PCT WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 6 : B63B 39/00 — A1

(11) International Publication Number: **WO 98/30439**

(43) International Publication Date: 16 July 1998 (16.07.98)

(21) International Application Number: PCT/NO98/00003

(22) International Filing Date: 7 January 1998 (07.01.98)

(30) Priority Data:
970044 7 January 1997 (07.01.97) NO

(71) Applicant *(for all designated States except US)*: LMG MARIN AS [NO/NO]; Solheimsgaten 9 c, N–5037 Solheimsviken–Bergen (NO).


(72) Inventor; and
(75) Inventor/Applicant *(for US only)*: KJERSEM, Geir [NO/NO]; Våkleiva 124, N–5062 Bønes (NO).

(74) Agent: A/S BERGEN PATENTKONTOR; Strandgt. 191, N–5004 Bergen (NO).

(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, HU, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published
With international search report.
In English translation (filed in Norwegian).

(54) Title: HULL CONSTRUCTION



(57) Abstract

There is referred to a hull construction for a single hull vessel (10), especially designed for drilling and production operations in largely stationary positions. The hull construction is characterised by a combination of individually known features: a) one or more open wells (26, 28, 30) extending through the hull for the inward and outward flow of water from below, b) one or more walls (36) of the well/the wells (26, 28, 30) comprise a number of water flow delaying elements in the form of: one or more bulges/lips (34), projecting outwards from the well wall (42), which in a region constrict the water–inflow area of the well (26, 28, 30), and/or a number of water–inflow delaying hollow spaces (42) which are flow connected with the well hollow space via perforations (44), and, c) that the outside of the hull comprises a longitudinal bulge/lip (34) projecting horizontally outwards preferably arranged below the water line (20) of the hull (10).

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	**ES**	Spain	**LS**	Lesotho	**SI**	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	**FI**	Finland	**LT**	Lithuania	**SK**	Slovakia
AT	Austria	**FR**	France	**LU**	Luxembourg	**SN**	Senegal
AU	Australia	**GA**	Gabon	**LV**	Latvia	**SZ**	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	**GB**	United Kingdom	**MC**	Monaco	**TD**	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	**GE**	Georgia	**MD**	Republic of Moldova	**TG**	Togo
BB	Barbados	**GH**	Ghana	**MG**	Madagascar	**TJ**	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	**GN**	Guinea	**MK**	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	**TM**	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	**GR**	Greece			**TR**	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	**HU**	Hungary	**ML**	Mali	**TT**	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	**IE**	Ireland	**MN**	Mongolia	**UA**	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	**IL**	Israel	**MR**	Mauritania	**UG**	Uganda
BY	Belarus	**IS**	Iceland	**MW**	Malawi	**US**	United States of America
CA	Canada	**IT**	Italy	**MX**	Mexico	**UZ**	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	**JP**	Japan	**NE**	Niger	**VN**	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	**KE**	Kenya	**NL**	Netherlands	**YU**	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	**KG**	Kyrgyzstan	**NO**	Norway	**ZW**	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	**KP**	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	**NZ**	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon			**PL**	Poland		
CN	China	**KR**	Republic of Korea	**PT**	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	**KZ**	Kazakstan	**RO**	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	**LC**	Saint Lucia	**RU**	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	**LI**	Liechtenstein	**SD**	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	**LK**	Sri Lanka	**SE**	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	**LR**	Liberia	**SG**	Singapore		

Hull Construction

The present invention relates to a hull construction for a vessel, especially a drilling and/or production vessel for hydrocarbons, such as is indicated in the introduction to the following claim 1. The invention also relates to the application of the hull construction according to the invention.

The invention is especially related to designing hulls for single hull ships which are provided for carrying out operations at sea, and especially vessels which are used for drilling petroleum wells, and for intervention and maintenance of this type of well. With the invention the aim is to provide a hull shape for a vessel which makes the vessel especially well suited for realising these types of well operations in deeper waters and at sea, and so that the vessel can be operative even under difficult weather conditions which are created by waves, ocean currents and winds. The hull design according to the invention will also be well suited for ships which are employed for other current purposes where it is important to control movements of the ship in waves, by way of example in production ships for hydrocarbons, and in ships which carry out seismic investigations of formations beneath the sea bottom.

Drilling after oil and gas at sea is carried out either with floating drilling vessels or devices fastened to the bottom. The known floating types of vessel can either be half submergible drilling rigs, which are also called 'semisubs', or can comprise drilling ships for this type of operation.

