Over the years, a considerable amount of research into the desulfurization of flue gas, sulfur-containing industrial raw material gases and other types of exhaust gases have been made by scientists from around the world, and huge amounts of research data have been accumulated. With the rising awareness about environmental protection, the desulfurization of flue gas, sulfur-containing industrial raw material gases and other types of exhaust gases is being taken more seriously. Nevertheless, a breakthrough in desulfurization technology for flue gas, sulfur-containing industrial raw material gases and other types of exhaust gases has not been made so far, and the desulfurization of flue gas, sulfur-containing industrial raw material gases and other types of exhaust gases remains a challenging problem.

The current desulfurization processes can generally be classified into two main groups, namely wet processes and dry processes. Wet processes include water scrubbing, limestone and lime water process, alkali metal solution process, alkaline solution process, ammonia process, hydramine process, etc. In dry processes, SO_x is removed using iron oxide, zinc oxide, manganese oxide, cobalt oxide, chromium oxide, molybdenum oxide, activated carbon, etc. In China, the most common methods are water scrubbing, limestone and lime water process, whereas developed countries prefer limestone and lime water process, alkali metal



solution process, alkaline solution process, ammonia process, hydramine process, etc. In water scrubbing, a large amount of water must be consumed, and the used sulfur-containing water, which cannot be recycled, causes severe secondary pollution. Moreover, only a poor desulfurization effect can be achieved by the method of water scrubbing. Limestone and lime water process is superior to water scrubbing but is disadvantageous in that it generates a large quantity of solid waste such as calcium sulfate, calcium sulfite and calcium carbonate, consumes a large amount of limestone and calcium oxide, operates on huge equipment where blockages are apt to occur due to the formation of precipitates accompanying the absorption procedure, and requires massive investment. Besides, because of the low solubility of limestone or calcium hydroxide in water, calcium hydroxide reacts first with carbon dioxide rather than with sulfur oxides during absorption, and consequently, limestone and lime water process cannot achieve an ideal desulfurization effect and causes severe secondary pollution due to a large amount of sewage. Alkali metal solution process, alkaline solution process, ammonia process, hydramine process and the like are generally applicable to the desulfurization of, and recovery of sulfur dioxide from, flue gas with a high sulfur dioxide content, such as exhaust gas from smelting industry like steelmaking and copper smelting, in which sulfur dioxide is contained in an amount up to 8% or more. These methods, however, are technologically demanding, consume considerable energy, and require that the equipment be made of high-quality materials, so they are not suitable for the desulfurization of ordinary flue gas. Furthermore, all the processes currently used for the desulfurization of flue gas, sulfur-containing industrial raw material gases and other types of exhaust gases cause serious corrosion of the equipment.

Till now, few of the various industrial waste gases are subjected to desulfurization treatment before being discharged into the atmosphere. Even if they are desulfurized, the amount of sulfur species remaining in the discharged gases is still relatively high. Most of the current desulfurization processes (including wet processes such as HiPure process, Benfield process, G-V process, A.D.A process, water scrubbing, limestone and lime water process, alkali metal solution process, alkaline solution process, ammonia process, hydramine process, tannin extract method, sulfolane process; and dry processes such as those using iron oxide, zinc oxide, manganese oxide, cobalt oxide, chromium oxide, molybdenum oxide and activated carbon) generally serve as primary desulfurization processes to eliminate hydrogen sulfide in industrial raw material gas and are not employed to remove H_2S in ordinary gas



