

- [54] Title: MEMBRANE SEPARATION SYSTEM AND METHOD OF OPERATION
- [75] Inventor (s): BARRY RICHARD BRESLAU, OF ACIKON MASSACHUSETTS, U.S.A. and JOHN SLEGGERS, of Breda, Netherlands
- [73] Assignee (s): ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY, of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, U.S.A., a corporation of Delaware, U.S.A.
- [22] Filed: July 6, 1990
- [21] Application Serial No: 40798

FOREIGN APPLICATION PRIORITY DATA

- [31] Number (s) : 433,888
- [32] Date (s) : November 8, 1989
- [33] Country (ies) : U.S.A.
- [52] PH Class 210/652, 210/791, 210/321.69, 210/392, 210/425
- [51] Int. Class BOLD 65/02
- [58] Field of Search 210/634, 210/637, 210/644, 210/649, 210/654, 210/767, 210/791, 210/797, 210/798, 210/321.6, 210/321.6, 210/332, 210/333.01
- [56] Reference (s) Cited and/or Considered: 35/333.1, 391/393, 391/409, 391/411, 424/425, 427/416
- [57] U.S. Pat. No. 3,992,301 11/1976 Shippex et al 210/321.69

ABSTRACT see attached sheet

10 Claims. Specification: ..17.. page (s): Drawings:11..... sheet (s)

Examiner: EPIFANIO EVASCO

Attorney / Agent: QUASHA, ET AL.

ABSTRACT

An improved separation system and its method of operation for the separation of solutes, colloidal particles, or suspended matter from solutions of suspensions containing the same is disclosed. In a preferred embodiment, this invention relates to a simple and economic membrane filtration system that can be operated in many of the modes of operation of a membrane filtration system, especially suction backwashing, without mixing the filtrate with the feed stream.

5

10

15

20

25

MEMBRANE SEPARATION SYSTEM AND
METHOD OF OPERATION

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5 This invention relates to an improved separation system and its method of operation for the separation of solutes, colloidal particles, or suspended matter from solutions or suspensions containing the same. In a preferred embodiment, this invention relates to a simple and economic membrane filtration system that can be
 10 operated in many of the modes of operation of a membrane filtration system without mixing the filtrate with the feed stream.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

15 Separation techniques such as reverse osmosis, ultrafiltration and microfiltration are widely used today in industry. Many advantages have been realized by employing these techniques, among which are the reduction in time required for effecting separation, efficiency in separation, the use of mild operating
 20 conditions such as room temperature separations, the reduction in operating costs as compared to older techniques such as evaporation, chemical precipitation, and ultracentrifugation, and the capability to separate species previously considered inseparable. The present
 25 invention is particularly concerned with membrane separations by ultrafiltration techniques, although it can be applied to some of the other above-mentioned separation techniques.

Ultrafiltration is a separation process wherein a solution or suspension containing a solute, colloidal particle or suspended particle of greater dimensions than the solvent it is dissolved in, is fractionated by being subjected to such pressure as to force the solvent through a porous filter, particularly a polymeric membrane (see for example U.S. Pat. Nos. 3,615,024; 3,526,588; 3,556,305; 3,541,005; and 3,549,016; all of which are hereby incorporated herein by reference to be generally illustrative of the types of polymeric membranes contemplated), although the filter can be of the nonpolymeric type such as ceramic. The membranes used in ultrafiltration may be of various configurations such as hollow fiber, flat sheet, spiral wound or tubular. Preferably, for the purposes of the present invention, hollow fiber polymeric membranes are employed.

Membrane separation systems are usually operated in a cross-flow mode whereby the process fluid flow (i.e. the "feed stream" to be separated) is tangential to the surface of the polymeric membrane. That is, the process fluid to be treated enters the separation module via the process fluid inlet, flows parallel to the surface of the membrane on the same side as the process fluid inlet and outlet are located, leaves the separation module via the process fluid outlet, and optionally, is recycled back to the separation module for further treatment. A portion of the process fluid passes through the membrane as permeate. This type of

separation module may be used for various purposes such as; to concentrate a fluid, in which case the desired product is the fluid leaving the separator through the process fluid outlet; to purify a fluid, in which case the desired product can be the permeate or the fluid leaving the separator through the process fluid outlet; or to separate one or more components from a fluid, in which case the desired product may be the fluid passing through the membrane as permeate, the fluid leaving the separator through the process fluid outlet, the component(s) retained by the membrane, or combination thereof.

