




- (51) International Patent Classification:
H04W 28/08 (2009.01) *H04W 36/22* (2009.01)
- (21) International Application Number:
PCT/JP2015/065153
- (22) International Filing Date:
20 May 2015 (20.05.2015)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:
1409630.9 30 May 2014 (30.05.2014) GB
- (71) Applicant: NEC CORPORATION [JP/JP]; 7-1, Shiba 5-chome, Minato-ku, Tokyo, 1088001 (JP).
- (72) Inventor: Alberto, SUAREZ; c/o NEC Corporation, 7-1, Shiba 5-chome, Minato-ku, Tokyo, 1088001 (JP).
- (74) Agents: IKEDA, Noriyasu et al.; Hibiya Daibiru Bldg., 2-2, Uchisaiwaicho 1-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, 1000011 (JP).
- (81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY,

BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, ST, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

- with international search report (Art. 21(3))
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments (Rule 48.2(h))



WO 2015/182627 A1

(54) Title: COMMUNICATION SYSTEM AND METHOD OF LOAD BALANCING




Figure 1

(57) Abstract: A communication system is disclosed comprising a first base station operating a first cell and a second base station operating a second cell. The first base station determines that load should be offloaded from the first cell towards the second cell based on a comparison of respective measurement result of a first type obtained for the first and second cells. The first base station controls load balancing based on a second comparison of respective measurement result of a second type for each of the first and second cells, wherein a second condition, when met, indicates that the base station operating the second cell will not offload load to the first cell based on the respective measurement result of the second type for the first and second cells.

DESCRIPTION

Title of Invention

COMMUNICATION SYSTEM AND METHOD OF LOAD BALANCING

5

Technical Field

The present invention relates to a cellular or wireless telecommunications network, and particularly but not exclusively to optimisation of load distribution in a radio access network. The invention has particular but not exclusive relevance to wireless telecommunications networks implemented according to the Long Term Evolution (LTE) standard specified by the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP).

10

Background Art

In 3GPP LTE networks, a base station (i.e. evolved NodeB, eNB) of a Radio Access Network (RAN) transmits data and signalling between a core network (CN) and User Equipment (UEs) located within the base station's coverage area. Base stations of a RAN typically include a number of 'regular' or 'macro' base stations and a number of 'small cell' or 'pico' base stations (often referred to as low power nodes, LPNs). In LTE, the RAN is referred to as the Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA) network (E-UTRAN) and the core network is referred to as the Evolved Packet Core (EPC) network. User equipment may comprise, for example, mobile telephones, mobile communication devices, user communication devices, laptop computers, and/or the like.

20

In LTE networks, a number of different load metrics can be exchanged between neighbouring base stations by means of a suitable base station to base station interface, such as an 'X2' interface and/or the like. As described in sections 9.1 and 9.2 of 3GPP TS 36.423, such load metrics include one or more of:

25

- Composite Available Capacity (CAC);
- Physical Resource Block (PRB) usage: i) for Guaranteed Bit Rate (GBR) bearers; ii) for non-GBR bearers; or iii) both;
- Transport Network Layer (TNL) load; and
- hardware (HW) load.

30

Each of these measurements can be reported separately for the Uplink (UL) and the Downlink (DL).

The so-called Mobility Load Balancing (MLB) function is responsible for optimising the

mobility parameter configuration of the network nodes (e.g. base stations) in order to balance the distribution of load in the network. The MLB relies on information, including the above metrics, exchanged between neighbouring base stations.

Generally, the base station initiating the load balancing procedure determines which
5 measurements need to be reported by which base station(s) and processes the reported
measurements in order to determine whether it is necessary to adjust any associated mobility
parameters. Typically, the MLB function performs offloading if the load in the served cell is at
least a margin greater than the load reported by the neighbour cell. The purpose of the margin is
to prevent oscillations and too frequent parameter changes. Although the different load metrics
10 may be correlated, there might still be imbalances in opposite directions between two cells when
different metrics or link directions (uplink/downlink) are considered by the MLB function.

Such imbalances may result in conflicts between the base stations, i.e. the base station
operating cell A may try to offload towards cell B whilst, either simultaneously or subsequently,
the base station operating cell B may try to offload towards cell A.

15

Summary of Invention

It is therefore an object of the present invention to improve performance of the
communication networks and to improve the ways in which offloading can be performed
between cells.

20

In one aspect, the invention provides a base station operating a cell in a communication
network comprising a plurality base stations each operating a respective cell for communicating
with a plurality of user communication devices, the base station comprising means for obtaining:
i) a measurement result of a first type for a first cell operated by said base station; ii) information
identifying a measurement result of said first type for a second cell operated by a different base
25 station of said plurality of base stations; iii) a measurement result of a second type for said first
cell; and iv) information identifying a measurement result of said second type for said second
cell. The base station comprises: means for determining whether a first condition is met based
on a comparison of the respective measurement result of a first type for each of said first and
second cells, wherein said first condition, when met, indicates that load should be offloaded from
30 said first cell towards said second cell; means for determining whether a second condition is met
based on a comparison of the respective measurement result of a second type for each of said
first and second cells, wherein said second condition, when met, indicates that said different base
station will not offload load from said second cell to said first cell based on the respective
measurement result of a second type for each of said first and second cells; and controlling

means for controlling a load balancing based on said determining, when at least said first condition and said second condition is met, wherein said controlling means is operable to initiate offloading of load from said first cell towards said second cell.

In one aspect, the invention provides a base station operating a cell in a communication network comprising a plurality base stations each operating a respective cell for communicating with a plurality of user communication devices, the base station comprising a transceiver and a processor, wherein said transceiver is configured to obtain: i) a measurement result of a first type for a first cell operated by said base station; ii) information identifying a measurement result of said first type for a second cell operated by a different base station of said plurality of base stations; iii) a measurement result of a second type for said first cell; and iv) information identifying a measurement result of said second type for said second cell. The processor is configured to: determine whether a first condition is met based on a comparison of the respective measurement result of a first type for each of said first and second cells, wherein said first condition, when met, indicates that load should be offloaded from said first cell towards said second cell; determine whether a second condition is met based on a comparison of the respective measurement result of a second type for each of said first and second cells, wherein said second condition, when met, indicates that said different base station will not offload load from said second cell to said first cell based on the respective measurement result of a second type for each of said first and second cells; and control a load balancing based on said determining, when at least said first condition and said second condition is met, wherein said processor is operable to initiate offloading of load from said first cell towards said second cell.

In one aspect, the invention provides a system comprising the above described base station, a base station operating said second cell, and at least one user communication device.

In one aspect, the invention provides a method performed by a base station operating a cell in a communication network comprising a plurality base stations each operating a respective cell for communicating with a plurality of user communication devices, the method comprising obtaining: i) a measurement result of a first type for a first cell operated by said base station; ii) information identifying a measurement result of said first type for a second cell operated by a different base station of said plurality of base stations; iii) a measurement result of a second type for said first cell; and iv) information identifying a measurement result of said second type for said second cell. The method comprises determining whether a first condition is met based on a comparison of the respective measurement result of a first type for each of said first and second cells, wherein said first condition, when met, indicates that load should be offloaded from said first cell towards said second cell; determining whether a second condition is met based on a

comparison of the respective measurement result of a second type for each of said first and second cells, wherein said second condition, when met, indicates that said different base station will not offload load from said second cell to said first cell based on the respective measurement result of a second type for each of said first and second cells; and controlling a load balancing
5 based on said determining, when at least said first condition and said second condition is met, wherein said controlling is operable to initiate offloading of load from said first cell towards said second cell.

The invention provides, for all methods disclosed, corresponding computer programs or computer program products for execution on corresponding equipment, the equipment itself
10 (user equipment, nodes or components thereof) and methods of updating the equipment.

