




(86) Date de dépôt PCT/PCT Filing Date: 2007/11/15
(87) Date publication PCT/PCT Publication Date: 2008/05/22
(45) Date de délivrance/Issue Date: 2017/04/11
(85) Entrée phase nationale/National Entry: 2009/05/01
(86) N° demande PCT/PCT Application No.: US 2007/084840
(87) N° publication PCT/PCT Publication No.: 2008/061193
(30) Priorité/Priority: 2006/11/15 (US60/858,948)

(51) Cl.Int./Int.Cl. *C12Q 1/68* (2006.01)
(72) Inventeur/Inventor:
GILLEVET, PATRICK M., US
(73) Propriétaire/Owner:
BIOSPHEREX LLC, US
(74) Agent: GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

(54) Titre : SEQUENCAGE MULTITIQUETTE ET ANALYSE ECOGENOMIQUE
(54) Title: MULTITAG SEQUENCING AND ECOGENOMICS ANALYSIS

(57) **Abrégé/Abstract:**

Embodiments of the invention herein described relate to multiplex polynucleotide sequence analysis without the use of size separation methods or blotting. In certain particulars the invention relates to multiplex sequencing using massively parallel sequencing methods, such as pyrosequencing methods and sequencing by synthesis. The invention provides increased throughput and the ability to analyze greater numbers of samples simultaneously or serially on presently available systems, as well as others yet to be developed. In certain of its embodiments the invention relates to the analysis of complex microbial communities, particularly to in-depth analysis thereof in large numbers of samples.



(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
22 May 2008 (22.05.2008)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2008/061193 A3

(51) International Patent Classification:
C12Q 1/68 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/US2007/084840

(22) International Filing Date:
15 November 2007 (15.11.2007)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
60/858,948 15 November 2006 (15.11.2006) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **BIO-SPHEREX LLC** [US/US]; Suite 200, 15 Wiltshire Court East, Potomac Falls, Virginia 20165-5677 (US).

(72) Inventor; and

(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): **GILLEVET, Patrick, M.** [CA/US]; 11627 Ayreshire Rd, Oakton, Virginia 22124 (US).

(74) Agents: **MILLSTEIN, Larry, S.** et al.; Holland & Knight LLP, Suite 700, 1600 Tysons Boulevard, Mclean, VA 22102-4867 (US).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH,

CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RS, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SV, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MT, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Declarations under Rule 4.17:

- as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a patent (Rule 4.17(ii))
- as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii))

Published:

- with international search report
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments

(88) Date of publication of the international search report:
13 November 2008

(54) Title: MULTITAG SEQUENCING AND ECOGENOMICS ANALYSIS

(57) Abstract: Embodiments of the invention herein described relate to multiplex polynucleotide sequence analysis without the use of size separation methods or blotting. In certain particulars the invention relates to multiplex sequencing using massively parallel sequencing methods, such as pyrosequencing methods and sequencing by synthesis. The invention provides increased throughput and the ability to analyze greater numbers of samples simultaneously or serially on presently available systems, as well as others yet to be developed. In certain of its embodiments the invention relates to the analysis of complex microbial communities, particularly to in-depth analysis thereof in large numbers of samples.



WO 2008/061193 A3

MULTITAG SEQUENCING AND ECOGENOMICS ANALYSIS

5

Field of the Invention

10 The invention relates to the determination of polynucleotide sequences. It also relates to determining sequences in multiple samples, in some particulars in multiple environmental samples and in multiple clinical samples.

Statement of Government Rights

15 Work described herein was done partly with Government support under Grant No. 1R43DK074275-01A2 awarded by the U.S. National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, and the US Government therefore may have certain rights in the invention.

Background

20 Sequence determination technologies for proteins, RNAs and DNAs, have been pivotal in the development of modern molecular biology. During the past fifteen years, DNA sequencing in particular has been the core technology in an on-going revolution in the scope and the depth of understanding of genomic organization and function. The on-going development of sequencing technology is, perhaps, best symbolized by the determination of
25 the complete sequence of a human genome.

 The human genome sequencing project served a number of purposes. It served as a platform for programmatic development of improved sequencing technologies and of genome sequencing efforts. It also served to provide a framework for the production and distribution of sequencing information from increasingly large scale sequencing projects. These projects
30 provided complete genome sequences for a succession of model organisms of increasingly large genetic complements. These accomplishments, culminating in the completion of a human genome sequence, highlight the very considerable power and throughput of contemporary sequencing technology.

At the same time, however, they highlight the limitations of current technology and the need for considerable improvements in speed, accuracy, and cost before sequencing can be fully exploited in research and medicine. Among the areas that can be seen most readily to require advances in sequencing technology are clinical sequencing applications that require whole genome information, environmental applications involving multiple organisms in mixtures, and applications that require processing of many samples. These are, of course, just a few among a great many areas that either require or will benefit greatly from more capable and less expensive sequencing methods.

To date, virtually all sequencing has been done by Sanger chain elongation methods. All Sanger methods require separating the elongation products with single base resolution. Currently, while PAGE still is used for this purpose in some commercial sequencers, capillary electrophoresis is the method of choice for high throughput DNA sequencers. Both gel-based and capillary-based separation methods are time consuming, costly, and limit throughput. Chip based methods, such as Affymetrix GeneChips and HySeq's sequencing by hybridization methods, require chips that can be produced only by capital intensive and complex manufacturing processes. These limitations pose obstacles to the utilization of sequencing for many purposes, such as those described above. Partly to overcome the limitations imposed by the necessity for powerful separation techniques in chain termination sequencing methods and the manufacturing requirements of chip-based methods, a number of technologies are currently being developed that do not require the separation of elongation products with integer resolution and do not require chips.

A lead technology of this type is a bead, emulsion amplification, and pyrosequencing-based method developed by 454 Life Sciences. (See Marguilles, et al. (2005) Nature 437:376). The method utilizes a series of steps to deposit single, amplified DNA molecules in individual wells of a plate containing several million picoliter wells. The steps ensure that each well of the plate either contains no DNA or the amplified DNA from a single original molecule. Pyrosequencing is carried out in the wells by elongation of a primer template in much the same way as Sanger sequencing. Pyrosequencing does not involve chain termination and does not require separation of elongation products. Instead sequencing proceeds stepwise by single base addition cycles. In each cycle one of the four bases – A, T, G, or C – is included in the elongation reaction. The other three bases are omitted. A base is added to the growing chain if it is complementary to the next position on the template. Light

is produced whenever a base is incorporated into the growing complimentary sequence. By interrogating with each of A, C, G, or T in succession, the identity of the base at each position can be determined. Sequencing reactions are carried out in many wells simultaneously. Signals are collected from all the wells at once using an imaging detector. Thus, a multitude
5 of sequences can be determined at the same time

In principle, each well containing a DNA will emit a signal for only one of the four bases for each position. In practice, runs of the same base at two or more positions in succession lead to the emission of proportionally stronger signals for the first position in the run. Consequently, reading out the sequence from a given well is a bit more complicated than
10 simply noting, for each position, which of the four bases is added. Nevertheless, because signals are proportional to the number of incorporations, sequences can be accurately reconstructed from the signal strength for most runs.

The technology has been shown to read accurately an average of about 250 or so bases per well with acceptable accuracy. A device offered by 454 Life Sciences currently uses a 6.4
15 cm² picoliter well "plate" containing 1,600,000 picoliter sized wells for sequencing about 400,000 different templates. The throughput for a single run using this plate currently is about 100 million bases in four hours. Even though this is a first generation device, its throughput is nearly 100 times better than standard Sanger sequencing devices.

Numerous other methods are being developed for ultra high throughput sequencing by
20 other institutions and companies. Sequencing by synthesis methods that rely on target amplification are being developed and/or commercialized by George Church at Harvard University, by Solexa, and by others. Ligation sequencing methods have been developed and/or are being commercialized by Applied Biosystems and Solexa, among others. Array and hybridization sequencing methods are commercially available and/or are being developed
25 by Affymetrix, Hyseq, Biotrove, Nimblegen, Illumina, and others. Methods of sequencing single molecules are being pursued by Helicos based on sequencing by synthesis and U.S. Genomics (among others) based on poration.

These methods represent a considerable improvement in throughput over past methods, in some regards. And they promise considerable improvement in economy as well.
30 However, currently they are expensive to implement and use, they are limited to relatively short reads and, although massively parallel, they have limitations that must be overcome to realize their full potential.

One particular disadvantage of these methods, for example, is that samples must be processed serially, reducing throughput and increasing cost. This is a particularly great disadvantage when large numbers of samples are being processed, such as may be the case in clinical studies and environmental sampling, to name just two applications.

5 The incorporation of indexing sequences by ligation to random shotgun libraries has been disclosed in US patent numbers: 7264929, 7244559, and 7211390, but the direct ligation methods therein disclosed distort the distribution of the components within the samples (as illustrated in Figure 4 herein) and therefore are inappropriate for enumerating components within each sample.

10 Accordingly, there is a need to improve sample throughput, to lower the costs of sequencing polynucleotides from many samples at a time, and to accurately enumerate the components of samples analyzed by high throughput, parallelized and multiplex techniques. .

Summary

15 It is therefore an object of the present invention to provide sequencing methods with improved sample throughput. The following paragraphs describe a few illustrative embodiments of the invention that exemplify some of its aspects and features. They are not exhaustive in illustrating its many aspects and embodiments, and thus are not in any way limitative of the invention. Many other aspects, features, and embodiments of the invention
20 are described herein. Many other aspects and embodiments will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art upon reading the application and giving it due consideration in the full light of the prior art and knowledge in the field.

Embodiments provide multiplex methods for the quantitative determination of polynucleotides in two or more samples, comprising:

25 hybridizing a first primer to polynucleotides in a first sample, said first primer comprising a first tag sequence and a first probe sequence specific for a first target sequence, wherein said first target sequence is 3' to a variable genetic region;

30 elongating primer templates formed thereby to form a first population of tagged polynucleotides comprising: said first primer including said first tag sequence; and sequences of said variable genetic region;

hybridizing a second primer to polynucleotides in a second sample, said second primer comprising a second tag sequence and a second probe sequence specific for a second target sequence, wherein said second target sequence is 3' to the same variable genetic region as said

first target sequence, wherein further said second probe sequence may be the same as or different from said first probe sequence;

elongating primer templates formed thereby to form a second population of tagged polynucleotides comprising: said second primer including said second tag sequence; and

5 sequences of said variable genetic region;

mixing said first and second populations together;

determining sequences of polynucleotides comprising tag sequences and the sequences of the variable genetic element in said mixture;

10 from the tag sequences comprised in the polynucleotide sequences thus determined identifying the sample in which polynucleotide sequences occurred;

from the sequences of the variable genetic region comprised in the polynucleotide sequences thus determined identifying particular variants of said variable genetic element;

from this information determining the number of time one or more given variants occur in each sample, and

15 from the number for each variant in the polynucleotides thus determined, quantifying said polynucleotides in said samples;

wherein said sequences are determined without Southern blot transfer and/or without size-separating primer extension products and/or without electrophoresis.

