

(43) International Publication Date
23 May 2013 (23.05.2013)(51) International Patent Classification:
H04L 29/06 (2006.01)(21) International Application Number:
PCT/US2012/063489(22) International Filing Date:
5 November 2012 (05.11.2012)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
13/298,338 17 November 2011 (17.11.2011) US(71) Applicant: GENERAL INSTRUMENT CORPORA-
TION [US/US]; 101 Tournament Drive, Horsham, PA
19044 (US).


(72) Inventors; and

(71) Applicants (for US only): MACK, Robert E. [US/US];
1822 Morgan Lane, Collegeville, PA 19426 (US).
SAMANT, Niranjana R. [US/US]; 109 Green Spring
Circle, Lansdale, PA 19446 (US). VINCE, Lawrence D.
[US/US]; 114 Aileen Drive, Lansdale, PA 19446 (US).(74) Agents: WIENER, Stewart M. et al.; 600 North US High-
way 45, Libertyville, IL 60048 (US).(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every
kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM,
AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY,
BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM,
DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT,
HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP,
KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD,
ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI,
NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU,
RW, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ,
TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA,
ZM, ZW.(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every
kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH,
GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ,
UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ,
TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK,
EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV,
MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM,
TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW,
ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

(54) Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR NETWORK BASED ADAPTIVE STREAMING



(57) Abstract: A method and apparatus for streaming Over-the-Top (OTT) content on a network to subscribers is described. A digital video transport stream of an item of the OTT content is obtained via unicast streaming methods of a resolution and bit rate selected from an available set of different resolutions and bit rates for the digital video transport stream. The digital video transport stream of the selected resolution and bit rate is transmitted in the form of an IP multicast over the network to subscribers. Bandwidth utilization of the network is monitored so that switching of the bit rate to a different bit rate for the digital video transport stream can be controlled to provide adaptive rate control of the IP multicast.

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR NETWORK BASED ADAPTIVE STREAMING

FIELD

[0001] Method and apparatus for streaming and distributing Over-the-Top (OTT) service and content to subscribers over a broadband network such as a multiple service operator (MSO) network are described.

BACKGROUND

[0002] Network operators typically distribute and deliver services and content over their network, such as cable television services over a cable network. In some cases, services and content of entities other than the network operator are distributed over the network operator's network resulting in increased utilization of the network operator's access network. This type of service and content is referred to as Over-the-Top (OTT) because the OTT service typically rides on top of the network operator's service yet may not be otherwise affiliated with the network operator or the network operator's services.

[0003] One example of an OTT service is Internet Protocol Television (IPTV) which refers to a service of providing video, audio and/or data content in the form of IP packets provided by a Service Provider over a closed network, such as a Data Over Cable (DOC) network. Thus, IPTV can be offered as an OTT service over an existing network of a network operator to customers connected to the network. In this manner, OTT provides the ability to offer linear broadcast television (TV) as a unicast IP service to subscribers both within and outside of a Service Provider's

network. As used herein, the term “unicast” refers to a communication between a single sender and a single receiver over a network.

[0004] In addition, a service provider may create their own unicast based “adaptive or progressive” streaming service to distribute content to portable devices, such as tablets, computers, smart phones, and the like. Some of the subscribers to this particular service may be connected to another service provider’s network while some subscribers may be connected to the service provider’s access network. Thus, the service provider may distribute the same OTT services to portable devices within subscriber homes connected to the service provider’s access network. In other words, OTT can be an adjunct service offering for subscribers on other service provider networks, and can also enhance the experience of on-network subscribers (e.g., by supporting their portable devices).

[0005] When additional OTT content is deployed on a network, such as a significant number of new High Definition (HD) services over an existing distribution network of a network operator, a result may be that the distribution network becomes capacity constrained due to bandwidth requirements needed to fulfill the OTT service. As an example, the QAM plant may be at or near capacity, and the IP distribution network may not be sized to carry all the HD services being planned, particularly, since the new OTT services require a unicast infrastructure. Further complicating the addition of OTT content is that it may be desired to deploy technology that can be leveraged to source these HD services to Set Top Boxes (STBs) or like Customer Premise Equipment (CPE) that have different IP capabilities (i.e., none to advanced) and to a variety of different mobile, PC, and tablet customer devices with varying IP capabilities (i.e., resolution, etc.) within the home or on wireless networks.

