

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
17 March 2005 (17.03.2005)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2005/025213 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **H04N 5/44**

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/IB2004/051560

(22) International Filing Date: 25 August 2004 (25.08.2004)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
03103291.5 4 September 2003 (04.09.2003) EP

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V.** [NL/NL];
Groenewoudseweg 1, NL-5621 BA Eindhoven (NL).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **DE HAAN, Gerard** [NL/NL]; c/o Prof. Holstlaan 6, NL-5656 AA Eindhoven (NL). **CIUHU, Calina** [RO/NL]; c/o Prof. Holstlaan 6, NL-5656 AA Eindhoven (NL).

(74) Agent: **GROENENDAAL, Antonius, W., M.**; Prof. Holstlaan 6, NL-5656 AA Eindhoven (NL).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM,

AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.


(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Declaration under Rule 4.17:

— as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a patent (Rule 4.17(ii)) for the following designations AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OM,

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: **ROBUST DE-INTERLACING OF VIDEO SIGNALS**



(57) Abstract: The invention relates to an interpolating filter with coefficients that depend on the motion vector value, which uses samples that exist in the current field and additional samples from a neighboring field shifted over a part of a motion vector. Using samples from the current field and the motion compensated previous field that are not for vectors on a vertical line, the robustness of the de-interlacing may be increased. The interpolation quality may be better without increasing the number of input pixels.



PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW, ARIPO patent (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG)

Published:

— with international search report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

PHNL031092

PCT/IB2004/051560

1

Robust de-interlacing of video signals

The invention relates to a method for de-interlacing, in particular GST-based de-interlacing a video signal with estimating a motion vector for pixels from said video signal, defining a current field of input pixels from said video signal to be used for calculating an interpolated output pixel, and calculating an interpolated output pixel from a weighted sum of said input pixels. The invention further relates to a display device and a computer program for de-interlacing a video signal.

De-interlacing is the primary resolution determination of high-end video display systems to which important emerging non-linear scaling techniques such as DRC and Pixel Plus, can only add finer detail. With the advent of new technologies like LCD and PDP, the limitation in the image resolution is no longer in the display device itself, but rather in the source or transmission system. At the same time these displays require a progressively scanned video input. Therefore, high quality de-interlacing is an important pre-requisite for superior image quality in such display devices.

15

A first step to de-interlacing is known from P. Delonge, et al., "Improved Interpolation, Motion Estimation and Compensation for Interlaced Pictures", IEEE Tr. on Im. Proc., Vol. 3, no. 5, Sep. 1994, pp 482-491.

The disclosed method is also known as the general sampling theorem (GST) de-interlacing method. The method is depicted in Fig. 1. Fig. 1 depicts a field of pixels 2 in a vertical line on even vertical positions $y+4 - y-4$ in a temporal succession of $n-1 - n$. For de-interlacing, two independent sets of pixel samples are required. The first set of independent pixel samples is created by shifting the pixels 2 from the previous field $n-1$ over a motion vector 4 towards a current temporal instance n into motion compensated pixel samples 6. The second set of pixels 8 is also located on odd vertical lines $y+3 - y-3$. Unless the motion vector 6 is small enough, e.g. unless a so-called "critical velocity" occurs, i.e. a velocity leading to an odd integer pixel displacements between two successive fields of pixels, the pixel samples 6 and the pixels 8 are assumed to be independent. By weighting the

PHNL031092

PCT/IB2004/051560

2

pixel samples 6 and the pixels 8 from the current field the output pixel sample 10 results as a weighted sum (GST-filter) of samples.