20 Embodiments provide multiplex methods for the quantitative determination of polynucleotides in two or more samples, comprising:

25 hybridizing a first primer pair to polynucleotides in a first sample, the first primer of said first primer pair comprising a first tag sequence and a first probe sequences specific for a first target sequence and the second primer of said first primer pair comprising a second tag sequence and a second probe sequence specific for a second target sequence, wherein the first and the second probe sequences flank and hybridize to opposite strands of a variable genetic region;

30 elongating primer templates formed thereby to from a first population of tagged polynucleotides, each of said polynucleotides comprising: (a) the sequence of said first primer of said first primer pair, a sequence of said variable genetic region, and a sequence complementary to the sequence of said second primer of said first primer pair or (b) a sequence complementary to the sequence of said first primer of said first primer pair, a sequence of said variable genetic region and the sequence of said second primer of said first primer pair;

hybridizing a second primer pair to polynucleotides in a second sample, the first primer of said second primer pair comprising a third tag sequence and said first probe sequences specific for said first target sequence and the second primer of said second primer pair comprising a fourth tag sequence and said second probe sequence specific for said second target sequence;

elongating primer templates formed thereby to form a second population of tagged polynucleotides, each of said polynucleotides comprising: (a) the sequence of said first primer of said second primer pair, a sequence of said variable genetic region, and a sequence complementary to the sequence of said second primer of said second primer pair or (b) a sequence complementary to the sequence of said first primer of said second primer pair, a sequence of said variable genetic region and the sequence of said second primer of said second primer pair;

mixing said first and second populations together;

determining sequences of polynucleotides in said mixture, comprising the tag sequences and the variable genetic element;

from the tag sequences comprised in the polynucleotide sequences thus determined identifying the sample in which polynucleotide sequences occurred;

from the sequences of the variable genetic region comprised in the polynucleotide sequences thus determined identifying particular variants of said variable genetic element;

from this information determining the number of times given variants occur in each sample, and

from the number for each variant in the polynucleotides thus determined, quantifying said polynucleotides in said samples.

wherein said sequences are determined without Southern blot transfer and/or without size-separating primer extension products and/or without electrophoresis.

Embodiments provide methods in accordance with any of the foregoing or the following wherein given polynucleotide sequences in a sample is quantified by a method comprising normalizing the number occurrences determined for the given sequence. In embodiments the number of occurrences is normalized by dividing the number of occurrences determined for the given polynucleotide sequence by the total number of occurrences of polynucleotide sequences in the sample. In embodiments the given polynucleotide sequences is that of a given variant of a variable genetic region and, in embodiments, the quantity of the given variant in the sample is normalized by dividing the number of occurrences of that

variant by the total number of occurrences of all variants of the variable genetic region in the sample.

Embodiments provide a multiplex method for determining polynucleotide sequences in two or more samples, comprising: attaching a first tag sequence to one or more
 5 polynucleotides of a first sample; attaching a second tag sequence different from said first tag sequence to one or more polynucleotides of a second sample; mixing the tagged polynucleotides of said first and second samples together; determining sequences of said polynucleotides comprising said first and said second tags; and identifying said first and second tags in said sequences; thereby identifying sequences of said polynucleotides of said
 10 first sample and second samples, wherein said sequences are determined without Southern blot transfer and/or without size-separating primer extension products and/or without electrophoresis.

Embodiments provide a multiplex method for determining polynucleotide sequences in two or more samples comprising:

15 attaching a first tag sequence, t_1 , to P_{1-1} through P_{1-n1} polynucleotides in a first sample, thereby to provide a first plurality of polynucleotides tagged with said first tag, t_1P_{1-1} through t_1P_{1-n1} ;

attaching a second tag sequence, t_2 , to P_{2-1} through P_{2-n2} polynucleotides in a second sample, thereby to provide a second plurality of polynucleotides tagged with said second tag,
 20 t_2P_{2-1} through t_2P_{2-n2} ;

mixing together said polynucleotides tagged with said first and said second tags;
 determining sequences of polynucleotides comprising said tags in said mixture;
 identifying said first and second tags in said sequences and;

by said first tag identifying polynucleotide sequences of said first sample and by said
 25 second tag identifying polynucleotide sequences of said second sample;

wherein said sequences are determined without Southern blot transfer and/or without size-separating primer extension products and/or without electrophoresis.

Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following, wherein the number of said polynucleotides in said first sample, n_1 , is any of 2, 5, 10, 25, 50,
 30 100, 150, 200, 250, 500, 1,000, 1,500, 2,000, 2,500, 5,000, 7,500, 10,000, 12,500, 15,000, 17,500, 20,000, 25,000, 30,000, 35,000, 40,000, 50,000, 75,000, 100,000, 150,000, 200,000, 250,000, 500,000, 1,000,000 or more, and the number of said polynucleotides in said second sample, n_2 , is any of 2, 5, 10, 25, 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 500, 1,000, 1,500, 2,000, 2,500,

5,000, 7,500, 10,000, 12,500, 15,000, 17,500, 20,000, 25,000, 30,000, 35,000, 40,000, 50,000, 75,000, 100,000, 150,000, 200,000, 250,000, 500,000, 1,000,000 or more.

Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following, wherein the number of said samples and of said different tags therefor is 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 50,

5 75, 100, 150, 200, 250, 500, 1,000, 2,500, 5,000, 10,000 or more.

Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following, wherein the tags are nucleotide sequences that are 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36 nucleotides long or any combination thereof.

10 Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following, wherein the tags are incorporated into said polynucleotides by a step of ligation, provided that the step of ligation does not result in biasing.

Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following, wherein the tags are incorporated into said polynucleotides by a step of ligation and/or by a
15 step of amplification.

Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following, wherein said tags are comprised in primers for amplification and are incorporated into said polynucleotides by amplification using said primers.

20 Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following, wherein said tags are incorporated into said polynucleotides by a process comprising a step of cloning into a vector.

Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following, wherein the tags are comprised in adapters for amplification and said adapters are ligated to polynucleotides in said samples. Embodiments provide a method in this regard, wherein
25 further, said polynucleotides ligated thereby to said tags are amplified via said adapters. Embodiments provide a method in this regard, wherein further, said adapters comprise a moiety for immobilization. In embodiments said moiety is a ligand; in embodiments it is biotin. Embodiments provide a method in this regard, wherein further, said tags are comprised on adapters for bead emulsion amplification. In embodiments the adapters are suitable for use
30 in a sequencing system of 454 Life Sciences or other sequencing system in which bead emulsion amplification is carried out.

Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following, wherein the primer for amplification comprises a sequence for PCR amplification, linear amplification, transcriptional amplification, rolling circle replication, or QB replication.

Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following,
5 wherein the primer for amplification comprises a sequence for PCR amplification.

Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following, wherein each of said polynucleotides is disposed individually on a bead isolated from other polynucleotides.

Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following,
10 wherein each of said polynucleotides is disposed individually on a bead isolated from other said polynucleotides, is amplified while disposed therein, and the amplification products thereof also are disposed on said bead.

Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following, wherein each of said polynucleotides is disposed individually on a bead isolated from other
15 said polynucleotides, is amplified while disposed therein, the amplification products thereof also are disposed on said bead, and each said bead is disposed individually in a well isolated from other said beads.

Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following, wherein the sequences are determined by pyrosequencing.

Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following,
20 wherein said samples are biological samples, each comprising one or more species.

Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following, wherein at least one sequence of said polynucleotides is specific to a particular organism.

Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following,
25 wherein said sequences comprise a variable 16S rRNA sequence.

Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following, wherein said sequences comprise a variable 18S rRNA sequence, a variable rRNA ITS sequence, a mitochondrial sequence, a microsatellite sequence, a metabolic enzyme sequence, and/or a genetic disease sequence.

Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following,
30 wherein the samples are microbial community samples.

Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following, wherein the samples are microbial community samples for clinical analysis of a patient.

Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following, wherein the samples are microbial community environmental samples.

Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following, wherein the samples are microbial community soil samples.

5 Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following, wherein the samples are microbial community water samples.

Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following, wherein the samples are samples for SNP analysis.

10 Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following, wherein the samples are samples for genotyping.

Embodiments provide a multiplex method according to any of the foregoing or the following for determining polynucleotide sequences of two or more samples, comprising,

amplifying polynucleotides of a first sample to produce first amplified polynucleotides comprising a first tag sequence;

15 separately amplifying polynucleotides of a second sample to produce second amplified polynucleotides comprising a second tag sequence different from said first tag sequence;

wherein the amplification products arising from different individual polynucleotides are spatially separated from one another;

mixing together amplicons of said first and second samples;

20 distributing the amplicons in the mixture into spatially distinct locations; sequencing the amplicons thus distributed using one or more primers that hybridize 5' to said tag sequences;

identifying said tag sequences in the sequences of polynucleotides thus determined;

and

25 identifying by said tags polynucleotides of said first sample and polynucleotides of said second sample.

Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following, comprising,

30 (a) for each sample separately: isolating polynucleotides to be sequenced, ligating said polynucleotides to a common adaptor comprising a tag sequence, and capturing individual ligated polynucleotides onto individual beads under conditions that provide predominately for the immobilization of 0 or 1 molecule per bead;

(b) thereafter mixing together said beads comprising said polynucleotides.

Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following, further comprising, amplifying bead-immobilized polynucleotides in droplets of an emulsion thereby to clonally amplify said individual polynucleotides on said beads, wherein amplification comprises amplification of said tag sequence.

5 Embodiments provide a method according to any of the foregoing or the following, further comprising, distributing individual droplets containing said amplified polynucleotides into wells under conditions that provide predominantly for 0 or 1 droplet per well, determining in individual wells the sequences of polynucleotides comprising said tag sequences, and by said tag sequences identifying polynucleotides of said first and said second samples.

10 In embodiments the invention provides methods in accordance with any of the foregoing or the following, for any one or more of detecting, monitoring, profiling, prognosticating, and/or diagnosing a disorder, disease, or the like.

