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ANTIFUNGAL POLYPEPTIDES

FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT

This invention was made with government support under contract number DE-AC02-05CH11231 awarded by the United States Department of Energy. The government has certain rights in the invention.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to polypeptides having antipathogenic activity and the nucleic acid sequences that encode them. Methods of the invention utilize these antipathogenic polypeptides and nucleic acid sequences to control plant pathogens and to increase pathogen resistance in plants.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Plant diseases are often a serious limitation on agricultural productivity and therefore have influenced the history and development of agricultural practices. A variety of pathogens are responsible for plant diseases, including fungi, bacteria, viruses, and nematodes. Among the causal agents of infectious diseases of crop plants, however, fungi are the most economically important group of plant pathogens and are responsible for huge annual losses of marketable food, fiber, and feed.

Incidence of plant diseases has traditionally been controlled by agronomic practices that include crop rotation, the use of agrochemicals, and conventional breeding techniques. The use of chemicals to control plant pathogens, however, increases costs to farmers and causes harmful effects on the ecosystem. Consumers and government regulators alike are becoming increasingly concerned with the environmental hazards associated with the production and use of synthetic agrochemicals for protecting plants from pathogens. Because of such concerns, regulators have banned or limited the use of some of the most hazardous chemicals. The incidence of fungal diseases has been controlled to some extent by breeding resistant crops. Traditional breeding methods, however, are time-consuming and require continuous effort to maintain disease resistance as pathogens evolve. See, for example, Grover and Gowthaman (2003) Curr. Sci. 84:330-340. Thus, there is a significant need for novel alternatives for the control of plant pathogens that possess a lower risk of pollution and environmental hazards than is characteristic of traditional agrochemical-based methods and that are less cumbersome than conventional breeding techniques.
Many plant diseases, including, but not limited to, maize stalk rot and ear mold, can be caused by a variety of pathogens. Stalk rot, for example, is one of the most destructive and widespread diseases of maize. The disease is caused by a complex of fungi and bacteria that attack and degrade stalks near plant maturity. Significant yield loss can occur as a result of lodging of weakened stalks as well as premature plant death. Maize stalk rot is typically caused by more than one fungal species, but Gibberella stalk rot, caused by *Gibberella zeae*, Fusarium stalk rot, caused by *Fusarium verticillioides*, *F. proliferatum*, or *F. subglutinans*, and Anthracnose stalk rot, caused by *Colletotrichum graminicola* are the most frequently reported (Smith and White (1988); Diseases of corn, pp. 701-766 in Corn and Corn Improvement, Agronomy Series #18 (3rd ed.), Sprague, C.F., and Dudley, J.W., eds. Madison, WI). Due to the fact that plant diseases can be caused by a complex of pathogens, broad spectrum resistance is required to effectivelymediate disease control. Thus, a significant need exists for antifungal compositions that target multiple stalk rot and ear mold-causing pathogens.

Recently, agricultural scientists have developed crop plants with enhanced pathogen resistance by genetically engineering plants to express antipathogenic proteins. For example, potatoes and tobacco plants genetically engineered to produce an antifungal endochitinase protein were shown to exhibit increased resistance to foliar and soil-borne fungal pathogens. See Lorio et al. (1998) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 95:7860-7865. Moreover, transgenic barley that is resistant to the stem rust fungus has also been developed. See Horvath et al. (2003) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 100:364-369. A continuing effort to identify antipathogenic agents and to genetically engineer disease-resistant plants is underway.

Thus, in light of the significant impact of plant pathogens, particularly fungal pathogens, on the yield and quality of crops, new compositions and methods for protecting plants from pathogens are needed. Methods and compositions for controlling multiple fungal pathogens are of particular interest.

**BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

Compositions and methods for protecting a plant from a pathogen are provided. The compositions include nucleotide and amino acid sequences for antipathogenic, particularly antifungal, polypeptides. The polypeptides of the invention display antipathogenic activity against plant fungal pathogens. More particularly, the compositions of the invention comprise the antipathogenic polypeptides set forth in SEQ ID NOs: 1 and 3, and variants and fragments thereof. Nucleic acid molecules comprising nucleotide sequences that encode the antipathogenic polypeptides of the invention are further provided. Compositions also include expression cassettes comprising a promoter operably linked to a nucleotide sequence that
encodes an antipathogenic polypeptide of the invention. Transformed plants, plant cells, seeds, and microorganisms comprising an expression cassette of the invention are further provided.

The compositions of the invention are useful in methods directed to inducing pathogen resistance, particularly fungal resistance, in plants. In particular embodiments, the methods comprise introducing into a plant at least one expression cassette comprising a promoter operably linked to a nucleotide sequence that encodes an antipathogenic polypeptide of the invention. As a result, the antipathogenic polypeptide is expressed in the plant, and the pathogen is exposed to the protein at the site of pathogen attack, thereby leading to increased pathogen resistance. A tissue-preferred promoter may be used to drive expression of an antipathogenic protein in specific plant tissues that are particularly vulnerable to pathogen attack, such as, for example, the roots, leaves, stalks, vascular tissues, and seeds. Pathogen-inducible promoters may also be used to drive expression of an antipathogenic protein of the invention at or near the site of pathogen infection.

The present invention further provides antipathogenic compositions and formulations and methods for their use in protecting a plant from a pathogen, particularly a fungal pathogen. In some embodiments, compositions comprise an antipathogenic polypeptide or a transformed microorganism comprising a nucleotide sequence encoding an antipathogenic polypeptide of the invention in combination with a carrier. Methods of using these compositions to protect a plant from a pathogen comprise applying the antipathogenic composition to the environment of the plant pathogen by, for example, spraying, dusting, broadcasting, or seed coating. The methods and compositions of the invention find use in protecting plants from pathogens, including fungal pathogens, viruses, nematodes, and the like.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 shows a sequence alignment of the amino acid sequences of the LB-09812 (SEQ ID NO:1) and LB-12922 (SEQ ID NO:3) polypeptides with the putative homologues set forth in SEQ ID NOs:5, 7, 9, 10, and 12. Detailed descriptions of these putative homologues are provided herein below.

Figure 2 shows photographic examples of the level of inhibition associated with each numerical score in the antifungal plate assay described in Example 2.

Figure 3 provides the results of antifungal activity assays performed with the polypeptide set forth in SEQ ID NO:1, as described in Example 3. Antifungal activity against Colletotrichum graminicola, Diplodia maydis, Fusarium graminearum, and Fusarium verticillioides was observed with both polypeptides.
DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides compositions and methods directed to inducing pathogen resistance, particularly fungal resistance, in plants. The compositions are nucleotide and amino acid sequences for antipathogenic polypeptides. Specifically, the present invention provides antipathogenic polypeptides having the amino acid sequences set forth in SEQ ID NOs:1 and 3, and variants and fragments thereof, that were isolated from fungal fermentation broth extracts of *Penicillium glandicola* and *Penicillium citreonigrum* and designated LB-09812 and LB-12922, respectively. The LB-09812 fungal strain was isolated from forest soil with rotten wood of *Populus tremula* L. in Kiev, Ukraine. The LB-12922 fungal strain was isolated from cultivated soil in the Ternapol region of the Ukraine. The amino acid sequences set forth in SEQ ID NOs:1 and 3 represent the mature peptide forms of the corresponding unprocessed, full-length polypeptides, as defined herein below. An antifungal polypeptide having the same N-terminal amino acid sequence as SEQ ID NO:1 was also purified from a *Penicillium glandicola* fungal fermentation broth that was isolated from forest soil with rotten wood of *Tilia cordata* L. in Kiev. Isolated nucleic acid molecules, such as, for example, SEQ ID NOs:2 and 4, and variants and fragments thereof, comprising nucleotide sequences that encode the amino acid sequences shown in SEQ ID NO:1 and 3, respectively are further provided.

Nucleotide sequences that are optimized for expression in plants, particularly maize, and that encode the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:1 or SEQ ID NO:3 can be generated using standard methods known in the art. Such plant-optimized nucleotide sequences are further encompassed by the present invention. Plants, plant cells, seeds, and microorganisms comprising a nucleotide sequence that encodes an antipathogenic polypeptide of the invention are also disclosed herein. Antipathogenic compositions comprising an isolated antipathogenic, particularly an antifungal, polypeptide or a microorganism that expresses a polypeptide of the invention in combination with a carrier are further provided. The compositions of the invention find use in generating pathogen-resistant plants and in protecting plants from pathogens, particularly fungal pathogens.

The polypeptides disclosed herein as SEQ ID NOs:1 and 3 display antifungal activity against fungal plant pathogens, such as, for example, *Colletotrichum graminicola*, *Diplodia maydis*, *Fusarium graminearum*, and *Fusarium verticillioides*. The species of origin of the antifungal polypeptides of SEQ ID NOs:1 and 3 have been determined to be fungal. In particular, the fungal source of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:1 is *Penicillium glandicola*. The fungal source of the polypeptide set forth in SEQ ID NO:3 is *Penicillium citreonigrum*.

Putative homologues with sequence similarity to the antifungal polypeptides of SEQ ID NO:1 and SEQ ID NO:3 have been identified from other fungal sources. Database searches revealed that SEQ ID NOs:1 and 3 share sequence similarity with the predicted
translation products of a nucleotide sequence isolated from an *Aspergillus flavus* normalized cDNA expression library (amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:5; nucleotide sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:6 (Accession No. CO133987)) and a nucleotide sequence isolated from an *Aspergillus niger* cDNA library (amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:7; nucleotide sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:8 (Accession No. DR698208 (complementary strand of DR698208 cDNA); and amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:9)). The antifungal polypeptides of SEQ ID NOs:1 and 3 also share homology with a hypothetical protein of unknown function isolated from *Aspergillus fumigatus* (amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:10 (derived from Accession No. EAL92121 (corrected)); nucleotide sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:11 (Accession No. AAHF01000002). A genomic DNA encoding an LB-09812/LB-12922 homologue from *Fusarium graminearum* was also isolated. The predicted translation product of the genomic sequence isolated from *Fusarium graminearum* is also disclosed herein (amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:12; nucleotide sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:13 (Accession No. AACM01000196.1)). None of the putative homologues of SEQ ID NOs:1 and 3 described above are reported in the literature to possess antifungal activity. An alignment of the polypeptides of the invention and these putative homologues is provided in Figures 1. The amino acid sequences set forth in SEQ ID NOs:1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 10, and 12 and the nucleotide sequences set forth in SEQ ID NOs:2, 4, 6, 8, 11, and 13 can be used in the antipathogenic compositions and methods of the invention.

The nucleic acids and polypeptides of the present invention find use in methods for inducing pathogen resistance in a plant. Accordingly, the compositions and methods disclosed herein are useful in protecting plants against fungal pathogens, viruses, nematodes and the like. “Pathogen resistance” or “disease resistance” is intended to mean that the plant avoids the disease symptoms that are the outcome of plant-pathogen interactions. That is, pathogens are prevented from causing plant diseases and the associated disease symptoms, or alternatively, the disease symptoms caused by the pathogen are minimized or lessened, such as, for example, the reduction of stress and associated yield loss. One of skill in the art will appreciate that the compositions and methods disclosed herein can be used with other compositions and methods available in the art for protecting plants from insect and pathogen attack.

“Antipathogenic compositions” or “antipathogenic polypeptides” is intended to mean that the compositions of the invention have antipathogenic activity and thus are capable of suppressing, controlling, and/or killing the invading pathogenic organism. An antipathogenic polypeptide of the invention will reduce the disease symptoms resulting from pathogen challenge by at least about 5% to about 50%, at least about 10% to about 60%, at least about 30% to about 70%, at least about 40% to about 80%, or at least about 50% to about 90% or
greater. Hence, the methods of the invention can be utilized to protect plants from disease, particularly those diseases that are caused by plant pathogens. In particular embodiments, the antipathogenic activity exhibited by the polypeptides of the invention is antifungal activity. As used herein, "antifungal activity" refers to the ability to suppress, control, and/or kill the invading fungal pathogen. Likewise, "fungal resistance" refers to enhanced tolerance to a fungal pathogen when compared to that of an untreated or wild type plant. Resistance may vary from a slight increase in tolerance to the effects of the fungal pathogen (e.g., partial inhibition) to total resistance such that the plant is unaffected by the presence of the fungal pathogen. An increased level of resistance against a particular fungal pathogen or against a wider spectrum of fungal pathogens may both constitute antifungal activity or improved fungal resistance.

Assays that measure antipathogenic activity are commonly known in the art, as are methods to quantitate disease resistance in plants following pathogen infection. See, for example, U.S. Patent No. 5,614,395, herein incorporated by reference. Such techniques include, measuring over time, the average lesion diameter, the pathogen biomass, and the overall percentage of decayed plant tissues. For example, a plant either expressing an antipathogenic polypeptide or having an antipathogenic composition applied to its surface shows a decrease in tissue necrosis (i.e., lesion diameter) or a decrease in plant death following pathogen challenge when compared to a control plant that was not exposed to the antipathogenic composition. Alternatively, antipathogenic activity can be measured by a decrease in pathogen biomass. For example, a plant expressing an antipathogenic polypeptide or exposed to an antipathogenic composition is challenged with a pathogen of interest. Over time, tissue samples from the pathogen-inoculated tissues are obtained and RNA is extracted. The percent of a specific pathogen RNA transcript relative to the level of a plant specific transcript allows the level of pathogen biomass to be determined. See, for example, Thomma et al. (1998) Plant Biology 95:15107-15111, herein incorporated by reference.

Furthermore, in vitro antipathogenic assays include, for example, the addition of varying concentrations of the antipathogenic composition to paper disks and placing the disks on agar containing a suspension of the pathogen of interest. Following incubation, clear inhibition zones develop around the discs that contain an effective concentration of the antipathogenic polypeptide (Liu et al. (1994) Plant Biology 91:1888-1892, herein incorporated by reference). Additionally, microspectrophotometrical analysis can be used to measure the in vitro antipathogenic properties of a composition (Hu et al. (1997) Plant Mol. Biol. 34:949-959 and Cammue et al. (1992) J. Biol. Chem. 267: 2223-2233, both of which are herein incorporated by reference). Assays that specifically measure antifungal activity are also well known in the art. See, for example, Duvick et al. (1992) J. Biol. Chem. 267:18814-18820; Lacadena et al. (1995) Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 324:273-281; Xu et al. (1997) Plant
The compositions disclosed herein comprise isolated nucleic acids that encode antipathogenic polypeptides, expression cassettes comprising the nucleotide sequences of the invention, and isolated antipathogenic polypeptides. Antipathogenic compositions comprising a polypeptide of the invention in combination with a carrier are also provided. The invention further discloses plants and microorganisms transformed with nucleic acids that encode antipathogenic proteins. The compositions find use in methods for inducing pathogen resistance in a plant and for protecting a plant from a pathogen, particularly fungal pathogens.

In particular aspects, methods for inducing pathogen resistance in a plant comprise introducing into a plant at least one expression cassette, wherein the expression cassette comprises a nucleotide sequence encoding an antipathogenic polypeptide of the invention operably linked to a promoter that drives expression in the plant. The plant expresses the antipathogenic polypeptide, thereby exposing the pathogen to the polypeptide at the site of pathogen attack. In particular embodiments, the polypeptides have antifungal activity, and the pathogen is a fungus, such as, for example, *Colletotrichum graminicola*, *Dipodina maydis*, *Fusarium graminearum*, or *Fusarium verticillioides*. Expression of an antipathogenic polypeptide of the invention may be targeted to specific plant tissues where pathogen resistance is particularly important, such as, for example, the leaves, roots, stalks, or vascular tissues. Such tissue-preferred expression may be accomplished by root-preferred, leaf-preferred, vascular tissue-preferred, stalk-preferred, or seed-preferred promoters. Moreover, the polypeptides of the invention may also be targeted to specific subcellular locations within a plant cell or, alternatively, secreted from the cell, as described herein below.

Just as expression of an antipathogenic polypeptide of the invention may be targeted to specific plant tissues or cell types through the use of appropriate promoters, it may also be targeted to different locations within the cell through the use of targeting information or "targeting labels." Unlike the promoter, which acts at the transcriptional level, such targeting information is part of the initial translation product. Depending on the mode of infection of the pathogen or the metabolic function of the tissue or cell type, the location of the protein in different compartments of the cell may make it more efficacious against a given pathogen or make it interfere less with the functions of the cell. For example, one may produce a protein preceded by a signal peptide, which directs the translation product into the endoplasmic reticulum, by including in the construct (i.e. expression cassette) sequences encoding a signal peptide (such sequences may also be called the "signal sequence"). The signal sequence used could be, for example, one associated with the gene encoding the polypeptide, or it may be
taken from another gene. There are many signal peptides described in the literature, and they are largely interchangeable (Raikhel and Chrispeels, “Protein sorting and vesicle traffic” in Buchanan et al., eds, (2000) Biochemistry and Molecular Biology of Plants (American Society of Plant Physiologists, Rockville, MD), herein incorporated by reference). The addition of a signal peptide will result in the translation product entering the endoplasmic reticulum (in the process of which the signal peptide itself is removed from the polypeptide), but the final intracellular location of the protein depends on other factors, which may be manipulated to result in localization most appropriate for the pathogen and cell type. The default pathway, that is, the pathway taken by the polypeptide if no other targeting labels are included, results in secretion of the polypeptide across the cell membrane (Raikhel and Chrispeels, supra) into the apoplast. The apoplast is the region outside the plasma membrane system and includes cell walls, intercellular spaces, and the xylem vessels that form a continuous, permeable system through which water and solutes may move. This will often be a suitable location. In particular embodiments, a nucleotide sequence encoding a barley alpha-amylase (BAA) signal peptide is joined in frame with a polynucleotide of the invention. The nucleotide sequence encoding the BAA signal peptide and the amino acid sequence for the BAA signal peptide are set forth in SEQ ID NO:14 and SEQ ID NO:15, respectively. An exemplary nucleotide sequence encoding the BAA signal peptide joined with a nucleotide sequence encoding SEQ ID NO:1 and the amino acid sequence for BAA-SEQ ID NO:1 are provided in SEQ ID NO:16 and SEQ ID NO:17, respectively. An exemplary nucleotide sequence encoding the BAA signal peptide joined with a nucleotide sequence encoding SEQ ID NO:3 and the amino acid sequence for BAA-SEQ ID NO:3 are further provided in SEQ ID NO:18 and SEQ ID NO:19, respectively.

Other pathogens may be more effectively combated by locating the peptide within the cell rather than outside the cell membrane. This can be accomplished, for example, by adding an endoplasmic reticulum retention signal encoding sequence to the sequence of the gene. Methods and sequences for doing this are described in Raikhel and Chrispeels, supra; for example, adding sequences encoding the amino acids K, D, E and L in that order, or variations thereof described in the literature, to the end of the protein coding portion of the polypeptide will accomplish this. ER retention sequences are well known in the art and include, for example, KDEL (SEQ ID NO:20), SEKDEL (SEQ ID NO:21), HDEL (SEQ ID NO:22), and HDEF (SEQ ID NO:23). See, for example, Denecke et al. (1992). EMBO J. 11:2345-2355; Wandelt et al. (1992) Plant J. 2:181-192; Denecke et al. (1993) J. Exp. Bot. 44:213-221; Vitale et al. (1993) J. Exp. Bot. 44:1417-1444; Gornord et al. (1996) Plant Physiol. Biochem. 34:165-181; Lehmann et al. (2001) Plant Physiol. 127 (2): 436-449.


