

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
1 September 2011 (01.09.2011)

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2011/106048 A2

(51) International Patent Classification:
C07C 29/60 (2006.01) C07C 31/20 (2006.01)
C07C 29/128 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/US2010/057651

(22) International Filing Date:
22 November 2010 (22.11.2010)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
12/711,053 23 February 2010 (23.02.2010) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE** [US/US]; 902 Battelle Boulevard, PO Box 999, Richland, WA 99352 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **FRYE, John, G.** [US/US]; PO Box 999, Richland, WA 99352 (US). **OBERG, Aaron, A.** [US/US]; PO Box 999, Richland, WA 99352 (US). **ZACHER, Alan, H.** [US/US]; PO Box 999, Richland, WA 99352 (US).

(74) Agent: **JAKUBEK, Joseph, T.**; Klarquist Sparkman, LLP, One World Trade Center, Suite 1600, 121 SW Salmon Street, Portland, OR 97204 (US).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RS, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report (Rule 48.2(g))



WO 2011/106048 A2

(54) Title: PROCESSES AND SYSTEMS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF PROPYLENE GLYCOL FROM GLYCEROL

(57) Abstract: Processes and systems for converting glycerol to propylene glycol are disclosed. The glycerol feed is diluted with propylene glycol as the primary solvent, rather than water which is typically used. The diluted glycerol feed is sent to a reactor where the glycerol is converted to propylene glycol (as well as other byproducts) in the presence of a catalyst. The propylene glycol-containing product from the reactor is recycled as a solvent for the glycerol feed.

**PROCESSES AND SYSTEMS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF PROPYLENE
GLYCOL FROM GLYCEROL**

FIELD

5 This disclosure relates to processes and systems for the conversion of glycerol to propylene glycol, including processes that recycle the propylene glycol product stream to serve as a solvent for the glycerol feed stream.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF GOVERNMENT SUPPORT

10 This invention was made with Government support under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO1830 awarded by the U.S. Department of Energy. The Government has certain rights in the invention.

BACKGROUND

15 It is known to convert glycerol to propylene glycol. Glycerol that is derived from a bio-diesel process has to be treated in a number of steps prior to its conversion to propylene glycol. For instance, one step includes the acidification of the glycerol feed to decant the free fatty acids. In addition, the glycerol is often thermally stripped to remove methanol. Thermally stripping the glycerol feed to
20 remove methanol, however, has the adverse consequence of removing much of the water that is otherwise necessary to enable the catalytic process. Thus, concentrated glycerol feed must be diluted with water to about 40% to 60% by weight prior to its use as reactor feed. However, the necessary addition of water to the feed stream places a burden on the overall system since it must later be removed in downstream
25 distillation separation processes. As such, having to remove water that was just added in previous steps is energy inefficient, and increases production time and the overall cost of the process.

 Further, once the concentrated glycerol is diluted with water, a base reagent (such as sodium hydroxide) must be added to the glycerol before it can be used as
30 reactor feed. Unfortunately, the base reagent that leaves with the reactor product is not easily recycled and is wasted during the recovery process. Finally, there is

significant data on this process that suggests that in many cases the process operates near a hydrogen mass transport limited regime.

Accordingly, there exists a need for improved processes to convert glycerol to propylene glycol.

5

SUMMARY

Processes and systems for converting glycerol to propylene glycol are disclosed. The glycerol feed is diluted with propylene glycol as the primary solvent, rather than water which is typically used. The use of water as a solvent is
10 disadvantageous since, among other things, it must later be removed by downstream separation processes. The diluted glycerol feed is sent to a reactor (such as a trickle-bed reactor) where the glycerol is converted to propylene glycol (as well as other byproducts) in the presence of a catalyst. The propylene glycol-containing product from the reactor is recycled back as a solvent for the glycerol feed. In certain
15 embodiments, the amount of water in the recycle solvent stream is less than about 20% by weight, and the diluted glycerol feed contains less than about 12% water by weight.

Also disclosed is a system for converting glycerol to propylene glycol. The system includes a reactor containing a catalyst for facilitating the conversion of
20 glycerol into propylene glycol. The system also includes a glycerol feed stream that has been primarily diluted with propylene glycol rather than water in order to facilitate the reaction chemistry and the catalytic conversion of the glycerol to propylene glycol. Also disclosed as part of the system is a recycle stream whereby the reaction product, or a portion of the reaction product, is fed back to dilute the
25 glycerol feed stream.