In embodiments the invention provides methods in accordance with any of the foregoing or the following, for analyzing the composition, diversity, stability, dynamics,
15 and/or changes in agricultural, food, biosecurity, veterinary, clinical, ecological, zoological, oceanological, and/or any other sample comprising one or more polynucleotides.

Embodiments provide kits comprising a plurality of two or more primers, each primer in said plurality comprising a tag sequence and a probe sequence specific to a target sequence, wherein:

20 (A) in each of said primers the probe sequence is 3' to the tag sequence, but not necessarily adjacent thereto;

(B) in each of said primers: the tag sequence is different from the tag sequence of the other in the plurality; the tag sequence is not the complementary sequence to any other tag sequence in the plurality; the tag sequence does not contain any homodinucleotide sequences;
25 the junction sequences between the tag sequence and the adjacent parts of the primer, if any, is not a homodinucleotide sequence;

(C) in each of said primers the probe sequence is complementary to the target sequence and the target sequence is located 3' to a variable genetic region, and

(D) each of said primers is disposed separately from the others in containers in said
30 kit.

Embodiments provide kits in accordance with any of the foregoing or the following, wherein each of said primers further comprises a priming sequence 5' to the tag sequence but not necessarily adjacent thereto, and the priming sequence is the same in all of said primers,

said kit further comprising a primer complimentary to and effective for polymerization from said priming sequence.

Embodiments provides kits comprising a plurality of two or more primers pairs, each primer in said plurality comprising a tag sequence and a probe sequence specific to a target

5 sequence, wherein:

(A) in each of said primer the probe sequence is 3' to the tag sequence, but not necessarily adjacent thereto;

(B) in each of said primers: the tag sequence is different from the tag sequence of the other in the plurality; the tag sequence is not the complementary sequence to any other tag
10 sequence in the plurality; the tag sequence does not contain any homodinucleotide sequences; the junction sequences between the tag sequence and the adjacent parts of the primer, if any, is not a homodinucleotide sequence;

(C) in each of said primers the probe sequence is complementary to the target sequence,

15 (D) in each primer pair the probe sequences are specific to target sequences that flank a variable genetic region;

(E) each of said primers is disposed separately from the others in said kit.

Embodiments provides kits in accordance with any of the foregoing or the following, wherein, the primers further comprise a priming sequence 5' to the tag sequence but not
20 necessarily adjacent thereto, the priming sequence either is the same in all the primers, or one member of each pair has the same first priming sequence and the second member of each pair has the same second priming sequence, said kit further comprising disposed separately from one another in one or more containers one or more primers complementary to and effective for elongation from said priming

25 Embodiments provide a kit useful in methods according to any of the foregoing or the following, comprising a set of primers and/or adapters, wherein each primer and/or adapter in said set comprises a tag sequence and a primer sequence. In embodiments the primers and/or adapters further comprise a moiety for immobilization. In embodiments the primers and/or adapters comprise biotin. In embodiments the primers and/or adapters in the set comprise all
30 tag sequences defined by 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, or 8 base polynucleotide sequences, wherein each of said primers and/or adapters are disposed in containers separate from one another. In embodiments there are 1-5, 3-10, 5-15, 10-25, 20-50, 25-75, 50-100, 50-150, 100-200, 150-500, 250-750, 100-1000, or more different tag sequences disposed separately from one

another, so as to be useful for uniquely tagging said number of different samples. In
embodiments the primers and/or adapters are suitable for use as 454 Life Sciences
amplification adapters and/or primers. In embodiments the primers and/or adapters further
comprise any one or more of a primer sequence for any one or more of a 16S rRNA sequence,
5 an 18S rRNA sequence, an ITS sequence, a mitochondrial sequence, a microsatellite
sequence, a metabolic enzyme sequence, a genetic disease sequence, and/or any other
sequence for amplification or analysis.

In embodiments the invention provides a kit, in accordance with any of the foregoing
or the following, comprising a set of primers and/or adapters for use in a method according to
10 any of the foregoing or the following, wherein each primer and/or adapter in said set
comprises a tag sequence, the tag sequence of each of said primers and/or adapters is different
from that of the other primers and/or adapters in said set, the primers and/or adapters further
comprise a priming sequence that is the same in all of the primers and/or adapters in said set,
the tag sequences are located 5' to the priming sequence and the different primers and/or
15 adapters comprising each different tag sequence are disposed separately from one another. In
embodiments the tags are any number of bases long. In embodiments the tags are 2, 3, 4, 5, 6,
8, 10, 12 bases long. In embodiments the tags are 4 bases long. In embodiments the priming
sequence is specific to any target polynucleotide of interest. In embodiments the priming
sequence is specific to a sequence in 16S rRNA. In embodiments the tags differ from each
20 other by at least 2 bases. In embodiments the tags do not contain polynucleotide tracts within
the tag. In embodiments the tags do not contain homo-polynucleotide tracts within or at the
junction of the tag and PCR primer. In embodiments the tags do not contain polynucleotide
tracts within or at the junction of the tag and emulsion PCR adapter. In embodiments, the tags
are not reverse compliments of each other.

Brief Description of the Figures

Figure 1 is a schematic diagram showing a general embodiment of the invention. A
plurality of samples (S_1 , S_2 , through S_j) is shown topmost in the Figure. Each sample is
comprised of a plurality of polynucleotides (P_{1-1} to P_{1-n1} in S_1 ; P_{2-1} to P_{2-n2} in S_2 ; through P_{j-1} to
30 P_{j-nj}). The polynucleotides in each sample are labeled separately with a tag polynucleotide
sequence, all the polynucleotides in a given sample being tagged (in this illustration) with a
single tag sequence, designated in the figure as T_1 for S_1 , T_2 for S_2 , through T_j for S_j . The
individual tagged polynucleotides are denoted accordingly. The tagged polynucleotides in

each sample are designated collectively, for each sample, T_1S_1 , T_2S_2 , through T_jS_j . The tagged polynucleotides from the samples are mixed together to form a mixture, designated M_i . The mixture is sequenced, typically by a massively parallel sequencing method. The tag sequences are identified in the data thus obtained. The sequences are grouped by tag. The sequences from the individual samples are thereby identified.

Figure 2A is a diagram depicting step I in the multitag sequencing of microbial community samples using a tagged 16S forward and reverse primer-linker pairs for PCR amplification. (a) represents the Forward 16S rRNA primer with Tag I and Emulsion PCR Linker, (b) represents the 16S rRNA sequence, (c) represents the Reverse 16S rRNA primer with Tag j and Emulsion PCR Linker, (d) represents the Amplified 16S rRNA sequence with Forward and Reverse Tags ij , (e) represents the Emulsion PCR Bead, (f) represents the pyrosequencing read, (g) represents the well in picoliter plate, (h) represents a Unique tag, (i) represents Amplified Community 1, (j) represents Amplified Community 2, and (k) represents Amplified Community n . Step 1 involves the amplification of the microbial community from each sample using uniquely tagged universal primers-linkers. In step 1, different samples are amplified separately, using 16S rRNA specific adapter-tag-primers with a different tag for each sample.

Figure 2B is a diagram depicting the Emulsion PCR reaction beads randomly arrayed into picoliter plate. In step 2 in the process, the PCR products from all the samples are mixed, immobilized on beads, distributed into wells of the picoliter plate, and emulsion PCR amplified.

Figure 2C is a diagram depicting the pyrosequencing process from each outside adapter in each well of the picoliter plate. Each reaction reads sequence from the adapter, through the unique tags and the associated sequence of the tagged sample

Figure 2D is a diagram depicting the algorithmic sorting of the Pyrosequencing reads using the individual tag sequence and a portion of the primer sequence. (l) represents the sequence reads from sample 1, (m) represents the sequence reads from sample 2, and (n) represents the sequence reads from sample n .

Figure 2E is a diagram depicting the identification of microbial taxa by comparing the sequence reads for each sample against the 16S rRNA sequence database and then normalize abundance in each taxa with respect to the total reads in that particular sample. (o) represents the normalized species histogram derived the pyrosequencing reads obtained from sample 1, (p) represents the normalized species histogram derived the pyrosequencing reads obtained

BIOS0004-WO1

from sample 2, (q) represents the normalized species histogram derived the pyrosequencing reads obtained from sample n,

Figure 3 is the species distribution in (A) Controls, (B) Crohns, and (C) Ulcerative colitis samples determined by the 454 Life Science pyrosequencing process. Each bar in the histogram is the average normalized abundance of that taxa in each disease state. Each sample was run in a separate well on the picoliter plate using the 454 16 well mask.

Figure 4 is an example of the distortion of the components of a complex mixture caused by ligating the Emulsion PCR adapters onto PCR amplicons. Figure 4A shows the size distribution of PCR amplicons in sample 309 before ligation and Figure 4B shows the size distribution of sample 309 after ligation.

Figure 5 is an example of the normalized taxa abundances in duplicate samples determined by Multitag pyrosequencing after direct ligation of the emulsion PCR adapters.

Figure 6 shows all possible hexameric polynucleotide tags within which there are no dinucleotide repeats and no tag is the reverse complement of any other tag.

Figure 7 shows 96 tagged adaptor primers in which there are no dinucleotide repeats in the tags, no dinucleotide repeats at the junction of the tags and the tags are not reverse complements of one another. In each case 5 bases of the primer also can be used to identify samples. 7A and 7B show the forward primers (SEQ ID NOS 1-96, respectively in order of appearance). 7C and 7D show the reverse primers (SEQ ID NOS 97-192, respectively in order of appearance).

Glossary

The meanings ascribed to various terms and phrases as used herein are illustratively explained below.

“A” or “an” means one or more; at least one.

“About” as used herein means roughly, approximately. Should a precise numerical definition be required, “about” means +/- 25%.

“Adapter” means a polynucleotide sequence used to either attach single polynucleotide fragments to beads and/or to prime the emulsion PCR reaction and/or as a template to prime pyrosequencing reactions.

“ALH” is used herein to mean amplicon length heterogeneity.

“Amplicon” is used herein to refer to the products of an amplification reaction.

“Clonally amplified” is used herein generally to mean amplification of a single starting molecule. Typically it also refers to the clustering together of the amplification products, isolated from other amplification templates or products.

“dsDNA” means double stranded DNA.

Dysbiosis means a shift in a the species and abundance of species in a microbial community.

5 “Flanking” generally is used to mean on each side, such as on the 5’ and the 3’ side of a region of a polynucleotide – with reference to the 5’ and the 3’ ends of one or the other stand of a double stranded polynucleotide. Forward and reverse primers for amplifying a region of a polynucleotide by PCR, for instance, flank the region to be amplified.