Half submergible drilling rigs have had extended application in rough weather regions at sea because this type of rig has an especially favourable response to movement relative to waves. By favourable movements of the vessel is meant that the swings, that is to say the amplitudes, during heaving, rolling and pitching are relatively small in large waves. It is very advantageous to obtain movements of small amplitude because smaller demands can then be placed on the drilling equipment on board the rigs.

At the same time the response cycle is long that is to say usually 15-16 seconds or more. For a ship which moves up and down in the waves its response cycle is defined as the time elapsed from a maximum and back to the same maximum. Long response cycles are favourable for the equipment which stands on board the drilling vessel because the accelerations in the movements thereby become moderate, something which also places fewer demands on the equipment on board the rig. As regards response cycles reference is made to the enclosed diagram where the movement characteristics of different vessel constructions are compared during the different wave cycles occurring.

The favourable movements for half submergible rigs is due to these types of floating drilling devices consisting of a series of vertical columns which break the water line, at the same time as the columns are structurally bound together by the deck of the device and by horizontal pontoons beneath the surface of the water. In this way the water line area of half submergible platforms becoming less relative to the total buoyancy volume, is achieved. Since the largest portion of the forces from waves arises in the water line area, and diminishes downwards with depth, the wave forces are reduced on these types of devices. In addition the horizontal pontoons have a favourable effect on the vertical heaving movements of the rig (the semisub) because they function

primarily as a brake in the vertical direction when the surrounding mass of water becomes broken up and thus provide a theoretical additional mass to the rig.

Besides it shall be observed that by the natural water line of the hull, is meant the natural water line when the hull is finally completed with fittings, machinery etc. for a vessel, such as a drilling ship or the like.

For all types of floating drilling vessels it is the vertical heaving movements which are especially critical. This is due to the vessels having during the operations a drill stem made of pipe which hangs in the drilling rig of the vessel and extends down through the well. This stem is rigid, and in order to ensure that movements of the drill stem down through the well are uncontacted by movements of the vessel, the vessel is in addition furnished with special arrangements which can compensate for movements of the ship. These arrangements have however limitations both as regards movements, accelerations and maximum swings, and this involves desiring to minimalise stresses on this equipment by accurately controlling the hull design of the vessel.

In spite of its good movement characteristics half submergible rigs clearly have disadvantages as regards the carrying out of cost-effective drilling operations. A disadvantage is for example that the hull becomes very expensive to build since it is composed of columns, pontoons, struts and decks. In addition such half submergible rigs are especially sensitive to displacements of centre of gravity, for example on shifting deck cargo. The biggest disadvantage is however that the total payload which can be taken on board is limited when the stability of the rig is taken into account.

This entails a half submergible rig being dependent upon continuous supplies of consumption material during the drilling operation. This is carried out normally by supply

vessels which are made specially for this purpose. This is however very expensive to carry out, because as a rule it requires a vessel having to be available for the rig the whole time.

In addition when the drilling operations shall take place far out at sea, and possibly with substantial distances from the closest situated supply base, this involves a significant increase in costs. In addition deep and long wells require extra feedings of supplied something which contributes to increasing further expenses. If the supply lines are particularly long there can be a need for an extra supply ship in the continuous operation. The earliest half submergible rigs had a payload capacity of about 2,000 tons, while a modern half submergible rig to-day ought to have a payload capacity of over 4,000 tons. A half submergible rig is usually anchored during the drilling operations at sea, and at the same time there are often laid out 8-10 anchors.

In addition to half submergible rigs drilling ships are also used as floating drilling vessels at sea. These have the advantage that they can take considerably larger cargoes on board. A drilling ship can often take on board all the payload before it puts to sea in order to drill the well. This makes the drilling ship nearly independent of assistance from supply ships. While a half submergible rig is dependent on tugs when it shall be transferred from the one well to another, a ship can transfer itself with its own propulsion machinery. In those regions where it is far to the nearest supply base, such as for example in the Far East where oil is searched for at sea several days journey from the supply base, such drilling ships have found a broad application.

However drilling ships have clear limitations in their field of application. The known drilling ships are produced as conventional vessels with a single hull. This entails their being very sensitive to larger waves since they have a

less favourable movement response compared to usual half submergible rigs. These poor dynamic ocean characteristics for drilling has meant that drilling ships, in spite of their excellent load capacity, cannot be used in more inhospitable regions such as the North Sea and the Atlantic Ocean. Drilling ships have however great prevalence and application in more hospitable regions, where the waves are relatively small such as in sea locations outside Brazil, Indonesia and the like.