[0006] Simply by way of example, an existing IP video distribution network may not have sufficient capacity to deliver a desired 100 new HD services using a unicast OTT distribution method. For instance, assuming that the network's available capacity is uniformly distributed among all potential subscriber homes, there may be on average approximately 3.5 Mbps available for IPTV video services into each home. In this example, if 50% of the subscribers requested one of the new HD services (8Mbps/service on average), the existing network would be oversubscribed.

[0007] The cost to add capacity to a distribution network is typically too exorbitant to consider as a solution to the above referenced problem. Accordingly, there exists a need for a method and apparatus that provide cost and bandwidth efficiencies to enable a capacity constrained network to effectively and efficiently promote the utilization of new content and services prepared for Over-the-Top (OTT) distribution to a population of subscribers, such as via legacy STBs or the like. Further, it may be desirable for such a method and apparatus to permit both next generation IPTV devices and legacy STBs to share a common video path infrastructure, even if the legacy network is capacity constrained.

SUMMARY

[0008] This disclosure describes a method of streaming Over-the-Top (OTT) content on a network to subscribers. A digital video transport stream of an item of the OTT content is obtained via unicast streaming and of a resolution and bit rate selected from an available set of different resolutions and bit rates for the digital video transport stream. The digital video transport stream of the selected resolution and bit rate is transmitted in the form of an IP multicast over the network to subscribers.

Bandwidth utilization of the network is monitored so that switching of the bit rate to a different bit rate for the digital video transport stream can be controlled to provide adaptive rate control of the IP multicast.

[0009] This disclosure also describes apparatus for streaming Over-the-Top (OTT) content on a network to subscribers. The apparatus includes a streamer located at a headend of the network configured to obtain a Moving Pictures Experts Group (MPEG) transport stream of an item of the OTT content of a resolution and bit rate selected from a set of different resolutions and bit rates and to transmit the MPEG transport stream to subscribers in the form of an IP multicast over the network. The apparatus also includes a Session Resource Manager (SRM) located at the headend of the network for controlling the resolution and bit rate of the MPEG transport stream obtained and transmitted by the streamer to thereby enable adaptive rate control of the IP multicast.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0010] Various features of the embodiments described in the following detailed description can be more fully appreciated when considered with reference to the accompanying figures, wherein the same numbers refer to the same elements.

[0011] FIG. 1 is a schematic view of unicast OTT infrastructure and video stream processing flow in accordance with an embodiment.

[0012] FIGs. 2A and 2B are a schematic view of the OTT infrastructure and video stream processing flow of FIG. 1 in combination with an adaptive rate IP multicast system in accordance with another embodiment.

[0013] FIGs. 3A and 3B are a flowchart of process steps for delivering OTT content to customer devices in accordance with the embodiment shown in FIG. 2.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0014] For simplicity and illustrative purposes, the principles of the embodiments are described by referring mainly to examples thereof. In the following description, numerous specific details are set forth in order to provide a thorough understanding of the embodiments. It will be apparent however, to one of ordinary skill in the art, that the embodiments may be practiced without limitation to these specific details. In some instances, well known methods and structures have not been described in detail so as not to unnecessarily obscure the embodiments.

[0015] FIG. 1 illustrates a typical video processing path 10 involved in the creation and distribution of IPTV services based on OTT technology. Thus, FIG. 1 illustrates so-called unicast OTT infrastructure that typically exists for a broadband network such as a multiple service operator (MSO) network.

[0016] Source devices 12, such as IRDs, Encoders, or any other source of video/audio content, provide OTT content as MPEG packets within an IP datagram stream to the headend of the network. A Capture Management System 14 may store the content in a storage unit 16 for future processing and playout, or the content may be made available in substantially real-time or after a pre-determined time delay and be provided to a transcoder 18. For purposes of this disclosure, "real-time" includes a level of responsiveness that is sufficiently fast to keep up with the rate of processing the OTT content as well as a level of responsiveness that tolerates a degree of lateness or built-in delay.

