PCT WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 5 :		(11) International Publication Number:
B05D 3/06, 5/08, B26B 21/60	A1	WO 94/27744
		(43) International Publication Date: 8 December 1994 (08.12.94)

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US94/05925

(22) International Filing Date: 26 May 1994 (26.05.94)

(30) Priority Data:
9311034.4 28 May 1993 (28.05.93) GB

(71) Applicant *(for all designated States except US)*: THE GILLETTE COMPANY [US/US]; Prudential Tower Building, Boston, MA 02199 (US).

(72) Inventors; and
(75) Inventors/Applicants *(for US only)*: CAUSTON, Brian, Edward [GB/GB]; 10 Church Road, Aldermaston Reading, Berkshire RG7 4LT (GB). GLASSON, Edwin, Lloyd [GB/US]; 89 Seaver Street, Wellesley, MA 02181-6725 (US).

(74) Agents: HANDELMAN, Joseph, H. et al.; Ladas & Parry, 26 West 61st Street, New York, NY 10023 (US).

(81) Designated States: AT, AU, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CZ, DE, DK, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, JP, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LU, LV, MD, MG, MN, MW, NL, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SI, SK, TJ, TT, UA, US, UZ, VN, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published
With international search report.

(54) Title: METHOD OF COATING CUTTING EDGES

(57) Abstract

Cutting edges, particularly of razor blades, are coated with PTFE by spraying the edge with an aqueous dispersion of PTFE of molecular weight at least 500,000; subjecting the coated edge to an ionising radiation dose of up to 50 Mrads in the presence of oxygen; and then sintering the coating.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	**GB**	United Kingdom	**MR**	Mauritania
AU	Australia	**GE**	Georgia	**MW**	Malawi
BB	Barbados	**GN**	Guinea	**NE**	Niger
BE	Belgium	**GR**	Greece	**NL**	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	**HU**	Hungary	**NO**	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	**IE**	Ireland	**NZ**	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	**IT**	Italy	**PL**	Poland
BR	Brazil	**JP**	Japan	**PT**	Portugal
BY	Belarus	**KE**	Kenya	**RO**	Romania
CA	Canada	**KG**	Kyrgystan	**RU**	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	**KP**	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	**SD**	Sudan
CG	Congo			**SE**	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	**KR**	Republic of Korea	**SI**	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	**KZ**	Kazakhstan	**SK**	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	**LI**	Liechtenstein	**SN**	Senegal
CN	China	**LK**	Sri Lanka	**TD**	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	**LU**	Luxembourg	**TG**	Togo
CZ	Czech Republic	**LV**	Latvia	**TJ**	Tajikistan
DE	Germany	**MC**	Monaco	**TT**	Trinidad and Tobago
DK	Denmark	**MD**	Republic of Moldova	**UA**	Ukraine
ES	Spain	**MG**	Madagascar	**US**	United States of America
FI	Finland	**ML**	Mali	**UZ**	Uzbekistan
FR	France	**MN**	Mongolia	**VN**	Viet Nam
GA	Gabon				

METHOD OF COATING CUTTING EDGES

This invention is concerned with a method of coating cutting edges, more particularly razor blade cutting edges, with polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE).

For many years razor blade cutting edges have been coated with PTFE, an early disclosure of the use of such coatings being, for example, British Specification 906005. Such coatings have been shown to improve the shaving effectiveness of the blade edge by reducing the force required to cut through the hair and thus reduce the pull on the hairs of the shaving area which the shaver experiences.

It has been known for some time that for most PTFE-coated razor blades the force required to cut hair with an unused blade, that is the first shave force, is significantly higher than the force required in the immediately following shaves, say the second to fifth shaves, with the same blade edge. It has been postulated that this phenomenon is due to the removal of much of the PTFE coating during the first shave, the difference between the first shave force and that for, say, the second to fifth shaves representing the force required to remove the "excess" polymer.

A number of processes for forming PTFE coatings on razor blade cutting edges have been described (for example, in Specification 906005 already referred to). One process which has been widely used commercially comprises spraying the blade edges with a 1% by weight dispersion of PTFE telomar (having a molecular weight of less than 100,000, for example 5000) in a chlorofluorocarbon and then sintering the PTFE coating formed. As a production process, this has been very satisfactory because it can readily be incorporated into a continuously operated razor blade production line and gives uniform results. However, there is a need to phase out the use of chlorofluorocarbons in industrial processes and, if possible, to use only water as the dispersion vehicle.

