

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ³ : G03C 1/68, 5/00	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 81/00310 (43) International Publication Date: 5 February 1981 (05.02.81)
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/US80/00876</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: 15 July 1980 (15.07.80)</p> <p>(31) Priority Application Number: 057,980</p> <p>(32) Priority Date: 16 July 1979 (16.07.79)</p> <p>(33) Priority Country: US</p> <p>(71) Applicant (<i>for all designated States except US</i>): MINNESOTA MINING AND MANUFACTURING COMPANY [US/US]; 3M Center, P.O. Box 33427, St. Paul, MN 55133 (US).</p> <p>(72) Inventor; and</p> <p>(75) Inventor/Applicant (<i>for US only</i>): ROHLOFF, Robert, R. [US/US]; 776 Indian Trail, Afton, MN 55001 (US).</p> <p>(74) Agents: EDMUNDSON, Dean, P. et al.; Office of Patent Counsel, Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company, P.O. Box 33427, St. Paul, MN 55133 (US).</p>		<p>(81) Designated States: AT (European patent), CH (European patent), DE (European patent), FR (European patent), GB (European patent), JP, LU (European patent), NL (European patent), SE (European patent), US.</p> <p>Published <i>With international search report</i></p>
<p>(54) Title: PLATING RESIST WITH IMPROVED RESISTANCE TO EXTRANEEOUS PLATING</p> <p>(57) Abstract</p> <p>Deposition of extraneous metal on the surface of an electroless or electrolytic plating resist is reduced by dispersing into the resist formulation fumed aluminum oxide microparticles.</p>		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea
AU	Australia	LI	Liechtenstein
BR	Brazil	LU	Luxembourg
CF	Central African Republic	MC	Monaco
CG	Congo	MG	Madagascar
CH	Switzerland	MW	Malawi
CM	Cameroon	NL	Netherlands
DE	Germany, Federal Republic of	NO	Norway
DK	Denmark	RO	Romania
FI	Finland	SE	Sweden
FR	France	SN	Senegal
GA	Gabon	SU	Soviet Union
GB	United Kingdom	TD	Chad
HU	Hungary	TG	Togo
JP	Japan	US	United States of America

PLATING RESIST WITH IMPROVED
RESISTANCE TO EXTRANEEOUS PLATING

This is a continuation-in-part of application
Serial No. 57,980, filed July 16, 1979.

Technical Field

This invention relates to improved plating
5 resists containing finely divided fillers.

Background Art

In printed circuit board manufacture, a plating
resist pattern controls where electrolytic or electroless
deposition of metal takes place. Therefore, a plating
10 resist should not take up undesired metal deposits on its
surface during the plating step. Such undesired metal
deposits will be referred to herein as "extraneous metal".
Extraneous metal deposition is generally not a problem
with state of the art electrolytic plating resists. How-
15 ever, due to the severity of electroless bath conditions
and the longer immersion times generally employed, state
of the art electroless plating resists are susceptible to
the deposition of extraneous metal. These resists may
also be physically attacked by the electroless plating
20 bath, leading to bath contamination. In electroless
copper plating, extraneous copper deposition is a parti-
cularly severe problem, due to the extended immersion
times required to produce the desired thick copper layers
and the highly alkaline conditions (e.g. 10 hours at pH
25 12-13 and 61°C (142°F) for a 0.0025 cm (1.0 mil) coating).
The extraneous copper so deposited may range from a
loosely adhering dust to tightly bonded overplating.
Accordingly, individual inspection is required to detect
such extraneous copper. If possible, the unwanted par-
30 ticles are removed by brushing. A substantial percentage
of printed circuit boards (PCB's) cannot be so corrected
and must be etched bare and reworked, an expensive and
time consuming process.



The susceptibility of plating resists to extraneous metal deposition is frequently aggravated due to the presence of fillers commonly used in such resists. Two types of fillers are typically used in plating resists; namely, thixotropic fillers, herein referred to as thixotropes; and extender fillers, herein referred to as extenders. Thixotropes are finely divided substances which, when dispersed into a resist, cause the resist to exhibit shear thinning, e.g. low viscosity when forced through a screen but high viscosity when no force is applied. This improves screen printing performance by allowing an intricate pattern to be printed without deterioration of the pattern before it has cured. Thixotropic screen printable resists are usually cured by methods other than solvent drying (e.g., actinic radiation and visible light cure). Thixotropes which have been used in such resists include untreated fumed silicas (e.g. "Cab-O-Sil M5", commercially available from Cabot Corp.); treated fumed silicas (e.g. "Aerosil R-972", commercially available from DeGussa); and organic materials (e.g. "Thixcen R", commercially available from Baker Castor Oil Co.).

Extenders are frequently added to solvent-dried screen printable resists and to photoresists in order to increase the viscosity of the plating resist (which may be desired to improve the handling characteristics) and reduce the cost of the product by diluting it. Talc, calcium carbonate and precipitated silicas have all been used as extenders.

