

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
21 June 2001 (21.06.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 01/44938 A2**

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **G06F 9/46**

(74) Agent: **MEADOR, Terrance, A.**; Gray Cary Ware & Freidenrich, LLP, 401 B Street, Suite 1700, San Diego, CA 92101-4297 (US).

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US00/33323

(22) International Filing Date: 8 December 2000 (08.12.2000)

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:  
09/464,945 16 December 1999 (16.12.1999) US

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).


(71) Applicant: **THUNDER RIVER TECHNOLOGIES, INC** [US/US]; 23 Corporate Plaza Drive, Suite 250, Newport Beach, CA 92660 (US).

(72) Inventors: **HANKINSON, Bradley, K., T.**; 4441-3 Via Sepulveda, San Diego, CA 92122 (US). **SUGGS, Brian, D.**; 7362 Mannix Court, San Diego, CA 92129 (US).

**Published:**  
— *Without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report.*

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: **FEDERATED OPERATING SYSTEM FOR A SERVER**



(57) Abstract: A server, methods, and software, for implementing a distributed, high capacity, high speed, operating system are disclosed. One embodiment concerns a Web server that is implemented with a plurality of members which are categorized into member classes. Each member class has a distinct specialized operating system that is optimized for its function. Together, the operating systems of the members make up an operating system referred to as the Federated Operating System<sup>TM</sup>. One illustrative embodiment includes a receiver member, a dispatcher member, and a responder member. Each member has an internal network interface for coupling to an internal network which is used for communications between the members. Members can be located in the same enclosure or can be separated over large distances. Receiver members and responder members also have an external network interface for coupling to an external network such as the Internet. Receiver members receive requests from clients over the external network, and pass off data from the requests to dispatcher members over the internal network. A dispatcher member uses the internal network to send information to a responder member, instructing the responder member to send data requested by the client to the client over the external network. Preferred embodiments of the invention use address and host lookup algorithms that execute in a fixed amount of time even when searching large databases, which permits real time processing of a large number of connections.

WO 01/44938 A2



---

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

## FEDERATED OPERATING SYSTEM FOR A SERVER

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

#### 1. Field of the Invention

5           The invention relates to a distributed operating system for a digital computer system. More particularly, the invention concerns a high speed server in which different functions of the server's state machine are distributed across a plurality of processors running a plurality of operating systems.

#### 10       2. Description of the Related Art

          The explosion in usage of the World Wide Web over the global Internet has created a corresponding need for servers that have the capability to host large web sites with increased speed and reliability. The Internet employs fiber optic cable and high speed switches and routers to carry all forms of digital content, for example  
15       voice, data, and video, across the globe at gigabit data rates (soon to be terabit data rates). On the Internet, the maximum number of users that a server must support is unpredictable and variable, and can range from a handful of users to potentially millions of users, which contrasts with local area networks (LANS) wherein the maximum number of users is relatively small. Consequently, there is a need for  
20       servers for use on the Internet that can support a large number of users and that can operate at terabit data rates.

A common solution for operating a large Web site is to construct a server farm. Constructing a server farm entails connecting together multiple servers (perhaps hundreds) with various networking schemes to approximate a single more powerful system. Constructing and operating a server farm is typically an expensive undertaking because server farms require a large amount of space which must be equipped with specialized cooling and power facilities. Additionally, server farms commonly require a staff of engineers to maintain. Server farms generally are complex, and commonly are unreliable due to an excessive amount of downtime. Another shortcoming of server farms is that they cannot provide the power and scalability that is often required by large and growing Web sites.

Symmetric Multi Processing (SMP) servers are a known alternative to server farms. However, the limited scalability of SMP servers generally makes them ill-suited to the needs of large scale Web sites. SMP servers and server farms often cannot handle the high stress, fast growth environment of the Web. For example, secure transactions, which are necessary for e-commerce, are known to frequently bog down SMP servers and server farms.

Computers that are networked over long distances, for example over the Internet, often have protracted response times due to the geographic distance between the client and the server. In order to decrease the time required for a server to respond to requests from clients, Web servers are sometimes replicated at

one or more locations which are closer to clients. For example, a client in Japan seeking a connection with a server hosting an e-commerce Web site in Seattle, Washington, might be coupled to a duplicate server in Tokyo rather than the main server in Seattle, Washington. This places the data closer to the user. However, it is difficult to maintain consistency between the data served by duplicate servers, especially if the content is dynamically generated. For example, if a customer uses the on-line ordering capability of one Web server, and later attempts to check the status of an order on a duplicate server, the customer may not get accurate information. When duplicate servers are used, it is also difficult to accurately track hits to a Web site for advertising purposes.

In prior art Internet servers, frequently one machine performs (executes) the entire TCP/IP state diagram, which oftentimes results in sluggishness. In systems in which functions are distributed with clustering software, the distributed functions are typically layered on top of operating systems, for example Linux or Windows NT, which perform generally identical services. Consequently, the computing is distributed at the application level, which frequently results in latencies and other difficulties.

Accordingly, there is a need for a server with increased speed, security, reliability, scalability, capacity, and cost effectiveness, that also has reduced space, power, and cooling requirements, as well as reduced maintenance and operating costs.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Various aspects of the invention concern a server, methods, and software for implementing a distributed, high capacity, high speed, operating system referred to as the Federated Operating System<sup>TM</sup> (Federated OS<sup>TM</sup>). (“Federated Operating System<sup>TM</sup>” and “Federated OS<sup>TM</sup>” are trademarks of Thunder River Technologies, Inc.)

One embodiment of the invention concerns a web server that is implemented with a plurality of members which are categorized into member classes. Each member class has a distinct specialized operating system that is optimized for its function. Although each class of operating system is unique, most member classes, or all member classes, have common characteristics inherited from a common parent class. Together, the operating systems of the members make up the Federated Operating System. One illustrative embodiment includes at least one receiver member, at least one dispatcher member, and at least one responder member. Each member has an internal network interface for coupling to an internal network which is used for communications between the members. The internal network can be implemented with, for example, a backplane, a crossbar switch, a LAN, a WAN, or a wireless link (which could include a satellite link). Receiver members and responder members also have an external network interface for coupling to an external network such as the Internet. The external network can also

be, for example, a LAN, a WAN, or a wireless network (which could include satellite links).

Receiver members receive requests from clients over the external network, and pass off data from the requests to dispatcher members over the internal network.

5 The dispatcher member that is used for a particular connection uses the internal network to send information to a responder member, instructing the responder member to send data requested by the client to the client over the external network.

Members preferably are implemented with member hardware units that preferably include at least one CPU, RAM, ROM, an internal network interface, and  
10 an external network interface. (Alternately, members can be implemented as separate processes or threads on a uniprocessor or SMP (Symmetric Multi Processing) system.) Member hardware units preferably can be reconfigured to operate as any member class, which permits reconfiguring member hardware units during operation of the server for load balancing or to replace defective member  
15 hardware units.

Preferred embodiments of the invention use address, port, and host lookup algorithms that execute in a fixed amount of time even when searching databases with a large number of entries. For example, in an HTTP (Hyper Text Transfer Protocol) embodiment, large databases containing IP (Internet Protocol) addresses  
20 and TCP (Transmission Control Protocol) port numbers, and large databases containing host names, can be searched in a fixed amount of time. This allows the

server to operate in real time even while handling a large number of simultaneous connections.

It is possible to locate different members in the same enclosure, or to separate members over small or large distances. For example, a receiver and dispatcher  
5 could be located in Seattle, Washington, while a responder of the same server could be located in Tokyo, Japan, in order to provide fast responses to clients in Japan.

The invention provides its users with a number of advantages such as increased speed, throughput, reliability, scalability, performance, security, and manageability. A server implementing the Federated OS can be scaled up to handle an extremely  
10 large volume of Web traffic, including encryption/decryption (for example, secure sockets layer (SSL) transactions used for e-commerce), without performance degradation. Additionally, a server embodying the Federated OS can be implemented in a compact enclosure without special power and cooling requirements, and can be managed and configured from a console by a single  
15 technician with minimal training. The invention also provides other advantages and benefits, which are apparent from the following description.



### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1A is a block diagram of a member hardware module in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

Figure 1B is a perspective view of member hardware modules and backplanes in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

Figure 1C is a top view of ICs and a circuit board in accordance with illustrative embodiments of the invention.

Figure 2 is a block diagram of a server implementing the Federated OS in its operative environment, in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

Figure 3 is a block diagram of a server implementing the Federated OS in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

Figure 4A is a diagram illustrating the relationships between some members of the Federated OS in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

Figure 4B is a block diagram of components of a server implementing a Federated OS in its operative environment in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

Figure 5 is a block diagram of a server in its operative environment in which members are geographically dispersed, in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

Figure 6 is a block diagram of a server in its operative environment in which some members are coupled directly to the Internet backbone, in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

Figure 7 is a block diagram of a server with a remote datastore, in its operative environment, in which some members are coupled directly to the Internet backbone, in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

Figure 8 is a diagram of components of the Federated OS, including ThunderOS, in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

Figure 9A is a block diagram illustrating the relationships between members of ThunderOS, in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

Figure 9B is a block diagram illustrating functions and interactions in a bootable member in ThunderOS, in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

Figure 9C is a block diagram illustrating functions and interactions in a receiver member in ThunderOS, in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

Figure 9D is a block diagram illustrating functions and interactions in a dispatcher member in ThunderOS, in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

Figure 9E is a block diagram illustrating functions and interactions in a static responder member in ThunderOS, in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

5 Figure 9F is a block diagram illustrating functions and interactions in a dynamic responder member in ThunderOS, in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

Figure 10 is a block diagram illustrating distribution of functions between receiver, dispatcher, and responder members in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

10 Figure 11 is a diagram of a receiver TCP connection state machine, in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

Figure 12 is a diagram of a dispatcher TCP connection state machine, in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

15 Figure 13 is a block diagram illustrating an distributed TCP/IP computing system in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

Figure 14A is a flow chart illustrating a method for initializing a server in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

Figure 14B is a flow chart illustrating a method for initializing a server in accordance with another illustrative embodiment of the invention.

Figure 15 is a diagram illustrating data flow between a client, receiver, dispatcher, and responder, to service a client request in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

Figure 16A is a flow chart illustrating a method for responding to a request  
5 received over an external network in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

Figure 16B is a flow chart illustrating a method for responding to a request received over an external network in accordance with another illustrative embodiment of the invention.

10 Figure 16C is a flow chart illustrating a method for responding to a request received over an external network in accordance with another illustrative embodiment of the invention.

Figure 16D is a flow chart illustrating a method for responding to a request received over an external network in accordance with another illustrative  
15 embodiment of the invention.

Figure 17 is a top view of a signal bearing optical disc in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

### Definitions:

5 Distributed: A characteristic of a system whose functionality is split among multiple subsystems, each of which implements a portion of the functionality and which, ideally, can operate simultaneously resulting in faster overall completion of a given task.

Real time: A characteristic of a system that takes no more than a known, fixed amount of time to complete a given task.

10 Server: A computer system that serves up data and/or receives data and/or processes data.

Client: A device that sends requests and data to servers and receives data in response to those requests. A client can also receive data transmitted from a server that was not sent in response to a request by the client.

15 VME: Refers to the "VERSAmodule Eurocard" computer architecture developed by Motorola and defined in the original VMEbus specification (IEEE-1014-1987) and later revisions such as VME64 (ANSI/VITA 1-1994), VME64x, and VME320.

Herein the words "preferable" and "preferably" refer to an element, act, structure, material, or characteristic, that is not required, but which is desirable to include.

20 Herein use of the term "for example" means that the exemplary elements, acts, structure, material, or characteristics which are explicitly stated are not required, and

that other elements, acts, structure, material, or characteristics can be used, performed, or included.

Overview of some different aspects of the invention

5           The invention, includes, but is not limited to, the following aspects. One aspect of the invention includes embodiments of a digital computer system that implements a Federated Operating System<sup>TM</sup>. Other aspects of the invention include embodiments of a server that implement a Federated Operating System<sup>TM</sup>. Other aspects of the invention include embodiments of a server that implement a distributed TCP/IP state machine. Other aspects of the invention are methods for responding to a request received over an external network. Other aspects of the invention are methods for responding to a request (that does not have to be received over an external network). Other aspects of the invention are methods for initializing a server (or a digital computer system). Other aspects of the invention include  
10           embodiments of a signal bearing medium tangibly embodying machine-readable code which is executable by a digital processing apparatus for implementing a distributed server. Other aspects of the invention include embodiments of a signal bearing medium tangibly embodying a program of machine-readable instructions executable by a digital processing apparatus to perform a method for responding to  
15           a request. Other aspects of the invention are also described herein.  
20

### Overview of the Federated Operating System

The Federated Operating System (OS) is the general architecture of the invention. The Federated OS is a distributed operating system that is implemented with a plurality of members that are categorized into member classes. Each member is an instance of a member class, and can be referred to as a node. Each member class has a distinct specialized operating system (which can also be referred to as an operating system kernel) that is optimized for its function. Together, the operating systems of the members make up the Federated OS. The members of the Federated OS operate together in concert to perform the functions of a server. Thus the system is an integration of multiple operating systems, and is therefore referred to as a federation of members. Each member could operate alone to perform its function, but preferably members of the Federated OS operate cooperatively to implement a server.

The characteristics of the specific operating system implemented by each member are defined by the specific hardware, firmware and/or software combination used to implement that particular member. Thus, the uniqueness of the members is due to hardware, firmware and/or software differences. Although not required, preferably all members (except the configurator member) have common characteristics inherited from a common parent member (using object oriented inheritance). The parent (base) member class from which other member classes preferably are derived contains (among other things) the functionality necessary for

synchronous member-to-member communications over an internal network, and preferably also includes an event driven processing loop.