In certain embodiments, the diluted glycerol feed comprises from about 40% to 60% by weight propylene glycol. In another aspect, the diluted glycerol feed comprises from about 40% to 60% by weight glycerol. In certain disclosed
embodiments, the reaction is carried out at a temperature from about 160° C to about
30 240° C, and at pressures from about 400 to about 1600 psi.

The foregoing and other objects, features, and advantages of the processes and systems disclosed herein will become more apparent from the following detailed description.

5

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a diagram illustrating the use of reactor effluent as the primary solvent instead of water.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

10

Glycerol that has been generated from the biodiesel process is mostly free of water after the final methanol recovery step, yet its catalytic upgrading to propylene glycol requires up to 60% solvent by weight to enable the reaction chemistry. This is particularly troublesome since the water must be subsequently removed in immediate and costly downstream separations. The elimination of water as a solvent would provide a more efficient and resourceful process, and would provide cost savings on both reactor operations and separations.

15

As illustrated in FIG. 1, an alternate reactor configuration was proposed using reactor effluent as the primary reactor solvent instead of water. In this embodiment, an internal recycle of nearly 60% was proposed. Although the amount of the product stream proposed to be recycled is quite large, it was anticipated that this process could yield certain advantages when compared to the baseline process.

20

Specifically, the water load to separations would be reduced by about 90%, and overall product sent to separations would be reduced by more than half while still maintaining the same rate of propylene glycol output. The reactor size would remain nearly the same. The recycled solvent would come in near reaction temperature, thereby reducing the need for added energy requirements. The base and some glycerol are also partially recycled in the system, thereby resulting in a more resourceful and efficient process.

25

It was also anticipated that the process could suffer from several disadvantages. Specifically, stability of propylene glycol becomes a crucial factor and any consumption of propylene glycol would have a magnified effect on the true

30

process yield. Also, byproduct recycle could lead to unwanted effects on the primary chemistry, and possibly produce a more recalcitrant byproduct in the separation processes. In addition, propylene glycol as a solvent could affect the catalytic chemistry, including diffusion limitations or reduced hydrogen access to
5 the catalyst.

The disclosed embodiments address the issues that have arisen as a result of the use of biodiesel derived glycerol and the need for the addition and immediate subsequent removal of water primarily around the catalysis unit operation. In particular, disclosed are processes and systems wherein reactor product from the
10 conversion of glycerol to propylene glycol is recycled and used as the primary solvent instead of water in order to dilute the concentrated glycerol feed stream. In known processes, the glycerol feed stream must first be diluted with water to about 40-60% by weight. The substitution of the reactor product as the feed solvent would advantageously impact the efficiency and economics of the process.

15 In one embodiment, the process involves diluting the concentrated glycerol to 40% to 60% by weight with unseparated process product consisting of concentrated propylene glycol, reaction product water, unreacted glycerol, minimal byproducts, and sodium hydroxide or other base. The propylene glycol from the reactor is in a concentrated form with about as low as 20% water (from
20 dehydroxylation), thereby relieving the otherwise significant cost of removing the diluent water in a downstream separation process. Also, since the reactor does not have to be sized larger for the processes disclosed herein, separation costs would therefore be reduced. Some of the sodium hydroxide or base is recycled in the feed through the reactor product diluents. In addition, unreacted glycerol feed is also
25 recycled in the process, thereby increasing the overall resourcefulness and efficiency of the system.

The recycling of the product stream also allows for higher solubility of hydrogen in the liquid phase during the catalytic reaction. This is a creative way of eliminating the hydrogen diffusion limitations that have bounded the process to only
30 certain conditions, and may open up much higher reaction rates than previously allowed. In current processes using water as the diluent, hydrogen starvation of the

catalyst often occurs at high reaction rates, thereby depressing selectivity and making significant amounts of byproducts.

A reactor product feed simulant was used for the proof of principle testing. The feed was composed of the products resulting from a 90% yield from glycerol
5 but was prepared without byproduct simulants. The feed consisted of about 40% glycerol, 47% propylene glycol, 1% Base, and 12% water by weight. This is similar to the theoretical reactor feed comprised of about 41% glycerol, 44% propylene glycol, 11% water, 1% base, and 3% byproducts.