Mathematically, the output sample pixel 10 can be described as follows. Using $F(\vec{x}, n)$ for the luminance value of a pixel at position \vec{x} in image number n , and using F_i for the luminance value of interpolated pixels at the missing line (e.g. the odd line) the output of the GST de-interlacing method is as:

$$F_i(\vec{x}, n) = \sum_k F(\vec{x} - (2k+1)\vec{u}_y, n)h_1(k, \delta_y) + \sum_m F(\vec{x} - \vec{e}(\vec{x}, n) - 2m\vec{u}_y, n-1)h_2(m, \delta_y)$$

with h_1 and h_2 defining the GST-filter coefficients. The first term represents the current field n and the second term represents the previous field $n-1$. The motion vector $\vec{e}(\vec{x}, n)$ is defined as:

$$\vec{e}(\vec{x}, n) = \begin{pmatrix} d_x(\vec{x}, n) \\ 2\text{Round}\left(\frac{d_y(\vec{x}, n)}{2}\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

with Round () rounding to the nearest integer value and the vertical motion fraction δ_y defined by:

$$\delta_y(\vec{x}, n) = \left| d_y(\vec{x}, n) - 2\text{Round}\left(\frac{d_y(\vec{x}, n)}{2}\right) \right|$$

The GST-filter, composed of the linear GST-filters h_1 and h_2 , depends on the vertical motion fraction $\delta_y(\vec{x}, n)$ and on the sub-pixel interpolator type.

Delonge proposed to just use vertical interpolators and thus use interpolation only in the y-direction. If a progressive image F^p is available, F^e for the even lines could be determined from the luminance values of the odd lines F^o as:

$$F^e(z, n) = (F^p(z, n-1)H(z))_e = F^o(z, n-1)H^o(z) + F^e(z, n-1)H^e(z)$$

in the z -domain where F^e is the even image and F^o is the odd image. Then F^o can be rewritten as:

$$F^o(z, n-1) = \frac{F^o(z, n) - F^e(z, n-1)H^o(z)}{H^e(z)}$$

which results in:

$$F^e(z, n) = H_1(z)F^o(z, n) + H_2(z)F^e(z, n-1)$$

The linear interpolators can be written as:

$$H_1(z) = \frac{H^o(z)}{H^e(z)}$$

When using sinc-waveform interpolators for deriving the filter coefficients, the linear interpolators $H_1(z)$ and $H_2(z)$ may be written in the k-domain:

$$h_1(k) = (-1)^k \sin c\left(\pi\left(k - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\pi\delta_y}{\cos(\pi\delta_y)}\right)}{\cos(\pi\delta_y)}$$

$$5 \quad h_2(k) = (-1)^k \frac{\sin c\left(\pi\left(k + \delta_y\right)\right)}{\cos(\pi\delta_y)}$$

When using a first-order linear interpolator, a GST-filter has three taps. The interpolator uses two neighboring pixels on the frame grid. The derivation of the filter coefficients is done by shifting the samples from the previous temporal frame to the current temporal frame. As such, the region of linearity for a first-order linear interpolator starts at
 10 the position of the motion compensated sample. When centering the region of linearity to the center of the nearest original and motion compensated sample, the resulting GST-filters may have four taps. Thus, the robustness of the GST-filter is increased.

However, current GST-filters do not take into account any pixels situated in the horizontal direction. Only pixels in the vertical vicinity of the samples pixel and from a
 15 temporal previous field, e.g. motion compensated, are used for interpolating the pixel samples.

It is therefore an object of the invention, to provide a de-interpolator which is
 20 more robust. It is a further object of the invention, to provide a de-interpolator which provides more exact pixel samples.

The inventions solves these objects by providing a method for de-interlacing a video signal, wherein at least a first pixel from said current field of input pixels is weighted depending on a horizontal component of said estimated motion vector for calculating said
 25 interpolated output pixel.

The combination of the horizontal interpolation with the GST vertical interpolation in a 2-D inseparable GST-filter results in a more robust interpolator. As video signals are functions of time and two spatial directions, the de-interlacing which treats both spatial directions results in a better interpolation. The image quality is improved. The
 30 distribution of pixels used in the interpolation is more compact than in the vertical only interpolation. That means pixels used for interpolation are located spatially closer to the interpolated pixels. The area pixels are recruited from for interpolation may be smaller. The

PHNL031092

PCT/IB2004/051560

4

price-performance ratio of the interpolator is improved by using a GST-based de-interlacing using both horizontally and vertically neighboring pixels.