10 “Microbial community sample” is used herein to refer to a sample, generally of a biological nature, containing two or more different microbes. Microbial community samples include, for instance, environmental samples, as well as biological samples, such as samples for clinical analysis. The term applies as well to preparations, such as DNA preparations, derived from such samples.

“Multiplex sequencing” herein refers to sequencing two or more types or samples of polynucleotides in a single reaction or in a single reaction vessel.

15 “PCO” means principal coordinates analysis.

“PCA” means principal component analysis.

“Picotiter plate” means a plate having a large number of wells that hold a relatively small volume, typically more wells than a 96-well microtiter plate, and smaller volumes than those of a typical 96-well microtiter plate well.

20 “Primer” means a polynucleotide sequence that is used to amplify PCR products and/or to prime sequencing reactions.

“ssDNA” means single stranded DNA.

25 “Tag,” “Tag sequence,” etc. means typically a heterologous sequence, such as a polynucleotide sequence that identifies another sequence with which it is associated as being of a given type or belonging to a given group.

30 “Variable genetic region” as used herein means a genetic region that varies, such as between individuals of a species and between species. The phrase does not denote a specific length, but, rather is used to denote a region comprising a variation the exact length of which may vary and may differ in different contexts. As to a double stranded polynucleotide. the term includes one or the other and both stands of the region, and may be used to refer to one, the other, or to both strands, and it will generally be clear from the context which is meant. A specific example of a genetic region that varies between individuals, provided for illustration only, is a genetic region that contains an SNP (single nucleotide polymorphism) site. By

variable genetic region in this regard is meant a region containing the SNP site. Different sequences of the SNP in this regard constitute the variants of the variable genetic region. A specific example of a variable genetic region that differs between species is the genes for 16S RNA which vary characteristically between microbes and can be used to identify microbes in mixed community samples as described in greater detail in some of the examples herein.

Description of the Invention

In certain aspects and embodiments the invention relates to multiplex sequencing analysis using tags. In various aspects and embodiments of the invention in this regard the invention provides methods for sequencing two or more samples simultaneously in a mixture with one another, wherein each sample is first linked to a sample-specific sequence tag, the tagged samples are mixed and sequenced, and the sequences from each sample then are identified by their respective sample-specific sequence tags.

Figure 1 provides a general depiction of various aspects and embodiments of the invention in this regard, and the figure is discussed by way of illustration below with reference to sequencing DNA from different samples. A plurality of samples (S_1, S_2 , through S_j) is shown topmost in the Figure. Each sample is comprised of a plurality of polynucleotides (P_{1-1} to P_{1-n1} in S_1 ; P_{2-1} to P_{2-n2} in S_2 ; through P_{j-1} to P_{j-nj}). The polynucleotides in each sample are labeled separately with a tag polynucleotide sequence, all the polynucleotides in a given sample being tagged (in this illustration) with a single tag sequence, designated in the figure as T_1 for S_1 , T_2 for S_2 , through T_j for S_j . The individual tagged polynucleotides are denoted accordingly. The tagged polynucleotides in each sample are designated collectively, for each sample, T_1S_1, T_2S_2 through T_jS_j . The tagged polynucleotides from the samples are mixed together to form a mixture, designated M_i . The mixture is sequenced typically by a parallel sequencing method. The tag sequences are identified in the data thus obtained. The sequences are grouped by tag. The sequences from the individual samples are thereby identified.

In embodiments tags are 3 to 30, 4 to 25, 4 to 20 base long sequences. In embodiments the tags are 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36 nucleotides long or any combination thereof.

In embodiments there are 1 – 6, 6 – 12, 10 – 15, 10 – 20, 15 – 25, 20 – 40, 25 – 50, 25 – 75, 50 – 100, 50 – 150, 100 – 200, 100 – 250, 50 – 250, 100 – 500, 500 – 1,000, 100 –

1,000, 500 – 5,000, 100 – 10,000, 1,000 – 25,000, 500 – 50,000, 100 – 100,000, 1 – 1,000,000 or more samples, tagged, respectively, with 1 – 6, 6 – 12, 10 – 15, 10 – 20, 15 – 25, 20 – 40, 25 – 50, 25 – 75, 50 – 100, 50 – 150, 100 – 200, 100 – 250, 50 – 250, 100 – 500, 500 – 1,000, 100 – 1,000, 500 – 5,000, 100 – 10,000, 1,000 – 25,000, 500 – 50,000, 100 – 100,000, 1 – 1,000,000 or more different tags.

In embodiments the sequences are determined without the use of gel electrophoresis. In embodiments the sequences are determined without the use of transfer of sequences from a gel onto a membrane or a filter for hybridization. In embodiments, sequences are determined by a parallel sequencing method. In embodiments the sequences are determined by pyrosequencing, sequencing by synthesis, hybridization sequencing, subtractive sequencing, pore sequencing or direct read sequencing.

In embodiments the tags are incorporated into polynucleotides in samples for sequencing by a step of ligation and/or by a step of amplification.

In embodiments the tags are comprised in primers for amplification.

In embodiments the tags are comprised in primers for PCR amplification, transcription amplification, rolling circle amplification, or amplification by Q β replicase.

In embodiments the tags are comprised in emulsion PCR adapters and primers for amplification.

In embodiments the tags are incorporated by a step of cloning into a vector.

In embodiments the samples are microbial community samples. In embodiments the samples are clinical samples. In embodiments the samples are environmental samples. In embodiments the samples are samples for SNP analysis. In embodiments the samples are samples for genotyping. In embodiments the sequences are determined in one or more picotiter plates.

In embodiments the samples are fragmented genomic DNAs. In embodiments the samples are fragmented Bacterial genomic DNA, Archae genomic DNA, Fungal genomic DNA, Eukaryotic genomic DNA, chloroplast DNA, and/or mitochondrial DNA. In embodiments the samples are cDNAs. In embodiments the samples are Eukaryotic cDNA, Bacterial cDNA, Archae cDNA, and/or Fungal cDNA. In embodiments the tags are incorporated by a step of ligation and/or by a step of amplification.

In embodiments the samples are for any one or more of detecting, monitoring, profiling, prognosticating, and/or diagnosing a disorder, disease, or the like.

In embodiments the samples are for analyzing the composition, diversity, stability, dynamics, and/or changes in agricultural, food, biosecurity, veterinary, clinical, ecological, zoological, oceanological, and/or any other sample comprising one or more polynucleotides.

In embodiments the sequences are determined in wells of a titer plate. In embodiments
5 the sequences are determined in one or more picotiter plates having a mask. In embodiments the sequences are determined in one more picotiter plates having a mask, wherein the mask defines 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64 or more compartments.

By way of illustration to a 454 picotiter plate, in embodiments there are about 120,000 templates/plate and the read length averages about 250 bases per template. In embodiments
10 relating thereto there are 10 tags of 4 bases per 1/16 plate, 160 tags total, an average of about 750 templates per tag (and per sample), and about 187,500 bases sequenced per tag (and per sample).

In embodiments there are about 260,000 templates/plate and the read length averages about 250 bases per template. In embodiments relating thereto, there are 12 tags of 4 bases
15 per 1/8 plate, 96 samples total, an average of about 2,708 templates per tag (and per sample) and about 677,083 bases of sequence per tag (and per sample).

In embodiments there are about 400,000 templates/plate and the read length averages about 250 bases per template. In embodiments relating thereto, there are 96 tags of 6 bases for 96 samples per plate, about 4,166 templates per tag (and per sample) and about 1,041,666
20 bases of sequence per tag (and per sample).

In embodiments the tags are 10 base long sequences, there are 192 different tags, and the samples are analyzed in microtiter plate format.

In embodiments the invention provides algorithms for deconvolving, from a mixture of sequences from two or more samples, the sequences of the samples in the mixture by
25 identifying sample-specific tags in the sequences, grouping the sequences by the tags thus identified, thereby grouping together the sequence from each of said samples, apart from one another.

In embodiments the invention provides algorithms for deconvolving, from a mixture of sequences from two or more samples, the sequences of the samples in the mixture by
30 identifying sample-specific tags in sequences, as follows:

1. Read all sequence reads into an array;
2. Search the beginning of each sequence read and identify the tag;
3. Build an associative array linking tag with sequence read;

4. Sort the keys for the associate array;
5. Associate each key with the corresponding sample;
6. Pool all sequence reads for each sample;
7. Analyze each sample separately.
- 5 8. Normalize the abundance of each component within each samples with respect to the total reads within that sample.

In embodiments the algorithm can be implemented in any programming language. In embodiments the algorithm is implemented in C, C++, JAVA, Fortran, or Basic. In embodiments the algorithm is implemented as a PERL script.

10 In embodiments the invention provides kits for multiplex sequencing as described herein, comprising a set of primers and/or adapters, wherein each primer and/or adapter in said set comprises a tag sequence, a primer sequence and/or an emulsion PCR adapter. In embodiments the primers and/or adapters further comprise a moiety for immobilization. In embodiments the primers and/or adapters comprise biotin. In embodiments the primers and/or
 15 adapters in the set comprise all tag sequences defined by 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, or 8 base polynucleotide sequences, wherein said primers and/or adapters comprising different tag sequences are disposed in containers separate from one another. In embodiments there are 1-5, 3-10, 5-15, 10-25, 20-50, 25-75, 50-100, 50-150, 100-200, 150-500, 250-750, 100-1000, or more different tag sequences disposed separately from one another, so as to be useful for
 20 uniquely tagging said number of different samples. In embodiments the primers and/or adapters are suitable for use as 454 Life Sciences amplification adapters and/or primers. In embodiments the primers and/or adapters further comprise any one or more of a primer sequence for any one or more of a 16S rRNA sequence, an 18S rRNA sequence, an ITS sequence, a mitochondrial sequence, a microsatellite sequence, a metabolic enzyme sequence,
 25 a genetic disease sequence, and/or any other sequence for amplification or analysis.

Examples

The present invention is additionally described by way of the following illustrative, non-limiting examples.

30 **EXAMPLE 1: Sequencing Using the 454 Pyrosequencing System**

454 Life Sciences, a subsidiary of Roche Diagnostics, provides a device for pyrosequencing approximately 100,000,000 bases of about 400,000 different templates in a single run on a single picotiter plate. The company also provides masks that allows for the

processing 2, 4, 8, or 16 different samples on one plate. At maximum capacity using the masked plate, the system provides about 1 million bases of sequence data on about 4,000 templates for each of 16 samples.