As this was a proof of principle test, it was decided to examine the reactor
10 product feed simulant at the end of standard screening tests. Referring to Table 1, test run F167 was a screening test for 2.5%Co/0.45%Pd/2.4%Re catalyst on a carbon support. (This catalyst had a lower than normal performance when compared to the baseline catalyst system.) The system was processed at the baseline screening conditions of 190° C with 1200 psi of hydrogen at a 5:1 molar ratio to the glycerol.
15 The feed rate was 35 ml/hr and included approximately 40.6% by weight laboratory grade glycerol and 1% by weight sodium hydroxide with the balance water. Over the course of about 137 hours on stream, conversion of glycerol ranged from about 75% to 77% and selectivity to propylene glycol was about 92% to 93%. This represented expected selectivity for this catalyst, but slightly lower than normal
20 conversion. Specifically, following equilibration of the catalyst over 137 hours, the conversion of glycerol reached 77% and the carbon molar selectivity to propylene glycol was 93%. Selectivity for the larger byproducts was as follows: 3.5% to ethylene glycol, 2.3% to sodium lactate, 0.4% to methanol, 0.2% to ethanol, and 0.5% to propanols.) Results from this baseline test are shown in Table 1.

25

30

Table 1. Baseline of Catalysts Before Testing Simulated Recycle

System Conditions	F167-1	F167-2	F167-3	F167-4
Total hours on stream	16:35:00	42:39:00	65:25:00	137:03:00
Cat. Bed Temp (°C)	190	190	190	190
System Pressure (psi)	1200	1200	1200	1200
Liq. Feed Rate (ml/hr)	35	35	35	35
Glycerol Feed Conc. (wt%)	40.56	40.56	40.56	40.56
% Wt. Recovery	98.38	97.25	97.62	98.31
% Carbon Recovery	96.94	100.76	98.10	95.96
Glycerol Conv. (By Difference)	0.75	0.75	0.76	0.77
Selectivities (Carbon, based on total products)				
PG C Molar Selectivity	0.92	0.92	0.93	0.93
EG C Molar Selectivity	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035
Lactate C Molar Selectivity	0.025	0.024	0.023	0.023
Glycerol C Molar selectivity	0.0061	0.0046	0.0041	0.0032
Glycolate C Molar selectivity	0.0011	0.0010	0.0009	0.0009
Formate C Molar Selectivity	0.0007	0.0035	0.0006	0.0012
Methanol C Molar Selectivity	0.002	0.004	0.003	0.004
Ethanol C Molar Selectivity	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.003
1-Propanol C Molar Selectivity	0.005	0.003	0.002	0.003
2-Propanol C Molar Selectivity	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.002

The catalyst was then used to test feeds that use propylene glycol as the primary solvent for glycerol instead of water. The first reactor feed substituted propylene glycol for the water solvent and was used in test runs F169-1 through F169-3. The second and third feeds comprised a mixture having a water balance more similar to the feed generated from the recycled product stream. These feeds take into account the amount of water recycled with the propylene glycol and generated from the dehydroxylation reaction. These feeds were used in test runs F169-4 through F169-6, and test runs F169-7 through F169-10, respectively. The compositions are shown in Table 2. (Note that since this was a proof of principle testing none of the feeds contained ethylene glycol or other byproducts that are produced in the expected side reactions.)

Table 2. PG Solvent Feeds Used In F169 Test Runs

By wt%	Feed 1	Feed 2	Feed 3
Glycerol	39.6%	39.4%	39.1%
Propylene Glycol	58.2%	46.5%	45.2%
Water	1.2%	13.1%	14.7%
NaOH	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%
Tests Used	1 – 3	5 – 6	7 – 10

The results from the tests are shown below in Table 3, along with the baseline result from F167-4 (see Table 1).