[0017] The content passes through a transcoding process in the transcoder 18 whereby a single input stream is turned into a “bouquet” of streams, each encoded into a different resolution format and bit rate. The term “bouquet” as used herein refers to a collection of related streams, each constituting a unique bit rate and resolution pairing derived from the same original MPEG service. Typically, the original MPEG service is of the highest quality and may be referred to as a "golden copy". For example, a 1080i/30 or 720p/60 resolution service at 19.2 Mbps may be the golden copy MPEG service that can be used to derive lower bit rate and quality versions of that service. The multiplicity of different stream formats and bit rates enables the OTT content to be sourced to devices with different capabilities, such as Smart Phone 20, personal computer 22, and tablet computer 24. In addition, the different bit rates support adaptive streaming, whereby the receiving client has the ability to measure network congestion and request a lower or higher bit rate stream from the source. This may eliminate visual impairments caused by network congestion (e.g. macro-blocking due to dropped packets, frozen frames) at the expense of higher resolution video.

[0018] After transcoding, the bouquet of streams is encrypted in an encryptor or pre-encryptor 26 and then chunked in a chunker 28. The chunking process breaks each stream into time slices (e.g. 10 second period) and places the stream of packets for that period into a standard file format container that includes the packets and metadata describing the content (e.g. .MP4 container). The files are placed on a server 30 that can publish them to a Content Distribution Network (CDN) 32 for smart distribution to the edges of the network (i.e., to Smart Phone 20, personal

computer 22, tablet computer 24, and like customer devices or Customer Premise Equipment (CPE)).

[0019] Client devices 20, 22 and 24 pull the files from CDN 32 by using standard unicast HTTP Gets. An example of a typical adaptive streaming protocol is unicast HTTP Live Streaming (HLS). Other examples of adaptive streaming protocol include HTTP Dynamic Streaming (HDS) and IIS Smooth Streaming. The adaptive clients (such as HLS clients) continuously measure network performance and adaptively request other file chunks containing higher or lower bit rate streams depending on the dynamic bandwidth that the network can support.

[0020] FIG. 2 illustrates an alternate or an additional system that provides cost and bandwidth efficiencies for delivering and processing OTT content on an otherwise capacity-constrained network. As described above, the part of the system encapsulated within the upper box represents the OTT content and existing infrastructure to be deployed. The remaining part of the system shown in FIG. 2 represents the portion of the system added to deliver additional OTT services, such as IPTV services to IP STBs 48 and QAM STBs 44 leveraging the existing OTT technology infrastructure.

[0021] The Streamer 40 pulls the HLS files stored on the CDN origin server (or other storage server) 30 and reconstructs a fully compliant MPEG transport stream from the files for transmission from the Streamer 40 via IP multicast. Because the Streamer 40 can pull HLS files from the origin server 30 and can translate them to a compliant MPEG transport stream, the existing OTT infrastructure is fully leveraged. As a contemplated option, the Streamer 40 can alternatively receive the MPEG

transport streams (before chunking) directly from the Encryptor 26. In this case, the Streamer 40 would not have to reconstruct the transport streams from container files.

[0022] Because the network 42 for the legacy STBs 44 may be a bandwidth constrained network, that is also managed (QoS, bandwidth controlled), the use of IP multicast is more efficient from a capacity utilization point of view than using unicast HTTP. As an example, if an existing network supports roughly 6 Gbps of capacity, within the network, to support approximately 1800 homes for IP video distribution, and if 50% of the users attempted to pull one of 100 different HD channels to be offered over IP, then 900 STBs would be pulling a unique unicast stream from the CDN 32 over the network according to the arrangement described with respect to FIG. 1. If each stream is 8Mbps, then the total required capacity is $900 \times 8 \text{ Mbps} = 7.2 \text{ Gbps}$. In this example, the network would be oversubscribed and would change bit rates of the unicast streams adaptively, which lowers quality. In contrast, if the multicast system described in FIG. 2 is used, even if all 100 Streams were being requested, the bandwidth required is $100 \times 8 \text{ Mbps} = 800 \text{ Mbps}$, or an order of magnitude less than that required for the system of FIG. 1. Accordingly, there is no need to change the bit rate in this example for the multicast system since it will be well below capacity thereby permitting high quality video to be maintained.