A motion vector may be derived from motion components of pixels within the video signal. The motion vector represents the direction of motion of pixels within the video image. A current field of input pixels may be a set of pixels, which are temporal currently displayed or received within the video signal. A weighted sum of input pixels may be acquired by weighting the luminance or chrominance values of the input pixels according to interpolation parameters.

Performing interpolation in the horizontal direction may lead, in combination with vertical GST-filter interpolation, to a 10-taps filter. This may be referred to as a 1-D GST, 4-taps interpolator, the four referring to the vertical GST-filter only. The region of linearity, as described above, may be defined for vertical and horizontal interpolation by a 2-D region of linearity. Mathematically, this may be done by finding a reciprocal lattice of the frequency spectrum, which can be formulated with a simple equation:

$$\bar{f}\bar{x}=1$$

where $\bar{f} = (f_h, f_v)$ is the frequency in the $\bar{x} = (x, y)$ direction. The region of linearity is a square which has the diagonal equal to one pixel size. In the 2-D situation, the position of the lattice may be freely shifted in the horizontal direction. The centers of triangular-wave interpolators may be at the positions $x + p + \delta_x$ in the horizontal direction, with p an arbitrary integer. By shifting the 2-D region of linearity, the aperture of the GST-filter in the horizontal direction may be increased. By shifting the vertical coordinate of the center of the triangular-wave interpolators by $y + m$, an interpolator with 5-taps may be realized. The sampled pixel may be expressed by:

$$P(x, y, n) = -\frac{\delta_y |\delta_x| (1 - |\delta_x|) A(x-1, y + \text{sign}(\delta_y), n)}{1 - \delta_y} \\ - \frac{\delta_y (|\delta_x|^2 + (1 - |\delta_x|)^2) A(x, y + \text{sign}(\delta_y), n)}{1 - \delta_y} \\ - \frac{\delta_y |\delta_x| (1 - |\delta_x|) A(x+1, y + \text{sign}(\delta_y), n)}{1 - \delta_y} \\ + \frac{(1 - |\delta_x|) C(x + \delta_x, y + \delta_y, n \pm 1) + |\delta_x| C(x + \delta_x + \text{sign}(\delta_x), y + \delta_y, n \pm 1)}{1 - \delta_y}$$

with A and C being pixels contributing to the sampled pixel.

PHNL031092

PCT/IB2004/051560

5

A method of claim 2 may increase the robustness of the interpolator. Horizontally neighboring pixels may also contribute to the sampled pixel. The interpolation then also depends on horizontally neighboring pixels.

5 A method of claim 3 results in using pixels which are not within the 2-D region of linearity. Thus, the sampled pixel also depends on pixel values which are spatially located apart from the sampled pixel.

According to a method of claim 4, a previous field of input pixels is defined, which means that a temporal previous image is used for defining input pixels. The input pixels of the previous field may be motion compensated by using the motion vector.

10 According to claim 4 the pixel being closest to the sampled pixel when motion compensated is used for calculating the sampled output pixel.

According to claim 5, horizontally neighboring vertical lines may be used for calculating the sampled output pixel. Thus, also a vertical component is used for the sampled output pixel.

15 The sign and the absolute value of the motion vector may be used according to claim 6 and 7.

According to claim 8, where input pixels of a previous field, a next field and a current field are used to calculate first, second and third output pixels and where the final output pixel is calculated based on a weighted sum of these output pixels, temporally and spatially neighboring pixels may be used for calculating the sampled output pixel. This

20 increases the robustness of the de-interlacing.

A method according to claim 9 allows for using a special relationship between input pixels which are temporally separated by a current pixel.