Brief Description of Drawings

An exemplary embodiment of the invention will now be described, by way of example, with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

15 Figure 1 schematically illustrates a mobile telecommunication system of a type to which the invention is applicable;

Figure 2 is a block diagram of a mobile communication device suitable for use in the telecommunications networks of Figure 1;

20 Figure 3 is a block diagram of a base station suitable for use in the telecommunications networks of Figure 1;

Figure 4 illustrates exemplary load metrics in accordance with an embodiment of the invention in the telecommunications network of Figure 1;

Figure 5 illustrates an exemplary load balancing function in accordance with an embodiment of the invention in the telecommunications network of Figure 1;

25 Figure 6 illustrates exemplary load metrics in accordance with a modification of the embodiment shown in Figure 4;

Figure 7 is a comparison of exemplary load metrics having an absolute and a relative threshold;

30 Figure 8 illustrates exemplary load metrics in accordance with a modification of the embodiment shown in Figure 4; and

Figure 9 is a timing diagram illustrating messages exchanged between elements of the telecommunications network of Figure 1 whilst carrying out an exemplary embodiment of the invention.

Description of Embodiments

Overview

Figure 1 schematically illustrates a mobile (cellular) telecommunication system 1 including a plurality of mobile communication devices 3-1 to 3-3 (each of which comprises a mobile telephone or other compatible user equipment) and a plurality of base stations 5-1 to 5-3, each of which operates an associated cell (Cells A to C, respectively). Any of the base stations 5-1 to 5-3 may comprise a regular macro eNB and/or a small cell base station (such as Home evolved NodeB (HeNB), pico or femto base station, and/or the like).

In this example, the first mobile communication device 3-1 is initially served via cell C operated by the third base station 5-3 and the second and third mobile communication devices 3-2 and 3-3 are initially served via Cell A operated by the first base station 5-1. As those skilled in the art will appreciate, whilst three mobile communication devices 3 and three base stations 5 are shown in Figure 1 for illustration purposes, additional user equipment and/or base stations may be present in a deployed system. It will also be appreciated that each base station 5 may operate more than one cell.

Communication between each of the base stations 5 and a core network 7 is via a so-called 'S1' interface. The core network 7 typically includes, amongst others, a home subscriber server (HSS), a mobility management entity (MME), a serving gateway (S-GW), and a Packet Data Network (PDN) Gateway (P-GW), which have been omitted for sake of simplicity.

An 'X2' interface is also provided for communication between neighbouring base stations 5 to facilitate data exchange between them (either directly, or via other nodes, such as a small cell gateway, X2 gateway, and/or the like).

In the mobile telecommunication system 1, the base stations 5 are beneficially configured to perform mobility load balancing by taking into account a plurality of load metrics reported by their respective neighbour base stations. For example, the first base station 5-1 is configured to request a number of load metrics to be reported by at least one of the other base stations 5-2 and 5-3, either periodically, or when the first base station 5-1 determines that its own load is above a predetermined threshold. The other base stations 5-2 and 5-3 generate and send the load metrics to the requesting base station 5-1, based on which the MLB function can determine whether or not offloading shall be initiated towards one or more of the neighbouring cells B and C.

However, conflicts may still arise when only a subset of the metrics are requested or are taken into account by the MLB function to determine the base stations' offloading decision, and/or when different base stations make an offloading decision based on different set of metrics. For example, in the downlink direction, the PRB usage of a cell (e.g. cell A) may be greater than

the PRB usage of another cell (e.g. cell B) plus a predetermined margin. This can be expressed as $PRB_{DL}(\text{cell A}) > PRB_{DL}(\text{cell B}) + \text{margin}$. At the same time, however, in the uplink direction the PRB usage of cell B may be greater than the PRB usage of cell A plus the predetermined margin ($PRB_{UL}(\text{cell B}) > PRB_{UL}(\text{cell A}) + \text{margin}$). Such conflicts may result in repeated
 5 (unnecessary) handovers of one or more UEs between the cells, and in subsequent performance degradation for the affected users and in an increase in signalling load.

In this exemplary embodiment, the MLB function of the first base station 5-1 is configured to compare a first metric of the reported plurality of metrics for its own cell (Cell A) with the corresponding metric for the neighbour's cell (i.e. Cell B in case of the second base
 10 station 5-2 and Cell C in case of the third base station 5-3) and to compare each different metric reported for the neighbour's cell (e.g. all metrics other than the first metric) with the corresponding metric obtained for its own cell, in order to determine whether a particular neighbour cell is an appropriate candidate for offloading. Specifically, a particular cell is determined to be an appropriate candidate for offloading, if the following conditions are
 15 fulfilled:

(1) there exists a first metric Q_i for which $Q_i(A) \geq Q_i(B) + M_1(i)$

and

(2) for all other 'secondary' metrics Q_k (with $k \neq i$), $Q_k(B) \leq Q_k(A) + (M_1(k) - \delta(k))$

where ' M_1 ' is a respective margin defined for each of the load metrics; 'A' and 'B' denote Cells
 20 A and B, respectively; and ' δ ' is a predetermined offset applied to the margin M_1 for condition (2).

In other words, the MLB function of the first base station 5-1 determines a particular cell to be an appropriate candidate for offloading, if: (1) there is at least a first metric (Q_i) indicating a load in the cell of the first base station 5-1 (Cell A) which is at least a margin (M_1) greater than
 25 the load indicated by the first metric (Q_i) reported for the neighbour cell (e.g. Cell B); and (2) for any other metric than the first metric, the metric (Q_k) indicating a load reported for the handover candidate cell (e.g. Cell B) is equal or less than the load indicated by that metric (Q_k) for the first base station's 5-1 cell (Cell A) plus the margin (M_1) minus a predetermined offset (δ) associated with that metric.

30 The margin M_1 may be predetermined (e.g. specific to the base station 5, the cell, and/or the RAN) and/or there may be a separate margin M_1 for each type of load metrics, where appropriate. Effectively, the margin M_1 in condition (2) serves to reduce the likelihood of a 'reverse' offloading from Cell B to Cell A based on the first metric in a subsequent MLB processing (by the second base station 5-2), whilst the offset ' δ ' serves to reduce the likelihood

of a reverse offloading based on the other metric Q_k . Thus, beneficially, potential conflicts between the MLB functions of the neighbouring base stations 5 can be prevented, which in turn may reduce the need for unnecessary signalling and handovers between the base stations 5.

Thus effectively, the MLB function of the first base station 5-1 takes into account not only those metric(s) that may result in an offloading from Cell A to Cell B, but also those metrics that may be used by the MLB function of the neighbour base station 5-2 when considering a subsequent offloading from Cell B to Cell A.

The 'general' condition (1) may also involve an activation threshold for Cell A, in which case the value of a particular load metric needs to be above its activation threshold (associated with the given load metric) before considering condition (2). In other words, prior to checking condition (2), the following inequality needs to be fulfilled: $Q_i(A) \geq Th_{ACT}(i)$. The activation threshold beneficially prevents the MLB function from initiating an offloading (and associated signalling) when the load indicated by a particular metric is low (albeit it may be greater than the load of a neighbour cell indicated by that metric).

In a modification of the above condition (2), herein denoted condition (2'), the MLB function is configured to take into account a threshold (either absolute or relative) when enforcing the modified condition (2) – herein referred to as condition (2'). In this case, a particular cell is determined to be an appropriate candidate for offloading, if the following conditions are fulfilled:

(1) there exists a metric Q_i for which $Q_i(A) \geq Q_i(B) + M_1(i)$

and

(2') for all other metrics Q_k (with $k \neq i$):

$$Q_k(B) \leq \max(Q_k(A) + (M_1(k) - \delta(k)), Th(i,k), Q_i(A) - M_2(i,k))$$

where ' M_1 ' is a respective margin defined for each of the load metrics; ' M_2 ' is a margin dependent on the (e.g. specific) combination of the load metrics 'i' and 'k' being compared; ' $Th(i,k)$ ' is a threshold for applying condition (2'); 'A' and 'B' denote Cells A and B, respectively; and ' δ ' is a predetermined offset applied to the margin M_1 for condition (2').

In other words, the MLB function of the first base station 5-1 determines a particular cell to be an appropriate candidate for offloading, if: (1) there is at least a first metric (Q_i) indicating a load in the cell of the first base station 5-1 (Cell A) which is at least a margin (M_1) greater than the load indicated by the first metric (Q_i) reported for the neighbour cell (e.g. Cell B); and (2) for any other metric than the first metric, the metric (Q_k) indicating a load reported for the handover candidate cell (e.g. Cell B) is equal or less than the larger one of:

i) a load indicated by that metric (Q_k) for the first base station's 5-1 cell (Cell A)

plus the margin (M_1) minus a predetermined offset (δ) associated with that metric;

ii) a threshold ($Th(i,k)$) associated with condition (2'); and

iii) a first metric (Q_i) for the cell of the first base station 5-1 (Cell A) minus a margin (M_2) specifying a required difference between the corresponding load metrics for the two cells being compared.