because they only achieve low desulfurization efficiency, operate at high operational costs, need massive investment for equipment, cause serious corrosion, are not ideal in desulfurization effect and cannot remove organic sulfur species in high efficiency [1-3]. Low-temperature methanol desulfurization [4] is commonly used in large chemical industry enterprises for the removal of carbon and sulfur species from raw material gas. In this method, hydrogen sulfide, carbonyl sulfide, carbon disulfide and carbon dioxide are removed by physical adsorption. However, low-temperature methanol desulfurization must work at high pressure, low temperature (as low as -10 °C or less) because of the low boiling point, volatility and high saturated vapor pressure of methanol, and thus is disadvantageous in that it consumes considerable energy, causes severe loss of methanol, is complicated in operation, and operates at high costs. In normal-temperature methanol desulfurization [5], a mixed solution of methanol (60%) and diethanolamine (40%) is used to absorb hydrogen sulfide, carbonyl sulfide, carbon disulfide and carbon dioxide from gases, and then the absorbates are released by heating and depressurizing. Because of the low boiling point, volatility and high saturated vapor pressure of methanol, a large quantity of methanol are contained in the released gas, and meanwhile the solution does not have a stable composition as a result of the serious loss of methanol. Diethanolamine is apt to oxidize and decompose when exposed to light and air, which is another cause of the instability of the solution. As a result of the above limitations, the solution is regenerated only by being heated under reduced pressure. The released sulfur-containing gas is generally converted into sulfur by the Claus method. In addition to the severe loss of methanol and diethanolamine, normal-temperature methanol desulfurization is also disadvantageous in that it consumes considerable energy, is complicated in operation, and operates at high costs. The methods discussed above are not used to get rid of SO₂ and/or SO₃ from gases but to remove hydrogen sulfide and organic sulfur species such as carbonyl sulfide and carbon disulfide.

Someone tried to use a aqueous solution of urotropine containing glycerin to absorb SO₂ from flue gas [6]. But it was found in the actual experiment that the solution was not chemically stable due to the oxidization of urotropine by oxygen contained in the flue gas. Moreover, urotropine is a costly chemical and medical product that is not easily available. This technique has not been popularized for its high operational costs and low reliability in desulfurization effect.

A buffer of acetic acid and ammonia containing Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ has found application in the



desulfurization of semi-water gas ^[7-9]. This technique is characterized by high desulfurization efficiency and low level of corrosion, but is unsatisfactory for instability of the buffer resulting from ion and salt effects. The process of catalytic decarbonization, desulfurization and decyanation of gases by means of iron-alkali solution is a wet desulfurization process capable of removing several sulfur species simultaneously, and it can achieve better effects than conventional wet desulfurization processes when used in the desulfurization of gases with low sulfur content. However, iron ions are instable in the alkali solution so as to create a large quantity of ferric hydroxide or ferrous hydroxide. Moreover, when the iron-alkali solution comes into contact with the sulfide-containing gas, a large amount of iron sulfide or ferrous sulfide is precipitated from the solution, causing a sharp decrease in the amount of iron ions in the solution, a decrease in desulfurization effect and blocking of the desulfurization tower. Thus, the iron-alkali solution process is not applicable to the desulfurization of gases with high sulfur content ^[10]. To improve the situation, the inventor attempted atmospheric/pressured desulfurization using an iron-alkali solution containing microorganisms and obtained good results ^[11]. There have been methods for the removal of hydrogen sulfide by a solution of ethylene glycol, an ethylene glycol ester, or diethylene glycol monomethyl ether. In these methods, the organic solution containing hydrogen sulfide is easily regenerated for recycle by adding thereto sulfur dioxide whereby hydrogen sulfide reacts with sulfur dioxide to produce sulfur ^[12-14]. These methods, however, operate at high costs under stringent safety measures because sulfur dioxide is not easily available and requires special instruments and safety measures in its transportation. To absorb hydrogen sulfide, organic sulfur species and water in natural gas or other gases, some researchers used a solution of ethylene glycol, a mixed solution of ethylene glycol and alkanolamine, a mixed solution of ethylene glycol, alkanolamine and sodium carbonate, a solution of ethylene glycol dimethyl ether or diethylene glycol dimethyl ether, a mixed aqueous solution of diethylamine, diethylene glycol, triethylene glycol and triethylene glycol monomethyl ether, a mixed solution of amine and acetaldehyde, or a mixed aqueous solution of diethylene glycol monomethyl ether and Fe(III) chelate of nitrilotriacetic acid ^[15-23]. The current processes discussed above are not applicable to the removal of SO_x (sulfur dioxide and/or sulfur trioxide) from flue gas and other exhaust gases but are widely used for the removal of hydrogen sulfide, carbonyl sulfide and carbon disulfide from industrial raw material gas.

