

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
26 July 2001 (26.07.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 01/53553 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **C22C 21/00**,
C22F 1/04

(21) International Application Number: PCT/CA01/00059

(22) International Filing Date: 22 January 2001 (22.01.2001)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
09/489,119 21 January 2000 (21.01.2000) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **ALCAN INTERNATIONAL LIMITED** [CA/CA]; 1188 Sherbrooke Street West, Montreal, Québec H3A 3G2 (CA).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **JIN, Iljoon** [CA/CA]; 696 Sussex Boulevard, Kingston, Ontario

K7M 5B1 (CA). **GATENBY, Kevin** [GB/CA]; 1084 Old Colony Road, Kingston, Ontario K7P 1M5 (CA). **ANAMI, Toshiya** [JP/CA]; 94 Wright Crescent, Apt. 712, Kingston, Ontario K7L 5M3 (CA). **OKI, Yoshito** [JP/JP]; 1-8-15-5, Kunikubo, Fuji-shi, Shizuoka 417-0071 (JP).


(74) Agents: **GALE, Edwin, J. et al.**; Kirby, Eades, Gale, Baker, Box 3432, Station D, Ottawa, Ontario K1P 6N9 (CA).

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: PROCESS OF PRODUCING ALUMINUM FIN ALLOY



(57) Abstract: An aluminum alloy finstock of lower (more negative) corrosion potential and higher thermal conductivity than its elemental composition would normally provide is produced by a specific process. The process comprises continuously strip casting the alloy to form a strip, optionally hot rolling the strip to an intermediate gauge sheet, annealing the sheet and cold rolling the sheet to final gauge. The lower corrosion potential and higher thermal conductivity are imparted by carrying out the continuous strip casting while cooling the alloy at a rate of at least 300 °C/second, e.g. by conducting the casting step in a twin-roll caster.

WO 01/53553 A1



patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report

— before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

PROCESS OF PRODUCING ALUMINUM FIN ALLOY

TECHNICAL FIELD

This invention relates to a process of producing an improved aluminum
5 alloy product for use in making heat exchanger fins, and a finstock material so-produced having a tailored corrosion potential and preferably high conductivity.

BACKGROUND ART

10 Aluminum alloys have long been used in the production of heat exchanger fins, e.g. for automotive radiators, condensers, evaporators, etc. Traditional radiator fin alloys are designed to give high strength after brazing, good brazeability and a good sag resistance during brazing. Alloys used for this purpose usually contain a high level of manganese. An example is the aluminum
15 alloy AA3003. Such alloys provide a good brazing performance; however, the thermal conductivity is relatively low. Low thermal conductivity has not been a serious problem in the past because of the significant thickness of the finstock material. If the material is of suitable thickness it can conduct a significant quantity of heat. However, in order to make vehicles lighter in weight, there is a
20 demand for thinner finstock material, and this has emphasized the need for improved thermal conductivity. Obviously, thinner gauge materials tend to impede heat flux as they become thinner.

Heat exchangers as well are designed for good corrosion performance, and this is frequently accomplished by making the fins of a material with a lower
25 corrosion potential (more negative potential) than the remainder of the heat exchanger (thus making the fins sacrificial) and the fin material must therefore be tailored to the appropriate corrosion potential.

In the past, changes in the corrosion potential and conductivity of alloys have been brought about by changing the chemical composition of the alloys. For
30 example, the inventors of the present application have previously found that specific aluminum alloys are particularly suitable for use in finstock material (as

discussed in Applicant's published PCT application WO 00/05426, published on February 3, 2000. These alloys contain Fe, Si, Mn and usually Zn and optionally Ti in particular content ranges. However, an improvement in the corrosion potential of heat exchangers made using fins of alloys of this kind and also an improvement in the thermal conductivity would make these and related alloys even more useful in meeting the current stringent demands of the automotive industry.

DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION

10

It is an object of the present invention to modify the properties of aluminum alloy finstock by physical means (i.e. during fabrication of the finstock) instead of, or in addition to, chemical means (i.e. by modifying the constituents of the alloy).

15

Another object of the invention is to provide an aluminum alloy finstock material that has a lower (more negative) corrosion potential compared to alloys of identical or similar chemical composition.

