



US010529909B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Dial et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 10,529,909 B2**

(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Jan. 7, 2020**

(54) **ARCHITECTURE FOR COUPLING QUANTUM BITS USING LOCALIZED RESONATORS**

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC H01L 39/24; H01L 39/14; B82Y 10/00; G06N 99/002

(Continued)

(71) Applicant: **INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION**, Armonk, NY (US)

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

(72) Inventors: **Oliver Dial**, Yorktown Heights, NY (US); **Jay M. Gambetta**, Yorktown Heights, NY (US); **Douglas T. McClure, III**, Rye, NY (US); **Matthias Steffen**, Cortlandt Manor, NY (US)

5,793,091 A 8/1998 Devoe
6,535,086 B1 3/2003 Liang et al.
(Continued)

(73) Assignee: **INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION**, Armonk, NY (US)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CN 101868802 A 10/2010
CN 103563084 A 2/2014
(Continued)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

U.S. Appl. No. 16/114,797, filed Aug. 28, 2018.
(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Fernando Hidalgo
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Cantor Colburn LLP; Vazken Alexanian

(21) Appl. No.: **15/945,281**

(57) **ABSTRACT**

(22) Filed: **Apr. 4, 2018**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2018/0287039 A1 Oct. 4, 2018

Related U.S. Application Data


(63) Continuation of application No. 14/755,181, filed on Jun. 30, 2015, now Pat. No. 9,985,193.

A technique relates a superconducting microwave cavity. An array of posts has different heights in the cavity, and the array supports a localized microwave mode. The array of posts includes lower resonant frequency posts and higher resonant frequency posts. The higher resonant frequency posts are arranged around the lower resonant frequency posts. A first plate is opposite a second plate in the cavity. One end of the lower resonant frequency posts is positioned on the second plate so as to be electrically connected to the second plate. Another end of the lower resonant frequency posts in the array is open so as not to form an electrical connection to the first plate. Qubits are connected to the lower resonant frequency posts in the array of posts, such that each of the qubits is physically connected to one or two of the lower resonant frequency posts in the array of posts.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
H01L 39/24 (2006.01)
G06N 10/00 (2019.01)
(Continued)

20 Claims, 12 Drawing Sheets

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **H01L 39/24** (2013.01); **G06N 10/00** (2019.01); **H01L 39/14** (2013.01); **B82Y 10/00** (2013.01); **G06N 20/00** (2019.01); **H05K 999/99** (2013.01)



(51) **Int. Cl.**

H01L 39/14 (2006.01)
B82Y 10/00 (2011.01)
G06N 20/00 (2019.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

USPC 706/45
 See application file for complete search history.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,897,468	B2	5/2005	Blais et al.	
7,135,701	B2	11/2006	Amin et al.	
7,932,514	B2	4/2011	Farinelli et al.	
8,164,082	B2	4/2012	Friesen	
8,642,998	B2	2/2014	Gambetta et al.	
9,111,230	B2	8/2015	Gambetta et al.	
9,454,061	B1	9/2016	Abdo et al.	
9,663,353	B2	5/2017	Cory et al.	
9,663,358	B1	5/2017	Cory et al.	
9,818,796	B2	11/2017	Abraham et al.	
9,836,699	B1	12/2017	Rigetti et al.	
9,985,193	B2	5/2018	Dial et al.	
10,169,714	B2	1/2019	Chow et al.	
2005/0098773	A1	5/2005	Vion et al.	
2006/0284708	A1	12/2006	Reeves	
2008/0031296	A1	2/2008	Spillane et al.	
2008/0094682	A1	4/2008	Greywall et al.	
2009/0097862	A1	4/2009	Munro et al.	
2011/0054876	A1*	3/2011	Biamonte	B82Y 10/00 703/15
2013/0196855	A1	8/2013	Poletto et al.	
2014/0045209	A1*	2/2014	Chou	G01N 21/6452 435/34
2014/0264286	A1	9/2014	Chang et al.	
2014/0314419	A1	10/2014	Paik	
2017/0005255	A1	1/2017	Dial et al.	
2017/0062692	A1	3/2017	Dial et al.	
2017/0237144	A1*	8/2017	Tobar	H01P 7/06 333/232

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CN	103582949	A	2/2014
CN	104050509	A	9/2014
EP	1391963	A1	2/2004

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

List of IBM Patents or Patent Applications Treated as Related; (Appendix P), Filed Apr. 4, 2018, 2018; pp. 1-2.

Oliver Dial et al., "Architecture for Coupling Quantum Bits Using Localized Resonators," Related Application, U.S. Appl. No. 16/114,797, filed Aug. 28, 2018.

List of IBM Patents or Patent Applications Treated as Related; (Appendix P), Filed Aug. 28, 2018; pp. 1-2.

Goryachev, M. et al., "The 3D split-ring cavity lattice: a new metastructure for engineering arrays of coupled microwave harmonic oscillators," Jan. 30, 2015, New Journal of Physics, vol. 17, pp. 1-12, IOP Publishing.