Table 3. Simulated Product Recycled as Solvent Tests

System Conditions	F167-4	F169-1	F169-2	F169-3	F169-5	F169-6	F169-7	F169-8	F169-9	F169-10
Total hours on stream	137:03:00	168:52:00	192:19:00	215:34:00	306:40:00	352:12:00	376:47:00	471:53:00	551:47:00	640:35:00
Cat. Bed Temp (°C)	190	190	190	180	180	180	180	170	170	170
System Pressure	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	1400	1000	1200	1200	1200
Liq. Feed Rate (ml/hr)	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	25	25
Glycerol Feed Conc. (wt%)	40.56	39.62	39.62	39.62	39.44	39.44	39.06	39.06	39.06	39.06
Feed Solvent	Water	PG1	PG1	PG1	PG2	PG2	PG3	PG3	PG3	PG3
% Wt. Recovery	98.31	98.38	98.24	98.71	98.48	98.17	98.56	97.75	98.42	97.62
% Carbon Recovery	95.96	100.29	98.47	97.92	95.83	95.96	97.56	97.12	97.77	99.07
Glycerol Conv. (By Difference)	0.77	0.87	0.83	0.72	0.61	0.60	0.58	0.48	0.51	0.51
Selectivities (Carbon, based on total products)										
PG C Molar Selectivity	0.93	0.73	0.78	0.85	0.81	0.81	0.80	0.88	0.75	0.71
EG C Molar Selectivity	0.035	0.047	0.042	0.032	0.031	0.30	0.028	0.027	0.026	0.024
Lactate C Molar Selectivity	0.023	0.024	0.027	0.022	0.042	0.041	0.050	0.043	0.042	0.044
Glycerol C Molar selectivity	0.0032	0.0004	0.0004	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0004	0.0005	0.0004	0.0005
Glycolate C Molar selectivity	0.0009	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Formate C Molar Selectivity	0.0012	0.0078	0.0093	0.0117	0.0203	0.0214	0.0219	0.0000	0.0304	0.0318
Methanol C Molar Selectivity	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Ethanol C Molar Selectivity	0.003	0.041	0.038	0.034	0.027	0.023	0.034	0.023	0.027	0.028
1-Propanol C Molar Selectivity	0.003	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
2-Propanol C Molar Selectivity	0.002	0.016	0.018	0.015	0.024	0.024	0.028	0.018	0.024	0.022

In tests 1 through 3, a feedstock was processed that was prepared using propylene glycol as the solvent instead of water. Performance of the catalyst initially increased glycerol conversion from about 77% to about 87%, but declined in propylene glycol selectivity from about 93% down to about 73%. For test run
5 F169-2, the catalyst presumably equilibrated over the next 24 hours as conversion of glycerol fell below the baseline to about 83%, but propylene glycol selectivity was still quite low at about 78%.

For run F169-3, the temperature was dropped from 190° C down to 180° C, which resulted in a final glycerol conversion of about 72% and selectivity to
10 propylene glycol of about 85%. This compared favorably to the baseline water solvent test. Specifically, the glycerol conversion was only about 5 percentage points lower than the baseline, and only about 8 percentage points behind in propylene glycol selectivity. However, overall byproduct production went up including higher selectivity to ethanol, formate, and propanol, while ethylene glycol
15 selectivity eventually settled slightly below the baseline.

In the second round of tests, two feeds were used that more closely resembled the water content expected in a feed prepared from a steady-state recycled product. However, for run F169-5, running the feed with water and propylene glycol as the solvent, after it was allowed to equilibrate for 90 hours, resulted in a
20 drop in glycerol conversion down to about 61% along with a reduction in propylene glycol selectivity to about 81%.

To determine the impact of hydrogen availability on the performance, the next two samples were taken after equilibrating to higher and lower hydrogen pressures in the reactor while maintaining the same 5:1 molar ratio excess of
25 hydrogen as the baseline, as test runs F169-6 and F169-7 illustrate, respectively. This had no affect on the performance of the catalyst keeping a constant glycerol conversion of about 60%, and propylene glycol selectivity of about 80%.

For test run F169-8, the temperature was dropped to about 170° C from about 180° C, which resulted in a drop in glycerol conversion to about 48%,
30 However, the selectivity was high or at about 88%, which was the highest that had been observed using any amount of propylene glycol as the solvent. The higher than

baseline side products consisted mainly of lactate, formate, ethanol, and propanol. Again, ethylene glycol selectivity was lower than baseline, but not enough to have a major impact on downstream separations.

For test runs F169-9 and F169-10, the flow rate of feed was dropped to about
5 25 ml/hr. This resulted in a negligible glycerol conversion increase and an eventual drop in propylene glycol selectivity down to about 71%. It should be noted that a flow rate of about 25 ml/hr has historically been difficult for a 30cc reactor to maintain consistent results due to expected channeling at such low flow rates. This is most likely exacerbated by the use of a higher viscosity feed.

10 Given the results from test run F169-8, where the temperature of the reactor was at 170° C down from the baseline case of 190° C, the propylene glycol selectivity was 88% versus the baseline of 93%. It is anticipated that this could be further improved by one of skill in the art with some process tuning. However, the glycerol conversion drop from the baseline of 76% down to 48% represents a
15 significant change. As such, the reactor bed would have to be much larger, incurring equipment and catalyst costs, but this would need to be compared against the impact on the downstream separations given that the amount of water sent to separations is greatly reduced by nearly an order of magnitude.