We have now developed a method of coating razor blade cutting edges with PTFE which does not require the use of a chlorofluorocarbon or other volatile organic solvent.

According to the present invention, there is provided a method of forming a PTFE coating on a razor blade cutting edge, which comprises spraying the cutting edge with an aqueous dispersion of PTFE having a molecular weight of at least 500,000 to form a coating of the PTFE on the edge subjecting the PTFE coating to ionising radiation in the presence of an oxygen-containing gas to obtain a radiation dose of up to about 60 Mrads, and then sintering the PTFE coating.

It is possible by the method of the invention to obtain PTFE coatings which do not exhibit the phenomenon, referred to above, of the first shave force being significantly

greater than the force required for the second to fifth shaves.

The PTFE starting material preferably has a molecular weight of from 1,000,000 to 2,000,000. This material is conventionally produced by aqueous polymerisation and is conventionally used for forming non-stick coatings on articles, such as cookware. It will be appreciated that at no stage in the production of the PTFE-coated razor blades of the invention, that is neither during the production of the PTFE polymer nor during the formation of the coatings, is a chlorofluorocarbon or other volatile organic solvent necessary. The process is intended to be carried out entirely without the use of such materials so that it is environmentally acceptable throughout. The invention does not, however, exclude the use of such materials.

It is neither required nor desired that PTFE telomers, that is polymers with a molecular weight below about 100,000, should be formed before the actual coating process.

The aqueous dispersion used to form the initial coating preferably contains from 0.15 to 0.5% by weight, more preferably approximately 0.25% by weight of PTFE. The dispersion may contain one or more surfactants to assist dispersion of the PTFE particles.

The spray coating operation may otherwise be carried out in the same way as the spray coating step of the conventional process using a chlorofluorocarbon dispersion of PTFE telomer.

After the coating has been applied to the blades, and before they are irradiated, we prefer to subject them to an oxygen-containing

atmosphere. Thus, the blades may advantageously be stored in (or otherwise exposed to) air or another oxygen-containing gas during the interval between coating and irradiation.

Preferred forms of ionising radiation for use in the method according to the invention are electron beam irradiation and gamma-ray irradiation, of which the former is the more preferred. Ultra-violet radiation can also be used.

It appears that the advantageous effect obtained by the present method, that is the reduction in the first shave force, is dependent on the radiation dose and not on other radiation parameters, such as radiation flux. No advantage is obtained by using radiation doses above about 60 Mrad and, indeed, it is preferred to use radiation doses well below this figure, e.g. doses in the range 3 to 30 Mrads, most preferably about 18 to 22 Mrads. Doses below about 1 Mrad are generally too low for practical purposes.

The irradiation degrades the PTFE to lower molecular weight material, but it appears to be a significant factor in obtaining the observed improvements that only a relatively small proportion of the PTFE should be reduced to a molecular weight below, say, 100,000. It is, therefore, preferred that the radiation dose should be such that approximately 10% by weight of the PTFE in the blade edge coating has its molecular weight reduced to a value below 100,000.

The irradiation should be carried out in an oxygen-containing gas: this may be oxygen or oxygen-enriched air, but is preferably air.

Following irradiation, the blades are

again preferably stored in, or exposed to, air (or another oxygen-containing gas) before sintering. After this oxygen soak, the PTFE coating is sintered and conventional conditions may be used for the sintering step. It is preferred to effect sintering at a temperature of from about 305°C. to about 470°C. for approximately from 5 to 3000 seconds. Sintering should be carried out as soon as practicable after the irradiation treatment; if there is a delay of more than 24 hours some of the advantages of the present invention may not be obtained. It is possible by the method of the present invention, to obtain coated blades in which, in use, the first shave force is not significantly greater than the shaving forces required for the second to fifth shaves. Further, comparisons by shave testing panels of blades coated by the method according to the invention with blades coated by the conventional method referred to above (spray coating with CFC dispersion of PTFE telomer; identical sintering conditions) show that in many cases, not only is the perceived first shave force of the blades of the invention lower than that of the conventional blades, but the shaving forces for the second to fifth shaves are also lower. That is to say, it is possible to achieve an appreciable general improvement in the shaving performance in the coated blades of the invention as compared with conventionally coated blades.