[0023] In FIG. 2, the Streamer 40 provides a control interface to the Session Resource Manager (SRM) 46. A group of Streamers 40 provides bandwidth utilization reports to the SRM 46 which has knowledge of the available bandwidth that has been allocated for video through the network 42. When the SRM 46 determines that the network 42 is approaching capacity, based on the set of Streamer utilization reports, the SRM 46 can take action based on policy rules configured on

the device. For example, the SRM 46 can send control messages to a particular streamer or group of streamers 40 to pull a lower resolution/bit rate set of HLS files from those stored on the Origin server 30, or a lower bit rate stream from the encryptor 26. In this way, the SRM 46 and Streamer 40 provide network level adaptive bit rate control for a given service for the entire IP/QAM STB population, 44 and 48, served by that part of the network 42, rather than requiring each STB, 44 and 48, to have an adaptive client to request a lower resolution/bit rate set of files during times of network congestion. The lower bit rate stream is streamed on the same multicast not requiring the STB, 44 and 48, to join an alternate multicast. The STB, 44 and 48, is only required to adapt to the lower bit rate gracefully. This may require the Streamer 40 to condition the stream appropriately to prevent glitches at the decoder.

[0024] The SRM 46 also receives stream requests from the client STBs, 44 and 48, and switches a requested multicast stream onto the IP network 42 if the requested multicast stream is not already streaming. This is similar functionality offered by Switched Digital Video (SDV) Managers. By performing switched IP multicast and only placing content on the IP network 42 when required, additional bandwidth efficiencies can be realized in an IP multicast environment.

[0025] The arrangement described above for FIG. 2 allows a common OTT infrastructure to be deployed for the reception, transcoding, encryption, and storage of video services supporting all device types, including mobile 20, PCs 22, tablets 24, legacy STBs 44 and IP STBs 48. This system minimizes utilized bandwidth as linear broadcasts are offered via IP multicast versus HTTP unicast, allowing more efficient use of constrained IP network capacity. The system provides a policy based adaptive

streaming capability at the network level so the benefits of adaptive streaming can be realized if the IP network is congested without the need to deploy adaptive clients in all legacy and IP STBs. The policy management algorithm can make dynamic decisions about the bandwidth available for ad content and can add more ad streams as the network utilization decreases (e.g. when more people join a particular multicast because of its popularity).

[0026] In addition, the above described technique permits a service provider/network operator to use the same infrastructure (equipment used to create the adaptive streams) to offer a “pseudo”- adaptive service to his IP STB population as well, in a very efficient manner. This is because adaptive multicast to such client devices is driven from the network and not the client (like in adaptive streaming). Essentially, the streamer 40 permits the service provider/network operator to tap into the OTT service infrastructure that the service provider/network operator put in place and can translate from unicast to multicast while enabling bandwidth management by the service provider/network operator instead of the user. Thus, this system allows a service provider/network operator to invest in OTT infrastructure (transcoders, packagers, encryptors, etc.) to source an OTT service to on and off network portable devices and, with a little more investment (streamer, SRM, etc.), the service provider/network operator can use the same infrastructure to source to IP STBs (and QAM STBs) with capacity management over a constrained IP/QAM network.

[0027] By way of example, a service provider/network operator can carve its access network up into managed and unmanaged “pipes”. Portable devices with adaptive clients can pull their respective unicasts. As the capacity of this part of the “pipe” or network becomes constrained, the clients could all adaptively move to lower

and lower bit rates affecting the video quality. The managed “pipe” could source the adaptive multicast content to IP STBs or other devices capable of receiving a multicast. If this part of the network becomes constrained, the SRM could lower the offered bit rate within a give multicast based on policy. The effect is that the bandwidth of the network is better managed because the control is with the network SRM and distributed among many unicast clients. This puts control of network usage in the hands of the service provider/network operator for the part of the access network the service provider/network operate dedicates to managed devices.