The compositions of the invention find further use in methods directed to protecting a plant from a pathogen. “Protecting a plant from a pathogen” is intended to mean killing the pathogen or preventing or limiting disease formation on a plant. In some embodiments, an antipathogenic composition comprising an antipathogenic polypeptide and a carrier is applied directly to the environment of a plant pathogen, such as, for example, on a plant or in the soil or other growth medium surrounding the roots of the plant, in order to protect the plant from
pathogen attack. Transformed microorganisms comprising a nucleotide sequence encoding an antipathogenic protein of the invention and methods of using them to protect a plant from a pathogen are further provided. In some embodiments, the transformed microorganism is applied directly to a plant or to the soil in which a plant grows.

As used herein, "nucleic acid" includes reference to a deoxyribonucleotide or ribonucleotide polymer in either single- or double-stranded form, and unless otherwise limited, encompasses known analogues (e.g., peptide nucleic acids) having the essential nature of natural nucleotides in that they hybridize to single-stranded nucleic acids in a manner similar to naturally occurring nucleotides.

The terms "polypeptide," "peptide," and "protein" are used interchangeably herein to refer to a polymer of amino acid residues. The terms apply to amino acid polymers in which one or more amino acid residues is an artificial chemical analogue of a corresponding naturally occurring amino acid, as well as to naturally occurring amino acid polymers. Polypeptides of the invention can be produced either from a nucleic acid disclosed herein, or by the use of standard molecular biology techniques. For example, a truncated protein of the invention can be produced by expression of a recombinant nucleic acid of the invention in an appropriate host cell, or alternatively by a combination of ex vivo procedures, such as protease digestion and purification.

As used herein, the terms "encoding" or "encoded" when used in the context of a specified nucleic acid mean that the nucleic acid comprises the requisite information to direct translation of the nucleotide sequence into a specified protein. The information by which a protein is encoded is specified by the use of codons. A nucleic acid encoding a protein may comprise non-translated sequences (e.g., introns) within translated regions of the nucleic acid or may lack such intervening non-translated sequences (e.g., as in cDNA).

The invention encompasses isolated or substantially purified polynucleotide or protein compositions. An "isolated" or "purified" polynucleotide or protein, or biologically active portion thereof, is substantially or essentially free from components that normally accompany or interact with the polynucleotide or protein as found in its naturally occurring environment. Thus, an isolated or purified polynucleotide or protein is substantially free of other cellular material, or culture medium when produced by recombinant techniques, or substantially free of chemical precursors or other chemicals when chemically synthesized. Optimally, an "isolated" polynucleotide is free of sequences (optimally protein encoding sequences) that naturally flank the polynucleotide (i.e., sequences located at the 5' and 3' ends of the polynucleotide) in the genomic DNA of the organism from which the polynucleotide is derived. For example, in various embodiments, the isolated polynucleotide can contain less than about 5 kb, 4 kb, 3 kb, 2 kb, 1 kb, 0.5 kb, or 0.1 kb of nucleotide sequence that naturally flank the polynucleotide in genomic DNA of the cell from which the polynucleotide is
derived. A protein that is substantially free of cellular material includes preparations of protein having less than about 30%, 20%, 10%, 5%, or 1% (by dry weight) of contaminating protein. When the protein of the invention or biologically active portion thereof is recombinantly produced, optimally culture medium represents less than about 30%, 20%, 10%, 5%, or 1% (by dry weight) of chemical precursors or non-protein-of-interest chemicals.

Fragments and variants of the disclosed nucleotide sequences and proteins encoded thereby are also encompassed by the present invention. "Fragment" is intended to mean a portion of the nucleotide sequence or a portion of the amino acid sequence and hence protein encoded thereby. Fragments of a nucleotide sequence may encode protein fragments that retain the biological activity of the native protein and hence have antipathogenic activity, more particularly antifungal activity. Alternatively, fragments of a nucleotide sequence that are useful as hybridization probes generally do not encode fragment proteins retaining biological activity. Thus, fragments of a nucleotide sequence may range from at least about 20 nucleotides, about 50 nucleotides, about 100 nucleotides, and up to the full-length nucleotide sequence encoding the polypeptides of the invention.

A fragment of a nucleotide sequence that encodes a biologically active portion of an antifungal polypeptide of the invention will encode at least 15, 25, 30, 40, or 50 contiguous amino acids, or up to the total number of amino acids present in a full-length antifungal polypeptide of the invention (for example, 33 amino acids for SEQ ID NO:1). Fragments of a nucleotide sequence that are useful as hybridization probes or PCR primers generally need not encode a biologically active portion of an antipathogenic protein.

As used herein, "full-length sequence" in reference to a specified polynucleotide means having the entire nucleic acid sequence of a native sequence. "Native sequence" is intended to mean an endogenous sequence, i.e., a non-engineered sequence found in an organism’s genome.

Thus, a fragment of a nucleotide sequence of the invention may encode a biologically active portion of an antipathogenic polypeptide, or it may be a fragment that can be used as a hybridization probe or PCR primer using methods disclosed below. A biologically active portion of an antipathogenic polypeptide can be prepared by isolating a portion of one of the nucleotide sequences of the invention, expressing the encoded portion of the antipathogenic protein (e.g., by recombinant expression in vitro), and assessing the activity of the encoded portion of the antifungal protein. Nucleic acid molecules that are fragments of a nucleotide sequence of the invention comprise at least 15, 20, 50, 75, 100, or 150 contiguous nucleotides, or up to the number of nucleotides present in a full-length nucleotide sequence disclosed herein.
“Variants” is intended to mean substantially similar sequences. For polynucleotides, a variant comprises a deletion and/or addition of one or more nucleotides at one or more internal sites within the native polynucleotide and/or a substitution of one or more nucleotides at one or more sites in the native polynucleotide. As used herein, a “native” polynucleotide or polypeptide comprises a naturally occurring nucleotide sequence or amino acid sequence, respectively. One of skill in the art will recognize that variants of the nucleic acids of the invention will be constructed such that the open reading frame is maintained. For polynucleotides, conservative variants include those sequences that, because of the degeneracy of the genetic code, encode the amino acid sequence of one of the antipathogenic polypeptides of the invention. Naturally occurring allelic variants such as these can be identified with the use of well-known molecular biology techniques, as, for example, with polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and hybridization techniques as outlined below. Variant polynucleotides also include synthetically derived polynucleotide, such as those generated, for example, by using site-directed mutagenesis but which still encode an antipathogenic protein of the invention. Generally, variants of a particular polynucleotide of the invention will have at least about 40%, 45%, 50%, 55%, 60%, 65%, 70%, 75%, 80%, 85%, 90%, 91%, 92%, 93%, 94%, 95%, 96%, 97%, 98%, 99% or more sequence identity to that particular polynucleotide as determined by sequence alignment programs and parameters described elsewhere herein.

Variants of a particular polynucleotide of the invention (i.e., the reference polynucleotide) can also be evaluated by comparison of the percent sequence identity between the polypeptide encoded by a variant polynucleotide and the polypeptide encoded by the reference polynucleotide. Thus, for example, an isolated polynucleotide that encodes a polypeptide with a given percent sequence identity to the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:1 or SEQ ID NO:3 is disclosed. Percent sequence identity between any two polypeptides can be calculated using sequence alignment programs and parameters described elsewhere herein. Where any given pair of polynucleotides of the invention is evaluated by comparison of the percent sequence identity shared by the two polypeptides they encode, the percent sequence identity between the two encoded polypeptides is at least about 40%, 45%, 50%, 55%, 60%, 65%, 70%, 75%, 80%, 85%, 90%, 91%, 92%, 93%, 94%, 95%, 96%, 97%, 98%, 99% or more sequence identity.

“Variant” protein is intended to mean a protein derived from the native protein by deletion or addition of one or more amino acids at one or more internal sites in the native protein and/or substitution of one or more amino acids at one or more sites in the native protein. Variant proteins encompassed by the present invention are biologically active, that is, they continue to possess the desired biological activity of the native protein, that is, antipathogenic, particularly antifungal, activity as described herein. Such variants may result
from, for example, genetic polymorphism or from human manipulation. Biologically active variants of a native antipathogenic protein of the invention will have at least about 40%, 45%, 50%, 55%, 60%, 65%, 70%, 75%, 80%, 85%, 90%, 91%, 92%, 93%, 94%, 95%, 96%, 97%, 98%, 99% or more sequence identity to the amino acid sequence for the native protein as determined by sequence alignment programs and parameters described elsewhere herein. A biologically active variant of a protein of the invention may differ from that protein by as few as 1-15 amino acid residues, as few as 1-10, such as 6-10, as few as 5, as few as 4, 3, 2, or even 1 amino acid residue.

The proteins of the invention may be altered in various ways including amino acid substitutions, deletions, truncations, and insertions. Methods for such manipulations are generally known in the art. For example, amino acid sequence variants and fragments of the antipathogenic proteins can be prepared by mutations in the DNA. Methods for mutagenesis and polynucleotide alterations are well known in the art. See, for example, Kunkel (1985) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:488-492; Kunkel et al. (1987) Methods in Enzymol. 154:367-382; U.S. Patent No. 4,873,192; Walker and Gaasstra, eds. (1983) Techniques in Molecular Biology (MacMillan Publishing Company, New York) and the references cited therein. Guidance as to appropriate amino acid substitutions that do not affect biological activity of the protein of interest may be found in the model of Dayhoff et al. (1978) Atlas of Protein Sequence and Structure (Natl. Biomed. Res. Found., Washington, D.C.), herein incorporated by reference. Conservative substitutions, such as exchanging one amino acid with another having similar properties, may be optimal.

Thus, the genes and polynucleotides of the invention include both the naturally occurring sequences as well as mutant forms. Likewise, the proteins of the invention encompass naturally occurring proteins as well as variations and modified forms thereof. Such variants will continue to possess the desired antipathogenic, particularly antifungal, activity. Obviously, the mutations that will be made in the DNA encoding the variant must not place the sequence out of reading frame and optimally will not create complementary regions that could produce secondary mRNA structure. See, EP Patent No. 0075444.

In nature, some polypeptides are produced as complex precursors which, in addition to targeting labels such as the signal peptides discussed elsewhere in this application, also contain other fragments of peptides which are removed (processed) at some point during protein maturation, resulting in a mature form of the polypeptide that is different from the primary translation product (aside from the removal of the signal peptide). “Mature protein” refers to a post-translationally processed polypeptide; i.e., one from which any pre- or propeptides present in the primary translation product have been removed. “Precursor protein” or “prepropeptide” or “preproprotein” all refer to the primary product of translation of mRNA; i.e., with pre- and propeptide still present. Pre- and propeptides may include, but
are not limited to, intracellular localization signals. “Pre” in this nomenclature generally refers to the signal peptide. The form of the translation product with only the signal peptide removed but no further processing yet is called a “propeptide” or “proprotein.” The fragments or segments to be removed may themselves also be referred to as “propeptides.” A proprotein or propeptide thus has had the signal peptide removed, but contains propeptides (here referring to propeptide segments) and the portions that will make up the mature protein. The skilled artisan is able to determine, depending on the species in which the proteins are being expressed and the desired intracellular location, if higher expression levels might be obtained by using a gene construct encoding just the mature form of the protein, the mature form with a signal peptide, or the proprotein (i.e., a form including propeptides) with a signal peptide. For optimal expression in plants or fungi, the pre- and propeptide sequences may be needed. The propeptide segments may play a role in aiding correct peptide folding.

The genomic sequence that encodes full-length LB-09812 polypeptide is provided in SEQ ID NO:24. The full-length LB-09812 polypeptide is set forth is SEQ ID NO:25. A genomic sequence that encodes full-length LB-12922 polypeptide is provided in SEQ ID NO:26. The predicted full-length LB-12922 polypeptide sequence is set forth in SEQ ID NO:27. Experimental details regarding isolation of the LB-09812 and LB-12922 genes are provided in Example 4 below.

The deletions, insertions, and substitutions of the protein sequences encompassed herein are not expected to produce radical changes in the characteristics of the protein. However, when it is difficult to predict the exact effect of the substitution, deletion, or insertion in advance of doing so, one skilled in the art will appreciate that the effect will be evaluated by routine screening assays. That is, the activity can be evaluated by assays that measure antipathogenic activity such as antifungal plate assays. See, for example, Duvick et al. (1992) J. Biol. Chem. 267:18841-18820, herein incorporated by reference.

Variant polynucleotides and proteins also encompass sequences and proteins derived from a mutagenic and recombinogenic procedure such as DNA shuffling. With such a procedure, one or more different antipathogenic protein coding sequences can be manipulated to create a new antipathogenic protein possessing the desired properties. In this manner, libraries of recombinant polynucleotides are generated from a population of related sequence polynucleotides comprising sequence regions that have substantial sequence identity and can be homologously recombined in vitro or in vivo. For example, using this approach, sequence motifs encoding a domain of interest may be shuffled between the antipathogenic protein gene of the invention and other known antipathogenic protein genes to obtain a new gene coding for a protein with an improved property of interest, such as increased antifungal activity. Strategies for such DNA shuffling are known in the art. See, for example, Stemmer (1994) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 91:10747-10751; Stemmer (1994) Nature 370:389-391;

The polynucleotides of the invention can be used to isolate corresponding sequences from other organisms, particularly other microorganisms, more particularly other fungi. In this manner, methods such as PCR, hybridization, and the like can be used to identify such sequences based on their sequence homology to the sequences set forth herein. Sequences isolated based on their sequence identity to the entire sequences set forth herein or to variants and fragments thereof are encompassed by the present invention. Such sequences include sequences that are orthologs of the disclosed sequences. “Orthologs” is intended to mean genes derived from a common ancestral gene and which are found in different species as a result of speciation. Genes found in different species are considered orthologs when their nucleotide sequences and/or their encoded protein sequences share at least 60%, 70%, 75%, 80%, 85%, 90%, 91%, 92%, 93%, 94%, 95%, 96%, 97%, 98%, 99%, or greater sequence identity. Functions of orthologs are often highly conserved among species. Thus, isolated polynucleotides that encode for an antipathogenic, particularly antifungal, protein and which hybridize under stringent conditions to the sequences disclosed herein, or to variants or fragments thereof, are encompassed by the present invention.


In hybridization techniques, all or part of a known polynucleotide is used as a probe that selectively hybridizes to other corresponding polynucleotides present in a population of cloned genomic DNA fragments or cDNA fragments (i.e., genomic or cDNA libraries) from a chosen organism. The hybridization probes may be genomic DNA fragments, cDNA fragments, RNA fragments, or other oligonucleotides, and may be labeled with a detectable group such as \( ^{32} \)P, or any other detectable marker. Thus, for example, probes for hybridization can be made by labeling synthetic oligonucleotides based on the polynucleotides of the invention. Methods for preparation of probes for hybridization and for

For example, an entire polynucleotide disclosed herein, or one or more portions thereof, may be used as a probe capable of specifically hybridizing to corresponding polynucleotides and messenger RNAs. To achieve specific hybridization under a variety of conditions, such probes include sequences that are unique among antipathogenic polynucleotide sequences and are optimally at least about 10 nucleotides in length, and most optimally at least about 20 nucleotides in length. Such probes may be used to amplify corresponding polynucleotides from a chosen organism by PCR. This technique may be used to isolate additional coding sequences from a desired organism or as a diagnostic assay to determine the presence of coding sequences in an organism. Hybridization techniques include hybridization screening of plated DNA libraries (either plaques or colonies; see, for example, Sambrook et al. (1989) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual (2d ed., Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Plainview, New York).

Hybridization of such sequences may be carried out under stringent conditions. “Stringent conditions” or “stringent hybridization conditions” is intended to mean conditions under which a probe will hybridize to its target sequence to a detectably greater degree than to other sequences (e.g., at least 2-fold over background). Stringent conditions are sequence-dependent and will be different in different circumstances. By controlling the stringency of the hybridization and/or washing conditions, target sequences that are 100% complementary to the probe can be identified (homologous probing). Alternatively, stringency conditions can be adjusted to allow some mismatching in sequences so that lower degrees of similarity are detected (heterologous probing). Generally, a probe is less than about 1000 nucleotides in length, optimally less than 500 nucleotides in length.

Typically, stringent conditions will be those in which the salt concentration is less than about 1.5 M Na ion, typically about 0.01 to 1.0 M Na ion concentration (or other salts) at pH 7.0 to 8.3 and the temperature is at least about 30°C for short probes (e.g., 10 to 50 nucleotides) and at least about 60°C for long probes (e.g., greater than 50 nucleotides).

Stringent conditions may also be achieved with the addition of destabilizing agents such as formamide. Exemplary low stringency conditions include hybridization with a buffer solution of 30 to 35% formamide, 1 M NaCl, 1% SDS (sodium dodecyl sulphate) at 37°C, and a wash in 1X to 2X SSC (20X SSC = 3.0 M NaCl/0.3 M trisodium citrate) at 30 to 35°C. Exemplary moderate stringency conditions include hybridization in 40 to 45% formamide, 1.0 M NaCl, 1% SDS at 37°C, and a wash in 0.5X to 1X SSC at 55 to 60°C. Exemplary high stringency conditions include hybridization in 50% formamide, 1 M NaCl, 1% SDS at 37°C, and a wash in 0.1X SSC at 60 to 65°C. Optionally, wash buffers may comprise about 0.1% to
about 1% SDS. Duration of hybridization is generally less than about 24 hours, usually about 4 to about 12 hours. The duration of the wash time will be at least a length of time sufficient to reach equilibrium.

Specificity is typically the function of post-hybridization washes, the critical factors being the ionic strength and temperature of the final wash solution. For DNA-DNA hybrids, the thermal melting point (Tₘ) can be approximated from the equation of Meinkoth and Wahl (1984) *Anal. Biochem.* 138:267-284: Tₘ = 81.5°C + 16.6 (log M) + 0.41 (%GC) - 0.61 (% form) - 500/L; where M is the molarity of monovalent cations, %GC is the percentage of guanosine and cytosine nucleotides in the DNA, % form is the percentage of formamide in the hybridization solution, and L is the length of the hybrid in base pairs. The Tₘ is the temperature (under defined ionic strength and pH) at which 50% of a complementary target sequence hybridizes to a perfectly matched probe. Tₘ is reduced by about 1°C for each 1% of mismatching; thus, Tₘ, hybridization, and/or wash conditions can be adjusted to hybridize to sequences of the desired identity. For example, if sequences with ≥90% identity are sought, the Tₘ can be decreased 10°C. Generally, stringent conditions are selected to be about 5°C lower than the Tₘ for the specific sequence and its complement at a defined ionic strength and pH. However, severely stringent conditions can utilize a hybridization and/or wash at 1, 2, 3, or 4°C lower than the Tₘ; moderately stringent conditions can utilize a hybridization and/or wash at 6, 7, 8, 9, or 10°C lower than the Tₘ; low stringency conditions can utilize a hybridization and/or wash at 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, or 20°C lower than the Tₘ. Using the equation, hybridization and wash compositions, and desired Tₘ, those of ordinary skill will understand that variations in the stringency of hybridization and/or wash solutions are inherently described. If the desired degree of mismatching results in a Tₘ of less than 45°C (aqueous solution) or 32°C (formamide solution), it is optimal to increase the SSC concentration so that a higher temperature can be used. An extensive guide to the hybridization of nucleic acids is found in Tijssen (1993) *Laboratory Techniques in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology—Hybridization with Nucleic Acid Probes,* Part I, Chapter 2 (Elsevier, New York); and Ausubel et al., eds. (1995) *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology,* Chapter 2 (Greene Publishing and Wiley-Interscience, New York). See Sambrook et al. (1989) *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual* (2d ed., Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Plainview, New York).