In order that the invention may be more fully understood, the following Examples are given by way of illustration only.

Example 1

Sharpened stainless steel blades were

heated to 100°C. in an oven and then sprayed with an aqueous 0.25% suspension of TE 3170 PTFE (supplied by du Pont) of molecular weight >1MM (1 million). The blades were sprayed at a rate of 2ml/sec/1000mm^2. The sprayed blades were then irradiated in an electron beam (4.5MeV. 20mA) to give a total dose of 3 Mrads. After irradiation in air, the blades were sintered at 340°C. for 25 seconds. The resulting coated blades had low first cut values and good polymer adhesion.

Example 2

Instead of using an electron beam in Example 1, gama irradiation can be used. For example, Co 60 radiation can be used for 50 Mrads dose, followed by sintering at 400°C. for 20 minutes in cracked ammonia. A PTFE of high molecular weight (eg. >1MM) is preferred, for example TE 3170.

Example 3

Example 1 was repeated with intervals of several hours between spraying and irradiating, and between irradiating and sintering. For comparative purposes, some of the blades were stored under vacuum during these intervals, and the others were stored in air. Samples of each were subjected to various doses of irradiation from 3 to 30 Mrads. The best results in terms of shaving effectiveness of the final blades were obtained from those which had been stored for one or both intervals in air. The preferred irradiation dose was 18 to 22 Mrads.

C L A I M S

1. A method of forming a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) coating on a razor blade cutting edge, which comprises spraying the edge with an aqueous dispersion of PTFE having a molecular weight of at least 500,000 to form a coating of the PTFE on the edge, subjecting the PTFE coating to ionising radiation in the presence of an oxygen-containing gas to obtain a radiation dose of up to 50 Mrads, and then sintering the PTFE coating.

2. A method according to claim 1, in which the PTFE starting material has a molecular weight of from 1,000,000 to 2,000,000.

3. A method according to claim 1 or 2, in which the aqueous dispersion of PTFE contains from 0.15 to 0.5% by weight of PTFE.

4. A method according to claim 1, 2 or 3, wherein, after forming the PTFE coating on the blade edge, the coated blade is exposed to an oxygen-containing atmosphere before subjecting it to the ionising radiation.

5. A method according to any of claims 1 to 4, in which the ionising radiation is electron beam or gamma radiation.

6. A method according to any of claims 1 to 5, in which the radiation dose is from 3 to 30 Mrads.

7. A method according to claim 6, wherein the radiation dose is from 18 to 22 Mrads.

8. A method according to any of claims 1 to 6, in which the radiation dose is such that approximately 10% by weight of the PTFE has its molecular weight reduced to a value below 100,000.

9. A method according to any of claims 1

to 8, in which irradiation of the PTFE is carried out in air.

10. A method according to any of claims 1 to 9, wherein after irradiating the coated blade edge, the blade is exposed to an oxygen-containing atmosphere before sintering the coating.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US94/05925

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(5) :B05D 3/06, 5/08; B26B 21/60
US CL :427/284, 552; 30/346.53, 346.55
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 427/284, 552; 30/346.53, 346.55; 76/Dig. 8

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

APS: razor blade, edge, polytetrafluoroethylene, ptfe, TEFLON, ionizing radiation, irradiation, x rays, gamma rays, electron bombardment, mrad, spray, dispersion, coating

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US, A, 3,203,829 (SEYER ET AL.) 31 August 1965.	1-3
A	US, A, 3,071,856 (FISCHBEIN) 08 January 1963.	1-3
A	US, A, 3,518,110 (FISCHBEIN) 30 June 1970.	1-3
A	US, A, 4,029,870 (BROWN ET AL.) 14 June 1977.	1-3

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. ☐ See patent family annex.

*	Special categories of cited documents:	"T"	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"A"	document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"X"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"E"	earlier document published on or after the international filing date	"Y"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"L"	document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"&"	document member of the same patent family
"O"	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		
"P"	document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		

Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
27 AUGUST 1994	15 SEP 1994
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Authorized officer TERRY J. OWENS Telephone No. (703) 308-0661

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)(July 1992)*

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US94/05925

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)

This international report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:

2. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:

3. ☒ Claims Nos.: 4-10
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.

2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.

3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:

4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.

☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.