The general process of sequencing using the 454 system is generally as follows:

- 5 isolate DNA; optionally fragment the DNA; optionally render the DNA double stranded; ligate the DNA to adaptors; separate the strands of the dsDNA, bind the ssDNA to beads under conditions that result in a preponderance of beads that have either no DNA molecule bound to them or a single molecule of DNA bound to them; capture the beads in individual droplets of an emulsion of a PCR reaction mix in oil; carry out a PCR reaction on the
- 10 emulsion-encapsulated bead-DNAs (whereby amplification products are captured on the beads); distribute the amplification products into picoliter wells so that there is either no bead in a well or one bead; and carry out pyrosequencing on all the beads in all the wells in parallel.

EXAMPLE 2: Multiplex Pyrosequencing using 96 tagged adapter-PCR primers.

- 15 454 Life Sciences, a subsidiary of Roche Diagnostics, provides a device for pyrosequencing approximately 100,000,000 bases of sequence for about 400,000 different templates in a single run on a single picotiter plate. At maximum capacity using the plate, the system provides about 10 million bases of sequence data for each of about 4,000 templates for each of 96 multitagged samples. In this example the 96 tags are 6 bases in length and are used
- 20 along with 6 bases of the forward or reverse primer to identify the reads that belong with each of the 96 individual samples (see Figure 2).

EXAMPLE 3: Multitag Pyrosequence Analysis of Microbial Community Samples

- 25 Various aspects and embodiments of the invention herein described are illustrated by way of the following general example relating to “ecogenomic” analysis of microbial diversity in biological samples.

- The ability to quantify the number and kinds of microorganisms within a community is fundamental to the understanding of the structure and function of an ecosystem, as discussed
- 30 in, for instance, Pace 1997 and Theron and Cloete 2000. Traditionally, the analysis of microbial communities has been conducted using microbiological techniques, but these techniques are limited. For instance they are not useful for the many organisms that cannot be cultivated (Ritchie, Schutter et al. 2000; Spring, Schulze et al. 2000). Even for those

organisms that can be cultured, these techniques provide little information with which to identify individual microbes or characterize their physiological traits. (Morris, Bardin et al. 2002).

Recent advances in molecular techniques have overcome some of these disadvantages, and have enabled the identification of many more taxa in microbial communities than traditional microbial techniques. These advances have provided considerable insight into the expression of key functions in species in microbial communities. (Pace 1997; Suzuki 1998; Amann 2000; Frischer, Danforth et al. 2000; Ritchie, Schutter et al. 2000; Spring, Schulze et al. 2000). Among these molecular techniques are Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis (DGGE), Temperature Gradient Gel Electrophoresis (TGGE), Temporal Temperature Gradient Gel Electrophoresis (TTGE), Terminal-Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (T-RFLP), Single Strand Conformation Polymorphism (SSCP), and Length Heterogeneity PCR (LH-PCR) (Frischer, Danforth et al. 2000; Theron and Cloete 2000; Mills, Fitzgerald et al. 2003; Seviour, Mino et al. 2003; Klaper and Thomas 2004).

Among these, LH-PCR is probably the best technique for fingerprinting. It is inexpensive, fast, and can be used routinely to screen several hundred samples a day. It is useful as a routine survey tool that can be used to monitor the dynamics of natural soil microbial communities, and to quickly identify samples of interest by PCO analysis. LH-PCR has been used to extensively assess natural variation in bacterial communities by profiling the amplified variable regions of 16S rRNA genes in mixed microbial population samples, using PAGE. (See Mills 2000; Litchfield and Gillevet 2002; Lydell, Dowell et al. 2004). The LH-PCR products of the individual species in the population give rise to distinct bands in the gels. The “peak area” of each band is proportional to the abundance of the species in the community. LH-PCR of 16S rRNA variable regions has been used quite successfully to estimate species diversity in bacterioplankton communities, in particular. (See Suzuki, Rappe et al. 1998; Ritchie, Schutter et al. 2000).

Community functionality cannot be determined directly from 16S rRNA clone data, however, it must be inferred from the data by phylogenetic analysis. Furthermore, LH-PCR and other fingerprinting technologies, while powerful tools for monitoring population dynamics, cannot identify individual species in a community. For this, fingerprinting investigations must be followed up by library construction, cloning, sequencing, and phylogenetic analysis. (Fitzgerald 1999; McCraig 1999; Spring, Schulze et al. 2000; Theron and Cloete 2000; Litchfield and Gillevet 2002; Bowman and McCuaig 2003; Kang and Mills

2004; Eckburg, Bik et al. 2005). Identifying species of a fingerprinting study, thus, is a considerable undertaking that is inconvenient, time-consuming, expensive and subject to technical limitations.

Grouping samples can, to some extent, reduce the cost, time, and expense of such analyses. For instance, PCO analysis of LH-PCR data can be used to group samples with similar profiles for batch cloning and sequencing. Combining the samples this way reduces the time, expense, and work involved in analyzing the samples. Sequencing of at least 300 random clones is required to identify the bacterial components of the pooled sample down to 1% of the total bacterial populations in typical samples. This level of resolution is similar to that of ALH fingerprinting. Originally a novel approach, pooling similar samples prior to cloning and sequencing has proven to be robust and effective.

In classic community studies in the literature (Eckburg, Bik et al. 2005), environmental samples are assayed independently. Then the clone sequence data from specific classes/groups are statistically analyzed usually using some sort of averaging metric. Analyses of this type can be extremely costly, especially if the clone libraries are exhaustively analyzed, something that typically involves sequencing thousands of clones. Moreover, for the “averaging” process to be valid, as required for comparing the mixed populations, the samples must be pooled in equal proportions. While simple in principle, in reality, it is difficult to accomplish and, even if accomplished, impossible to verify. A new technique, based on pyrosequencing, offers advantages that overcome a variety of these drawbacks of the fingerprinting technologies mentioned above. The method is implemented on an instrument sold by 454 Life Sciences, Inc., a subsidiary of Curagen Sciences, Inc., using reagents provided by the same company. In addition, 454 Life Sciences provides a custom service for pyrosequencing.

In this technology, individual DNA molecules are amplified on beads by PCR in individual droplets in an oil-in-water emulsion. Beads then are deposited individually in wells of a picotiter plate. The sequences of all the DNAs in the wells are determined in parallel by pyrosequencing. (See Venter, Levy et al. 2003; Margulies, Egholm et al. 2005; Poinar, Schwarz et al. 2006). In a typical run, there are about 200,000 templates per plate, an average read length of about 100 bases from each template, and a single-plate run generates about 20 million bases of sequence in a single four hour run.

Although the technology greatly increases throughput over previous methods, it is expensive. In particular, the cost per plate is too high for it to be economically practical to

carry out many analyses. To decrease cost, masks can be used that divide a plate into 16 independent sample zones, so that one plate can be used to process 16 different samples, either at the same time or independently. Each 1/16 zone provides about 1,000,000 bases of sequence data from about 10,000 different templates. While this reduces the cost per sample, the expenses associated with using this technology remain undesirably high.

Various aspects and embodiments of the present invention can be used to further reduce the cost per sample of this technology (as well as other techniques, as described elsewhere herein). The use of multitagging techniques (referred to as, among other things, "Multitag Process") to the genomic analysis of bacterial populations in accordance with certain aspects and embodiments of the invention, notably high coverage sequencing of bacterial communities, is referred to herein as "Multitag Ecogenomics" and also as "Multitag Ecogenomic Analysis."

(Several publications use the term "Multiplex Pyrosequencing" (Pourmand, Elahi et al. 2002) to refer to generating a composite signal from multiple targets that is read as a signature for a specific sample. The term is not used to refer to tag-based multiplexing in which sequences from different samples in a mixture are determined and then deconvolved from the mixed sequencing data using sample-specific tags incorporated during amplification reactions.)

As described below the Multitag Process in a relatively simple series of steps accomplishes everything that otherwise would require not only community fingerprinting analysis, but also all of the cloning and sequencing processes previously required for high coverage Ecogenomic Analysis using conventional techniques.

By way of illustration, the following example describes the use of Multitag Ecogenomic Analysis of variable regions of common genes using tagged universal primers for high coverage analysis of several microbial community samples all at the same time. The analysis is carried out much as described in general above, and further elaborated on in detail below.

Briefly, short tags are added to the 5' ends of the forward and reverse PCR primers normally used for community analysis. These tags can be placed between the Emulsion PCR adapters and the PCT primers (see Figure 2). A different tag is attached to the primers for each of the samples to be combined. For instance primers that span a variable region of 16S rRNA genes may be used for analysis of bacterial and archaeal communities. 16S rRNA-specific primers with 4 base tags are set out in the Table 1 below. Likewise primers that span

a variable region of an ITS gene may be used for analysis of fungal communities. It will be appreciated that the choice of these specific primers is not exclusive, and that a wide variety of other primers suitable to other target regions for amplification may be employed in much the same manner as described herein for the 16S and ITS genes. Thus, any gene of interest can be used that provides

5 conserved primer sites across a community, and sufficient variation in the region between the primers for the desired resolution of individual species. Thus, for example, genes specific to functional pathways such as anaerobic methane oxidation, or sulphur reduction can serve as targets for the amplification reaction, as well as 16S rRNA sequences.

Table 1

Name	Tag	Forward Shared Sequence (SEQ ID NOS 193-203, respectively in order of appearance)
		<i>AGCTAGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG</i>
L27FA	AGCT	AGCTAGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
L27FB	AGTC	AGTCAGTCAGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
L27FC	GATC	GATCGATCAGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
L27FD	GACT	GACTGACTAGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
L27FE	CTGC	CTGCCTGCAGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
L27FF	CTAG	CTAGCTAGAGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
L27FG	ATGC	ATGCATGCAGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
L27FH	ATAG	ATAGATAGAGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
L27FM	ATCT	ATCTATCTAGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
L27FO	ATAT	ATATATATAGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
Name	Tag	Reverse Shared Sequence (SEQ ID NOS 204-214, respectively in order of appearance)
		<i>AGCTGCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT</i>
355RA	AGCT	AGCTAGCTGCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
355RB	AGTC	AGTCAGTCGCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
355RC	GATC	GATCGATCGCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
355RD	GACT	GACTGACTGCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
355RE	CTGC	CTGCCTGCGCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
355RF	CTAT	CTATCTATGCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
355RG	ATGC	ATGCATGCGCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
355RH	ATAT	ATATATATGCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
355RM	ATCT	ATCTATCTGCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
355RO	ATAC	ATACATACGCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT

Table 1 shows a 16S rRNA-specific primer with a variety of 4 base tag sequences attached. As described herein such primers are useful for amplifying 16S rRNAs in several samples that can then be sequenced together. The 16S rRNA in each sample is amplified
 5 using a different tag, but the same 16S primer sequence. The amplified rRNA sequences from the samples are combined and sequenced together. The rRNA sequences from the different samples then are identified and sorted out by their 4 base tag sequence plus the first 4 bases of each primer. It is to be appreciated that the sequences downstream of the shared 16S primer sequence will differ among the samples, as well as the tag sequence.