References:



- [1] Benson, H.E., Parrish, R.W., HiPure Process Removes CO₂/H₂S, *Hydrocarbon Processing*, April, 1974, p.81-82.
- [2] Jenett, E., Giammarco-Vetrocoke Process, *The Oil and Gas Journal*, April 30, 1962, p.72-79.
- [3] F. C. Riesenfeld, A. L. Kohl, translated by Shen Yusheng, *Gas Purification*, Beijing, China Building Industry Press, 1982.
- [4] Dai Wenbin, Tang Hongqing, *Computer and Applied Chemistry*, 1994, 11(1), p.44-51
- [5] Ma Bin, *Coal Chemical Industry*, 1994, issue 68, p.35-38.
- [6] Zh. Prikl. Khim.(S.-Peterburg), 1993, 66(10), p.2383-2385 (Russian) .
- [7] Wei Xionghui, Dai Qianyan, Chen Zhongming, Shao Kesheng, Zhang Chending, The Principle of Desulfurization of Gases with Buffering Solution of Basic Iron Salts, *Journal of Chemical Industry and Engineering*, 1998, 49(1), p.48-58.
- [8] Wei Xionghui, Novel Process for Desulfurization and Deoxidation of Semi-water Gas, Chinese patent application publication No. CN1087110, 1994.
- [9] Wei Xionghui, Pressurized Decarbonization and Desulfurization with Iron-Alkali Solution, Chinese patent application publication No.CN1133817, 1996.
- [10] Wei Xionghui, Zou Meihua, Wei Fenghui, Gas Decarbonization, Desulfurization and Decyanation Technology by Fe-Alkali Solution Catalytic Method, Chinese patent No. ZL99100596.1, 1999.
- [11] Wei Xionghui, Biochemical Iron-Alkali Solution Catalytic Process for Desulfurization of Gas, Chinese patent No. ZL02130605.2, 2002.
- [12] Galeeva R. G., Kamalov Kh. S., Aminov M. Kh., Gafiatullin R. R., Mitina A. P., Bakhshijan D. Ts., Safin G. R., Levanov V. V., Installation for Complete purification of Petroleum and Natural Gases, RU2070423C1.
- [13] Biedermann, Jean-Michel, Process for Eliminating Hydrogen Sulphide Contained in Gas Mixture, PCT/FR83/00174.
- [14] Biedermann, Jean-Michel, etc., Process for Eliminating Hydrogen Sulphide Contained in Gas Mixture, FR2532190-A1.
- [15] Muraoka Hiromasa, Dehydration Method Using Glycol, JP62-95118A.
- [16] Method of Dehydration Using Glycol, a German patent with the publication number of DE2333708A1



- [17] A patent of the former Soviet Union with the publication number of SU1611411A1.
- [18] Komuro Takeo, JP6-228573A.
- [19] A patent of the former Soviet Union with the publication number of SU655410A.
- [20] Wyschofsky Michael, Hoberg Dirk, Method for the Separation of Gaseous Components from Technical Gases by Means of Ethylene Glycol Dimethyl Ethers at Low Temperatures, WO03011432A1(PCT/EP02/07915)
- [21] A patent of the former Soviet Union with the publication number of SU927282B.
- [22] Dillon Edward Thomas, Composition and Method for Sweetening Hydrocarbons, WO9007467A1 (PCT/US89/05742).
- [23] Zaida Diaz, Process for the Removal of H₂S and CO₂ from Gaseous Streams, US4368178.

Disclosure of the invention

The present invention is intended to provide a method of absorbing SO_x (x =2 and/or 3) from gases by means of a polyethylene glycol (PEG) solution (hereinafter abbreviated as “PEG desulfurization method”).

The PEG solution used in the present invention has PEG as the main ingredient. The PEG is a PEG with the degree of polymerization (DP) of 2 or more, or a mixture liquid of PEGs with different DPs. The molecular formulae of PEGs with different DPs are shown as below:

HO-C ₂ H ₄ -O-C ₂ H ₄ -OH	with DP of 2;
HO-C ₂ H ₄ -O-C ₂ H ₄ -O-C ₂ H ₄ -OH	with DP of 3;
HO-C ₂ H ₄ -O-C ₂ H ₄ -O-C ₂ H ₄ -O-C ₂ H ₄ -OH	with DP of 4;
HO-C ₂ H ₄ -O-C ₂ H ₄ -O-C ₂ H ₄ -O-C ₂ H ₄ -O-C ₂ H ₄ -OH	with DP of 5, and so on.