Unfortunately, the addition of the fillers discussed above (whether thixotropes or extenders) to plating resists increases their tendency to attract extraneous metal. Thixotropic screen printable resists subjected to electroless copper plating are especially susceptible to extraneous copper deposition. In order to obtain good resistance to extraneous copper deposition, the screen printing resists of the prior art have employed heat cured two part resists. These resists must be mixed before



application and used within a few hours of mixing. The heat curing step necessitates a lengthy baking operation and a large processing area. Heat cured resists tend to thin out and flow onto uncoated areas of the PCB during the heat curing step, thereby limiting attainable pattern definition. Actinic radiation cured screen printing resists would be preferred to these heat cured resists since they need not be mixed before use, are cured with a faster and more energy efficient means than baking, do not require a large processing area, and offer improvements in attainable pattern definition. However, actinic radiation cured screen printing resists of the prior art have failed to combine good resistance to extraneous metal deposition (especially extraneous copper) with good screen printing performance.

Copying papers coated with various photoconductive materials (including aluminum oxide) suspended in an electrically insulating film-forming vehicle have been described in Australian Patent No. 201,301.

Insulating compositions which are catalytic to the deposition of electroless metal, comprising inert solid particles of various materials (including "atomized alumina") with a minimum diameter between about 450 micrometers (40 mesh) and 21 micrometers (600 mesh), having a deposit thereon which comprises a cationic wetting agent in combination with one or more metals in elemental form selected from groups I-B or VIII of the Periodic Table of Elements are described in U.S. Patent No. 3,600,330.

Photopolymerizable paste compositions useful for fabricating thick-film electronic circuits containing inorganic materials (including "inorganic oxides"), polymer binder, unsaturated monomers and an organic initiator dispersed in a hydrogenated terpene solvent have been described in U.S. Patent No. 3,958,996.

An epoxy resin containing quartz powder, 0.25 percent fumed silica, and 0.15 percent aluminum oxide



microparticles prepared by the flame hydrolysis of anhydrous aluminum chloride is shown in DeGussa Technical Bulletin No. 56, "Aluminum Oxide C, Titanium Dioxide P 25 - Two Highly Dispersed Metal Oxides from DeGussa Produced by the AEROSIL® Process".

None of the above references show or suggest hardenable plating resists containing sufficient fumed aluminum oxide microparticles to provide such resists with resistance to extraneous metal deposition.

10

Disclosure of Invention

The present invention provides hardenable plating resist compositions comprising an organic binder and a filler comprising an effective amount of finely divided microparticles of fumed aluminum oxide dispersed throughout said binder, said microparticles of aluminum oxide having a primary particle size less than about 0.1 micrometer in diameter. In one aspect, this invention relates to the use of such microparticles to reduce the tendency of the surface of a plating resist to become coated with extraneous (i.e. unwanted) metal during the plating process. In another aspect of the invention such microparticles are used alone or in combination with other fillers to impart thixotropy to liquid screen printable plating resists while reducing the tendency of the surface of such resists to become coated with extraneous metal. A further aspect of the invention relates to the use of such microparticles in an actinic radiation cured screen printable resist to eliminate or substantially inhibit extraneous metal deposition while maintaining the desirable screen printing and curing characteristics typically associated with such resists. The compositions of the invention are especially useful in additive plating processes.

The aluminum oxide microparticles used as fillers in the resists of the present invention comprise finely-divided highly dispersed particles of fumed



aluminum oxide, said microparticles having a primary (i.e. individual rather than agglomerate) particle size less than about 0.1 micrometers in diameter. Use of such aluminum oxide microparticles tends to eliminate or
5 strongly inhibit the deposition of extraneous metal, especially extraneous copper. Surprisingly, such deposition of extraneous metal is not inhibited when conventionally ground and classified aluminum oxide of a particle size as small as, e.g., 0.85 micrometers, is used
10 in a standard resist formulation. Also, use of other microfine materials made by flame hydrolysis of an inorganic chloride (e.g. fumed silica) in a conventional resist formulation tends to aggravate the tendency of the resist to attract extraneous metal. While not desiring to
15 be bound by theory, applicant suspects that the ability of the aluminum oxide microparticles used in this invention to inhibit the deposition of extraneous metal on the surface of a plating resist may be due to the tendency of such aluminum oxide microparticles to acquire a positive
20 electrostatic charge, in contrast to the general tendency of most microfine materials (e.g. the various fumed silicas) to acquire a negative electrostatic charge.

Aluminum oxide microparticles as used in this invention also impart thixotropic properties to a resist,
25 and therefore may be used to give good screen printing properties to a resist. Furthermore, addition of such aluminum oxide microparticles to a plating resist does not detract from the general curing, storage, or handling properties of such resists.