10 In each case, the samples are individually amplified. The resulting amplicons comprise the primer sequences including the tags. Since unique tags are used for each sample, the tags in the amplicons from each sample will be different. The amplified DNAs are then pooled and sequenced by pyrosequencing as described above. The sequence data from a run is analyzed, in part, by grouping together all the sequences having the same tag. In this way,
 15 the sequences from each sample are demultiplexed from the sequencing data obtained from the mixture.

The working of the invention in this regard is illustrated by the following simulation, carried out using conventionally obtained population data from cold seep samples. The algorithm for sequence analysis uses a PERL script to extract the first 100 bases of sequence.
 20 It then analyzes all the 100 bases sequences using a custom RDP PERL script. The script works as follows:

1. Read all sequence reads into an associate array (Hash 1);
2. Extract 100 base subsequences from the beginning of each sequence read;
3. Create an associate array (Hash 2) of the sequences;
- 25 4. Perform a Blast search of the RDP database with Hash 1;
5. Perform a Blast search of the RDP database with Hash 2;
6. Compare the identifications for the original sequence (Hash 1) and the subsequence (Hash 2);
7. Compile a list of similar identifications for Hash 1 and Hash 2;
- 30 8. Compile a list of different identifications for Hash 1 and Hash 2;
9. Calculate the percentage of similar identifications.

As shown below, there is virtually no difference at the class level in the microbial diversity generated by the sequencing simulation and that derived directly from the 16S rRNA sequences in the data base.

5

Table 2

RDP Class	First 100mer	16S rRNA
ALPHA_SUBDIVISION	3.6%	3.6%
ANAEROBIC_HALOPHILES	3.6%	3.6%
BACILLUS-LACTOBACILLUS-STREPTOCOCCUS_SUBDIVISION	3.6%	3.6%
BACTEROIDES_AND_CYTOPHAGA	7.1%	7.1%
CHLOROFLEXUS_SUBDIVISION	3.6%	3.6%
CY.AURANTIACA_GROUP	7.1%	7.1%
CYANOBACTERIA	7.1%	7.1%
DELTA_SUBDIVISION	14.3%	14.3%
ENVIRONMENTAL_CLONE_WCHB1-41_SUBGROUP	7.1%	7.1%
FLX.LITORALIS_GROUP	3.6%	3.6%
GAMMA_SUBDIVISION	10.7%	10.7%
HIGH_G+C_BACTERIA	7.1%	7.1%
LEPTOSPIRILLUM_GROUP	3.6%	3.6%
MYCOPLASMA_AND_RELATIVES	3.6%	3.6%
PIRELLULA_GROUP	3.6%	3.6%
SPHINGOBACTERIUM_GROUP	3.6%	3.6%
SPIROCHAETA-TREPONEMA-BORRELIA_SUBDIVISION	3.6%	3.6%
THERMOANAEROBACTER_AND_RELATIVES	3.6%	3.6%

EXAMPLE 3: Multitag Pyrosequence Analysis of Dysbiosis in IBD

Inflammatory Bowel Diseases (IBD or IBDs), namely ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn's disease (CD), are chronic, lifelong, relapsing illnesses, affecting close to 1 million Americans and costing approximately \$2 billion per year to the US healthcare system. IBDs are of unknown cause, have no cure, and are increasing in incidence. The natural course of these diseases is characterized by periods of quiescence (inactive disease) interspersed with flare-ups (active disease). It is now widely accepted that flare-ups of IBD are due to a dysregulated inflammatory reaction to abnormal intestinal microflora dysbiosis), however.

Specific changes in the microflora of IBD patients that might cause these diseases remain unknown. Narrow searches for a single pathogen that causes IBD have been

unsuccessful. (See Guarner and Malagelada 2003). Studies of small bacterial groups have yielded ambiguous results. (See Schultz and Sartor 2000). Only recently have studies of large sets of bacterial flora been attempted. (See Eckburg, Bik, et al. 2005),. Improving our knowledge about GI tract microflora has the potential to revolutionize IBD treatment.

- 5 Development of real-time methods to study microfloral changes may lead to diagnostic tools to predict flare-ups, and to targeted, safe treatments for IBD.

The key requirement to understanding dysbiosis in polymicrobial diseases is for a method to interrogate widely the microflora in numerous control and disease samples to identify dynamic trends in species composition associated with health and disease progression.

- 10 In classic community studies (Eckburg, Bik, et al. 2005) environmental samples are assayed independently and then the clone sequence data from specific classes/groups are statistically analyzed usually using some sort of averaging metric. This can be extremely costly, especially if the clone libraries are exhaustively analyzed (i.e., 10,000 clones per sample).

- 15 To improve throughput and reduce cost, Amplicon Length Heterogeneity PCR (ALH-PCR) has been used to study the gut microflora. It offers a rapid way of screening complex microbial communities, allowing for easy fingerprinting of microfloral changes. The LH-PCR fingerprinting is inexpensive and fast, with the ability to screen several hundred samples a day. It can be used as a routine survey tool to monitor the dynamics of natural soil microbial communities or to quickly identify samples of interest using PCO analysis. PCO analysis has
20 been used to group samples with similar profiles, allowing them to be pooled for cloning and sequencing. This greatly reduces the cost of analyzing multiple samples, particularly when the analysis requires sequencing at least 300 random clones to identify bacterial components of the sample down to 1% representation in the total population (which is the resolution limit for ALH fingerprinting). Pooling similar samples before cloning and sequencing has proved to be
25 quite robust. However, equal amounts of the PCR product from each sample must be pooled or the results will be skewed.

- Multitag Pyrosequencing is a novel pyrosequencing technology that allows many community samples to be sequenced together at high coverage without the necessity for fingerprinting, cloning, or the purification and separation techniques required by conventional
30 methods for analyzing microbial communities, as described herein above. Multitag sequencing is more efficient, faster, and less costly than other methods.

By way of illustration, Multitag Pyrosequencing can be carried out using a set of specific tags on the end of standard universal small ribosomal sub-unit (“SSU”) rRNA

primers (See Table 1). A different set of the tagged primers is used to amplify the SSU rRNA in each different environmental sample (Figure 2-Step 1). The PCR amplicons from all the samples are pooled. Emulsion PCR is performed and the amplicons arising from each molecule are captured on their respective beads. Following amplification, the beads are distributed into the wells of a picoliter plate (Figure 2-Step 2). The sequences, including the tagged sequences, of the amplicons on each bead are determined by pyrosequencing (Figure 2-Step 3). A PERL script or other suitable program is used to sort the sequence information using the tags and primer sequence as a key. Sequences with the same tags are identified thereby with their respective sample. The bacteria species in each sample then are identified by matching the SSU rRNA sequences to entries in the database of the Ribosomal Database Project (either RDP 8.1 or RDP 9.0). The normalized frequency with which a bacteria is thus identified in a given sample is indicative of its relative representation in the microbial community. Histograms based on these frequency determinations can be used for the non-parametric analysis of dysbiotic shifts involved in disease states.

For example, Figure 3 depicts the results of such an experiment in which six Control, ten Crohns, and eight Ulcerative colitis mucosal samples were analyzed by Multitag Pyrosequencing. Each of the segments in the stacked histogram bars represents the normalized abundance of that specific taxa in a specific sample. In this experiment, identification of the taxa was performed using BLAST analysis of the RDP 8.1 database. It can be seen that some taxa (i.e. *Bacillus fragilis* subgroup and *Ruminococcus gnavus* subgroup) are present in the same abundance in both control and disease states. Other taxa, such as *Clostridium leptum* are more dominant in Ulcerative colitis, while others (i.e. the *Gloeotheca gloeocapsa* subgroup) are indicators of dysbiosis in the disease state.

However, the standard 454 Life Science process using a ligation step to link the emulsion PCR adapters to the PCR amplicons and produces numerous artifacts in the quantitation of the abundances of each taxa in the samples. In the results displayed in Figure 3, we algorithmically removed chimeras, reverse reads and truncated products and filtered the data to remove all taxa that were represented by less than 5% abundance. Only then were we able to see a correlation with disease state and specific microbial taxa.

EXAMPLE 4: Distortion of the distribution of components of a microbial community by directly ligating Emulsion PCR adapters onto PCR amplicons.

In one experiment we used tagged PCR primers to amplify the components in duplicate microbial community samples, ligated the Emulsion PCR adapters to these samples, and then subjected these samples to separate pyrosequencing runs. The amplicons are routinely run on an Agilent Bioanalyzer system before and after ligation to quantitate the mixture before emulsion PCR. Figure 4 depicts a sample run on the Bioanalyzer before and after direct ligation and clearly shows that the ligation step has drastically altered the distribution of the amplicons.

Additionally, we compared the normalized abundances of the component taxa identified by the multitag process after direct ligation of the Emulsion PCR adapters. In this experiment, identification of the taxa was performed using a Bayesian analysis of the RDP 9.0 database. We can see in Figure 5 that abundances of the forward and reverse primers for various taxa are different within a sample and between duplicate samples. In several cases, we are missing entire families in the comparison between duplicates. Table 3 summarizes the differences between the forward primers and the reverse primers of the duplicate samples and it is clearly stochastic with no predictable pattern. We hypothesize that this differential ligation efficiency could be due to a number of factors such as internal structure in the amplicons or biases in the terminal nucleotide of either the adapter or amplicon.