The following terms are used to describe the sequence relationships between two or more polynucleotides or polypeptides: (a) “reference sequence”, (b) “comparison window”, (c) “sequence identity”, and, (d) “percentage of sequence identity.”
(a) As used herein, “reference sequence” is a defined sequence used as a basis for sequence comparison. A reference sequence may be a subset or the entirety of a specified sequence; for example, as a segment of a full-length cDNA or gene sequence, or the complete cDNA or gene sequence.

(b) As used herein, “comparison window” makes reference to a contiguous and specified segment of a polynucleotide sequence, wherein the polynucleotide sequence in the comparison window may comprise additions or deletions (i.e., gaps) compared to the reference sequence (which does not comprise additions or deletions) for optimal alignment of the two polynucleotides. Generally, the comparison window is at least 20 contiguous nucleotides in length, and optionally can be 30, 40, 50, 100, or longer. Those of skill in the art understand that to avoid a high similarity to a reference sequence due to inclusion of gaps in the polynucleotide sequence a gap penalty is typically introduced and is subtracted from the number of matches.


Computer implementations of these mathematical algorithms can be utilized for comparison of sequences to determine sequence identity. Such implementations include, but are not limited to: CLUSTAL in the PC/Gene program (available from IntelliGenetics, Mountain View, California); the ALIGN program (Version 2.0) and GAP, BESTFIT, BLAST, FASTA, and TFASTA in the GCG Wisconsin Genetics Software Package, Version 10 (available from Accelrys Inc., 9685 Scranton Road, San Diego, California, USA). Alignments using these programs can be performed using the default parameters. The CLUSTAL program is well described by Higgins et al. (1988) Gene 73:237-244 (1988); Higgins et al. (1989) CABIOS 5:151-153; Corpet et al. (1988) Nucleic Acids Res. 16:10881-90; Huang et al. (1992) CABIOS 8:155-65; and Pearson et al. (1994) Meth. Mol. Biol. 24:307-331. The ALIGN program is based on the algorithm of Myers and Miller (1988) supra. A PAM120 weight residue table, a gap length penalty of 12, and a gap penalty of 4 can be used with the ALIGN program when comparing amino acid sequences. The BLAST programs of Altschul et al (1990) J. Mol. Biol. 215:403 are based on the algorithm of Karlin and Altschul (1990) supra. BLAST nucleotide searches can be performed with the BLASTN program,
score = 100, wordlength = 12, to obtain nucleotide sequences homologous to a nucleotide sequence encoding a protein of the invention. BLAST protein searches can be performed with the BLASTX program, score = 50, wordlength = 3, to obtain amino acid sequences homologous to a protein or polypeptide of the invention. To obtain gapped alignments for comparison purposes, Gapped BLAST (in BLAST 2.0) can be utilized as described in Altschul et al. (1997) Nucleic Acids Res. 25:3389. Alternatively, PSI-BLAST (in BLAST 2.0) can be used to perform an iterated search that detects distant relationships between molecules. See Altschul et al. (1997) supra. When utilizing BLAST, Gapped BLAST, PSI-BLAST, the default parameters of the respective programs (e.g., BLASTN for nucleotide sequences, BLASTX for proteins) can be used. See www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov. Alignment may also be performed manually by inspection.

Unless otherwise stated, sequence identity/similarity values provided herein refer to the value obtained using GAP Version 10 using the following parameters: % identity and % similarity for a nucleotide sequence using GAP Weight of 50 and Length Weight of 3, and the nwsgapdna.cmp scoring matrix; % identity and % similarity for an amino acid sequence using GAP Weight of 8 and Length Weight of 2, and the BLOSUM62 scoring matrix; or any equivalent program thereof. “Equivalent program” is intended to mean any sequence comparison program that, for any two sequences in question, generates an alignment having identical nucleotide or amino acid residue matches and an identical percent sequence identity when compared to the corresponding alignment generated by GAP Version 10.

GAP uses the algorithm of Needleman and Wunsch (1970) J. Mol. Biol. 48:443-453, to find the alignment of two complete sequences that maximizes the number of matches and minimizes the number of gaps. GAP considers all possible alignments and gap positions and creates the alignment with the largest number of matched bases and the fewest gaps. It allows for the provision of a gap creation penalty and a gap extension penalty in units of matched bases. GAP must make a profit of gap creation penalty number of matches for each gap it inserts. If a gap extension penalty greater than zero is chosen, GAP must, in addition, make a profit for each gap inserted of the length of the gap times the gap extension penalty. Default gap creation penalty values and gap extension penalty values in Version 10 of the GCG Wisconsin Genetics Software Package for protein sequences are 8 and 2, respectively. For nucleotide sequences the default gap creation penalty is 50 while the default gap extension penalty is 3. The gap creation and gap extension penalties can be expressed as an integer selected from the group of integers consisting of from 0 to 200. Thus, for example, the gap creation and gap extension penalties can be 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, 60, 65 or greater.
GAP presents one member of the family of best alignments. There may be many members of this family, but no other member has a better quality. GAP displays four figures of merit for alignments: Quality, Ratio, Identity, and Similarity. The Quality is the metric maximized in order to align the sequences. Ratio is the quality divided by the number of bases in the shorter segment. Percent Identity is the percent of the symbols that actually match. Percent Similarity is the percent of the symbols that are similar. Symbols that are across from gaps are ignored. A similarity is scored when the scoring matrix value for a pair of symbols is greater than or equal to 0.50, the similarity threshold. The scoring matrix used in Version 10 of the GCG Wisconsin Genetics Software Package is BLOSUM62 (see Henikoff and Henikoff (1989) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89:10915).

(c) As used herein, "sequence identity" or "identity" in the context of two polynucleotides or polypeptide sequences makes reference to the residues in the two sequences that are the same when aligned for maximum correspondence over a specified comparison window. When percentage of sequence identity is used in reference to proteins it is recognized that residue positions which are not identical often differ by conservative amino acid substitutions, where amino acid residues are substituted for other amino acid residues with similar chemical properties (e.g., charge or hydrophobicity) and therefore do not change the functional properties of the molecule. When sequences differ in conservative substitutions, the percent sequence identity may be adjusted upwards to correct for the conservative nature of the substitution. Sequences that differ by such conservative substitutions are said to have "sequence similarity" or "similarity." Means for making this adjustment are well known to those of skill in the art. Typically this involves scoring a conservative substitution as a partial rather than a full mismatch, thereby increasing the percentage sequence identity. Thus, for example, where an identical amino acid is given a score of 1 and a non-conservative substitution is given a score of zero, a conservative substitution is given a score between zero and 1. The scoring of conservative substitutions is calculated, e.g., as implemented in the program PC/GENE (Intelligentic, Mountain View, California).

(d) As used herein, "percentage of sequence identity" means the value determined by comparing two optimally aligned sequences over a comparison window, wherein the portion of the polynucleotide sequence in the comparison window may comprise additions or deletions (i.e., gaps) as compared to the reference sequence (which does not comprise additions or deletions) for optimal alignment of the two sequences. The percentage is calculated by determining the number of positions at which the identical nucleic acid base or amino acid residue occurs in both sequences to yield the number of matched positions, dividing the number of matched positions by the total number of positions in the window of comparison, and multiplying the result by 100 to yield the percentage of sequence identity.
The use of the term “polynucleotide” is not intended to limit the present invention to polynucleotides comprising DNA. Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that polynucleotides, can comprise ribonucleotides and combinations of ribonucleotides and deoxyribonucleotides. Such deoxyribonucleotides and ribonucleotides include both naturally occurring molecules and synthetic analogues. The polynucleotides of the invention also encompass all forms of sequences including, but not limited to, single-stranded forms, double-stranded forms, and the like.

In some embodiments, expression cassettes comprising a promoter operably linked to a heterologous nucleotide sequence of the invention that encodes an antipathogenic polypeptide are further provided. The expression cassettes of the invention find use in generating transformed plants, plant cells, and microorganisms and in practicing the methods for inducing pathogen resistance disclosed herein. The expression cassette will include 5' and 3' regulatory sequences operably linked to a polynucleotide of the invention. “Operably linked” is intended to mean a functional linkage between two or more elements. For example, an operable linkage between a polynucleotide of interest and a regulatory sequence (i.e., a promoter) is functional link that allows for expression of the polynucleotide of interest. Operably linked elements may be contiguous or non-contiguous. When used to refer to the joining of two protein coding regions, by operably linked is intended that the coding regions are in the same reading frame. The cassette may additionally contain at least one additional gene to be cotransformed into the organism. Alternatively, the additional gene(s) can be provided on multiple expression cassettes. Such an expression cassette is provided with a plurality of restriction sites and/or recombination sites for insertion of the polynucleotide that encodes an antipathogenic polypeptide to be under the transcriptional regulation of the regulatory regions. The expression cassette may additionally contain selectable marker genes.

The expression cassette will include in the 5'-3' direction of transcription, a transcriptional initiation region (i.e., a promoter), translational initiation region, a polynucleotide of the invention, a translational termination region and, optionally, a transcriptional termination region functional in the host organism. The regulatory regions (i.e., promoters, transcriptional regulatory regions, and translational termination regions) and/or the polynucleotide of the invention may be native/analogous to the host cell or to each other. Alternatively, the regulatory regions and/or the polynucleotide of the invention may be heterologous to the host cell or to each other. As used herein, “heterologous” in reference to a sequence is a sequence that originates from a foreign species, or, if from the same species, is substantially modified from its native form in composition and/or genomic locus by deliberate human intervention. For example, a promoter operably linked to a heterologous polynucleotide is from a species different from the species from which the polynucleotide was
derived, or, if from the same/analogous species, one or both are substantially modified from their original form and/or genomic locus, or the promoter is not the native promoter for the operably linked polynucleotide.

The optionally included termination region may be native with the transcriptional initiation region, may be native with the operably linked polynucleotide of interest, may be native with the plant host, or may be derived from another source (i.e., foreign or heterologous) to the promoter, the polynucleotide of interest, the host, or any combination thereof. Convenient termination regions are available from the Ti-plasmid of *A. tumefaciens*, such as the octopine synthase and nopaline synthase termination regions. See also Guerineau *et al.* (1991) *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 262:141-144; Proudfoot (1991) *Cell* 64:671-674; Sanfacon *et al.* (1991) *Genes Dev.* 5:141-149; Mogen *et al.* (1990) *Plant Cell* 2:1261-1272; Munroe *et al.* (1990) *Gene* 91:151-158; Ballas *et al.* (1989) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 17:7891-7903; and Joshi *et al.* (1987) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 15:9627-9639. In particular embodiments, the potato protease inhibitor II gene (PinII) terminator is used. See, for example, Keil *et al.* (1986) *Nucl. Acids Res.* 14:5641-5650; and An *et al.* (1989) *Plant Cell* 1:115-122, herein incorporated by reference in their entirety.

Where appropriate, the polynucleotides may be optimized for increased expression in the transformed organism. For example, the polynucleotides can be synthesized using plant-preferred codons for improved expression. See, for example, Campbell and Gowri (1990) *Plant Physiol.* 92:1-11 for a discussion of host-preferred codon usage. Methods are available in the art for synthesizing plant-preferred genes. See, for example, U.S. Patent Nos. 5,380,831, and 5,436,391, and Murray *et al.* (1989) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 17:477-498, herein incorporated by reference.

Additional sequence modifications are known to enhance gene expression in a cellular host. These include elimination of sequences encoding spurious polyadenylation signals, exon-intron splice site signals, transposon-like repeats, and other such well-characterized sequences that may be deleterious to gene expression. The G-C content of the sequence may be adjusted to levels average for a given cellular host, as calculated by reference to known genes expressed in the host cell. When possible, the sequence is modified to avoid predicted hairpin secondary mRNA structures.

The expression cassettes may additionally contain 5' leader sequences. Such leader sequences can act to enhance translation. Translation leaders are known in the art and include: picornavirus leaders, for example, EMCV leader (Encephalomyocarditis 5' noncoding region) (Elroy-Stein *et al.* (1989) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 86:6126-6130); potyvirus leaders, for example, TEV leader (Tobacco Etch Virus) (Gallie *et al.* (1995) *Gene* 165(2):233-238), MDMV leader (Maize Dwarf Mosaic Virus), and human immunoglobulin heavy-chain binding protein (BiP) (Macejak *et al.* (1991) *Nature* 353:90-94); untranslated


In preparing the expression cassette, the various DNA fragments may be manipulated, so as to provide for the DNA sequences in the proper orientation and, as appropriate, in the proper reading frame. Toward this end, adapters or linkers may be employed to join the DNA fragments or other manipulations may be involved to provide for convenient restriction sites, removal of superfluous DNA, removal of restriction sites, or the like. For this purpose, in vitro mutagenesis, primer repair, restriction, annealing, resubstitutions, e.g., transitions and transversions, may be involved.


The above list of selectable marker genes is not meant to be limiting. Any selectable marker gene can be used in the present invention.

A number of promoters can be used in the practice of the invention, including the native promoter of the polynucleotide sequence of interest. The promoters can be selected based on the desired outcome. A wide range of plant promoters are discussed in the recent review of Potenza et al. (2004) In Vitro Cell Dev Biol – Plant 40:1-22, herein incorporated by reference. For example, the nucleic acids can be combined with constitutive, tissue-preferred, pathogen-inducible, or other promoters for expression in plants. Such constitutive promoters include, for example, the core promoter of the Rsyn7 promoter and other constitutive promoters disclosed in WO 99/43838 and U.S. Patent No. 6,072,050; the core CaMV 35S promoter (Odell et al. (1985) Nature 313:810-812); rice actin (McElroy et al. (1990) Plant Cell 2:163-171); ubiquitin (Christensen et al. (1989) Plant Mol. Biol. 12:619-632 and Christensen et al. (1992) Plant Mol. Biol. 18:675-689); pEMU (Last et al. (1991) Theor. Appl. Genet. 81:581-588); MAS (Velten et al. (1984) EMBO J. 3:2723-2730); ALS promoter (U.S. Patent No. 5,659,026), and the like. Other constitutive promoters include, for example, U.S. Patent Nos. 5,608,149; 5,608,144; 5,604,121; 5,569,597; 5,466,785; 5,399,680; 5,268,463; 5,608,142; and 6,177,611. In particular embodiments, the E35S-Ubi promoter is used for strong constitutive expression.

Generally, it will be beneficial to express the gene from an inducible promoter, particularly from a pathogen-inducible promoter. Such promoters include those from pathogenesis-related proteins (PR proteins), which are induced following infection by a pathogen; e.g., PR proteins, SAR proteins, beta-1,3-glucanase, chitinase, etc. See, for example, Redolfi et al. (1983) Neth. J. Plant Pathol. 89:245-254; Uknnes et al. (1992) Plant Cell 4:645-656; and Van Loon (1985) Plant Mol. Virol. 4:111-116. See also WO 99/43819, herein incorporated by reference.

the pathogen Fusarium moniliforme (see, for example, Cordero et al. (1992) Physiol. Mol. Plant Path. 41:189-200) and the inducible maize promoters described in U.S Patent Nos. 6,429,362 (e.g., Zm-PR1-81 and Zm-PR1-83 promoters), all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety. The promoters described in U.S. Patent No. 6,720,480, such as the Zm-BB11 promoter, may also be used in the practice of the invention.


Chemical-regulated promoters can be used to modulate the expression of a gene in a plant through the application of an exogenous chemical regulator. Depending upon the objective, the promoter may be a chemical-inducible promoter, where application of the chemical induces gene expression, or a chemical-repressible promoter, where application of the chemical represses gene expression. Chemical-inducible promoters are known in the art and include, but are not limited to, the maize In2-2 promoter, which is activated by benzenesulfonamide herbicide safeners, the maize GST promoter, which is activated by hydrophobic electrophilic compounds that are used as pre-emergent herbicides, and the tobacco PR-1a promoter, which is activated by salicylic acid. Other chemical-regulated promoters of interest include steroid-responsive promoters (see, for example, the glucocorticoid-inducible promoter in Schena et al. (1991) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88:10421-10425 and McNellis et al. (1998) Plant J. 14(2):247-257) and tetracycline-inducible and tetracycline-repressible promoters (see, for example, Gatz et al. (1991) Mol. Gen. Genet. 227:229-237, and U.S. Patent Nos. 5,814,618 and 5,789,156), herein incorporated by reference.

Tissue-preferred promoters can be utilized to target enhanced expression of the antipathogenic polypeptides of the invention within a particular plant tissue. For example, a tissue-preferred promoter may be used to express an antifungal polypeptide in a plant tissue where disease resistance is particularly important, such as, for example, the roots or the leaves. Tissue-preferred promoters include Yamamoto et al. (1997) Plant J. 12(2):255-265; Kawamata et al. (1997) Plant Cell Physiol. 38(7):792-803; Hansen et al. (1997) Mol. Gen Genet. 254(3):337-343; Russell et al. (1997) Transgenic Res. 6(2):157-168; Rinhehart et al. (1996) Plant Physiol. 112(3):1331-1341; Van Camp et al. (1996) Plant Physiol. 112(2):525-

Vascular tissue-preferred promoters are known in the art and include those promoters that selectively drive protein expression in, for example, xylem and phloem tissue. Vascular tissue-preferred promoters include, but are not limited to, the Prunus serotina prunasin hydrolase gene promoter (see, e.g., International Publication No. WO 03/006651), and also those found in US Patent Application Serial No. 10/109,488.

Stalk-preferred promoters may be used to drive expression of an antipathogenic polypeptide of the invention. Exemplary stalk-preferred promoters include the maize MS8-15 gene promoter (see, for example, U.S. Patent No. 5,986,174 and International Publication No. WO 98/00533), and those found in Graham et al. (1997) Plant Mol Biol 33(4): 729-735. In certain embodiments of the invention, the Zm-419 promoter is used for tissue preferred-expression in maize stalk tissue. See, for example, U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/729,772, entitled “Promoter Active at High Levels in Stalks, Stalk Nodes, Roots and Leaf Sheaths,” filed October 24, 2005, which is herein incorporated by reference in its entirety.