In the PEG desulfurization method according to the present invention, a PEG solution is used to absorb SO_x (x =2 and/or 3) from a gas, and then the PEG solution having absorbed SO_x therein is regenerated for recycle by one or more of heating, vacuumizing, ultrasonic processing, microwave processing and irradiation. When the regenerated PEG solution contains so much water that the desired desulfurization effect cannot be acquired, water in this

PEG solution is removed by either or both of rectification and absorption from the solution to be recycled.

There is no special requirement for the total content of SO_x in the gas to be desulfurized by the PEG desulfurization method according to the present invention. It is preferred, however, that the total content of SO_x in the gas is less than 99.9% by volume so as to obtain a better desulfurization effect.

In the PEG desulfurization method according to the present invention, there is no strict limitation on the condition of the process in which the absorption of SO_x is carried out, but it is preferred that it is carried out under atmospheric or high pressure at a temperature of -20 °C to 200°C; the PEG solution having absorbed SO_x therein is regenerated by one or more of heating, vacuumizing, ultrasonic processing, microwave processing and irradiation at a temperature of preferably 0 °C to 300°C.


The PEG solution used in the present invention is a PEG-containing liquid composed of 80.00% by mass or more of a PEG and less than 20.00% by mass of water.

In the PEG desulfurization method according to the present invention, when the PEG solution having absorbed SO_x therein is regenerated by one or more of heating, vacuumizing, ultrasonic processing, microwave processing and irradiation, the byproduct is sulfur dioxide and/or sulfur trioxide.


Now the basic principle of desulfurization by means of PEG will be explained.

To illustrate the principle in a clear way, PEG with a DP of 2 is taken as an example. It is to be understood that a PEG solution used in the present invention is not limited to a solution of PEG with a DP of 2 and the principle should not be interpreted as a limitation to the following claims.

When flue gas or another SO_x-containing gas comes into contact with PEG solution, following reactions take place:



Having absorbed SO_2 and SO_3 , the PEG solution becomes a S-rich solution, and it is discharged from the bottom of the desulfurization tower and fed into a regenerator wherein it is regenerated by one of more of heating, vacuumizing, ultrasonic processing, microwave processing and irradiation and releases high purity sulfur dioxide and/or sulfur trioxide. In the regenerator for the S-rich solution, following reactions take place:



It is found through experiments that water in a PEG solution used in the present invention adversely affects the ability of the PEG solution to absorb SO_x . Thus, water should be removed from the PEG solution as much as possible, that is, the PEG solution should contain as little water as possible. In practice, however, it is impossible to completely remove the water in the PEG solution. To make the PEG solution absorb SO_x effectively without unduly increasing dehydration costs, it is acceptable that water is removed to such an extent that the dehydrated PEG solution contains 20% by mass or less of water.

The regenerated PEG solution (hereinafter referred to as “desulfurized solution”) can be recycled.

To implement the above principle, two stages are designed: desulfurization absorption; regeneration of the desulfurized solution by one or more of heating, vacuumizing, ultrasonic processing, microwave processing and irradiation.

The first stage, i.e. desulfurization absorption may be conducted under atmospheric or high pressure. Fig. 1 is a flow chart of the absorption procedure. The desulfurization absorption takes place in the desulfurization tower. In general, a SO_x -containing gas enters the desulfurization tower from its bottom, and the desulfurized solution (generally known as “S-poor solution”) is fed into the desulfurization tower from its top, so they come into contact with each other in a countercurrent manner. Having had SO_x absorbed by the desulfurized solution, the gas goes out of the desulfurization tower from its top, and the desulfurized solution having absorbed SO_x therein turns into a S-rich solution and goes out of the desulfurization tower from its bottom to enter the regeneration stage. To complete the absorption, both the SO_x -containing gas and the desulfurized solution may enter the



desulfurization tower from its top and come into contact with each other in a cocurrent manner.