Office Action for corresponding Chinese Application No. 201680031319.8 dated Mar. 13, 2019.

Blais, A. et al., "Cavity quantum electrodynamics for superconducting electrical circuits: An architecture for quantum computation," Physical Review A, vol. 69, No. 6, 2004, 062320, 14 pages.

Brecht, T. et al., "Design and Fabrication of Novel Resonators for Scalable 3D cQED," Bulletin of the American Physical Society, Mar. 2014, Abstract T34.8.

Goryachev et al., "Creating Tuneable Microwave Media from a Two-Dimensional Lattice of Re-entrant Posts," Journal-ref: J. Appl. Phys. 118, 204504, arXiv:1509.00579, 2015, pp. 1-9.

International Search Report and Written Opinion, International Application No. PCT/IB2016/053010; International filing dated May 23, 2016; dated Sep. 26, 2016; Client Reference YOR140536; pp. 1-11.

Paik et al., "Observation of High Coherence in Josephson Junction Qubits Measured in a Three-Dimensional Circuit QED Architecture," Physical Review Letters 107.24, 2011, 240501, 5 pages.

Reagor, M. et al., "Reaching 10 ms single photon lifetimes for superconducting aluminum cavities," Applied Physics Letters, vol. 102, No. 19, 2013, 192604, 4 pages.

Rigetti, C. et al., Superconducting qubit in a waveguide cavity with a coherence time approaching 0.1 ms. Physical Review B 86, 100506(R) (2012).

Hammer, G. et al. "Coupling of Microwave Resonators to Feed Lines", IEEE Trans. Applied Superconductivity, vol. 19, No. 3, Jun. 30, 2009, 6 pages.

Sedov, E.S. et al. "Storage of Optical Information in Nano-size Cavity Arrays Under the Qubit-Light Interaction", 2014 International Conference Laser Optics, 2 pages.

* cited by examiner




FIG. 1A




FIG. 1B




FIG. 2




FIG. 3A




FIG. 3B




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9B




FIG. 9A




FIG. 10




FIG. 11

ARCHITECTURE FOR COUPLING QUANTUM BITS USING LOCALIZED RESONATORS

DOMESTIC PRIORITY

This application is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/755,181, filed Jun. 30, 2015, the disclosure of which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT

This invention was made with Government support under Contract No.: W911NF-10-1-0324 awarded by the Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA). The Government has certain rights to this invention.

BACKGROUND

The present invention relates to superconducting techniques, and more specifically, to an architecture for a superconducting cavity that forms an array of resonators.

Quantum computing employs resonant structures called qubits to store information, and resonators (e.g., as a two-dimensional (2D) planar waveguide or as a three-dimensional (3D) microwave cavity) to read out and manipulate the qubits. To date, a major focus has been on improving lifetimes of the qubits in order to allow calculations (i.e., manipulation and readout) to take place before the information is lost to decoherence of the qubits. Currently, qubit coherence times can be as high as 100 microseconds and efforts are being made to increase the coherence times. A superconducting 3D cavity can be made by mating two metal pieces with pockets that line up and constitute the walls of the cavity. The cavity may be made out of copper, which limits the quality factor of all resonant modes to approximately 10,000 since copper remains a normal metal even at dilution refrigerator temperatures. Aluminum cavities of the same variety produce quality factors ranging from 1 to 50 million depending on various cleaning, machining, and material properties.

SUMMARY

According to one embodiment, a superconducting microwave cavity is provided. The cavity includes an array of posts of different heights, including lower resonant frequency posts and higher resonant frequency posts. Each post in the array supports a localized microwave mode. The higher resonant frequency posts are arranged around the lower resonant frequency posts. The cavity includes a first plate and a second plate opposite the first plate. One end of the lower resonant frequency posts in the array of posts is positioned on the second plate so as to be electrically connected to the second plate. Another end of the lower resonant frequency posts in the array of posts is open so as not to form an electrical connection to the first plate. Qubits are connected to the lower resonant frequency posts in the array of posts, such that each of the qubits is physically connected to one or two of the lower resonant frequency posts in the array of posts.

According to one embodiment, a method of configuring a superconducting microwave cavity is provided. The method includes providing an array of posts of different heights. The array of posts includes lower resonant frequency posts and higher resonant frequency posts that each support a localized

microwave mode. The higher resonant frequency posts are arranged around the lower resonant frequency posts. The method includes configuring a first plate opposite a second plate, and positioning one end of the lower resonant frequency posts in the array of posts on the second plate so as to be electrically connected to the second plate. The method includes positioning another end of the lower resonant frequency posts in the array of posts to be open so as not to form an electrical connection to the first plate, and connecting qubits to the lower resonant frequency posts in the array of posts, such that each of the qubits is physically connected to one or two of the lower resonant frequency posts in the array of posts.