It should be appreciated that the disclosed processes and systems could be
20 optimized with respect to the baseline by one of skill in the art by varying the process parameters and/or by using better performing catalysts. For instance, the process can be carried out at temperatures from about 160° C to about 240° C, and at pressures from about 400 psi to about 1600 psi. Catalysts disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 6,841,085, and co-pending U.S. Patent Application No. 12/711,020, entitled,
25 “Catalysts And Processes For The Hydrogenolysis Of Glycerol And Other Organic Compounds For Producing Polyols And Propylene Glycol,” filed on February 23, 2010, and incorporated herein by reference, are believed to be suitable for the process and system disclosed herein.

The product recycle processes and systems disclosed herein will reduce
30 energy and resource requirements and costs by eliminating the addition and removal of water solvent as a result of the recycling of hot reactor effluent into the glycerol

feed, while at the same time not significantly impacting the amount of propylene glycol produced.

5 Additionally, other aqueous catalytic processes could also benefit from the disclosed processes and systems, particularly technologies that produce a solvent as a product and require a more dilute feed.

10 In certain embodiments, the disclosed process for producing propylene glycol comprises combining a solvent comprising propylene glycol with a feed comprising glycerol to provide a diluted glycerol feed, and reacting the diluted glycerol feed with a catalyst to convert the glycerol therein to propylene glycol. The solvent can be produced by reacting a feed comprising glycerol with a catalyst. In one embodiment, up to about 60% of the product produced by reacting a feed comprising glycerol with a catalyst is recycled back as a solvent. The feed can be derived from a biodiesel process. The diluted glycerol feed can comprise from about 40% to 60% by weight glycerol. The diluted glycerol feed can comprise from about 40% to 60% by weight propylene glycol. In certain embodiments, the reaction is carried out at a temperature from about 160° C to about 240° C. In other embodiments, the reaction is carried out at a pressure from about 400 to about 1600 psi. In yet other embodiments, the solvent comprises less than about 20% water by weight. In other embodiments, reacting the diluted glycerol feed with a catalyst results in about 70% or greater selectivity to propylene glycol. In certain 20 embodiments, the diluted glycerol feed comprises less than about 12% water by weight.

25 In certain embodiments, the disclosed process for producing propylene glycol comprises providing a feed comprising glycerol, providing a catalyst for converting the glycerol to propylene glycol, reacting the glycerol feed with the catalyst to produce a product comprising propylene glycol, and recycling at least a part of the product back into the feed to further provide for a diluted glycerol feed. In other embodiments, the process further comprises reacting the feed with the catalyst after recycling the product back into the feed. Up to about 60% of the product is recycled back into the feed in some embodiments. In certain 30 embodiments, the feed is derived from a biodiesel process. The diluted glycerol

feed can comprise from about 40% to 60% by weight glycerol. The diluted glycerol feed can comprise from about 40% to 60% by weight propylene glycol. In some embodiments, the process is carried out at a temperature from about 160° C to about 240° C. In some embodiments, the reaction is carried out at a pressure from about 400 to about 1600 psi. The recycled product comprises less than about 20% water by weight in certain embodiments. In yet other embodiments, reacting the feed with the catalyst after recycling the product back into the feed results in about 70% or greater selectivity to propylene glycol. In certain embodiments, the feed comprises less than about 12% water by weight.

10 In yet another embodiment, the disclosed process for producing propylene glycol comprises providing a feed comprising glycerol obtained as a product from a biodiesel process, combining the feed with propylene glycol to produce a diluted glycerol feed comprising glycerol and propylene glycol, providing a catalyst for converting glycerol to propylene glycol, and reacting the diluted glycerol feed and catalyst to produce a product comprising propylene glycol. In further embodiments, 15 the process further comprises recycling the product into the feed and then reacting the feed with the catalyst for converting the glycerol in the feed to propylene glycol. Up to about 60% of the product is recycled back into the feed in certain embodiments. The diluted glycerol feed can comprise from about 40% to 60% by 20 weight glycerol. The diluted glycerol feed can comprise from about 40% to 60% by weight propylene glycol. In yet other embodiments, the process is carried out at a temperature from about 160° C to about 240° C. In some embodiments, the reaction is carried out at a pressure from about 400 to about 1600 psi. In certain embodiments, the recycled product comprises less than about 20% water by weight. 25 In yet other embodiments, reacting the feed with the catalyst after recycling the product back into the feed results in about 70% or greater selectivity to propylene glycol. In certain embodiments, the diluted glycerol feed comprises less than about 12 % water by weight.