[0028] By way of further explanation, FIG. 3 illustrates process steps for streaming Over-the-Top (OTT) content on a network to subscribers. As discussed with respect to FIG. 1, the process steps of the existing OTT infrastructure remain essentially the same. For instance, OTT content is received from a source and transcoded in step 50 into a bouquet of streams with each of the bouquet of streams encoded into a different resolution and bit rate to enable the OTT content to be sourced to customer devices of different IP capabilities and to support adaptive rate streaming. The bouquet of streams are then subject to encrypting in step 52 and chunking in step 54 such that each of the bouquet of streams is separated into a plurality of IP packets which can be stored in step 56 in the server 30 at the headend of the network.

[0029] The SRM 46 can receive a request in step 58 from a customer device, such as STB, 44 or 48, for a particular item of the OTT content. The SRM 46 in step 60 then directs the streamer 40 to obtain and transmit the particular requested item of the OTT content over the network 42. The

streamer 40 obtains a digital video transport stream for the requested item of the OTT content of a resolution and bit rate selected from an available set of different resolutions and bit rates in one of the following ways. In step 62, the streamer 40 receives IP packets of the requested item of OTT content in response to unicast HTTP pulls of HTTP Live Streaming (HLS) files from the server 30 and recreates a MPEG transport stream from the IP packets. As an alternative, in step 64, the streamer 40 receives the digital video transport streams directly from the encryptor 26 (in a form before chunking of the OTT content). In step 66, the digital video transport stream of a selected resolution and bit rate is transmitted across the network 42 to the STBs 44 and 48 in the form of an IP multicast.

[0030] In step 68, the SRM monitors bandwidth utilization of the network 42 during the obtaining and transmitting steps and controls switching of the bit rate to a different bit rate for the digital video transport stream during the obtaining and transmitting steps to provide adaptive rate control of the IP multicast. For example, if bandwidth utilization on the network 42 monitored during the monitoring step 68 exceeds an upper pre-set bandwidth utilization threshold, the bit rate of the digital video transport stream will be switched to a lower bit rate. See step 70. Alternatively, if bandwidth utilization on the network 42 falls below a lower pre-set bandwidth utilization threshold, the bit rate of the digital video transport stream will be switched to a higher bit rate, if possible. See step 72. Steps 70 and 72 can be accomplished by switching the unicast HTTP pulls of the HLS files from the server 30 to HLS files of a higher or lower bit rate.

[0031] All of the above steps occur automatically as set by the network operator to ensure the efficient use of IP network capacity. This system provides a policy based adaptive streaming capability at the network level so the benefits of adaptive streaming can be realized if the IP network is congested without the need to deploy adaptive clients in all legacy and IP STBs.

[0032] The devices, units, modules, servers, streamers, routers, and storage discussed above can physically be provided on a circuit board or within an electronic device and can include various processors, microprocessors, controllers, chips, disk drives, and the like. It will be apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art that the modules, processors, controllers, units, and the like may be implemented as electronic components, software, hardware or a combination of hardware and software.

[0033] While the principles of the invention have been described above in connection with specific devices, systems, and methods, it is to be clearly understood that this description is made only by way of example and not as limitation on the scope of the invention as defined in the appended claims.

We claim:

1. A method of streaming Over-the-Top (OTT) content on a network to subscribers, comprising the steps of:

obtaining via unicast streaming a digital video transport stream of an item of the OTT content, the digital video transport stream being of a resolution and bit rate selected from an available set of different resolutions and bit rates for the digital video transport stream;

transmitting the digital video transport stream of the selected resolution and bit rate in the form of an IP multicast over the network to subscribers; and

monitoring bandwidth utilization of the network during said obtaining and transmitting steps to control switching of the bit rate to a different bit rate for the digital video transport stream during said obtaining and transmitting steps to provide adaptive rate control of the IP multicast.

2. A method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of controlling the switching of the bit rate such that the digital video transport stream is obtained during said obtaining step at a lower bit rate if bandwidth utilization on the network monitored during said monitoring step exceeds an upper pre-set bandwidth utilization threshold.

3. A method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of controlling the switching of the bit rate such that the digital video transport stream is obtained during said obtaining step at a higher bit rate if bandwidth utilization on the network monitored during said monitoring step falls below a lower pre-set bandwidth utilization threshold.