During use, the side of the membrane contacting the process fluid can become fouled by material retained by the membrane. Such fouled membranes can be cleaned for reuse by such techniques as; mechanical cleaning, the removal of foulant material by, for example, using a brush, rod or sponge; fast-flush, the pumping of fluid across the fouled surface of the membrane at high flow rate to physically dislodge and remove the foulant; fast-flush with reverse flow, the pumping of fluid across the fouled surface of the membrane at high flow rate with periodic reversal of the flow direction to physically dislodge and remove the foulant; chemical cleaning, the contacting of the fouled surface of the membrane with a chemical cleaning fluid; pressure backwash, the pumping of fluid, for example permeate or water, under pressure through the membrane from the permeate side to the process fluid side such

that the fluid physically dislodges and removes foulant material from the surface of the membrane; or a combination of two or more of the above-mentioned techniques.

5 In the above-described techniques of fast-flush, chemical cleaning and pressure backwashing, pressure is usually created by means of a pump. This can give rise to hydraulic pressure surges that can damage the membrane. Therefore, it is important that the fluid
10 pressure is carefully controlled so the pressure difference between the fluid on one side of the membrane and that on the other side of the membrane does not exceed the maximum allowable transmembrane pressure difference for that particular membrane. The maximum
15 allowable transmembrane pressure difference for a particular membrane is the maximum pressure difference between opposite sides of a membrane that can be accommodated by the membrane without damage resulting.

20 Certain membrane configurations with narrow process fluid flow paths may become severely fouled, whereby the foulant restricts or even prevent the free flow of process fluid across the membrane surface. If this occurs, the fast-flush and chemical cleaning techniques may be insufficient to adequately clean the
25 membrane, and mechanical cleaning and/or pressure backwashing may be required to achieve satisfactory cleaning. However, mechanical cleaning may be impractical for these membrane configurations because of their narrow process fluid flow paths, and pressure

backwashing, as discussed above, has the disadvantages of; having to carefully control the pressure of the fluid in order to avoid damage to the membranes; and the addition of extra pumping capacity which adds to both the initial cost of the system and to the overall operating cost of the system.

The system design described in copending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 331,471 and the operation of this system described in copending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 331,476 (both commonly assigned to the same assignee as the present invention) presumably solves the problem of hydraulic pressure surges leading to damage fibers. A suction backwash procedure is described in the above-mentioned patent applications to aid in the cleaning of the membranes. To activate the suction backwash procedure, a permeate pump is used to draw permeate through the hollow fibers by way of the process lines. While the otherwise an improvement to the systems available heretofore, there are several problems and limitations to this design. First, the system described in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 331,471 is very complex, more costly than necessary and requires at least two pumps of equal size, one process pump, and one permeate pump. This additional pumping capacity not only adds cost to the initial system construction, but also increases the energy requirement during operation. Secondly, because the process fluid comes into contact with the permeate pump and associated permeate lines, the permeate lines and permeate pump

become contaminated with the process fluid. This limits the possible applications of this design. After use of the suction backwash procedure and prior to operation in the standard mode, the system must be recleaned and/or
5 resterilized.

An essential part of many separation techniques, as in membrane filtration, is the ability to keep the filtrate separate from the feed and therefore avoid mixing the permeate with the process fluid. This is
10 beneficial in applications where the filtrate stream must remain sanitary, such as in food or pharmaceutical applications, or where the feed stream can contaminate the filtrate, such as in waste applications.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

15 It is the object of the present invention to provide a novel, simple and economical separation system that reduces the equipment and/or energy requirements associated with such systems and is capable of operating in many of the modes of operation of a separation
20 system, including suction backwash, while keeping the filtrate stream separate from the process stream.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

This invention will now be more fully explained with reference to FIGS. 1-9 appended hereto.