According to one embodiment, a superconducting microwave cavity is provided. The cavity includes an enclosure including a top plate and a bottom plate connected by sidewalls, and an array of posts including lower resonant frequency posts and higher resonant frequency posts inside the enclosure. Also, the cavity include qubits connected to the lower resonant frequency posts, such that each of the qubits is physically connected to one or two of the lower resonant frequency posts in the array of posts. One end of the lower resonant frequency posts is positioned on the bottom plate so as to be electrically connected to the bottom plate, while another end of the lower resonant frequency posts is open so as not to form an electrical connection to the top plate. The higher resonant frequency posts are shorted on both ends, such that a first end of the higher resonant frequency posts is shorted to the top plate and a second end is shorted to the bottom plate. The top plate includes ports respectively above the lower resonant frequency posts, the ports being configured to couple to, drive, and measure the qubits.

Additional features and advantages are realized through the techniques of the present invention. Other embodiments and aspects of the invention are described in detail herein and are considered a part of the claimed invention. For a better understanding of the invention with the advantages and the features, refer to the description and to the drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The subject matter which is regarded as the invention is particularly pointed out and distinctly claimed in the claims at the conclusion of the specification. The foregoing, and other features and advantages of the invention, are apparent from the following detailed description taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings in which:

FIG. 1A illustrates a perspective view of a superconducting microwave resonator cavity, excluding qubits and sidewalls according to an embodiment;

FIG. 1B illustrates a top view of the superconducting microwave resonator cavity, excluding a removable top plate according to an embodiment;

FIG. 2 is a conceptual view of the superconducting microwave resonator cavity illustrating a calculation of the electric fields associated with a lower resonant frequency post according to an embodiment;

FIGS. 3A and 3B are conceptual views of aspects of the superconducting microwave resonator cavity according to an embodiment, in which:

FIG. 3A illustrates a model of the small pitch qubit array of the higher resonant frequency posts, lower resonant frequency posts, and qubits;

FIG. 3B is an abbreviated view only showing the arrangement of the qubits without the lower and higher resonant frequency posts;

FIG. 4 is a cross-sectional view of an example superconducting microwave resonator cavity illustrating input ports above the lower resonant frequency posts according to an embodiment;

FIG. 5 is an abbreviated view of the superconducting microwave resonator cavity according to an embodiment;

FIG. 6 is an abbreviated view of the superconducting microwave resonator cavity illustrating qubits attached perpendicularly to the lower resonant frequency posts according to an embodiment;

FIG. 7 is an abbreviated view of the superconducting microwave resonator cavity illustrating that the coupling strength of a lower resonant frequency post to a given qubit is controlled by the post-to-post separation between the lower resonant frequency posts according to an embodiment;

FIG. 8 illustrates details of one implementation of the superconducting microwave resonator cavity according to an embodiment;

FIG. 9A illustrates a section of a square array of qubits for the surface code error correcting scheme;

FIG. 9B illustrates a resonator-based implementation of the lattice shown in FIG. 9A;

FIG. 10 illustrates that the qubit-resonator network of FIG. 9B can be implemented using the features of the present disclosure according to an embodiment; and

FIG. 11 is a flow diagram illustrating a method of configuring a superconducting microwave cavity according to an embodiment.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

According to embodiments, novel 3D architectures of superconducting microwave resonator cavities have been developed which allow easy coupling of multiple qubits, preserve long coherence times, reduce the qubit footprint (e.g., by up to 100 times), and is amenable to traditional machining or micromachining.

FIG. 1A depicts a perspective view of a superconducting microwave resonator cavity **100** (without showing qubits **40**), and FIG. 1B depicts a top view of the cavity **100** (with a removable top plate **25** removed) according to an embodiment. The cavity **100** includes high resonant frequency posts **10** (striped) as resonators (e.g., $\lambda/2$ resonators) and lower resonant frequency posts **20** (dotted) as resonators (e.g., $\lambda/4$ resonators). The high resonant frequency and lower resonant frequency network patterns are only illustrated for explanation purposes. The cavity **100** shows the removable top plate **25** and a bottom plate **30**, but sidewalls are not shown in FIGS. 1A and 1B. Sidewalls **35** are shown in FIG. 2. The removable top plate **25**, bottom plate **30**, high resonant frequency posts **10**, lower resonant frequency posts **20**, and sidewalls **35** are all made of a superconductor material (such as, e.g., niobium, aluminum, niobium titanium, tin plated copper, titanium nitride plated copper, titanium nitride, niobium nitride, and tantalum). In one case, the material may be a non-superconducting material such as copper.

Embodiments provide a superconducting microwave resonator cavity structure **100** comprising two interpenetrating arrays of coaxial resonator posts **10** and **20** of different frequencies. The array of $\lambda/4$ resonator posts **20** has a variety of lower resonant frequencies to address individual qubits **40** with control and readout tones. The array of $\lambda/2$ resonator posts **10** has high resonant frequencies and serves to block microwave modes from propagating long distances in the cavity **100**. The terms higher and lower resonant frequencies are utilized herein. It is noted that all of the higher/high

resonant frequency posts **10** have a resonant frequency higher than the resonant frequency of the lower/low resonant frequency posts **20**.