The member classes include, for example, the following classes: receiver, dispatcher, responder, configurator, guardian, persistent storage, system administrator notifier, decoder, routing manager, and bootable, plus proto and external network member classes which are abstract classes. (The term "responder" includes both static responder and dynamic responder classes.) Other classes could also be used. Members of different classes perform different functions. A server implementing a Federated OS preferably includes at least one receiver member, at least one dispatcher member, and at least one responder member (and preferably also includes one or more additional members in other member classes). In an alternative embodiment, a dispatcher member is not included, and the functionality of a dispatcher member is implemented on a receiver member and/or a responder member (or could be implemented on another member, from another member class). Embodiments that are coupled to an external network include at least one member that is coupled to the external network (and preferably include at least one receiver and at least one responder that are coupled to the external network). In embodiments that are not coupled to an external network, a receiver member and/or a responder member need not be included. The number of members in a server, and the classes of the members in a server, are determined



by the services that are to be provided by the server, the load on the system, human intervention, and other factors.

Each member includes a hardware and/or software combination that implements an operating system which is different from the operating systems of members in different classes. For example, receiver members implement the same receiver operating system, but dispatcher members implement a dispatcher operating system which is different than the receiver operating system. In the Federated OS, unique characteristics of each member class are implemented at the operating system level. Thus the operating systems for the receiver, dispatcher, responder, and other classes are all different (although preferably they all have common inherited characteristics). This is unlike the prior art, wherein distributed computing often entails clustering software which operates above operating systems which provide essentially identical services. It is possible to subclass new member classes from existing member classes. A new member class would contain all of the functionality of the parent member class plus some new functionality. For example, a dynamic responder can be subclassed from a static responder. As shown in the illustrative embodiment of Figure 9A, the dynamic responder inherits all of the functionality of the parent static responder, and adds additional functionality related to generating dynamic data. Although not required, preferably the Federated OS is implemented in the C++ programming language, which facilitates implementing the inheritance hierarchy of the operating systems of the members.

The Federated OS is not limited to TCP/IP (Transmission Control Protocol/Internet Protocol) implementations, but rather can implement any network communication protocol, for example Netware, VINES, AppleTalk, DECNET, SNA, OSI , ATM, netBIOS, and IP-over-SONET. Other communication protocols could also be used. Also, the Federated OS can be used both with packet based communication systems, and with circuit based communication systems in which a dedicated circuit is used for the communication. The Federated OS can also be implemented in systems that have no external network, for example, systems that processes data that is received from removable media. For ease of description, but with no limitation intended thereby, TCP/IP embodiments of the Federated OS are often described herein.

Unlike the prior art wherein one machine performs the entire state diagram for the communication protocol suite being used, in the Federated OS, tasks are distributed between the processors in a plurality of members. Thus, in a TCP/IP embodiment of the Federated OS, the TCP/IP state machine is distributed across a plurality of members. In other words, the TCP/IP tasks are split up, and states on TCP/IP state diagrams are mapped to different members. Consequently, the servicing of a single IP address is distributed across the network interfaces of a plurality of members (which can be located either in the same enclosure or in separate enclosures). In embodiments using communication protocols other than TCP/IP, the state machine for those other protocols is also distributed across the

members. Thus, response generation and data delivery to one or more clients is processed by multiple members in parallel. In a TCP/IP embodiment, the capability for multiple IP address support is generally limited only by the amount of memory that is available, which permits scaling to support millions or more IP addresses. In  
5 a TCP/IP embodiment, the number of simultaneous TCP/IP connections that can be supported is also generally limited only by the amount of memory that is available, which permits scaling to support millions or more of simultaneous TCP/IP connections. Thus, a TCP/IP embodiment can deliver high volumes of data, for example to hundreds of thousands or more, of simultaneously connected Internet  
10 users.

With the Federated OS, multithreaded, distributed systems are implemented with multiple, (preferably) single-threaded members. The members of a Federated OS individually typically do not multitask. (However, multitasking by members is possible.) The Federated OS as a whole accomplishes parallel processing because  
15 each member functions in parallel with other members. For example, in a TCP/IP embodiment, the Federated OS implements parallel processing of Internet protocols, because each member executes specific aspects of the TCP/IP protocol in parallel with other members. Consequently, the processing of core Internet functions is accelerated. Similarly, in an OSI (Open System Interconnection) embodiment, the  
20 Federated OS implements parallel handling of different layers of the Open System Interconnection (OSI) 7 layer stack.

Servers implemented with the Federated OS are well suited for many high performance applications, for example, deploying large-scale Internet/intranet applications such as e-commerce, Web hosting, multimedia delivery (video and audio on demand), specialized military projects, wireless infrastructure, and Internet based games. In addition to use with the Internet, the Federated OS could be used with other types of broadband, packet-based public networks.

#### Hardware for the Federated Operating System

As mentioned above, the characteristics of the specific operating system implemented by each member are defined by the specific hardware, firmware (for example ROM), and/or software combination used to implement that particular member. Members of the Federated OS are implemented in respective member hardware units (which can be referred to as nodes), which can be implemented in a variety of ways. In some embodiments of the Federated OS, each member (instance) is implemented with a separate hardware module. Thus, in these embodiments each member hardware unit is implemented as a member hardware module. Figure 1A is a block diagram of an illustrative embodiment of a member hardware module 105. Member hardware module 105 includes at least one CPU 110a (central processing unit), an internal network interface 115, an external network interface 120, Random Access Memory (RAM) 125, and a small amount of non-volatile memory (ROM) 130 to store the initial program image that is used to

load subsequent instances of a Federated OS kernel. Member hardware units can be implemented with the same or different CPUs, the same or different amounts of memory, and with the same or different types of network interfaces. The internal network interface and the external network interface in member hardware units

5 preferably are both configured for bidirectional communications (inputting and outputting data and other signals). It is not necessary to provide an external network interface 120 for members that will not be used to communicate with the external network, although preferably all member hardware units include an external network interface so that each module has the capability to function as a receiver or

10 responder if necessary. The internal network interface is used for communications between member hardware units over the internal network, and the external network interface is used for communications with clients over the external network. Optionally, the external network interface can also be used for internal communications between member hardware units by providing a communication

15 path in the event of hardware faults or other failures. Although not required, to increase performance, preferably each member maintains internal network messaging information such that each member knows the necessary network routing information used to send messages to other members that the member communicates with.

20 If a member hardware unit includes more than one CPU, preferably the CPUs of the member hardware unit are interconnected. Although not required, preferably

each CPU is coupled to each network interface of the member hardware unit, and the RAM and ROM are coupled to each CPU. Any number of CPUs that can be practicably coupled can be used in a member. For example, each member hardware unit has two CPUs 110a and 110b.

5            Preferably, the Federated OS is implemented with portable source code, which permits supporting heterogeneous CPU hardware, thereby allowing the freedom to choose from different processors and different vendors. This portability facilitates optimizing members for specific functionality. For example, an implementation of a member can be optimized to use DSP (Digital Signal Processor) based  
10 encryption/decryption engines to support SSL (Secure Sockets Layer) or other secure protocols. Preferably, dependencies on a processor's native byte order, word size, etc., are encapsulated in a minimal code module for each type of processor. Each CPU can be any type of digital processing apparatus, and preferably each CPU is a high speed digital processing apparatus. If a module has  
15 more than one CPU, it is not necessary for the CPUs in a module to be the same make or model. Preferably CPU 110a is one PowerPC, and CPU 110b another PowerPC which is the same as the PowerPC used for CPU 110a. Alternatively, the CPUs could be x86 processors, high speed digital signal processors (DSPs) produced by Texas Instruments, or any other processor (which can also be referred  
20 to as a digital processing apparatus).

The processors in the Federated OS operate as one integrated computing system made up of a plurality of processors. The number of processors can range from a few processors, to hundreds (or more) of processors, with the number of processors being dependent on the number of members and the number of  
5 processors used to implement the members. Processor power can be easily added to a server which implements the Federated OS by adding member hardware units to the system, without the extensive LAN and software configuring that is typically required in prior art Unix or NT server farms.

An exemplary stand alone server implementing the Federated OS in a single  
10 enclosure contains a number of rows of member hardware modules and their associated backplane, a network crossbar switch to interconnect the rows of member hardware modules, a network switch coupled to the external network interfaces, interconnects for the network switches, a power conditioning DC power supply, (optional) battery backup with related charging circuitry, and mass data  
15 storage. In embodiments in which members are physically separated, the enclosures housing separated members are interconnected via local or long distance network links, which permits the implementation of geographically distributed systems.

Preferably, the physical packaging of member hardware units and the internal  
20 network topology are designed to allow for scalability in terms of the number of member hardware units (and CPUs) supported. For example, in an illustrative

embodiment illustrated in Figure 1B, member hardware modules 105a, 105b, have low power dissipation and are shaped (have a form factor) so that a number of member hardware modules can be installed side by side in a row of typically 10 to 50 modules, and so that several rows can be stacked vertically in a bookshelf-like arrangement. In this embodiment the modules in a given row plug into a backplane (for example backplanes 155 and 160), which provides all of the connections for each module's power and networking interfaces. The backplane also contains the interconnections needed for networking among the modules in a given row, and also provides one or more network connections 165a, 165b, that are used to interconnect with one or more additional rows. The interconnect used in each backplane preferably is a high-bandwidth, low-latency crossbar ("switched fabric") allowing multiple pairs of modules to communicate simultaneously. In implementations using multiple backplanes, the interface between backplanes preferably is a switched fabric similar to that used to interconnect modules.

Member hardware units (and backplanes) can be located physically proximate to each other or may be coupled over large distances. For example, member hardware units can be located in the same enclosure, or in different enclosures in the same building, or can be separated by larger distances, for example one or more kilometers, or even thousands of kilometers. The link coupling a backplane to other modules or backplanes of the internal network can be, for example, fiber optic cable having a length from about a centimeter to many kilometers, and could even extend



to opposite ends of the globe. One example of an implementation in which some member hardware units are located remotely relative to other member hardware units is an embodiment where members that are responsible for encryption and decryption of secure messaging are located in a secure physical environment that is remote from other modules of the Federated OS. Preferably the Federated OS is implemented with a large number (hundreds) of CPUs in a compact enclosure.

In another embodiment, referred to as a "system on a chip" embodiment illustrated in Figure 1C, the CPU(s), network interfaces, RAM, and ROM, of a member hardware unit are integrated into a single integrated circuit (IC). In this embodiment one or more ICs 175a, 175b, which contain those elements, and internal network interconnect hardware, are mounted on a single circuit board 180. In this embodiment preferably the circuit board is made in the same physical shape as the member hardware modules discussed above, and can be plugged into the same backplane. In the system on a chip embodiment, the internal network 183 interconnects all of the CPUs on the board. Circuit board internal network interface 185, and circuit board external network interface 187 are provided for connecting off the board. In a variation of this embodiment, one or more of the ICs each contain multiple member hardware units (CPU/network interface/RAM/ROM combinations), which are interconnected within each IC, and which also interface off the chip to the internal network on the board, and to the external network. Thus, multiple member hardware units are implemented in a single IC. Also, subsystems can be

implemented on a single chip which includes multiple processor modules and a network switch.

#### Internal network and external network

5           As discussed above, the Federated OS includes a plurality of members. As illustrated in Figure 2, the members of the Federated OS 205 communicate with each other over an internal network 210, which can be, for example, a backplane, a crossbar switch network, a local area network (LAN), a wide area network (WAN), or any other suitable type of wireline or wireless network. Preferably, the internal  
10       network has high bandwidth and low latency. Optionally, the internal network can be made up of a plurality of networks which are integrated, for example, in a backplane. Examples of implementations of the internal network include: a backplane such as VME64 or CompactPCI, crossbar switch networks such as Race++, SCI (Scalable Coherent interface), and Myrinet, proprietary custom network interfaces, LANs such  
15       as Ethernet, and WANs such as SONET or ATM. The WAN can use any type of high speed transmission system. Preferably, the internal network is implemented with SCI, which is a high performance, packet communication and switching technology. Other possibilities are fibrechannel and skychannel, or any other way of establishing communication between the members. The internal network could also  
20       be adapted for use with emerging interconnection standards such as InfiniBand. The internal network interface 115 (Figure 1) of each member is coupled to the

internal network. Consequently, all of the members are coupled to the internal network and can communicate with each other over the internal network.

In some alternative embodiments of a server implementing the Federated OS, there is no connection to an external network. For example, a computational engine  
5 server that receives data from removable media, or via physical sensors, chemical sensors, optical sensors, and/or audio sensors need not be coupled to an external network. Preferably, at least one receiver 225 and one responder 220 are coupled to an external network 215. The internal network interface 115 of each member  
hardware module 105 is for coupling to the internal network 210, and the external  
10 network interface 120 of each member hardware module 105 is for coupling to the external network 215. As mentioned above, it is not necessary for members that are not coupled to the external network to have an external network interface, although preferably all members do have an external network interface. The members that are coupled to the external network can be coupled with, for example, Ethernet  
15 connections, or ATM. The external network can be any type of LAN and/or WAN, and can be any type of wireline or wireless network. Although the Federated OS is optimized for large external networks such as the Internet, it could also be used with smaller WANs or LANs.

Although in Figure 2 all of the members that are coupled to the external network  
20 are coupled to the same place in the external network, this is not required. In other words, members may be coupled to the external network at different locations in the

external network. Also, the external network interface of one or more of the members may be coupled to the Internet by direct connection to the Internet backbone, which is accomplished by connecting the respective network interface directly to one or more of the main providers of the Internet backbone.

5

Real time, distributed, and object oriented

- Federated OS members (preferably) are real time because each (preferably) runs as a single task that can be preempted only by a fixed number of interrupts, which each have known, bounded, execution times. The Federated OS as a whole (preferably) is real time because the service and protocol algorithms (preferably) are all executed in real time. For example, in a TCP/IP embodiment, the receiver member process preferably receives TCP/IP packets in real time. As a further example, in a TCP/IP embodiment, IP address lookups and host name lookups are preferably accomplished in real time.

15       - The Federated OS is distributed because operating system services (such as the TCP/IP protocols in a TCP/IP embodiment) are distributed across the member operating systems (which are coupled on the internal network), and because the Federated OS supports distributing functionality among different members.

20       - The Federated OS is (preferably) object oriented because, (1) members (preferably) are derived from and inherit behavior from a parent class, and the

members expand on what is inherited; and (2) because (preferably), the system is built with object oriented tools.