A drilling ship will have a relatively large water line area compared with half submergible rigs and is therefore more exposed to wave forces than such rigs. While an anchored rig is nearly uninfluenced by the direction environmental forces come from, a drilling ship is dependent on being able to rotate with the weather the whole time so as to be able to minimalise the forces the ship is exposed to. This is brought about by the drilling ship being equipped with a data-controlled automatic positioning system which guarantees the position relative the well and to the direction of the wind, waves and currents.

The most significant drawback with the known drilling ships is that they are sensitive to the vertical heaving movements which are generated by waves, both as regard amplitude and cycle. Known drilling ships have a length of 160-180 m and a typical breadth of 22-25 m. All of these types of ship have largely parallel ship's sides and have a normal heaving cycle of 7-8 seconds. This places moderate demands on the equipment so long as the heaving swings are moderate. A normal maximum heave for a drilling ship is 7 m (that is to say 2 x the amplitude), and this can be theoretically handled by known compensator systems.

However this is wholly insufficient for more rough weather regions, where this type of ship can easily get heaving movements of 8-10 m depending upon wave heights and

weather conditions. The theoretically simplest manner to solve this problem could be to widen the extreme values of the compensator system of the drilling ship, but with such a short cycle this will means large accelerations of the equipment with consequent forces and stresses which can both lead to breakage and fatigue.

Another theoretical way to solve this problem can be to increase the size of the ship, possibly in combination with increasing the capacity of the compensator system. For example a ship having a length of 300 m, 40 m breadth and 25 m height, can move with small amplitudes and have long cycles of movement, plus it can have a significant load capacity. Thus such a vessel would theoretically be able to combine the movement characteristics of the half submergible rig and the load capacity of the drilling ship. However this is a very expensive and unpractical solution because the investment becomes very high, plus the necessary engine power which has to be installed to maintain the position over the well of a field will be very large and fuel expenses correspondingly high. In addition a ship of this type would be very difficult to transfer and in practice be cumbersome to place at supply bases where the possibility exists of taking provisions on board for the next operative cycle.

In order to be able to carry out drilling operations reliably and effectively it is particularly necessary that the ship's own cycle of heaving is increased, at the same time as the heaving movement becomes as low as possible. Movements of the ship in roll and to some degree in pitch also mean something, but are less critical. It is known that the shape of a single hull vessel will have an influence on the heaving response of the ship, both as regards cycle and amplitude. Thus there is described in Japanese Patent Publication 57058584 a design of hull which will be able to reduce a pitching movement of a single hull ship. The shape

of hull described indicates a longitudinal bulge or lip of the hull of the ship below the water line. This can also increase the ship's own heaving cycle. Other patent specifications specify variants of lips below the water line, such as NO Patent No. 4.829, U.S. Patent No. 2.327.660 and U.S. Patent No. 4.372.240. Basin trials directed by patent applicants have confirmed that a longitudinal lip below the water line of the ship can have a positive effect on the pattern of movement of the ship for a large part of the spectrum of the wave, both with a view to cycle and amplitude. However the basin trials have also shown that for a small portion of the wave spectrum the heaving movement will be able to be powerfully reinforced if the lip was present alone. A resonance arose around the ship's own natural heaving cycle, so that the amplitude (half of the maximum swing) in this cycle region actually became larger with lips than without. For a drilling ship this as regards safety is totally unacceptable. In practice this means that in many instances such lips alone are unsuitable for use on a drilling ship.

In U.S. Patent 3,386,404 different hull designs are indicated with lips, in combination with water which flows in and out of partially closed spaces in the hull of the ship. The partially closed spaces are arranged in external sides of the ship. The patent publication points out the theoretical background that water which flows in this way will be able to have a positive effect on movements of a ship in heavy seas. The proposed, practical design of a single hull ship (Fig. 6 in the patent publication) can possibly be taken advantage of in a passenger ship or commercial ship, but is however completely unsuitable for use on a drilling ship. This is due to the fact that the proposed double spaces will occupy very much of the utility volume of the ship. This can be compensated for to some degree by making the ship larger, but

this is an expensive solution. However the most problematical is that modern ships for use in drilling and for the storage of crude oil will require a sealed double hull. Double hulls are protection against contamination on collisions and running aground. This is not possible with the proposed solution without establishing a third, sealed inner hull. This increases however the weight of steel and the expense of the ship still further, something which finally is detrimental to the payload of the ship.