In the second stage, the desulfurized solution is regenerated by one or more of heating, vacuumizing, ultrasonic processing, microwave processing and irradiation.

Fig. 2 is a schematic diagram of regeneration by heating. As shown in Fig. 2, the desulfurized solution having absorbed SO_x therein, i.e. the S-rich solution, is fed into the thermal regenerator wherein it releases SO₂ and/or SO₃ by heating. The regenerated desulfurized solution, generally called “S-poor solution” or “semi-S-poor solution”, can be directly fed into the desulfurization tower for use in absorption, or further regenerated in another way before entry into the desulfurization tower.

Fig. 3 is a schematic diagram of regeneration by vacuumizing. As shown in Fig. 3, the desulfurized solution having absorbed SO_x therein, i.e. the S-rich solution, is fed into the vacuum regenerator wherein it releases SO₂ and/or SO₃ by vacuumizing. The regenerated desulfurized solution, generally called “S-poor solution” or “semi-S-poor solution”, can be directly fed into the desulfurization tower for use in absorption, or further regenerated in another way before entry into the desulfurization tower.

Fig. 4 is a schematic diagram of regeneration by ultrasonic processing, microwave processing or irradiation. As shown in Fig. 4, the desulfurized solution having absorbed SO_x therein, i.e. the S-rich solution, is fed into the ultrasonic, microwave or irradiation regenerator wherein it releases SO₂ and/or SO₃ by being subjected to ultrasonic processing, microwave processing or irradiation. The regenerated desulfurized solution, generally called “S-poor solution” or “semi-S-poor solution”, can be directly fed into the desulfurization tower for use in absorption, or further regenerated in another way before entry into the desulfurization tower.

Two or more of heating, vacuumizing, ultrasonic processing, microwave processing and irradiation can be used jointly in one regenerator.

Water must be removed from the regenerated PEG solution by rectification method and absorption method using an water-absorbent when its water content is high enough to deteriorate the desulfurization effect. Either of the two dehydration methods can be used alone or in conjunction with another. The dehydrated PEG solution can be recycled.



The present invention is superior to conventional wet desulfurization processes such as calcium process and ammonia process in the following aspects. (1) The conventional wet sulfurization processes are applicable only to low-sulfur content gases. The PEG desulfurization method according to the present invention is applicable not only to low-sulfur content gases but also to high-sulfur content gases. (2) Insoluble calcium salts or ammonium salts are generated during the procedures of absorption and regeneration when the conventional wet sulfurization processes are employed, causing the blocking of piping and equipment. The PEG desulfurization method according to the present invention almost does not lead to the formation of calcium salts or ammonium salts. (3) In contrast to calcium sulfate and calcium sulfite, or ammonium sulfate and ammonium sulfite, the byproducts of the conventional wet desulfurization processes when used in the desulfurization of flue gas, high purity liquid sulfur dioxide and/or sulfur trioxide is/are produced as the byproduct(s) of the PEG desulfurization method according to the present invention, which is/are commercially significant raw material(s) for the chemical industry. Besides, the PEG desulfurization method according to the present invention is such a highly effective purification method that gases desulfurized by the method can reliably achieve a total sulfur content of 5mg/m^3 or less. Low operational costs, small investment, and being simple to operate and less time consuming also feature the PEG desulfurization method according to the present invention.

The PEG desulfurization method according to the present invention is applicable to the desulfurization of a variety of gases including flue gas, incineration gas, coke oven gas, synthetic waste gas from dyestuff plants, sewage gas exhausted from chemical fiber plants, Clause tail gas, and other industrial raw material gases or exhaust gases, each of which has a total sulfur content of less than 99.9% by volume.

Brief description of the drawings

Fig. 1 is a schematic diagram of the desulfurization absorption procedure.

Fig. 2 is a schematic diagram of the regeneration of the desulfurized solution by means of heating.

Fig. 3 is a schematic diagram of the regeneration of the desulfurized solution by means of vacuumizing.



Fig. 4 is a schematic diagram of the regeneration of the desulfurized solution by means of one or more of ultrasonic processing, microwave processing and irradiation.