The threshold ' $Th(i,k)$ ' may be referred to as an 'absolute' threshold, whereas the margin M_2 may be referred to as a 'relative' threshold, since M_2 is used for setting a minimum difference between the corresponding load metrics for two cells rather than an absolute load level.

The threshold(s) may beneficially reduce the risk of potential offloading opportunities not being considered by the MLB function, e.g. in the case when only one of the metrics is indicative of a (significantly) higher load in Cell A than in Cell B, whilst any other metrics are indicative of a lower load in Cell A than the one metric. In turn, this also reduces the risk of a potential communication issues (failure, delay, re-transmission, and/or the like) resulting from an overload in Cell A corresponding to only one type of metric (but not necessarily the other metrics).

Load metrics

Before discussing detailed the specific ways in which the base station 5 can carry out load balancing, a brief description will be given of the load metrics agreed for LTE in 3GPP technical specification (TS) 36.423, the entire contents of which are incorporated herein by reference.

Table 1 below (which generally corresponds to Table 9.1.2.14 of TS 36.423) illustrates the contents of the 'Resource Status Update' message that may be sent between neighbouring base stations (e.g. over the X2 interface), whilst Tables 2 to 6 illustrate various information elements (IEs) relating to load metrics that may be included in the Resource Status Update messages sent between base stations.

Specifically, the 'Hardware Load Indicator' IE (Table 2) indicates the status of the Hardware Load experienced by a specific cell of the base station sending the Hardware Load Indicator IE. The 'S1 TNL Load Indicator' IE (Table 3) indicates the status of the S1 (S1 interface) Transport Network Load experienced by the cell. The 'Radio Resource Status' IE (Table 4) indicates the usage of the PRBs for all traffic in Downlink and Uplink. The 'Composite Available Capacity Group' IE (Table 5) indicates the overall available resource level in the cell in Downlink and Uplink. The 'Composite Available Capacity' IE (Table 6) indicates the overall available resource level in the cell of the base station in either Downlink or Uplink.

The ‘Load Indicator’ IE (Table 7) indicates the status of load of a particular base station (operating one or more cells). Finally, the ‘Capacity Value’ IE (Table 8) indicates the amount of resources that are available at a particular base station relative to the total E-UTRAN resources of that base station.

5

IE/Group Name	Presence	Range	IE type and reference	Semantics description	Criticality	Assigned Criticality
Message Type	M		9.2.13		YES	ignore
eNB1 Measurement ID	M		INTEGER (1..4095,...)	Allocated by eNB1	YES	reject
eNB2 Measurement ID	M		INTEGER (1..4095,...)	Allocated by eNB2	YES	reject
Cell Measurement Result		1			YES	ignore
>Cell Measurement Result Item		1 .. <maxCellineNB>			EACH	ignore
>>Cell ID	M		ECGI 9.2.14			
>>Hardware Load Indicator	O		9.2.34			
>>S1 TNL Load Indicator	O		9.2.35			
>>Radio Resource Status	O		9.2.37			
>>Composite Available Capacity Group	O		9.2.44		YES	ignore
>>ABS Status	O		9.2.58		YES	ignore

Table 1 – Resource Status Update message definition (Table 9.1.2.14 of TS 36.423)

IE/Group Name	Presence	Range	IE type and reference	Semantics description
DL Hardware Load Indicator	M		9.2.36	
UL Hardware Load Indicator	M		9.2.36	

Table 2 – Hardware Load Indicator IE definition (Table 9.2.34 of TS 36.423)

IE/Group Name	Presence	Range	IE type and reference	Semantics description
DL S1TNL Load Indicator	M		9.2.36	
UL S1TNL Load Indicator	M		9.2.36	

Table 3 – S1 TNL Load Indicator IE definition (Table 9.2.35 of TS 36.423)

10

IE/Group Name	Presence	Range	IE type and reference	Semantics description
DL GBR PRB usage	M		INTEGER (0..100)	
UL GBR PRB usage	M		INTEGER (0..100)	
DL non-GBR PRB usage	M		INTEGER (0..100)	
UL non-GBR PRB usage	M		INTEGER (0..100)	
DL Total PRB usage	M		INTEGER (0..100)	
UL Total PRB usage	M		INTEGER (0..100)	

Table 4 – Radio Resource Status IE definition (Table 9.2.37 of TS 36.423)

IE/Group Name	Presence	Range	IE type and reference	Semantics description	Criticality	Assigned Criticality
Composite Available Capacity Downlink	M		Composite Available Capacity 9.2.45	For the Downlink	-	-
Composite Available Capacity Uplink	M		Composite Available Capacity 9.2.45	For the Uplink	-	-

Table 5 – Composite Available Capacity Group IE definition (Table 9.2.44 of TS 36.423)

IE/Group Name	Presence	Range	IE type and reference	Semantics description	Criticality	Assigned Criticality
Cell Capacity Class Value	O		9.2.46		-	-
Capacity Value	M		9.2.47	'0' indicates no resource is available, Measured on a linear scale.	-	-

Table 6 – Composite Available Capacity IE definition (Table 9.2.45 of TS 36.423)

IE/Group Name	Presence	Range	IE type and reference	Semantics description
Load Indicator	M		ENUMERATED (LowLoad, MediumLoad, HighLoad, Overload, ...)	

Table 7 – Load Indicator Type definition, used for Hardware Load and S1 TNL load (Table 9.2.36 of TS 36.423)

5

IE/Group Name	Presence	Range	IE type and reference	Semantics description	Criticality	Assigned Criticality
Capacity Value	M		INTEGER (0..100)	Value 0 shall indicate no available capacity, and 100 shall indicate maximum available capacity. Capacity Value should be measured on a linear scale.	-	-

Table 8 – Capacity Value IE definition (Table 9.2.47 of TS 36.423)

Mobile communication device

10

Figure 2 is a block diagram illustrating the main components of the mobile communication device 3 shown in Figure 1. As shown, the mobile communication device 3 has a transceiver circuit 31 that is operable to transmit signals to and to receive signals from the base station 5 via one or more antenna 33. Although not necessarily shown in Figure 2, the mobile communication device 3 may of course have all the usual functionality of a conventional mobile telephone 3 (such as a user interface 35) and this may be provided by any one or any combination of hardware, software and firmware, as appropriate. The mobile communication device 3 has a controller 37 to control the operation of the mobile communication device 3. The controller 37 is associated with a memory 39 and is coupled to the transceiver circuit 31. Software may be pre-installed in the memory 39 and/or may be downloaded via the telecommunications network or from a removable data storage device (RMD), for example.

20

The controller 37 is configured to control overall operation of the mobile communication device 3 by, in this example, program instructions or software instructions stored within the memory 39. As shown, these software instructions include, among other things, an operating system 41, a communications control module 43, and a handover module 45.

The communications control module 43 is operable to control the communication between the mobile communication device 3 and the base stations 5. The communications control module 43 also controls the separate flows of uplink data and control data that are to be transmitted to the base stations 5.

5 The handover module 45 is responsible for complying with the base stations instructions relating to handover. Such instruction may relate to performing and reporting signal measurements with respect to one or more cells, and to perform handover to a cell (target cell) indicated by the (current) serving base station (e.g. based on the reported signal measurements and/or any load balancing metrics available to the serving base station).

10 **Base station**

Figure 3 is a block diagram illustrating the main components of the base stations 5-1 to 5-3 shown in Figure 1. As shown, the base station 5 includes a transceiver circuit 51 which is operable to transmit signals to and to receive signals from the mobile communication devices 3 via one or more antennae 53 and which is operable to transmit signals to and to receive signals from the core network 7 and/or other base stations 5 via a network interface 55. The network interface 55 typically includes an S1 interface for communicating with the core network 7 and an X2 interface for communicating with the other base stations. A controller 57 controls the operation of the transceiver circuit 51 in accordance with software stored in a memory 59. The software includes, among other things, an operating system 61, a communications control module 63, a load measurement module 64, a reporting module 65, a mobility load balancing (MLB) module 66, and a handover control module 67.