25 FIG. 1 is a schematic representation of a currently available system, (the prior art), operating in the normal flow mode.

FIG. 2 is a schematic representation of a currently available system, (the prior art), operating

in the reverse flow mode.

FIG. 3 is a schematic representation of the separation system of this invention operating in the normal flow mode.

5 FIG. 4 is a schematic representation of the separation system of this invention operating in the reverse flow mode.

10 FIG. 5 is a schematic representation of the separation system of this invention operating in the suction backwash mode with the backwash liquor exiting the process side flow channels simultaneously in the normal flow and reverse flow directions.

15 FIG. 6 is a schematic representation of the separation system of this invention operating in the suction backwash mode with the backwash liquor exiting the process side flow channel in the normal flow mode.

20 FIG. 7 is a schematic representation of the separation system of this invention operating in the suction backwash mode with the backwash liquor exiting the process side flow channel in the reverse flow mode.

FIG. 8 is a schematic representation of the separation system of copending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 331,471 operating in the normal flow mode.

25 FIG. 9 is a schematic representation of the separation system of copending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 331,471 operating in the suction backwash mode with the backwash liquor exiting the process side flow channels simultaneously in the normal flow and reverse flow directions.

FIG. 10 is a graph of the flux rate generated during operation of a system of this invention versus the running time.

5 FIG. 11 is a graph of the flux rate generated during operation of a system of this invention versus concentration factor.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

10 A novel, simple and economical membrane separation system is described herein which can operate in many of the standard modes of operating a membrane separation system such as: (a) normal flow of the process side fluid during the filtration; (b) reverse flow of the process side fluid during filtrations; (c) normal flow of the process side fluid during filtrations with
15 filtrate recycle, the isolation and return of the filtrate to the process side; (d) reverse flow of the process side fluid during filtration with filtrate recycle; (e) suction backwash with the backwash liquor exiting the process side flow channels simultaneously in
20 the normal flow and reverse flow directions; (f) suction backwash with the backwash liquor exiting the process side flow channel in the normal flow direction; (g) suction backwash with the backwash liquor exiting the process side flow channel in the reverse flow direction.
25 Furthermore, this invention allows for the economical operation in many of the operating modes, especially in the suction backwash mode, without requiring the suction backwash fluid to contact and contaminate the filtrate fluid with process fluid debris.

The process side of the membrane module is the side where the process fluid comes into contact with the membrane surface. The process fluid is carried to the process side of the membrane module by way of the process fluid conduit. Upon leaving the membrane module the process fluid has lost a portion of its solvent as permeate, and therefore as the process fluid leaves the membrane module it is more concentrated in the components of larger size than the pores of the membrane. As used herein, the term "permeate" refers to the stream passing through the membrane surface and the term "concentrate" defines the portion of the stream exiting the membrane module on the process side containing the retained, non-permeating species. The concentrate is carried away from the module in the concentrate fluid conduit.

A separation module as discussed herein includes conventional membrane cartridges such as containers housing membranes within a solid outer wall having one or more permeate outlets. The solid outer wall is so spaced from the membranes as to enable the permeate to collect and surround the membrane. The cartridges can be connected with a process fluid inlet, concentrate outlet, and a conduit for discharge of the permeate or if no conduit for the permeate is used, the permeate can flow into a tank surrounding the cartridge. A single cartridge can be used or multiple cartridges can be connected to a manifold to allow for the cartridges to be run in series and/or parallel configuration.

Alternately, the solid outer wall of the cartridge described above can be eliminated, the cartridges suspended in a tank, and the permeate allowed to flow into said tank which surrounds the membrane module or modules. The permeate is then subsequently carried off.

Referring to FIG. 3, the membrane separation system of this invention places the process pump P, having an inlet P1 and an outlet P2, within a network of valved.