Another object of the invention is to provide an aluminum alloy finstock material that has a desired corrosion potential with less zinc content in the alloy.

20

Yet another object of the invention is to reduce (make more negative) the corrosion potential and/or increase the thermal conductivity of a finstock alloy while maintaining other desired properties, e.g. high strength and brazeability.

25

The present invention is based on the unexpected finding that the way in which a finstock alloy is cast to form an as-cast strip can affect the corrosion potential and/or thermal conductivity of the resulting alloy product, i.e. finstock sheet material. In particular, it has been found that by casting an aluminum finstock alloy by a procedure that significantly elevates the conventional rate of alloy cooling during continuous casting, e.g. by means of twin-roll casting, the corrosion potential can be made much lower (more negative) and/or the thermal conductivity of the alloy can be made much higher for given levels of alloying ingredients than has previously been observed.

30

Thus, according to one aspect of the invention, there is provided a process of producing an aluminum alloy finstock sheet material from a finstock alloy, which comprises continuously strip casting molten alloy to form a continuous as-cast strip, rolling the as-cast strip to form an intermediate gauge sheet article, annealing the intermediate gauge sheet article (referred to as an “interanneal”), and cold rolling the intermediate gauge sheet article to a finstock sheet material of final gauge, wherein the alloy is subjected to an average cooling at a rate of at least 300°C/second, more preferably at least 500°C/second, during the continuous casting step.

10 Preferably, the continuous casting step is carried out by twin-roll casting that produces a rate of cooling falling within the desired range.

The invention also relates to aluminum alloy finstock material produced by the process of the invention.

The alloys to which the present invention relates are those of the following general composition (in percent by weight):

Fe	1.2 to 2.4
Si	0.5 to 1.1
Mn	0.3 to 0.6
Zn	0 to 1.0
Ti (optional)	0.005 to 0.040
Incidental elements	less than 0.05 each, total \leq 0.15
Al	balance.

More preferably, the alloys of the invention have the following composition in percent by weight:

Fe	1.3 to 1.8
Si	0.5 to 1.0
Mn	0.3 to 0.6
Zn	0 to 0.7
Ti	0.005 to 0.020
Incidental elements	less than 0.05 each, total ≤ 0.15
Al	balance.

5 Preferably, in order to obtain a finstock sheet material of good strength after brazing (high ultimate tensile strength – UTS), the cold rolling of the intermediate gauge strip following the annealing is carried out to the extent that the intermediate gauge sheet is subjected to a thickness reduction of at least 45%, and preferably at least 60%, preferably to a final gauge of 100 μ m or less, more preferably 80 μ m or less and most preferably 60 μ m \pm 10%.

10 The present invention relates to a process of producing a finstock material that gives good corrosion protection for a heat exchanger using such fin material, and that is suitable for manufacturing brazed heat exchangers using thinner fins than previously possible. This is achieved while retaining adequate strength and conductivity in the fins to permit their use in heat exchangers.

15 The strip product formed from this alloy according to the present invention preferably has a strength (UTS) after brazing greater than about 127 MPa, more preferably greater than about 130 MPa, a conductivity after brazing preferably greater than 49.0% IACS, more preferably greater than 49.8% IACS, most preferably greater than 50.0% IACS, and a brazing temperature preferably greater than 595°C, more preferably greater than 600°C.

20 These strip properties are measured under simulated brazed conditions as follows.

The UTS after brazing is measured according to the following procedure that simulates the brazing conditions. The processed finstock in its final as rolled
25 thickness (e.g. after rolling to 0.06 mm in thickness) is placed in a furnace

preheated to 570°C then heated to 600°C in approximately 12 minutes, held (soaked) at 600°C for 3 minutes, cooled to 400°C at 50°C/min. then air-cooled to room temperature. The tensile test is then performed on this material.

5 The conductivity after brazing is measured as electrical conductivity (which directly corresponds to thermal conductivity and is more convenient to measure) on a sample processed as for the UTS test which simulates the brazing conditions, using conductivity tests as described in JIS-H0505. The electrical conductivity is expressed as a percentage of an International Annealed Copper Standard (%IACS).