Root-preferred promoters are known and can be selected from the many available from the literature or isolated de novo from various compatible species. See, for example, Hire et al. (1992) Plant Mol. Biol. 20(2):207-218 (soybean root-specific glutamine synthetase gene); Keller and Baumgartner (1991) Plant Cell 3(10):1051-1061 (root-specific control element in the GRP 1.8 gene of French bean); Sanger et al. (1990) Plant Mol. Biol. 14(3):433-443 (root-specific promoter of the mannanonipe synthase (MAS) gene of Agrobacterium tumefaciens); and Miao et al. (1991) Plant Cell 3(1):11-22 (full-length cDNA clone encoding cytosolic glutamine synthetase (GS), which is expressed in roots and root nodules of soybean). See also Bogusz et al. (1990) Plant Cell 2(7):633-641, where two root-specific promoters isolated from hemoglobin genes from the nitrogen-fixing nonlegume Parasponia andersonii and the related non-nitrogen-fixing nonlegume Trematomentosa are described. The promoters of these genes were linked to a β-glucuronidase reporter gene and introduced into both the nonlegume Nicotiana tabacum and the legume Lotus corniculatus, and in both instances root-specific promoter activity was preserved. Leach and Aoyagi
(1991) describe their analysis of the promoters of the highly expressed rolC and rolD root-inducing genes of *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* (see *Plant Science* (Limerick) 79(1):69-76). They concluded that enhancer and tissue-preferred DNA determinants are dissociated in those promoters. Teeri *et al.* (1989) used gene fusion to lacZ to show that the *Agrobacterium* T-DNA gene encoding octopine synthase is especially active in the epidermis of the root tip and that the TR2' gene is root specific in the intact plant and stimulated by wounding in leaf tissue, an especially desirable combination of characteristics for use with an insecticidal or larvicidal gene (see *EMBO J.* 8(2):343-350). The TR1' gene, fused to *nptII* (neomycin phosphotransferase II) showed similar characteristics. Additional root-preferred promoters include the VfENOD-GRP3 gene promoter (*Kuster et al.* (1995) *Plant Mol. Biol.* 29(4):759-772); and rolB promoter (*Capana et al.* (1994) *Plant Mol. Biol.* 25(4):681-691. See also U.S. Patent Nos. 5,837,876; 5,750,386; 5,633,363; 5,459,252; 5,401,836; 5,110,732; and 5,023,179.

"Seed-preferred" promoters include both "seed-specific" promoters (those promoters active during seed development such as promoters of seed storage proteins) as well as "seed-germinating" promoters (those promoters active during seed germination). See Thompson *et al.* (1989) *BioEssays* 10:108, herein incorporated by reference. Such seed-preferred promoters include, but are not limited to, Cim1 (cytokinin-induced message); cZ19B1 (maize 19 kDa zein); milps (myo-inositol-1-phosphate synthase) (see WO 00/11177 and U.S. Patent No. 6,225,529; herein incorporated by reference). Gamma-zein is an endosperm-specific promoter. Globulin 1 (Glb-1) is a representative embryo-specific promoter. For dicots, seed-specific promoters include, but are not limited to, bean β-phaseolin, napin, β-conglycinin, soybean lectin, cruciferin, and the like. For monocots, seed-specific promoters include, but are not limited to, maize 15 kDa zein, 22 kDa zein, 27 kDa zein, gamma-zein, waxy, shrunken 1, shrunken 2, Globulin 1, etc. See also WO 00/12733, where seed-preferred promoters from *end1* and *end2* genes are disclosed; herein incorporated by reference.

In certain embodiments the nucleic acid sequences of the present invention can be stacked with any combination of polynucleotide sequences of interest in order to create plants with a desired phenotype. For example, the polynucleotides of the present invention may be stacked with other antifungal genes and the like. The combinations generated can also include multiple copies of any one of the polynucleotides of interest. The polynucleotides of the present invention can also be stacked with any other gene or combination of genes to produce plants with a variety of desired trait combinations including but not limited to traits desirable for animal feed such as high oil genes (e.g., U.S. Patent No. 6,232,529); balanced amino acids (e.g. hordothionins (U.S. Patent Nos. 5,990,389; 5,885,801; 5,885,802; and 5,703,409); barley high lysine (*Williamson et al.* (1987) *Eur. J. Biochem.* 165:99-106; and WO 98/20122); and high methionine proteins (*Pedersen et al.* (1986) *J. Biol. Chem.*).
261:6279; Kiriwara et al. (1988) Gene 71:359; and Musumura et al. (1989) Plant Mol. Biol. 12: 123)); increased digestibility (e.g., modified storage proteins (U.S. Patent No. 6,858,778, filed November 7, 2001); and thioredoxins (U.S. Patent No. 7,009,087, filed December 3, 2001)), the disclosures of which are herein incorporated by reference. The polynucleotides of the present invention can also be stacked with traits desirable for insect, disease or herbicide resistance (e.g., Bacillus thuringiensis toxic proteins (U.S. Patent Nos. 5,366,892; 5,747,450; 5,737,514; 5723,756; 5,593,881; Geiser et al (1986) Gene 48:109); lectins (Van Damme et al. (1994) Plant Mol. Biol. 24:825); fumonisin detoxification genes (U.S. Patent No. 5,792,931); avirulence and disease resistance genes (Jones et al. (1994) Science 266:789; Martin et al. (1993) Science 262:1432; Mindrinos et al. (1994) Cell 78:1089); acetolactate synthase (ALS) mutants that lead to herbicide resistance such as the S4 and/or Hra mutations; inhibitors of glutamine synthase such as phosphinotricin or basta (e.g., bar gene); and glyphosate resistance (EPSPS genes, GAT genes such as those disclosed in U.S. Patent Application Publication US2004/0082770, also WO02/36782 and WO03/092360)); and traits desirable for processing or process products such as high oil (e.g., U.S. Patent No. 6,232,529); modified oils (e.g., fatty acid desaturase genes (U.S. Patent No. 5,952,544; WO 94/11516)); modified starches (e.g., ADPG pyrophosphorylases (AGPase), starch synthases (SS), starch branching enzymes (SBE) and starch debranching enzymes (SDBE)); and polymers or bioplastics (e.g., U.S. patent No. 5,602,321; beta-ketothiolase, polyhydroxybutyrate synthase, and acetooacetyl-CoA reductase (Schubert et al. (1988) J. Bacteriol. 170:5837-5847) facilitate expression of polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs)), the disclosures of which are herein incorporated by reference. One could also combine the polynucleotides of the present invention with polynucleotides providing agronomic traits such as male sterility (e.g., see U.S. Patent No. 5,583,210), stalk strength, flowering time, or transformation technology traits such as cell cycle regulation or gene targeting (e.g. WO 99/61619; WO 00/17364; WO 99/25821), the disclosures of which are herein incorporated by reference.

These stacked combinations can be created by any method including but not limited to cross breeding plants by any conventional or TopCross methodology, or genetic transformation. If the traits are stacked by genetically transforming the plants, the polynucleotide sequences of interest can be combined at any time and in any order. For example, a transgenic plant comprising one or more desired traits can be used as the target to introduce further traits by subsequent transformation. The traits can be introduced simultaneously in a co-transformation protocol with the polynucleotides of interest provided by any combination of transformation cassettes. For example, if two sequences will be introduced, the two sequences can be contained in separate transformation cassettes (trans) or contained on the same transformation cassette (cis). Expression of the sequences can be driven by the same promoter or by different promoters. In certain cases, it may be desirable
to introduce a transformation cassette that will suppress the expression of the polynucleotide of interest. This may be combined with any combination of other suppression cassettes or overexpression cassettes to generate the desired combination of traits in the plant. It is further recognized that polynucleotide sequences can be stacked at a desired genomic location using a site-specific recombination system. See, for example, WO99/25821, WO99/25854, WO99/25840, WO99/25855, and WO99/25853, all of which are herein incorporated by reference.

The methods of the invention involve introducing a polypeptide or polynucleotide into a plant. “Introducing” is intended to mean presenting to the plant the polynucleotide. In some embodiments, the polynucleotide will be presented in such a manner that the sequence gains access to the interior of a cell of the plant, including its potential insertion into the genome of a plant. The methods of the invention do not depend on a particular method for introducing a sequence into a plant, only that the polynucleotide gains access to the interior of at least one cell of the plant. Methods for introducing polynucleotides into plants are known in the art including, but not limited to, stable transformation methods, transient transformation methods, and virus-mediated methods. Polypeptides can also be introduced to a plant in such a manner that they gain access to the interior of the plant cell or remain external to the cell but in close contact with it.

“Stable transformation” is intended to mean that the nucleotide construct introduced into a plant integrates into the genome of the plant and is capable of being inherited by the progeny thereof. “Transient transformation” or “transient expression” is intended to mean that a polynucleotide is introduced into the plant and does not integrate into the genome of the plant or a polypeptide is introduced into a plant.

Transformation protocols as well as protocols for introducing polypeptides or polynucleotide sequences into plants may vary depending on the type of plant or plant cell, i.e., monocot or dicot, targeted for transformation. Suitable methods of introducing polypeptides and polynucleotides into plant cells include microinjection (Crossway et al. (1986) Biotechniques 4:320-334), electroporation (Riggs et al. (1986) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83:5602-5606, Agrobacterium-mediated transformation (U.S. Patent Nos. 5,563,055 and 5,981,840), direct gene transfer (Paszkowski et al. (1984) EMBO J. 3:2717-2722), and ballistic particle acceleration (see, for example, Sanford et al., U.S. Patent Nos. 4,945,050; 5,879,918; 5,886,244; and 5,932,782; Tomes et al. (1995) in Plant Cell, Tissue, and Organ Culture: Fundamental Methods, ed. Gamborg and Phillips (Springer-Verlag, Berlin); McCabe et al. (1988) Biotechnology 6:923-926); and Lec1 transformation (WO 00/28058). Also see Weissinger et al. (1988) Ann. Rev. Genet. 22:421-477; Sanford et al. (1987) Particulate Science and Technology 5:27-37 (onion); Christou et al. (1988) Plant Physiol. 87:671-674 (soybean); McCabe et al. (1988) Bio/Technology 6:923-926 (soybean); Finer and McMullen

In specific embodiments, the antipathogenic sequences of the invention can be provided to a plant using a variety of transient transformation methods. Such transient transformation methods include, but are not limited to, the introduction of the antipathogenic protein or variants and fragments thereof directly into the plant or the introduction of the antipathogenic protein transcript into the plant. Such methods include, for example, microinjection or particle bombardment. See, for example, Crossway et al. (1986) *Mol Gen. Genet.* 202:179-185; Nomura et al. (1986) *Plant Sci.* 44:53-58; Hepler et al. (1994) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 91:2176-2180 and Hush et al. (1994) *The Journal of Cell Science* 107:775-784, all of which are herein incorporated by reference. Alternatively, the polynucleotide can be transiently transformed into the plant using techniques known in the art. Such techniques include viral vector system and the precipitation of the polynucleotide in a manner that precludes subsequent release of the DNA. Thus, the transcription from the particle-bound DNA can occur, but the frequency with which it’s released to become integrated into the genome is greatly reduced. Such methods include the use particles coated with polyethyleneimine (PEI; Sigma P3143).

In other embodiments, the polynucleotide of the invention may be introduced into plants by contacting plants with a virus or viral nucleic acids. Generally, such methods involve incorporating a nucleotide construct of the invention within a viral DNA or RNA molecule. It is recognized that the an antipathogenic polypeptide of the invention may be initially synthesized as part of a viral polyprotein, which later may be processed by proteolysis in vivo or in vitro to produce the desired recombinant protein. Further, it is recognized that promoters of the invention also encompass promoters utilized for transcription by viral RNA polymerases. Methods for introducing polynucleotides into plants
and expressing a protein encoded therein, involving viral DNA or RNA molecules, are known in the art. See, for example, U.S. Patent Nos. 5,889,191, 5,889,190, 5,866,785, 5,589,367, 5,316,931, and Porta et al. (1996) Molecular Biotechnology 5:209-221; herein incorporated by reference.

Methods are known in the art for the targeted insertion of a polynucleotide at a specific location in the plant genome. In one embodiment, the insertion of the polynucleotide at a desired genomic location is achieved using a site-specific recombination system. See, for example, WO99/25821, WO99/25854, WO99/25840, WO99/25855, and WO99/25853, all of which are herein incorporated by reference. Briefly, the polynucleotide of the invention can be contained in transfer cassette flanked by two non-recombinogenic recombination sites. The transfer cassette is introduced into a plant that has stably incorporated into its genome a target site which is flanked by two non-recombinogenic recombination sites that correspond to the sites of the transfer cassette. An appropriate recombinase is provided and the transfer cassette is integrated at the target site. The polynucleotide of interest is thereby integrated at a specific chromosomal position in the plant genome.

The cells that have been transformed may be grown into plants in accordance with conventional ways. See, for example, McCormick et al. (1986) Plant Cell Reports 5:81-84. These plants may then be grown, and either pollinated with the same transformed strain or different strains, and the resulting progeny having constitutive expression of the desired phenotypic characteristic identified. Two or more generations may be grown to ensure that expression of the desired phenotypic characteristic is stably maintained and inherited and then seeds harvested to ensure expression of the desired phenotypic characteristic has been achieved. In this manner, the present invention provides transformed seed (also referred to as “transgenic seed”) having a nucleotide construct of the invention, for example, an expression cassette of the invention, stably incorporated into their genome.

Pedigree breeding starts with the crossing of two genotypes, such as an elite line of interest and one other elite inbred line having one or more desirable characteristics (i.e., having stably incorporated a polynucleotide of the invention, having a modulated activity and/or level of the polypeptide of the invention, etc) which complements the elite line of interest. If the two original parents do not provide all the desired characteristics, other sources can be included in the breeding population. In the pedigree method, superior plants are selfed and selected in successive filial generations. In the succeeding filial generations the heterozygous condition gives way to homogeneous lines as a result of self-pollination and selection. Typically in the pedigree method of breeding, five or more successive filial generations of selfing and selection is practiced: \( F_1 \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_3 \rightarrow F_4 \rightarrow F_5 \), etc.
After a sufficient amount of inbreeding, successive filial generations will serve to increase seed of the developed inbred. In specific embodiments, the inbred line comprises homozygous alleles at about 95% or more of its loci.

In addition to being used to create a backcross conversion, backcrossing can also be used in combination with pedigree breeding to modify an elite line of interest and a hybrid that is made using the modified elite line. As discussed previously, backcrossing can be used to transfer one or more specifically desirable traits from one line, the donor parent, to an inbred called the recurrent parent, which has overall good agronomic characteristics yet lacks that desirable trait or traits. However, the same procedure can be used to move the progeny toward the genotype of the recurrent parent but at the same time retain many components of the non-recurrent parent by stopping the backcrossing at an early stage and proceeding with selfing and selection. For example, an F1, such as a commercial hybrid, is created. This commercial hybrid may be backcrossed to one of its parent lines to create a BC1 or BC2. Progeny are selfed and selected so that the newly developed inbred has many of the attributes of the recurrent parent and yet several of the desired attributes of the non-recurrent parent. This approach leverages the value and strengths of the recurrent parent for use in new hybrids and breeding.

Therefore, an embodiment of this invention is a method of making a backcross conversion of maize inbred line of interest, comprising the steps of crossing a plant of maize inbred line of interest with a donor plant comprising a mutant gene or transgene conferring a desired trait (i.e., increased pathogen resistance), selecting an F1 progeny plant comprising the mutant gene or transgene conferring the desired trait, and backcrossing the selected F1 progeny plant to the plant of maize inbred line of interest. This method may further comprise the step of obtaining a molecular marker profile of maize inbred line of interest and using the molecular marker profile to select for a progeny plant with the desired trait and the molecular marker profile of the inbred line of interest. In the same manner, this method may be used to produce an F1 hybrid seed by adding a final step of crossing the desired trait conversion of maize inbred line of interest with a different maize plant to make F1 hybrid maize seed comprising a mutant gene or transgene conferring the desired trait.

Recurrent selection is a method used in a plant breeding program to improve a population of plants. The method entails individual plants cross pollinating with each other to form progeny. The progeny are grown and the superior progeny selected by any number of selection methods, which include individual plant, half-sib progeny, full-sib progeny, selfed progeny and topcrossing. The selected progeny are cross-pollinated with each other to form progeny for another population. This population is planted and again superior plants are selected to cross pollinate with each other. Recurrent selection is a cyclical process and therefore can be repeated as many times as desired. The objective of recurrent selection is to
improve the traits of a population. The improved population can then be used as a source of breeding material to obtain inbred lines to be used in hybrids or used as parents for a synthetic cultivar. A synthetic cultivar is the resultant progeny formed by the intercrossing of several selected inbreds.

Mass selection is a useful technique when used in conjunction with molecular marker enhanced selection. In mass selection seeds from individuals are selected based on phenotype and/or genotype. These selected seeds are then bulked and used to grow the next generation. Bulk selection requires growing a population of plants in a bulk plot, allowing the plants to self-pollinate, harvesting the seed in bulk and then using a sample of the seed harvested in bulk to plant the next generation. Instead of self-pollination, directed pollination could be used as part of the breeding program.

Mutation breeding is one of many methods that could be used to introduce new traits into an elite line. Mutations that occur spontaneously or are artificially induced can be useful sources of variability for a plant breeder. The goal of artificial mutagenesis is to increase the rate of mutation for a desired characteristic. Mutation rates can be increased by many different means including temperature, long-term seed storage, tissue culture conditions, radiation; such as X-rays, Gamma rays (e.g. cobalt 60 or cesium 137), neutrons, (product of nuclear fission by uranium 235 in an atomic reactor), Beta radiation (emitted from radioisotopes such as phosphorus 32 or carbon 14), or ultraviolet radiation (preferably from 2500 to 2900nm), or chemical mutagens (such as base analogues (5-bromo-uracil), related compounds (8-ethoxy caffeine), antibiotics (streptonigrin), alkylating agents (sulfur mustards, nitrogen mustards, epoxides, ethyleneamines, sulfates, sulfonates, sulfones, lactones), azide, hydroxylamine, nitrous acid, or acridines. Once a desired trait is observed through mutagenesis the trait may then be incorporated into existing germplasm by traditional breeding techniques, such as backcrossing. Details of mutation breeding can be found in “Principles of Cultivar Development” Fehr, 1993 Macmillan Publishing Company, New York, the disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference. In addition, mutations created in other lines may be used to produce a backcross conversion of elite lines that comprises such mutations.

As used herein, the term plant includes plant cells, plant protoplasts, plant cell tissue cultures from which maize plant can be regenerated, plant calli, plant clumps, and plant cells that are intact in plants or parts of plants such as embryos, pollen, ovules, seeds, leaves, flowers, branches, fruit, kernels, ears, cobs, husks, stalks, roots, root tips, anthers, and the like. Grain is intended to mean the mature seed produced by commercial growers for purposes other than growing or reproducing the species. Progeny, variants, and mutants of the regenerated plants are also included within the scope of the invention, provided that these parts comprise the introduced polynucleotides.
The present invention may be used to induce pathogen resistance or protect from pathogen attack any plant species, including, but not limited to, monocots and dicots. Examples of plant species of interest include, but are not limited to, corn (*Zea mays*), *Brassica* sp. (e.g., *B. napus*, *B. rapa*, *B. juncea*), particularly those *Brassica* species useful as sources of seed oil, alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*), rice (*Oryza sativa*), rye (*Secale cereale*), sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*, *Sorghum vulgare*), millet (e.g., pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*), proso millet (*Panicum miliaceum*), foxtail millet (*Setaria italica*), finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*), sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*), safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius*), wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), soybean (*Glycine max*), tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*), potato (*Solanum tuberosum*), peanuts (*Arachis hypogaea*), cotton (*Gossypium barbadense*, *Gossypium hirsutum*), sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas*), cassava (*Manihot esculenta*), coffee (*Coffeea spp.*), coconut (*Cocos nucifera*), pineapple (*Ananas comosus*), citrus trees (*Citrus spp.*), cocoa (*Theobroma cacao*), tea (*Camellia sinensis*), banana (*Musa spp.*), avocado (*Persea americana*), fig (*Ficus carica*), guava (*Psidium guajava*), mango (*Mangifera indica*), olive (*Olea europaea*), papaya (*Carica papaya*), cashew (*Anacardium occidentale*), macadamia (*Macadamia integrifolia*), almond (*Prunus amygdalus*), sugar beets (*Beta vulgaris*), sugarcane (*Saccharum spp.*), oats, barley, vegetables, ornamentals, and conifers.