The 3D qubits **40** are suspended between the lower resonant frequency posts **20**. For example, the qubits **40** may be held in place by a slot in the posts **20** that supports the qubits **40**. In one implementation, each end of the qubit chip **40** rests on the bottom of a slot cut in the lower resonant frequency posts **20**, and is held in place with indium. Because only one dimension of the lower resonator frequency posts **20** needs to be comparable to the wavelength of the radiation, the qubit density can be much larger than in the standard 3D architecture. A standard 3D cavity resonator is a box with two dimensions comparable to the wavelength, and one dimension short (or a cylindrical cavity with a radius comparable to the wavelength). However, with the lower resonant frequency posts **20**, (only) the height has to be comparable to the wavelength according to embodiments. Accordingly, the qubit density can be much tighter in embodiments in contrast to the standard 3D architecture, and the post-to-post separation is arbitrary in embodiments.

The lower resonant frequency (resonator) posts **20** and/or the higher resonant frequency posts **10** may be coaxial posts (e.g., such as coaxial cable). Furthermore, qubits **40** (e.g., 3D transmon qubits) in this cavity **100** can have long coherence times. The coherence time of a qubit is denoted by T_2 . The relaxation time of the qubit is denoted by T_1 . The high and lower frequency resonator posts **10** and **20** may be constructed of a superconducting material in one implementation. In another implementation, the high and lower frequency resonator posts **10** and **20** may be constructed from a normal metal (e.g., non-superconducting material) such as copper.

The lower resonant frequency posts **20** are locally coupled to the qubits **40**. The high resonant frequency posts **10** block the coupling between two or more individual lower resonant frequency posts **20**. The high resonant frequency posts **10** keep each of the lower resonant frequency posts **20** at its own mode (i.e., each lower resonant frequency post **20** has its own lower resonant frequency). The high resonant frequency posts **10** block a lower resonant frequency post **20** from resonating to a nearby lower resonant frequency post **20**. Particularly, the high resonant frequency posts **10** prevent the lower resonant frequency posts **20** from coupling to any/all other lower frequency posts **20**. In addition to isolating the resonating frequency of each lower resonant frequency post **20** from coupling to another lower resonant frequency post **20**, the high resonant frequency posts **10** connect to (and mechanically support) the top plate **25** and the bottom plate **30**.

According to an embodiment, FIG. 2 is a conceptual view of the cavity **100** illustrating a calculation of the electric fields (shown by arrows) associated with one of the lower resonant frequency posts **20** ($\lambda/4$), which shows mode localization of the lower resonant frequency posts **20** ($\lambda/4$). FIG. 2 is a rendering of a particular implementation of this 3D architecture. In FIG. 2, each lower resonant frequency post **20** forms a resonator which may be coupled to a qubit **40** (not shown in FIG. 2 for the sake of clarity). Qubits **40** can be placed between the lower resonant frequency posts **20**, and each qubit **40** only couples strongly to the modes of the lower resonant frequency posts **20** that the qubit **40** is immediately adjacent to.

As noted above, the short lower resonant frequency posts **20** have one end shorted to the base plate **30** while the other end of the short lower resonant frequency posts **20** is open in free space (i.e., open in the cavity **100**). These lower

resonant frequency posts **20** (form $\lambda/4$ resonators) and have low resonant frequencies given by roughly $c/4d$ where c is the speed of light and d is the height of the lower resonant frequency posts **20**. Long higher resonant frequency posts **10** have both ends shorted to the base plate **30** and top plate **25** of the enclosed cavity **100**. These plates **25** and **30** perform the conceptual role of the shield in a coaxial resonator. Within embodiments, the long higher resonant frequency posts **10** (form $\lambda/2$ resonators) with high resonant frequencies given by $c/2h$ where h is the height of the cavity **100**. Provided that the short lower resonant frequency posts **20** are at least half the height of the cavity **100**, the long higher resonant frequency posts **10** correspondingly have higher resonant frequencies than the short lower resonant frequency posts **20**. The high resonant frequency posts **10** keep the modes of the short lower resonant frequency posts **20** well-localized, allowing addressability of individual qubits **40**. This localization of the mode (resonant frequency) of this particular lower resonant frequency post **20** theoretically shows the localization of the electric field arrows for this particular lower resonant frequency post **20** in FIG. 2.

FIGS. 3A and 3B illustrate conceptual views of aspects in the cavity **100** according to an embodiment. FIG. 3A illustrates a model of the small pitch qubit array of the higher resonant frequency posts **10**, the lower resonant frequency posts **20**, and the qubits **40** connected to the lower resonant frequency posts **20**. FIG. 3B is an abbreviated view only showing the arrangement of the qubits **40** without attachment to the lower resonant frequency posts **20** and without the higher resonant frequency posts **10**.