For further comparison, FIG. 8 depicts the system of U.S. application Ser. No. 331,471 in the normal mode of operation, (a). The system of U.S. application Ser. No. 331,471 requires two pumps of equal size for the system to be run, a process pump and a permeate pump. When suction backwash is used in the system of U.S. application Ser. No. 331,471, as shown in FIG. 9, the permeate pump provides the suction or negative pressure on the fibers, draws permeate from the container the cartridges are submerged in, and the permeate passes through the fibers. All foulants, plugs and contaminants contained in the fibers and on the membrane surface are now exposed to the permeate pump and associated permeate lines, causing said lines to become contaminated with process fluid and foulants. As stated above, in applications such as pharmaceutical, dairy, or waste, this would necessitate the re-sterilization or recleaning of the permeate lines and permeate pump.

As described above, the system of the current invention only requires a single process pump to operate both in the normal modes of operation and in the suction backwash mode, therefore, no cross-contamination takes place. Besides this advantage of no cross-contamination, the system of the current invention can be manufactured for a substantial reduction in cost and can operate with a substantial reduction in energy consumption as compared to the system of U.S. application Ser. No. 331,471. A comparison of the two systems is shown in the example below.

EXAMPLE I

Comparative

The cost and power requirements of the system of the present invention were compared to the cost and power requirements of the system described in U.S. application Ser. No. 331,471. The data are presented in Table I below as percentages of the cost and power requirements of the system described in U.S. application Ser. No. 331,471. Each system was designed to produce 20 gallons a minute of high quality filtered water from a specific city water feed by using 200 sq. ft. of an 80,000 molecular weight cut off membrane.

TABLE I

Item	Cost Reduction
pipng and tanks	63%
pumping	61.5%
total capital costs	63%
power required	55%

EXAMPLE 2

The system of the present invention, equipped with two types of hollow fiber membrane cartridges (Romicon HF25-43-CM50 and HF25-43-XM50) was used to treat a metal plating waste containing suspended solids and zinc. Both types of membranes gave rejection coefficients in the 98% range over the course of a three day study. During this study a concentration factor of 1250X was achieved, that is for every 1250 gallons of feed (waste water), 1249 gallons of filtrate were produced.

The analytical data collected during this study are shown in Table 2.

TABLE 2

SAMPLE	Suspended Solids ppm	Zinc ppm
feed A	686	3.2
feed B	1,286	179
CM permeate A	18.4	0.07
CM permeate B	13.2	0.05
CM rejection coefficient	98.2%	98.9%
XM permeate A	25.0	0.16
XM permeate B	13.2	0.08
XM rejection coefficient	97.8%	97.5%

The filtration rate in the form of fluxes (gallons filtrate/area/time/gallons/sq.ft./day) is shown as a function of time in FIG. 10 and as a function of concentration factor in FIG. 11. The "saw tooth" pattern in both figures is the result of the suction backwash operation, demonstrating how the membranes were sufficiently cleaned during processing to restore the filtrate rate close to its initial value without the

need for a shutdown or an external cleaning step.

We claim:

1. An apparatus for conducting separations comprising:

- 5 (a) one or more separation modules;
- (b) a pressure means with an inlet and outlet, said pressure means connected to a loop comprised of four conduit junctions A, B, C, and D connected together by four valved conduits and junction A is connected to junctions D and C and is further connected to a valved inlet feed stream conduit and a conduit to the inlet of said pressure means, junction B is connected to junctions D and C and is further connected by conduit to the outlet of said pressure means and to a valved discharge conduit, junction D is connected to junctions A and B and is further connected by conduit to one end of the separation module on the process side, and junction C is connected to junctions A and B and is further connected to the second end of the separation module on the process side and said loop is for introducing fluid under positive pressure in both forward flow and reverse flow directions to said separation modules and for providing negative pressure on the inlet and outlet side of said separation modules; and
- 10
- 15
- 20
- 25

(c) a means to take off permeate from said separation modules.

5 2. The apparatus of claim 1 wherein said separation module is an ultrafiltration membrane separation module.

 3. The apparatus of claim 1 wherein said separation module is a microfiltration separation module.

10 4. The apparatus of claim 1 wherein said separation module contains hollow fiber ultrafiltration membranes.