Vegetables include tomatoes (*Lycopersicon esculentum*), lettuce (e.g., *Lactuca sativa*), green beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*), lima beans (*Phaseolus limensis*), peas (*Lathyrus spp.*), and members of the genus *Cucumis* such as cucumber (*C. sativus*), cantaloupe (*C. cantalupensis*), and musk melon (*C. melo*). Ornamentals include azalea (*Rhododendron spp.*), hydrangea (*Macrophylla hydrangea*), hibiscus (*Hibiscus rosa-sinensis*), roses (*Rosa spp.*), tulips (*Tulipa spp.*), daffodils (*Narcissus spp.*), petunias (*Petunia hybrid*), carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus*), poinsettia (*Euphorbia pulcherrima*), and chrysanthemum.

Conifers that may be employed in practicing the present invention include, for example, pines such as loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*), slash pine (*Pinus elliottii*), ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*), lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*), and Monterey pine (*Pinus radiata*); Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*); Western hemlock (*Tsuga canadensis*); Sitka spruce (*Picea glauca*); redwood (*Sequoia sempervirens*); true firs such as silver fir (*Abies amabilis*) and balsam fir (*Abies balsamea*); and cedars such as Western red cedar (*Thuja plicata*) and Alaska yellow cedar (*Chamaecyparis nootkatensis*). In specific embodiments, plants of the present invention are crop plants (for example, corn, alfalfa, sunflower, *Brassica*, soybean, cotton, safflower, peanut, sorghum, wheat, millet, tobacco, etc.). In other embodiments, corn and soybean plants are optimal, and in yet other embodiments corn plants are optimal.

Other plants of interest include grain plants that provide seeds of interest, oil-seed plants, and leguminous plants. Seeds of interest include grain seeds, such as corn, wheat, barley, rice, sorghum, rye, etc. Oil-seed plants include cotton, soybean, safflower, sunflower,
Brassica, maize, alfalfa, palm, coconut, etc. Leguminous plants include beans and peas. Beans include guar, locust bean, fenugreek, soybean, garden beans, cowpea, mung bean, lima bean, fava bean, lentils, chickpea, etc.

Antipathogenic compositions, particularly antifungal compositions, are also encompassed by the present invention. Antipathogenic compositions may comprise antipathogenic polypeptides or transformed microorganisms comprising a nucleotide sequence that encodes an antipathogenic polypeptide. The antipathogenic compositions of the invention may be applied to the environment of a plant pathogen, as described herein below, thereby protecting a plant from pathogen attack. Moreover, an antipathogenic composition can be formulated with an acceptable carrier that is, for example, a suspension, a solution, an emulsion, a dusting powder, a dispersible granule, a wettable powder, and an emulsifiable concentrate, an aerosol, an impregnated granule, an adjuvant, a coatable paste, and also encapsulations in, for example, polymer substances.

A gene encoding an antipathogenic, particularly antifungal, polypeptide of the invention may be introduced into any suitable microbial host according to standard methods in the art. For example, microorganism hosts that are known to occupy the “phytosphere” (phyllloplane, phyllosphere, rhizosphere, and/ or rhizoplane) of one or more crops of interest may be selected. These microorganisms are selected so as to be capable of successfully competing in the particular environment with the wild-type microorganisms, and to provide for stable maintenance and expression of the gene expressing the antifungal protein.

Such microorganisms include bacteria, algae, and fungi. Of particular interest are microorganisms such as bacteria, e.g., Pseudomonas, Erwinia, Serratia, Klebsiella, Xanthomonas, Streptomyces, Rhizobium, Rhodopseudomonas, Methylius, Agrobacterium, Acetobacter, Lactobacillus, Arthrobacter, Azotobacter, Leuconostoc, and Alcaligenes, fungi, particularly yeast, e.g., Saccharomyces, Cryptococcus, Kluveromyces, Sporobolomyces, Rhodotorula, and Aureobasidium. Of particular interest are such phytosphere bacterial species as Pseudomonas syringae, Pseudomonas fluorescens, Serratia marcescens, Acetobacter xylinum, Agrobacteria, Rhodopseudomonas spheroides, Xanthomonas campestris, Rhizobium meliloti, Alcaligenes entrophus, Clavibacter xyli and Azotobacter vinelandii and phytosphere yeast species such as Rhodotorula rubra, R. glutinis, R. marina, R. aurantiaca, Cryptococcus albidus, C. diffluens, C. laurantii, Saccharomyces rosei, S. pretoriensis, S. cerevisiae, Sporobolomyces roseus, S. odorus, Kluveromyces veronae, and Aureobasidium pullulans. Of particular interest are the pigmented microorganisms.

Other illustrative prokaryotes, both Gram-negative and gram-positive, include Enterobacteriaceae, such as Escherichia, Erwinia, Shigella, Salmonella, and Proteus; Bacillaceae, Rhizobiaceae, such as Rhizobium; Spirillaceae, such as photobacterium, Zymomonas, Serratia, Aeromonas, Vibrio, Desulfovibrio, Spirillum; Lactobacillaceae.
Pseudomonadaceae, such as Pseudomonas and Acetobacter, Azotobacteraceae and Nitrobacteraceae. Among eukaryotes are fungi, such as Phycomycetes and Ascomycetes, which includes yeast, such as Saccharomyces and Schizosaccharomyces; and Basidiomycetes yeast, such as Rhodotorula, Aureobasidium, Sporobolomyces, and the like.

Microbial host organisms of particular interest include yeast, such as Rhodotorula spp., Aureobasidium spp., Saccharomyces spp., and Sporobolomyces spp., phylloplane organisms such as Pseudomonas spp., Erwinia spp., and Flavobacterium spp., and other such organisms, including Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Pseudomonas fluorescens, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Bacillus thuringiensis, Escherichia coli, Bacillus subtilis, and the like.

Genes encoding the antifungal proteins of the invention can be introduced into microorganisms that multiply on plants (epiphytes) to deliver antifungal proteins to potential target pests. Epiphytes, for example, can be gram-positive or gram-negative bacteria.

Root-colonizing bacteria, for example, can be isolated from the plant of interest by methods known in the art. Specifically, a Bacillus cereus strain that colonizes roots can be isolated from roots of a plant (see, for example, Handelsman et al. (1991) Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 56:713-718). Genes encoding the antifungal polypeptides of the invention can be introduced into a root-colonizing Bacillus cereus by standard methods known in the art.

Genes encoding antifungal proteins can be introduced, for example, into the root-colonizing Bacillus by means of electrotransformation. Specifically, genes encoding the antifungal proteins can be cloned into a shuttle vector, for example, pHT3101 (Lerecius et al. (1989) FEMS Microbiol. Letts. 60: 211-218. The shuttle vector pHT3101 containing the coding sequence for the particular antifungal protein gene can, for example, be transformed into the root-colonizing Bacillus by means of electroporation (Lerecius et al. (1989) FEMS Microbiol. Letts. 60: 211-218).

Methods are provided for protecting a plant from a pathogen comprising applying an effective amount of an antipathogenic protein or composition of the invention to the environment of the pathogen. “Effective amount” is intended to mean an amount of a protein or composition sufficient to control a pathogen. The antipathogenic proteins and compositions can be applied to the environment of the pathogen by methods known to those of ordinary skill in the art.

The antifungal compositions of the invention may be obtained by the addition of a surface-active agent, an inert carrier, a preservative, a humectant, a feeding stimulant, an attractant, an encapsulating agent, a binder, an emulsifier, a dye, a UV protective, a buffer, a flow agent or fertilizers, micronutrient donors, or other preparations that influence plant growth. One or more agrochemicals including, but not limited to, herbicides, insecticides, fungicides, bactericides, nematicides, molluscicides, acaricides, plant growth regulators, harvest aids, and fertilizers, can be combined with carriers, surfactants or adjuvants.
customarily employed in the art of formulation or other components to facilitate product handling and application for particular target pathogens. Suitable carriers and adjuvants can be solid or liquid and correspond to the substances ordinarily employed in formulation technology, e.g., natural or regenerated mineral substances, solvents, dispersants, wetting agents, tackifiers, binders, or fertilizers. The active ingredients of the present invention are normally applied in the form of compositions and can be applied to the crop area, plant, or seed to be treated. For example, the compositions of the present invention may be applied to grain in preparation for or during storage in a grain bin or silo, etc. The compositions of the present invention may be applied simultaneously or in succession with other compounds.

Methods of applying an active ingredient of the present invention or an agrochemical composition of the present invention that contains at least one of the antipathogenic proteins, more particularly antifungal proteins, of the present invention include, but are not limited to, foliar application, seed coating, and soil application. The number of applications and the rate of application depend on the intensity of infestation by the corresponding pest or pathogen.

Suitable surface-active agents include, but are not limited to, anionic compounds such as a carboxylate of, for example, a metal; carboxylate of a long chain fatty acid; an N-acylsarcosinate; mono or di-esters of phosphoric acid with fatty alcohol ethoxylates or salts of such esters; fatty alcohol sulfates such as sodium dodecyl sulfate, sodium octadecyl sulfate or sodium cetyl sulfate; ethoxylated fatty alcohol sulfates; ethoxylated alkylphenol sulfates; lignin sulfonates; petroleum sulfonates; alkyl aryl sulfonates such as alkyl-benzene sulfonates or lower alkylnaphtalene sulfonates, e.g., butyl-naphthalene sulfonate; salts of sulfonated naphthalene-formaldehyde condensates; salts of sulfonated phenol-formaldehyde condensates; more complex sulfonates such as the amide sulfonates, e.g., the sulfonated condensation product of oleic acid and N-methyl taurine; or the dialkyl sulfosuccinates, e.g., the sodium sulfonate or dioctyl succinate. Non-ionic agents include condensation products of fatty acid esters, fatty alcohols, fatty acid amides or fatty-alkyl- or alkenyl-substituted phenols with ethylene oxide, fatty esters of polyhydric alcohol ethers, e.g., sorbitan fatty acid esters, condensation products of such esters with ethylene oxide, e.g., polyoxyethylene sorbitar fatty acid esters, block copolymers of ethylene oxide and propylene oxide, acetylenic glycols such as 2,4,7,9-tetraethyl-5-decyn-4,7-diol, or ethoxylated acetylenic glycols. Examples of a cationic surface-active agent include, for instance, an aliphatic mono-, di-, or polyamine such as an acetate, naphthenate or oleate; or oxygen-containing amine such as an amine oxide of polyoxyethylene alkylamine; an amine-linked amine prepared by the condensation of a carboxylic acid with a di- or polyamine; or a quaternary ammonium salt.

Examples of inert materials include but are not limited to inorganic minerals such as kaolin, phyllosilicates, carbonates, sulfates, phosphates, or botanical materials such as cork, powdered corncobs, peanut hulls, rice hulls, and walnut shells.
The antipathogenic compositions of the present invention can be in a suitable form for direct application or as a concentrate of primary composition that requires dilution with a suitable quantity of water or other diluent before application. The concentration of the antipathogenic polypeptide will vary depending upon the nature of the particular formulation, specifically, whether it is a concentrate or to be used directly. The composition contains 1 to 98% of a solid or liquid inert carrier, and 0 to 50%, optimally 0.1 to 50% of a surfactant. These compositions will be administered at the labeled rate for the commercial product, optimally about 0.01 lb-5.0 lb. per acre when in dry form and at about 0.01 pts. - 10 pts. per acre when in liquid form.

In a further embodiment, the compositions, as well as the transformed microorganisms and antipathogenic proteins, of the invention can be treated prior to formulation to prolong the antipathogenic, particularly antifungal, activity when applied to the environment of a target pathogen as long as the pretreatment is not deleterious to the activity. Such treatment can be by chemical and/or physical means as long as the treatment does not deleteriously affect the properties of the composition(s). Examples of chemical reagents include but are not limited to halogenating agents; aldehydes such as formaldehyde and glutaraldehyde; anti-infectives, such as zephiran chloride; alcohols, such as isopropanol and ethanol; and histological fixatives, such as Bouin's fixative and Helly's fixative (see, for example, Humason (1967) Animal Tissue Techniques (W.H. Freeman and Co.).

The antipathogenic compositions of the invention can be applied to the environment of a plant pathogen by, for example, spraying, atomizing, dusting, scattering, coating or pouring, introducing into or on the soil, introducing into irrigation water, by seed treatment or general application or dusting at the time when the pathogen has begun to appear or before the appearance of pathogens as a protective measure. For example, the antipathogenic protein and/or transformed microorganisms of the invention may be mixed with grain to protect the grain during storage. It is generally important to obtain good control of pathogens in the early stages of plant growth, as this is the time when the plant can be most severely damaged. The compositions of the invention can conveniently contain an insecticide if this is thought necessary. In one embodiment of the invention, the composition is applied directly to the soil, at a time of planting, in granular form of a composition of a carrier and dead cells of a Bacillus strain or transformed microorganism of the invention. Another embodiment is a granular form of a composition comprising an agrochemical such as, for example, a herbicide, an insecticide, a fertilizer, an inert carrier, and dead cells of a Bacillus strain or transformed microorganism of the invention.

Compositions of the invention find use in protecting plants, seeds, and plant products in a variety of ways. For example, the compositions can be used in a method that involves
placing an effective amount of the antipathogenic, more particularly, antifungal, composition in the environment of the pathogen by a procedure selected from the group consisting of spraying, dusting, broadcasting, or seed coating.

Before plant propagation material (fruit, tuber, bulb, corm, grains, seed), but especially seed, is sold as a commercial product, it is customarily treated with a protective coating comprising herbicides, insecticides, fungicides, bactericides, nematicides, molluscicides, or mixtures of several of these preparations, if desired together with further carriers, surfactants, or application-promoting adjuvants customarily employed in the art of formulation to provide protection against damage caused by bacterial, fungal, or animal pests. In order to treat the seed, the protective coating may be applied to the seeds either by impregnating the tubers or grains with a liquid formulation or by coating them with a combined wet or dry formulation. In addition, in special cases, other methods of application to plants are possible, e.g., treatment directed at the buds or the fruit.

The plant seed of the invention comprising a DNA molecule comprising a nucleotide sequence encoding an antipathogenic polypeptide of the invention may be treated with a seed protective coating comprising a seed treatment compound, such as, for example, captan, carboxin, thiram, methalaxyl, pirimiphos-methyl, and others that are commonly used in seed treatment. Alternatively, a seed of the invention comprises a seed protective coating comprising an antipathogenic, more particularly antifungal, composition of the invention is used alone or in combination with one of the seed protective coatings customarily used in seed treatment.

The antifungal polypeptides of the invention can be used for any application including coating surfaces to target microbes. In this manner, the target microbes include human pathogens or microorganisms. Surfaces that might be coated with the antifungal polypeptides of the invention include carpets and sterile medical facilities. Polymer bound polypeptides of the invention may be used to coat surfaces. Methods for incorporating compositions with antimicrobial properties into polymers are known in the art. See U.S. Patent No. 5,847,047, herein incorporated by reference.

The embodiments of the present invention may be effective against a variety of plant pathogens, particularly fungal pathogens, such as, for example, Colletotrichum graminicola, Diplodia maydis, Fusarium graminearum, and Fusarium verticillioides. Pathogens of the invention include, but are not limited to, viruses or viroids, bacteria, insects, nematodes, fungi, and the like. Viruses include any plant virus, for example, tobacco or cucumber mosaic virus, ringspot virus, necrosis virus, maize dwarf mosaic virus, etc. Fungal pathogens, include but are not limited to, Colletotrichum graminicola, Diplodia maydis, Fusarium graminearum, and Fusarium verticillioides. Specific pathogens for the major crops include: Soybeans: Phakopsora pachyrhizi, Phytophthora megasperma f.sp. glycinea, Macrophomina
phaseolina, Rhizoctonia solani, Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, Fusarium oxysporum, Diaporthe phaseolorum var. sojae (Phomopsis sojae), Diaporthe phaseolorum var. caudivora, Sclerotium rolfsii, Cercospora kikuchii, Cercospora sojina, Peronospora manshurica, Colletotrichum dematium (Colletotrichum truncatum), Corynespora cassicola, Septoria glycines, Phylllosticta sojicola, Alternaria alternata, Pseudomonas syringae p.v. glycinea, Xanthomonas campestris p.v. phaseoli, Microsphaera diffusa, Fusarium semitectum, Phialophora gregata, Soybean mosaic virus, Glomerella glycines, Tobacco Ring spot virus, Tobacco Streak virus, Phakopsora pachyrhizi, Pythium aphanidermatum, Pythium ultimum, Pythium debaryanum, Tomato spotted wilt virus, Heterodera glycines Fusarium solani;


cryptogea, Albugo tragopogonis; Corn: Colletotrichum graminicola, Fusarium moniliforme
var. subglutinans, Erwinia stewartii, F. verticillioides, Gibberella zeae (Fusarium
graminearum), Stenocarpella maydis (Diploodia maydis), Pythium irregulare, Pythium
debaranum, Pythium graminicola, Pythium splendens, Pythium ultimum, Pythium
aphanidermatum, Aspergillus flavus, Bipolaris maydis O, T (Cochliobolus heterostrophus),
Helminthosporium carbonum I, II & III (Cochliobolus carbonum), Exserohilum turcicum I, II
& III, Helminthosporium pedicellatum, Physoderma maydis, Phyllosticta maydis, Kabatiella
maydis, Cercospora sorghi, Ustilago maydis, Puccinia sorghi, Puccinia polysora,
Macrophomina phaseolina, Penicillium oxalicum, Nigrospora oryzae, Cladosporium
herbarum, Curvularia lunata, Curvularia inaequalis, Curvularia pallescens, Clavibacter
michiganense subsp. nebrskense, Trichoderma viride, Maize Dwarf Mosaic Virus A & B,
Wheat Streak Mosaic Virus, Maize Chlorotic Dwarf Virus, Claviceps sorghi, Pseudomonas
avenae, Erwinia chrysanthemi pv. zeae, Erwinia carotovora, Corn stunt spiroplasma, Diploodia
macrosora, Sclerotiphora macrospora, Peronosclerospora sorghi, Peronosclerospora
philippinensis, Peronosclerospora maydis, Peronosclerospora sacchari, Sphacelotheca
reiliana, Physopella zeae, Cephalosporium maydis, Cephalosporium acremonium, Maize
Chlorotic Mottle Virus, High Plains Virus, Maize Mosaic Virus, Maize Rayado Fino Virus,
Maize Streak Virus, Maize Stripe Virus, Maize Rough Dwarf Virus; Sorghum: Exserohilum
turcicum, C. sublineolum, Cercospora sorghi, Gloeosporium sorghina, Ascochyla sorghina,
Pseudomonas syringae p.v. syringae, Xanthomonas campestris p.v. holcicola, Pseudomonas
andropogonis, Puccinia purpurea, Macrophomina phaseolina, Peroncia circinata, Fusarium
moniliforme, Alternaria alternata, Bipolaris sorghicola, Helminthosporium sorghicola,
Curvularia lunata, Phoma insidiosa, Pseudomonas avenae (Pseudomonas albopercipians),
Ramulispora sorghi, Ramulispora sorghicola, Phyllachara sacchari, Sporisorium reilianum
(Sphacelotheca reiliana), Sphacelotheca cruenta, Sporisorium sorghi, Sugarcane mosaic H,
Maize Dwarf Mosaic Virus A & B, Claviceps sorghi, Rhizoctonia solani, Acremonium
strictum, Sclerotiphora macrospora, Peronosclerospora sorghi, Peronosclerospora
philippinensis, Sclerospora graminicola, Fusarium graminearum, Fusarium oxysporum,
Pythium arrhenomanes, Pythium graminicola, etc.