The communications control module 63 is operable to control the communication between the base station 5 and the mobile communication device 3 and to control the communication between the base station 5 and other network entities (e.g. other base stations and core network entities) that are connected to the base station 5. The communications control module 63 also controls the separate flows of uplink and downlink user traffic and control data to be transmitted to the mobile communication devices 3 served by the base station 5 including, for example, control data for managing operation of the mobile communication devices 3.

The load measurement module 64 is operable to carry out measurement of current system/cell load, for example, by calculating the number of physical resource blocks currently used by GBR and/or non-GBR traffic belonging to each respective mobile communication device 3. Such measurements may be carried out periodically and/or upon detecting a trigger (such as receiving a measurement request from a neighbouring base station). The results of the load measurements may be provided, e.g. in the form of load metrics, to the other modules either

directly (e.g. upon request) or via the memory 59.

The reporting module 65 is operable to handle (e.g. receive, generate, and send) messages relating to reporting of load measurements (performed by the load measurement module 64). In this example, the reporting module 65 is operable to handle messages formatted in accordance
5 with the X2AP protocol.

The MLB module 66 is responsible for comparing load metrics for the base station's own cells (obtained from the load measurement module 64) and the corresponding load metrics obtained (via the reporting module 65) for one or more neighbouring cell(s) in order to determine whether or not to perform an offloading operation, and to which cell.

10 The handover control module 67 is responsible for instructing the mobile communication devices 3 served by the base station 5 to carry out procedures relating to handover. Such instruction may relate to performing and reporting signal measurements with respect to one or more cells (of the base station 5 and/or neighbouring base stations), and to perform handover to a cell (target cell) indicated by the base station 5 (e.g. based on the reported signal measurements
15 and/or any load balancing metrics available to the MLB module 66).

In the above description, the mobile communication device 3 and the base station 5 are described for ease of understanding as having a number of discrete modules (such as the communications control modules, the load measurement module, the MLB module, and the handover module). Whilst these modules may be provided in this way for certain applications,
20 for example where an existing system has been modified to implement the invention, in other applications, for example in systems designed with the inventive features in mind from the outset, these modules may be built into the overall operating system or code and so these modules may not be discernible as discrete entities. These modules may also be implemented in software, hardware, firmware or a mix of these.

25 **Operation**

As described above, a possible approach for the MLB function (MLB module 66) is to perform offloading if the load in the served cell is at least a margin greater than the load in the neighbour cell. The following description explains, with reference to Figures 4 to 9, some possible ways in which an offloading decision can be determined based on a number of metrics
30 exchanged between the base stations 5, whilst also avoiding the aforementioned conflicts arising from the use of more than one of the different metrics by the different base stations 5.

As can be seen in Tables 2 to 8 above, different measurements (metrics) may have different reported granularities. For example, for CAC and PRB usage an integer value in the range 0-100 is reported, whilst for the other measurements only one of four discrete values

(‘low’, ‘medium’, ‘high’, and ‘overload’) may be reported. Further, the measurements may not have the same polarity (e.g. PRB usage, HW and TNL load indicate the load in the cell, whereas CAC indicates the available capacity), i.e. some metrics express the available (unused) capacity whilst other metrics express the already used (thus unavailable) capacity (i.e. the current load).

5 There may also be other differences between the reported metrics (e.g. the TNL or HW load may correspond to only one or to several cells controlled by a base station, whereas PRB usage or CAC always correspond to an individual cell) which may need to be addressed by an appropriate transformation even if the metrics use the same units and/or the same polarity.

The present invention also overcomes these issues by an appropriate transformation
10 (either at the reporting base station or at the requesting base station) of the reported metrics, if necessary.

Table 9 illustrates an exemplary transformation of various metrics wherein the enumerated values ‘low’, ‘medium’, ‘high’, and ‘overload’ are obtained by comparing the actual (measured) value with a set of predetermined thresholds and by estimating the value to be the
15 midpoint of each of the quantization intervals.

Actual TNL load	$[0, Th_{LOW})$	$[Th_{LOW}, Th_{MEDIUM})$	$[Th_{MEDIUM}, Th_{HIGH})$	$[Th_{HIGH}, 100]$
Enumerated Value	Low	Medium	High	Overload
Transformed Value Q	$Th_{LOW}/2$	$(Th_{LOW} + Th_{MEDIUM})/2$	$(Th_{MEDIUM} + Th_{HIGH})/2$	$(Th_{HIGH} + 100)/2$

Table 9 – Example of metric transformation

In the following, the set of metrics exchanged between two base stations will be denoted
20 by Q_1, \dots, Q_N , where each Q_i correspond to one of the metrics exchanged, after any necessary transformations have been made. In this example such transformation is preferably performed by the first base station 5-1 requesting the metrics (i.e. the neighbour base stations 5-2, 5-3 provide standard metrics), although will be appreciated that in other examples the transformation, if any, may be performed by the second and third base stations 5-2, 5-3
25 performing the measurements. In other words, the neighbour base stations 5-2, 5-3 may provide (transformed) metrics that are already adapted to the format required by the requesting base station’s 5-1 MLB module 66 when checking conditions (1) and (2) below.

Thus, as explained above, for each neighbour base station 5-2, 5-3, the MLB module 66 of the first base station 5-1 is configured to compare the reported (and transformed, as
30 appropriate) plurality of metrics for the neighbour’s cell (i.e. Cell B in case of the second base station 5-2 and Cell C in case of the third base station 5-3) with the corresponding plurality of metrics obtained for its own cell (i.e. Cell A). Based on this comparison, the MLB module 66

determines whether a particular neighbour cell (e.g. Cell B) is an appropriate candidate for offloading, i.e. whether the following conditions are fulfilled for that cell:

(1) there exists a metric Q_i for which $Q_i(A) \geq Q_i(B) + M_1(i)$

and

5 (2) for all other metrics Q_k (with $k \neq i$), $Q_k(B) \leq Q_k(A) + (M_1(k) - \delta(k))$

where 'M₁' is a respective margin defined for each of the load metrics; 'A' and 'B' denote Cells A and B, respectively; and 'δ' is a predetermined offset applied to the margin M₁ for condition (2).

In other words, the MLB module 66 determines that Cell B is an appropriate candidate for offloading, if: (1) there is at least a first metric (Q_i) indicating a load (measured by the load measurement module 64) in the first base station's 5-1 own cell (Cell A) which is at least a margin (M_1) greater than the load indicated by the first metric (Q_i) reported for Cell B (via the reporting module 65); and (2) for any other metric than the first metric, the metric (Q_k) indicating a load reported for Cell B is equal or less than the load indicated by that metric (Q_k) for Cell A plus the margin (M_1) minus a predetermined offset (δ) associated with that metric.

Figure 4 illustrates exemplary load metrics in accordance with an embodiment of the invention in the mobile telecommunications network 1 of Figure 1. The left hand side of Figure 4 illustrates an exemplary scenario in which an offloading would take place from Cell A to Cell B without including the offset δ in condition (2). The likely outcome of such offloading is shown in the right hand side of Figure 4, resulting in a potential offloading being performed in the reverse direction (from Cell B to Cell A) in a subsequent MLB iteration (assuming nothing else has changed in Cells A and B). Advantageously, however, the inclusion of the offset δ in condition (2) may prevent such offloading followed by a reverse offloading being performed, as indicated for the metric $Q_k(B)$ in the left hand side of Figure 4.

The application of offset δ and margin M_1 is further illustrated in Figure 5. Specifically, Figure 5 illustrates the metrics $Q(A)$ and $Q(B)$ in a plane. In this case, any value of metric $Q(A)$ that is above Boundary 1 would trigger condition (1) for offloading from Cell A to Cell B, whereas any value of metric $Q(B)$ that is below Boundary 3 would trigger condition (1) for offloading in the reverse direction, i.e. from Cell B to Cell A. Further, any metrics value above Boundary 2 would fulfil condition (2) for offloading from Cell A to Cell B. Therefore, by setting $\delta > 0$ it can be seen that condition (2) guarantees that condition (1) cannot be met for that same metric to offload in the reverse direction (i.e. from Cell B to Cell A). Furthermore, any metric value above Boundary 1 would already fulfil the condition (1) for offloading from Cell A to Cell B. Thus, beneficially, Boundary 2 (which is determined by the offset 'δ') is set to be

below Boundary 1, which may be achieved by e.g. selecting the offset value δ for metric k ($'\delta(k)'$) from the range $[0, 2 \cdot M_1(k)]$.