30 Detailed Description

The plating resists of the present invention are prepared by combining fumed aluminum oxide microparticles with conventional plating resist formulations. Included among such conventional formulations are electroless
35 plating resists containing binders comprising monomers or prepolymers such as epoxides, vinyls (e.g. vinyl esters



and vinyl ethers), acrylates, polyesters, urethanes, and acrylics; as well as electrolytic plating resists containing binders comprising the above as well as vinyl alcohols, silicones, polyethers, phenolics, and cellulose esters. Such binders should be in liquid form, paste form, or solid form, depending upon the desired mode of resist application (e.g. liquids or pastes for screening resists and solids for dry film photoresists). The fumed aluminum oxide microparticles can be added in addition to or in place of any thixotropes or extenders already used in such resists. Such thixotropes or extenders include fumed silica (treated or untreated), precipitated silica, talc, calcium carbonate, and organic materials. The fumed aluminum oxide microparticles can be combined with curable resists cured by a variety of conventional means, including actinic radiation and heat, i.e. infrared radiation. The fumed aluminum oxide microparticles eliminate or strongly inhibit deposition of extraneous metal, especially extraneous copper. Fumed aluminum oxide microparticles are especially useful when combined with actinic radiation cured screen printable resists, because they overcome the deficiencies of such prior art resists by providing good resistance to extraneous metal deposition while preserving the good screen printing and curing characteristics typically associated with such resists.

Fumed aluminum oxide microparticles can also be used in addition to or in place of the extenders used in state-of-the-art solvent-dried screen printing resists in order to lower their susceptibility to extraneous metal deposition, especially extraneous copper deposited by the electroless method. In addition, fumed aluminum oxide microparticles can be used in addition to or in place of the extenders used in state-of-the-art liquid or dry film photoresists in order to reduce their susceptibility to extraneous metal deposition, especially extraneous copper applied by the electroless plating method. For example, fumed aluminum oxide microparticles can be used in dry



film negative or positive photoresist compositions comprising carrier film and a photosensitive layer carried on said film that is adherable to a printed circuit board substrate, reacts when exposed to an imagewise pattern of light to provide imagewise differential removability from said substrate, and comprises photopolymerizable or photosolubilizable organic binder, a catalytic amount of photoinitiator for initiating imagewise reaction of said binder upon imagewise exposure of said photosensitive layer to light, and an effective amount of finely divided particles of fumed aluminum oxide dispersed throughout the binder.

Fumed aluminum oxide microparticles as described above are typically prepared by the flame hydrolysis of anhydrous aluminum halide such as anhydrous aluminum chloride. Such fumed aluminum oxide microparticles are commercially available from DeGussa under the trademarks "Aluminum Oxide C" and "ALOC".

The effective amount of fumed aluminum oxide microparticles which have been found to be useful for reducing extraneous metal deposition when combined with a plating resist ranges from about 1% up to about 30% of the total resist weight in a resist containing an epoxide or vinyl ester binder; from about 1% up to about 40% of the total resist weight in a resist containing an acrylate binder; or in general, from about 1% up to the maximum amount beyond which the binder resin will no longer completely wet the microparticles. Any effective amount appears to lower a resist's susceptibility to extraneous deposition of metal. The preferred amount depends upon the rheology of the unfilled resist, upon the size of the fumed aluminum oxide microparticles, and upon whether or not the resist is to be screen printed. In a screen printable resist, the addition of 10-20% by weight of fumed aluminum oxide microparticles is typically a preferred amount, offering good screen printing performance and complete or substantial elimination of



extraneous metal deposition, especially extraneous copper deposition. In a non-screen printable resist, smaller quantities of fumed aluminum oxide microparticles may be preferred, i.e., typically 1 to 10% by weight, because

5 high thixotropy is not required in such resists. Dry-film resists preferably contain about 1 to 30% by weight of fumed aluminum oxide microparticles. Fumed aluminum oxide microparticles can also be mixed with other thixotropes. For example, addition of 6% fumed aluminum oxide

10 microparticles, e.g. "ALOC", and 2% of fumed silica, e.g., "Cab-O-Sil M5", to an epoxide based screenable resist provides a resist with thixotropy approximately equivalent to a 10-12% addition of "ALOC" alone, although such a resist containing both "ALOC" and "Cab-O-Sil M5" has less

15 resistance to extraneous metal deposition than such a resist containing "ALOC" alone.

The effectiveness of aluminum oxide microparticles in inhibiting extraneous copper deposition is illustrated using several screen printable resists

20 described in the examples which follow. Since no standard test for resistance to extraneous metal deposition exists, the following subjective test scale was used in connection with the specific examples:



Extent and Tenacity of Extraneous Metal (E.M.) Deposit		Rating
5	No visible E.M.	10
	Very little E.M., easily removed	9
	Medium amount of E.M., moderately easy to remove	8
	Medium amount of E.M., difficult to remove	7
	Medium heavy amount of E.M., difficult to remove	6
10	Medium heavy amount of E.M., cannot remove	5
	Heavy E.M., cannot remove	4
	Very heavy E.M., cannot remove	3
15	E.M. beginning to look like copper plating	2
	E.M. resembles rough copper plating	1
	Resist appears to be fully plated	0

Resists which would be useful commercially should score 7 or higher on the above scale. Resists with ratings of 7-8 are marginally acceptable, but some rework of plated substrates may be required. Resists with ratings of 9-10 are preferred.