Nematodes include parasitic nematodes such as root-knot, cyst, and lesion nematodes,
including Heteroder a spp., Meloidogyne spp., and Globodera spp.: particularly members of
the cyst nematodes, including, but not limited to, Heterodera glycines (soybean cyst
nematode); Heterodera schachtii (beet cyst nematode); Heterodera avenae (cereal cyst
nematode); and Globodera rostochiensis and Globodera pallida (potato cyst nematodes).

Lesion nematodes include Pratylenchus spp.
The article “a” and “an” are used herein to refer to one or more than one (i.e., to at least one) of the grammatical object of the article. By way of example, “an element” means one or more element.

Units, prefixes, and symbols may be denoted in their SI accepted form. Unless otherwise indicated, nucleic acids are written left to right in 5’ to 3’ orientation; amino acid sequences are written left to right in amino to carboxy orientation, respectively. Numeric ranges are inclusive of the numbers defining the range. Amino acids may be referred to herein by either their commonly known three letter symbols or by the one-letter symbols recommended by the IUPAC-IUB Biochemical Nomenclature Commission. Nucleotides, likewise, may be referred to by their commonly accepted single-letter codes. The above-defined terms are more fully defined by reference to the specification as a whole.

The following examples are provided by way of illustration, not by way of limitation.

EXPERIMENTAL

Methods of growing fungal cultures are well known in the art. For subculturing the fungal cultures disclosed herein, any broth generally suitable for growing fungi may be used, including, for example, potato dextrose broth infra (Becton Dickinson Microbiology Systems, Sparks, MD), Czapek-Dox broth infra (Becton Dickinson Microbiology Systems, Sparks MD), Sabouraud broth (BBL #210986, Voigt Global Distribution LLC, Kansas City, MO), and the like.

Example 1: isolation of Antifungal Polypeptide LB-09812 (SEQ ID NO:1)

A soil sample was collected from rotten pieces of wood from the tree Populus tremula L., in the Kiev region. The fungal isolate of interest, denoted herein as IMV 01051, that produced the antifungal polypeptide SEQ ID NO: 1, was isolated using potato dextrose agar. The strain was later identified as Penicillium glandicola (Oudemans) Seifert et Samson. The pure culture of the organism has been maintained at room temperature on malt extract agar slant by sub-culturing it in regular intervals. Isolate IMV 01051 was transferred to Berkeley Lab where the cultures were grown on PDA and preserved by placing 10 agar plugs per strain sampled with sterile P1000 plastic tips into 2 mL cryotubes containing 0.7 mL 45% (w/v) sterile glycerol. The cryotubes then were placed in a wooden block and frozen overnight in a -20 °C freezer at an approximate freezing rate of 1 °C/min. The now frozen material was transferred to a -84 °C freezer for long-term maintenance.

The species identification was confirmed by sequencing the D1/D2 domains of the large subunit rRNA-coding gene. Total genomic DNA extraction was performed with the FastDNA Kit using FastPrep and the SpinColumn protocol of BIO 101 Systems (Q-BioGene,
Vista, CA). The PCR amplification was carried out in Platinum Blue® PCR SuperMix (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The generic fungal D1/D2 domains (nucleotides 63-642) primers used for the PCR amplification and for sequencing were published earlier by Kurtzman and Robnett (1998) Antone Van Leeuwenhoek 73(4):331-71; and Kurtzman and Robnett (2003) FEMS Yeast Res. 3(4):417-32, both of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety. DNA sequencing was done at the University of California at Berkeley DNA Sequencing Facility.

The raw sequence was edited with EditView Version 1.0.1.1 (ABI, Foster City, CA) and aligned using online multiple sequence aligner subroutines (BCM Search Launcher (searchlauncher bcm tmc.edu/multi-align/multi-align.html) and MultAlin (prodes toulouse.inra.fr/multalin/multalin.html)). Aligned sequence for the D1/D2 domains was further analyzed for consensus using online subroutines by the Ribosomal Database Project (rdp.cme.msu.edu/html/) and Boxshade (ch.emnet.org/software/BOX_form.html; a "www" prefix must be used), and finally BLASTed against the NCBI database (ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST; a "www" prefix must be used) for species determination.

A designed set of specific growth conditions, i.e., nutrient content, temperature, pH, incubation time, aeration, etc., were applied to the isolated fungus to promote the production of secondary metabolites and novel natural products. The small molecules of interest were secreted by the above fungal strain when it was grown in 250-ml Erlenmeyer flasks filled with 50 mL of a medium. Strain IMV 01051 was grown in a medium containing maltose (12.75 g/L), malt extract (15 g/L), dextrin (2.75 g/L), glycerol (2.35 g/L), dibasic potassium phosphate (1 g/L), ammonium chloride (1 g/L), and bacto-peptone (0.75 g/L). The pH of the medium was adjusted with hydrochloric acid to a final value of 4.8. The strain was incubated at 16 °C on an orbital shaker incubator at 180 rpm for 144 h. Biomass and supernatant of the resulting microbial fermentation were then separated by centrifugation at 10,322 x g, 15 °C for 20 min.. The cell-free supernatant, labeled as LB-09812, was assayed to determine the presence of heat labile antifungal activity. After confirming that heat labile antifungal activity was present in the LB-09812 supernatant, the cell-free supernatant of a large scale, 500 mL culture was provided and subjected to solid phase extraction, as described below.

Oasis HLB extraction cartridges (6 gram, 35 mL) (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA) were used for solid phase extraction (SPE). Specifically, the SPE cartridge was made wet with one cartridge volume of methanol and then conditioned with approximately 40 mL Solvent A (2% acetonitrile, 0.1% TFA). Approximately 90 mL of crude culture filtrate was treated with 5X solvent A to a final concentration of 1X and centrifuged for 20 min at 3,000 x g. The supernatant was loaded onto an SPE cartridge, and the SPE cartridge was washed with approximately 40 mL solvent A. The SPE cartridge was eluted with approximately 40 mL
90% acetonitrile, 0.1% TFA. The eluted sample was partially dried in a centrifugal evaporator (Speed Vac), frozen with liquid nitrogen and lyophilized to dryness.

The dried extract was re-suspended in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (0.5 mL : 20 mL starting culture filtrate), and the re-suspended extract was enriched for proteins using a Sephadex G10 (Amersham Biosciences AB, Uppsala, Sweden) spin column. Bio-Spin disposable chromatography columns (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules CA) were filled to approximately 0.75 mL bed volume with Sephadex G10 that had been pre-equilibrated in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and were centrifuged for 1 minute at 1,000 x g. 200 μL of SPE extract in PBS was added to each pre-spun Bio-Spin column, and loaded Bio-Spin columns were centrifuged for 5 minutes at 1,000 x g to elute proteins.

G10 treated antifungal extracts were fractionated by HPLC with a Jupiter 5μ C5 300Å 150 mm x 4.6 mm column (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA). HPLC starting conditions were 5% acetonitrile, 0.04% heptfluorobutyric acid (HFBA), 0.4 mL/minute. After injecting 200 μL of G10 treated antifungal extract, the flow rate was raised to 0.8 mL/minute over 1 minute. After an additional minute, a 94 minute exponentially curved gradient (Waters gradient curve 7, Waters Corporation, Milford, MA) was started to 86% acetonitrile, 0.04% HFBA. The HPLC fractions were divided into four ½ area 96 well clear bottom assay plates. Plates containing fractionated extracts were then dried in a centrifugal evaporator.

The dried fractionated extracts were then screened for antifungal activity against FVE, CGR, FGR, and DMA using an antifungal plate assay, as described in Example 3. FVE, FGR and DMA were tested at 4,000 spores/mL in ¼ X potato dextrose broth (Becton Dickinson Microbiology Systems, Sparks, MD). CGR was tested at 4,000 spores/mL in ¼ X Czapek-Dox (Becton Dickinson Microbiology Systems, Sparks MD) + 180 mL/L V8 juice. Cultures were allowed to develop at 27 °C for 24 hours. Assays were scored by visualizing fungal growth with an inverted microscope. The HPLC fractions from approximately 65.5 to 67 minutes were found to have antifungal activity against FVE, CGR, FGR and DMA.

Additional HPLC fractionations were performed to bulk up the antifungal fraction. This bulked up antifungal fraction was further purified using μ-bore HPLC with a Zorbax 3.5μ C8 300Å 150 mm x 1.0 mm column (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA). Starting conditions were 9.5% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid, 0.025% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), 50 μL/minute. Two minutes following sample injection, a 25 minute linear gradient was started to 32% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid, 0.025% TFA. 214 nm peak based fractions were collected using an Agilent micro-fraction collector, dried in a centrifugal evaporator and assayed for antifungal activity as described above. A peak eluting at approximately 27 minutes was found to have activity against FGR. ESI mass spectra were obtained on an integrated Agilent MSD TOF mass spectrometer. The peak had the ion profile of a peptide and a mass of 3802 Da.
Reduction and alkylation was required for efficient N-terminal sequencing. Approximately 10 μg of dried protein was re-suspended into 18 μL 0.1 M ammonium bicarbonate, 8 M urea pH 8.3. This solution was transferred to limited volume HPLC autosampler vial. 1 μL 200 mM DTT was added and the solution was incubated at 50 °C for 1 hour. Subsequently 1 μL 500 mM iodoacetamide was added, and the solution was incubated at 37 °C for 30 minutes in the dark. The iodoacetamide alkylation was then quenched by adding 2 μL 25% trifluoroacetic acid. The alkylated protein was then purified by μ-bore HPLC on a Zorbax 3.5μ C8 300Å 150 mm x 1.0 mm column (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA). Starting conditions were 7.7% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid, 0.025% TFA. After 15 minutes a 70 minute linear gradient was performed to reach 70.7% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid, 0.025% TFA. The column flow rate was 50 μL/minute. 214 nm peak based fractions were collected using an Agilent micro fraction collector.

N-terminal sequencing

Initial N-terminal sequencing yielded the following sequence: ALHNSCSHPRCFNHACHTLYS (SEQ ID NO:28). Further elucidation of the N-terminal sequence required sequencing of ArgC digested fragments.

ArgC Digestion

ArgC (excision grade, Clostridium histolyticum Calbiochem cat. #324711) was prepared by adding water to achieve 100 ng/μL. ~2 μg alkylated LB09812 was suspended in 16 μL 100 mM Tris-HCl/10 mM CaCl₂ pH 7.6. 2 μL 50 mM DTT/5 mM EDTA was then added followed by 2 μL ArgC which had been diluted 1:4 with 100 mM Tris-HCl/10 mM CaCl₂, pH 7.6. The solution was incubated at 37˚C for 18 hours. Finally, the solution was diluted with 20 μL 5% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid, 0.025% TFA and injected onto a 1.0 x 150 mm Zorbax 300SB C8 3.5 μm column. Starting conditions were 6.8% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid, 0.025% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), 50 μL/minute. Four minutes following sample injection, a 66 minute linear gradient was started to 26.6% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid, 0.025% TFA. 214 nm peak based fractions were collected using an Agilent micro-fraction collector. Masses for the isolated fragments were determined by splitting ~10% of the HPLC flow into an integrated Agilent MSD TOF mass spectrometer equipped with an ESI source. Seven peaks were collected and sent for N-terminal sequencing. ArgC Peak V, which eluted at 33 minutes, yielded useful sequence.
**N-terminal sequencing results**

ArgC Peak V: CFNHAHCLTYSHCHVXCS (SEQ ID NO:29)

The complete amino acid sequence for the LB-09812 antifungal polypeptide was determined by using Genome Walker PCR which allowed for the identification of the nucleotide sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:24, corresponding to the full-length genomic sequence for the LB-09812 protein. The full-length, unprocessed LB-09812 protein is set forth in SEQ ID NO:25 The gene sequencing results together with those from N-terminal sequencing of LB-09812 predicted a mature peptide (set forth in SEQ ID NO:1) having a mass identical to that of the HPLC-purified LB-09812. Further details of the Genome Walker Experiments are provided herein below.

**Example 2: Isolation of Antifungal Polypeptide LB-12922 (SEQ ID NO:3)**

A cultivated agricultural soil sample in the Ternopol region, Ukraine, was isolated about twelve years after the Chernobyl nuclear accident. The fungal isolate of interest, denoted herein as LB-12922, that produced the antifungal polypeptide SEQ ID NO:3, was isolated using potato dextrose agar. The strain was later identified as *Penicillium citreonigrum* Dierckx. The pure culture of the organism has been maintained at room temperature on malt extract agar slant by sub-culturing it in regular intervals. Isolate LB-12922 was transferred to Berkeley Lab where the cultures were grown on PDA and preserved by placing 10 agar plugs per strain sampled with sterile P1000 plastic tips into 2 mL cryotubes containing 0.7 mL 45% (w/v) sterile glycerol. The cryotubes then were placed in a wooden block and frozen overnight in a -20 °C freezer at an approximate freezing rate of 1 °C/min. The now frozen material was transferred to a -84 °C freezer for long-term maintenance.

The species identification was confirmed by sequencing the D1/D2 domains of the large subunit rRNA-coding gene. Whole-cell fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) analysis was performed following manufacturer's recommendations (MIDI, Newark, DE). The pure culture of strain was grown in Sabouraud liquid medium on an orbital shaker (180 rpm) at 30 °C for 3-5 days. The biomass was harvested by centrifugation and about 50 mg of cells were extracted. The fatty acid methyl ester profile was determined on a Agilent Technologies (Palo Alto, CA) Model 6890 gas chromatograph. Chromatograms were analyzed with the Sherlock© Microbial Identification System Version 4.5 (MIDI, Newark, DE). Similarity among the chromatograms was established by the dendrogram subroutine. The available fungal database could not resolve the identification of the strain at genus or species level.

Sequencing of the D1/D2 domains of the large subunit ribosomal RNA-coding genes involved growing the strain in Sabouraud liquid medium, extracting the total genomic DNA,
and PCR amplifying the target sequences. Total genomic DNA extraction was performed with the FastDNA Kit using FastPrep and the SpinColumn protocol of BIO 101 Systems (Q-BIOgene, Vista, CA). The PCR amplification was carried out in Platinum Blue® PCR SuperMix (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The generic fungal D1/D2 domains (nucleotides 63-642) primers used for the PCR amplification and for sequencing were published earlier by Kurtzman and Robnett (1998) Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 73(4):331-71; and Kurtzman and Robnett (2003) FEMS Yeast Res. 3(4):417-32, both of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety. DNA sequencing was done at the University of California at Berkeley DNA Sequencing Facility.

The raw sequence was edited with EditView Version 1.0.1.1 (ABI, Foster City, CA) and aligned using online multiple sequence aligner subroutines (BCM Search Launcher (searchlauncher bcm.tmc.edu/multi-align/multi-align.html) and MultAlign (prodes.toulouse.inra.fr/multalin/multalin.html)). Aligned sequence for the D1/D2 domains was further analyzed for consensus using online subroutines by the Ribosomal Database Project (rdp.cme.msu.edu/html/) and Boxshade (ch.embl.de/software/BOX_form.html; a "www" prefix must be used), and finally BLASTed against the NCBI database (ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST; a "www" prefix must be used) for species determination.

A designed set of specific growth conditions, i.e., nutrient content, temperature, pH, incubation time, aeration, etc., were applied to the isolated fungus to promote the production of secondary metabolites and novel natural products. The small molecules of interest were secreted by the above fungal strain when it was grown in 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks filled with 50 mL of a medium. Strain IMV 00738 was grown in a medium containing glucose (75 g/L), tartaric acid (4 g/L), ammonium tartrate (4 g/L), ammonium phosphate (0.6 g/L), potassium carbonate (1 g/L), ammonium chloride (0.6 g/L), magnesium carbonate (0.4 g/L), ammonium sulfate (0.25 g/L), zinc sulfate (700 µg/L), and iron sulfate (700 µg/L). The pH of the medium was adjusted with hydrochloric acid to a final value of 4.8. The strain was incubated at 16 °C on an orbital shaker incubator at 180 rpm for 144 h. Biomass and supernatant of the resulting microbial fermentation were then separated by centrifugation at 10,322 x g, 15 °C for 20 min. The cell-free supernatant, labeled as LB-12922, was assayed to determine the presence of heat labile antifungal activity. After confirming that heat labile antifungal activity was present in the LB-12922 supernatant, the cell-free supernatant of a large scale, 500 mL culture was provided and subjected to solid phase extraction, as described below.

Oasis HLB extraction cartridges (6 gram, 35 mL) (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA) were used for solid phase extraction (SPE). Specifically, the SPE cartridge was made wet with one cartridge volume of methanol and then conditioned with approximately 40 mL Solvent A (2% acetonitrile, 0.1% TFA). Approximately 90 milliliters of crude culture filtrate
was treated with 5X solvent A to a final concentration of 1X and centrifuged for 20 min at 3,000 x g. The supernatant was loaded onto an SPE cartridge, and the SPE cartridge was washed with approximately 40 mL solvent A. The SPE cartridge was eluted with approximately 40 mL 90% acetonitrile, 0.1% TFA. The eluted sample was partially dried in a centrifugal evaporator (Speed Vac), frozen with liquid nitrogen and lyophilized to dryness.

The dried extract was re-suspended in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (0.5 mL : 20 mL starting culture filtrate), and the re-suspended extract was enriched for proteins using a Sephadex G10 (Amersham Biosciences AB, Uppsala, Sweden) spin column. Bio-Spin disposable chromatography columns (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules CA) were filled to approximately 0.75 mL bed volume with Sephadex G10 that had been pre-equilibrated in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and were centrifuged for 1 minute at 1,000 x g. 200 μL of SPE extract in PBS was added to each pre-spun Bio-Spin column, and loaded Bio-Spin columns were centrifuged for 5 minutes at 1,000 x g to elute proteins.