Figure 6 illustrates exemplary load metrics in accordance with a modification of the embodiment shown in Figure 4. The left hand side of Figure 6 illustrates an exemplary scenario, in which condition (2) is not met, although condition (1) is met, which may thus result in a potential offloading opportunity (from Cell A to Cell B) not being considered by the MLB module 66 despite the metric Q_i being indicative of a significantly higher load in Cell A than in Cell B. However, since the other metrics (e.g. in this case Q_k) are indicative of a lower load in Cell A than in Cell B, the combination of conditions (1) and (2) does not trigger an offloading.

However, since metric Q_i is indicative of a high (potential near maximum) load for Cell A, metric Q_i is likely to have a much greater impact on the performance of the first base station 5-1 than metric Q_k . For example, metric Q_i might result in a potential blocking or dropping of bearers in Cell A, which could have been prevented by offloading some of the bearers to Cell B.

Advantageously, in such scenarios, offloading (e.g. from Cell A to Cell B) is made possible by the application of a threshold (either absolute or relative) for the enforcement of condition (2). Effectively, such a threshold results in triggering an offloading towards Cell B based on condition (1) alone. This can be expressed using the following conditions (1) and (2'), in which case, the MLB module 66 determines that a particular cell (Cell B) is an appropriate candidate for offloading (from Cell A) if the following conditions are fulfilled:

$$(1) \quad \text{there exists a metric } Q_i \text{ for which } Q_i(A) \geq Q_i(B) + M_1(i)$$

and

$$(2') \quad \text{for all other metrics } Q_k \text{ (with } k \neq i \text{):}$$

$$Q_k(B) \leq \max(Q_k(A) + (M_1(k) - \delta(k)), Th(i,k), Q_i(A) - M_2(i,k))$$

where ' M_1 ' is the respective margin defined for each of the load metrics; ' M_2 ' is a margin (a relative threshold) dependent on the combination of the load metrics ' i ' and ' k ' being compared; ' $Th(i,k)$ ' is a threshold (an absolute threshold) for applying condition (2') for metrics Q_i and Q_k ; ' A ' and ' B ' denote Cells A and B, respectively; and ' δ ' is a predetermined offset applied to the margin M_1 for condition (2').

In other words, the MLB module 66 of the first base station 5-1 determines that Cell B is an appropriate candidate for offloading, if: (1) there is at least a first metric (Q_i) indicating a load in the cell of the first base station 5-1 (Cell A) which is at least a margin (M_1) greater than the load indicated by the corresponding first metric (Q_i) reported for Cell B; and (2) for any metric (Q_k) other than the first metric, the metric (Q_k) indicating a load reported for Cell B is equal or less than the larger one of:

- i) the load indicated by that metric (Q_k) for Cell A plus the margin (M_1) minus a predetermined offset (δ) associated with that metric;
- ii) the (absolute) threshold associated with condition (2'); and
- iii) the first metric for Cell A minus a margin (M_2) specifying a required
5 difference (or 'relative' threshold) between the corresponding load metrics for
Cells A and B.

Figure 6 illustrates the application of an absolute threshold on the operation of the MLB module 66, and the resulting offloading decisions when the above described condition (1) and condition (2') are being applied.

10 The left hand side of Figure 6 illustrates a scenario in which offloading (from Cell A to Cell B) is being performed. This is because the threshold in condition (2') effectively results in $Q_k(B)$ being ignored by the MLB module 66, since $Q_k(B)$ is below the applicable threshold $Th(i,k)$ – albeit $Q_k(B)$ being larger than the corresponding $Q_k(A)$.

15 However, as shown in the middle part of Figure 6, when the metric $Q_k(B)$ is above the applicable threshold $Th(i,k)$, and it is also larger than $Q_k(A)$ plus the margin M_1 minus offset δ , offloading from Cell A to Cell B is blocked by the MLB module 66.

On the other hand, the right hand side of Figure 6 illustrates a scenario in which whilst the value of the metric $Q_k(B)$ is above the applicable threshold $Th(i,k)$, offloading from Cell A to Cell B can still be performed after applying condition (2') because the value of metric $Q_k(B)$ is
20 less than (or equal to) the value of $Q_k(A)$ plus the margin M_1 minus offset δ .

Figure 7 is a comparison of the effects of an absolute and a relative threshold on the operation of the MLB module 66, and the resulting offloading decision when the above described condition (1) and condition (2') are being applied. Specifically, the left hand side of Figure 7 illustrates a scenario in which:

- a) offloading is not allowed when applying an absolute threshold because the
25 value of metric $Q_k(B)$ is above the applicable threshold and it is also larger than the sum of metric $Q_k(A)$ plus the margin M_1 minus the offset δ ; and
- b) offloading is allowed when applying a relative threshold because the value of metric $Q_k(A)$ is above the sum of metric $Q_k(B)$ plus the margin M_2 .

30 Further, the right hand side of Figure 7 illustrates a scenario in which:

- a) offloading is not allowed when applying an absolute threshold because the
value of metric $Q_k(B)$ is larger than the applicable threshold, and it is also
larger than the sum of metric $Q_k(A)$ plus the margin M_1 minus the offset δ ; and
- b) offloading is also not allowed when applying a relative threshold because the

value of metric $Q_k(B)$ is larger than the metric $Q_k(A)$ minus the margin M_2 and it is also larger than the sum of metric $Q_k(A)$ plus the margin M_1 minus the offset δ .

It will be appreciated, however, that by appropriately selecting $Th(i,k)$ and $M_2(i,k)$ the absolute and relative thresholds can be effectively disabled, so that condition (2') would always have the same effect as condition (2).

Figure 8 illustrates exemplary load metrics in accordance with a modification of the embodiment shown in Figure 4. In the scenarios shown in Figure 8, two neighbouring base stations 5 may decide to initiate simultaneous offloading in the opposite directions, which may be due to the original condition (2) not being checked, e.g. when a threshold such as $Th(i,k)$ and/or $M_2(i,k)$ is being applied.

The MLB module 66 may be configured, for example, as follows:

- a) the metric-dependent threshold $Th(i,k)$ is set so that it does not exceed the activation threshold (Th_{ACT}), i.e. $Th(i,k) \leq Th_{ACT}(k)$; and
- b) the relative threshold is greater than zero, i.e. $M_2(i,k) > 0$.

Effectively, point a) ensures that condition (2') is not skipped based on the absolute threshold if the target cell load is above the activation threshold Th_{ACT} . Further, point b) guarantees that at most one of the inequalities « $Q_k(B) \leq Q_i(A) - M_2(i,k)$ » and « $Q_i(A) \leq Q_k(B) - M_2(k,i)$ » can be true at the same time, but both could be false. In addition, condition (2') may still be true for both inequalities due to e.g. the absolute threshold, but in this case point a) would avoid the simultaneous offloading. In other words, for each pair of metrics (Q_i, Q_k) there may be either none or one cell of the two cells being compared that satisfies condition (2').