10 The corrosion potential is measured on a sample processed as for the UTS test using tests as described in ASTM G3-89, using an Ag/AgCl/sat.KCl reference electrode.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

15

Fig. 1 is a flow chart illustrating steps in a preferred form of the process of the invention

BEST MODES FOR CARRYING OUT THE INVENTION

20

As noted above, the present invention is based on the unexpected finding that the conditions under which a finstock alloy is cast, particularly the rate of cooling during the casting step, may affect particular physical properties of the finstock product, notably its corrosion potential and also its thermal conductivity.

25 The invention can therefore be used to improve these properties for a given finstock alloy without adversely affecting other desirable properties to a significant extent, such as brazeability and strength after brazing, although it may be advantageous to employ particular rolling steps after annealing in order to ensure high strength (as will be explained later).

30 In the past, finstock sheet materials have been produced using a number of methods including direct chill (DC) casting for which the cooling rate is relatively low.

However, high cooling rates can be achieved during certain methods of continuous casting. For example, when an alloy is cast by means of a twin-roll caster, for casting a continuous strip having a thickness of 3 to 10 mm, the twin-roll caster normally imposes a cooling rate of 300 - 3000°C/second, and it has
5 been found advantageous to cast alloys of the present invention at these high cooling rates to obtain significantly lower corrosion potentials and/or higher thermal conductivities. Although twin-roll casting is most frequently used to achieve these high cooling rates, any form of continuous strip caster meeting these requirements may be used.

10 The reason why a significantly faster cooling rate during casting should affect the corrosion potential and also the thermal conductivity of a finstock alloy is not precisely known. The change in corrosion potential is particularly marked and is especially surprising. The corrosion potential of a finstock material is normally associated with the Zn content of the alloy, and higher concentrations of
15 Zn lead to a more negative corrosion potential value. However, with the present invention, an improved lower corrosion potential may be obtained at any concentration of Zn, and an improvement is seen even if no Zn is present at all. This effect can therefore be used to permit the lowering of the content of Zn in an alloy while maintaining an original corrosion potential. Alternatively, the Zn
20 content of an alloy may be kept in the same or raised, and the corrosion potential may be made more negative by an amount greater than can be attributed to the increase of Zn content alone.

The effect of twin-roll casting on thermal conductivity is also surprising, especially in view of the fact that conductivity normally decreases as the content
25 of solutes in the aluminum matrix of a finstock alloy increases. A rapid cooling during casting, e.g. as noted for twin-roll casting, would be expected to increase the content of solutes in the metal matrix by forming a more supersaturated solution. Thermal conductivity might therefore be expected to decrease, whereas the opposite is found to be the case.

30 Despite these advantages, the more rapid cooling rate employed in the present invention during casting may in some alloys tend to produce a finstock material having a larger grain size than is generally the case for a finstock material

made by a process involving a slower rate of cooling, e.g. twin-belt casting. If the larger grain size is allowed to persist in the alloy, the strength of the finstock material after brazing may be lower than that of an equivalent twin-belt cast product. Accordingly, the as-cast strip produced according to the present invention is desirably subjected to a high degree of cold work (cold rolling) after the interanneal to reduce the grain size. Preferably, the strip of intermediate gauge (which preferably has a thickness in the range of 100 to 600 μ m) following the interanneal is reduced in thickness to final gauge by an amount in the range of at least 45%, more preferably at least 60%, and most preferably at least 80% (e.g. 80 – 90%). Conventional finstock material usually had a thickness of 80 – 100 μ m, but thinner gauge finstock alloys are now desired, e.g. having a thickness of 60 μ m \pm 10%. The thickness reduction required during the rolling procedure can be established from the degree of cold rolling required after the interanneal and the desired final gauge. For example, to produce a finstock material with 90% cold reduction and a final thickness of 60 μ m, the intermediate gauge strip following the interanneal would have to have a thickness of about 600 μ m, so the rolling prior to the interanneal would be carried out to establish this degree of reduction from the thickness of the as-cast strip (normally 6 – 8 mm).