Note that only the height of the higher resonant frequency posts **10** and the height of the lower resonant frequency posts **20** controls their respective resonator frequency. Consequently, the diameter and separation of the higher resonant frequency posts **10** and lower resonant frequency posts **20** can be selected arbitrarily to ease fabrication, to control the magnitude of the electric field in the mode coupling to the qubit **40**, and/or to scale the devices. FIG. 3 shows the qubits **40** in a post array (of posts **10** and **20**). In FIG. 3A, an additional cylindrical resonator **305** may also be machined beneath each qubit **40** for readout and control. The cylindrical resonators **305** extend through the bottom plate **30** almost reaching the qubit **40**. For readout and control, a cylindrical resonator **305** allows microwave pulses directed at an individual qubit **40** above it, and measuring the frequency of this resonator **305** correspondingly measures the state of only the qubit **40** above it. In particular, microwave pulses/tones applied at the qubit (resonant) frequency will manipulate the particular qubit **40** (above the corresponding cylindrical resonator **305**), while microwave pulses applied near the resonant frequency of the cylindrical resonator **305** will measure the qubit **40**.

The cylindrical resonators **305** have a pin extending up through the middle of the cylindrical resonators **305**. The pin comes close to the qubit **40** but does not touch the qubit **40**. Each pin and/or cylindrical resonator **305** may be capacitively coupled to the qubit **40**. In one implementation, the distance from the qubit **40** to the pin may be approximately $1/10$ the size of the qubit **40**. The cylindrical resonators **305** and the pins may be made of a superconducting material such as aluminum and niobium. In another case, the cylindrical resonators **305** and the pins may be made of copper. In one case, the pin may be secured with a dielectric plug on the edge of the cavity. An example implementation would be the center pin of a subminiature push-on (SMP) connector.

The cylindrical resonators **305** are not shown in FIG. 3B for simplicity. Although not shown for conciseness, the lower resonant frequency posts **20** are to be positioned at the ends of each qubit **40**, such that each qubit **40** is connected (attached and touching) to two lower resonant frequency posts **20** at each end. That is, one horizontal end of the qubit **40** is connected to a lower resonant frequency post **20** and the other horizontal end is connected to a different lower resonant frequency post **20**. Note that moving the qubit **40** vertically up lower resonant frequency posts **20** increases the coupling of the qubit **40** to the attached lower resonant frequency posts **20**. Conversely, moving the qubit **40** vertically down the lower resonant frequency posts decreases the coupling of the qubit **40** to the attached (two) lower resonant frequency posts **20**.

FIG. 4 is a cross-sectional view of an example cavity **100** in which input ports **405** above the lower resonant frequency posts **20** may couple selectively to the respective modes (of the lower resonant frequency posts **20**) according to an embodiment. FIG. 4 depicts input ports **405**, individually coupling its own lower frequency resonator post **20**, disposed in the top plate **25**. The coupling strength, as measured by the external quality factor Q_e , can be controlled by modifying the input port **405** in any manner understood by one skilled in the art. Each of the input ports **405** is an entrance (in the top plate **25**) to individual microwave cylinder connectors **410** which are above respective lower resonant frequency posts **20**. The left-most input port **405** is illustrated as a cross-section view that shows a larger extension **420** within the input port **405**.

The individual microwave cylinder connectors **410** are capacitively coupled to the individual lower resonant frequency posts **20**. In FIG. 4, it is noted that some qubits **40** extend in and out of the page of the drawing to connect with another lower resonant frequency post **20**.

In FIG. 4, the higher resonant frequency posts **10** are implemented as sidewalls **35** of the cavity **100**. The sidewalls **35** operate to localize the mode of the lower resonant frequency posts **20** when five or fewer lower resonant frequency posts **20** are utilized. For example, the sidewalls **35** (operating as higher resonant frequency posts **10**) prevent the lower resonant frequency posts **20** from coupling to other resonant frequency posts **20**.

FIG. 5 illustrates an abbreviated view of the cavity **100** according to an embodiment. FIG. 5 shows an example with just a single qubit **40** for ease of description, but it will be understood that the present example also applies to multiple qubits **40**. FIG. 5 shows that the qubits **40** can be added parallel to the lower resonant frequency posts **20**. The parallel positioning shows that the length (longest direction) of the qubit **40** is pointed vertically in the cavity. According to an embodiment, FIG. 6 is an abbreviated view that shows qubits **40** perpendicular to the lower resonant frequency posts **20** at the tip where the field gradient is largest depending on the coupling strength needs and/or geometric constraints. Particularly, FIG. 6 is an example embodiment only showing the lower resonant frequency posts **20** while the higher resonant frequency posts **10** are implemented in the sidewalls of the cavity **100** as discussed in FIG. 4.

According to an embodiment, the parameters of the cavity **100** can be easily tuned. For example, FIG. 7 is an abbreviated view of the cavity **100** illustrating that the coupling strength of a lower resonant frequency post **20** to a given qubit **40** (not shown) is controlled by the post-to-post separation A between the lower resonant frequency posts **20**, which controls the strength of the electric field in the resonator modes. This post-to-post separation A is to be

smaller than the length of the shortest post (e.g., smaller than the length of the lower resonant frequency post **20**). The coupling strength between a qubit and resonator, typically quantified as the dot product of the resonator's electric field and the qubit's effective dipole moment, affects the degree to which the presence of the qubit perturbs the frequency of the resonator.