Table 10 illustrates an exemplary configuration of the MLB module 66. In this example, it is assumed that the exchanged metrics comprise: $Q_1 = \text{PRB usage DL}$; $Q_2 = \text{PRB usage UL}$; $Q_3 = \text{TNL load DL}$; and $Q_4 = \text{TNL load UL}$. In this case the MLB module 66 may be configured to directly compare the UL and DL metrics whilst avoiding a direct comparison of the TNL and PRB usage metrics. Accordingly, the exemplary threshold for each combination of metrics (Q_1 to Q_4) may be defined as illustrated in Table 10, wherein 'ε' denotes a minimum step size for a given metric:

$Q_i \backslash Q_k$	Q_1	Q_2	Q_3	Q_4
Q_1	$M_1=M_1(\text{PRB})$	$M_2=M_1(\text{PRB})$ $\text{Th}=0$ $\delta=2 M_1(\text{PRB})$	$M_2=\infty$ $\text{Th}=\text{Th}_{\text{TNL}}$ $\delta=\varepsilon(\text{TNL})$	
Q_2	$M_2=M_1(\text{PRB})$ $\text{Th}=0$ $\delta=2 M_1(\text{PRB})$	$M_1=M_1(\text{PRB})$		
Q_3	$M_2=\infty$ $\text{Th}=\text{Th}_{\text{PRB}}$ $\delta=\varepsilon(\text{PRB})$		$M_1=M_1(\text{TNL})$	$M_2=M_1(\text{TNL})$ $\text{Th}=0$ $\delta=2 M_1(\text{TNL})$
Q_4			$M_2=M_1(\text{TNL})$ $\text{Th}=0$ $\delta=2 M_1(\text{TNL})$	$M_1=M_1(\text{TNL})$

Table 10 – parameter definitions for metric pairs

Effectively, an absolute threshold ('Th') can be turned off by setting it to '0' and a relative threshold ('M₂') can be turned off by setting it to '∞'.

5 Using the values given in Table 10 the conditions for PRB usage and TNL load metrics can be merged as follows (taking the example of i=1,2 and k=1,2).

Condition (2') becomes:

$$\text{for } i=1: \quad Q_2(B) \leq \max(Q_1(A), Q_2(A)) - M_1(\text{PRB})$$

$$\text{for } i=2: \quad Q_1(B) \leq \max(Q_1(A), Q_2(A)) - M_1(\text{PRB})$$

10 Together with condition (1), this can be represented as:

$$\max(Q_1(A), Q_2(A)) \geq \max(Q_1(B), Q_2(B)) + M_1(\text{PRB}).$$

Further, in combination with the conditions for TNL load metrics (Q₃, Q₄ in Table 10), the MLB module 66 may select Cell B as an offloading target if the following conditions are met:

$$15 \quad \text{Max}(Q_1(A), Q_2(A)) \geq \text{Max}(Q_1(B), Q_2(B)) + M_1(\text{PRB})$$

AND

$$\text{Max}(Q_3(B), Q_4(B)) \leq \text{Max}(\text{Th}_{\text{TNL}}, Q_3(A) + M_1(\text{TNL}) - \varepsilon(\text{TNL}), Q_4(A) + M_1(\text{TNL}) - \varepsilon(\text{TNL}))$$

OR

$$\text{Max}(Q_3(A), Q_4(A)) \geq \text{Max}(Q_3(B), Q_4(B)) + M_1(\text{TNL})$$

20 AND

$$\text{Max}(Q_1(B), Q_2(B)) \leq \text{Max}(\text{Th}_{\text{PRB}}, Q_1(A) + M_1(\text{PRB}) - \varepsilon(\text{PRB}), Q_2(A) + M_1(\text{PRB}) - \varepsilon(\text{PRB}))$$

Advantageously, the above described method is agnostic with respect to the offloading mechanism being employed, which may thus involve any appropriate adjustment of handover parameters such as handover thresholds, cell specific offsets, and/or the selection of a subset of UEs as candidates for forced offloading.

25

Operation – signalling

Figure 9 is a timing diagram illustrating messages exchanged between the base stations 5 of Figure 1 whilst performing mobility load balancing in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

5 As can be seen, the procedure starts in step S100, in which the first base station 5-1 (using its MLB module 66) initiates the MLB processing in order to find out whether or not offloading is required, and to which cell. It will be appreciated that a round of such MLB procedure may be initiated either periodically, or upon detecting a trigger, such as the load in Cell A (or the hardware load of the first base station 5-1) reaching a predetermined threshold,
10 percentage, and/or the like.

The first base station 5-1 (using its MLB module 66) thus generates and sends, in step S101, an appropriately formatted message (e.g. an X2 protocol message, such as a 'Resource Status Request' message) requesting the second base station 5-2 operating Cell B to provide load measurements (e.g. one or more of the metrics described in Tables 2 to 8 above) with respect to
15 Cell B. The first base station's 5-1 message includes information (e.g. one or more information element) identifying the type of metric(s) required by the MLB module 66. If appropriate, the first base station 5-1 (using its MLB module 66) also generates and sends, in step S102, an appropriate message requesting the third base station 5-3 to provide load metrics for Cell C.

As shown in steps S105 and S106, in response to receiving the first base station's 5-1
20 request, each neighbour base station 5-2, 5-3 that have been requested to do so generates (using its reporting module 65) an appropriate load report for the cell operated by that second and third base station 5-2, 5-3 (following one or more appropriate load measurement by its load measurement module 64). The generated load report comprises one or more load metrics as described in Tables 2 to 8 above.

25 Next, in step S107, the second base station 5-2 (using its reporting module 65) transmits an appropriately formatted signalling message (e.g. an X2 protocol message, such as a 'Resource Status Update' message) to the first base station 5-1 and includes in this message the requested load metrics (e.g. one or more information elements as described in Tables 2 to 8) with respect to Cell B. Similarly, in step S108, the third base station 5-3 provides the requested load metrics (if
30 any) with respect to Cell C (by sending another 'Resource Status Update' message or the like).

In step S109, the MLB module 66 of the requesting base station 5-1 determines, based on the received load metrics, whether offloading can be performed to one of the neighbour cells. Specifically, the MLB module 66 applies conditions (1) and (2) and/or conditions (1) and (2') described above. If the MLB module 66 determines that offloading cannot be performed, then

the current MLB processing round ends. In this case, the MLB module may return to step S100 for the next MLB processing round (when appropriate).

Otherwise, if the MLB module 66 determines, in step S109, that offloading can be performed to Cell B, then the MLB module 66 initiates offloading towards the Cell B, by
5 generating (using the handover control module 67) and sending, in step S111, an appropriately formatted signalling message (e.g. an X2 signalling message) causing some of the traffic (e.g. one or more communication bearer) to be moved (handed over) to the neighbour base station 5-2 operating Cell B.

Similarly, if the MLB module 66 determines, in step S109, that offloading can be
10 performed to Cell C, then the MLB module 66 initiates offloading towards the Cell C, by generating (using the handover control module 67) and sending, in step S112, an appropriately formatted signalling message to the neighbour base station 5-2 operating Cell C initiating offloading towards Cell C.

As generally shown in Figure 9, steps S111 and S112 may comprise a number of
15 signalling messages being exchanged between the base stations 5 (and possibly any mobile communication device 3 being moved to a new serving cell).

Finally, in step S115, after having successfully completed the required offloading (if any) in steps S111 and/or S112, the MLB module 66 of the first base station 5-1 terminates processing of the current offloading round.

20 **Modifications and Alternatives**

A number of detailed exemplary embodiments have been described above. As those skilled in the art will appreciate, a number of modifications and alternatives can be made to the above exemplary embodiments whilst still benefiting from the inventions embodied therein. By way of illustration only a number of these alternatives and modifications will now be described.

25 While the above description addresses LTE networks and load measurements exchanged via the X2 interface, the description is also applicable to other load metrics and interfaces, and to non-LTE networks in which similar load metrics can be defined and exchanged between nodes.

In the above description of Figure 9, the first base station is configured to initiate MLB processing with respect to two neighbour base stations. However, it will be appreciated that the
30 first base station may initiate MLB processing with respect to any number of base stations, e.g. for a single base station or for three (or more) base stations, e.g. all base stations. It will also be appreciated that the MLB processing may be performed for a plurality of base stations (or cells) in a predetermined order, e.g. with respect to one base station / one cell at the time (in a round-robin fashion and/or the like). It will also be appreciated that each base station may initiate its

own MLB procedure (e.g. concurrently), in which case each base station may be configured to request load metrics from a number of neighbour base station(s) and to provide load metrics for its own cell to any neighbour base station requesting such load metrics.