In processes of continuous casting, the average cooling rate generally means the cooling rate averaged through the thickness of the as-cast strip. The cooling rate to which a particular metal sample has been subjected during casting can be determined from the average interdendritic cell spacing as described, for example, in an article by R. E. Spear, et al. in the Transactions of the American Foundrymen's Society, Proceedings of the Sixty-Seventh Annual Meeting, 1963, Vol. 71, Published by the American Foundrymen's Society, Des Plaines, Illinois, USA, 1964, pages 209 to 215. By measuring samples taken from points through the thickness of the strip, an average can be established. When casting is carried out by twin-roll casting, a degree of hot rolling takes place during casting and the dendrite structure may become somewhat compressed or deformed. The dendritic arm spacing method may still be employed in these circumstances, but is generally not required for two reasons. Firstly, it can normally be assumed that casting in twin-roll caster causes cooling at rates greater than 300°C/second.

Secondly, the twin-roll casting process creates an as-cast strip in which the temperatures do not differ greatly from the surface to the interior at the outlet of the caster. Surface temperatures may therefore be taken as average strip temperatures.

5 A continuous as-cast strip produced as an intermediate in the present invention having a thickness of 10 mm or less can generally be reduced in thickness by cold rolling alone. However, it may be advantageous to use some hot rolling to reduce the strip thickness and the reduction in gauge from the as-cast condition (3 to 10 mm thick) to the intermediate gauge prior to the
10 interanneal step (100 to 600µm thick) can be accomplished by cold rolling alone or optionally by a combination of hot and cold rolling steps. However, unlike DC cast ingots, the hot rolling step does not use or require any prior homogenization step. The hot rolling step, when used, will preferably reduce the thickness of the strip to less than 3.0 mm.

15 The alloy ingredients have been described above. The properties introduced by the various elements are discussed below.

 The iron in the alloy forms intermetallic particles during casting that are relatively small and contribute to particle strengthening. With iron contents below 1.2 wt.%, there is generally insufficient iron to form the desired number of
20 strengthening particles, while with iron contents above 2.4 wt.%, large primary intermetallic phase particles may be formed which prevent rolling to the desired very thin finstock gauges. The onset of formation of these particles is dependent on the exact conditions of casting used, and it is therefore preferable to use iron in an amount of less than 1.8 wt.% to ensure good final material under the widest
25 possible processing conditions.

 The silicon in the alloy in the range of 0.5 to 1.1 wt.% contributes to both particle and solid solution strengthening. Below 0.5 wt.% there is generally insufficient silicon for this strengthening purpose while above 1.1 wt.%, the conductivity may be reduced. More significantly, at high silicon contents, the
30 alloy melting temperature is reduced to the point at which the material cannot be brazed. To provide for optimum strengthening, silicon in excess of 0.8 wt.% is particularly preferred.

When manganese is present in the range of 0.3 to 0.6 wt.%, it contributes significantly to the solid solution strengthening and to some extent to particle strengthening of the material. Below 0.3 wt.%, the amount of manganese is insufficient for the purpose. Above 0.6 wt.%, the presence of manganese in solid solution becomes strongly detrimental to conductivity.

The balance of iron, silicon and manganese contributes to the achievement of the desired strength, brazing performance and conductivity in the finished material.

The zinc content, which is optional but may be present in an amount up to 1.0 wt.%, provides for a lower (more negative) corrosion potential of the finished material. However, the process of the present invention decreases the corrosion potential, so the amount of the Zn may be reduced or eliminated, or kept the same while the corrosion potential is reduced. For many applications, there should be at least about 0.1 wt.% Zn present in the alloy. Above about 1.0 wt.% no commercially useful corrosion potential is obtained.

The titanium, when present in the alloy as TiB_2 , acts as a grain refiner during casting. When present in amounts greater than 0.04 wt.%, it tends to have a negative impact on conductivity.

Any incidental elements in the alloy should be less than 0.05 wt.% each and less than 0.15 wt.% in aggregate. In particular, magnesium must be present in amounts of less than 0.10 wt.%, preferably less than 0.05 wt.% to insure brazability by the Nocolok[®] process. Copper must be kept below 0.05 wt.% because it has a similar effect to manganese on conductivity and it also causes pitting corrosion.