#### Real time address lookup and host lookup

5           In a TCP/IP embodiment, for HTTP (Hyper Text Transfer Protocol), a client sends a packet of information containing an IP address, a port number, and a host name to the server to define the service provided by the server that the client desires to access. It is possible to have multiple host names supported at the same IP address, and conversely, it is possible to have multiple IP addresses that correspond  
10           with a single host name. The IP address relates to Internet protocol (IP), the port relates to transmission control protocol (TCP), and the host name relates to Hyper Text Transfer Protocol (HTTP).

          Generally, in servers known in the art, operating systems and/or applications must search for matches to the IP addresses, TCP port numbers, and other data  
15           such as host names in incoming messages. IP addresses and host names in incoming messages are compared to (possibly) numerous IP addresses and (possibly) numerous host names stored in respective databases that are accessible to the server. Typically the networking code of the operating system is responsible for processing the IP address and TCP port number of an incoming packet in order  
20           to determine if the packet belongs to a new or existing connection, and to determine the appropriate application to send the data to. Other data in the message (for

example, a host name) may need to be searched by the application (for example, an HTTP Web server) in order to process the incoming packet.

TCP/IP embodiments of the Federated OS (preferably) use the "Trie" data structure in its search algorithms in order to quickly and deterministically search for a match among a large number of IP addresses, and among a large number of host names. An algorithm using the "Trie" data structure generally requires the same amount of search time regardless of the size of the database to be searched. This type of algorithm is referred to as being deterministic because the search time for each type of search is constant and does not depend on the size of the pool to be searched. In contrast, prior art servers typically use algorithms (for example, hash tables) that approximate deterministic performance only if the size of the pool to be searched is small enough. This approach is used in the prior art in order to minimize the amount of memory required to maintain the data structures required by the search algorithm, and is based on an assumption that only a limited number of simultaneous sessions need to be supported. The search time required for prior art search methods generally increases if additional addresses are added to the database, and consequently prior art systems generally cannot be operated in real time. In contrast, wherever possible the Federated OS uses algorithms using the Trie data structure, which have execution times that are not affected by the number of data structures to be searched. As a result of using deterministic search algorithms, the Federated OS requires a constant amount of time to process each

incoming packet, even when supporting a large number of sessions. Use of the deterministic algorithms allows the Federated OS to operate in real time, because all searches are completed in the same fixed short amount of time, and because the fixed amount of time is short enough to allow the system to be operated in real time.

5 In a TCP/IP embodiment, it can be said that the Federated OS has a real time TCP/IP state machine. A real time state machine could also be implemented in non TCP/IP embodiments of the Federated OS. Although using deterministic algorithms significantly improves performance and is preferred, use of deterministic search algorithms in the Federated OS is not required.

10 In a preferred TCP/IP embodiment, the Federated OS can support millions of simultaneous TCP/IP connections, and can host at least hundreds of thousands of IP addresses and hundreds of thousands of host names with no performance degradation. This is due to the design approach and the algorithms used, and is a result of the ability to process an incoming packet in a known amount of time  
15 regardless of how many other active connections are being maintained. Prior art TCP/IP servers, on the other hand, frequently experience significant performance degradation or even failure when attempting to maintain a large number of connections, or when attempting to support a large number of IP addresses, even when the majority of those connections are idle.

### Event/Network driven

Although not required, preferably the Federated OS is event driven/network driven. In other words, the network protocol functionality is active and invokes the appropriate service only when there is data to be acted upon. (In a TCP/IP  
5 embodiment, an example of an event is receipt of a TCP/IP packet resulting from a user clicking on a hyperlink on a Web page). In contrast, in conventional servers, applications drive the protocol stack, such that applications read data from the network and block until the data is available.

### 10 Multiple external networks

Although not required, the Federated OS can be coupled to more than one external network. For example, a receiver and responder of a server implementing the Federated OS can be coupled to a first external network, and another receiver and another responder of the server can be coupled to another external network. A  
15 server implementing the Federated OS, which is coupled to more than one external network, can be configured to prevent data transfer between different external networks, or can be configured to implement a routing function to pass any data between any two external networks, or can be configured to implement a firewall by selectively passing data between two external networks. Routing can be  
20 implemented with a routing manager member (for example the routing manager member 920 shown in Figure 9A). Similarly, a firewall manager member (for



example the firewall manager member 928 shown in Figure 9A) can be used to selectively pass permitted data from one external network to another external network.

5     Security features

The Federated OS has a number of features that enhance security. For example, clients are connected to the external network but are not connected to the internal network, and the external network is used only to transfer data to and from clients. "Denial of Service" attacks are mitigated by the members' capabilities to process incoming packets at network speed (in preferred embodiments). Preferably all client data is passed via safe container objects, and bounds checking is enforced, thereby mitigating buffer overflow attacks. Also, encryption/decryption can be delegated to members geographically separated in physically secure locations. Firewall protection can be easily realized, for example to provide security in systems coupled to multiple external networks.

10

15

Member Classes

As mentioned above, each member class has a distinct specialized operating system that is optimized for its specific function. For example, each receiver member has a receiver operating system, each dispatcher member has a dispatcher operating system, and each responder member has a responder operating system.

20

Different member classes are different unique subclasses of the parent class, which is referred to as the proto class. An exception is the configurator class, which may or may not be a subclass of the proto class. Examples of member classes are receiver 225, dispatcher 230, responder 220, configurator 235, guardian 240, persistent storage 245, system administrator-notifier 250, decoder 255, and routing manager 260 classes (illustrated in Figure 2), in addition to the bootable class.

Additionally, there are proto member and external network member classes, which are abstract classes that are not implemented as members, but which are parent classes for members. One illustrative embodiment of a server implementing the

Federated OS includes one receiver member, one dispatcher member, and eight responder members (and preferably also includes a guardian member). The Federated OS is scalable in the sense that members can be added or removed as desired. It is possible to include a large number of responders, for example 400 responders, and even greater numbers of responders could be used. If each responder transmits data at, for example, 2.5 gigabits per second, and if there are, for example, 400 responders, then the Federated OS would have a capability of delivering one terabit ( $2^{40}$  bits per second) of data. Each responder could be coupled, for example, to an OC-48 connection to transmit data at 2.5 gigabits per second.

Although not required, preferably, every member hardware unit has the capability to be dynamically reconfigured during operation ("on the fly") to perform

the function of any non abstract member. In other words, the CPU(s) of a member preferably can be dynamically assigned any of the member functions. For example, if a dispatcher becomes inoperable, a responder or receiver, for example, could be dynamically reconfigured during operation of a server to function as a dispatcher.

5 This capability also permits dynamic load balancing. Dynamically reconfiguring member hardware units permits fault recovery without loss of service. Thus, a server implementing the Federated OS is a fault tolerant distributed system that can reallocate services away from failed member hardware units (or failed member ICs).

10 Preferably, all members are derived from a bootable member which preferably is derived from a proto member. Alternatively, members can be implemented without being derived from another member, in which case such members must implement intermember communication functionality.

Member classes are described as follows:

- Receiver member:

15 Preferably, one or more receivers are included in the Federated OS.

Although described in this section in terms of "the receiver", there can be more than one receiver.

Preferably, the receiver is derived from an external network member.

20 In embodiments that include a receiver, the receiver is coupled to an external network.

The receiver handles client connection management. For example, in response to connection requests from clients, the receiver establishes connections between clients and the server. For example, in TCP/IP embodiments, the receiver establishes TCP connections with clients. Establishing a connection usually does not involve transfer of client data.

The receiver preferably is the only network interface of a particular server that a remote client can transmit to.

The receiver can receive or transmit data over the external network, but in TCP/IP embodiments the receiver generally only transmits header data, for example, a handshake to establish a connection.

In embodiments which include a receiver and a dispatcher, once a connection is established between a client and the server, and data is received, the receiver hands off the data to a dispatcher. For example, in a TCP/IP embodiment, the data could be a HTTP request.

In TCP/IP embodiments, the receiver processes IP and TCP, and preferably also processes ARP (Address Resolution Protocol) and ICMP (Internet Control Message Protocol).

In a TCP/IP embodiment, although not required, the receiver preferably has only a partial TCP/IP state machine.

In TCP/IP embodiments, the receiver preferably manages IP fragment reassembly.

In TCP/IP embodiments, the receiver preferably maintains some TCP state information.

- Dispatcher member:

Preferably, one or more dispatchers are included in the Federated OS.

5        Although described in this section in terms of "the dispatcher" there can be more than one dispatcher.

Dispatchers need not be coupled to the external network, which enhances security.

10        The dispatcher preferably manages resource allocation, for example determining which responder(s) to assign to respond to each client request. The data required to respond to a request can be spread across more than one responder.

In a TCP/IP embodiment, the dispatcher preferably performs active connection management, and service management including for example HTTP session management, mail session management, and FTP.

15        In a TCP/IP embodiment, the dispatcher processes connections which are in states which allow data transfer to occur. The dispatcher preferably maintains a record of the state of each connection that the dispatcher is processing. The state information includes items required by the TCP specification (for example, sequence numbers) as well as information required by the Federated OS (for example, which  
20        responder and response identifier is associated with a given connection.) For example, a connection is associated with a particular service, and the service code

associates a response object with the connection. The response identifier is state information that is saved in the dispatcher which identifies the response object, and is, for example an integer that serves as an index that the dispatcher hands off to a responder which allows the responder to identify the correct response information.

5 Other state information regarding connections is preferably maintained by other members.

- Responder member:

Preferably, one or more responders are included in the Federated OS.

Although sometimes described in this section in terms of "the responder" there can  
10 be more than one responder, and preferably there are many responders in a server.

Preferably, the responder is derived from an external network member.

In embodiments that include a responder, the responder is coupled to an external network.

The responder transmits data.

15 The responder preferably can manage and transmit static data, and/or create, manage, and transmit dynamic data.

The responder performs the function of sending requested data to a specific client.

In a TCP/IP embodiment, the responder transmits HTTP data, mail data, and/or  
20 data for other services.

Although not required, preferably at least one member of the Federated OS includes a non real time layer. For example, a responder can include a non real time layer for running non real time programs (for example Java). Preferably the non real time layer is Linux. Alternatively, the non real time layer could be an open source non real time layer such as FreeBSD, or OpenBSD or a non open source non real time layer such as AIX or Solaris, or any other open source or non open source non real time layer. The Federated OS can be used to implement an operational server even if there is no interface to a non real time layer, but in that case the server cannot run non real time programs. The non real time layer, for example Linux, runs as a task on a member of the Federated OS.

Preferably, there are two main behaviors of a responder: (1) transmit static data cached in the responder and/or load static data onto the responder; (2) service dynamic data requests, for example, by generating response(s) with an application running on a Java virtual machine running in the non real time layer of the responder.

In a TCP/IP embodiment, preferably, responders are divided into two groups which include (1) static responder members, and (2) dynamic responder members. Static responder members, for example, manage and transmit static data for HTTP, FTP, mail, and other services.

Dynamic data can be created by any member of the Federated OS, in either the real time layer or the non real time layer. For example, a dynamic responder

member can request data from a persistent storage member (for example persistent storage member 475 in Figure 4B) and optionally combine that data with other data (for example an HTTP header) and transmit the combined data to the client. In another example, a dynamic responder member can request data from an external database server (for example, external database server 485 in Figure 4B), and optionally combine that data with other data and transmit the combined data to the client. It is not necessary for a dynamic responder to have a non real time layer. If a dynamic responder has a non real time layer, then the dynamic responder implements non real time layer scheduling, and a non real time layer messaging interface (for example to Linux or Solaris). Dynamic responder members transmit dynamic data to the client. Preferably dynamic responder members are derived from a static responder member.

- Configurator member:

A configurator is not required in embodiments of the Federated OS that are preconfigured.

Although described in this section in terms of "the configurator" there can be more than one configurator in a server. However, preferably there is only one configurator in a server.

The configurator has a user interface for loading, modifying and saving the overall system configuration. Preferably, the configurator also allows the user to query the system for its current configuration, and to monitor its operation.



Preferably, the configurator is language encoding neutral, and numerous languages (for example, English, Japanese, German, etc.) are fully supported in the user interface. Preferably the user interface is a graphical user interface, which is used in conjunction with a monitor, mouse, and keyboard.

5       As shown in Figure 3, one illustrative embodiment of a server 300 implementing the Federated OS includes a server housing 305 which includes the member hardware units and the internal network (for example the member hardware modules and internal network shown in Figure 2). The server is coupled to the external network at one or more locations. This illustrative embodiment of the server  
10       preferably also includes a monitor 310, a mouse 315, and a keyboard 320, which are coupled to a configurator member.

      In the HTTP service of a TCP/IP embodiment, the system configuration includes items such as the IP addresses and TCP ports on which to listen. The configurator provides a centralized configuration of the entire system, which in a TCP/IP  
15       embodiment preferably includes all core Internet services, such as for example, an HTTP service, an FTP service, an IMAP email service, and a POP3 email service.

      When configuring the system, the configurator transmits code to other members, or informs other members of the location of code, which the other members run in order to become instances of specific classes of members required for a given  
20       configuration. This code can be stored in a ROM, a persistent storage member, or in another storage device.

The configurator member does not have to be a subclass of the proto member, because it is not necessary for the configurator member to participate in the real-time operation of the server. Preferably, the configurator is not a subclass of the proto member, and is not a real time member. If the configurator is not implemented as a subclass of the proto member, the configurator member must still implement the same messaging protocol as the proto member, so that the configurator member will be able to communicate with the real time members. The configurator may be implemented, for example, as a standard process on a non real-time operating system (for example, MacOS or Linux), along with the device drivers needed to communicate with the server's internal network.

- Guardian member:

One or more guardian members may optionally be included in the Federated OS.

Although described in this section in terms of "the guardian", there can be more than one guardian.

The guardian member monitors the health of the system by receiving periodic status messages from the other members, as well as by initiating periodic queries to the other members. Accordingly, the guardian receives data periodically, for example, once per second (or any other period of time), from members over the internal network. Preferably, the guardian monitors both hardware and software.

The system can be configured so that one or more guardians monitor one or more other guardians.