In the above description, the base station operating Cell A is configured to initiate
 5 offloading towards the neighbour cell(s) when predetermined conditions (1/2/2') are met. It will be appreciated that such offloading may comprise any appropriate action that would result in a potential reduction of the base station's load, e.g. by handover of one or more UEs to a neighbour cell (target cell). For example, the base station (using its handover control module) may be configured to adjust the applicable handover parameters for some (or all) UEs served via
 10 its Cell A. However, it will be appreciated that such actions/adjustments may not necessarily result in any UE being handed over to any of the target cells since such handovers may depend on other conditions as well (e.g. the base station operating the target cell and/or a core network entity may need to authorise such handovers).

In the above exemplary embodiments, a mobile telephone based telecommunications
 15 system was described. As those skilled in the art will appreciate, the techniques described in the present application can be employed in any communications system. In the general case, the base stations and the mobile communication devices can be considered as communications nodes or devices which communicate with each other. Other communications nodes or devices may include access points and user devices such as, for example, personal digital assistants, laptop
 20 computers, web browsers, and the like.

In the above description of condition (2'), the MLB function is configured to apply both an absolute and a relative threshold. However, it will be appreciated that the MLB function may apply only an absolute threshold or a relative threshold. In this case, condition (2') may be modified as:

25 (2') for all other metrics Q_k (with $k \neq i$):

$$Q_k(B) \leq \max(Q_k(A) + (M_1(k) - \delta(k)), Th(i,k))$$

OR

(2') for all other metrics Q_k (with $k \neq i$):

$$Q_k(B) \leq \max(Q_k(A) + (M_1(k) - \delta(k)), Q_i(A) - M_2(i,k)).$$

30 In the above description of Figure 9, specific signalling messages were given as examples (e.g. 'Resource Status Request' and 'Resource Status Update'). However, it will be appreciated that different signalling messages may also be used, for example any suitable X2 messages and/or the like.

In the above exemplary embodiments, a number of software modules were described. As

those skilled will appreciate, the software modules may be provided in compiled or un-compiled form and may be supplied to the base station as a signal over a computer network, or on a recording medium. Further, the functionality performed by part or all of this software may be performed using one or more dedicated hardware circuits. However, the use of software modules is preferred as it facilitates the updating of the base station in order to update its functionality. Similarly, although the above exemplary embodiments employed transceiver circuitry, at least some of the functionality of the transceiver circuitry can be performed by software.

In one possibility, the first condition may comprise the following inequality:

$$Q_i(A) \geq Q_i(B) + M_1(i)$$

where 'Q_i(A)' denotes said measurement result of said first type for said first cell; 'Q_i(B)' denotes said measurement result of said first type for said second cell; and 'M₁(i)' comprises a margin applicable to said measurement result of said first type.

In one possibility, the second condition may comprise the following inequality:

$$Q_k(B) \leq Q_k(A) + (M_1(k) - \delta(k))$$

where 'Q_k(A)' denotes said measurement result of said second type for said first cell; 'Q_k(B)' denotes said measurement result of said second type for said second cell; 'M₁(k)' comprises a margin applicable to said measurement result of said second type; and 'δ(k)' comprises a predetermined offset for said measurement of said second type.

In one possibility, the means for determining whether a second condition is met may be operable to perform said comparison of the respective measurement result of a second type for each of said first and second cells conditional on a comparison of said measurement result of a second type for said second cell with a threshold.

In one possibility, the second condition may comprise the following inequality:

$$Q_k(B) \leq \max(Q_k(A) + (M_1(k) - \delta(k)), Th(i,k), Q_i(A) - M_2(i,k))$$

where 'Q_i(A)' denotes said measurement result of said first type for said first cell; 'Q_k(A)' denotes said measurement result of said second type for said first cell; 'Q_k(B)' denotes said measurement result of said second type for said second cell; 'M₁(k)' comprises said margin applicable to said measurement result of said second type; 'δ(k)' comprises a predetermined offset for said measurement result of said second type; Th(i,k) comprises said threshold; and M₂(i,k) comprises a required difference between said measurement results of said first type for said first and second cells when considering said measurement result of said second type.

In one possibility, the first condition may further comprise a comparison of the measurement result of said first type to an activation threshold associated with said measurement

result of said first type before considering said second condition. In this case, the first condition may comprise the following inequality:

$$Q_i(A) \geq Th_{ACT}(i)$$

where 'Q_i(A)' denotes said measurement result of said first type for said first cell; and Th_{ACT}(i) comprises said activation threshold for said measurement result of said first type.

In one possibility, the controlling means may be operable to initiate offloading from said first cell towards said second cell by updating a handover parameter associated with said first cell.

In one possibility, each of said measurement result of said first type and said measurement result of said second type may comprise a measurement selected from: a hardware load measurement for a specific cell (e.g. included in a 'Hardware Load Indicator' information element); a measurement of a core network interface (e.g. an S1 interface), such as a measurement of a transport network load experienced by said cell (e.g. included in an 'S1 TNL Load Indicator' information element); a measurement of a usage of physical radio blocks, PRBs, for all downlink and/or uplink traffic in said cell (e.g. included in a 'Radio Resource Status' information element); a measurement of an overall available resource level in a specific cell in downlink and/or uplink (e.g. included in a 'Composite Available Capacity Group' information element); a measurement of an overall available resource level in the cell of the base station in downlink and/or uplink (e.g. included in a 'Composite Available Capacity' information element); a measurement of an overall available resource level in the cell of the base station in uplink (e.g. included in a 'Composite Available Capacity' information element); a measurement of a load for a particular base station operating one or more cells; and a measurement of an amount of resources that are available at a particular base station relative to the total evolved universal terrestrial radio access network, E-UTRAN, resources of that base station.

In one possibility, the determining may perform said comparison of the respective measurement result of a second type for each of said first and second cells conditional on a result of a comparison of said measurement result of a second type for said second cell with a threshold.

Various other modifications will be apparent to those skilled in the art and will not be described in further detail here.

This application is based upon and claims the benefit of priority from United Kingdom Patent Application No. 1409630.9, filed on May 30, 2014, the disclosure of which is incorporated herein in its by reference.

CLAIMS

1. A base station operating a cell in a communication network comprising a plurality base stations each operating a respective cell for communicating with a plurality of user communication devices, the base station comprising:

means for obtaining:

- i) a measurement result of a first type for a first cell operated by said base station;
- ii) information identifying a measurement result of said first type for a second cell operated by a different base station of said plurality of base stations;
- iii) a measurement result of a second type for said first cell; and
- iv) information identifying a measurement result of said second type for said second cell;

means for determining whether a first condition is met based on a comparison of the respective measurement result of a first type for each of said first and second cells, wherein said first condition, when met, indicates that load should be offloaded from said first cell towards said second cell;

means for determining whether a second condition is met based on a comparison of the respective measurement result of a second type for each of said first and second cells, wherein said second condition, when met, indicates that said different base station will not offload load from said second cell to said first cell based on the respective measurement result of a second type for each of said first and second cells; and controlling means for controlling a load balancing based on said determining, when at least said first condition and said second condition is met, wherein said controlling means is operable to initiate offloading of load from said first cell towards said second cell.

2. The base station according to claim 1, wherein said first condition comprises the following inequality:

$$Q_i(A) \geq Q_i(B) + M_1(i)$$

where 'Q_i(A)' denotes said measurement result of said first type for said first cell; 'Q_i(B)' denotes said measurement result of said first type for said second cell; and 'M₁(i)' comprises a margin applicable to said measurement result of said first type.

3. The base station according to claim 1 or 2, wherein said second condition comprises the following inequality:

$$Q_k(B) \leq Q_k(A) + (M_1(k) - \delta(k))$$

where 'Q_k(A)' denotes said measurement result of said second type for said first cell;

' $Q_k(B)$ ' denotes said measurement result of said second type for said second cell; ' $M_1(k)$ ' comprises a margin applicable to said measurement result of said second type; and ' $\delta(k)$ ' comprises a predetermined offset for said measurement of said second type.

4. The base station according to claim 1 or 2, wherein said means for determining whether a second condition is met is operable to perform said comparison of the respective measurement result of a second type for each of said first and second cells conditional on a comparison of said measurement result of a second type for said second cell with a threshold.