Table 3 Duplicate Sample Analysis

RDP 9.0 FAMILY	FORWARD PRIMERS RATIOS	REVERSE PRIMER RATIOS
Acidaminococcaceae	544.6%	195.0%
Actinomycetales	144.0%	116.5%
Bacteroidaceae	119.9%	124.5%
Clostridiaceae	97.5%	99.4%
Comamonadaceae	198.0%	
Coriobacteriales	181.5%	141.5%
Enterobacteriaceae	4.2%	
Eubacteriaceae	88.0%	87.5%
Flavobacteriaceae	34.9%	
Incertae sedis 9	106.4%	143.0%
Lachnospiraceae	176.8%	113.1%
Peptococcaceae		91.0%
Peptostreptococcaceae	94.7%	115.4%
Porphyromonadaceae	99.0%	97.3%
Prevotellaceae	264.0%	88.1%
Rikenellaceae	212.2%	106.1%
Streptococcaceae	74.3%	60.7%

Literature Cited

- 5 Amann, R. (2000). "Who is out there? Microbial Aspects of Biodiversity." *System. Appl. Microbiol.* 23: 1-8.
- Bowman, J. P. and R. D. McCuaig (2003). "Biodiversity, Community Structural Shifts, and Biogeography of Prokaryotes within Antarctic Continental Shelf Sediment." *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 69(5): 2463-2483.
- 10 Eckburg, P. B., E. M. Bik, et al. (2005). "Diversity of the human intestinal microbial flora." *Science* 308: 1635-1638.
- Fitzgerald, K. M. (1999). *Microbial Community Dynamics During the Bench-Scale Bioremediation of Petroleum-Contaminated Soil*. Department of Biology. Fairfax, VA, George Mason University: 73.
- 15 Frischer, A. E., J. M. Danforth, et al. (2000). "Whole-cell versus total RNA extraction for analysis of microbial community structure with 16S rRNA-targeted oligonucleotide probes in salt marsh sediments." *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66(7): 3037-3043.
- Guarner, F., and J.R. Malagelada. (2003). "Gut flora in health and disease." *Lancet* 361: 512-9.
- 20 Kang, S. and A. L. Mills (2004). "Soil Bacterial Community Changes Following Disturbance of the Overlying Plant Community." *Soil Science* 169: 55-65.
- Klaper, R. and M. Thomas (2004). "At the crossroads of genomics and ecology: the promise of a canary on a chip." *BioScience* 54: 403-412.
- Litchfield, C. D. and P. M. Gillevet (2002). "Microbial diversity and complexity in hypersaline environments: A preliminary assessment." *Journal of Industrial Microbiology & Biotechnology* 28(1): 48-55.
- 25 Lydell, C., L. Dowell, et al. (2004). "A population survey of members of the phylum Bacteroidetes isolated from salt marsh sediments along the east coast of the United States." *Microbial ecology* 48(2): 263-73.
- 30 Margulies, M., M. Egholm, et al. (2005). "Genome sequencing in microfabricated high-density picolitre reactors." *Nature*, 2005 Sep 15, 437(7057):376-80. Epub: 2005 Jul 31.

McCraig, A. E., L. Glover, J.I. Prosser (1999). "Molecular analysis of bacterial community structure and diversity in unimproved and improved upland grass pastures." *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 65: 1721-1730.

Mills, D. (2000). *Molecular Monitoring of Microbial Populations during Bioremediation of Contaminated Soils*. Environmental Sciences and Public Policy/Biology. Fairfax, VA, George Mason University: 217.

Mills, D. K., K. Fitzgerald, et al. (2003). "A Comparison of DNA Profiling Techniques for Monitoring Nutrient Impact on Microbial Community Composition during Bioremediation of Petroleum Contaminated Soils." *J. Microbiol. Method* 54: 57-74.

Morris, C. E., M. Bardin, et al. (2002). "Microbial biodiversity: approaches to experimental design and hypothesis testing in primary scientific literature from 1975 to 1999." *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* 66: 592-616.

Pace, N. R. (1997). "A Molecular View of Microbial Diversity and the Biosphere." *Science* 276: 734-739.

Poinar, H. N., C. Schwarz, et al. (2006). "Metagenomics to paleogenomics: large-scale sequencing of mammoth DNA." *Science*, 2006 Jan 20, 311(5759):392-4. Epub: 2005 Dec 20.

Pourmand, N., E. Elahi, et al. (2002). "Multiplex Pyrosequencing." *Nucleic acids research* 30(7): 31.

Ritchie, N. J., M. E. Schutter, et al. (2000). "Use of Length Heterogeneity PCR and Fatty Acid Methyl Ester Profiles to Characterize Microbial Communities in Soil." *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 66(4): 1668-1675.

Schultz, M., and R.B. Sator. (2000). "Probiotics and inflammatory bowel disease." *Am. J. of Gastroenterology* 2000 Jan. 95 (1 Suppl): S19-21.

Seviour, R. J., T. Mino, et al. (2003). "The microbiology of biological phosphorus removal in activated sludge systems." *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* 27: 99-127.

Spring, S., R. Schulze, et al. (2000). "Identification and characterization of ecologically significant prokaryotes in the sediment of freshwater lakes: molecular and cultivation studies." *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* 24: 573-590.

Suzuki, M., M. S. Rappe, et al. (1998). "Kinetic bias in estimates of coastal picoplankton community structure obtained by measurements of small-subunit rRNA gene

PCR amplicon length heterogeneity.” *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* [Appl. Environ. Microbiol.]. 64(11): 4522-4529.

Suzuki, M. T. (1998). The Effect of Protistan Bacterivory on Bacterioplankton Community Structure: Dissertation Abstracts International Part B Science and Engineering

5 [Diss. Abst. Int. Pt. B - Sci. & Eng.]. Vol. 59, no. 2, [np]. Aug 1998.

Theron, J. and T. E. Cloete (2000). “Molecular techniques for determining microbial diversity and community structure in natural environment.” *Critical Reviews in Microbiology* 26: 37-57.

Venter, J. C., S. Levy, et al. (2003). “Massive parallelism, randomness and genomic
10 advances.” *Nature genetics*, 2003 Mar, 33 Suppl: 219-27.

What is claimed is:

1. A multiplex method for determining abundance profiles of one or more target polynucleotide sequences across a plurality of samples, comprising:
 - amplifying and tagging target polynucleotides by PCR in each of said plurality of samples with an amplification primer comprising a high throughput sequencing adaptor, a sample-specific tag sequence of at least four nucleotides in length, and a priming sequence to amplify said target polynucleotide sequence(s);
 - combining the amplified polynucleotides and sequencing the polynucleotide pool in high throughput, so as to determine the sequence of at least 300 tagged polynucleotides for each of said samples;
 - assigning the nucleotide sequences to the originating samples by the nucleotide sequence of the sample-specific tag, thereby determining abundance profiles of the target polynucleotide sequence(s) across the samples.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the samples are clinical or environmental microbial community samples.
3. The method of claim 2, wherein the samples are clinical samples for patients suspected of having Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD), Crohn's Disease (CD), or ulcerative colitis (UC).
4. The method of claim 1, wherein the samples are clinical, agricultural, food, biosecurity, veterinary, ecological, zoological, or oceanological samples.
5. The method of claim 4, wherein the samples are environmental samples selected from soil and water.
6. The method of claim 1, wherein the target polynucleotides are bacterial genomic DNA, Archae genomic DNA, fungal genomic DNA, eukaryotic genomic DNA, chloroplast DNA, or mitochondrial DNA.
7. The method of claim 1, wherein the target polynucleotides are cDNA.
8. The method of claim 6, wherein the priming sequence hybridizes to a target sequence that is 3' to a variable genetic region.
9. The method of claim 8, wherein the variable genetic region varies across species.
10. The method of claim 9, wherein the variable genetic region is a variable 16S rRNA sequence, a variable 18S rRNA sequence, or a variable rRNA ITS sequence.

11. The method of claim 9, wherein the variable genetic region is a mitochondrial sequence, microsatellite sequence, or metabolic enzyme sequence.
12. The method of claim 1, wherein the number of samples is at least 10.
13. The method of claim 1, wherein the number of samples is at least 25.
14. The method of claim 1, wherein the number of samples is at least 50.
15. The method of claim 1, wherein the sample-specific tags are each from 4 to 25 nucleotides in length.
16. The method of claim 15, wherein the sample-specific tags are 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, or 12 nucleotides in length.
17. The method of claim 15, wherein the sample-specific tag sequences do not include any homodinucleotide sequence.
18. The method of claim 17, wherein no two of the tag sequences are complementary.
19. The method of claim 1, wherein the priming sequence is the same across samples.
20. The method of claim 1, wherein the abundance of the target polynucleotide is normalized across the samples.
21. The method of claim 1, wherein the sequencing adaptor immobilizes the individual polynucleotides for clonal amplification and sequencing.
22. The method of claim 21, wherein the sequencing is pyrosequencing.
23. The method of claim 21, wherein the sequencing is sequencing-by-synthesis, sequencing-by-ligation, or sequencing-by-hybridization.
24. The method of claim 1, wherein the sequencing is single molecule sequencing.
25. The method of claim 1, wherein the PCR amplifications employ a forward and reverse primer that both comprise the high throughput sequencing adaptor and the sample-specific tag.
26. A method for determining microbial community profiles across a plurality of clinical samples or a plurality of environmental samples, comprising:
 - amplifying and tagging target polynucleotides by PCR in each of said plurality of samples with an amplification primer pair comprising:
 - a high throughput sequencing adaptor,
 - a tag sequence to identify the sample, and which is different by at least two nucleotides across the samples, and

a priming sequence that is the same in each sample, the priming sequence hybridizing 3' to a genetic region that is variable across microbial species;

combining the amplified polynucleotides and sequencing the polynucleotide pool in high throughput, so as to determine the sequence of at least 300 tagged polynucleotides for each of said samples;

assigning the nucleotide sequences to the originating samples by the nucleotide sequence of the tag, thereby determining microbial community profiles across the samples.