Through the guardian(s), the server is able to detect malfunctioning member(s) and dynamically reconfigure the system on the fly by assigning another member hardware unit to perform the function of each respective malfunctioning member. 5 The guardian can accomplish this by loading the data that the failed member was responsible for, onto the hardware unit of another member of the same class as the failed member, and then informing members of the change. For example, the response data of a failed responder is loaded onto another responder, and affected 10 dispatcher(s) are informed of the new location of the affected responses. If there are no other members of the same class as a failed member, or if the existing members are unable to accept the relocated functionality, the class of an existing member may be changed to the class of the failed member by restarting the existing member hardware unit (which may require relocating that member's functionality to the 15 hardware unit(s) of yet another member(s). Thus, the architecture is self monitoring and self healing.

If a CPU fails, the failure is detected by a guardian and the failed member's tasks are transparently reallocated to another processor in another member, and an alert for other members is posted on the internal network. If a CPU in a member has 20 not failed, but if an internal communication channel in the member is unavailable due to hardware or software failure, preferably the failed member automatically reroutes

messages with no service loss. If this is not possible, the failure will be detected by the guardian and recovery proceeds as for the case of a CPU failure.

Load balancing: The architecture of the Federated OS inherently implements load balancing, because the load is inherently distributed across the members. For example, in a TCP/IP embodiment, the TCP/IP state machine is distributed across a plurality of members. Preferably, the Federated OS also includes intelligent load balancing for dynamically assigning resources to match changing user demand. Dynamic load balancing can be accomplished, for example, by having a dispatcher assign tasks to the responder that the dispatcher determines is most lightly loaded. Alternatively, or in addition to dynamic load balancing controlled by dispatchers, dynamic load balancing can be accomplished by having responders determine when they are underutilized. When a dispatcher and/or responder(s) determine that one or more responders are lightly loaded, the functionality of two or more responders can be consolidated on a smaller number of responders (in a manner similar to that used for recovering from a failed member). The class of the resulting unused member(s) can be changed by restarting the unused member(s) as members of member classes that are experiencing a greater load, for example, receivers. Static load balancing can be simply implemented by dividing the data among the responders. Another approach for load balancing is a geographic algorithm, in which the responder that is geographically closest to the requesting client is selected. Yet another approach for load balancing is a network topology algorithm in

which the responder that is closest to the client in terms of network topology is selected. The responders' loads can also be distributed based on the capabilities of each responder. For example, responses requiring encryption capabilities are assigned to responders that have encryption capabilities. Load balancing can be automated, or can require human intervention.

- Persistent storage member:

One or more persistent storage members may optionally be included in the Federated OS. Persistent storage members are unique with respect to the other member classes in that they have a direct interface to one or more data storage devices. Consequently, hardware used to implement a persistent storage member must have an interface for coupling to one or more data storage devices. Persistent storage members are responsible for serving raw data to and from the other members. This permits the processors of the members to share a large amount of storage, preferably terabytes of high speed (gigabit/second) redundant Fiber Channel RAID (Redundant Array of Independent Disks) storage. Any other type and size of storage could also be used, for example, conventional hard drives, optical discs, ROM, etc. Optionally, a persistent storage member may include a large amount of high speed RAM, which is used to cache data in a memory resident database. Optionally, the persistent storage member may emulate a file system.

-System Administrator Notifier member:

One or more system administrator notifier members may optionally be included in the Federated OS. In the event of a disruption, such as a power or telephone service outage, event-based remote alerts notify the system administrator personnel, for example by pager or cell phone. The system administrator notifier either detects the disruption itself or is informed of the disruption by another member, and then the system administrator notifier attempts to contact the system administrator personnel to notify them of the disruption. Optionally, the functionality of a system administrator notifier member can be included in a guardian member.

- Decoder member:

One or more decoders may optionally be included in the Federated OS.

A decoder is a member specifically optimized to handle encryption/decryption or authentication functions, for example, in TCP/IP embodiments, SSL (Secure Sockets Layer) session management. An illustrative embodiment of a decoder member includes a large number of specialized CPUs (for example RISC processors, or digital signal processors), with each CPU simultaneously handling the computation intensive aspects of encryption/decryption for a given connection.

-Routing manager member:

One or more routing managers may optionally be included in the Federated OS.

A routing manager maintains address routing tables. For example, in a TCP/IP embodiment, a routing manager member maintains IP routing tables.

-Firewall manager member:

One or more firewall manager members may optionally be included in the Federated OS. A firewall manager member determines which data is to be passed from one external network to another.

5     -Proto member:

The proto member is the parent class of the other real time member classes. Preferably, all real time member classes inherit the properties of the proto member. (As mentioned above, the configurator member, need not be a subclass of the proto member.)

10     The proto member class is an abstract class, which means that there is not a member instance created that is only a proto member, but rather, each real time member preferably is an instance of one of the subclasses derived from the proto member class.

15     The proto member functionality includes, for example, memory management, self health monitoring, member to member communications, and utility functions. Self health monitoring means that the member has the ability to measure its performance and load, and to determine its "health" by determining the state of the hardware, firmware, and software components that comprise the member. The proto member can include a capability to determine when there is a problem with a  
20     member and then request help, and/or can include the capability to share data with other member(s) that analyze the data and determine whether there is a problem.

Member to member communications functionality is functionality for sending and responding to messages from other members. For example, a proto member includes functionality for responding to a message from a configurator informing the member which class of member that it will be, for example, a receiver. The proto  
5 member preferably also includes functionality for receiving and loading code implementing the designated member class assigned to a member. In other words, preferably every member (except perhaps the configurator member) has the ability to receive a message, which is itself a new instance of the operating system. For example, the contents of the message could be the operating system code to  
10 transform a bootable member into, for example, a receiver. As discussed above, a member can subsequently be transformed into another class of member, for example, a dispatcher.

-External Network member:

The external network member preferably is a subclass of a bootable member,  
15 and preferably is the parent class of receiver and responder members. An example of an external network member 916 is shown in Figure 9A. Receiver and responder members preferably inherit properties from the external network member, such as external network interfacing capability, and raw protocol support. An example of raw protocol support in a TCP/IP embodiment is parsing and generation of IP and TCP  
20 packets. The external network member class preferably is an abstract class, which means that there is not a member instance created that is only an external network



member, but rather, each receiver and responder member preferably is an instance of a subclass derived from the external network member class. There is possibly a different external network member used for each external network interface, for example Myrinet, Ethernet, and ATM. Alternatively, there is a single external  
5 network member that support a plurality of external network interfaces.

-Bootable member:

Bootable members are a subclass of the proto member. Thus, bootable members inherit the functionality of the proto member. Bootable members, however, must define CPU specific code necessary to implement that functionality.  
10 A bootable member is a bare bones instance of a Federated OS real-time member. Because bootable members have CPU specific code, bootable members are not necessarily identical. Thus, bootable members for X86, PowerPC, and DSP processors are different from each other. CPU specific code can include, for example, specific byte ordering and word size. Typically, the executable code for a  
15 bootable member is part of the firmware (non volatile memory) of a member (node), so that when power is supplied to the member, the member becomes an instance of a bootable member.

Each member class (except possibly the configurator class and the proto class) preferably is a child class of a bootable member. Bootable members have the ability  
20 to receive and load the executable code to implement a designated member class assigned to a member. In other words, every bootable member, or subclass of a

bootable member, has the ability to receive a message which contains a new instance of an operating system. For example, the message could contain the operating system code to transform a bootable member into, for example, a receiver. As discussed above, a member can subsequently be transformed into another class of member.

Figure 4A illustrates the hierarchial relationship between a proto member 405, a bootable member 410, a receiver member 415, a dispatcher member 420, and a responder member 425 in an illustrative embodiment of the Federated OS. Figure 4A illustrates that bootable members preferably are instances of the proto member, and that member classes operating as nodes of the Federated OS preferably are instances of a bootable member (although the bootable members need not be identical). Figure 4B is a block diagram of a server 440 in its operative environment, implementing an illustrative embodiment of a Federated OS. Figure 4B illustrates receivers 445, dispatchers 450, responders 455, configurator 460, guardian 465, decoders 470, persistent storage members (data servers) 475, guardian 480, external database server 485, internal network 490, external network 492, and clients 495. The external database servers shown in Figure 4B are conventional servers that optionally can be coupled to the internal network of a server implementing the Federated OS.

### Internal Network Module

Preferably the proto member and the configurator member include an internal network module (for example the internal network module 902 shown in Figure 9A). The internal network module includes for example, an internal network interface, message data structures, and messaging protocol, which are used to facilitate communications over the internal network. Internal network modules are specific to a particular internal network protocol. For example, depending on the protocol used on the internal network, one of the following internal network modules is used: a switched fabric crossbar, for example Myrinet, a bussed backplane, for example VME, or a WAN, for example SONET. The internal network module is not a member class.

### Embodiment with geographic dispersion of members

In one embodiment of the Federated OS, at least one member is located at a different location than other members. This is possible because with the Federated OS, it is not necessary for the members (or processors) to be physically close or contiguous. Members do not have to be located in the same enclosure, in the same room, or even on the same continent. In other words, members can be separated and can be located anywhere as long as they can communicate with each other over an internal network. For example, the internal network can be implemented with a WAN using a SONET transmission system, rather than with a VMEbus backplane. (Transmission systems other than SONET could also be used.) This could be

useful, for example, to locate one or more responders in a different location than the remainder of the Federated OS. For example, a responder could be located in Tokyo in order to locate data close to clients in Japan, to enable quick servicing of requests from clients located in Japan, while the receiver and dispatcher remain housed at a main location in Seattle, Washington. Even though the data can be located remotely from other members of the Federated OS, only one session per client is required for this embodiment, as in other embodiments. Thus, in this embodiment a responder can be located near clients without the need to replicate entire servers, and without the undesirable consequences of replicating servers, such as generating excessive traffic, and difficulty in tracking the number of hits to a site.

In another implementation of this geographically dispersed members embodiment, numerous responders of the same Federated OS can be located at various locations throughout the world. For example, one or more receivers and dispatchers, and preferably other members, could be housed at a main facility at, for example, Seattle Washington, while one or more responders are located at, for example, New York, London, Berlin, Hong Kong, and Tokyo. It would be possible to locate a large number of responders, for example hundreds (or more), which are all members of a single Federated OS, at various locations throughout the world. Responders could also be located on satellites.

Figure 5 illustrates a server 505 implementing a geographically dispersed members embodiment, using a SONET transmission system for the internal network 510, in which one responder 515 is located in New York, two responders 520, 525 are located in Tokyo, and in which one responder 530 and the remainder of the members 535 are located in Seattle. Locating a responder in Seattle is not required.

In the embodiments in which members are geographically dispersed, any of the members can be located remotely from any of the other members, as long as the members are able to communicate with each other over an internal network. Thus, this embodiment is not limited to locating only responders remotely from other members. For example, a receiver, or a receiver and a responder, could be located remotely from the remainder of the members of a Federated OS. The geographic dispersion embodiment is of particular interest for Internet applications, which preferably are implemented with a ThunderOS embodiment of the Federated OS.

#### Embodiment with direct Internet backbone connection

A server 605 in accordance with another embodiment of the invention is illustrated in Figure 6. In this embodiment, one or more receivers 610 and responders 615 are coupled directly to the Internet backbone 620 (the external network). This reduces the number of hops, thereby increasing speed.

#### Embodiment with direct Internet backbone connection and remote datastore

In another embodiment illustrated in Figure 7, one or more receivers and responders are coupled directly to the Internet backbone 710 (external network) as

in the preceding embodiment. In this embodiment a dispatcher 720 is coupled to a dispatcher 725 over a secure private connection 730. Dispatcher 725 is coupled to one or more decoders 735 (which both encrypt and decode) implementing SSL (Secure Sockets Layer) and to one or more responders 740. Responders 740 are  
5 coupled to the external network. Responders 740 are also coupled to decoders 735. Dispatcher 725, decoders 735, and responders 740 are located at a secure site (which typically is geographically remote from receiver 745, dispatcher 720, and responders 750), for example in a bank or a corporation that desires to maintain control of the data on the responders 740. This embodiment could be used, for  
10 example, by a corporation or bank that desires to make data available over the Internet, while leaving the datastore under the control of the corporation or bank, and while maintaining extremely high speed for the receiver and responders that are coupled directly to the backbone. In this embodiment, a client sends an encrypted message to the receiver 745, which is transferred from the receiver to dispatcher  
15 720, and which is then sent from dispatcher 720 to dispatcher 725 over private connection 730. Dispatcher 725 then sends the message to one of decoders 735 which decodes the message and returns the decoded message to dispatcher 725. Dispatcher 725 then sends a message identifying the location of the requested data to one of responders 740, which sends the data to one of decoders 735 where the  
20 data is encrypted and then returned to the one of the responders 740, which then sends the encrypted data to client over the Internet.

Asymmetrical and symmetrical embodiments

Some embodiments of the invention may be referred to as being asymmetrical.

A Web server implementation of the invention is one example of an embodiment that typically will be asymmetrical. These embodiments are referred to as being

5 asymmetrical because the amount of data received by the server is usually much smaller than the amount of data that is output by the server. (However, it is possible that the data flow could be asymmetrical in the other direction, where the amount of data received by the server is larger than the amount of data that is output by the server, for example, in a mail server that receives a lot of mail that is not retrieved.)

10 Other embodiments of the invention may be referred to as being symmetrical. A mail server (from which the mail is regularly retrieved) is an example of an embodiment that typically will be symmetrical. Another example of an embodiment that is typically symmetrical is a telephony embodiment, wherein IP packets carry voice data. These embodiments are referred to as being symmetrical because the

15 amount of data received by the server is roughly similar to the amount of data that is output by the server. It is possible for an implementation of the invention to have both asymmetric and symmetric characteristics. For example, a server could function as a typical Internet data server, and could also function as a mail server and/or a voice data server.

Thunder Operating System™ (ThunderOS™)

(“Thunder Operating System™” and “ThunderOS™” are trademarks of Thunder River Technologies, Inc.)