5. The base station according to claim 4, wherein said second condition comprises the following inequality:

$$Q_k(B) \leq \max(Q_k(A) + (M_1(k) - \delta(k)), Th(i,k), Q_i(A) - M_2(i,k))$$

where ' $Q_i(A)$ ' denotes said measurement result of said first type for said first cell; ' $Q_k(A)$ ' denotes said measurement result of said second type for said first cell; ' $Q_k(B)$ ' denotes said measurement result of said second type for said second cell; ' $M_1(k)$ ' comprises said margin applicable to said measurement result of said second type; ' $\delta(k)$ ' comprises a predetermined offset for said measurement result of said second type; $Th(i,k)$ comprises said threshold; and $M_2(i,k)$ comprises a required difference between said measurement results of said first type for said first and second cells when considering said measurement result of said second type.

6. The base station according to any of claims 1 to 5, wherein said first condition further comprises a comparison of the measurement result of said first type to an activation threshold associated with said measurement result of said first type before considering said second condition.

7. The base station according to claim 6, wherein said first condition comprises the following inequality:

$$Q_i(A) \geq Th_{ACT}(i)$$

where ' $Q_i(A)$ ' denotes said measurement result of said first type for said first cell; and $Th_{ACT}(i)$ comprises said activation threshold for said measurement result of said first type.

8. The base station according to any of claims 1 to 7, wherein said controlling means is operable to initiate offloading from said first cell towards said second cell by updating a handover parameter associated with said first cell.

9. The base station according to any of claims 1 to 8, wherein each of said measurement result of said first type and said measurement result of said second type comprises a measurement selected from:

a hardware load measurement for a specific cell;
a measurement of a core network interface, such as a measurement of a transport network load experienced by said cell;
a measurement of a usage of physical radio blocks, PRBs, for all downlink and/or uplink traffic in said cell;
a measurement of an overall available resource level in a specific cell in downlink and/or uplink;
a measurement of an overall available resource level in the cell of the base station in downlink and/or uplink;
a measurement of a load for a particular base station operating one or more cells; and
a measurement of an amount of resources that are available at a particular base station relative to the total evolved universal terrestrial radio access network, E-UTRAN, resources of that base station.

10. A base station operating a cell in a communication network comprising a plurality base stations each operating a respective cell for communicating with a plurality of user communication devices, the base station comprising a transceiver and a processor, wherein:

said transceiver is configured to obtain:

- i) a measurement result of a first type for a first cell operated by said base station;
- ii) information identifying a measurement result of said first type for a second cell operated by a different base station of said plurality of base stations;
- iii) a measurement result of a second type for said first cell; and
- iv) information identifying a measurement result of said second type for said second cell; and

said processor is configured to:

determine whether a first condition is met based on a comparison of the respective measurement result of a first type for each of said first and second cells, wherein said first condition, when met, indicates that load should be offloaded from said first cell towards said second cell;

determine whether a second condition is met based on a comparison of the respective measurement result of a second type for each of said first and second cells, wherein said second condition, when met, indicates that said different base station will not offload load from said second cell to said first cell based on the

respective measurement result of a second type for each of said first and second cells; and

control a load balancing based on said determining, when at least said first condition and said second condition is met, wherein said processor is operable to initiate offloading of load from said first cell towards said second cell.

5

11. A system comprising the base station according to any of claims 1 to 10, a base station operating said second cell, and at least one user communication device.

12. A method performed by a base station operating a cell in a communication network comprising a plurality base stations each operating a respective cell for communicating with a plurality of user communication devices, the method comprising:

10

obtaining:

i) a measurement result of a first type for a first cell operated by said base station;

ii) information identifying a measurement result of said first type for a second cell operated by a different base station of said plurality of base stations;

15

iii) a measurement result of a second type for said first cell; and

iv) information identifying a measurement result of said second type for said second cell;

determining whether a first condition is met based on a comparison of the respective measurement result of a first type for each of said first and second cells, wherein said first condition, when met, indicates that load should be offloaded from said first cell towards said second cell;

20

determining whether a second condition is met based on a comparison of the respective measurement result of a second type for each of said first and second cells, wherein said second condition, when met, indicates that said different base station will not offload load from said second cell to said first cell based on the respective measurement result of a second type for each of said first and second cells; and

25

controlling a load balancing based on said determining, when at least said first condition and said second condition is met, wherein said controlling is operable to initiate offloading of load from said first cell towards said second cell.

30

13. The method according to claim 12, wherein said determining performs said comparison of the respective measurement result of a second type for each of said first and second cells conditional on a result of a comparison of said measurement result of a second type for said second cell with a threshold.

14. A computer implementable instructions product comprising computer implementable

instructions for causing a programmable communications device to perform the method of claim 12 or 13.




Figure 1

2 / 7




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5




Figure 6




Figure 7

Condition (2') is fulfilled, as all metrics are below the absolute threshold $Th(i,k)$. Thus, simultaneous offloading can happen ($Q_i(A) \geq Th_{ACT}(i)$ and $Q_k(B) \geq Th_{ACT}(k)$) if there are metrics Q_i, Q_k that trigger condition (1) in cell A and B respectively

If $M_2(i,k)=0$ and $Q_i(A)=Q_k(B)$ and condition (2') is automatically met for $Q_k(B)$ when $Q_i(A)$ triggers condition (1). Similarly, $Q_i(A)$ fulfils condition (2') when $Q_k(B)$ triggers condition (1). As a result, offloading can happen from A to B and from B to A simultaneously




Figure 8

7 / 7




Figure 9

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/JP2015/065153

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
INV. H04W28/08 H04W36/22
ADD.

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
H04W

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, COMPENDEX, INSPEC, WPI Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 2 482 588 A1 (ALCATEL LUCENT [FR]) 1 August 2012 (2012-08-01) paragraph [0003] - paragraph [0043] paragraph [0051] - paragraph [0057] -----	1-14
X	US 2012/231824 A1 (BUDIC MIROSLAV [US] ET AL) 13 September 2012 (2012-09-13) paragraph [0009] - paragraph [0016] paragraph [0022] - paragraph [0053] -----	1-14
X	US 2012/230191 A1 (FANG YANG LIT [SG]) 13 September 2012 (2012-09-13) paragraph [0008] - paragraph [0048] paragraph [0081] - paragraph [0095] -----	1-14
A	US 2012/213107 A1 (JANG JAEHYUK [KR] ET AL) 23 August 2012 (2012-08-23) the whole document -----	1-14
	-/--	

Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search 16 September 2015	Date of mailing of the international search report 23/09/2015
--	--

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer Frantzeskakis, D
--	--

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No

PCT/JP2015/065153

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	WO 2013/115696 A1 (ERICSSON TELEFON AB L M [SE]) 8 August 2013 (2013-08-08) the whole document -----	1-14
A	EP 1 895 801 A1 (NOKIA SIEMENS NETWORKS GMBH [DE]) 5 March 2008 (2008-03-05) the whole document -----	1-14

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No

PCT/JP2015/065153

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 2482588	A1	01-08-2012	CN 103339987 A
			EP 2482588 A1
			JP 5687774 B2
			JP 2014504122 A
			KR 20130106427 A
			US 2013310043 A1
			WO 2012100882 A1

US 2012231824	A1	13-09-2012	NONE

US 2012230191	A1	13-09-2012	CN 102685927 A
			US 2012230191 A1

US 2012213107	A1	23-08-2012	AU 2012222013 A1
			CA 2826885 A1
			CN 103384971 A
			CN 103385027 A
			CN 103392301 A
			CN 103493412 A
			EP 2678955 A2
			EP 2678959 A2
			EP 2678961 A2
			EP 2679057 A2
			JP 2014507909 A
			JP 2014510462 A
			KR 20120095783 A
			KR 20120095785 A
			KR 20120095810 A
			KR 20120095813 A
			US 2012213107 A1
			US 2012213207 A1
			US 2015085842 A1
			US 2015124766 A1
			US 2015124767 A1
			US 2015124768 A1
			US 2015124788 A1
			US 2015124789 A1
			WO 2012115419 A2
			WO 2012115429 A2

WO 2013115696	A1	08-08-2013	CN 104137606 A
			EP 2810480 A1
			US 2014112310 A1
			WO 2013115696 A1

EP 1895801	A1	05-03-2008	CN 101513097 A
			EP 1895801 A1
			EP 2060142 A1
			US 2010014426 A1
			WO 2008025502 A1