4. A method according to claim 1, further comprising the steps of:

transcoding the OTT content received from a source into a bouquet of streams, each of the bouquet of streams encoded into a different resolution and bit rate to thereby permit the OTT content to be sourced to customer devices of different IP capabilities and to thereby support adaptive rate streaming;

encrypting, after said transcoding step, the bouquet of streams with an encryptor;

chunking, after said encrypting step, each of the bouquet of streams to break each of the bouquet of streams into a plurality of IP packets; and

storing the IP packets in a server at the headend of the network.

5. A method according to claim 4, wherein said obtaining step includes:

receiving IP packets of the item of the OTT content in response to unicast HTTP pulls of HTTP Live Streaming (HLS) files from the server at the headend of the network; and recreating the digital video transport stream from the IP packets.

6. A method according to claim 5, wherein:

if bandwidth utilization on the network monitored during said monitoring step is below a lower pre-set bandwidth utilization threshold, the unicast HTTP pulls of the HLS files from the server at the headend of the network are switched to HLS files of a higher bit rate; and

if bandwidth utilization on the network monitored during said monitoring step exceeds an upper pre-set bandwidth utilization threshold, the unicast HTTP pulls of the HLS files from the server at the headend of the network are switched to HLS files of a lower bit rate.

7. A method according to claim 4, wherein said obtaining step includes receiving digital video transport streams directly from the encryptor at the headend of the network.

8. A method according to claim 2, wherein a Session Resource Manager (SRM) located at a headend of the network automatically performs said controlling step.

9. A method according to claim 8, wherein a streamer located at the headend of the network automatically performs said obtaining and transmitting steps.

10. A method according to claim 9, further comprising the step of receiving with the SRM a request from a customer device for a particular item of the OTT content and thereafter performing said obtaining and transmitting steps with the streamer for the particular item of the OTT content.

11. A method according to claim 1, wherein the digital video transport stream is a Moving Pictures Experts Group (MPEG) transport stream.

12. Apparatus for streaming Over-the-Top (OTT) content on a network to subscribers, comprising:

a streamer located at a headend of the network configured to obtain a Moving Pictures Experts Group (MPEG) transport stream of an item of the OTT content of a resolution and bit rate selected from a set of different resolutions and bit rates and to transmit the MPEG transport stream to subscribers in the form of an IP multicast over the network; and

a Session Resource Manager (SRM) located at the headend of the network for controlling the resolution and bit rate of the MPEG transport stream obtained and transmitted by said streamer to enable adaptive rate control of the IP multicast.

13. Apparatus according to claim 12, wherein said SRM is configured to monitor bandwidth utilization of the network and decrease bit rate of the MPEG transport stream obtained and transmitted by said streamer if bandwidth utilization on the network monitored exceeds an upper pre-set bandwidth utilization threshold.

14. Apparatus according to claim 12, wherein said SRM is configured to monitor bandwidth utilization of the network and increase bit rate of the MPEG transport stream obtained and transmitted by said streamer if bandwidth utilization on the network monitored is below a lower pre-set bandwidth utilization threshold.

15. Apparatus according to claim 12, wherein said streamer is configured to obtain the MPEG transport stream by obtaining Internet Protocol (IP) packets of the item of the OTT content by unicast HTTP pulls of HTTP Live Streaming (HLS) files from a server at the headend of the network and by recreating the MPEG transport stream from the IP packets.

16. Apparatus according to claim 15, wherein said SRM is configured to monitor bandwidth utilization of the network, and wherein, if bandwidth utilization on the network is monitored to exceed an upper pre-set bandwidth utilization threshold, the unicast HTTP pulls of the HLS files from the server are automatically switched to HLS files of a lower bit rate.

17. Apparatus according to claim 15, wherein said SRM is configured to monitor bandwidth utilization of the network, and wherein, if bandwidth utilization on the network is monitored to fall below a lower pre-set bandwidth utilization threshold, the unicast HTTP pulls of the HLS files from the server by the streamer are automatically switched to HLS files of a higher bit rate.

18. Apparatus according to claim 12, further comprising:

a transcoder for transcoding the OTT content into a bouquet of streams, each of the bouquet of streams encoded into a different resolution and bit rate to thereby permit the OTT content to be sourced to customer devices of different IP capabilities and to support adaptive rate streaming;

an encryptor for encrypting the bouquet of streams;

a chunker for breaking each of the bouquet of streams into a plurality of IP packets; and

a server for storing the IP packets at the headend of the network.