ThunderOS, which is one of many possible embodiments of the Federated OS, is a specific instance of the Federated OS which is optimized for Internet servers, and which is the preferred embodiment of the Federated OS. ThunderOS is a distributed, scalable TCP/IP implementation of the Federated OS. In the Thunder OS embodiment of the Federated OS (which can include various embodiments of ThunderOS), the members run operating systems which together make up ThunderOS. As in the Federated OS in general, with ThunderOS each member class runs a unique operating system. ThunderOS includes a distributed TCP/IP state machine. ThunderOS incorporates TCP/IP and Internet server software which is highly optimized for the Internet. Preferably Thunder OS is implemented in the C++ programming language, which facilitates implementing the inheritance heirarchy of the operating systems of the members.

For increased speed, ThunderOS is implemented such that key Internet services and protocols are implemented directly as part of the distributed operating system.

These key Internet software services and protocols include:

- HTTP (Hyper Text Transfer Protocol);
- FTP (File Transfer Protocol);
- IMAP (Internet Messaging Access Protocol); and



- POP3 (Post Office Protocol 3).

In addition to these key Internet software services and protocols, other lower level network protocols typically found in operating systems such as TCP/IP, DNS (Domain Name Server), ARP (Address Resolution Protocol), UDP (User Datagram Protocol), and ICMP (Internet Control Message Protocol), are preferably also included in Thunder OS. ThunderOS preferably also includes WAP (Wireless Application Protocol), SSL (Secure Sockets Layer), and other services and protocols. These services and protocols are implemented in a distributed manner, utilizing multiple member classes to distribute the processing involved. In ThunderOS implementations of these services and protocols execute in realtime with respect to incoming network packets. This means that incoming packets are each processed in a bounded amount of time regardless of the number of active connections a server is maintaining. Synchronous messaging is used to enforce realtime deadlines, and a realtime TCP/IP state machine is implemented with constant-time algorithms.

An illustrative embodiment of ThunderOS 805 illustrated in Figure 8 includes TCP/IP (Transmission control Protocol/Internet Protocol), HTTP (Hyper Text Transfer Protocol), FTP (File Transfer Protocol), IMAP (Internet Messaging Access Protocol), DNS (Domain Name Service), etc.

As illustrated in Figure 8, in the ThunderOS embodiment of the Federated OS, the Federated OS preferably runs a non real time layer on designated processors or

members, to support tools for generating dynamic content such as, for example, Java, Python, PERL, FastCGI, CGI, Smalltalk, PHP, Erlang, C++, and others. Consequently, optional end user applications written for these languages or environments can run on the non real time layer. ThunderOS members are

5 implemented as single threaded, real time processes, with optional support for interfacing to the non-real time system which is allowed to run when the real time process is idle. The non real time layer can support multitasking, with multiple processes or threads of execution. Preferably the non real time layer is a Linux kernel, although other non real time systems could be supported. Operating the

10 Linux layer above ThunderOS ensures that existing Linux applications can run "as is". With ThunderOS managing Internet services in real-time and Linux providing standards-based open interfaces, a server implemented with this embodiment of the Federated OS provides a powerful platform for hosting large, dynamic Web sites.

Dynamic content can be generated in the real time layer, or by applications that

15 run in the non real time layer. For example, for a non real time layer, a Java virtual machine is provided for Java applications, and a Perl interpreter is provided to run applications written in Perl. These applications create dynamic data in response to a request, but do not need visibility into how that data is delivered back to the requesting client.

20 Figure 9A is a block diagram illustrating the relationships between members of ThunderOS. Figure 9A includes internal network modules 902, configurator member

904, proto member 906, bootable member 908, persistent storage member 910, guardian member 912, dispatcher member 914, external network member 916, encoder/decoder member 918 (also referred to as a decoder member), routing manager 920, receiver member 922, static responder member 924, dynamic responder member 926, and firewall manager member 928. Thunder OS is implemented to be language encoding neutral, as indicated in the configurator member 904 and proto member 906 boxes in Figure 9A, which means that a wide variety of languages are supported in addition to English. Figure 9B is a block diagram illustrating functions and interactions in a bootable member 908 in ThunderOS. Figure 9C is a block diagram illustrating functions and interactions in a receiver member 922 in ThunderOS. Figure 9D is a block diagram illustrating functions and interactions in a dispatcher member 914 in ThunderOS. Figure 9E is a block diagram illustrating functions and interactions in a static responder member 924 in ThunderOS. Figure 9F is a block diagram illustrating functions and interactions in a dynamic responder member 926 in ThunderOS. In ThunderOS, responder members are either static responder members or dynamic responder members.

TCP as implemented in ThunderOS (referred to as ThunderTCP), utilizes the distributed nature of the Federated OS to enhance the servicing of TCP connections. The TCP specification (Internet Requests for Comments (RFC) 793, which is incorporated herein by reference) describes a state machine with eleven states.

However, only a few of these states are involved in the actual data transfer. The nature of these states causes TCP to lend itself to a distributed implementation such as in ThunderOS. A server implemented with ThunderOS is implemented as a distributed state machine which uses connection objects to hold the necessary state information.

Distribution of the implementation of TCP in ThunderOS is described, with reference to Figure 10, as follows. The creation of a connection (TCP's "three way handshake") has a minimal dependence on the service, and requires only knowledge of which services to associate with given port numbers. This part of the TCP state machine is efficiently distributed to a separate member, which is the receiver member 1005. This receiver member is able to manage a large number of connections, and generally is not burdened with service specific processing.

Once a connection is established, a connection object is created on a dispatcher member 1010, and this object is associated with the current TCP port. The dispatcher (1) manages various aspects of the data transfer portion of the TCP specification, such as acknowledgments and retransmissions, and (2) invokes the appropriate service. The service functionality can exist on the dispatcher member, and/or on a different member (or members), and typically will be distributed on both the dispatcher member and at least one other class of member. Typically data generation and transmission aspects of the service will be carried out on a

responder member, thereby relieving the dispatcher member of much of the processing.

The end of the chain is the responder member 1015. The responder requires only minimal communication with the dispatcher. This communication takes place in order for the responder to know which data to transmit, when to transmit the data, and how much of the data to transmit (or retransmit, in the case of time-outs). The responder does not need to maintain any persistent state information between invocations, because the dispatcher supplies the necessary connection state information to the responder on each invocation. Multiple responder members can be associated with a given dispatcher, thereby permitting multiple connections to be serviced in parallel. Additionally, multiple dispatchers can be associated with a given receiver member.

With ThunderOS, the data structures which associate client and server IP addresses and TCP ports with a connection object and with a given service, can be searched in a constant period of time. That is, the time to perform the lookup is independent of the number of currently active connections or the number of services. The lookup is performed to find the record containing the current connection state information associated with the connection identified by the client and server IP addresses and TCP port numbers. Host lookups are also accomplished in a constant period of time. This approach eliminates many of the

limitations of conventional TCP implementations. Wherever possible, available memory is the only limitation to the number of active connections supported.

Prior art server software oftentimes handles multiple clients simultaneously by invoking a separate instance of the server process to handle each connection.

5      Consequently, a large number of clients using relatively slow network interfaces can require a large amount of system resources, even if the actual servicing of the clients is trivial due to the low bandwidth of their connection. ThunderOS, on the other hand, uses a single-threaded event driven approach to manage incoming packets, in combination with distributed parallel execution to generate and transmit  
10      outgoing data. This approach permits the system to operate fast enough to respond to events (incoming network packets) in real time. Furthermore, distributing the data generation and transmission across multiple members allows the system to scale. This approach allows a single server to manage a much larger number of clients than would otherwise be possible.

15      State information

         In order to service requests from clients, state information for each connection must be maintained. In ThunderOS this state information is maintained by the receiver and/or dispatcher. Different members can maintain portions of the state information at different times. Each member maintains only a portion of the overall  
20      state, and the entire state is stored across a plurality of members. TCP ports can be characterized as either, (1) listening for communication from a client, or (2) having

an established connection. The absence of a connection is referred to as a closed state (not really a state), which is the entry point into the state machine. Figure 11 illustrates a receiver TCP connection state machine 1105, and Figure 12 illustrates a dispatcher TCP connection state machine 1205, for ThunderOS. These state machines are described in the following sections.

#### Receiver TCP connection state machine

The receiver's state machine 1105 in the ThunderOS embodiment is illustrated in Figure 11. When a new connection is initiated by a client, the client sends a TCP packet (packet 1) with the SYN flag set in the TCP header. When the receiver receives such a packet with a destination IP address and TCP port that the receiver has been configured to listen to, and the receiver has not already allocated a data structure for this connection (as identified by both the source and destination IP address and TCP port numbers) the receiver creates a connection by allocating a new data structure to hold the state information for the connection. The receiver's connection trie data structure is then updated to reference this newly allocated structure, so that when a future packet with the same IP addresses and TCP ports is received, the existing data structure will be found. The newly allocated data structure is initialized to indicate the connection is in the SYN\_RCVD state. The receiver then sends a packet (packet 2) back to the client acknowledging the received packet. This packet also has the SYN flag set as well as the ACK flag. When the receiver subsequently receives a packet (packet 3) acknowledging packet

2, it transitions to the DISPATCHER\_RELAY state and sends a message to the dispatcher with the necessary information for the dispatcher to create a new connection state record. This completes the exchange known as TCP's "three-way handshake".

5        While a connection is in the DISPATCHER\_RELAY state, the relevant data from any packets received by the receiver is simply forwarded to the dispatcher. The dispatcher informs the receiver whether the connection should remain in the DISPATCHER\_RELAY state, whether it should transition to the FIN\_WAIT2 state, or whether it should be closed. The dispatcher also informs the receiver whether any  
10       final acknowledgements or resets should be sent back to the client, and whether the TCP port should be marked as being in the TIME\_WAIT state. For connections in the FIN\_WAIT2 state, if the FIN flag is set in the incoming packet, the connection is closed and the port is marked as being in the TIME\_WAIT state. Note that in conventional TCP implementations the TIME\_WAIT state is an actual state of the  
15       state machine. In the ThunderTCP implementation, TIME\_WAIT is indicated in a data structure that is outside of the scope of the state machine and which gets checked before the state machine is invoked. When a connection is closed on the receiver, the data structure that was allocated for the connection state information is released. The states SYN\_RCVD, and FIN\_WAIT2 correspond to the corresponding  
20       states of the state diagram contained in the TCP specification (RFC 793.) The state DISPATCHER\_RELAY indicates that the connection is in one of the states that is



handled by the dispatcher. Note that all data transfer occurs in this state and also that the receiver needs to perform very little processing for connections in this state. For server services, such as HTTP, that do not initiate connections to the client, the additional state of SYN\_SENT is not used.

5     Dispatcher TCP connection state machine

The dispatcher's state machine 1205 in the ThunderOS embodiment is illustrated in Figure 12. When the dispatcher receives a new connection from the receiver, it allocates a new data structure to hold the connection state information, and returns an identifier for the allocated structure to the receiver. This identifier will  
10     be used by the receiver to associate future messages with the connection. The state of the connection is set to ESTABLISHED and processing continues as for connections that are initially in the ESTABLISHED state.

For connections in the ESTABLISHED or CLOSE\_WAIT state, the service specific code determines which responder will be used to acknowledge data  
15     received from the client and to send data to the client. The service specific code is responsible for instructing a responder to send the response data, along with the necessary TCP acknowledgment information back to the client. If the response data is not immediately available, only the acknowledgment, if needed, is sent. The amount of data that can be sent to the client is also limited by the TCP  
20     acknowledgment sequence number and window size sent by the client in each TCP packet.

For connections in the ESTABLISHED, FIN\_WAIT\_1, or FIN\_WAIT\_2 state, any data received from the client is passed on to the service associated with the connection. (The service specific processing can occur on the dispatcher itself, or can be distributed across other members.)

- 5        For connections in the ESTABLISHED state, if the client has closed its end of the connection, the connection state is set to CLOSE\_WAIT. If the service has closed the server's end of the connection, the state is set to FIN\_WAIT\_1.

For connections in the CLOSE\_WAIT state, if the service has closed the server's end of the connection, the state is set to LAST\_ACK.

- 10       For connections in the LAST\_ACK state, if the client has acknowledged all of the server's data, the connection state data structure is deallocated and the receiver is told to close the connection.

- For connections in the FIN\_WAIT\_1 state, if the client has closed its end of the connection but has not acknowledged all of the server's data, the state is set to
- 15       CLOSING. If the client has closed its end of the connection and has acknowledged all of the server's data then the connection state data structure is deallocated and the receiver is told to send a final acknowledgment and mark the connection as being in TIME\_WAIT. If the client has not closed its end of the connection and has acknowledged all of the server's data and the service will accept further client data
- 20       after closing the server's end of the connection, then the state is set to FIN\_WAIT\_2. If the client has not closed its end of the connection and has acknowledged all of the

server's data and the service will not accept further client data after closing the server's end of the connection, then the connection state data structure is deallocated and the receiver is told to set its connection state to FIN\_WAIT\_2.

For connections in the FIN\_WAIT\_2 state, if the client has closed its end of the connection, then the connection state data structure is deallocated and the receiver is told to send a final acknowledgment and mark the connection as being in TIME\_WAIT.

For connections in the CLOSING state, if the client has acknowledged all of the server's data, then the connection state data structure is deallocated and the receiver is told to mark the connection as being in TIME\_WAIT.

In accordance with the TCP specification, when data is transmitted, a timer is started. If the timer expires before the data is acknowledged by the client, the appropriate responder is notified to retransmit the necessary data. Acknowledgment time-outs and TCP reset messages can also cause a connection to be closed.

#### Distributed TCP/IP implementation in ThunderOS

The ThunderOS embodiment of a distributed TCP/IP implementation allows multiple members and their associated external network interfaces to collectively service a single IP address. Specifically, the TCP/IP state machine is distributed across one receiver and one or more responders (and preferably one or more dispatchers), so that an IP address is serviced by a receiver and one or more

responders (and preferably one or more dispatchers). The existence of multiple responders, with their associated external network interfaces, allows the ThunderOS embodiment of a distributed TCP/IP implementation to transmit data at rates that would exceed the capabilities of a single external network interface. Adding  
5 additional responders to a server increases the server's capacity to transmit data for the service or services associated with a specific IP address.