Screen printing performance can be subjectively evaluated using a "tongue depressor" test as is well known in the art, in which a standard wooden tongue depressor is inserted into the liquid resist and then withdrawn. Satisfactory screening performance corresponds to the formation of "short" (approximately 0 to 10 cm long) strings or threads of resist at the trailing edge of the tongue depressor. Screening performance can also be objectively evaluated by measurement of viscosity at 5 and 50 rpm in accordance with ASTM test No. D-2196. A shear thinning index number is calculated by dividing the viscosity at 5 rpm by the viscosity at 50 rpm. A shear thinning index number greater than about 1.25 indicates satisfactory screening performance. A shear thinning index number greater than about 2 indicates good screening performance.

The invention can be further understood by reference to the following examples, in which all parts are by weight unless otherwise indicated.

Example 1

5	A hardenable resist was prepared with the following ingredients in the amounts stated:	
	"EPI REZ 50727" epoxy resin (commercially available from Celanese Corp.)	42.0g
10	"DER 332" epoxy resin (commercially available from Dow Chemical Co.)	40.0g
	"EPI REZ 5048" epoxy resin (commercially available from Celanese Corp.)	10.0g
	Triarylsulfonium hexafluoroantimonate photoinitiator	2.5g
15	"Foamaster DF-160L" defoamer (commercially available from Diamond Shamrock)	1.5g
	Blue pigmentation	1.0g
	"ALOC" fumed aluminum oxide microparticles (commercially available from DeGussa)	4.0g
20		101.0g

The three epoxy resins were mixed together with heating at 60°C (150°F). The triarylsulfonium hexafluoroantimonate photoinitiator was prepared in accordance with the preferred method of U.S. Patent No. 4,173,476, and
25 added with stirring at the same temperature. This mixture was filtered through three layers of cheese cloth to remove undissolved particles. The blue pigmentation (an aid to visual inspection of the cured product) was prepared by dispersing a 1:2 mixture of "Milori Blue" powdered pigment
30 (commercially available pigment from Harshaw Chemical Co. having a color index designation of "77510" and a color index name of "pigment blue 27") and "ERL 4221" epoxy resin (commercially available from Union Carbide Chemical Co.) in a 3 roll mill. The pigmentation and defoaming
35 agents were then added to the above epoxy resin/



photoinitiator mixture with stirring. The fumed aluminum oxide microparticles were added and dispersed by passing the mixture twice through a three roll mill (commercially available from Global Process Equip. Corp., Hauppauge, N.Y., model 4X8) with both roller gaps set at 0.0152 cm (6 mils). The resultant mixture was then de-aerated using a vacuum pump and bell jar assembly.

Approximately 5g of this mixture was applied to a conventional PCB substrate and spread over the substrate surface using a #14 Meyer bar (commercially available from RD Specialties, Webster, N.Y.), resulting in a uniform wet film approximately 0.0032 cm (1.26 mils) in thickness. Meyer bar application gave a reproducible film more convenient for testing purposes than actual screening of a circuitry pattern.

The mixture was then cured in place by passing the PCB substrate three times through a conventional single lamp 78.7 watt/cm (200 watt/in) ultraviolet radiation curing machine at 47.2 cm/minute (10 ft/min) belt speed. This is equivalent to a power density integral of .45 watt-sec/cm² (3 passes at .15 watt-sec/cm²) as measured using an Ashdee Power Density Analyzer measuring the 3650 Angstrom line.

The PCB substrate was then electroless copper plated to a thickness of approximately 0.0025 cm (1.0 mil) in a copper sulfate-formaldehyde electroless copper bath ("Add Tech HiD-410", commercially available from Photocircuits Division of Kollmorgen, Inc.).

The plated PCB exhibited no visible extraneous copper on the resist surface (a subjective score of "10" on the extraneous metal rating scale).

Comparative Examples 1 to 5

Using the method of Example 1, the following fillers were substituted for the 4g of fumed aluminum oxide microparticles (a 3.96% filler addition) used in the resist of Example 1.



Comparative		Extraneous
<u>Example No.</u>	<u>Filler</u>	<u>Metal Rating</u>
1	4g "Cab-O-Sil M5"	6
5	untreated fumed silica (commercially available from Cabot Corp.)	
2	4g "Winnofil S" precipitated calcium carbonate treated with calcium stearate (commercially available from Imperial Chemical Industries, Ltd.)	7-8
10	3 4g "OK-412" treated fumed silica (commercially available from DeGussa)	6-7
	4 4g "TS-100" treated fumed silica (commercially available from DeGussa)	6-7
15	5 4g "R-972" treated fumed silica (commercially available from DeGussa)	6

Example 2

A test formulation was prepared as follows using the method of Example 1.

20	"EPI-REZ 5048" epoxy resin	30.0g
	"DER 332" epoxy resin	35.0g
	"DEN 438" epoxy resin (commercially available from Dow Chemical Co.)	15.0g
25	Triarylsulfonium hexafluoroantimonate photoinitiator	2.5g
	"DF-160L" defoamer	1.5g
	Blue pigmentation	1.0g
	"ALOC"	<u>14.0g</u>
		99.0g

30 This formulation was run through an electroless copper plating bath as used in Example 1. The plated PCB's scored a "10" on the extraneous metal rating scale.