As another parameter, the external quality factor of the resonator modes (of the lower resonant frequency posts **20**) is controlled by the gap G between the top of the lower resonant frequency posts **20** and the input ports **405**. The larger the gap G , the larger the external quality factor. The external quality factor is the ratio of the resonator frequency to the rate at which the resonator loses energy by coupling to the environment, as understood by one skilled in the art; hence larger external quality factors correspond to weaker external coupling strengths. The resonant frequency of the resonator modes is controlled by the length L of the lower resonant frequency posts **20**.

Isolation of the resonant frequency of the lower resonant frequency posts **20** is controlled by the resonant frequency of the higher resonant frequency ($\lambda/2$) posts **10**.

FIG. 7 shows that the enclosure includes the top plate **25**, the bottom plate **30**, and sidewalls **35**, each of which is made of a superconducting material in one implementation. Although understood to be present, for simplicity the qubits **40** are not shown connected to the lower resonant frequency posts **20**, and cylindrical resonators **305** are not shown aligned directly under the qubits **40** (as shown in FIGS. 3A, 4, and 8). Although omitted for the sake of clarity, it is understood that the top plate **25** includes the input ports **405** directly above the lower resonant frequency posts **20** (as shown in FIG. 4).

FIG. 8 illustrates details of one implementation of the superconducting microwave resonator cavity **100** according to an embodiment. Only an abbreviated portion of the superconducting microwave resonator cavity **100** is shown. As discussed herein, each qubit **40** is attached to two lower resonant frequency ($\lambda/4$) posts **20**, while the higher resonant frequency ($\lambda/2$) posts **10** are positioned around the lower resonant frequency ($\lambda/4$) posts **20**. FIG. 8 shows the bottom plate **30** with a cut-away view inside a cylindrical hole **850** in the bottom plate **30**. The qubit **40** is a chip that has a transmon **805** formed on a substrate **810**. The substrate **810** may be sapphire, silicon, etc. Attached to or formed on the substrate **810** may be a microfabricated microstrip resonator **815** (i.e., readout resonator) for readout of the qubit **40** positioned directly above the cylindrical hole **850**. Part of the substrate **810** extends down into the cylindrical hole **850** and this part contains the microfabricated microstrip resonator **815**. The other part of the substrate **810** is above (and not in) the cylindrical hole **850**, and this part contains the transmon **805**. The microfabricated microstrip resonator **815** serves the same role as the center piece in the cylindrical resonator **305**.

The transmon **805** is a type of superconducting charge qubit that is designed to have reduced sensitivity to charge noise. Its name is an abbreviation of the term transmission line shunted plasma oscillation qubit. The transmon achieves its reduced sensitivity to charge noise by increasing the ratio of the Josephson energy to the charging energy. The transmon **805** is explicitly shown in the qubit **40** of FIG. 8. It is understood that the transmon **805** is present in the other qubits **40** in the other figures, although explicit identification of the transmon **805** has been omitted for the sake of clarity in other figures.

Although FIG. 8 only shows a single qubit **40** extended into a single cylindrical hole, it is understood that numerous qubits **40** respectively extend into their own individual cylindrical hole **850**.

In the context of fault-tolerant quantum computation, embodiments can be utilized for implementing quantum error correcting schemes, such as the surface code. In the state-of-the-art, the surface code quantum error correcting scheme requires the ability to couple each qubit to its four nearest-neighbor qubits, as illustrated in FIG. 9A. In this paradigm, "data" qubits **905** (vertical line pattern) are used for carrying out the desired computation, while dotted pattern "ancilla" qubits **910** and horizontal pattern "ancilla" qubits **920** are entangled with the data qubits **905** so as to detect bit- and phase-flip errors, respectively. FIG. 9B is a state-of-the-art approach for implementing the required connectivity that involves coupling each data qubit **905** to two "bus" resonators **925**, each of which mediates the interactions among the four qubits connected to it. Note that each qubit effectively has six nearest neighbors in this configuration (i.e., the other three qubits coupled to each of the two bus resonators **925**), but it needs to only couple to four of them. Further details about this arrangement can be found in U.S. Patent Application US20140264283, which is herein incorporated by reference.

FIG. 9A shows a section of a square array of qubits that is utilized for the surface code error correcting scheme. As noted above, the vertical line pattern qubits **905** represent data qubits. The dotted pattern qubits **910** and the horizontal line pattern qubits **920** represent ancilla qubits for checking the X/Z parity of the four surrounding data qubits. Lines **930** connect pairs of qubits that can be coupled with an entangling operation. The square **950** indicates a single parity-check "plaquette," within which the numbers indicate the order of entangling operations between the ancilla qubit **920** (center) and the four surrounding data qubits **905**. During normal operation, this cycle of operations is run on every plaquette **950** simultaneously (it is understood that there are other plaquettes **950**). An example entangling operation is the controlled-NOT (CNOT) gate, which flips the state of the "target" qubit if and only if the "control" qubit is in the $|1\rangle$ state; here, this operation is represented by a line with a solid circle at one end to indicate the control qubit and a circled cross at the other end to indicate the target qubit. FIG. 9B illustrates a resonator-based implementation of the lattice shown in FIG. 9A. In FIG. 9B, each qubit couples to the nearest two bus resonators **925**, shown here as thick lines (or rectangles). The square again highlights a single plaquette **950**, consisting of a central ancilla qubit **920** coupled to a total of four data qubits **905** via two buses **925**.