#### Alternative embodiment of distributed TCP/IP implementation

Another embodiment of a distributed TCP/IP implementation, illustrated in Figure  
10 13, does not require the Federated OS but can be implemented on a single computer 1302 with one or more CPUs 1305, with a plurality of external network interfaces 1310a-1310e, and with or without an operating system. In this embodiment, one or more receivers 1315, one or more dispatchers 1320 and one or more responders 1325 are implemented as processes or threads executing on the  
15 computer. Because these processes or threads execute on a single computer they can communicate among themselves without requiring an internal network. This communication is achieved through shared memory, message queues, or other inter-process or inter-thread communication method. The receiver, dispatcher and responder processes or threads in this embodiment perform similarly to the receiver,  
20 dispatcher and responder members described in the ThunderOS embodiment of a distributed TCP/IP implementation. Thus, methods for initializing a server, and

methods for responding to requests in this alternative embodiment, are implemented analogously to the methods in the ThunderOS embodiment. Specifically, the servicing of a single IP address is distributed across a receiver process or thread, one or more dispatcher processes or threads, and one or more responder processes or threads. A dedicated external network interface is coupled to the receiver process or thread, and one or more dedicated external network interfaces are coupled to each responder. Collectively these processes or threads, with their dedicated external network interfaces, provide services for one or more IP addresses. The servicing of a single IP address is not processed by a single external network interface but rather is distributed among multiple external network interfaces. A single receiver can service one or more IP addresses. Preferably, a receiver is coupled to a single external network interface, although multiple external network interfaces can be coupled to a single receiver.

A variation of the preceding embodiment of a distributed TCP/IP implementation also does not require the Federated OS, but can be implemented on multiple computers. Each computer has one or more CPUs, one or more internal network interfaces, and one or more external network interfaces. Each computer can operate with or without an operating system. In each computer, preferably the internal network interface and the external network interface are not a shared single interface, but preferably are separate distinct interfaces. In this embodiment, one or more receivers, one or more dispatchers, and one or more responders are

implemented as processes or threads executing on each computer. Preferably, each of these processes or threads executes on a dedicated computer. Preferably, these processes or threads communicate among themselves using an internal network. The internal network can be any wireline, wireless, optical, or other  
5 networking system that can interconnect computers, for example, Ethernet, Gigabit Ethernet, Token Ring, Fibre Channel, or InfiniBand. The receiver, dispatcher and responder processes or threads in this embodiment perform similarly to the receiver, dispatcher and responder members described in the ThunderOS embodiment of a distributed TCP/IP implementation discussed herein. Thus, methods for initializing a  
10 server, and methods for responding to requests in this alternative embodiment, are implemented analogously to the methods in the ThunderOS embodiment.

Specifically, the servicing of a single IP address is distributed across a receiver process or thread, one or more dispatcher processes or threads and one or more responder processes or threads. Preferably, a dedicated external network interface  
15 is coupled to the receiver process or thread, and one or more dedicated external network interfaces are coupled to each responder. Collectively these processes or threads, with their (preferably) dedicated external network interfaces, provide services for one or more IP addresses. The servicing of a single IP address is not processed by a single computer or a single external network interface but rather is  
20 distributed among multiple computers and multiple external network interfaces. A single receiver can service one or more IP addresses. Preferably, a receiver is

coupled to a single external network interface, although multiple external network interfaces can be coupled to a single receiver.

Alternative distributed TCP/IP embodiments need not include a dispatcher process or thread but rather can incorporate the dispatcher functionality in the receiver and/or responder processes or threads.

#### Methods of configuration and operation

Prior to processing requests from clients, the server is configured by the configurator. Although configuration by the configurator could be automatic, configuration is typically accomplished by the configurator in response to operator input. The operator can either manually enter the configuration data, or load a previously saved configuration. The operator then initiates the loading of the configuration onto the server. The selected configuration identifies which OS member OS instance will be loaded onto each participating member hardware unit.

A typical example of configuring a server is as follows: A receiver, a dispatcher and a number of responders are designated. In some alternative embodiments, no dispatchers are designated, and dispatcher functionality is implemented on a receiver and/or a responder. In these alternative embodiments, acts that would have been performed by a dispatcher are performed by one or more receivers and/or one or more responders. After the members are designated, the appropriate executable code is then downloaded to those member hardware units and is

executed. The receiver is then told what IP addresses and TCP port numbers to accept connections on, and which dispatchers to associate with those connections. The dispatcher is then told what services to associate with each of those IP address/TCP port pairs. For the HTTP service, the dispatcher is also told which host names to accept requests for. The response data is then loaded onto the responders. This can be raw static data, and/or executable code that is to be run to generate dynamic data. As each response is loaded, the dispatcher is informed of its location. As soon as the dispatcher is informed of the location of the response, it updates its data structure used to lookup responses, so that the server is ready to serve that response the next time a client requests it. Figure 14A is a flow chart illustrating a method for initializing a server in accordance with an illustrative embodiment of the invention. Figure 14B is a flow chart illustrating a method for initializing a server in accordance with another illustrative embodiment of the invention.

Figure 15 illustrates data flow between the client 1505, receiver 1510, dispatcher 1515, and responder 1520, for servicing a request from the client, in the ThunderOS embodiment. A typical transaction between a client and a server proceeds as follows: A client initiates a request which results in a connection being established by exchanging a number of TCP packets between the client and a receiver member of the server. As each packet is received, the receiver must lookup the IP addresses and TCP ports contained in the packet and determine if they correspond



with an existing connection. Preferably this lookup is implemented using the "Trie" data structure to provide deterministic execution time, regardless of how many active connections the receiver is maintaining.

5 If the connection successfully reaches the "established" state, the client can then send data containing the actual request information. The receiver pulls out headers from received data, and also pulls out the payload, and passes the payload "as is" to the dispatcher. For an HTTP request, the data (payload) includes the HTTP header (which includes, for example, a command to get data at a specified URL), and the HTTP payload data if any. When the receiver receives the packet with this data, it  
10 sends the data to the dispatcher. When the dispatcher receives the request data for a new connection, it allocates a data structure to hold the state of the connection and returns an identifier to the receiver which allows the receiver to associate future data with this connection on the dispatcher. The receiver saves this identifier in its data structure associated with this connection.

15 The dispatcher then processes the request data to determine what response to send. Preferably, part of this processing uses the "Trie" data structure to efficiently locate the correct response. Based on the data record resulting from this search, the dispatcher sends a message to the appropriate responder telling it to send some of the data back to the client. (The amount of data the client is ready to receive, as  
20 well as acknowledgements of what data the client has already received, are part of the information contained in every TCP packet sent by the client.) The responder

replies to the dispatcher with an identifier to identify the unique instance of the response associated with this connection. This is necessary for dynamic data where each connection would result in possibly different data being returned in response to identical requests. The responder also tells the dispatcher the size of the response data, if known, so that the dispatcher can determine when the complete response has been sent. The dispatcher uses the size of the response data when calculating the next sequence number. The responder sends the response data to the client.

When the client receives the response data packets, it sends acknowledgement packets back to the receiver, which indicate what data the client has successfully received and how much more data it is now willing to accept. The receiver receives this packet and performs the IP address and TCP port lookup again, and determines that this packet belongs to an established connection. The receiver then sends the necessary information from the packet, along with the connection identifier previously saved for this connection, to the dispatcher. The dispatcher receives this message and uses the identifier to locate the appropriate connection state information. The dispatcher then uses the acknowledgement information along with the saved state information to determine what portion of the data can now be sent. Sequence numbers in the dispatcher are incremented by the number of transmitted bytes. The dispatcher then sends a message to the appropriate responder. This message contains the previously saved response identifier along with the information indicating what portion of the data to send. The dispatcher also

maintains timers that cause it to tell the responders to resend data that has not been acknowledged within the appropriate amount of time. The client again receives this data and sends the next acknowledgement.

This cycle continues until all of the response data has been sent by the  
5 responder and acknowledged by the client, at which time the dispatcher informs the receiver that the connection is to be closed. The dispatcher also informs the responder that the unique instance of the response for this connection is no longer needed. And finally, the dispatcher deallocates the data structure containing the state information for this connection. The final closing of the connection involves a  
10 few more packets being exchanged between the client and the receiver, after which the receiver deallocates its data structure containing the state information for the connection. Error conditions, cancelled requests, etc., result in processing in addition to that described above. Figure 16A is a flow chart illustrating a method for responding to a request received over an external network in accordance with an  
15 illustrative embodiment of the invention. Figure 16B is a flow chart illustrating a method for responding to a request received over an external network in accordance with another illustrative embodiment of the invention. Figure 16C is a flow chart illustrating a method for responding to a request received over an external network in accordance with another illustrative embodiment of the invention. Figure 16D is a  
20 flow chart illustrating a method for responding to a request received over an external network in accordance with another illustrative embodiment of the invention.

### Signal Bearing Medium

Another aspect of the invention is a signal bearing medium tangibly embodying machine-readable code executable by a digital processing apparatus for  
5 implementing any of the embodiments of a server or digital computer system described herein. Another aspect of the invention is a signal bearing medium tangibly embodying a program of machine-readable instructions executable by a digital processing apparatus to perform any method described herein, including for example, methods for responding to a request received over an external network,  
10 methods for responding to a request (that does not have to be received over an external network), or methods for initializing a server. In a preferred embodiment of the invention, the machine-readable code comprises software object code.

The code may reside in one or more of various types of signal-bearing media. For example, the code may be embodied in a signal-bearing medium such as optical  
15 disc 1705 shown in Figure 17. The optical disc can be any type of signal bearing disc, for example, a CD-ROM, CD-R (a recordable CD-ROM that can be read on a CD-ROM drive), CD-RW (multiple-write CD), CD-E (recordable and erasable CD), or DVD (digital video disc), and typically will be a CD-ROM. Alternatively, instead of, or in addition to an optical disc, the signal bearing medium may include one or more of  
20 the following: a magnetic data storage diskette (floppy disk), a Zip disk, DASD storage (e.g., a conventional "hard drive" or a RAID array), magnetic tape, RAM,

electronic read-only memory (e.g., ROM, EPROM, or EEPROM), paper punch cards, or transmission media such as digital and/or analog communication links.

Pseudo Code

The following pseudo code describes the implementation of an illustrative TCP/IP embodiment of the Federated OS, which includes Thunder OS and illustrative member classes:

5

Receiver event loop pseudo code:

loop forever

    Get next event

    If event is received IP datagram

10

        If the IP datagram contains a valid TCP segment

            Search active connection list for match of TCP segment's IP addresses/TCP ports

            If found

                If connection state is Syn Received

                    If TCP segment has valid sequence numbers

15

                        If TCP reset flag is set

                            remove connection from active connection list

                            deallocate connection

                    Else

                        If TCP Syn flag is set

20

                            send TCP reset to client

                            remove connection from active connection list

                            deallocate connection

```

    Else
        If TCP acknowledge is valid
            send new connection message to Dispatcher
            store Dispatcher's connection ID in connection object
5           set connection state to Dispatcher Relay
        Else
            send TCP reset to client
    Else
        If TCP reset flag is not set
10        send TCP Ack to client
    If connection state is Relay to Dispatcher
        send connection ID and segment data to Dispatcher
        If Dispatcher's reply is Time Wait
            remove connection from active connection list
15            add connection to Time Wait list
        If Dispatcher's reply is Time Wait/Ack
            send TCP Ack to client
            remove connection from active connection list
            add connection to Time Wait list
20        If Dispatcher's reply is Closed or Reset
            remove connection from active connection list
        If Dispatcher's reply is Fin Wait 2
```

```

    set connection state to Fin Wait 2
  If connection state is Fin Wait 2
    If TCP segment has valid sequence numbers
      If TCP reset flag is set
5        remove connection from active connection list
        deallocate connection
      Else
        If TCP Syn flag is set
          send TCP reset to client
10        remove connection from active connection list
          deallocate connection
        Else
          If TCP acknowledge is valid
            If TCP Fin flag is set or segment has new data
15              send TCP Ack to client
            If TCP Fin flag is set
              remove connection from active connection list
              add connection to Time Wait list
          Else
20              send TCP reset to client
        Else
          If TCP reset flag is not set
```



```

        send TCP Ack to client
    Else ( connection not found in active connection list )
        If TCP segment Reset flag is clear
            Search Time Wait list for match of TCP segment's IP addresses/TCP ports
5        If found
            send TCP reset to client
        Else
            If TCP reset flag is not set
                If TCP segment SYN flag is set and Ack flag is not set
10                Search active service list for match of destination IP address/TCP port
                    If service found
                        Allocate and initialize new connection
                        store service ID in connection object
                        set connection state to Syn Received
15                        Insert new connection in active connection list
                        send TCP Syn/Ack to client
                        start retransmission timer
                    Else
                        send TCP reset to client
20                Else
                    send TCP reset to client
            If the IP datagram contains a valid ICMP segment
```

```

    Process ICMP segment
  If event is retransmission timeout
    If state of timed out connection is Syn Received
      Update connection establishment timeout
    5    If connection establishment time exceeded
        send TCP reset to client
        remove connection from active connection list
        deallocate connection
    Else
    10    resend TCP Syn/Ack to client
        restart retransmission timer for this connection
  If event is Time Wait timeout
    remove connection from Time Wait list
  If event is service configuration message
    15    Install or remove service data
  If event is system status query
    Reply with system and specific service status
  If event is system reboot message
    Load and run new system executable code
    20    If event is system shutdown message
        Halt processor
```