Another aspect of the invention is a display device for displaying a de-

25 interlaced video signal comprising estimation means for estimating a motion vector of pixels, definition means for defining a current field of input pixels from said video signal to be used for calculating an interpolated output pixel, calculation means for calculating an interpolated output pixel from a weighted sum of said input pixels and weighting means for weighting at least a first pixel from said current field of input pixels depending on a horizontal component

30 of said estimated motion vector for calculating said interpolated output pixel.

Another aspect of the invention is a computer program for de-interlacing a video signal operable to cause a processor to estimate a motion vector for pixels from said video signal, define a current field of input pixels from said video signal to be used for calculating an interpolated output pixel, calculate an interpolated output pixel from a

PHNL031092

PCT/IB2004/051560

6

weighted sum of said input pixels, and weight at least a first pixel from said current field of input pixels depending on a horizontal component of said estimated motion vector for calculating said interpolated output pixel.

5

These and other aspects of the invention will be apparent from and elucidated with reference to the embodiments described hereinafter:

Fig. 1 depicts an interpolation according to GST-de-interlacing;

Fig. 2 depicts a first-order linear interpolating;

10 Fig. 3 depicts a region of linearity;

Fig. 4 depicts a position of a region of linearity for an inventive interpolator with horizontal contribution of pixels to the output pixel;

Fig. 5 depicts diagrammatically an inventive method;

Fig. 6 depicts an inventive display device.

15

Fig. 2 depicts the result of a first-order linear interpolator, wherein like numerals as in Fig. 1 depict like elements. As the interpolated sample pixel 10 is a weighted sum of neighboring pixels, the weight of each pixel should be calculated by the interpolator.

20 In case a first-order linear interpolator $H(z) = (1 - \delta_y) + \delta_y z^{-1}$ with $0 \leq \delta_y \leq 1$ the interpolators $H_1(z)$ and $H_2(z)$ may be given as:

$$H_1(z) = \frac{\delta_y}{1 - \delta_y} z^{-1}$$

$$H_2(z) = (1 - \delta_y) - \frac{(\delta_y)^2}{1 - \delta_y} z^{-2}$$

The motion vector may be relevant for the weighting of each pixel. In case a motion of 0.5 pixel per field, i.e. $\delta_y = 0.5$, is given, the inverse z-transform of even field $F^e(z, n)$ results in the spatio-temporal expression for $F^e(y, n)$:

$$F^e(y, n) = F^o(y+1, n) + \frac{1}{2} F^e(y, n-1) - \frac{1}{2} F^e(y+2, n-1)$$

As can be seen from Fig. 2, the neighboring pixels of the previous field $n-1$ are weighted with 0.5 and the neighboring pixel of the current field n is weighted with 1.

30 The first-order linear interpolator as depicted in Fig. 2 results in a three taps GST-filter. The above calculation assumes linearity between two neighboring pixels on the frame grid. In

case the region of linearity is centered to the center of the nearest original and motion compensated sample, the resulting GST-filter may have four taps. The additional tap in these four taps GST-filters increases the contribution of spatially neighboring sample values. Two sets of independent samples from the current field and from previous/next temporal fields, shifted over the motion vector, may be used for GST-filtering only in the vertical direction according the prior art. As the interpolator can only be used on a so-called region of linearity, which has the size of one pixel, the number of taps depends on where the region of linearity is located. This means that up to four neighboring pixels in the vertical direction may be used for interpolation.

As the more pixels are used, the better results are obtained, it should be possible to use more pixels. This may be done by using pixels situated in the horizontal vicinity of the sampled pixel. When using pixels shifted in the horizontal direction, an average value may be used for interpolation, which is:

$$C_{av}(x, y + \delta_y, n \pm 1) = (1 - |\delta_x|)C(x + \delta_x, y + \delta_y, n \pm 1) + |\delta_x|C(x + \text{sign}(\delta_x) + \delta_x, y + \delta_y, n \pm 1)$$

The \pm -sign refers to whether the previous or the next field is used in the interpolation. The combination of such a horizontal interpolation with a vertical GST-filter interpolation allows using a separable 10-taps filter.