Given a network of qubits coupled in this fashion, error correction is accomplished by repeatedly running the following set of operations on each five-qubit plaquette **950** simultaneously:

1) Prepare the ancilla in the $|0\rangle$ state. To date this has typically been accomplished by waiting for the qubit to relax on its own, but with high-fidelity measurement and feedback, it is also possible to simply measure the state of the qubit and then apply a control pulse if needed to return it to the $|0\rangle$ state.

2) Entangle the ancilla with its four adjacent data qubits. For superconducting qubits, examples of entangling gates include cross-resonance and resonator-induced phase gates. Half of the ancillas (i.e., either the "dotted pattern" or "horizontal" ones depending on details of the implementation) should have Hadamard gates applied to them before and after the entangling gate.

3) Measure the state of the ancilla. It is assumed in this document that each qubit is also coupled to a third resonator via which its state can be read out. (It is noted that embodiments discussed herein are to be compatible with the addition of a readout resonator for each qubit.)

4) Determine the most likely set of errors. This step is expected to involve the use of classical graph matching algorithms. However, the details are beyond the scope of this document.

5) Apply corrections as needed. Adjust the qubit control pulses as needed to correct the errors inferred from the previous step.

6) Assuming the fidelities of single-qubit and two-qubit (entangling) gates are above certain thresholds, it has been shown that the rate of uncorrected errors is strongly suppressed as a function of the circuit size (i.e., number of qubits) on which this algorithm is run. Note that this algorithm simply allows a fault-tolerant “logical” qubit to be constructed from a set of imperfect physical qubits. Possible methods for executing logical operations on such a system are beyond the scope of this document.

According to an embodiment, FIG. 10 illustrates that the exact qubit-resonator network of FIG. 9B can be implemented using the features of the present disclosure, with the $\lambda/4$ resonators 20 serving as buses to couple neighboring qubits 40. A proof-of-concept device containing five $\lambda/4$ resonators 20 and four qubits 40, corresponding to the network inside the dashed box 1050 in FIG. 10, has been produced and characterized. The measured qubit and resonator parameters were in the range expected from past measurements and simulations, proving that this embodiment works as intended. Note that the proof-of-concept device in FIG. 6 omits the $\lambda/2$ resonators 10 since the aluminum sidewalls 35 of the enclosure perform the same function, i.e., localizing modes to reduce crosstalk. However, any larger device may require $\lambda/2$ resonators 10 in another implementation.

Returning back to FIG. 10, the schematic diagram shows how a qubit-resonator network suitable for quantum error correction could be implemented using the present disclosure. The $\lambda/4$ resonators 20 serve as bus resonators that couple qubits 40 together, while the $\lambda/2$ resonators 10 serve to reduce crosstalk. The qubits are delineated as data qubits 40A, ancilla qubits 40B (utilized for X parity checks), and ancilla qubits 40C (utilized for Z parity checks).

While the proof-of-concept device in FIG. 6 may be too small to demonstrate error correction with the surface code, it is able to be used to demonstrate X and Z parity check operations, which are then utilized for a full error correction demonstration. Details of a single parity-check operation performed on a superconducting qubit device in the 2D coplanar architecture are reported in the state-of-the-art. A similar demonstration is able to be performed using the proof-of-concept device in FIG. 6.

It is noted that the example application described herein is only one example of how one might use the present disclosure to perform quantum error correction. It may also be useful for implementing other error correcting schemes, either existing or not yet designed, as well as for other purposes.

FIG. 11 illustrates a method of configuring a superconducting microwave cavity 100 according to an embodiment.

At block 1105, an array of posts of different heights is provided, and the array of posts each supports a localized microwave mode, where the array of posts includes lower resonant frequency posts 20 and higher resonant frequency posts 10.

At block 1110, a first plate (e.g., top plate 25) is configured to be opposite a second plate (e.g., bottom plate 30).

At block 1115, one end of the lower resonant frequency posts 20 in the array of posts is positioned on the second plate 30 so as to be electrically connected to the second plate 30.

At block 1120, the other end of the lower resonant frequency posts 20 in the array of posts is to be open so as not to form an electrical connection to the first plate 25.

At block 1125, the qubits 40 are connected to the lower resonant frequency posts 20 in the array of posts, such that one or two of the lower resonant frequency posts 20 in the array of posts are physically connected to each of the qubits 40. In one implementation, each lower resonant frequency post 20 is configured to capacitively couple to the qubit 40 connected to that particular lower resonant frequency post 20.