Dispatcher event loop pseudo code:

```
loop forever
    Get next event
5    If event is received new connection message
        allocate new connection
        initialize new connection with TCP segment info and service ID from received message
        send reply with connection ID to receiver
        If TCP Fin flag is set
10            set connection state to Close Wait
        Else
            set connection state to Established
            send data from TCP segment to service referenced in connection message
            set connection's response ID to value returned by service
15            send message to Responder to send TCP ack and optional response data to client
            start retransmission timer
        If event is received segment for existing connection message
            update connection info from message data
            If TCP Reset flag is set
20                send Closed reply to Receiver
                deallocate connection
            Else
```

```

    If TCP Syn flag is set
        send Reset reply to Receiver
        deallocate connection
    Else
5      If TCP segment has invalid sequence numbers
        send message to Responder to send TCP ack to client
    Else
        If TCP Ack flag is set
            stop retransmission timer for acknowledged data
10      If connection state is Established
            send new data from TCP segment to response referenced by response ID
            If clients receive window has available space
                send message to Responder to send TCP ack and additional response dat
            Else
15      If new data was received
                send message to Responder to send TCP ack to client
            If Fin has been sent by the responder and acknowledged by the client
                If TCP Fin flag is set
                    send Time Wait/Ack reply to Receiver
20      deallocate connection
            Else
                send Fin Wait 2 reply to Receiver
```

```

    deallocate connection
    If Fin has been sent by Responder but not acknowledged
        If TCP Fin flag is set
            set connection state to Closing
5      Else
            set connection state to Fin Wait 1
        If Fin has not been sent by Responder and TCP Fin flag is set
            set connection state to Close Wait
    If connection state is Close Wait
10     send new data from TCP segment to response referenced by response ID
        If clients receive window has available space
            send message to Responder to send TCP ack and additional response d
        If Fin has been sent by Responder
            set connection state to Last Ack
15     If connection state is Closing
            If Fin has been sent by the responder and acknowledged by the client
                send Time Wait reply to Receiver
                deallocate connection
        If connection state is Fin Wait 1
20     send new data from TCP segment to response referenced by response ID
        If Fin has been sent by the responder and acknowledged by the client
            If TCP Fin flag is set
```

```

    send Time Wait/Ack reply to Receiver
    deallocate connection
Else
    If new data was received
5      send message to Responder to send TCP ack to client
    If response accepts further data
      set connection state to Fin Wait 2
    Else
      send Fin Wait 2 reply to Receiver
10     deallocate connection
Else
    If TCP Fin flag is set
      set connection state to Closing
If connection state is Fin Wait 2
15   send new data from TCP segment to response referenced by response ID
    If TCP Fin flag is set
      send Time Wait/Ack reply to Receiver
      deallocate connection
    Else
20     If new data was received
      send message to Responder to send TCP ack to client
If connection state is Last Ack
```

If Fin has been sent by the responder and acknowledged by the client

send Closed reply to Receiver

deallocate connection

If event is retransmission timeout

5           send Resend Packet message to Responder

restart retransmission timer for this connection

If event is service configuration message

Install or remove service data

If event is system status query

10           Reply with system and specific service status

If event is system reboot message

Load and run new system executable code

If event is system shutdown message

Halt processor

15

It will be apparent to persons skilled in the art that various changes and modifications can be made to the illustrative embodiments of the invention described herein without departing from the scope of the invention as defined by the claims.

20   What is claimed is:

## CLAIMS

1. A digital computer system, comprising:
  - a first CPU running a first operating system;
  - 5 a first internal network interface coupled to the first CPU, the first network interface for coupling to an internal network;
  - a second CPU running a second operating system;
  - a second internal network interface coupled to the second CPU, the second network interface for coupling to the internal network; and
  - 10 wherein the first and second operating systems have different characteristics and common characteristics.
2. The digital computer system of claim 1 wherein some state information concerning a TCP connection is maintained by the first operating system, and some  
15 state information concerning the TCP connection is maintained by the second operating system.
3. The digital computer system of claim 2 where the first operating system and the second operating system collectively service at least one common IP address.



4. The digital computer system of claim 1 further comprising:  
a third CPU running a third operating system;  
a third internal network interface coupled to the third CPU, the third network  
interface for coupling to the internal network; and  
5 wherein the first, second, and third operating systems have different  
characteristics and common characteristics.
5. The digital computer system of claim 4 wherein:  
the first operating system is a receiver operating system;  
10 the second operating system is a responder operating system;  
the third operating system is a dispatcher operating system; and  
the first, second, and third operating systems have common characteristics  
inherited from a common parent.
- 15 6. The digital computer system of claim 4 further comprising:  
a first external network interface coupled to the first CPU; and  
a second external network interface coupled to the second CPU.
7. The digital computer system of claim 6 where the first operating system and the  
20 second operating system collectively service at least one common IP address.

8. The digital computer system of claim 4 further comprising the internal network, and wherein the internal network is coupled to the first, second, and third internal network interfaces.

5 9. The digital computer system of claim 4 wherein some state information concerning a TCP connection is maintained by the first operating system, and some state information concerning the TCP connection is maintained by the third operating system.

10 10. The digital computer system of claim 9 wherein:  
the first operating system is configured at least to look up IP addresses and TCP ports, and to establish TCP connections;  
the second operating system is configured at least to send response data; and  
the third operating system is configured at least to maintain connection state  
15 information, and to determine what response to send.

11. A server, comprising:  
a first member hardware unit having a first member hardware unit memory containing a first operating system, the first member hardware unit having an internal  
20 network interface for coupling to an internal network;

a second member hardware unit having a second member hardware unit memory containing a second operating system, the second member hardware unit having an internal network interface for coupling to the internal network; and

wherein the first and second operating systems have some different  
5 characteristics.

12. The server of claim 11 wherein some state information concerning a TCP connection is maintained on the first member hardware unit, and some state information concerning the TCP connection is maintained on the second hardware  
10 unit.

13. The server of claim 11 wherein:

the first operating system has characteristics of at least a receiver operating system;

15 the second operating system has characteristics of at least a responder operating system;

the first member hardware unit has an external network interface for coupling to an external network; and

the second member hardware unit has an external network interface for coupling  
20 to the external network.

14. The server of claim 11 where the first operating system and the second operating system collectively service at least one common IP address.

15. The server of claim 11 further comprising the internal network, and wherein the  
5 internal network is coupled to the internal network interfaces of the first and second member hardware units.

16. The server of claim 11 further comprising:

a third member hardware unit having a third member hardware unit memory  
10 containing a third operating system, the third member hardware unit having an internal network interface for coupling to the internal network; and

wherein the first, second and third operating systems have some different characteristics.

15 17. The server of claim 16 wherein some state information concerning a TCP connection is maintained on the first member hardware unit, and some state information concerning the TCP connection is maintained on the third hardware unit.

18. The server of claim 16 wherein a real time TCP/IP state machine is collectively  
20 implemented on a plurality of the member hardware units.

19. The server of claim 16 wherein state information concerning a TCP connection is maintained on the first member hardware unit and the third member hardware unit, and wherein at least some of the state information maintained on the first member hardware unit is different than the state information maintained on the third member hardware unit.

20. The server of claim 19 wherein:

- the first operating system is configured at least to look up IP addresses and TCP ports, and to establish TCP connections;
- the second operating system is configured at least to send response data; and
- the third operating system is configured at least to maintain connection state information, and to determine what response to send.

21. The server of claim 20 where the first operating system and the second operating system collectively service at least one common IP address.

22. The server of claim 19 wherein:

- the first operating system is a receiver operating system;
- the second operating system is a responder operating system;
- the third operating system is a dispatcher operating system;

the first member hardware unit has an external network interface for coupling to an external network; and

the second member hardware unit has an external network interface for coupling to the external network.

5

23. The server of claim 19 wherein the first, second, and third operating systems have common characteristics inherited from a common parent.

10

24. The server of claim 23 further comprising the internal network, and wherein the internal network is coupled to the internal network interfaces of the first, second, and third member hardware units.

15

25. The server of claim 23 wherein the external network interface of the first member hardware unit and the external network interface of the second member hardware unit are coupled to the external network at different locations on the external network.

26. The server of claim 23 wherein at least one external network interface is coupled directly to the Internet backbone.

27. The server of claim 23 wherein:

the first operating system includes a portion of an HTTP server;

the second operating system includes a portion of an HTTP server; and

the third operating system includes a portion of an HTTP server.

5

28. The server of claim 27 wherein the portions of the HTTP server in the first, second, and third operating systems together make up an HTTP server.

29. The server of claim 23 wherein:

10

the first operating system includes a portion of an FTP server;

the second operating system includes a portion of an FTP server;

the third operating system includes a portion of an FTP server; and

the portions of the FTP server in the first, second, and third operating systems together make up an FTP server.

15

30. The server of claim 23 wherein:

the first member hardware unit memory includes first member hardware unit memory ROM containing a bootable member;

20 the second member hardware unit memory includes second member hardware unit memory ROM containing a bootable member; and

the third member hardware unit memory includes third member hardware unit memory ROM containing a bootable member.

31. The server of claim 23 wherein:

5       the first member hardware unit includes at least one first member hardware unit CPU;

the first member hardware unit memory includes first member hardware unit memory RAM;

10       the second member hardware unit includes at least one second member hardware unit CPU;

the second member hardware unit memory includes second member hardware unit memory RAM;

the third member hardware unit includes at least one third member hardware unit CPU; and

15       the third member hardware unit memory includes third member hardware unit memory RAM.

32. The server of claim 23 wherein the first member hardware unit accomplishes IP address lookups in real time.



33. The server of claim 32 wherein the first member hardware unit uses an IP address lookup search algorithm that uses a Trie data structure.

34. The server of claim 32 wherein the third member hardware unit accomplishes  
5 host name lookups in real time.

35. The server of claim 23 wherein the member hardware units process requests from clients in real time.

10 36. The server of claim 23 wherein at least one member hardware unit runs a non real time layer and a real time layer.

37. The server of claim 23 wherein at least one member hardware unit is located in a different enclosure than at least one other member hardware unit.

15 38. The server of claim 23 wherein at least one member hardware unit is located at least 1 kilometer from at least one other member hardware unit.

39. A server, comprising:

20 at least one receiver member having an internal network interface for coupling to an internal network;

at least one dispatcher member having an internal network interface for coupling to the internal network; and

at least one responder member having an internal network interface for coupling to the internal network.

5

40. The server of claim 39 wherein:

the at least one receiver member has an external network interface for coupling to an external network; and

the at least one responder member has an external network interface for coupling to the external network.

10

41. The server of claim 40 wherein state information concerning a TCP connection is maintained by the receiver member and the dispatcher member, and wherein at least some of the state information maintained on the receiver member is different than the state information maintained on the dispatcher member.

15

42. The server of claim 41 wherein one of the at least one receiver member, at least one dispatcher member, and at least one responder member collectively service at least one common IP address.

20

43. The server of claim 42 further comprising the internal network, and wherein the internal network is coupled to the internal network interface of the at least one receiver member, the internal network interface of the at least one dispatcher member, and the internal network interface of the at least one responder member.

5

44. The server of claim 42 wherein:

the at least one receiver member has memory containing a receiver operating system;

the at least one dispatcher member has memory containing a dispatcher  
operating system;

10

the at least one responder member has memory containing a responder  
operating system; and

wherein the receiver operating system, the dispatcher operating system, and the  
responder operating system are different operating systems having at least some

15

different characteristics.

45. The server of claim 44 wherein the receiver operating system, the dispatcher  
operating system, and the responder operating system have some common  
characteristics inherited from a common proto member.

46. The server of claim 42 wherein:

the at least one receiver member memory includes ROM containing a receiver member bootable member;

5 the at least one dispatcher member memory includes ROM containing a dispatcher member bootable member; and

the at least one responder member memory includes ROM containing a responder member bootable member.

10 47. The server of claim 46 wherein a TCP/IP state machine is collectively implemented on a plurality of members.

48. The server of claim 47 wherein the TCP/IP state machine is real time.

49. The server of claim 47 wherein:

15 the at least one receiver member includes RAM and at least one CPU;

the at least one dispatcher member includes RAM and at least one CPU; and

the at least one responder member includes RAM and at least one CPU.

20 50. The server of claim 47 wherein the at least one receiver member accomplishes IP address lookups in real time.

51. The server of claim 50 wherein the at least one receiver member uses a Trie data structure in its IP address lookup search algorithm.

52. The server of claim 50 wherein at least one member runs a non real time layer and a real time layer.

53. The server of claim 47 wherein at least one responder member is located in a different enclosure than at least one receiver member.

54. The server of claim 47 wherein at least one responder member is located at least one kilometer away from at least one receiver member.

55. The server of claim 47 wherein the external network interfaces of at least one responder member and at least one receiver member are coupled to the external network at different locations on the external network that are separated by at least one kilometer.

56. The server of claim 47 wherein the external network interfaces of at least two responder members are coupled to the external network at different locations on the external network.

57. The server of claim 47 wherein the external network interface of at least one member is coupled directly to the Internet backbone.

58. The server of claim 47 wherein different portions of an HTTP server are  
5 implemented in a plurality of different member operating systems.

59. The server of claim 47 wherein different portions of an FTP server are implemented in a plurality of different member operating systems.

10 60. The server of claim 47 further comprising at least one configurator member having an internal network interface for coupling to the internal network.

61. The server of claim 47 further comprising at least one guardian member having an internal network interface for coupling to the internal network.

15 62. The server of claim 47 further comprising at least one persistent storage member having an internal network interface for coupling to the internal network.

20 63. The server of claim 47 further comprising at least one decoder member having an internal network interface for coupling to the internal network.

64. The server of claim 47 further comprising at least one system administrator notifier member having an internal network interface for coupling to the internal network.

5        65. A server, comprising at least three member hardware units, each member hardware unit having an internal network interface for coupling to an internal network and an external network interface for coupling to an external network, each member hardware unit having memory containing an operating system, wherein at least one of the operating systems is a receiver operating system, at least one of the operating  
10        systems is a dispatcher operating system, and at least one of the operating systems is a responder operating system.

66. The server of claim 65 wherein state information concerning a TCP connection is maintained on at least two member hardware units, and wherein at least some of  
15        the state information maintained on the at least two member hardware units is different.

67. The server of claim 65 wherein:  
          the memory of each member hardware unit includes ROM containing a  
20        respective bootable member;  
          the memory of each member hardware unit includes RAM; and

each member hardware unit has at least one CPU.

68. The server of claim 65 further comprising the internal network, wherein the internal network is coupled to the internal network interface of each member  
5 hardware unit.

69. The server of claim 65, wherein the operating systems process requests from clients in real time, and wherein at least one receiver operating system uses a Trie data structure in its IP address lookup search algorithm.  
10

70. The server of claim 65 wherein a receiver operating system and a responder operating system collectively service at least one common IP address.