1/13




FIG. 1




Figure 2A

3/13




Figure 2 B




Figure 2C




Figure 2D




Figure 2E




FIGURE 3

7/13




Figure 4A




Figure 4B

8/13

FAMILY	Forward Primer		Reverse Primer		RATIO		Reverse Primer		RATIO	
	ALD309FO		ALD309RO		Forward/Reverse		ALD309FP		Forward/Reverse	
Acidaminococcaceae	0.8%		0.9%		88.5%		0.2%		0.5%	31.7%
Actinomycetales	2.4%		2.0%		120.7%		1.7%		1.7%	97.6%
Bacteroidaceae	58.4%		62.8%		93.1%		48.7%		50.5%	96.6%
Brucellaceae							7.5%		3.1%	239.1%
Burkholderiaceae							0.4%		0.2%	184.9%
Clostridiaceae	14.5%		9.6%		150.7%		14.9%		9.7%	153.7%
Comamonadaceae	0.3%						0.2%		0.3%	55.5%
Coriobacteriales	0.8%		0.9%		94.8%		0.5%		0.6%	73.9%
Enterobacteriaceae	0.2%						3.6%		2.9%	124.1%
Eubacteriaceae	1.8%		1.6%		115.9%		2.1%		1.8%	115.2%
Flavobacteriaceae	0.5%						1.3%		2.1%	62.9%
Incertae sedis 9	3.3%		4.2%		78.6%		3.1%		2.9%	105.6%
Lachnospiraceae	5.7%		8.7%		65.6%		3.2%		7.7%	42.0%
Lactobacillaceae							1.3%		0.5%	269.4%
Peptococcaceae			0.3%				0.2%		0.3%	83.2%
Peptostreptococcaceae	3.3%		3.3%		102.1%		3.5%		2.8%	124.4%
Phyllobacteriaceae							1.6%		6.2%	26.2%
Porphyromonadaceae	2.1%		2.0%		109.0%		2.2%		2.0%	107.1%
Prevotellaceae	3.0%		2.0%		155.7%		1.2%		2.2%	52.0%
Rikenellaceae	1.1%		0.9%		129.3%		0.5%		0.8%	64.7%
Streptococcaceae	0.5%		0.3%		181.1%		0.6%		0.4%	147.9%

Figure 5

1	25	49	73	97	121	145	169	193
AGACAG	AGTGAG	ATGCAG	CATACA	CGCTAG	GCGCAG	GTCACA	TACTAG	TCAGAG
AGACGT	AGTGCA	ATGCCGT	CATAGT	CGCTCA	GCGCGT	GTCAGT	TACTCA	TCAGCA
AGACTC	AGTGTC	ATGCTC	CATATC	CGCTGT	GCGCTC	GTCATC	TACTGT	TCAGTC
AGAGAG	ATACAG	ATGTAG	CATCAG	CGTACA	GCGTAG	GTCGAG	TAGACA	TCATAG
AGAGCA	ATACGT	ATGTCA	CATCGT	CGTAGT	GCGTCA	GTCGCA	TAGAGT	TCATCA
AGAGTC	ATACTC	ATGTGT	CATCTC	CGTATC	GCGTGT	GTCGTC	TAGATC	TCATGT
AGATAG	ATAGAG	CACACA	CATGAG	CGTCAG	GCTACA	GICTAG	TAGCAG	TCGACA
AGATCA	ATAGCA	CACAGT	CATGCA	CGTCGT	GCTAGT	GICTCA	TAGCGT	TCGAGT
AGATGT	ATAGTC	CACATC	CATGTC	CGTCTC	GCTATC	GICTGT	TAGCTC	TCGATC
AGCACA	ATATAG	CACGAG	CGACAG	CGTGAG	GCTCAG	GTGACA	TAGTAG	TCGCAG
AGCAGT	ATATCA	CACGCA	CGACGT	CGTGCA	GCTCGT	GTGAGT	TAGTCA	TCGCGT
AGCATC	ATATGT	CACGTC	CGACTC	CGTGTC	GCTCTC	GTGATC	TAGTGT	TCGCTC
AGCGAG	ATCACA	CACTAG	CGAGAG	GCACAG	GCTGAG	GTGCAG	TATACA	TCGTAG
AGCGCA	ATCAGT	CACTCA	CGAGCA	GCACGT	GCTGCA	GTGCGT	TATAGT	TCGTCA
AGCGTC	ATCATC	CACTGT	CGAGTC	GCACTC	GCTGTC	GTGCTC	TATATC	TCGTGT
AGCTAG	ATCGAG	CAGACA	CGATAG	GCAGAG	GTACAG	GTGTAG	TATCAG	TCTACA
AGCTCA	ATCGCA	CAGAGT	CGATCA	GCAGCA	GTACGT	GTGTCA	TATCGT	TCTAGT
AGCTGT	ATCGTC	CAGATC	CGATGT	GCAGTC	GTACTC	GTGTGT	TATCTC	TCTATC
AGTACA	ATCTAG	CAGCAG	CGCACA	GCATAG	GTAGAG	TACACA	TATGAG	TCTCAG
AGTAGT	ATCTCA	CAGCGT	CGCAGT	GCATCA	GTAGCA	TACAGT	TATGCA	TCTCGT
AGTATC	ATCTGT	CAGCTC	CGCATC	GCATGT	GTAGTC	TACATC	TATGTC	TCTCTC
AGTCAG	ATGACA	CAGTAG	CGCGAG	GCGACA	GTATAG	TACGAG	TCACAG	TCTGAG
AGTCGT	ATGAGT	CAGTCA	CGCGCA	GCGAGT	GTATCA	TACGCA	TCACGT	TCTGCA
AGTCTC	ATGATC	CAGTGT	CGCGTC	GCGATC	GTATGT	TACGTC	TCACCTC	TCTGTC
24	48	72	96	120	144	168	192	216

FIGURE 6

FIGURE 7A**FORWARD TAGGED ADAPTER PRIMER**

Primer Pair	ADAPTER A	TAG	Forward 16S rRNA Primer
1	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	AGACGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
2	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	AGACTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
3	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	AGAGTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
4	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	AGATGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
5	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	AGCAGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
6	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	AGCATC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
7	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	AGCGTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
8	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	AGCTGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
9	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	AGTAGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
10	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	AGTATC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
11	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	AGTCGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
12	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	AGTCTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
13	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	AGTGTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
14	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	ATACGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
15	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	ATACTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
16	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	ATAGTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
17	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	ATATGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
18	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	ATCAGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
19	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	ATCATC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
20	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	ATCGTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
21	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	ATCTGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
22	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	ATGAGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
23	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	ATGATC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
24	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	ATGCGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
25	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	ATGCTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
26	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	ATGTGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
27	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CACAGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
28	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CACATC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
29	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CACGTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
30	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CACTGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
31	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CAGAGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
32	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CAGATC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
33	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CAGCGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
34	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CAGCTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
35	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CAGTGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
36	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CATAGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
37	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CATATC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
38	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CATCGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
39	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CATCTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
40	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CATGTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
41	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CGACGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
42	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CGACTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
43	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CGAGTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
44	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CGATGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
45	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CGCAGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
46	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CGCATC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
47	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CGCGTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
48	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CGCTGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
49	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CGTAGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
50	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CGTATC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG

11/13

FIGURE 7B**FORWARD TAGGED ADAPTER PRIMER**

Primer Pair	ADAPTER A	TAG	Forward 16S rRNA Primer
51	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CGTCGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
52	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CGTCTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
53	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CGTGCA	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
54	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	CGTGTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
55	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TACAGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
56	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TACATC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
57	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TACGTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
58	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TACTGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
59	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TAGAGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
60	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TAGATC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
61	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TAGCGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
62	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TAGCTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
63	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TAGTGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
64	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TATAGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
65	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TATATC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
66	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TATCGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
67	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TATCTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
68	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TATGTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
69	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TCACGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
70	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TCACTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
71	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TCAGTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
72	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TCATGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
73	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TCGAGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
74	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TCGATC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
75	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TCGCGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
76	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TCGCTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
77	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TCGTGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
78	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TCTAGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
79	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TCTATC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
80	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TCTCGT	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
81	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TCTCTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
82	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	TCTGTC	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
83	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	AGACAG	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
84	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	AGAGAG	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
85	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	AGATAG	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
86	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	AGCGAG	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
87	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	AGCTAG	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
88	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	AGTCAG	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
89	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	AGTGAG	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
90	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	ATACAG	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
91	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	ATAGAG	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
92	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	ATATAG	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
93	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	ATCGAG	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
94	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	ATCTAG	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
95	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	ATGCAG	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG
96	GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG	ATGTAG	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG

FIGURE 7C**REVERSE TAGGED ADAPTER PRIMER**

Primer Pair	ADAPTER B	TAG	Reverse 16S rRNA Primer
1	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	AGACGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
2	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	AGACTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
3	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	AGAGTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
4	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	AGATGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
5	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	AGCAGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
6	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	AGCATC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
7	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	AGCGTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
8	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	AGCTGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
9	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	AGTAGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
10	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	AGTATC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
11	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	AGTCGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
12	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	AGTCTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
13	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	AGTGTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
14	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	ATACGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
15	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	ATACTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
16	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	ATAGTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
17	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	ATATGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
18	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	ATCAGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
19	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	ATCATC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
20	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	ATCGTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
21	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	ATCTGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
22	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	ATGAGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
23	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	ATGATC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
24	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	ATGCGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
25	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	ATGCTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
26	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	ATGTGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
27	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CACAGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
28	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CACATC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
29	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CACGTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
30	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CACTGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
31	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CAGAGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
32	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CAGATC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
33	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CAGCGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
34	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CAGCTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
35	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CAGTGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
36	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CATAGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
37	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CATATC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
38	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CATCGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
39	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CATCTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
40	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CATGTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
41	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CGACGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
42	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CGACTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
43	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CGAGTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
44	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CGATGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
45	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CGCAGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
46	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CGCATC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
47	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CGCGTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
48	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CGCTGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
49	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CGTAGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
50	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CGTATC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT

FIGURE 7D**REVERSE TAGGED ADAPTER PRIMER**

Primer Pair	ADAPTER B	TAG	Reverse 16S rRNA Primer
51	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CGTCGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
52	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CGTCTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
53	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CGTGCA	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
54	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	CGTGTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
55	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TACAGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
56	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TACATC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
57	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TACGTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
58	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TACTGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
59	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TAGAGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
60	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TAGATC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
61	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TAGCGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
62	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TAGCTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
63	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TAGTGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
64	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TATAGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
65	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TATATC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
66	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TATCGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
67	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TATCTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
68	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TATGTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
69	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TCACGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
70	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TCACTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
71	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TCAGTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
72	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TCATGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
73	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TCGAGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
74	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TCGATC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
75	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TCGCGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
76	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TCGCTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
77	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TCGTGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
78	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TCTAGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
79	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TCTATC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
80	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TCTCGT	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
81	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TCTCTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
82	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	TCTGTC	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
83	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	AGAGCA	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
84	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	AGATCA	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
85	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	AGCACA	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
86	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	AGCGCA	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
87	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	AGCTCA	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
88	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	AGTACA	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
89	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	AGTGCA	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
90	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	ATAGCA	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
91	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	ATATCA	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
92	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	ATCACA	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
93	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	ATCGCA	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
94	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	ATCTCA	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
95	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	ATGACA	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT
96	GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG	ATGTCA	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT