

(19) **DANMARK**

(10) **DK/EP 3723218 T3**



(12) **Oversættelse af
europæisk patentskrift**

Patent- og
Varemærkestyrelsen

-
- (51) Int.Cl.: **H 02 H 1/00 (2006.01)** **G 01 R 31/00 (2006.01)** **H 02 H 3/33 (2006.01)**
H 02 S 50/00 (2014.01)
- (45) Oversættelsen bekendtgjort den: **2022-01-31**
- (80) Dato for Den Europæiske Patentmyndigheds bekendtgørelse om meddelelse af patentet: **2021-12-29**
- (86) Europæisk ansøgning nr.: **17936310.6**
- (86) Europæisk indleveringsdag: **2017-12-29**
- (87) Den europæiske ansøgnings publiceringsdag: **2020-10-14**
- (86) International ansøgning nr.: **CN2017120040**
- (87) Internationalt publikationsnr.: **WO2019127440**
- (84) Designerede stater: **AL AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR HR HU IE IS IT LI LT LU LV MC MK MT NL NO PL PT RO RS SE SI SK SM TR**
- (73) Patenthaver: **HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD., Huawei Administration Building, Bantian, Longgang District, Shenzhen, Guangdong 518129, Kina**
- (72) Opfinder: **LIU, Fangcheng, Huawei Administration Building, Bantian, Longgang District, Shenzhen, Guangdong 518129, Kina**
XIN, Kai, Huawei Administration Building, Bantian, Longgang District, Shenzhen, Guangdong 518129, Kina
LIU, Yunfeng, Huawei Administration Building, Bantian, Longgang District, Shenzhen, Guangdong 518129, Kina
- (74) Fuldmægtig i Danmark: **NORDIC PATENT SERVICE A/S, Bredgade 30, 1260 København K, Danmark**
- (54) Benævnelse: **METODE OG ANORDNING TIL HÅNDBETINGET AF JÆVNSTRØMSBUE**
- (56) Fremdragne publikationer:
EP-A2- 1 796 238
CN-A- 102 253 293
CN-A- 103 913 663
CN-A- 104 730 433
CN-A- 106 771 691
US-A1- 2009 284 265
US-A1- 2015 309 104
US-A1- 2017 117 699

DESCRIPTION

TECHNICAL FIELD

[0001] This application relates to the photovoltaic field, and specifically, to a method and an apparatus for processing a direct current electric arc and an apparatus.

BACKGROUND

[0002] With large-scale application of photovoltaic power generation, photovoltaic-system fire accidents occurred in a plurality of regions in succession, causing some financial losses and endangering personal safety. Subsequent investigation of such accidents found that most electrical fires result from a faulty electric arc at a direct current end. Once a faulty electric arc occurs at a direct current end of a photovoltaic power generation system, the faulty electric arc is relatively difficult to determine because the faulty electric arc has no zero crossing point characteristic like an alternating current faulty electric arc. In addition, due to continuous sunlight casting, energy is continuously injected into the faulty electric arc, causing a temperature of a contact portion to rise sharply. With the high temperature, surrounding components are carbonized. Consequently, fuses and cables may be fused, or even components and devices may be burnt to cause a fire.

[0003] Currently, it is found that a direct current electric arc fault may cause an increase in current noise in a direct current cable of a photovoltaic system. Therefore, a main idea of an existing direct current electric arc fault detection method is that based on an indirect relationship between the current noise and the direct current electric arc fault, whether a direct current electric arc fault occurs is determined based on a magnitude of the current noise in the direct current cable. A method for obtaining the current noise in the direct current cable is: first sampling a current of the direct current cable, and then performing FFT or wavelet transform on a sampling result, to obtain a corresponding noise frequency current component.

[0004] However, in the photovoltaic system, a photovoltaic cell panel with a relatively large area may form a relatively large grounding capacitance, causing an apparent ground leakage current loop. Therefore, in the direct current cable, there is not only a faulty current but also a relatively large common mode current. If common mode current noise is relatively large, an electric arc detection system is affected when no direct current electric arc fault actually occurs, and consequently a false alarm is generated, affecting normal operation of the photovoltaic system.

[0005] Arc fault detection systems for photovoltaic installations are disclosed in CN 106771691, US 2015/309104 and US 2009/284265.

SUMMARY

[0006] The present invention is defined by the appended claims and provides a method for processing a direct current electric arc and an apparatus, to resolve a problem that a false alarm caused by relatively large common mode current noise in a photovoltaic system affects normal operation of the photovoltaic system.

[0007] A first aspect of the present invention provides a method for processing a direct current electric arc. In this method, first, a first current is obtained, where the first current is a direct current input current of a direct current cable connected to a photovoltaic cell panel of a photovoltaic cell system. Then, a second current is obtained, where the second current is a direct current common mode current of a direct current cable on a direct current port side of an inverter of the photovoltaic cell system or an alternating current common mode current of an alternating current cable on an alternating current port side of the inverter. After the currents are obtained, a correlation coefficient between a frequency domain component of the first current and a frequency domain component of the second current may be calculated based on the frequency domain component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current. Then, condition-based determining is performed, and if it is found that the first current meets an electric arc occurrence condition and the calculated correlation coefficient is greater than a preset coefficient threshold, a direct current electric arc fault alarm is not sent.

[0008] It can be learned that, it can be determined that an alarm is still not required in some cases when the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition. Although total current noise is relatively large, differential mode noise is actually relatively small, and common mode noise is relatively large. Because the common mode noise actually affects a circuit very slightly, the circuit is in a normal operation state. In this embodiment of this application, the calculated correlation coefficient between the frequency domain component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current is used to reflect a proportion of common mode noise generated by the second current, and the preset coefficient threshold is set. If the correlation coefficient is greater than the coefficient threshold, it indicates that the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition because the common mode noise is relatively large. In this case, no alarm needs to be sent. This avoids a false alarm in this case, thereby reducing interference on normal operation of the inverter, and improving product performance. In addition, the direct current cable current and the common mode current that are used to calculate the correlation coefficient can be sampled synchronously, and are both sampling results under a same working condition. Therefore, impact of a working condition change of a photovoltaic power generation system on a detection result can be alleviated.

[0009] In some embodiments, determining that the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition may be: first, performing frequency domain decomposition on the first current to obtain a first frequency range, where the first frequency range is a frequency band in

which electric arc noise occurs; then, calculating a standard deviation of a current frequency value in the first frequency range; and obtaining a quantity N of times that the standard deviation is greater than a first threshold in a preset time period, and when N is greater than a second threshold, determining that the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition, where N is an integer that is greater than or equal to 0. Whether the first current has a direct current electric arc can be relatively accurately determined by determining the quantity of times.

[0010] In some embodiments, the quantity N of times that the standard deviation is greater than the first threshold in the preset time period is obtained through counting of a sliding window. A relatively accurate quantity N of times can be obtained in this manner.

[0011] In some embodiments, the correlation coefficient between the frequency domain component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current may be calculated in the following manner: first, separately calculating a standard deviation of the frequency domain component of the first current and a standard deviation of the frequency domain component of the second current; then, calculating a covariance between the frequency domain component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current; and finally, calculating the correlation coefficient between the frequency domain component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current based on the standard deviations and the covariance. The correlation coefficient can reflect a degree of correlation between the frequency domain component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current. Whether the system is to send an alarm can be relatively accurately determined based on the degree of correlation.

[0012] In some embodiments, the standard deviation s_x of the frequency domain component of the first current x is calculated by using the following formula:

$$s_x = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n-1}}$$

, where n is a quantity of sampling times of the frequency domain component of the first current;

the standard deviation s_y of the frequency domain component of the second current y is calculated by using the following formula:

$$s_y = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2}{n-1}}$$

, where n is a quantity of sampling times of the frequency domain component of the second current;

the covariance s_{xy} between the frequency domain component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current is calculated by using the following formula:

$$s_{xy} = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{n-1};$$

and

the correlation coefficient r_{xy} between the frequency domain component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current is calculated by using the following formula:

$$r_{xy} = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_x S_y}$$

[0013] In some embodiments, when the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition and the calculated correlation coefficient is greater than the preset coefficient threshold, no direct current electric arc fault alarm is sent. Further, when the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition and the correlation coefficient is less than the preset coefficient threshold, a direct current electric arc fault alarm is sent. Therefore, a normal direct current electric arc fault alarm is sent after the condition-based determining succeeds. In this way, alarm performance of the system is not affected.

[0014] In some embodiments, the first current is a current obtained by performing sampling on a positive bus bar or a negative bus bar of the direct current cable, and the second current is a current obtained by performing sampling on all alternating current cables on the alternating current port side of the inverter. In other words, the first current is a direct current input current, and the second current is an alternating current common mode current.

[0015] In some embodiments, if the first current is a direct current input current, and the second current is an alternating current common mode current, electric arc analysis is performed on the first current before the correlation coefficient between the frequency domain component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current is calculated. The correlation coefficient is calculated only when the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition, in other words, when it is determined, based only on the first current, that a direct current electric arc fault is likely to occur. Otherwise, the correlation coefficient does not need to be calculated.

[0016] In some embodiments, if the first current is a direct current input current, and the second current is an alternating current common mode current, when it is determined that the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition, the correlation coefficient is greater than or equal to the preset coefficient threshold, and the second current meets the electric arc occurrence condition, no direct current electric arc fault alarm is sent.

[0017] In some embodiments, if the first current is a direct current input current, and the second current is an alternating current common mode current, when it is determined that the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition, the correlation coefficient is greater than or equal to the preset coefficient threshold, and the second current does not meet the electric arc occurrence condition, a direct current electric arc fault alarm is sent.

[0018] In some embodiments, the first current is a current obtained by performing sampling on a positive bus bar or a negative bus bar of the direct current cable, and the second current is a current obtained by performing sampling on all direct current cables on the direct current port side of the inverter. In other words, the first current is a direct current input current, and the second current is a direct current common mode current.

[0019] In some embodiments, if the first current is a direct current input current, and the second current is a direct current common mode current, after the correlation coefficient between the frequency domain component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current is calculated, if it is found that the correlation coefficient is less than the preset coefficient threshold, electric arc analysis is performed on the first current.

[0020] A second aspect of the present invention further provides an apparatus for detecting a direct current electric arc. The apparatus includes an electric arc fault processing module, and a first sampling module and a second sampling module that are connected to the processing unit. The first sampling module is configured to perform sampling to obtain a first current, and the second sampling module is configured to perform sampling to obtain a second current. There may be two location setting cases for the first sampling module and the second sampling module in a photovoltaic cell system. In a first case, both the first sampling module and the second sampling module are disposed on direct current cables. In a second case, the first sampling module is disposed on a direct current cable, but the second sampling module is disposed on an alternating current cable on an alternating current port side of an inverter. Then, the electric arc fault processing module receives the first current and the second current, and executes corresponding determining logic: first, calculating a frequency domain component of the first current and a frequency domain component of the second current; then, calculating a correlation coefficient between the two frequency domain components, where the frequency domain component is a frequency value of a current within a preset frequency band in frequency domain, and the correlation coefficient reflects a degree of correlation between the frequency domain component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current; and finally, performing condition-based determining, where when the electric arc fault processing module determines that the first current meets an electric arc occurrence condition and the correlation coefficient is greater than or equal to a preset coefficient threshold, the electric arc fault processing module does not send a direct current electric arc fault alarm.

[0021] In some embodiments, the first sampling module is a direct current cable current sampling module, and the first current is a direct current input current obtained by the direct current cable current sampling module by performing sampling on a positive bus bar or a negative bus bar of a direct current cable. The second sampling module is a common mode current sampling module, and when the common mode current sampling module is disposed on a direct current cable on a direct current port side of the inverter, the common mode current sampling module performs sampling on all direct current cables, to obtain a direct current common mode current, or when the common mode current sampling module is disposed on the alternating current cable on the alternating current port side of the inverter, the common

mode current sampling module performs sampling on all alternating current cables, to obtain an alternating current common mode current.

[0022] In some embodiments, the direct current cable current sampling module has a plurality of implementations. In one of the implementations, the direct current cable current sampling module includes a first sampling coil and a first sampling control unit, the positive bus bar or the negative bus bar of the direct current cable runs through the first sampling coil, and the sampling control unit is configured to convert a current sampled by the first sampling coil into the direct current input current.

[0023] In some embodiments, the common mode current sampling module has a plurality of implementations. In one of the implementations, the common mode current sampling module includes a second sampling coil and a second sampling control unit, and when the common mode current sampling module performs sampling on the direct current cables, all the direct current cables run through the second sampling coil, or when the common mode current sampling module performs sampling on the alternating current cables, all the alternating current cables run through the second sampling coil.

[0024] In some embodiments, that the electric arc fault processing module determines that the first current meets an electric arc occurrence condition may be: first, performing frequency domain decomposition on the first current to obtain a first frequency range, where the first frequency range is a frequency band in which electric arc noise occurs; then, calculating a standard deviation of a current frequency value in the first frequency range; and obtaining a quantity N of times that the standard deviation is greater than a first threshold in a preset time period, and when N is greater than a second threshold, determining that the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition, where N is an integer that is greater than or equal to 0. Whether the first current has a direct current electric arc can be relatively accurately determined by determining the quantity of times.

[0025] In some embodiments, the electric arc fault processing module obtains, through counting of a sliding window, the quantity N of times that the standard deviation is greater than the first threshold in the preset time period. A relatively accurate quantity N of times can be obtained in this manner.

[0026] In some embodiments, the electric arc fault processing module may calculate the correlation coefficient between the frequency domain component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current in the following manner: first, separately calculating a standard deviation of the frequency domain component of the first current and a standard deviation of the frequency domain component of the second current; then, calculating a covariance between the frequency domain component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current; and finally, calculating the correlation coefficient between the frequency domain component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current based on the standard deviations and the covariance. The correlation coefficient can reflect a degree of correlation between the frequency domain

component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current. Whether the system is to send an alarm can be relatively accurately determined based on the degree of correlation.

[0027] In some embodiments, the standard deviation s_x of the frequency domain component of the first current x is calculated by using the following formula:

$$s_x = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n-1}}$$

, where n is a quantity of sampling times of the frequency domain component of the first current;

the standard deviation s_y of the frequency domain component of the second current y is calculated by using the following formula:

$$s_y = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2}{n-1}}$$

, where n is a quantity of sampling times of the frequency domain component of the second current;

the covariance s_{xy} between the frequency domain component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current is calculated by using the following formula:

$$s_{xy} = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{n-1};$$

and

the correlation coefficient r_{xy} between the frequency domain component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current is calculated by using the following formula:

$$r_{xy} = \frac{s_{xy}}{s_x s_y}.$$

[0028] In some embodiments, when the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition and the calculated correlation coefficient is greater than the preset coefficient threshold, the electric arc fault processing module does not send a direct current electric arc fault alarm. Further, when determining that the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition and the correlation coefficient is less than the preset coefficient threshold, the electric arc fault processing module sends a direct current electric arc fault alarm. Therefore, a normal direct current electric arc fault alarm is sent after the condition-based determining succeeds. In this way, alarm performance of the system is not affected.

[0029] In some embodiments, when the second current is an alternating current common mode current, the electric arc fault processing module further performs electric arc analysis on the first current, and then performs determining. The correlation coefficient is calculated only when the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition, in other words, when it is determined, based only on the first current, that a direct current electric arc fault is likely to occur. Otherwise, the correlation coefficient does not need to be calculated.

[0030] In some embodiments, when the second current is an alternating current common mode current, when determining that the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition, the correlation coefficient is greater than or equal to the preset coefficient threshold, and the second current meets the electric arc occurrence condition, the electric arc fault processing module does not send a direct current electric arc fault alarm.

[0031] In some embodiments, when the first current is a direct current input current, and the second current is an alternating current common mode current, the electric arc fault processing module is further configured to: when determining that the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition, the correlation coefficient is greater than or equal to the preset coefficient threshold, and the second current does not meet the electric arc occurrence condition, send a direct current electric arc fault alarm.

[0032] In some embodiments, when the second current is a direct current common mode current, after calculating the correlation coefficient between the frequency domain component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current, if the electric arc fault processing module finds that the correlation coefficient is less than the preset coefficient threshold, the electric arc fault processing module performs electric arc analysis on the first current.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

[0033]

FIG. 1 is a schematic diagram of a photovoltaic power generation system;

FIG. 2a is a schematic diagram of detecting a direct current electric arc;

FIG. 2b is another schematic diagram of detecting a direct current electric arc;

FIG. 3 is a schematic structural diagram of a differential suppression method of adjacent photovoltaic branches;

FIG. 4 is a schematic structural diagram of a differential suppression method of a positive direct current bus bar and a negative direct current bus bar;

FIG. 5 is a schematic diagram of a three-winding or three-column transformer;

FIG. 6 is a schematic architectural diagram of a method for processing a direct current electric arc fault according to an embodiment of this application;

FIG. 7a is a schematic diagram in which a common mode current sampling unit is connected to a direct current port side in an architecture according to an embodiment of this application;

FIG. 7b is a schematic diagram in which a common mode current sampling unit is connected to an alternating current port side in an architecture according to an embodiment of this application;

FIG. 8 is a diagram of an embodiment of a method for processing a direct current electric arc according to an embodiment of this application;

FIG. 9 is a schematic diagram of a correlation coefficient calculation method in a method for processing a direct current electric arc according to an embodiment of this application;

FIG. 10 is a schematic diagram of a method for determining whether a current meets an electric arc occurrence condition in a method for processing a direct current electric arc according to an embodiment of this application;

FIG. 11 is a schematic flowchart of a sliding window in a method for processing a direct current electric arc according to an embodiment of this application;

FIG. 12 is a schematic architectural diagram of a system for processing a direct current electric arc according to an embodiment of this application;

FIG. 13 is a diagram of an embodiment of a method for processing a direct current electric arc according to an embodiment of this application;

FIG. 14 is a schematic architectural diagram of a system for processing a direct current electric arc according to an embodiment of this application; and

FIG. 15 is a diagram of an embodiment of a method for processing a direct current electric arc according to an embodiment of this application.

DESCRIPTION OF EMBODIMENTS

[0034] Embodiments of this application provide a method for processing a direct current electric arc and an apparatus, to resolve a problem that a false alarm caused by relatively large common mode current noise in a photovoltaic system affects normal operation of the photovoltaic system.

[0035] To make persons skilled in the art understand the technical solutions in this application better, the following describes the embodiments of this application with reference to the

accompanying drawings in the embodiments of this application.

[0036] In the specification, claims, and accompanying drawings of this application, the terms "first", "second", "third", "fourth", and the like (if existent) are intended to distinguish between similar objects but are not necessarily intended to describe a specific order or sequence. It should be understood that the data termed in such a way are interchangeable in proper circumstances, so that the embodiments described herein can be implemented in other orders than the order illustrated or described herein. Moreover, the terms "include", "have", and any other variants thereof are intended to cover the non-exclusive inclusion. For example, a process, method, system, product, or device that includes a series of steps or units is not necessarily limited to those steps or units that are expressly listed, but may include other steps or units that are not expressly listed or inherent to such a process, method, system, product, or device.

[0037] In 2011, the NEC Corporation formulated a regulation that a faulty electric arc detection apparatus and a breaker need to be added to a photovoltaic system, and formulated an industry standard of the photovoltaic system, to ensure stable, safe, and reliable operation of the photovoltaic system. Causes of a direct current electric arc of the photovoltaic system are of great randomness, and both an occurrence location and occurrence time of the direct current electric arc are unpredictable. An electric arc fault may be caused by severe cable and line weathering, direct current line breakage, electronic component aging, connecting contact point looseness, animal gnawing, or the like. A relatively large quantity of incidental factors make it impossible to accurately establish a mathematical model to directly determine whether a direct current electric arc fault occurs in the photovoltaic system.

[0038] In the existing research, by comparing a plurality of experimental results, researchers find that a direct current electric arc fault may cause an increase in current noise in a direct current cable of the photovoltaic system. Therefore, a main idea of an existing direct current electric arc fault detection method is that based on an indirect relationship between the current noise and the direct current electric arc fault, whether a direct current electric arc fault occurs is determined based on a magnitude of the current noise in the direct current cable. A method for obtaining the current noise in the direct current cable is: first sampling a current of the direct current cable, and then performing FFT or wavelet transform on a sampling result, to obtain a corresponding noise frequency current component. However, in the photovoltaic system, a photovoltaic cell panel with a relatively large area may form a relatively large grounding capacitance, causing an apparent ground leakage current loop. Therefore, in the direct current cable, there is not only a faulty current but also a relatively large common mode current. FIG. 1 is a schematic diagram of a photovoltaic power generation system. If common mode current noise is relatively large, an electric arc detection system is affected when no direct current electric arc fault actually occurs, and consequently a false alarm is generated, affecting normal operation of the photovoltaic system. Therefore, during direct current electric arc detection of the photovoltaic system, how to suppress common mode current interference to improve detection system accuracy is a very crucial issue.

[0039] Currently, technologies used to detect the direct current electric arc mainly include two systems. FIG. 2a is a schematic diagram of detecting a direct current electric arc, and FIG. 2b is another schematic diagram of detecting a direct current electric arc. It can be seen that in FIG. 2a, a direct current cable current sampling unit mainly samples a direct current cable current, and then an electric arc fault determining unit determines, based on the direct current cable current, whether an electric arc fault occurs. In FIG. 2b, compared with FIG. 2a, a differential calculation unit is added to process sampled currents, and then whether an electric arc fault occurs is determined based on a differential calculation result of the direct current cable current. It can be learned that only a same type of current sampling unit (a quantity of current sampling units varies with a quantity of photovoltaic string branches) is used in both cases, and fault diagnosis is directly performed based on a sampling result, or fault diagnosis is performed after differential calculation is performed on the sampling result (to reduce common mode current interference). In the photovoltaic system, a determining result of the method in FIG. 2a may be affected by the common mode current, and a determining result of the method in FIG. 2b is also affected by the common mode current because accurate calculation is difficult to implement. The method in FIG. 2b is analyzed to illustrate a reason why accurate calculation is difficult to implement. The following describes two existing measurement methods:

[0040] Method 1: FIG. 3 is a schematic structural diagram of a differential suppression method of adjacent photovoltaic branches. In this method, direct current cable currents of the adjacent photovoltaic cell panel branches are sampled; then, the sampled currents of the adjacent branches are sent to a differential unit to perform differential calculation, to cancel a common mode current component in the measured currents; and finally, a calculated direct current cable current that does not include the common mode current component is sent to an electric arc fault determining unit to perform noise detection, to determine whether a direct current electric arc fault occurs in a system.

[0041] However, this manner has two relatively obvious disadvantages. One disadvantage is that this manner is applicable only to a photovoltaic power generation system having a plurality of photovoltaic inputs, namely, a centralized photovoltaic power generation system. If a photovoltaic system has only one string, there is no adjacent photovoltaic cell panel branches, and therefore a plurality of detection currents cannot be obtained to perform differential calculation. For example, this manner cannot be applied to a string photovoltaic power generation system and a household small-size photovoltaic power generation system, and therefore application scenarios of this manner are very limited. The other disadvantage is that real-time differential calculation on currents of adjacent branches is implemented with great difficulty and very low accuracy in actual application. It is very likely that operating statuses of adjacent photovoltaic strings greatly differ from each other due to a shadow, a covering, a direction, and inconsistency of photovoltaic panels, and consequently common mode currents flowing through the adjacent photovoltaic strings differ in magnitude. In addition, a sunlight change of the photovoltaic power generation system results in a change of a system operating point, and it can be said that a status of the whole system changes in real time. All these factors make differential calculation very difficult, and therefore differential gains need to be

very accurately balanced in real time.

[0042] Method 2: FIG. 4 is a schematic structural diagram of a differential suppression method of a positive direct current bus bar and a negative direct current bus bar, and FIG. 5 is a schematic diagram of a three-winding or three-column transformer. In this method, a positive bus bar current and a negative bus bar current of photovoltaic cell panel direct current bus bars are sampled; then, the sampled currents of adjacent like positive bus bar current and negative bus bar current branches are sent to a differential unit to perform differential calculation, to cancel a common mode current component in the measured currents; and finally, a calculated direct current cable current that does not include the common mode current component is sent to an electric arc fault determining unit to perform noise detection, to determine whether a direct current electric arc fault occurs in a system. The differential unit has different implementations: using the differential calculation unit to perform cancellation in a sampling portion, or using the three-winding or three-column transformer shown in FIG. 5 to directly perform magnetic circuit cancellation.

[0043] This method is relatively applicable to a normal power supply system. However, in the photovoltaic system, a photovoltaic cell system is installed in a plurality of manners, for example, the positive bus bar is grounded, the negative bus bar is grounded, and neither the positive bus bar nor the negative bus bar is grounded. As a result, the direct current positive bus bar and negative bus bar in a common mode current loop are inconsistent in equivalent common mode impedance, and therefore are also inconsistent in common mode current. This makes differential calculation difficult. Problems in actual application are similar to those of the method 1, to be specific, an operating status of the photovoltaic power generation system is greatly affected by external factors and changes in real time. Therefore, it is difficult to balance gains during differential calculation, causing relatively low calculation accuracy.

[0044] It can be learned from the foregoing several manners that a general solution is to obtain, through numerical calculation, a relatively accurate direct current cable current that does not include a common mode current component, and then determine whether a current electric arc fault occurs. However, due to a relatively large change in an actual running status of the photovoltaic system, an accurate numerical calculation method is difficult to implement, causing a relatively large calculation error.

[0045] In view of the foregoing problem, for the photovoltaic power generation system subject to a relatively large quantity of incidental factors, this application provides a method for detecting a direct current electric arc. This method is based on a correlation theory in statistics, and different variables used to calculate correlation can be sampled synchronously. Therefore, this method is insensitive to a change of an actual working condition of the photovoltaic system, and therefore has higher accuracy than the existing accurate numerical calculation method.

[0046] The following describes an architecture used in an embodiment of this application. FIG. 6 is a schematic architectural diagram of a method for processing a direct current electric arc

fault according to this embodiment of this application. In this method, two sampling units, namely, a direct current cable current sampling unit and a common mode current sampling unit, are used to obtain two different types of sampling currents. The direct current cable current sampling unit mainly samples a direct current cable current, and the common mode current sampling unit mainly samples data of a common mode current. The common mode current sampling unit may be connected to a direct current port side of an inverter to sample a direct current common mode current, or may be connected to an alternating current port side of the inverter to sample an alternating current common mode current. For details, refer to FIG. 7a and FIG. 7b. FIG. 7a is a schematic diagram in which the common mode current sampling unit is connected to the direct current port side in the architecture according to this embodiment of this application; and FIG. 7b is a schematic diagram in which the common mode current sampling unit is connected to the alternating current port side in the architecture according to this embodiment of this application. Then, an electric arc fault processing unit is used to calculate correlation between the direct current cable current and the common mode current. Finally, when it is found that the direct current cable current meets an electric arc occurrence condition, whether an alarm is required needs to be finally determined with reference to a correlation result.

[0047] In addition, due to impact from an operating environment, a direction, a covering, a grounding manner, and the like of a photovoltaic cell panel, the common mode current sampling unit cannot accurately obtain a specific numerical value of an actual common mode current. In this embodiment of this application, optionally, a change trend of a common mode current sampling value is obtained to alleviate the impact.

[0048] The following analyzes the direct current cable current. Cases about whether an electric arc occurs are roughly classified into the following four types, as listed in Table 1.

Table 1

Case	Differential mode noise	Common mode noise	Total noise (differential mode + common mode)	Actual status
1	Small	Small	Small	Normal operation
2	Small	Large	Large	Normal operation
3	Large	Small	Large	Electric arc occurs
4	Large	Large	Large	Electric arc occurs

[0049] In the case 1, although common mode noise interference exists, because the total noise is relatively small, in a fault detection algorithm, a direct current electric arc fault determining condition is usually not triggered, and a false alarm is not likely to trigger.

[0050] In the case 2, the total noise is relatively large, and therefore a direct current electric arc fault determining condition is usually triggered, and a direct current electric arc alarm is generated. However, in this case, the differential mode noise is actually relatively small, and the system is actually in normal operation. Therefore, a false alarm is very likely to generate.

[0051] In the case 3, the total noise is relatively large, and the common mode noise is relatively small. Therefore, a direct current electric arc alarm is triggered, and a direct current electric arc fault is very likely to occur in the system, and therefore a false alarm probability is very low.

[0052] In the case 4, although the common mode noise is relatively large, the differential mode noise is also relatively large. Although the common mode noise may cause some interference, a direct current electric arc fault is certainly likely to occur in the circuit in this case, and therefore a false alarm probability is also relatively low.

[0053] It can be learned from the foregoing analysis that the false alarm probability is relatively high only in the case 2. Although interference exists in the other three cases, the false alarm probability is actually relatively low. Therefore, the high-probability false alarm problem in the case 2 needs to be resolved as a key point. In the case 2, it can be learned that a main noise component in the direct current cable current is the common mode noise, and the common mode noise is correlated with the common mode current in the photovoltaic power generation system in this case to some extent, and has a relatively similar change trend to the common mode current. Although a one-to-one correspondence cannot be obtained through accurate calculation, a linear correlation between the direct current cable current and the common mode current can be calculated by using a statistics method. In this case, the direct current cable current is highly correlated with the common mode current in the photovoltaic system, and therefore a correlation coefficient between the two types of currents is set to a determining condition in this embodiment of this application, to reduce the false alarm probability of the system. The following describes a method for processing a direct current electric arc according to an embodiment of this application.

[0054] FIG. 8 is a diagram of an embodiment of a method for processing a direct current electric arc according to an embodiment of this application. In this embodiment of this application, the method may include the following steps.

[0055] 801. Obtain a first current.

[0056] The first current is a current obtained from a direct current cable connected to a photovoltaic cell panel. The first current is a direct current input current, the direct current cable is used by the photovoltaic cell panel to output a direct current to an inverter, and the direct current input current is the direct current output by the photovoltaic cell panel to the inverter.

[0057] 802. Obtain a second current.

[0058] The second current is a current obtained from a direct current cable on a direct current port side of the inverter or a current obtained from an alternating current cable on an alternating current port side of the inverter. The second current is a common mode current, and the alternating current cable is used by the inverter to output an alternating current. It can be understood that the second current is obtained in two manners. As shown in FIG. 7a and FIG. 7b, the second current is obtained from the direct current port side of the inverter in FIG. 7a, and the second current is obtained from the alternating current port side of the inverter in FIG. 7b. The second current obtained from the direct current port side is a direct current, and the second current obtained from the alternating current port side is an alternating current.

[0059] It should be noted that the first current in step 801 and the second current in step 802 are sampled in a continuous process, sampling may be performed once at an interval of a cycle, and different cycles may be of same duration or of different duration. The sampling may last for a preset time period, the preset time period includes at least one cycle, and data used to subsequently calculate a correlation coefficient is all data collected in the preset time period.

[0060] It should be noted that in step 801 and step 802, the current may be sampled from the direct current cable or the alternating current cable in a plurality of manners, but all the manners should follow a process of sampling, conditioning, and control. There are a plurality of types of sampling processes, for example:

[0061] Type 1: Sampling is performed by using a current transformer. To be specific, a cable from which a current is to be sampled is placed in a disposed coil, and according to the Electromagnetic Induction Law, the cable through which the detected current flows winds around the coil. The cable is used as a primary winding, and the measurement coil is used as a secondary winding. Specifically, the cable generates an electromagnetic field after being electrified, and therefore an induced current is generated in the coil. A current in the cable may be obtained in an intermediate conditioning step in which some mathematical operations are performed on the induced current. For example, a preset correspondence between the induced current and an actual current is obtained through experiments, and then the current in the cable can be obtained based on the induced current during actual sampling. The common mode current sampling units in FIG. 7a and FIG. 7b use this manner.

[0062] Type 2: Sampling is performed in a small-resistance non-conductive manner. To be specific, a small-resistance resistor is connected to a current return circuit in series, a voltage difference between two ends of the small-resistance resistor is measured, and according to the Ohm's law, a voltage is divided by a resistance to obtain a detected current. It should be noted that in this method, for selection of a resistance value of the sampling resistor, power needs to be large enough, and an inductance of the resistor needs to be small, to eliminate a voltage drop caused by inductive reactance on the two ends of the resistor.

[0063] Type 3: Sampling is performed by using a Hall current sensor. In this manner, sampling is mainly performed based on the Hall effect. When a current is perpendicular to an external magnetic field and flows through a conductor, a current carrier deflects, and an additional

electric field may be generated in a direction perpendicular to the current and the magnetic field, and therefore an electric potential difference is generated between two ends of the conductor. This is the Hall effect, and the electric potential difference is also referred to as the Hall electric potential difference.

[0064] The conditioning step needs to be added between the sampling step and the control step to compensate for and correct a measured result. This is because high-frequency noise usually occurs in a mathematical signal that is obtained in the sampling step and that is related to a detected current signal, and an amplitude of the mathematical signal is larger or smaller than a signal required in the control step. A filter, an operational amplifier, and the like are commonly used in the conditioning step.

[0065] Finally, the control step is a step in which the method for processing a direct current electric arc according to this embodiment of this application is performed. Control can be performed only after a conditioned current value is obtained.

[0066] 803. Calculate a correlation coefficient between a frequency domain component of the first current and a frequency domain component of the second current.

[0067] The frequency domain component is a frequency value of a current within a preset frequency band in frequency domain. Because a direct current electric arc pre-alarm is mainly researched in this application, the frequency domain component in this embodiment of this application is in a frequency band in which a high-frequency current exists when an electric arc occurs, for example, between 30 kHz and 80 kHz. In addition, regardless of a direct current or an alternating current, any current that can generate an electric arc can generate a frequency domain component, and any current corresponding to the electric arc is presented in a high-frequency and unstable manner. For the direct current, a changing amplitude of the direct current is simulated to a high-frequency component in a manner such as Fourier expansion. The alternating current is represented as an alternating current with a non-sinusoidal cycle. In addition, the correlation coefficient is a statistical indicator designed by a famous statistician Karl Pearson. Compared with a correlation table and a correlation diagram that can reflect only a correlation and a correlation direction between two variables but cannot exactly show a degree of correlation between the two variables, the correlation coefficient is a statistical indicator used to reflect a degree of correlation between variables. The correlation coefficient is calculated by using a product-moment method. Based on dispersions between two variables and respective average values, the two dispersions are multiplied to reflect a degree of correlation between the two variables. A linear single-correlation coefficient is researched as a key point.

[0068] It should be noted that a correlation is a nondeterministic relationship, and a correlation coefficient is a quantity used to research a degree of linear correlation between variables. Due to different research objects, the correlation coefficient may be defined in the following several manners:

1. 1. Simple correlation coefficient. The simple correlation coefficient is also referred to as a correlation coefficient or a linear correlation coefficient, is generally represented by a letter P, and is a quantity used to measure a linear relationship between variables.
2. 2. Multiple correlation coefficient. The multiple correlation coefficient is also referred to as a multi-correlation coefficient. A multiple correlation is a correlation between a plurality of independent variables. For example, a seasonal demand of a kind of commodity is in a multiple correlation with a price level of the commodity, an income level of employees, and the like.
3. 3. Canonical correlation coefficient. A principal component analysis is first performed on each group of original variables, to obtain comprehensive indicators of a new linear relationship, and a linear correlation coefficient between the comprehensive indicators is used to research a correlation between each group of original variables.

[0069] The correlation coefficient in this embodiment of this application is mainly implemented in the last two manners.

[0070] In this embodiment, after the first current and the second current are obtained, the correlation coefficient between the frequency domain component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current may be calculated. For details about calculating the correlation coefficient between the frequency domain component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current, refer to FIG. 9. FIG. 9 is a schematic diagram of a correlation coefficient calculation method in the method for processing a direct current electric arc according to this embodiment of this application. Step 803 may include the following sub-steps:

[0071] 8031. Separately calculate a standard deviation of the frequency domain component of the first current and a standard deviation of the frequency domain component of the second current.

[0072] For a plurality of collected first currents, a standard deviation of a frequency domain component of one of the collected first currents is calculated. Specifically, the standard deviation s_x of the frequency domain component of the first current x may be calculated by using the following formula (1):

$$s_x = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n-1}} \quad (1)$$

where n is a quantity of sampling times of the frequency domain component of the first current, and x_i is a value of the first current x sampled in an i^{th} cycle.

[0073] Then, for a plurality of collected second currents, the standard deviation s_y of the frequency domain component of the second current y is calculated by using the following formula (2):

$$s_y = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2}{n-1}} \quad (2)$$

$$s_y = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (y_i - \bar{y})^2}{n-1}}$$

where n is a quantity of sampling times of the frequency domain component of the second current, and y_i is a value of the second current y sampled in an i^{th} cycle. It can be learned that the quantity of data sampling times of the first current x is consistent with the quantity of data sampling times of the second current y , and both are n .

[0074] 8032. Calculate a covariance between the frequency domain component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current.

[0075] After the standard deviation of the first current x and the standard deviation of the second current y are calculated in step 8031, the covariance s_{xy} between the first current x and the second current y may be calculated by using the following formula (3):

$$s_{xy} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{n-1} \quad (3)$$

[0076] 8033. Calculate the correlation coefficient between the frequency domain component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current.

[0077] After the covariance s_{xy} is calculated, the correlation coefficient r_{xy} between the frequency domain component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current may be calculated by using the following formula (4):

$$r_{xy} = \frac{s_{xy}}{s_x s_y} \quad (4)$$

[0078] It can be learned that the correlation coefficient r_{xy} is actually a ratio of the covariance s_{xy} to a product of the standard deviation s_x of the first current x and the standard deviation s_y of the second current y . The ratio can reflect a proportion of common mode noise generated by the second current in total noise. Therefore, the ratio can be used as a basis to determine whether a current case is the case 2 in Table 1. The total noise is obtained by adding up the common mode noise generated by the second current and differential mode noise of a photovoltaic system.

[0079] 804. Determine whether the first current meets an electric arc occurrence condition and whether the correlation coefficient is less than a preset coefficient threshold, and perform step 805 when the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition and the correlation coefficient is greater than or equal to the preset coefficient threshold.

[0080] The following describes the determining whether the first current meets an electric arc occurrence condition. FIG. 10 is a schematic diagram of a method for determining whether a current meets an electric arc occurrence condition in the method for processing a direct current electric arc according to this embodiment of this application. First, the to-be-determined first current or second current is obtained. The first current is used as an example. Then,

frequency domain decomposition is performed on the first current, and a specific frequency band is selected in a filtering manner, for example, a range from 30 kHz to 80 kHz is selected. This range is selected mainly because this range is a frequency band in which main electric arc current noise occurs. After the selection is completed, the standard deviation of the first current is calculated, and then the standard deviation is compared with a standard deviation threshold (namely, a first threshold). If the standard deviation is greater than the standard deviation threshold, a count is increased by 1, and one standard deviation calculation process is completed. A quantity N of times that the standard deviation of the selected first current is greater than the standard deviation threshold in a period of time is counted. Finally, determining is performed on the calculated N and a second threshold, and when N is greater than the second threshold, it indicates that the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition, or when N is not greater than the second threshold, it indicates that the first current does not meet the electric arc occurrence condition.

[0081] Specifically, the quantity N of times that the standard deviation of the selected first current is greater than the standard deviation threshold in the period of time may be counted in the following manner: obtaining, through counting of a sliding window, the quantity N of times that the standard deviation is greater than the first threshold in the preset time period. FIG. 11 is a schematic flowchart of a sliding window in the method for processing a direct current electric arc according to this embodiment of this application. A specific implementation of this sliding window technology includes two phases: an initialization phase and a logical comparison and calculation phase. In the initialization phase, all arrays N_1 are set to 0. Then, in the logical comparison and calculation phase, n is set to 0. First, whether the standard deviation is greater than the first threshold is determined, and $N_1[n]=1$ if the standard deviation is greater than the first threshold, or $N_1[n]=0$ if the standard deviation is not greater than the first threshold. After the determining is completed, a sum of the arrays N_1 is calculated and a result is output to obtain N. Then, N may be compared with the second threshold, and if N is greater than the second threshold, it indicates that the current meets the electric arc occurrence condition, or if N is not greater than the second threshold, it indicates that the current does not meet the electric arc occurrence condition. This process is one electric arc occurrence condition determining process in one time period. After this process is completed, n is increased by 1, and an electric arc occurrence condition determining process in a next time period starts.

[0082] 805. Skip sending a direct current electric arc fault alarm.

[0083] It can be learned that, it can be determined that an alarm is still not required in some cases when the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition. Although total current noise is relatively large, differential mode noise is actually relatively small, and common mode noise is relatively large. Because the common mode noise actually affects a circuit very slightly, the circuit is in a normal operation state. In this embodiment of this application, the calculated correlation coefficient between the frequency domain component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current is used to reflect a proportion of common mode noise generated by the second current, and the preset coefficient threshold is set. If the

correlation coefficient is greater than the coefficient threshold, it indicates that the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition because the common mode noise is relatively large. In this case, no alarm needs to be sent. Therefore, in this embodiment of this application, a direct current electric arc fault alarm is not sent when it is found that the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition and the correlation coefficient is greater than or equal to the preset threshold.

[0084] Optionally, the method may further include the following step:

806. Send a direct current electric arc fault alarm.

[0085] Optionally, step 804 may further include the following sub-step. For example, when the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition and the correlation coefficient is less than the preset coefficient threshold, step 806 is performed. Certainly, when the first current does not meet the electric arc occurrence condition, step 805 is performed regardless of whether the correlation coefficient is less than the preset coefficient threshold.

[0086] It should be noted that there is no specific order between the direct current electric arc occurrence condition determining step and the correlation coefficient calculation step. The following separately describes two cases with reference to two common mode current sampling manners.

[0087] Case 1: The second current on the alternating current port side of the inverter is sampled as a common mode current. First, whether the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition is reached, and then the correlation coefficient between the first current and the second current is calculated. FIG. 12 is a schematic architectural diagram of a system for processing a direct current electric arc according to an embodiment of this application, and FIG. 13 is a diagram of an embodiment of a method for processing a direct current electric arc according to this embodiment of this application. A current transform manner is used in FIG. 12 and FIG. 13. In FIG. 12, all alternating current cables run through a second coil of a common mode current sampling unit, and a positive bus bar or a negative bus bar runs through a first coil of a direct current sampling unit. As shown in FIG. 13, the method includes the following steps.

[0088] 1301. Perform sampling on a direct current cable to obtain a first current, and perform sampling on an alternating current cable of an inverter to obtain a second current.

[0089] For the sampling process, refer to step 801 and step 802 in the embodiment shown in FIG. 8. Details are not described herein again.

[0090] 1302. Determine whether the first current meets an electric arc occurrence condition, and perform step 1303 if the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition, or perform step 1301 if the first current does not meet the electric arc occurrence condition.

[0091] For whether the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition, refer to the

description of step 804 in the embodiment shown in FIG. 8. Details are not described herein again.

[0092] 1303. Calculate a correlation coefficient between a frequency domain component of the first current and a frequency domain component of the second current.

[0093] For the correlation coefficient between the frequency domain component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current, refer to the description of step 803 in the embodiment shown in FIG. 8. Details are not described herein again.

[0094] 1304. Determine whether the correlation coefficient is less than a preset coefficient threshold, and perform step 1305 if the correlation coefficient is less than the preset coefficient threshold, or perform step 1306 if the correlation coefficient is not less than the preset coefficient threshold.

[0095] For the correlation coefficient, refer to the description of step 803 in the embodiment shown in FIG. 8. Details are not described herein again.

[0096] 1305. Send a direct current electric arc fault signal.

[0097] 1306. Perform electric arc analysis on the second current.

[0098] For the electric arc analysis process, refer to the description of step 804 in the embodiment shown in FIG. 8. Details are not described herein again.

[0099] 1307. Determine whether the second current meets the electric arc occurrence condition, and perform step 1301 if the second current meets the electric arc occurrence condition, or perform step 1305 if the second current does not meet the electric arc occurrence condition.

[0100] In this manner, when it is found that the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition and the correlation coefficient is not less than the preset coefficient threshold, it is considered that a common mode current component in the direct current cable current is relatively large. An erroneous determining probability is relatively high when determining is performed based only on whether the direct current cable current meets the electric arc occurrence condition. In this case, whether the second current meets the electric arc occurrence condition is further determined. If the second current meets the electric arc occurrence condition, it is considered that the direct current cable current meets the electric arc occurrence condition because the common mode component is relatively large, and therefore no alarm is sent, and step 1301 is performed. If the second current does not meet the electric arc occurrence condition, to reduce detection coverage holes, step 1305 is performed to send a direct current electric arc fault alarm.

[0101] Case 2: The second current on the direct current port side of the inverter is sampled as

a common mode current. First, the correlation coefficient between the first current and the second current is calculated, and then whether the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition is calculated. FIG. 14 is a schematic architectural diagram of a system for processing a direct current electric arc according to an embodiment of this application, and FIG. 15 is a diagram of an embodiment of a method for processing a direct current electric arc according to this embodiment of this application. In FIG. 14, a positive bus bar or a negative bus bar runs through a first coil of a direct current sampling unit, and a positive bus bar or a negative bus bar runs through a second coil of a common mode current sampling unit. As shown in FIG. 15, the method includes the following steps.

[0102] 1501. Perform sampling on a direct current cable to obtain a first current, and perform sampling on alternating direct current cable of an inverter to obtain a second current.

[0103] For the sampling process, refer to step 801 and step 802 in the embodiment shown in FIG. 8. Details are not described herein again.

[0104] 1502. Calculate a correlation coefficient between a frequency domain component of the first current and a frequency domain component of the second current.

[0105] For the correlation coefficient between the frequency domain component of the first current and the frequency domain component of the second current, refer to the description of step 803 in the embodiment shown in FIG. 8. Details are not described herein again.

[0106] 1503. Determine whether the correlation coefficient is less than a preset coefficient threshold, and perform step 1504 if the correlation coefficient is less than the preset coefficient threshold, or perform step 1501 if the correlation coefficient is not less than the preset coefficient threshold.

[0107] For the correlation coefficient, refer to the description of step 803 in the embodiment shown in FIG. 8. Details are not described herein again.

[0108] 1504. Perform electric arc analysis on the first current.

[0109] For the electric arc analysis process, refer to the description of step 804 in the embodiment shown in FIG. 8. Details are not described herein again.

[0110] 1505. Determine whether the first current meets an electric arc occurrence condition, and perform step 1506 if the first current meets the electric arc occurrence condition, or perform step 1501 if the first current does not meet the electric arc occurrence condition.

[0111] For the electric arc analysis process, refer to the description of step 804 in the embodiment shown in FIG. 8. Details are not described herein again.

[0112] 1506. Send a direct current electric arc fault signal.

[0113] This application is based on a statistics method. The correlation coefficient between the direct current cable current and the common mode current is used as a basis for determining. This can avoid an error caused by different working conditions to an accurate numerical value-based calculation method, and can suppress a false alarm caused by the common mode current on a direct current electric arc fault of the photovoltaic inverter, thereby improving accuracy of direct current electric arc fault detection, reducing interference on normal operation of the inverter, and improving product performance.

[0114] In addition, the direct current cable current and the common mode current that are used to calculate the correlation coefficient can be sampled synchronously, and are both sampling results under a same working condition. Therefore, impact of a working condition change of a photovoltaic power generation system on a detection result can be alleviated.

[0115] In addition, the embodiments of this application are applicable to a three-phase inverter product, a single-phase inverter product, a string inverter product, and a centralized inverter product, and therefore have a wide coverage scope.

[0116] All or some of the foregoing embodiments may be implemented by using software, hardware, firmware, or any combination thereof. When software is used to implement the embodiments, the embodiments may be implemented completely or partially in a form of a computer program product.

[0117] It may be clearly understood by persons skilled in the art that, for the purpose of convenient and brief description, for a detailed working process of the foregoing system, apparatus, and unit, reference may be made to a corresponding process in the foregoing method embodiments, and details are not described herein again.

[0118] In the several embodiments provided in this application, it should be understood that the disclosed system, apparatus, and method may be implemented in other manners. For example, the described apparatus embodiment is merely an example. For example, the unit division is merely logical function division and may be other division in actual implementation. For example, a plurality of units or components may be combined or integrated into another system, or some features may be ignored or not performed. In addition, the displayed or discussed mutual couplings or direct couplings or communication connections may be implemented by using some interfaces. The indirect couplings or communication connections between the apparatuses or units may be implemented in electrical, mechanical, or other forms.

[0119] The units described as separate parts may or may not be physically separate, and parts displayed as units may or may not be physical units, to be specific, may be located in one place, or may be distributed on a plurality of network units. Some or all of the units may be selected based on actual requirements to achieve the objectives of the solutions of the embodiments.

[0120] In addition, functional units in the embodiments of this application may be integrated into one processing unit, or each of the units may exist alone physically, or two or more units are integrated into one unit. The integrated unit may be implemented in a form of hardware, or may be implemented in a form of a software functional unit.

[0121] The foregoing embodiments are merely intended for describing the technical solutions of this application, but not for limiting the scope of the present invention, which is defined by the appended claims.

REFERENCES CITED IN THE DESCRIPTION

Cited references

This list of references cited by the applicant is for the reader's convenience only. It does not form part of the European patent document. Even though great care has been taken in compiling the references, errors or omissions cannot be excluded and the EPO disclaims all liability in this regard.

Patent documents cited in the description

- [CN106771691 \[0005\]](#)
- [US2015309104A \[0005\]](#)
- [US2009284265A \[0005\]](#)

Patentkrav

1. En metode til behandling af en jævnstrømslysbue, anvendt på et fotovoltaisk celle-system, omfattende:

5 opnåelse (801) af en første strøm, hvor den første strøm er en jævnstrømsindgangsstrøm i et jævnstrømskabel forbundet til et fotovoltaisk celle-panel i fotovoltaisk celle-systemet,
opnåelse (802) af en anden strøm, hvor den anden strøm er en jævnstrøms-common mode-strøm i et jævnstrømskabel på en jævnstrømsportside af en inverter i fotovoltaisk celle-systemet eller en vekselstrøms-common mode-strøm i et vekselstrømskabel på en
10 vekselstrømsportside af inverteren,
opnåelse (803) af en korrelationskoefficient mellem en frekvensdomænekomponent i den første strøm og en frekvensdomænekomponent i den anden strøm, hvor frekvensdomænekomponenten er en frekvensværdi af en strøm inden for et forudindstillet frekvensbånd i frekvensdomænet, og korrelationskoefficienten afspejler
15 en grad af korrelation mellem frekvensdomænekomponenten i den første strøm og frekvensdomænekomponenten i den anden strøm, og
når det bestemmes (804), at den første strøm opfylder en lysbueforekomstbetingelse, og korrelationskoefficienten er større end eller lig med en forudindstillet
koefficienttærskel, springer afsendelsen af en jævnstrømslysbuefejlalarm over.

20

2. Metoden ifølge krav 1, hvor bestemmelsen af, at den første strøm opfylder en lysbueforekomstbetingelse, omfatter:

25 at udføre frekvensdomænedekomponering på den første strøm for at opnå et første frekvensområde, hvor det første frekvensområde er et frekvensbånd, hvori der forekommer lysbuestøj,
at beregne en standardafvigelse af en strømfrekvensværdi i det første frekvensområde, og
at opnå en mængde N gange, at standardafvigelsen er større end en første tærskel i en
30 forudindstillet tidsperiode, og når N er større end en anden tærskel, at bestemme, at den første strøm opfylder lysbueforekomstbetingelsen, hvor N er et heltal, der er større end eller lig med 0.

3. Metoden ifølge krav 1, hvor beregningen af en korrelationskoefficient mellem en frekvensdomænekomponent i den første strøm og en frekvensdomænekomponent i den anden strøm omfatter:

separat beregning af en standardafvigelse for frekvensdomænekomponenten i den første strøm og en standardafvigelse for frekvensdomænekomponenten i den anden strøm, beregning af en kovarians mellem frekvensdomænekomponenten i den første strøm og frekvensdomænekomponenten i den anden strøm, og beregning af korrelationskoefficienten mellem frekvensdomænekomponenten i den første strøm og frekvensdomænekomponenten i den anden strøm baseret på standardafvigelserne og kovariansen.