19. Apparatus according to claim 18, wherein said streamer is configured to obtain the MPEG transport stream from said encryptor.

20. Apparatus according to claim 12, wherein said SRM is configured to receive requests for items of the OTT content from customer devices and thereafter direct said streamer to obtain and transmit requested items of the OTT content over the network at a resolution based on capabilities of the customer device.




FIG. 1




FIG. 2A




FIG. 2B




FIG. 3A




FIG. 3B

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/US2012/063489

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
INV. H04L29/06
ADD.

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
H04L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

EP0-Internal, WPI Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 2007/233889 A1 (GUO KATHERINE H [US] ET AL) 4 October 2007 (2007-10-04) abstract paragraph [0015] - paragraph [0019] paragraph [0023] - paragraph [0025] paragraph [0041] - paragraph [0045] paragraph [0052] - paragraph [0056] paragraph [0058] - paragraph [0063] figures 1, 4, 7, 8	1-20
X	US 2004/255148 A1 (MONTEIRO ANTONIO M [US] ET AL) 16 December 2004 (2004-12-16) abstract paragraph [0009] paragraph [0034] paragraph [0039] - paragraph [0041] paragraph [0046] - paragraph [0047] ----- -/--	1-20



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

12 February 2013

Date of mailing of the international search report

20/02/2013

Name and mailing address of the ISA/

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Konrad, Markus

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No
PCT/US2012/063489

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	KR 2011 0065312 A (SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO LTD [KR]) 15 June 2011 (2011-06-15) paragraph [0020] - paragraph [0022] -----	1-20
A	WO 00/72517 A1 (EDGE NETWORKS CORP [CA]; TOTH JOE [CA]; SCHELLENBERG JAMES [CA]; GRAVE) 30 November 2000 (2000-11-30) page 4, line 34 - page 5, line 7 -----	1-20
A	US 2011/145430 A1 (HA HO-JIN [KR] ET AL) 16 June 2011 (2011-06-16) paragraph [0050] - paragraph [0052] -----	1-20
A	KEVIN J MA ET AL: "DRM workflow analysis for over-the-top HTTP segmented delivery", MULTIMEDIA AND EXPO (ICME), 2011 IEEE INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON, IEEE, 11 July 2011 (2011-07-11), pages 1-4, XP031964725, DOI: 10.1109/ICME.2011.6012047 ISBN: 978-1-61284-348-3 2nd paragraph of Section 2.2; page 2 -----	1-20
A	JOAÏ O ESPADANAL GONÃ PRG ALVES ET AL: "Implementing a QoS-aware, context-aware and personalized WebTV", PROC. OF 2010 6TH CONFERENCE ON NEXT GENERATION INTERNET (NGI 2010), 2-4 JUNE 2010, PARIS, FRANCE, IEEE, PISCATAWAY, NJ, USA, 2 June 2010 (2010-06-02), pages 1-8, XP031722243, ISBN: 978-1-4244-8167-5 Section II.; column 2 - column 3 -----	1-20

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No

PCT/US2012/063489

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2007233889 A1	04-10-2007	CN 101427512 A EP 2002599 A1 JP 2009532939 A KR 20090008199 A US 2007233889 A1 WO 2007123613 A1	06-05-2009 17-12-2008 10-09-2009 21-01-2009 04-10-2007 01-11-2007
US 2004255148 A1	16-12-2004	US 7266686 B1 US 2004255148 A1 US 2006253599 A1 US 2006282544 A1	04-09-2007 16-12-2004 09-11-2006 14-12-2006
KR 20110065312 A	15-06-2011	CN 102648609 A EP 2510659 A2 KR 20110065312 A	22-08-2012 17-10-2012 15-06-2011
WO 0072517 A1	30-11-2000	AU 2652800 A AU 2652900 A AU 2653000 A CA 2280662 A1 WO 0072517 A1 WO 0072599 A1 WO 0072602 A1	12-12-2000 12-12-2000 12-12-2000 21-11-2000 30-11-2000 30-11-2000 30-11-2000
US 2011145430 A1	16-06-2011	US 2011145430 A1 WO 2011071290 A2	16-06-2011 16-06-2011