It has also been found that such spaces have other unfortunate side effects. Even if the inward/outward flow of the water dampens the heaving movements the waves provide very large blow and pull stresses on the hull. Corresponding conditions will apply to the pontoon which is shown in GB - 2.008.515. The divided side surfaces will entail large horizontally directed pull forces and side movements. Corresponding applies to the known EKOFISK - tank in the North sea and which has such a construction.

That external hollow spaces in the side of the hull, which water can flow into and out of via perforations, influence in an unfavourable manner the pattern of movement in waves of a floating construction, is a contention which factually is directly confirmed by Fig. 4 of GB-patent publication 2.257.664. Figure 4 of the patent shows clearly the effect of perforations externally on the pontoon parts of a half submergible construction. The three curves represent as follows:

curve 30: water sealed pontoon.
curve 31: 10% perforations
curve 32: 20% perforations
curve 33: 30% perforations

The Figure shows that for the most frequently occurring wave cycle - range of 7-13 seconds, which will also apply to the North Sea, so perforations in the outer hull of the pontoons

would entail a worsening of the response of the platform compared to an unperforated pontoon, with respect to increasing wave cycles. In addition this response increases with the percentage degree of perforations, 30% perforations giving a larger response than 10% perforations. According to Figure 4 the improvements (in relation to curve 30 unperforated) with perforations show first when the cycle exceeds about 16 seconds and then at first the highest perforation number gives the best and lowest response. But despite the perforations all three curves lie over 1.0 in response, that is to say that in the whole wave cycle - range over 14 seconds the platforms will heave more than the wave height, and with a factor of up to 1.15-1.35.

This means that it is unfavourable to arrange hollow spaces which are accessible via perforations in external hull parts which are influenced by waves, and in addition it provides strong horizontal pulls of the vessel.

In U.S. Patent 3,386,404 there are also indicated possible solutions for use of the principle on semi-subs (Fig. 7) and on double hull vessels (Fig. 8). For semi-subs the solution has little attraction because of the increased weight of steel on an already weight-sensitive vessel. Double hull vessels are little suited to operate in rough seas because it has been found that larger waves can rise up in the deck between the hulls, something which can produce large stresses in the whole hull construction. The proposed solution of dampening the heaving movements on a double hull vessel can thus worsen the situation in that the waves rise up still more readily in the underside of the deck.

The use of open ship's wells in the ship (Eng. moonpool) is well-known, and is employed inter alia in the transportation of living fish and in a series of offshore vessels which are to lift tools and equipment down onto and upwardly from the sea bottom. The equipment, such as remote

controlled crafts, maintenance implements, etc. can be easily lifted in this manner from the deck, through the well and downwardly towards the sea bottom. A typical, larger well for an inspection vessel for the North Sea has a horizontal hull opening from 3 x 5m. and up to 7 x 7m. 7 x 7m. is also a size typical of the drilling ships constructed hitherto. Here shall be mentioned the solution which is known from DE-patent publication 25 26 609. Here the object of the well is however not to be able to influence movements of the ship in the sea. Instead it is specified that the well has as a function to make possible operations under water in a protected manner against the impact of waves, for example for submerging equipment on the sea bottom. Besides it is known that the heaving movements of a construction in waves is a direct function of its water line area.

Newer drilling ships, which have now been planned for hospitable waters like the Gulf of Mexico, and which have a length of over 220m., are planned with ship's well openings of 10 x 10m. for conventional well operations. This is done so that one shall be in a position to lower large well frames down onto the sea bottom and other heavy and large equipment through the well of the ship. If the ship is to be very advanced and shall be able to operate with two pipe strings at the same time, ship wells have been indicated of up to 10 x 20m. The said planned drilling ships for the Gulf of Mexico have a length of about 220m. and a breadth of about 40m. The water line area on these ships is about 8000 m^2. A maximum ship's well of 200 m^2 will thus constitute about 2.5% of the total water line area. On smaller drilling ships, with for example a length of 180m., water line breadth of 35m., and water line area of 6000 m^2, a ship's well which for operative reasons has a well water line area of 200 m^2, will constitute 3.3% of the entire water line area.

It is an object of the present invention to provide a hull design for a single hull vessel, especially for drilling and production ships, where the afore-mentioned drawbacks connected with the movements of the ship can be wholly or partially eliminated.