G10 treated antifungal extracts were fractionated by HPLC with a Jupiter 5μ C5 300Å 150 mm x 4.6 mm column (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA). HPLC starting conditions were 5% acetonitrile, 0.04% heptafluorobutyric acid (HFBA), 0.4 mL/minute. After injecting 200 μL of G10 treated antifungal extract, the flow rate was raised to 0.8 mL/minute over 1 minute. After an additional minute, a 94 minute exponentially curved gradient (Waters gradient curve 7, Waters Corporation, Milford, MA) was started to 86% acetonitrile, 0.04% HFBA. The HPLC fractions were divided into four ½ area 96 well clear bottom assay plates. Plates containing fractionated extracts were then dried in a centrifugal evaporator. The dried fractionated extracts were then screened for antifungal activity against FVE, CGR, FGR, and DMA using an antifungal plate assay, as described in Example 3. FVE, FGR and DMA were tested at 4,000 spores/mL in ¼ X potato dextrose broth (Becton Dickinson Microbiology Systems, Sparks, MD). CGR was tested at 4,000 spores/mL in ¼ X Czapek-Dox (Becton Dickinson Microbiology Systems, Sparks MD) + 180 mL/L V8 juice. Cultures were allowed to develop at 27°C for 24 hours. Assays were scored by visualizing fungal growth with an inverted microscope. The HPLC fractions from approximately 64.5 to 66 minutes were found to have antifungal activity against FVE, CGR, FGR and DMA. FVE antifungal activity was observed for fractions from 63 to 72.5 minutes.

Additional HPLC fractionations were performed to bulk up the 63 to 72.5 minute antifungal fraction. This bulked up antifungal fraction was further purified using μ-bore HPLC with a Zorbax 3.5μ C8 300Å 150 mm x 1.0 mm column (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA). Starting conditions were 7.7% acetonitrile, 0.05% formic acid, 0.025% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), 50 μL/minute. Following sample injection, a 40 minute linear gradient was started to 25.7% acetonitrile, 0.05% formic acid, 0.025% TFA. Subsequently, a 20 minute gradient was started to 43.7% acetonitrile, 0.05% formic acid, 0.025% TFA. 214
nm peak based fractions were collected using an Agilent micro-fraction collector, dried in a centrifugal evaporator and assayed for antifungal activity as described above. A peak eluting at approximately 41 minutes was found to have activity against FVE. ESI mass spectra were obtained on an integrated Agilent MSD TOF mass spectrometer. The peak had the ion profile of a peptide and a mass of 4445 Da.

Reduction and alkylation was required for efficient N-terminal sequencing. Approximately 10 µg of dried protein was re-suspended into 18 µL 0.1 M ammonium bicarbonate, 8 M urea pH 8.3. This solution was transferred to limited volume HPLC autosampler vial. 1 µL 200 mM DTT was added and the solution was incubated at 50 °C for 1 hour. Subsequently 1 µL 500 mM iodoacetamide was added, and the solution was incubated at 37 °C for 30 minutes in the dark. The iodoacetamide alkylation was then quenched by adding 2 µL 25% trifluoroacetic acid. The alkylated protein was then purified by µ-bore HPLC on a Zorbax 3.5 µm C8 300A 150 mm x 1.0 mm column (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA). Starting conditions were 7.7% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid, 0.025% TFA. After 15 minutes a 70 minute linear gradient was performed to reach 70.7% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid, 0.025% TFA. The column flow rate was 50 µL/minute. 214 nm peak based fractions were collected using an Agilent micro fraction collector. The alkylated LB-12922 eluted at about 41 minutes.

N-terminal sequencing

Initial N-terminal sequencing yielded the following sequence:
LSCYPSCMQNYCSPRXFLXAT (SEQ ID NO:30).

The complete amino acid sequence for the LB-12922 antifungal polypeptide was determined by using Genome Walker PCR which allowed for the identification of the nucleotide sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:26, corresponding to the full-length genomic sequence for the LB-12922 antifungal protein. The full-length unprocessed LB-12922 protein is set forth in SEQ ID NO:27. The gene sequencing results together with those from N-terminal sequencing of LB-12922 predicted a mature peptide (set forth in SEQ ID NO:3) having a mass identical to that of the HPLC-purified LB-12922. Details of the Genome Walker experiments are provided below.

Example 3: Antifungal Activity of Polypeptides LB-09812 (SEQ ID NO:1)

The antifungal activity of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:1 against the fungal pathogens *Fusarium verticillioides* (FVE), *Colletotrichum graminicola* (CGR), *Fusarium graminearum* (FGR) and *Diplodia maydis* (DMA) was assessed using a standard plate assay.
Specifically, an *E. coli* transformation vector comprising a nucleotide sequence that encodes the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:1 fused to a His-tagged maltose binding protein via a factor XA cleavage site was generated and used to express the fusion protein in *E. coli*. The fusion protein was then affinity (Ni-NTA) purified, and the protein preparation was subjected to Factor XA cleavage. The desired LB-09812 peptide (SEQ ID NO:1) was then purified by HPLC, and the purity and mass of the peptide verified by LCMS. Purified peptide was quantified and used in standard assays to measure antifungal activity, as described below.

**Preparation of cultures for spore production:**

Cultures of FVE were prepared using V8 agar plates. FGR, CGR, and DMA cultures were prepared using ½ x oatmeal agar. Media recipes are provided below.

Specifically, tubes containing silica-gel fungal stocks stored at −20 °C were briefly flamed, and approximately 5 crystals were sprinkled onto the agar surface. 2-3 plates of each fungal isolate were prepared. The newly plated cultures were stored in a plastic box to prevent the cultures from drying out. FVE cultures were grown in the dark at room temperature. CGR cultures were grown in ambient light at room temperature. FGR and DMA cultures were grown in an illuminated growth chamber at 27 °C. New cultures were prepared every other week to maintain a consistent supply of spores.

**Spore Preparation:**

Spores were prepared from 2-4 week old cultures of FVE, FGR, CGR, and DMA. For FGR, FVE, and DMA, a portion of the culture plate was rinsed with a small amount of assay medium. The rinse solution was permitted to remain on the DMA plates for a time sufficient to allow the pycnidia to rupture. The assay medium was then transferred to a sterile tube. Samples were vortexed, and spores were quantified using a hemacytometer.

For CGR, a sterile loop was gently dragged across orange areas of the culture plate. The loop was then inserted into a small volume of assay media, and the media was mixed with the loop to suspend the spores. Samples were vortexed, and spores were quantified using a hemacytometer.

Spores were diluted to the desired concentration with assay medium (4,000 spores per mL for FGR, FVE, and CGR, and 6,000 spores per mL for DMA) and kept on ice prior to beginning the antifungal activity assay.
Assay Plate Preparation Details:

Standard non-tissue culture treated 96 well flat bottom plates or ½ area non-treated plates (Costar) were used in the antifungal plate assays. Assay medium was ¼ x potato dextrose broth for FVE, FGR and DMA, and ¼ x Czapek-Dox V8 was used for CGR.

Antifungal polypeptides at various concentrations were added to the plates at 50 μL/well for a standard assay plate or 25 μL/well for a half area plate. An equal volume of media with fungal spores at 2 times the above concentrations was then added to start the assay. Alternatively HPLC fractionated lead samples were assayed by adding media with fungal spores (as above) into assay plates that the HPLC samples had been dried into (Savant Speed-vac). The plates were sealed with a gas permeable membrane ("Breathe-Easy", Cat. No. BEM-1, Diversified Biotech, Boston, MA), and the assay was allowed to develop in the dark at 28 °C for 24 to 48 hours.

After the incubation period, the plates were placed on an inverted microscope, and each well was examined and scored on a scale of 0 – 4, according to the following parameters: 0 = no inhibition of fungal growth when compared to the negative control, 0.5 = slight inhibition (overall growth is less than the negative control but growth from individual spores is not distinct), 1 = slight inhibition (overall growth is less than the negative control but growth from individual spores is apparent, albeit not quite confluent), 2 = moderate inhibition (growth from 1 spore can easily be identified and is significantly less abundant than the negative control; growth from each spore tends to look spherical), 3 = strong inhibition (spores have germinated but growth is limited to a few branches of short hyphae), 4 = complete inhibition (spores have not germinated. See, for example, Duvick et al. (1992). J. Biol. Chem. 267: 18814-18820). A score sheet containing representative examples of each level of antifungal activity is provided in Figure 2.

Results

Figure 3 provides the results of antifungal activity assays with the polypeptide set forth in SEQ ID NO:1. This polypeptide exhibited antifungal activity against FVE, FGR, CGR, and DMA.

Media Recipes:

1x Czapek-Dox V8 Broth:

For each liter, suspend 35 grams Difco Czapek-Dox Broth (#233810) in dH₂O and add 180 milliliters V8 juice that has been clarified by centrifugation (3,000 x g is plenty).

Raise final volume to 1 liter and autoclave at 121 °C for 20 minutes. The media is filter sterilized to remove any remaining debris.
1x potato dextrose broth:

For each liter, suspend 24 grams Difco Potato Dextrose Broth (\#0549-17-9) in dH₂O and raise final volume to 1 liter and autoclave at 121 °C for 20 minutes. The media is filter sterilized to remove any remaining debris.

V8 Agar:

For each liter, dissolve 180 mL V8 juice and 3 grams calcium carbonate in 820 mL deionized water and then add 17 grams Bacto-agar in dH₂O in a 4 liter vessel. 10 drops of 5% antifoam A may be optionally added per liter prepared. Cover and autoclave at 121 °C for 20 minutes. Pour plates in sterile hood.

Oatmeal agar:

For each liter, suspend 36.24 grams of Difco Oatmeal Agar (\#0552-17-3) and 4.25 grams agar in dH₂O in a 4 liter vessel, cover and autoclave at 121 °C for 20 minutes. Pour plates in sterile hood.

Table 1: Details of Growth Conditions for FVE, FGR, CGR, and DMA Strains for Use in In Vitro Antifungal Activity Assays

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate name</th>
<th>FVE</th>
<th>FGR</th>
<th>CGR</th>
<th>DMA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medium for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sporulation</td>
<td>V8 Agar</td>
<td>1/2X Oatmeal Agar</td>
<td>1/2X Oatmeal Agar</td>
<td>1/2X Oatmeal Agar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agar culture age range for in vitro assay</td>
<td>2-4 weeks old</td>
<td>2-4 weeks old</td>
<td>2-4 weeks old</td>
<td>2-4 weeks old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggested schedule for starting agar cultures</td>
<td>Every other week</td>
<td>Every other week</td>
<td>Every other week</td>
<td>Every other week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid medium for in vitro assay</td>
<td>(\frac{1}{3}) x potato dextrose broth</td>
<td>(\frac{1}{4}) x potato dextrose broth</td>
<td>(\frac{1}{4}) x Czapec-Dox V8 broth</td>
<td>(\frac{1}{4}) x potato dextrose broth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spore Density for in vitro assay (spores/mL)</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example 4: Isolation of full-length LB-09812 and LB-12922 Genes from Genomic DNA

Genome Walker experiments were performed to isolate the full length LB-09812 and LB-12922 genes from genomic DNA of *Penicillium glandicola* and *Penicillium citreonigrum*, respectively.

Isolation of LB-09812 and LB-12922 genes

The procedure for gene isolation is described in the User Manual for the Genome Walker kit sold by Becton Dickinson BioSciences (formerly Clontech Laboratories, Inc.; Palo Alto, CA). Genomic DNA from fungal lines LB-09812 and LB-12922 was isolated at
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory using the FastDNA® SPIN Kit (QbioGene, Inc.,
Carlsbad, CA) and the ballistic cell disruption method according to the manufacturer's
instructions. The DNA was then used exactly as described in the Genome Walker Use
Manual (Clontech PT3042-1, version PR03300). Briefly, the DNA was digested separately
with restriction enzymes Dral, EcoRV, PvuII and Stul, all blunt-end cutters. The DNA was
extracted with phenol, then chloroform, and then precipitated with ethanol. The Genome
Walker adapters were ligated onto the ends of the restricted DNA. The resulting DNA is
referred to as DL1-4, respectively.

For isolation of the LB-09812 gene, a number of overlapping, degenerate primers
were designed to the underlined and italicized regions in the peptide sequence available,
ALHNSCSHPRCFNIHAIHCLTTYS (SEQ ID NO:28). These primers were used in
amplification reactions run on each DNA sample (DL 1-4) with the appropriate Genome
Walker primers in one or two rounds of PCR. PCR was performed in a model PTC-100
thermal cycler with HotBonnit from MJ Research (Watertown, Maine). The first fragment of
the LB-09812 gene was cloned using only one round of PCR using the BD BioSciences AP2
primer (5'-ACTATAAGGCGACCGTGTTG-3'; SEQ ID NO:31) and gspR2 (5'-
RTGRTTRAARCAAYCNGGRGTTG-3'; SEQ ID NO:32). PCR reactions were carried out
using the BD Advantage™ HF 2 PCR kit in 25 µL reactions, with final primer concentrations
at 2 mM. The cycling parameters were: 5 cycles of 92 °C for 30 sec, then 68 °C for 3 min,
followed by 28 cycles of 92 °C for 20 sec, and 55 °C for 3 min and finally 5 min at 65 °C.
About 20 µL of each reaction were run on a 1.0% agarose gel, and bands were excised and
purified with the QIAquick gel extraction kit, Qiagen, Inc. (Valencia, Calif.) and cloned into
the PCR-Blunt vector (Invitrogen, San Diego, Calif.). Clones were sequenced for
verification. The resulting fragment, part of SEQ ID NO:2 of the LB-09812 gene, was cloned
in this manner using the AP2 and gspR2 primers. The gene fragment and the protein encoded
thereby are set forth below and in SEQ ID NOs:33 and 34:

TACCCGGGCCGCTTCTTCAACCCGAGAAACGGTGCTACTACTCCTGAAGGATGGGG
ATGAAGTCGTGTTGCGATTGCCAGCGACTCTTGGCAAGGAGCTGGACGCTGTC
ATTGCCAGCGGATAGTCAAAATATGCGGAAAGCTGAACGCGCTTGACCCGA
AGATAATATTCTGTATGCTGAAATGTCAAGAGATGATCTTGGCCTACTACATAAC
TCATGCCAGGCCACCTCGCTGCTTCAATCAC (SEQ ID NO:33)

YPDGLLHPENGGYLYKGDDEVVVGIAASDDLCLKELDGAFAVSVDKIAEEAESAGPED
NISDAENVKRDVLALHNSCSHPRCFNI (SEQ ID NO:34)
The region in bold corresponds to the N-terminal two-thirds of the peptide sequence known at the time the Genome Walker experiments were performed. The carboxy-terminus was obtained with PCR reactions carried out as described above, but with the BD BioSciences AP1 primer (5'-GTATAACGACTCACTATAAGGC-3'; SEQ ID NO:35) and gspF4 (5'-TYYAAYCAYGNCAYTGYYTRAC-3'; SEQ ID NO:36). The resulting fragment is set forth below and in SEQ ID NO:37.

```
TTCAACCACGCTCCTGACCTACTCGCCTGCACTGTATGGCTCTTCCCGCAAGCGT
TGCTTTAGAGATCTCTGCAATTTTGTGATAGTGGAATGTTGAGAGATTACGAA
GGCTTC
ACAGAGATGTTGGGATAGTGAAAGTGGGGGAGGGTAGTCTGGGGGTATAGCGG
CCTCTG
GTTAGTTTCAATTAAGATGCGAATTTCGGCTGATTTCTTGGCTTTATTAGA
TTCA
ACAGAAAATTAAGGATACCTGGAATAACCATTACAGACCTATAAAGCTAGCGT
AGGGGG
GAAATCATCAGTTTAAGAGGGAGTCTCGGGCAGGATACTCAGGGTTACGA
GCAAATC
CTCTGGTCAAAAATTCATCTTGGAAAGATGTTACCCGTACCAGTAAATAATTGGGTC
GATGA
GTTAGTGGCCTAATTTAACGCGCTGTACACGGTGAACTCCATGA (SEQ ID NO:37)
```

Translated in frame 1, this fragment encodes the following polypeptide (SEQ ID NO:38):

```
FNH AHCLTYSHCHVCSSRKRL*SILOF**WECWRDLRLTEMWLDSESGGSLGV
*RPL
VSFN*DA NFGILILALLYLDS TENDT*NTITSEP*SRGGEISY*ESRRTRYSG*RAI
LWSK FHLRCVPRQ*LGR*VVP*FNACTR*TP* (SEQ ID NO:38)
```

The composite sequence generated from these two genomic DNA fragments encodes the mature LB-09812 amino acid sequence, SEQ ID NO:1.

In order to obtain a putative preprotein sequence, i.e., a sequence encoding a methionine at the predicted N-terminus of the unprocessed protein, two rounds of PCR were carried out using the Genome Walker DL-2 DNA as template. Reagents and cycling conditions for both rounds of PCR were as described above, using these primer combinations:
Round 1: BD BioSciences AP1 primer (5'-GTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGC-3'; SEQ ID NO:35) and PHN99817 (5'-CGACGCTAGCAGATGCACCGTC-3'; SEQ ID NO:39)

Round 2: BD BioSciences AP2 primer (5'-ACTATAGGGCACGCGTGTTG-3'; SEQ ID NO:31) and PHN99816 (5'-TCATCCCCATCCCTCGTATGAC-3'; SEQ ID NO:40).

As described in the Genome Walker User Manual, the DNA from the first round of PCR was diluted 50X and served as a template for the second round of PCR. To clone the LB-09812 gene as a single molecule, PCR was performed using LB-09812 genomic DNA as template, with forward primer PHN10110 (5'-TATACAAACGAAGGATAGT-3'; SEQ ID NO:41) and reverse primer PHP10108 (5'-ATCTAAATAAAAGCCAAGCAAG-3'; SEQ ID NO:42). Bands were purified as described above, and cloned into the pCR-Blunt vector for sequence verification, resulting in SEQ ID NO:24.

For isolation of the LB-12922 gene, a number of overlapping, degenerate primers were designed to the underlined and italicized regions in the peptide sequence available, LCSYPSCMVNYCSHPXFLXAT (SEQ ID NO:30). Genome Walker libraries and PCR was carried out as described for the cloning of LB-09812. The first genomic region of the LB-12922 cloned was the product of PCR reactions run in two rounds. The first-round PCR was primed with the BD BioSciences AP1 primer (SEQ ID NO:35) and gspP1BF1 (5'-TGYATGCARAAYTAYTGY-3'; SEQ ID NO:50). The first-round reactions were diluted 50X, and used as template for the second round of PCR, primed with the BD BioSciences AP2 primer (SEQ ID NO:31) and gspP1BF3 (5'-TAYTGYAGYCCAYCCNCG-3'; SEQ ID NO:51)). Bands were purified as described above, and cloned into the pCR-Blunt vector for sequence verification. The resulting fragment sequence is set forth below and in SEQ ID NO:43:

TACTGYAGCCATCCCCGTTGTCTCCCTCCACGGCTACTTGTGTTTCCTACTCTCATTG
CCATGTCGTGCGGTACCCGGAGTCTGCTCTCTA (SEQ ID NO:43), which encodes the C-terminal half of the LB-12992 peptide, YCSHPRCFLHATCLSYSHCHVCGTRKVCL* (SEQ ID NO:44). The residues in this fragment sequence that were known prior to the Genome Walker experiments are in bold. This fragment sequence, when added to the N-terminal residues determined by peptide sequencing, resulted in the sequence for the mature LB-12922 peptide (SEQ ID NO:3).