Comparative Examples 6 to 7

Using the method of Example 2, two ground and classified aluminum oxide fillers were substituted for the 14g of fumed aluminum oxide microparticles used in the resist of Example 2.

In Comparative Example 6, the filler used was 14g of "RC-152 DBM" (commercially available from Reynolds Chemicals, division of Reynolds Metals Company), a ground and classified aluminum oxide having a mean particle diameter of 1.4 micrometers. The plated PCB's scored a "7" on the extraneous metal rating scale.

In Comparative Example 7, the filler used was 14g of "RC-HPT DMB" (commercially available from Reynolds Chemicals, division of Reynolds Metals Company), a ground and classified aluminum oxide having a mean particle diameter of 0.85 micrometers. The plated PCB's scored a "7" on the extraneous metal rating scale, and the extraneous metal was more tightly held to the resist than the extraneous metal of Comparative Example 6.

Examples 3 to 8

Using the method of Example 1, the following mixtures of fumed aluminum oxide microparticles and untreated fumed silica were substituted for the filler used in the resist of Example 1 to evaluate whether any synergism results from mixture of thixotropes. Data for Example 1 are included in the table below for comparative purposes:

Example		Extraneous Metal	
<u>No.</u>	<u>Filler</u>	<u>Rating</u>	
5	1 4.0g "ALOC"/0.0g "Cab-O-Sil M5"	10	
	3 3.6g "ALOC"/0.4g "Cab-O-Sil M5"	8	
	4 3.0g "ALOC"/1.0g "Cab-O-Sil M5"	5-6	
	5 2.4g "ALOC"/1.6g "Cab-O-Sil M5"	5-6	
	6 1.8g "ALOC"/2.2g "Cab-O-Sil M5"	5-6	
10	7 1.2g "ALOC"/2.8g "Cab-O-Sil M5"	6-7	
	8 0.6g "ALOC"/3.4g "Cab-O-Sil M5"	6-7	

Example 9

A test formulation was prepared as follows using the method of Example 1.

15	"DER 332" epoxy resin	85.0g
	"DEN 438" epoxy resin	7.0g
	Triarylsulfonium hexafluorantimonate photoinitiator	2.5g
	"DF-160L" defoamer	1.5g
20	Blue pigmentation	1.0g
	Filler	<u>3.0g</u>
		100.0g

Set out below in tabular form are results for several different fillers used in the above formulation:

25		Extraneous Metal	
<u>Run No.</u>	<u>Filler</u>	<u>Rating</u>	
30	9a 3g "ALOC"	10	
	9b 3g "Cab-O-Sil M5"	6-7	
	9c 3g "Winnofil S"	8-9	
	9d 3g "OK-412"	8-9	
	9e 3g "TS-100"	7	
	9f 3g "R-972"	7	



Example 10

Using the method of Example 1, the following mixtures of fumed aluminum microparticles and untreated fumed silica were substituted for the 3g of filler used in the resists of Example 9 to evaluate whether any synergism results from mixtures of thixotropes.

		Extraneous Metal	
<u>Run No.</u>	<u>Filler</u>	<u>Rating</u>	
10	10a	2.70g "ALOC"/0.30g "Cab-O-Sil M5"	9
	10b	2.25g "ALOC"/0.75g "Cab-O-Sil M5"	8
	10c	1.80g "ALOC"/1.20g "Cab-O-Sil M5"	7-8
	10d	1.35g "ALOC"/1.65g "Cab-O-Sil M5"	6-7
	10e	0.90g "ALOC"/2.10g "Cab-O-Sil M5"	7-8
15	10f	0.45g "ALOC"/2.55g "Cab-O-Sil M5"	6

Example 11

A test formulation was prepared as follows using the method of Example 1:

20	"ERL 4221" epoxy resin (commercially available from Union Carbide Chemical Co.)	21.0g
	"NIAx 425" epoxy resin (commercially available from Union Carbide Chemical Co.)	15.0g
	"XD-7818" epoxy resin (commercially available from Dow Chemical Co.)	9.0g
25	"DER 337" epoxy resin (commercially available from Dow Chemical Co.)	46.0g
	Diaryliodonium hexafluorophosphate photoinitiator	2.0g
	"DF-160L" defoamer	2.0g
30	Blue pigmentation	1.0g
	Filler	<u>5.0g</u>
		101.0g



The diaryliodonium hexafluorophosphate photo-initiator was prepared in accordance with the preferred method of U.S. Patent No. 4,143,476.

Set out below in tabular form are results for
5 two different fillers used in the above formulation:

<u>Run No.</u>	<u>Filler</u>	Extraneous Metal
		<u>Rating</u>
11a	5g "ALOC"	9-10
10 11b	5g "Cab-O-Sil M5"	6-7

Example 12

A test formulation was prepared as follows using the method of Example 1:

15	"DER 332" epoxy resin	850 g
	"DEN 438" epoxy resin	70 g
	Triarylsulfonium hexafluoroantimonate photoinitiator	25 g
	"DF-160L" defoamer	15 g
	Blue pigmentation	<u>10 g</u>
20		970 g

Varying amounts of fumed aluminum oxide microparticles and untreated fumed silica were added to portions of the above mixture in order to evaluate whether any synergism results from mixtures of thixotropes. The
25 formulations were run through a deteriorated electroless plating bath (i.e., a bath which had exhibited a tendency to deposit excessive extraneous copper) and plated to a thickness of approximately 0.0025 cm (1.0 mil).