In other embodiments, the disclosed system for producing propylene glycol 30 comprises a reactor, a catalyst for use in the reactor for facilitating the conversion of glycerol into a product comprising propylene glycol, and a feed for use in the reactor

comprising glycerol and a recycled product comprising propylene glycol obtained from the reactor. The glycerol can be derived from a biodiesel process. In certain embodiments, the feed comprises from about 40% to 60% by weight glycerol. In other embodiments, the feed comprises from about 40% to 60% by weight propylene glycol. In some embodiments, the reactor is at a temperature from about 160° C to about 240° C. In other embodiments, the reactor is at a pressure from about 400 to about 1600 psi. In yet other embodiments, the recycled product comprises less than about 20% water by weight. In other embodiments, the feed comprises less than about 12% water by weight.

10 In view of the many possible embodiments to which the principles of the disclosed processes and systems may be applied, it should be recognized that the illustrated embodiments are only preferred examples of the invention and should not be taken as limiting the scope of the invention. Rather, the scope of the invention is defined by the following claims. We therefore claim as our invention all that comes
15 within the scope and spirit of these claims.

We claim:

1. A process for producing propylene glycol, comprising:
5 combining a solvent comprising propylene glycol with a feed comprising glycerol to provide a diluted glycerol feed; and
reacting said diluted glycerol feed with a catalyst to convert the glycerol to a product comprising propylene glycol.
- 10 2. The process of claim 1, wherein the solvent was produced by reacting a feed comprising glycerol with a catalyst.
3. The process of any one of claims 1-2, wherein from up to about 60% of the product produced by reacting a feed comprising glycerol with a catalyst was
15 recycled back as a solvent.
4. The process of any one of claims 1-3 wherein the feed was derived from a biodiesel process.
- 20 5. The process of any one of claims 1-4 wherein the diluted glycerol feed comprises from about 40% to 60% by weight glycerol.
6. The process of any one of claims 1-4 wherein the diluted glycerol feed comprises from about 40% to 60% by weight propylene glycol.
25
7. The process of any one of claims 1-6 wherein the reaction is carried out at a temperature from about 160° C to about 240° C.
8. The process of any one of claims 1-7 wherein the reaction is carried out at a
30 pressure from about 400 to about 1600 psi.

9. The process of any one of claims 1-8 wherein the solvent comprises less than about 20% water by weight.
10. The process of any one of claims 1-9 wherein reacting said diluted glycerol
5 feed with a catalyst results in about 70% or greater selectivity to propylene glycol.
11. The process of any one of claims 1-10 wherein the diluted glycerol feed comprises less than about 12% water by weight.
- 10 12. A process for producing propylene glycol, comprising:
providing a feed comprising glycerol;
providing a catalyst for converting the glycerol to propylene glycol;
reacting the glycerol feed with the catalyst, thereby producing a product
comprising propylene glycol; and
15 recycling at least a part of the product back into the feed to further provide
for a diluted glycerol feed.
13. The process of claim 12 further comprising reacting the feed with the
catalyst after recycling the product back into the feed.
- 20 14. The process of any one of claims 12-13 wherein up to about 60% of the
product is recycled back into the feed.
15. The process of any one of claims 12-14 wherein the feed was derived from a
25 biodiesel process.
16. The process of any one of claims 12-15 wherein the diluted glycerol feed
comprises from about 40% to 60% by weight propylene glycol.

30

17. The process of any one of claims 12-16 wherein the recycled product comprises less than about 20% water by weight.
- 5 18. The process of any one of claims 12-17 wherein the feed comprises less than about 12% water by weight.
19. A system for producing propylene glycol, comprising:
a reactor;
10 a catalyst for use in the reactor for facilitating the conversion of glycerol into a product comprising propylene glycol; and
a feed for use in the reactor comprising glycerol and a recycled product comprising propylene glycol obtained from the reactor.
- 15 20. The system of claim 19 wherein the glycerol was derived from a biodiesel process.
21. The system of any one of claims 19-20 wherein the feed comprises from about 40% to 60% by weight propylene glycol.
- 20 22. The system of any one of claims 19-21 wherein the reactor is at a temperature from about 160° C to about 240° C.
23. The system of any one of claims 19-22 wherein the reactor is at a pressure
25 from about 400 to about 1600 psi.
24. The system of any one of claims 19-23 wherein the recycled product comprises less than about 20% water by weight.
- 30 25. The system of any one of claims 19-24 wherein the feed comprises less than about 12% water by weight.




Fig. 1