4. Metoden ifølge krav 3, hvor standardafvigelsen s_x for frekvensdomænekomponenten i den første strøm x beregnes ved at bruge følgende formel:

$$s_x = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n-1}}$$

, hvor n er en mængde af samplingstider for frekvensdomænekomponenten i den første strøm,

standardafvigelsen s_y for frekvensdomænekomponenten i den anden strøm y beregnes ved at bruge følgende formel:

$$s_y = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2}{n-1}}$$

, hvor n er en mængde af samplingstider for frekvensdomænekomponenten i den anden strøm,

kovariansen s_{xy} mellem frekvensdomænekomponenten i den første strøm og frekvensdomænekomponenten i den anden strøm beregnes ved at bruge følgende

formel:

$$s_{xy} = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{n-1};$$

5 og
korrelationskoefficienten r_{xy} mellem frekvensdomænekomponenten i den første strøm og frekvensdomænekomponenten i den anden strøm beregnes ved at bruge følgende formel:

10

$$r_{xy} = \frac{s_{xy}}{s_x s_y}.$$

15 **5.** Metoden ifølge krav 2, hvor opnåelsen af en mængde N gange, at standardafvigelsen er større end en første tærskel i en forudindstillet tidsperiode omfatter: opnåelse af, gennem optælling af et glidende vindue, mængden N gange, at standardafvigelsen er større end den første tærskel i den forudindstillede tidsperiode.

20 **6.** Metoden ifølge et hvilket som helst af kravene 1 til 5, hvor metoden yderligere omfatter: når det bestemmes, at den første strøm opfylder lysbueforekomstbetingelsen, og korrelationskoefficienten er mindre end den forudindstillede koefficienttærskel, sendes der en jævnstrømslysbufejlalarm.

25 **7.** Metoden ifølge et hvilket som helst af kravene 1 til 5, hvor den første strøm er en strøm opnået ved at udføre sampling på en positiv samleskinne eller en negativ samleskinne på jævnstrømskablet, og den anden strøm er en strøm opnået ved at udføre sampling på alle vekselstrømskabler på vekselstrømsportsiden af inverteren.

30 **8.** Metoden ifølge krav 7, hvor, før opnåelsen af en korrelationskoefficient mellem en frekvensdomænekomponent i den første strøm og en frekvensdomænekomponent i den anden strøm, metoden yderligere omfatter:

at udføre lysbueanalyse på den første strøm, og

når den første strøm opfylder lysbueforekomstbetingelsen, at udføre beregningen af en korrelationskoefficient.

- 5 **9.** Metoden ifølge krav 7, hvor metoden yderligere omfatter:
når det bestemmes, at den første strøm opfylder lysbueforekomstbetingelsen,
korrelationskoefficienten er større end eller lig med den forudindstillede koefficienttærskel, og
den anden strøm opfylder lysbueforekomstbetingelsen, overspringes afsendelsen af en
jævnstrømslysbufejlalarm.
- 10
- 10.** Metoden ifølge krav 7, hvor metoden yderligere omfatter:
når det bestemmes, at den første strøm opfylder lysbueforekomstbetingelsen,
korrelationskoefficienten er større end eller lig med den forudindstillede koefficienttærskel, og
den anden strøm ikke opfylder lysbueforekomstbetingelsen, sendes der en
15 jævnstrømslysbufejlalarm.
- 11.** Metoden ifølge et hvilket som helst af kravene 1 til 5, hvor den første strøm er en strøm
opnået ved at udføre sampling på en positiv samleskinne eller en negativ samleskinne på
jævnstrømskablet, og den anden strøm er en strøm opnået ved at udføre sampling på alle
20 jævnstrømskabler på jævnstrømsportsiden af inverteren.
- 12.** Metoden ifølge krav 11, hvor metoden, efter beregningen af en korrelationskoefficient
mellem en frekvensdomænekomponent i den første strøm og en frekvensdomænekomponent i
den anden strøm, yderligere omfatter:
25 når det bestemmes, at korrelationskoefficienten er mindre end den forudindstillede
koefficienttærskel, udføres der lysbueanalyse på den første strøm.
- 13.** Et apparat til detektering af en jævnstrømslysue, omfattende et
lysbufejlbehandlingsmodul, og et første samplingsmodul og et andet samplingsmodul, der er
30 forbundet til behandlingsenheden, hvor
det første samplingsmodul er anbragt på et detekteret jævnstrømskabel forbundet til et
fotovoltaisk celle-panel i et fotovoltaisk celle-system og er konfigureret til at udføre
sampling på jævnstrømskablet for at opnå en første strøm, og det andet samplingsmodul
er anbragt på et jævnstrømskabel på en jævnstrømsportside af en inverter i fotovoltaisk

celle-systemet eller på et vekselstrømskabel på en vekselstrømsportside af inverteren og er konfigureret til at udføre sampling på jævnstrømskablet på jævnstrømsportsiden af inverteren eller vekselstrømskablet på vekselstrømsportsiden af inverteren for at opnå en anden strøm,

5 efter at have modtaget den første strøm og den anden strøm, opnår lysbuefejlbehandlingsmodulet en frekvensdomænekomponent fra den første strøm baseret på den første strøm, og er yderligere konfigureret til at: opnå en frekvensdomænekomponent fra den anden strøm baseret på den anden strøm, og beregne en korrelationskoefficient mellem frekvensdomænekomponenten i den første
10 strøm og frekvensdomænekomponenten i den anden strøm, hvor frekvensdomænekomponenten er en frekvensværdi af en strøm inden for et forudindstillet frekvensbånd i frekvensdomænet, og korrelationskoefficienten afspejler en grad af korrelation mellem frekvensdomænekomponenten i den første strøm og frekvensdomænekomponenten i den anden strøm, og
15 når lysbuefejlbehandlingsmodulet bestemmer, at den første strøm opfylder en lysbueforekomstbetingelse, og korrelationskoefficienten er større end eller lig med en forudindstillet koefficienttærskel, sender lysbuefejlbehandlingsmodulet ikke en jævnstrømslysuefejlalarm.

20 **14.** Apparatet ifølge krav 13, hvor det første samplingsmodul er et jævnstrømskabelstrømsamplingsmodul, og jævnstrømskabelstrømsamplingsmodulet udfører sampling på en positiv samleskinne eller en negativ samleskinne på jævnstrømskablet, for at opnå en jævnstrømsindgangsstrøm, og det andet samplingsmodul er et common mode-
25 strømsamplingsmodul, og når common mode-strømsamplingsmodulet er anbragt på jævnstrømskablet på jævnstrømsportsiden af inverteren, udfører common mode-strømsamplingsmodulet sampling på alle jævnstrømskabler, for at opnå en jævnstrøms-common mode-strøm, eller når common mode-strømsamplingsmodulet er anbragt på vekselstrømskablet på vekselstrømsportsiden af inverteren, udfører common mode-
30 strømsamplingsmodulet sampling på alle vekselstrømskabler, for at opnå en vekselstrøms-common mode-strøm.

15. Apparatet ifølge krav 14, hvor jævnstrømskabelstrømsamplingsmodulet omfatter en første samplingsspole og en første samplingstyreenhed, og den positive samleskinne eller den

negative samleskinne på jævnstrømskablet løber gennem den første samplingsspole, og samplingstyreenheden er konfigureret til at konvertere en strøm, der er samlet af den første samplingsspole, til jævnstrømsindgangsstrømmen.

DRAWINGS




FIG. 1




FIG. 2a




FIG. 2b




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7a




FIG. 7b




FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10




FIG. 11




FIG. 12




FIG. 13




FIG. 14




FIG. 15