To use both pixels in the vertical and horizontal direction, the region of linearity has to be chosen accordingly. In particular in video signals, these are function of time and two spatial directions. Therefore, it is possible to define a de-interlacing algorithm that treats both spatial directions equally.

In case taking horizontally and vertically neighboring pixels into account, the region of linearity may be defined as a grid defining a 2-D region of linearity. This 2-D region of linearity may be found within a reciprocal lattice of the frequency spectrum.

Fig. 3 depicts a reciprocal lattice 12 in the frequency domain and the spatial domain, respectively. The lattice 12 defines the region of linearity which is now a parallelogram. A linear relation is established between pixels separated by a distance $|\vec{x}|$ in the \vec{x} direction. Further, the triangular interpolator used in the 1-dimensional interpolator may take the shape of a pyramidal interpolator. Shifting the region of linearity in the vertical or horizontal direction leads to different numbers of filter taps. In particular, if the pyramidal

PHNL031092

PCT/IB2004/051560

8

interpolators are centered at position $(x + p, y)$, with p an arbitrary integer the 1-D case may result.

In the 2-D situation, the position of the lattice 12 in the horizontal direction may be freely shifted. The simplest shifting may result in centering the pyramids at the position $x + p + \delta_x$ in the horizontal direction, with p an arbitrary integer. This leads to a larger aperture of the GST-filter in the horizontal direction. In case the vertical coordinate of the center of the pyramidal interpolator is $y + m$, a five-taps interpolator may be obtained. The sampled pixel may be expressed by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(x, y, n_s) = & -\frac{\delta_y |\delta_x| (1 - |\delta_x|) A(x-1, y + \text{sign}(\delta_y), n)}{1 - \delta_y} \\
 & -\frac{\delta_y (|\delta_x|^2 + (1 - |\delta_x|)^2) A(x, y + \text{sign}(\delta_y), n)}{1 - \delta_y} \\
 & -\frac{\delta_y |\delta_x| (1 - |\delta_x|) A(x+1, y + \text{sign}(\delta_y), n)}{1 - \delta_y} \\
 & + \frac{C_m(x + \delta_x, y + \delta_y, n \pm 1)}{1 - \delta_y}
 \end{aligned}$$

It may be possible, as depicted in Fig. 4, to interpolate pixels which are symmetrically situated to the pixel $P(x, y, n)$. These pixel may be, as depicted in Fig. 4a, $B(x-1, y - \text{sign}(\delta_y), n)$, $B(x, y - \text{sign}(\delta_y), n)$ and $B(x+1, y - \text{sign}(\delta_y), n)$ from the current field. Further from the previous and the next field may be taken $D(x + \delta_x, y - 2\text{sign}(\delta_y) + \delta_y, n \pm 1)$, $D(x + \text{sign}(\delta_x) + \delta_x, y - 2\text{sign}(\delta_x) + \delta_y, n \pm 1)$. As depicted in Fig. 4a, a five-taps interpolator takes into account the above-mentioned pixel values. When shifting the region of linearity in direction of the motion vector, a further value $C(x + \delta_x, y + \delta_y, n \pm 1)$ may be used.

According to the invention, the region of pixels contributing to the interpolation is extended in the horizontal direction. The interpolation results are improved in particular for sequences with a diagonal motion.

Fig. 5 depicts a method according to the invention. In step 50 a motion vector is estimated from an input video signal 48. The input video signal 48 is divided up in regions of linearity in step 52 for a current field, a previous field and a next field. After that, in step 54, horizontally neighboring pixels as well as motion compensated pixels using a horizontal component of the motion vector are weighted according to the motion vector. In step 56, vertically relevant pixels are weighted according to the motion vector.

PHNL031092

PCT/IB2004/051560

In step 58, the weighted pixel values are summed and interpolated, resulting in an interpolated pixel sample. This interpolated pixel sample may be used for creating an odd line of pixels when only even lines of pixels are transmitted within the video signal 48. The image quality may be increased.