The first plate 25 includes ports 405 respectively above each of the lower resonant frequency posts 20 in the array of posts, and the ports 405 are configured to couple to, drive, and measure the qubits 40.

The higher resonant frequency posts 10 are shorted on both ends, such that a first end of the higher resonant frequency posts 10 is shorted to the first plate 25 and a second end is shorted to the second plate 30. The higher resonant frequency posts 10 are configured to provide mode localization for the lower resonant frequency posts 20 in the array of posts.

The qubits 40 are at least one of superconducting qubits, semiconductor spin qubits, optically trapped ions, and an impurity center in a crystal.

In one case, the qubits 40 are of different types on a same lattice.

Each of the qubits 40 respectively incorporates its own readout resonator (e.g., microstrip resonator 815). The readout resonator (microstrip resonator 815) extends into cylindrical resonators 305 formed through the second plate 30, and the cylindrical resonators 305 are positioned respectively under each of the qubits 40 to receive the readout resonator 815. Reference can be made to FIGS. 3A and 8.

In one implementation, the array of posts forms a square lattice. In another implementation, the array of posts forms a triangular lattice.

The lattice of the qubits 40 is configured to perform a quantum error correcting code, as shown in FIGS. 6 and 10 according to an embodiment.

The array of posts is fabricated using at least one of standard machining techniques, standard micromachining techniques, and 3D printing.

The array of posts has different heights between 0.5 mm and 100 mm in length, corresponding to supporting resonating modes from 0.75 GHz to 150 GHz. The separation distance (e.g., distance A) between the lower resonant frequency posts 20 in the array of posts is smaller than the heights (e.g., length L) of the lower resonant frequency posts 20. It is noted that the height of the higher resonant frequency posts 10 is greater than the height of the lower resonant frequency posts 20.

The flowchart and block diagrams in the Figures illustrate the architecture, functionality, and operation of possible implementations of systems, methods, and computer program products according to various embodiments of the present invention. In this regard, each block in the flowchart or block diagrams may represent a module, segment, or portion of instructions, which comprises one or more executable instructions for implementing the specified logical function(s). In some alternative implementations, the

11

functions noted in the block may occur out of the order noted in the figures. For example, two blocks shown in succession may, in fact, be executed substantially concurrently, or the blocks may sometimes be executed in the reverse order, depending upon the functionality involved. It will also be noted that each block of the block diagrams and/or flowchart illustration, and combinations of blocks in the block diagrams and/or flowchart illustration, can be implemented by special purpose hardware-based systems that perform the specified functions or acts or carry out combinations of special purpose hardware and computer instructions.

What is claimed is:

1. A superconducting microwave cavity comprising: a first plate opposite a second plate; and posts comprising higher resonant frequency posts and lower resonant frequency posts positioned between the first and second plates, a positioning of the higher resonant frequency posts comprising an arrangement in relation to the lower resonant frequency posts so as to reduce ones of the lower resonant frequency posts from resonating to neighboring ones of the lower resonant frequency posts.
2. The cavity of claim 1, wherein the higher resonant frequency posts have a different resonant frequency than the lower resonant frequency posts.
3. The cavity of, wherein the posts each support a localized microwave mode.
4. The cavity of claim 1, wherein one portion of the lower resonant frequency posts is electrically coupled to the second plate.
5. The cavity of claim 4, wherein another end of the lower resonant frequency posts is not electrically coupled to the first plate.
6. The cavity of claim 1, wherein the lower resonant frequency posts are configured to couple to qubits.
7. The cavity of claim 1, wherein one or more of the lower resonant frequency posts are configured to couple to a qubit.

12

8. The cavity of claim 1, wherein the first plate includes ports.

9. The cavity of claim 8, wherein ports are configured to couple to lower resonant frequency posts of the posts.

10. The cavity of claim 9, wherein the lower resonant frequency posts are operable to drive and measure qubits via the ports.

11. The cavity of claim 1, wherein the higher resonant frequency posts are coupled to the first and second plates.

12. The cavity of claim 1, wherein the higher resonant frequency posts are configured to provide mode localization for the lower resonant frequency posts.

13. The cavity of claim 1, wherein the lower resonant frequency posts are configured to couple to qubits.

14. The cavity of claim 13, wherein the qubits are of different types on a same lattice, the different types of the qubits are selected from the group consisting of superconducting qubits, semiconductor spin qubits, optically trapped ions, and an impurity center in a crystal.

15. The cavity of claim 13, wherein each of the qubits is configured to respectively incorporate a readout resonator.

16. The cavity of claim 15, wherein the readout resonator extends into a cylindrical resonator formed through the second plate.

17. The cavity of claim 16, wherein the cylindrical resonator is positioned respectively to each of the qubits to receive the readout resonator.

18. The cavity of claim 1, wherein the posts form a square lattice.

19. The cavity of claim 1, wherein the posts form a triangular lattice.

20. The cavity of claim 13, wherein the qubits are selected from the group consisting of superconducting qubits, semiconductor spin qubits, optically trapped ions, and an impurity center in a crystal.

* * * * *