 5. The apparatus of claim 1 wherein said separation module contains hollow fiber microfiltration membranes.

15 6. A method of separating solutes, colloidal particles and suspended matter from a solution or suspension of such matter comprising:

20 (a) connecting a pump to a loop comprised of four conduit junctions A, B, C and D connected together by four valved conduits and junction A is connected to junctions D and C and is further connected to a valved inlet feed stream conduit and a conduit to the inlet of said pump, junction B is connected to junctions D and C and is further connected by conduit to the outlet of said pump and to a valved discharge conduit, junction D is connected to junctions A and B and is further connected by conduit to one end of separation

25

module on the process side, and junction C is connected to junctions A and B and is further connected to the second end of said separation module on the process side;

5

(b) pumping said solution or suspension into said valved conduit loop at conduit junction B, out of said valved conduit loop at conduit junction D, through said separation module and back to said valved conduit loop at conduit junction C;

10

(c) reversing the flow by pumping said solution or suspension into said valved conduit loop at conduit junction B, out of said valved conduit loop at conduit junction C, through said separation module and back to said valved conduit loop of conduit junction D;

15

(d) recovering concentrate containing solutes, colloidal particles or suspended matter;

20

(e) withdrawing permeate from said separation module; and

25

(f) cleaning said separation module by applying negative pressure to the process side of said separation module and thereby drawing filtrate from the filtrate side of said separation module into the process side of said module, into said valved conduit loop at conduit junction D, conduit junction C or a combination thereof, through valved conduit junction A, into said pump and out of said

10
Feb

valved conduit loop at conduit junction B.

7. The method claim 6 wherein said separation modules are ultrafiltration separation modules.

5 8. The method claim 6 wherein said separation modules are microfiltration separation modules.

9. The method claim 6 wherein said separation modules are hollow fiber ultrafiltration separation modules.

10 10. The method claim 6 wherein said separation modules are hollow fiber microfiltration separation modules.

15

20

25




Fig. 5.

BARRY RICHARDS BRESLAU
JOHN SLEGERS
(INVENTORS)

QUASHA ASPERILLA ANCHETA
PENA & VOLASCO

BY: *[Signature]*
FELINO L. PADLAN
(For the Firm)

PATENTED

DEC 3 1992

PATENT NO. 26937




Fig. 6.

BARRY RICHARDS BRESLAU
JOHN SLEGGERS
(INVENTORS)

QUASHA ASPERILLA ANCHETA
PENA & NOLASCO

BY:

Felino L. Padlan
FELINO L. PADLAN
(For the Firm)

PATENTED

DEC 3 1992

PATENT NO. 26937




Fig. 7.

BARRY RICHARD BRESLAU
JOHN SLEGERS
(INVENTORS)

QUASHA ASPERILLA ANCHETA
PENA & MOLASCO

BY:

Felino L. Padlan
FELINO L. PADLAN
(For the Firm)

PATENTED

DEC 3 1992

PATENT NO 26937




Fig.8.

BARRY RICHARD BRESLAU
JOHN SLEGERS
(INVENTORS)

QUASHA ASPERIZLA ANCHETA
PENA & NOLASCO

BY:

F. Padlan
FELYNO L. PADLAN
(For the Firm)

DEC 3 1992




Fig. 9.

BARRY RICHARD BRESLAU
JOHN SLEGERS
(INVENTORS)

QUAHSA ASPERILLA ANCHETA
PENA & MOLASCO
BY: *[Signature]*
FELINO L. PADLAN
(For the Firm)

DEC 3 1982

PATENTED FOR THE UNITED STATES

BY: *[Signature]*
QUASHA ASPERILLA ANCHETA
PENA & MOLASCO
JOHN SIEGERS
BARRY RICHARD BRESLAU
(INVENTORS)




Fig. 10.

BARRY RICHARD BRESLAU
 JOHN SIEGERS
 (INVENTORS)
 QUASHA ASPERITIA ANCHETA
 PENA & NOIASCO
 BY: *[Signature]*
 TELINO L. PADJAN
 (For the firm)
 DEC 3 1982

PATENT NO. 73692




Fig. 11.