A typical (preferred) casting, rolling and heat treatment process according to the present invention, including final brazing, is shown in Fig. 1 of the accompanying drawings. The drawing shows a first step 1 involving twin-roll casting to form a continuous as-cast strip 3 – 10 mm in thickness, involving cooling at a rate in the range of 300 to 3000°C/second. A second step 2 involves rolling the as-cast strip (by hot and/or cold rolling) to an intermediate thickness of 100 – 600µm. A third step 3 involves an interanneal of the strip of intermediate thickness at a temperature in the range of 350 – 450°C for 1 to 4 hours. Step 4

involves cold-rolling the interannealed strip to a final gauge finstock sheet material, preferably with at least 45% thickness reduction (more preferably 45-90% thickness reduction) to a gauge of 50 - 70µm. Step 5 is a brazing step carried out during the manufacture of a heat exchanger, e.g. an automobile radiator, during which the finstock sheet material is attached to cooling tubes. This final step is normally carried out by a radiator manufacturer as indicated by the different shape of the border surrounding the step.

The casting step may be carried out in a variety of commercially available twin-roll casters. Such casters are manufactured, for example, by Pechiney or Fata-Hunter. The invention is illustrated further by the following Examples, which should not be construed as listing the scope of the invention.

EXAMPLES

A casting trial was conducted with an alloy whose composition was as shown in Table 1 below.

Table 1
Alloy Composition (wt.%)

Fe	Mn	Si	Zn
1.52	0.36	0.83	0.48

The alloy was cast on a laboratory-scale twin-roll caster. In the casting trial, strip samples were produced at four different speeds. The sample identifications and casting parameters are listed in Table 2 below. The average cooling rate (taken as the average through the as-cast strip thickness) was 930°C/second.

Table 2

Sample ID	TRC01	TRC02	TRC03	TRC04
Strip Thickness (mm)	5.1	4.9	5.0	4.3
Strip Width (mm)	140	140	140	140
Tip Setback (mm)	30	30	40	40
Casting Speed (m/min)	0.8	1.0	1.1	1.3
Roll Force (tonnes)	60	50	60	40

- 5 An alloy that had the same chemical composition (nominally the same composition) was also cast on a laboratory-scale belt caster. The actual composition in wt.% was Fe = 1.41, Mn = 0.39, Si = 0.83 and Zn = 0.51. The average cooling rate for the as-cast strip was 53°C/second.

- 10 The twin-roll cast samples and the twin-belt cast samples were processed identically after casting, i.e. they were cold-rolled to 0.109 mm, interannealed at 400°C for two hours, and cold rolled to the final gauge 0.06 mm. The final gauge finstocks were subjected to a standard brazing test heating cycle, and then they were tested for conductivity and corrosion potential. The results are summarized in Table 3 below.

15

Table 3


Sample	Conductivity (%IACS)	Corrosion Potential (mV)
TRC01	52.3	-778
TRC02	52.3	-784
TRC03	52.4	-784
TRC04	52.0	-777
Belt Cast Material	49.9	-751

The results show that the twin-roll cast materials had a higher conductivity and a lower corrosion potential than the twin-belt cast materials.

CLAIMS:

1. A process of producing an aluminum alloy finstock sheet material from a finstock alloy, which comprises continuously strip casting the alloy to form an as-cast strip, rolling the as-cast strip to form an intermediate gauge sheet article,
5 annealing the intermediate gauge sheet article, and cold-rolling the intermediate gauge sheet article to a finstock sheet material or final gauge, characterized in that the process is carried out on an alloy which comprises 1.2 to 2.4 wt.% Fe, 0.5 to 1.1 wt.% Si, 0.3 to 0.6 wt.% Mn, 0 to 1.0 wt.% Zn optionally 0.005 to 0.040 wt.% Ti, less than 0.05 wt.% each of incidental elements, to a total of 0.15 wt.% or less,
10 and the balance aluminum, and the continuous strip casting is carried out while cooling the alloy at a rate of at least 300°C/second.
2. A process according to claim 1, characterized in that the process is carried out on said alloy which contains at least 0.1 wt.% Zn.
3. A process according to claim 1, characterized in that the process is carried
15 out on an alloy which comprises 1.3 to 1.8 wt.% Fe, 0.5 to 1.0 wt.% Si, 0.3 to 0.6 wt.% Mn, 0 to 0.7 wt.% Zn, 0.005 to 0.020 wt.% Ti, less than 0.05 wt.% each of incidental elements, to a total of 0.15 wt.% or less, and the balance aluminum.
4. A process according to claim 1, claim 2 or claim 3, characterized in that the alloy is cooled during casting at a rate of at least 500°C/second.
- 20 5. A process according to any preceding claim, characterized in that the as-cast strip has a thickness of between 3 and 10 mm.
6. A process according to any preceding claim, characterized in that the step of rolling the strip to an intermediate gauge is accomplished by a combination of hot rolling followed by cold rolling.
- 25 7. A process according to any one of claims 1-5, characterized in that the step of rolling the strip to an intermediate gauge is accomplished solely by cold rolling.
8. A process according to any preceding claim, characterized in that the alloy is cast by twin-roll casting.