5 Fig. 6 depicts a display device 60. An input video signal 48 is fed to said display device 60 and received within a receiver 62. The receiver 62 provides the received images to storage 64. In motion estimator 66, motion vectors are estimated from the video signals. Pixels from the current, the previous and the next field are taken from the storage 64 and weighted in the weighting means 68, in particular according to the estimated motion
10 vector. The weighted pixel values are provided to summer 70, where a weighted sum is calculated. The resulting value is fed to output 72.

 With the inventive method, computer program and display device the image quality may be increased without increasing transmission bandwidth. This is in particular relevant when display devices are able to provide higher resolution than transmission
15 bandwidth is available.

PHNL031092

PCT/IB2004/051560

10

CLAIMS:

1. Method for de-interlacing, in particular GST-based de-interlacing a video signal with:
 - estimating a motion vector for pixels from said video signal,
 - defining a current field of input pixels from said video signal to be used for
 - 5 calculating an interpolated output pixel,
 - calculating an interpolated output pixel from a weighted sum of input pixels from said video signal, wherein:
 - at least a first pixel from said current field of input pixels is weighted depending on a horizontal component of said estimated motion vector for calculating said
 - 10 interpolated output pixel.
2. A method of claim 1, wherein at least one horizontally neighboring pixel from a single line from said current field of input pixels neighboring said output pixel is weighted for calculating said output pixel.
- 15 3. A method of claim 1, wherein at least one additional pixel from a field of input pixels neighboring said current field is weighted for calculating said output pixel.
4. A method of claim 1, wherein a previous field of input pixels is defined and
- 20 wherein an additional pixel appearing closest to said output pixel when motion compensating said previous field with an integer part of said motion vector is weighted for calculating said output pixel.
5. A method of claim 1, wherein at least three horizontally neighboring pixels
- 25 from each of two lines in said current field neighboring said output pixel are weighted for calculating said output pixel, respectively.
6. A method of claim 1, wherein said weighting of pixels depends on a fractional part of said motion vector.

PHNL031092

PCT/IB2004/051560

11

7. A method of claim 1, wherein said weighting of pixels depends on a sign of said motion vector.

- 5 8. A method for de-interlacing a video signal, wherein:
- a first output pixel is calculated based on at least one pixel from a current field according to claim 1,
 - a previous field of input pixels is defined and wherein a second output pixel is calculated based on at least one pixel from said current field and at least one pixel from said
 - 10 previous field,
 - a next field of input pixels is defined and wherein a third output pixel is calculated based on at least one pixel from said current field and at least one pixel from said
 - next field, and
 - said output pixel is calculated based on a weighted sum of said first output
 - 15 pixel, said second output pixel and said third output pixel.

9. A method according to claim 8, wherein said output pixel is calculated based on the relationship between said second output pixel and said third output pixel.

- 20 10. Display device for displaying a de-interlaced video signal comprising:
- estimation means for estimating a motion vector of pixels,
 - definition means for defining a current field of input pixels from said video signal to be used for calculating an interpolated output pixel,
 - calculation means for calculating an interpolated output pixel from a weighted
 - 25 sum of said input pixels, and
 - weighting means for weighting at least a first pixel from said current field of input pixels depending on a horizontal component of said estimated motion vector for calculating said interpolated output pixel.

- 30 11. Computer program for de-interlacing a video signal operable to cause a processor to:
- estimate a motion vector for pixels from said video signal,
 - define a current field of input pixels from said video signal to be used for calculating an interpolated output pixel,

PHNL031092

PCT/IB2004/051560

12

- calculate an interpolated output pixel from a weighted sum of said input pixels, and
 - weight at least a first pixel from said current field of input pixels depending on a horizontal component of said estimated motion vector for calculating said interpolated
- 5 output pixel.