Fig. 5 shows a vapor-liquid equilibrium diagram for the absorption of a gaseous mixture of sulfur dioxide and nitrogen by a series of aqueous solutions of PEG at 298.15K and 122.61Kpa.

Best mode for carrying out the invention

The PEG desulfurization method according to the present invention will be described in more detail below with reference to embodiments. The embodiments are presented for the purpose of better explaining the present invention and should not be interpreted as limitations on the following claims.

The first stage of the PEG desulfurization method according to the present invention is desulfurization absorption as shown in Fig. 1 wherein 1 represents a desulfurization tower, 2 represents a SO_x-containing gas, 3 represents a purified gas, 4 represents a S-poor desulfurized solution, and 5 represents a S-rich desulfurized solution.

As shown in Fig. 1, the SO_x-containing gas 2 is fed into the desulfurization tower 1 from its bottom, and it comes into contact with the S-poor desulfurized solution 4 in a countercurrent manner; SO_x in the SO_x-containing gas 2 is absorbed by the S-poor desulfurized solution 4 and turns into the purified gas 3, which leaves the desulfurization tower 1 from its top; having absorbed SO_x, the S-poor desulfurized solution 4 turns into the S-rich desulfurized solution 5 and is released from the desulfurization tower 1 from its bottom. The S-rich desulfurized solution 5 enters a regeneration procedure to be regenerated by one or more of heating, vacuumizing, ultrasonic processing, microwave processing and irradiation.

By repeating the absorption procedure shown in Fig. 1, the inventor studied the absorption of sulfur dioxide in a gaseous mixture of sulfur dioxide and nitrogen by aqueous solutions of PEG (of which the DP is 8-10 and the average molecular weight is 380-420) at 298.15K and 122.61Kpa, and got vapor-liquid equilibrium data (see Table 1 below). In the experiment, the content of sulfur dioxide in the gas phase was measured by gas chromatography, and the content of sulfur dioxide in the liquid phase was measured by iodometry.



Table 1 Vapor-liquid equilibrium data for the absorption of a gaseous mixture of sulfur dioxide and nitrogen by a series of aqueous PEG solutions at 298.15K and 122.61Kpa

Content of PEG in solutions (% by mass)	Content of SO ₂ in liquid phase C _{SO₂} /mg · L ⁻¹	Content of SO ₂ in gas phase y _{SO₂} /ppmv
0.00	61.839	6.40
0.00	70.324	25.62
0.00	77.597	53.76
0.00	84.870	79.09
0.00	94.568	137.14
0.00	115.174	233.53
0.00	152.751	380.83
0.00	175.782	501.69
0.00	200.026	580.35
0.00	219.420	627.46
20.01	131.937	23.90
20.01	134.671	38.23
20.01	153.126	82.83
20.01	162.696	127.92
20.01	170.214	154.18
20.01	172.265	169.93
20.01	186.619	257.68
20.01	209.175	384.47
20.01	221.478	425.27
20.01	237.199	536.06
20.01	245.402	603.17
20.01	252.920	649.11
20.01	263.857	692.23
20.01	294.615	888.75
20.01	318.538	1128.41
40.39	203.392	28.16
40.39	223.898	34.79
40.39	247.821	64.87
40.39	252.947	84.21
40.39	273.453	110.40
40.39	276.870	120.18
40.39	293.958	186.89
40.39	304.211	357.31
40.39	324.717	487.51
40.39	334.970	570.07
40.39	360.602	893.43
60.01	78.694	11.83
60.01	273.498	23.57
60.01	362.355	26.48