9. A process according to any preceding claim, characterized in that the intermediate gauge sheet is cold rolled to the final gauge with a thickness reduction of at least 45%.
10. A process according to any preceding claim, characterized in that the intermediate gauge sheet is cold rolled to the final gauge with a thickness reduction of at least 60%.
11. A finstock material made of an alloy comprising 1.2 to 2.4 wt.% Fe, 0.5 to 1.1 wt.% Si, 0.3 to 0.6 wt.% Mn, 0 to 1.0 Wt.% Zn, optionally 0.005 to 0.040 wt.% Ti, less than 0.05 wt.% each of incidental elements, to a total of 0.15 wt.% or less, and the balance aluminum; characterized in that said finstock material has been produced by a process according to any preceding claim.
12. A finstock material according to claim 11, characterized in that said material has a thickness of $60\mu\text{m} \pm 10\%$.

**Fig. 1**

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inter. Application No

PCT/CA 01/00059

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 C22C21/00 C22F1/04

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 C22C C22F

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, PAJ, CHEM ABS Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
P, X	WO 00 05426 A (ALCAN INT LTD ;ANAMI TOSHIYA (CA); JIN ILJOON (CA); GALLERNEAULT W) 3 February 2000 (2000-02-03) page 6, line 25 - line 28; claims 1,2,4,11,14	1-12
Y	GB 1 524 355 A (ALCAN RES & DEV) 13 September 1978 (1978-09-13) page 2, line 88 - line 127; claim 1	1-12
Y	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 1999, no. 10, 31 August 1999 (1999-08-31) -& JP 11 131166 A (DENSO CORP;SUMITOMO LIGHT METAL IND LTD), 18 May 1999 (1999-05-18) abstract; tables 1,3	1-12
-/--		



Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.



Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- *G* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

6 June 2001

Date of mailing of the international search report

13/06/2001

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Gregg, N

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/CA 01/00059

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 1999, no. 10, 31 August 1999 (1999-08-31) -& JP 11 131167 A (SUMITOMO LIGHT METAL IND LTD), 18 May 1999 (1999-05-18) abstract ---	1
A	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 017, no. 554 (C-1118), 6 October 1993 (1993-10-06) -& JP 05 156412 A (FURUKAWA ALUM CO LTD), 22 June 1993 (1993-06-22) abstract ---	1
A	FR 2 763 602 A (PECHINEY RHENALU) 27 November 1998 (1998-11-27) -----	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/CA 01/00059

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 0005426 A	03-02-2000	US 2001001402 A AU 5021899 A BR 9912371 A EP 1100975 A NO 20010361 A US 6165291 A	24-05-2001 14-02-2000 17-04-2001 23-05-2001 21-03-2001 26-12-2000
GB 1524355 A	13-09-1978	HK 68979 A	05-10-1979
JP 11131166 A	18-05-1999	NONE	
JP 11131167 A	18-05-1999	NONE	
JP 05156412 A	22-06-1993	NONE	
FR 2763602 A	27-11-1998	AU 7772998 A BR 9809645 A CN 1257437 T EP 0983129 A WO 9852707 A NO 995654 A TR 9902860 T	11-12-1998 11-07-2000 21-06-2000 08-03-2000 26-11-1998 20-01-2000 23-10-2000