Fig.1




Fig.2

2/4




Fig.3

3/4




Fig.4a




Fig.4b

4/4




Fig.5




Fig.6

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/IB2004/051560

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 H04N5/44

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 H04N

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the International search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5 689 305 A (NG SHEAU-BAO ET AL) 18 November 1997 (1997-11-18)	10,11
Y	column 2, line 55 - column 3, line 24	1,3,6
	column 5, line 19 - line 60	
A	column 6, line 49 - column 7, line 19	2,4,5
	column 8, line 34 - column 11, line 3	
	column 12, line 4 - column 13, line 10; figures 2-7	
Y	----- EP 1 006 732 A (MITSUBISHI ELECTRIC CORP) 7 June 2000 (2000-06-07)	1,3,6
	paragraph '0013! - paragraph '0015!	
A	paragraph '0034! - paragraph '0035!	4,5,8, 10,11
	paragraph '0039! - paragraph '0045! figures 5-7	
	----- -/--	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

° Special categories of cited documents :

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- *Z* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

17 November 2004

Date of mailing of the international search report

02/12/2004

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Fragua, M

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/IB2004/051560

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	HAAN DE G ET AL: "DE-INTERLACING OF VIDEO DATA USING MOTION VECTORS AND EDGE INFORMATION" INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON CONSUMER ELECTRONICS. 2002 DIGEST OF TECHNICAL PAPERS. ICCE. LOS ANGELES, CA, JUNE 18 - 20, 2002, NEW YORK, NY : IEEE, US, 18 June 2002 (2002-06-18), pages 70-71, XP008026850 ISBN: 0-7803-7300-6 the whole document (specially figure 3) -----	1-11
A	HAAN DE G: "IC FOR MOTION-COMPENSATED DE-INTERLACING, NOISE REDUCTION, AND PICTURE-RATE CONVERSION" IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON CONSUMER ELECTRONICS, IEEE INC. NEW YORK, US, vol. 45, no. 3, August 1999 (1999-08), pages 617-624, XP000926975 ISSN: 0098-3063 the whole document (specially formula 16) -----	1-11
A	BELLERS E B ET AL: "ADVANCED MOTION ESTIMATION AND MOTION COMPENSATED DEINTERLACING" SMPTE JOURNAL, SMPTE INC. SCARSDALE, N.Y, US, vol. 106, no. 11, 1 November 1997 (1997-11-01), pages 777-786, XP000725526 ISSN: 0036-1682 the whole document -----	1-11
A	EP 1 164 792 A (SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO LTD) 19 December 2001 (2001-12-19) paragraph '0001! paragraph '0018! - paragraph '0025! paragraph '0029! - paragraph '0038! paragraph '0045! paragraph '0053! - paragraph '0055!; figures 7,11,12 -----	1,3,6,7, 10,11
A	US 6 606 126 B1 (LIM ET AL) 12 August 2003 (2003-08-12) column 1, line 8 - line 27 column 3, line 32 - line 47 column 5, line 60 - column 6, line 22 column 6, line 50 - line 60 figure 3 -----	1,3,4,8, 10,11
	----- -/--	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/IB2004/051560

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	<p>DELOGNE P ET AL: "IMPROVED INTERPOLATION, MOTION ESTIMATION, AND COMPENSATION FOR INTERLACED PICTURES" IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON IMAGE PROCESSING, IEEE INC. NEW YORK, US, vol. 3, no. 5, 1 September 1994 (1994-09-01), pages 482-491, XP000476825 ISSN: 1057-7149 the whole document</p> <p>-----</p>	1-11

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/IB2004/051560

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5689305	A	18-11-1997	NONE	
EP 1006732	A	07-06-2000	US 6192080 B1 EP 1006732 A2 JP 3393832 B2 JP 2000175193 A	20-02-2001 07-06-2000 07-04-2003 23-06-2000
EP 1164792	A	19-12-2001	CN 1328405 A EP 1164792 A2 JP 2002027414 A US 2002036705 A1	26-12-2001 19-12-2001 25-01-2002 28-03-2002
US 6606126	B1	12-08-2003	KR 2001026089 A	06-04-2001