60.01	393.114	43.69
60.01	403.366	72.90
60.01	430.707	121.50
60.01	440.960	123.56
60.01	451.213	217.74
60.01	478.554	269.51
60.01	499.059	392.14
60.01	519.565	507.36
60.01	533.235	540.97
60.01	557.158	647.29
60.01	570.829	775.24
60.01	598.170	828.77
80.02	399.949	9.19
80.02	553.741	53.75
80.02	560.576	55.81
80.02	567.411	95.08
80.02	594.752	209.79
80.02	608.422	254.28
80.02	690.445	353.80
80.02	779.302	501.86
80.02	786.138	549.97
80.02	837.402	695.23
80.02	933.094	994.35
90.01	150.464	15.47
90.01	222.234	25.36
90.01	266.662	34.14
90.01	389.696	77.62
90.01	423.872	114.26
90.01	492.224	191.25
90.01	522.982	269.57
90.01	546.906	327.15
90.01	587.917	399.42
90.01	601.587	467.45
90.01	608.422	484.20
90.01	628.928	505.03
90.01	680.192	608.16
90.01	741.709	682.06
100.00	632.301	8.89
100.00	864.742	38.02
100.00	888.666	57.56
100.00	957.018	85.25
100.00	963.853	89.46
100.00	987.776	115.32



100.00	1021.952	174.16
100.00	1110.810	267.44
100.00	1227.008	374.56
100.00	1298.778	450.10
100.00	1305.613	462.65
100.00	1336.371	508.94
100.00	1531.174	681.05
100.00	1548.264	694.59
100.00	1657.626	815.14

Fig. 5 is a vapor-liquid equilibrium diagram plotted according to the data listed in Table 1.

It is known from results of the above experiment that when the content of water in one of the PEG solutions was higher than 20%, the ability of the PEG solution to absorb sulfur dioxide was remarkably lowered. Thus, to get a favorable desulfurization result, it is necessary to use a PEG solution containing 20% or less of water.

The second stage of the PEG desulfurization method according to the present invention is the regeneration of the desulfurized solution, in which the desulfurized solution is regenerated by one of more of heating, vacuumizing, ultrasonic processing, microwave processing and irradiation.

An embodiment of regeneration by means of heating is shown in Fig. 2. In Fig. 2, 4 represents a S-poor desulfurized solution, 5 represents a S-rich desulfurized solution, 7 represents sulfur dioxide and/or sulfur trioxide, 8 represents S-containing foam and/or dust, and 9 represents a thermal regenerator.

As shown in Fig. 2, the S-rich desulfurized solution 5 is fed into the thermal regenerator 9 wherein it is heated to release the gaseous sulfur dioxide and/or sulfur trioxide 7 which can be transformed into high purity liquid sulfur dioxide and/or sulfur trioxide as the byproduct(s) of the regeneration procedure in a certain way. During the regeneration procedure, S-containing foam and/or dust 8 also come(s) into being or accumulate and depart(s) from the desulfurized solution. The S-containing foam and/or dust 8 can be further processed to become sulfur as the byproduct of the regeneration procedure. The regenerated S-rich desulfurized solution 5 turns into the S-poor desulfurized solution 4, which can be directly used in desulfurization absorption or further regenerated by one or more of vacuumizing, ultrasonic processing, microwave processing and irradiation.



Fig. 3 shows an embodiment of regeneration by means of vacuumizing. In Fig. 3, 4 represents a S-poor desulfurized solution, 5 represents a S-rich desulfurized solution, 7 represents sulfur dioxide and/or sulfur trioxide, 8 represents S-containing foam and/or dust, 10 represents a vacuum regenerator, and 11 represents a vacuum pump.

As shown in Fig. 3, the S-rich desulfurized solution 5 is fed into the vacuum regenerator 10 wherein the gaseous sulfur dioxide and/or sulfur trioxide 7 is/are released under reduced pressure generated by the vacuum pump 11. The gaseous sulfur dioxide and/or sulfur trioxide 7 can be transformed into high purity liquid sulfur dioxide and/or sulfur trioxide as the byproduct(s) of the regeneration procedure in a certain way. During the regeneration procedure, S-containing foam and/or dust 8 also come(s) into being or accumulate and depart(s) from the desulfurized solution. The S-containing foam and/or dust 8 can be further processed to become sulfur as the byproduct of the regeneration procedure. The regenerated S-rich desulfurized solution 5 turns into the S-poor desulfurized solution 4, which can be directly used in desulfurization absorption or further regenerated by one or more of heating, ultrasonic processing, microwave processing and irradiation.