71. The server of claim 65 wherein the operating systems of at least three of the  
15 members have some common characteristics inherited from a common proto member.

72. The server of claim 65 wherein the operating system of at least one member implements a non real time layer and a real time layer.



73. A server comprising:

at least one CPU running a plurality of processes including at least one receiver process, and at least one responder process;

a memory coupled to the at least one CPU;

5 a plurality of external network interfaces coupled to the CPU; and

wherein each receiver process is associated with at least one corresponding external network interface, and each responder process is associated with at least one corresponding external network interface, and wherein one of the at least one receiver process and at least one corresponding responder process collectively

10 service at least one common IP address.

74. The server of claim 73 wherein a TCP/IP state machine is distributed across at least two of the processes.

15 75. The server of claim 73 wherein the processes process requests from clients in real time.

76. The server of claim 73 wherein the server includes a real time layer and a non real time layer.

77. The server of claim 73 wherein a receiver process and a plurality of responder processes collectively service at least one common IP address.

5 78. The server of claim 73 wherein the at least one CPU also runs at least one dispatcher process.

79. The server of claim 78 wherein a TCP/IP state machine is distributed across at least two of the processes.

10 80. The server of claim 78 wherein state information concerning a TCP connection is maintained by at least one receiver process and at least one dispatcher process, and wherein at least some of the state information maintained by the at least one receiver process is different than the state information maintained by the at least one dispatcher process.

15

81. The server of claim 78 wherein the processes process requests from clients in real time.

20 82. The server of claim 78 wherein the server includes a real time layer and a non real time layer.

83. The server of claim 78 wherein a receiver process, at least one dispatcher process, and a plurality of responder processes collectively service at least one common IP address.

5 84. A server comprising:

at least one CPU running a plurality of threads including at least one receiver thread, and at least one responder thread;

a memory coupled to the at least one CPU;

a plurality of external network interfaces coupled to the CPU; and

10 wherein each receiver thread is associated with at least one corresponding external network interface, and each responder thread is associated with at least one corresponding external network interface, and wherein one of the at least one receiver thread and at least one corresponding responder thread collectively service at least one common IP address.

15

85. The server of claim 84 wherein a TCP/IP state machine is distributed across at least two of the threads.

20

86. The server of claim 84 wherein the threads process requests from clients in real time.

87. The server of claim 84 wherein the server includes a real time layer and a non real time layer.

88. The server of claim 84 wherein a receiver thread and a plurality of responder  
5 threads collectively service at least one common IP address.

89. The server of claim 84 wherein the at least one CPU also runs at least one dispatcher thread.

10 90. The server of claim 89 wherein a TCP/IP state machine is distributed across at least two of the threads.

91. The server of claim 89 wherein state information concerning a TCP connection is maintained by at least one receiver thread and at least one dispatcher thread, and  
15 wherein at least some of the state information maintained by the at least one receiver thread is different than the state information maintained by the at least one dispatcher thread.

92. The server of claim 89 wherein the threads process requests from clients in real  
20 time.

93. The server of claim 89 wherein the server includes a real time layer and a non real time layer.

94. The server of claim 89 wherein a receiver thread, at least one dispatcher thread,  
5 and a plurality of responder threads collectively service at least one common IP address.

95. A method for responding to a request received over an external network, comprising:

- 10       using a first operating system to receive a request over the external network;  
          using the first operating system to lookup an IP address and TCP port received over the external network;  
          identifying a second operating system associated with the IP address and TCP port found in the lookup;
- 15       passing request data from the first operating system to a second operating system;  
          using the first and second operating systems to maintain state information;  
          using the second operating system to identify response data and a corresponding third operating system;
- 20       using the second operating system to send a message that identifies the response data and instructs a third operating system to transmit the response data;

using the third operating system to transmit the response data over the external network; and

wherein the first, second, and third operating systems are different operating systems.

5

96. The method of claim 95 further comprising using the first operating system to establish a TCP connection.

97. The method of claim 95 further comprising:

10

using the first operating system to extract an HTTP header from a message received over the external network, and to send a message including the HTTP header.

98. A method for responding to a request received over an external network,

15

comprising:

running at least a receiver operating system on a first member hardware unit;

running at least a responder operating system on a second member hardware unit;

receiving, with the first member hardware unit, a request transmitted over the

20

external network;

sending over the internal network, a message from the first member hardware unit to the second member hardware unit; and

transmitting response data over the external network from the second hardware unit.

5

99. The method of claim 98 wherein the request includes an HTTP header.

100. The method of claim 99 further comprising identifying the correct response to the request.

10

101. The method of claim 100 further comprising conducting an IP address lookup in real time.

102. The method of claim 101 wherein the request is processed in real time.

15

103. The method of claim 102 further comprising running different portions of an HTTP server on the receiver operating system and the responder operating system.

104. A method for responding to a request received over an external network, comprising:

20

running a receiver operating system on a first member hardware unit;

running a dispatcher operating system on a second member hardware unit;  
running a responder operating system on a third member hardware unit;  
receiving, with the first member hardware unit, a request transmitted over the  
external network;

5        sending over an internal network, to the second member hardware unit, request  
data received by the first member hardware unit;

      sending over the internal network, to the third member hardware unit, a  
message from the second member hardware unit instructing the third member  
hardware unit to transmit some response data over the external network; and

10       transmitting response data over the external network from the third hardware  
unit.

105.    The method of claim 104 wherein the request data includes an HTTP  
header.

15

106.    The method of claim 105 further comprising:

      identifying, with the second member hardware unit, the correct response to the  
request;

      identifying, with the second member hardware unit, the third member hardware  
20       unit that has the correct response data out of a plurality of member hardware units  
having response data, and sending to the third member hardware unit the message



instructing the third member hardware unit to transmit some response data over the external network; and

transmitting, information identifying the unique instance of the response associated with the connection, and information indicating the size of the response data, from the third member hardware unit to the second member hardware unit over the internal network.

107. The method of claim 106 further comprising monitoring, with a guardian member, the condition of at least one member.

108. The method of claim 107 further comprising changing the functionality of a member from one member class to another member class.

109. The method of claim 107 wherein requests are processed in real time.

110. The method of claim 109 wherein IP address lookups are accomplished in real time.

111. The method of claim 110 further comprising providing a non real time operating environment as a task of a real time operating environment.

112. The method of claim 111 further comprising running different portions of an HTTP server on different operating systems of the plurality of the operating systems.

113. The method of claim 112 further comprising:

- 5        looking up an IP address and TCP port contained in the request to determine if they correspond with an existing connection;
- establishing a connection with a requesting client if a connection is not already established;
- allocating a data structure in the second member hardware unit, to hold state
- 10        information regarding the connection;
- transmitting a connection identifier from the second member hardware unit to the first member hardware unit; and
- allocating a data structure in the first member hardware unit, to hold state information regarding the connection.

15

114. The method of claim 113 further comprising:

- receiving, with the first member hardware unit, an acknowledgment from a client;
- determining, with the second member hardware unit, that all of the response data has been acknowledged by the client;

sending a message, over the internal network, from the second member hardware unit to the first member hardware unit, informing the first member hardware unit that a connection is to be closed;

5        sending a message, over the internal network, from the second member hardware unit to the third member hardware unit, informing the third member hardware unit that the unique instance for the response for the connection is no longer needed;

      deallocating a data structure in the second member hardware unit containing state information for the subject connection with the client; and

10        closing the connection and deallocating, in the first member hardware unit, a data structure containing state information for the connection.

115.    The method of claim 114 further comprising configuring a server by:

      assigning a receiver member class to at least one member hardware unit;  
15        assigning a dispatcher member class to at least one member hardware unit; and  
      assigning a responder member class to at least one member hardware unit.

116.    A method for initializing a server, comprising:

      defining a plurality of members by assigning an operating system member class  
20        to each of a plurality of member hardware units, the assigned operating system

member classes including at least one receiver member, and at least one responder member;

downloading operating system executable code to each member;

defining at least one TCP port and at least one IP address for each receiver

5 member to accept connections on;

associating a receiver member and at least one other member with at least one connection;

determining a service to associate with at least one IP address/TCP port pair;

determining which host names to accept requests for;

10 loading response data onto at least one responder member; and

updating a corresponding data structure used to lookup responses, with the identity and location of the response data in the at least one responder member.

117. A method for initializing a server, comprising:

15 defining a plurality of members by assigning an operating system member class to each of a plurality of member hardware units, the assigned operating system member classes including at least one receiver member, at least one dispatcher member, and at least one responder member;

downloading operating system executable code to each member;

20 defining at least one TCP port and at least one IP address for each receiver member to accept connections on;

informing each receiver member of at least one dispatcher member to associate with at least one connection;

informing at least one dispatcher member of a service to associate with at least one IP address/TCP port pair;

5       informing at least one dispatcher member of which host names to accept HTTP requests for;

loading response data onto at least one responder member;

informing at least one dispatcher member of the identity and location of the data in at least one responder member, and

10       updating a corresponding dispatcher member data structure used to lookup responses, with the identity and location of the data in the at least one responder member.

118.   A signal bearing medium tangibly embodying machine-readable code  
15       executable by a digital processing apparatus for implementing a distributed server, the code comprising:

at least a receiver operating system;

at least a responder operating system; and

wherein the receiver and responder operating systems are configured to  
20       communicate with each other over an internal network.

119. A signal bearing medium tangibly embodying machine-readable code executable by a digital processing apparatus for implementing a distributed server, the code comprising:

a receiver operating system;

5 a dispatcher operating system;

a responder operating system; and

wherein the receiver, dispatcher, and responder operating systems are configured to communicate with each other over an internal network.

10 120. The signal bearing medium of claim 119 wherein the receiver operating system, the dispatcher operating system, and the responder operating system are different operating systems having at least some different characteristics, and wherein the receiver operating system, the dispatcher operating system, and the responder operating system have some common characteristics inherited from a  
15 common proto member.

121. The signal bearing medium of claim 119 wherein the operating systems are configured to process requests from clients in real time.

122. The signal bearing medium of claim 119 wherein at least one receiver operating system uses a Trie data structure in an IP address lookup search algorithm.

5 123. The signal bearing medium of claim 119 wherein at least one operating system includes a non real time layer and a real time layer.

124. The signal bearing medium of claim 119 wherein different portions of an HTTP server are implemented in a plurality of the operating systems, such that an  
10 entire HTTP server is implemented across a plurality of the operating systems.

125. The signal bearing medium of claim 119 wherein a real time TCP/IP state machine is distributed across the receiver operating system and the dispatcher operating system.

15

126. The signal bearing medium of claim 119 further comprising:  
at least one configurator operating system;  
at least one guardian operating system;  
at least one persistent storage member operating system; and

wherein the receiver, dispatcher, responder, configurator, guardian, and persistent storage operating systems are configured to communicate with each other over the internal network.

- 5      127.    The signal bearing medium of claim 126 further comprising:  
         at least one decoder operating system;  
         at least one system administrator notifier operating system; and  
         wherein the receiver, dispatcher, responder, configurator, guardian, persistent  
         storage, decoder, and system administrator notifier operating systems are  
10      configured to communicate with each other over the internal network.

128.    A signal bearing medium tangibly embodying a program of machine-  
         readable instructions executable by a digital processing apparatus to perform a  
         method for responding to a request received over an external network, the method  
15      comprising:  
         using at least a receiver operating system to lookup an IP address and TCP port  
         received over the external network;  
         identifying response data;  
         using at least a responder operating system to transmit the response data over  
20      the external network; and



wherein the receiver and responder operating systems are different operating systems.

129. A signal bearing medium tangibly embodying a program of machine-  
5 readable instructions executable by a digital processing apparatus to perform a method for responding to a request received over an external network, the method comprising:

using a receiver operating system to lookup an IP address and TCP port received over the external network;

10 passing request data from the receiver operating system to a dispatcher operating system;

using the dispatcher operating system to identify response data;

using the dispatcher operating system to send a message identifying the response data;

15 using a responder operating system to transmit the response data over the external network; and

wherein the receiver, dispatcher, and responder operating systems are different operating systems.

20 130. The signal bearing medium of claim 129, the method further comprising using the receiver operating system to establish a TCP connection.

131. The signal bearing medium of claim 129, the method further comprising using the receiver operating system to receive a request over the external network.



5 132. The signal bearing medium of claim 129, the method further comprising using the receiver operating system and the dispatcher operating system to maintain state information.

10 133. The signal bearing medium of claim 129, the method further comprising monitoring, with a guardian operating system, the condition of at least one member.

134. The signal bearing medium of claim 129, wherein the operating systems process requests in real time.

15 135. The signal bearing medium of claim 129, the method further comprising using a Trie data structure in an IP address lookup search algorithm in the receiver operating system.

20 136. The signal bearing medium of claim 129, the method further comprising providing a non real time operating environment as a task of at least one of the operating systems.






FIG. 3




FIG. 4A




FIG. 4B

5/26




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7






FIG. 8





FIG. 9A1

FIG. 9A

FIG. 9A1

FIG. 9A2






FIG. 9B

11/26




FIG. 9C

12/26




FIG. 9D




FIG. 9E

14/26




FIG. 9F







FIG. 10


FIG. 11

## Receiver TCP Connection State Machine



The FIN Wait 2 state can be handled by either the Receiver or the Dispatcher depending on whether or not the application will accept more data after closing its end of the connection.

State transitions occur on receipt of a TCP packet from the client, or (for the Relay state) on receipt of the Dispatcher's reply to the relayed data.



Data can be received in the Established, FIN Wait 1, and FIN Wait 2 states. New data can be retransmitted in the Established and Close Wait States. Unacknowledged data can be retransmitted in the Established, Close Wait, Last Ack, FIN Wait 1, and Closing states. The FIN Wait 2 state can be handled by either the Receiver or the Dispatcher depending on whether the application will accept more data after closing its end of the connection. State transitions occur on receipt of relayed packet information from the Receiver.




FIG. 13

19/26




FIG. 14A

20/26





FIG. 14B

FIG. 15

## Typical Client Request Processing Data Flow






FIG. 16A






FIG. 16B




FIG. 16C




FIG. 16D




FIG. 17