Set out below in tabular form are the
30 experimental results:



-17-

		Extraneous
		Metal
		<u>Rating</u>
5	Run 12a 4.0g "ALOC" plus 96.0g unfilled resist (4% filler)	10
	12b 6.0g "ALOC" plus 94.0g unfilled resist (6% filler)	9-10
	12c 8.0g "ALOC" plus 92.0g unfilled resist (8% filler)	9
10	12d 10.0g "ALOC" plus 90.0g unfilled resist (10% filler)	9
	12e 12.0g "ALOC" plus 88.0g unfilled resist (12% filler)	9-10
	12f 14.0g "ALOC" plus 86.0g unfilled resist (14% filler)	9
15	12g 5.0g "Cab-O-Sil M5" plus 95.0g unfilled resist (5% filler)	0

Runs 12b to 12g of Example 12 exhibited satisfactory screening performance.

20

Example 13

A test formulation was prepared as follows using the method of Example 1:

25	"EPI REZ 5048" epoxy resin	400 g
	"DER 332" epoxy resin	300 g
	"DEN 431" epoxy resin (commercially available from Dow Chemical Co.)	200 g
30	Triarylsulfonium hexafluoroantimonate photoinitiator	25 g
	"DF-160L" defoamer	15 g
	Blue pigmentation	<u>10 g</u>
		950 g

Varying amounts of fumed aluminum oxide microparticles or untreated fumed silica were added to



portions of the above mixture in order to evaluate the amount required for good screening performance.

The test formulations were run through the deteriorated electroless plating bath used in Example 12.

5 Set out below in tabular form are the experimental results:

Run No.	Filler	Extraneous Metal
		<u>Rating</u>
10 13a	4.0g "ALOC" plus 96.0g unfilled resist (4% filler)	10
13b	6.0g "ALOC" plus 94.0g unfilled resist (6% filler)	9
13c	8.0g "ALOC" plus 92.0g unfilled resist (8% filler)	8-9
15 13d	10.0g "ALOC" plus 90.0g unfilled resist (10% filler)	9-10
13e	12.0g "ALOC" plus 88.0g unfilled resist (12% filler)	8-9
20 13f	14.0g "ALOC" plus 86.0g unfilled resist (14% filler)	8-9
13g	5.0g "Cab-O-Sil M5" plus 95.0g unfilled resist (5% filler)	6-7

25 Runs 13b to 13g of Example 13 exhibited satisfactory screening performance.

Example 14

An unfilled test formulation was prepared as follows using the method of Example 1:



-19-

	"EPI REZ 50727" epoxy resin	400 g
	"DER 332" epoxy resin	100 g
	"EPI REZ 5048" epoxy resin	400 g
	Triarylsulfonium hexafluoroantimonate	
5	photoinitiator	25 g
	"DF-160L" defoamer	15 g
	Blue pigmentation	<u>10 g</u>
		950 g

Varying amounts of fumed aluminum oxide
 10 microparticles or untreated fumed silica were added to
 portions of the above mixture in order to evaluate the
 amount required for good screening performance.

The test formulations were run through the
 deteriorated electroless plating bath used in Examples 12
 15 and 13.

Set out below in tabular form are the
 experimental results:

Run		Extraneous Metal Rating
20	<u>No.</u> <u>Filler</u>	
	14a 4.0g "ALOC" plus 96.0g unfilled resist (4% filler)	7-8
	14b 6.0g "ALOC" plus 94.0g unfilled resist (6% filler)	9-10
25	14c 8.0g "ALOC" plus 92.0g unfilled resist (8% filler)	9
	14d 10.0g "ALOC" plus 90.0g unfilled resist (10% filler)	7
	14e 12.0g "ALOC" plus 88.0g unfilled resist (12% filler)	8-9
30	14f 14.0g "ALOC" plus 86.0g unfilled resist (14% filler)	9-10
	14g 5.0g "Cab-O-Sil M5" plus 95.0g unfilled resist (5% filler)	0



Runs 14b to 14g of Example 14 exhibited satisfactory screening performance.

Example 15

5 A test formulation was prepared as follows using the method of Example 1:

	"DEN 431" epoxy resin	46.0 g
	"Multron R-16" saturated polyester resin (commercially available from Mobay Chemical Co.)	44.0 g
10	"DER 332" epoxy resin	11.5 g
	Triarylsulfonium hexafluoroantimonate photoinitiator	2.5 g
	"DF-160L" defoamer	1.5 g
	Blue pigmentation	1.0 g
15	"ALOC" fumed aluminum oxide microparticles	<u>5.0 g</u> 111.5 g

20 This formulation was run through an electroless copper plating bath as used in Examples 1 to 12. The plated PCB's scored a "9" on the extraneous metal rating scale.