To clone additional 5’ genomic fragments of the LB-12992 gene, another set of Genome Walker reactions were run as described above. The gene-specific primers were gspP1BR6 (5'-YCKNGGRTGNGARCAR-TA-3'; SEQ ID NO:45) and gspP1BR1 (sequence 5'-RCARTARTTTYGCATRCA-3'; SEQ ID NO:46) for the first and second rounds of PCR,
respectively. These reactions resulted in the nucleotide sequence set forth below and in SEQ ID NO:47, a larger part of which is SEQ ID NO:26:

ATGACTAAGACATCCATAGAGACCTTAATTACCCCTCACGACATCGACATGCAATACATT
TTTACCTCCCTCTGTTCATGAACGTCATGGCTGAAGGTCATAACCCG
TACAAACCTCACCCCGACTGATGTCGTGATTTCACGATAGACAAATCCCTGAACGAT
TAGTGAAAGATCAATACCAAAACCGTGCTGCTCCATGCCGAGAATGGAGGCTACTACC

TGAAA
GACATGGAAGACGTAGTCGTTGCTATCGCTAGTGATGACCTGTGCAATGAGCTGGATGGT
GCCGTGGGCTAGCGCTGAGGCTGCTGATGCCTTTGACGGCGCTGAATCTAAATTCTGGA

TCTGGCTCTTTGAGAGCGCCGCGAATGTTACGAAGAGAAACGAAAGACCTTTTCTTTGTT

ATCCCC
AGCTGTATGCAGAATTAT (SEQ ID NO:47)

To clone the genomic sequence encoding the putative unprocessed LB-12992 protein as a single molecule, thus confirming its sequence, primers PHN100279 (5’-ATGTCCTCCTCCCAAAGTTTCTTC-3’; SEQ ID NO:48) and PHN100615 (5’-AGTGGGTTGGATATTTGTCTCAGAAA-3’; SEQ ID NO:49) were used with LB-12992 genomic DNA as template in a single round of PCR using Genome Walker-type conditions. The resulting fragment was gel-purified, cloned and sequenced thoroughly, producing SEQ ID NO:26.

The genomic sequence for LB-09812 is set forth in SEQ ID NO:24 and encodes the predicted full-length, unprocessed polypeptide set forth in SEQ ID NO:25. The full-length LB-09812 polypeptide has a predicted signal peptide and propeptide region. The putative signal sequence is presented in bold with the predicted cleavage site designated with a "\-

The predicted propeptide region is highlighted and italicized. The predicted mature peptide is underlined.

MKSITSLSVLCLCFITTMIEGElTRYQITPPSADAIVCHDRQALNDLAKAYPDGLHIFEN
OGGYTLKQDEDVYGVLSADDDLKELDGAFASIDAKIAEAAAESAGPEDNISDAENVKRDVLA
LHNSCSHPRCFENHAHCLTSYSHCHVCSSRKRCL (SEQ ID NO:25)

A genomic sequence encoding the predicted full-length LB-12922 polypeptide sequence was similarly isolated as described above. The sequence is set forth in SEQ ID NO:26 and encodes the predicted full-length, unprocessed polypeptide set forth in SEQ ID
Example 5: Transformation and Regeneration of Transgenic Maize Plants

Immature maize embryos from greenhouse donor plants are bombarded with a plasmid containing a nucleotide sequence encoding the antipathogenic polypeptide set forth in SEQ ID NO:1 operably linked to a promoter that drives expression in a maize plant cell and a selectable marker (e.g., the selectable marker gene PAT (Wohlleben et al. (1988) Gene 70:25-37), which confers resistance to the herbicide Bialaphos). Alternatively, the selectable marker gene is provided on a separate plasmid.

Transformation is performed as follows. Media recipes follow below.

Preparation of Target Tissue

The ears are husked and surface sterilized in 30% Clorox bleach plus 0.5% Micro detergent for 20 minutes, and rinsed two times with sterile water. The immature embryos are excised and placed embryo axis side down (scutellum side up), 25 embryos per plate, on 560Y medium for 4 hours and then aligned within the 2.5-cm target zone in preparation for bombardment.

Preparation of DNA

A plasmid vector comprising a nucleotide sequence encoding the antipathogenic polypeptide set forth in SEQ ID NO:1 operably linked to a promoter that drives expression in a maize cell is made. This plasmid DNA plus plasmid DNA containing a selectable marker (e.g., PAT) is precipitated onto 1.1 μm (average diameter) tungsten pellets using a CaCl₂ precipitation procedure as follows:

100 μL prepared tungsten particles in water
10 μL (1 μg) DNA in Tris EDTA buffer (1 μg total DNA)
100 μL 2.5 M CaCl₂
10 μL 0.1 M spermidine
Each reagent is added sequentially to the tungsten particle suspension, while maintained on the multitube vortexer. The final mixture is sonicated briefly and allowed to incubate under constant vortexing for 10 minutes. After the precipitation period, the tubes are centrifuged briefly, liquid removed, washed with 500 mL 100% ethanol, and centrifuged for 30 seconds. Again the liquid is removed, and 105 μL 100% ethanol is added to the final tungsten particle pellet. For particle gun bombardment, the tungsten/DNA particles are briefly sonicated and 10 μL spotted onto the center of each macrocarrier and allowed to dry about 2 minutes before bombardment.

Particle Gun Treatment

The sample plates are bombarded at level #4 in particle gun #HE34-1 or #HE34-2. All samples receive a single shot at 650 PSI, with a total of ten aliquots taken from each tube of prepared particles/DNA.

Subsequent Treatment

Following bombardment, the embryos are kept on 560Y medium for 2 days, then transferred to 560R selection medium containing 3 mg/L Bialaphos, and subcultured every 2 weeks. After approximately 10 weeks of selection, selection-resistant callus clones are transferred to 288J medium to initiate plant regeneration. Following somatic embryo maturation (2-4 weeks), well-developed somatic embryos are transferred to medium for germination and transferred to the lighted culture room. Approximately 7-10 days later, developing plantlets are transferred to 272V hormone-free medium in tubes for 7-10 days until plantlets are well established. Plants are then transferred to inserts in flats (equivalent to 2.5” pot) containing potting soil and grown for 1 week in a growth chamber, subsequently grown an additional 1-2 weeks in the greenhouse, then transferred to classic 600 pots (1.6 gallon) and grown to maturity. Plants are monitored and scored for fungal resistance.

Bombardment and Culture Media

Bombardment medium (560Y) comprises 4.0 g/L N6 basal salts (SIGMA C-1416), 1.0 mL/L Eriksson’s Vitamin Mix (1000X SIGMA-1511), 0.5 mg/L thiamine HCl, 120.0 g/L sucrose, 1.0 mg/L 2,4-D, and 2.88 g/L L-proline (brought to volume with D-I H2O following adjustment to pH 5.8 with KOH); 2.0 g/L Gelrite (added after bringing to volume with D-I H2O); and 8.5 mg/L silver nitrate (added after sterilizing the medium and cooling to room temperature). Selection medium (560R) comprises 4.0 g/L N6 basal salts (SIGMA C-1416), 1.0 mL/L Eriksson’s Vitamin Mix (1000X SIGMA-1511), 0.5 mg/L thiamine HCl, 30.0 g/L sucrose, and 2.0 mg/L 2,4-D (brought to volume with D-I H2O following adjustment to pH
5.8 with KOH); 3.0 g/L Gelrite (added after bringing to volume with D-I H₂O); and 0.85 mg/L silver nitrate and 3.0 mg/L bialaphos (both added after sterilizing the medium and cooling to room temperature).

Plant regeneration medium (288J) comprises 4.3 g/L MS salts (GIBCO 11117-074), 5.0 mL/L MS vitamins stock solution (0.100 g nicotinic acid, 0.02 g/L thiamine HCL, 0.10 g/L pyridoxine HCL, and 0.40 g/L glycine brought to volume with polished D-I H₂O) (Murashige and Skoog (1962) Physiol. Plant. 15:473), 100 mg/L myo-inositol, 0.5 mg/L zeatin, 60 g/L sucrose, and 1.0 mL/L of 0.1 mM abscisic acid (brought to volume with polished D-I H₂O after adjusting to pH 5.6); 3.0 g/L Gelrite (added after bringing to volume with D-I H₂O); and 1.0 mg/L indoleacetic acid and 3.0 mg/L bialaphos (added after sterilizing the medium and cooling to 60°C). Hormone-free medium (272V) comprises 4.3 g/L MS salts (GIBCO 11117-074), 5.0 mL/L MS vitamins stock solution (0.100 g/L nicotinic acid, 0.02 g/L thiamine HCL, 0.10 g/L pyridoxine HCL, and 0.40 g/L glycine brought to volume with polished D-I H₂O), 0.1 g/L myo-inositol, and 40.0 g/L sucrose (brought to volume with polished D-I H₂O after adjusting pH to 5.6); and 6 g/L bacto-agar (added after bringing to volume with polished D-I H₂O), sterilized and cooled to 60°C.

Example 6: Agrobacterium-mediated Transformation of Maize and Regeneration of Transgenic Plants

For Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of maize with a nucleotide sequence encoding the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:1, the method of Zhao is employed (U.S. Patent No. 5,981,840, and PCT patent publication WO98/32326; the contents of which are hereby incorporated by reference). Briefly, immature embryos are isolated from maize and the embryos contacted with a suspension of Agrobacterium, where the bacteria are capable of transferring the polynucleotide construct to at least one cell of at least one of the immature embryos (step 1: the infection step). In this step the immature embryos are immersed in an Agrobacterium suspension for the initiation of inoculation. The embryos are co-cultured for a time with the Agrobacterium (step 2: the co-cultivation step). The immature embryos are cultured on solid medium following the infection step. Following this co-cultivation period an optional “resting” step is performed. In this resting step, the embryos are incubated in the presence of at least one antibiotic known to inhibit the growth of Agrobacterium without the addition of a selective agent for plant transformants (step 3: resting step). The immature embryos are cultured on solid medium with antibiotic, but without a selecting agent, for elimination of Agrobacterium and for a resting phase for the infected cells. Next, inoculated embryos are cultured on medium containing a selective agent and growing transformed callus is recovered (step 4: the selection step). The immature embryos are cultured on solid medium with a selective agent resulting in the selective growth of transformed cells. The callus is then
regenerated into plants (step 5: the regeneration step), and calli grown on selective medium are cultured on solid medium to regenerate the plants.

**Example 7: Transformation Of Somatic Soybean Embryo Cultures and Regeneration Of Soybean Plants**

The following stock solutions and media are used for transformation and regeneration of soybean plants:

**Stock solutions**

**Sulfate 100 X Stock:** 37.0 g MgSO₄·7H₂O, 1.69 g MnSO₄·H₂O, 0.86 g ZnSO₄·7H₂O, 0.0025 g CuSO₄·5H₂O.

**Halides 100 X Stock:** 30.0 g CaCl₂·2H₂O, 0.083 g KI, 0.0025 g CoCl₂·6H₂O.

**P, B, Mo 100X Stock:** 18.5 g KH₂PO₄, 0.62 g H₃BO₃, 0.025 g Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O

**Fe EDTA 100X Stock:** 3.724 g Na₂EDTA, 2.784 g FeSO₄·7H₂O.

**2,4-D Stock:** 10 mg/mL.

**Vitamin B5 1000X Stock:** 10.0 g myo-inositol, 0.10 g nicotinic acid, 0.10 g pyridoxine HCl, 1 g thiamine.

**Media (per Liter)**

**SB196:** 10 mL of each of the above stock solutions, 1 mL B5 vitamin stock, 0.463 g (NH₄)₂SO₄, 2.83 g KNO₃, 1 mL 2,4-D stock, 1 g asparagine, 10 g sucrose, pH 5.7.

**SB103:** 1 pk. Murashige & Skoog salts mixture, 1 mL B5 vitamin stock, 750 mg MgCl₂ hexahydrate, 60 g maltose, 2 g gelrite, pH 5.7.

**SB166:** SB103 supplemented with 5 g per liter activated charcoal.

**SB71-4:** Gamborg’s B5 salts (Gibco-BRL catalog No. 21153-028), 1 mL B5 vitamin stock, 30 g sucrose, 5 g TC agar, pH 5.7.

Soybean embryogenic suspension cultures are maintained in 35 mL liquid medium (SB196) on a rotary shaker (150 rpm) at 28°C with fluorescent lights providing a 16 hour day/8 hour night cycle. Cultures are subcultured every 2 weeks by inoculating approximately 35 mg of tissue into 35 mL of fresh liquid media.

Soybean embryogenic suspension cultures are transformed by the method of particle gun bombardment (see Klein et al. (1987) *Nature* 327:70-73) using a DuPont Biollistic PDS1000/He instrument.

In particle gun bombardment procedures it is possible to use purified 1) entire plasmid DNA or, 2) DNA fragments containing only the recombinant DNA expression cassette(s) of interest. For every eight bombardment transformations, 30 µl of suspension is prepared containing 1 to 90 picograms (pg) of DNA fragment per base pair of DNA fragment. The recombinant DNA plasmid or fragment used to express the antifungal gene is on a
separate recombinant DNA plasmid or fragment from the selectable marker gene. Both recombinant DNA plasmids or fragments are co-precipitated onto gold particles as follows. The DNAs in suspension are added to 50 μL of a 20 - 60 mg/mL 0.6 μm gold particle suspension and then combined with 50 μL CaCl₂ (2.5 M) and 20 μL spermidine (0.1 M) The mixture is pulse vortexed 5 times, spun in a microfuge for 10 seconds, and the supernatant removed. The DNA-coated particles are then washed once with 150 μL of 100% ethanol, pulse vortexed and spun in a microfuge again, and resuspended in 85 μL of anhydrous ethanol. Five μL of the DNA-coated gold particles are then loaded on each macrocarrier disk.

Approximately 150 to 250 mg of two-week-old suspension culture is placed in an empty 60 mm X 15 mm petri plate and the residual liquid is removed from the tissue using a pipette. The tissue is placed about 3.5 inches away from the retaining screen and each plate of tissue is bombarded once. Membrane rupture pressure is set at 650 psi and the chamber is evacuated to ~28 inches of Hg. Eighteen plates are bombarded, and, following bombardment, the tissue from each plate is divided between two flasks, placed back into liquid media, and cultured as described above.

Seven days after bombardment, the liquid medium is exchanged with fresh SB196 medium supplemented with 50 mg/mL hygromycin or 100 ng/mL chlorsulfuron, depending on the selectable marker gene used in transformation. The selective medium is refreshed weekly or biweekly. Seven weeks post-bombardment, green, transformed tissue is observed growing from untransformed, necrotic embryogenic clusters. Isolated green tissue is removed and inoculated into individual flasks to generate new, clonally-propagated, transformed embryogenic suspension cultures. Thus, each new line is treated as independent transformation event. These suspensions can then be maintained as suspensions of embryos clustered in an immature developmental stage through subculture or can be regenerated into whole plants by maturation and germination of individual somatic embryos.

Transformed embryogenic clusters are removed from liquid culture and placed on solid agar medium (SB166) containing no hormones or antibiotics for one week. Embryos are cultured at 26°C with mixed fluorescent and incandescent lights on a 16 hour day:8 hour night schedule. After one week, the cultures are then transferred to SB103 medium and maintained in the same growth conditions for 3 additional weeks. Prior to transfer from liquid culture to solid medium, tissue from selected lines is assayed by PCR or Southern analysis for the presence of the antifungal gene.
Somatic embryos become suitable for germination after 4 weeks and are then removed from the maturation medium and dried in empty petri dishes for 1 to 5 days. The dried embryos are then planted in SB71-4 medium where they are allowed to germinate under the same light and germination conditions described above. Germinated embryos are transferred to sterile soil and grown to maturity.

All publications and patent applications mentioned in the specification are indicative of the level of those skilled in the art to which this invention pertains. All publications and patent applications are herein incorporated by reference to the same extent as if each individual publication or patent application was specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated by reference.

Although the foregoing invention has been described in some detail by way of illustration and example for purposes of clarity of understanding, it will be obvious that certain changes and modifications may be practiced within the scope of the appended claims.
THAT WHICH IS CLAIMED:

1. An isolated polypeptide comprising an amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of:
   a) a polypeptide comprising the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:1 or 3;
   b) a polypeptide having at least 90% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:1 or 3, wherein said polypeptide has antipathogenic activity; and,
   c) a polypeptide having at least 15 consecutive amino acids of SEQ ID NO:1 or 3, wherein said polypeptide has antipathogenic activity.

2. The polypeptide of claim 1, wherein the polypeptide has antifungal activity.

3. An isolated nucleic acid molecule comprising a nucleotide sequence selected from the group consisting of:
   a) a polynucleotide comprising the sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:2 or 4;
   b) a polynucleotide having at least about 90% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:2 or 4;
   c) a polynucleotide encoding the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1 or 3;
   d) a polynucleotide encoding the amino acid sequence of a polypeptide having at least 90% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:1 or 3, wherein said polypeptide has antipathogenic activity; and,
   e) a polynucleotide encoding the amino acid sequence of a polypeptide having at least 15 consecutive amino acids of SEQ ID NO:1 or 3, wherein said polypeptide has antipathogenic activity.

4. The nucleic acid molecule of claim 3, wherein the nucleotide sequence is optimized for expression in a plant.

5. An expression cassette comprising a polynucleotide of claim 3 operably linked to a promoter that drives expression in a plant or plant cell.

6. The expression cassette of claim 5 further comprising an operably linked polynucleotide encoding a signal peptide.
7. The expression cassette of claim 6, wherein said polynucleotide encoding a signal peptide comprises the nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO:14.

8. The expression cassette of claim 7, wherein said signal peptide comprises the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:15.

9. A transformed plant cell comprising at least one expression cassette according to claim 5.

10. The plant cell of claim 9, wherein said plant cell is from a monocot.

11. The plant cell of claim 10, wherein said monocot is maize, wheat, rice, barley, sorghum, or rye.

12. The plant cell of claim 9, wherein said plant cell is from a dicot.

13. The plant cell of claim 12, wherein said dicot is soybean, *Brassica*, sunflower, cotton, or alfalfa.

14. A plant comprising at least one expression cassette according to claim 5.

15. The plant of claim 14, wherein said plant displays increased resistance to a plant fungal pathogen.

16. The plant of claim 15, wherein said plant fungal pathogen is selected from the group consisting of *Colletotrichum graminicola*, *Diplodia maydis*, *Fusarium graminearum*, and *Fusarium verticilloides*.

17. The plant of claim 14, wherein said promoter is a tissue-prefixed promoter selected from the group consisting of a leaf-prefixed promoter, a root-prefixed promoter, a seed-prefixed promoter, a stalk-prefixed promoter, and a vascular tissue-prefixed promoter.

18. The plant of claim 14, wherein said promoter is a pathogen-inducible promoter.

19. A transformed seed of the plant of claim 14.
20. A method for inducing plant pathogen resistance in a plant, said method comprising introducing into a plant at least one expression cassette according to claim 5.

21. An antipathogenic composition comprising a carrier and at least one polypeptide in accordance with claim 1.

22. A method for protecting a plant from a plant pathogen comprising applying the composition according to claim 21 to the environment of a plant pathogen.
Antifungal activity of LB-09812 (SEQ ID NO:1)