Fig. 4 shows an embodiment of regeneration by means of one or more of ultrasonic processing, microwave processing and irradiation. In Fig. 4, 4 represents a S-poor desulfurized solution, 5 represents a S-rich desulfurized solution, 6 represents one or more of ultrasonic, microwave and irradiation regenerator, 7 represents sulfur dioxide and/or sulfur trioxide, and 8 represents S-containing foam and/or dust.

As shown in Fig. 4, the S-rich desulfurized solution 5 is fed into one or more of ultrasonic, microwave and irradiation regenerator 6 wherein the gaseous sulfur dioxide and/or sulfur trioxide 7 is/are released by the effect of ultrasonic wave, microwave and irradiation. The gaseous sulfur dioxide and/or sulfur trioxide 7 can be transformed into high purity liquid sulfur dioxide and/or sulfur trioxide as the byproduct(s) of the regeneration procedure in a certain way. During the regeneration procedure, S-containing foam and/or dust 8 also come(s) into being or accumulate and depart(s) from the desulfurized solution. The S-containing foam and/or dust 8 can be further processed to become sulfur as the byproduct(s) of the regeneration procedure. The regenerated S-rich desulfurized solution 5 turns into the S-poor desulfurized solution 4, which can be directly used in desulfurization absorption or further regenerated by heating and/or vacuumizing.



When the regenerated PEG solution contains so much water that the desired desulfurization effect cannot be obtained, it is necessary to remove water from the PEG solution in order for it to be recycled. Methods for the removal of water include rectification method and absorption method using an water-absorbent. Either of the two dehydration methods can be used alone or in conjunction with the other. Calcium oxide, anhydrous calcium sulfate, silica gel and water-absorbent resin can be used as the absorbent.

CLAIMS

1. A method of absorbing SO_x from a gas by means of a polyethylene glycol solution, wherein the polyethylene glycol solution has a polyethylene glycol as the main ingredient; the polyethylene glycol solution absorbs SO_x from the gas after they come into contact with each other to purify the gas, wherein x =2 or 3,

wherein the polyethylene glycol solution is composed of 80.00% by mass or more of a polyethylene glycol and less than 20.00% by mass of water, and

the polyethylene glycol solution having absorbed SO_x therein is regenerated for recycling by one or more of heating, vacuumizing, ultrasonic processing, microwave processing and irradiation at a temperature of 0°C to 300°C and releases sulfur dioxide and/or sulfur trioxide.

2. The method of absorbing SO_x from a gas by means of a polyethylene glycol solution according to claim 1, wherein the polyethylene glycol solution absorbs SO_x under atmospheric pressure or by pressurizing at a temperature of -20°C to 200°C.

3. The method of absorbing SO_x from a gas by means of a polyethylene glycol solution according to claim 1 or 2, wherein the total content of SO_x in the gas is less than 99.9% by volume.

4. The method of absorbing SO_x from a gas by means of a polyethylene glycol solution according to any one of claims 1 to 3, which is used in the removal of SO_x from flue gas, SO_x-containing exhaust gases and/or industrial raw material gases.

5. The method of absorbing SO_x from a gas by means of a polyethylene glycol solution according to claim 1, wherein two or more of heating, vacuumizing, ultrasonic processing, microwave processing and irradiation are used jointly in one regenerator.

6. The method of absorbing SO_x from a gas by means of a polyethylene glycol solution according to claim 1 or 5, wherein water is removed, by rectification method and/or absorption method using one or more of the water-absorbents consisting of calcium oxide, anhydrous calcium sulfate, silica gel and water-absorbent resin, from

the polyethylene glycol solution regenerated by one or more of heating, vacuumizing, ultrasonic processing, microwave processing and irradiation when the content of water in the regenerated polyethylene glycol solution is higher than 20% by mass and thus affects desulfurization effect; and recycling dehydrated polyethylene glycol solution.

7. The method of absorbing SO_x from a gas by means of a polyethylene glycol solution according to any one of claims 1-6, wherein the polyethylene glycol solution is composed of one or more of polyethylene glycols having a degree of polymerization of 2 or more.




Fig. 1

2/5




Fig. 2

...

3/5




Fig. 3

4/5





Fig. 4

**Fig. 5**