Example 16

A test formulation was prepared as follows using the method of Example 1:



	"Derakane 470" vinyl ester resin (commercially available from Dow Chemical Co.)	92.0g
5	"Irgacure 651" 2,2-dimethoxy-2- phenylacetophenone photoinitiator (commercially available from Ciba-Geigy Corp)	3.0g
	"DF-160L" defoamer	1.0g
	Blue pigmentation	1.0g
10	"ALOC" fumed aluminum oxide microparticles	<u>4.0g</u> 101.0g

This formulation was run through an electroless
copper plating bath as used in Examples 1 to 12 and 15.
15 The plated PCB's scored a "9" on the extraneous metal
rating scale.

Example 17

A test formulation was prepared as follows using
the method of Example 1:

20	"DEN 431" epoxy resin	55.0g
	"Derakane 510A" bromonated vinyl resin (commercially available from Dow Chemical Co.)	37.0g
25	Triarylsulfonium hexafluoroantimonate photoinitiator	2.0g
	"Irgacure 651" photoinitiator	1.0g
	"DF-160L" defoamer	1.0g
	Blue pigmentation	1.0g
30	"ALOC" fumed aluminum oxide microparticles	<u>3.0g</u> 100.0g

This formulation was run through an electroless
copper plating bath as used in Examples 1 to 12, 15 and



16. The plated PCB's scored a "10" on the extraneous metal rating scale.

Example 18

5 A test formulation was prepared as follows using the method of Example 1:

	"DER 337" epoxy resin	68.0g
	"Derakane 470" vinyl ester resin	24.0g
	Triarylsulfonium hexafluoroantimonate photoinitiator	2.5g
10	"DF-160L" defoamer	1.5g
	Blue pigmentation	1.0g
	"ALOC" fumed aluminum oxide microparticles	3.0g
		<u>100.0g</u>

15 This formulation was run through an electroless copper plating bath as used in Examples 1 to 12 and 15 to 17. The plated PCB's scored a "10" on the extraneous metal rating scale.

Example 19

20 A test formulation was prepared as follows using the method of Example 1:

	"Melcril 4083" ethylene glycol acrylate phthalate monomer (commercially available from Daubert Chemical Co.)	137.0g
25	Trimethylolpropane triacrylate	30.0g
	"Irgacure 651" photoinitiator	6.0g
	"DF-160L" defoamer	2.0g
	Green pigmentation	3.0g
	"ALOC" fumed aluminum oxide microparticles	15.0g
		<u>193.0g</u>



The green pigmentation was prepared by dispersing 40 parts "Milor Blue" pigment and 60 parts "X-2825" cadmium sulfide yellow pigment (commercially available pigment from Hercules Chemical Co. having a color index designation of "77199" and a color index name of "Y-37") into 300 parts "Melcril 4083" monomer. The test formulation was then applied to several laminated copper PCB substrates using a 220 mesh polyester screen, laying down approximately 0.0025 cm (1.0 mil) of resist in the form of a circuitry pattern. The substrates were then cured using the method of Example 1.

Several of the substrate samples were then exposed to a conventional nickel electrolytic plating bath ("Lectro-Nic 10-03S" process, commercially available from Sel-Rex Products), for 30 minutes at a current density of 3.72 amps/sq. meter (40 amps/sq. ft) to produce a 0.0025 cm (1.0 mil) nickel coating. The plated PCB's scored a "10" on the extraneous metal rating scale.

Example 20

PCB substrates coated with the formulation of Example 19 were exposed to an 18.9 liter 60/40 tin/lead electrolytic plating bath prepared as follows:

	Stannous fluoroborate	52 g/l
	Lead fluoroborate	30 g/l
25	Fluoroboric acid (48%)	200 g/l
	Peptone	5 g/l

The samples were precleaned in 10% HCl solution for 10 seconds and then plated in the above bath for 30 minutes at a current density of 2.32 amps/sq. meter (25 amps/sq.ft). The plated PCB's scored a "10" on the extraneous metal rating scale.

Various modifications and adaptations of the above formulations will be obvious to those skilled in the art without departing from the scope and spirit of the present invention.



What is claimed is:

1. A hardenable resist composition comprising an organic binder and an effective amount of fumed aluminum oxide microparticles dispersed throughout said binder.
- 5 2. A composition according to Claim 1 wherein said aluminum oxide is about 1 to 30% by weight of the total weight of said composition.
3. A composition according to Claim 1 wherein said aluminum oxide is about 10 to 20% by weight of the
10 total weight of said composition.
4. A composition according to Claim 1 wherein said binder comprises epoxide.
5. A composition according to Claim 1 wherein said binder comprises acrylate prepolymer.
- 15 6. A composition according to Claim 1 wherein said binder comprises vinyl prepolymer.
7. A composition according to Claim 1 wherein said fumed aluminum oxide microparticles are made by the flame hydrolysis of anhydrous aluminum chloride and have a
20 primary particle size less than about 0.1 micrometer in diameter.
8. A photohardenable resist composition comprising polymerizable organic binder, a photoinitiator capable of initiating polymerization of said binder upon
25 exposure to actinic radiation, and an effective amount of fumed aluminum oxide microparticles dispersed throughout said binder.



9. A composition according to Claim 8 wherein said aluminum oxide is about 1 to 30% by weight of the total weight of said composition.

10. A composition according to Claim 8 wherein
5 said aluminum oxide is about 10 to 30% by weight of the total weight of said composition.

11. A composition according to Claim 8 wherein said binder comprises epoxide.

12. A composition according to Claim 8 wherein
10 said binder comprises acrylate monomer.

13. A composition according to Claim 8 wherein said binder comprises vinyl prepolymer.

14. A composition according to Claim 8 wherein
15 said binder comprises an epoxide, said photoinitiator comprises triarylsulfonium hexafluoroantimonate, and said aluminum oxide is about 10 to 20% by weight of the total weight of said composition.

15. A composition according to Claim 8 further
20 comprising an amount of fumed silica sufficient to impart to said composition a shear thinning index number greater than about 1.25.

16. A composition according to Claim 8 wherein
25 said fumed aluminum oxide microparticles are made by the flame hydrolysis of anhydrous aluminum chloride and have a primary particle size less than about 0.1 micrometer in diameter.

17. A photohardenable resist composition comprising epoxide, triarylsulfonium hexafluoroantimonate, and about 10 to 20% by weight of fumed aluminum oxide



microparticles made by the flame hydrolysis of anhydrous aluminum chloride.

18. A dry film negative photoresist composition comprising (1) carrier film and (2) a photosensitive layer carried on said film that is adherable to a printed circuit board substrate, reacts when exposed to an image-wise pattern of light to provide imagewise differential removability from said substrate, and comprises (a) photopolymerizable organic binder, (b) a catalytic amount of photoinitiator for initiating imagewise reaction of said binder upon imagewise exposure of said photosensitive layer to light, and (c) an effective amount of fumed aluminum oxide microparticles dispersed throughout said binder.

19. A composition according to Claim 18 wherein said aluminum oxide is about 1 to 30% by weight of the total weight of said composition.

20. A composition according to Claim 19 wherein said fumed aluminum oxide microparticles are made by the flame hydrolysis of anhydrous aluminum chloride and have a primary particle size less than about 0.1 micrometer in diameter.

21. A dry film positive photoresist composition comprising (1) carrier film and (2) a photosensitive layer carried on said film that is adherable to a printed circuit board substrate, reacts when exposed to an image-wise pattern of light to provide imagewise differential removability from said substrate, and comprises (a) photosolubilizable organic binder, (b) a catalytic amount of photoinitiator for initiating imagewise reaction of said binder upon imagewise exposure of said photosensitive layer to light, and (c) an effective amount of fumed



aluminum oxide microparticles dispersed throughout said binder.

22. A composition according to Claim 17 wherein said aluminum oxide is about 1 to 30% by weight of the
5 total weight of said composition.

23. A composition according to Claim 22 wherein said fumed aluminum oxide microparticles are made by the flame hydrolysis of anhydrous aluminum chloride and have a
10 primary particle size less than about 0.1 micrometer in diameter.



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/US 80/00876

I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER (if several classification symbols apply, indicate all) *		
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC		
INT. CL. G03C 1/68, G03C5/00		
U.S. CL. 430/148, 270, 313, 314, 315, 324, 908; 106/1.12		
II. FIELDS SEARCHED		
Minimum Documentation Searched *		
Classification System	Classification Symbols	
U.S.	430/198, 270, 313-315, 324, 348, 405, 908, 909, 910, 964 427/92, 96-98, 190, 192, 203, 205, 259, 282 106/1.12; 260/37R, 37M 37ED	
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched *		
III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT **		
Category *	Citation of Document, ** with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages **	Relevant to Claim No. **
X	AU, B, 201,301, Published 21 APRIL 1955 GREIG ET AL.	1-23
X	Degussa Technical Bulletin No. 56, "Aluminum Oxide C, Titanium Dioxide p25 - Two Highly Dispersed Metal Oxides From Degussa Produced by the Aerosil Process"	1-23
X	US, A, 3,958,996, Published 25 MAY 1976, INSKIP	1-23
X	US, A, 3,600,330, Published 17 AUGUST 1971, SCHNEBLE ET AL.	1-23
A	US, A, 3,443,944, Published 13 MAY 1969, WISE	1-23
A	US, A, 3,745,002, Published 10 JULY 1973, HONJO ET AL.	1-23
A	US, A, 4,076,888, Published 28 FEBRUARY 1978, PERUGINI ET AL.	1-23
<p>* Special categories of cited documents: **</p> <p>"A" document defining the general state of the art</p> <p>"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date</p> <p>"L" document cited for special reason other than those referred to in the other categories</p> <p>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</p> <p>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but on or after the priority date claimed</p> <p>"T" later document published on or after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application, but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</p> <p>"X" document of particular relevance</p>		
IV. CERTIFICATION		
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search *	Date of Mailing of this International Search Report *	
07 OCTOBER 1980	24 OCT 1980	
International Searching Authority *	Signature of Authorized Officer **	
ISA/US	EDWARD C. KIMLIN	