It has now been found that a modification of the design and dimensioning of these wells can have great significance in order to be able to control the heaving movements of a drilling ship.

The hull design according to the present invention is characterised by the features which are evident from the characterised portion of the following claim 1.

Especially preferred constructions of the invention are defined in the following dependent claims directed to the hull.

According to the present invention the hull construction is applied to ships, especially ships for drilling after and/or production of oil and gas, or for seismic investigations, where movements of the vessel, especially heaving movements, as a consequence of heavy seas, must be cushioned.

The hull construction according to the invention will be explained further in the following description having regard to the accompanying Figures, in which:

Figures 1 and 2 show an embodiment of a drilling ship in perspective and in side section respectively, designed on the basis of the hull construction according to the invention.

Figure 3 shows a plan view of the hull construction according to Figures 1 and 2.

Figure 4 shows a cross-section of the hull construction taken along the line X-X of Figure 3.

Figure 5 shows in perspective a section of an especially preferred design of the inner well wall.

The Figures 6 show cross-sections of 4 different designs of a lip.

Figure 7 shows a graphical representation of the relationship (HEAVE) between the heaving movements and wave height of different types of vessel (single hull as according to the invention and two half submergible platforms of dissimilar size) as a function of the wave cycle. The curves are of the same type as are shown in the afore-mentioned GB-2.257.664.

Like parts of the hull designs are given the same reference numerals on the different Figures.

By way of introduction reference is made to Figures 1 and 2, which show a perspective view and a side section respectively of a drilling ship which is built designed on the basis of the hull construction 10 according to the invention.

Fig. 1 and 2 show a drilling ship having hull 10 with an amidships side portion 12, keel portion 14 and a bow portion 16 and a stern portion 18. The amidships 12 of the hull has largely perpendicular ship's sides. Figures 1 and 2 show a drilling ship with a derrick 22 from which an oil/gas well, which leads down into the sea bottom 23, is drilled or is operated by means of a drill stem 24 or like equipment. The stem 24 extends from the derrick 22 of the ship downwardly through a vertically extending well 28 open upwards and downwards in the ship. In addition to the well 28 the ship comprises two further similar wells 26,30 (astern and front respectively). All these wells 26,28,30 are dimensioned as explained above, in order to give the ship the desired movement characteristics in the sea.

According to the invention the hull of the ship comprises one or more wells 26,28,30 in order to influence the heaving movements of the ship in a favourable manner. The wells are open, that is to say they extend continuously from

an upper deck vertically through the whole ship and outlet into the sea in the keel. When the ship begins to move in the waves, the water level in each well begins to fluctuate upwards and downwards like a vertically movable (standing) water column, in relation to the level of the water line. The water will stand a distance upwards in the well and in a stationary condition is adjusted to a normal level which is called the water line level, and such is most clearly evident by reference numeral 20 in Figure 4. There are several alternatives for the number and placing of the wells. According to one solution, and which is shown in Figures 1-3 the ship is equipped with three wells with largely rectangular plan sections, and which extend lengthwise along the longitudinal axis of the ship. A distribution or arrangement of the wells, that is to say the well area, along the mid-section of the ship has been found to be favourable. The preferred number of wells as well as their length and breadth dimensions are evident from the following. Alternatively the ship can comprise a single longitudinal well, and which can have the same water line area (see below) as the three wells 26,28 and 30 together. To start with the wells are designed with smooth and largely perpendicular well walls 36. If desirable installations on the sea bottom can be operated from a deck of the ship via these wells.

As an alternative to wells with rectangular or square cross-sections they can also be designed with other cross-sectional forms such as oval, circular or other more irregular shapes. The precise cross-sectional form will be able to be varied all according to the actual hull construction, in order for example to pay regard to the necessary frame construction.

The normal water line of the ship is illustrated in Figures 2 and 4 by the reference numeral 20. The area (cross-sectional area) which the ship covers in a horizontal plane

through the water line of the ship, is defined as the water line area of the ship. How large the well or the wells ought to be is considered close to how large a water line area the well(s) will cover in relation to the water line area of the ship. It has been found that in order to provide an effect of significance the water line area of the well of the ship ought to exceed about 8% of the total water line area of the ship. At the same time the water line area in the well ought not to exceed about 30% with a thought of the capacity of the ship for taking on board payload in relation to the total dimensions of the ship. A water line area in the well of the ship of about 15% is considered to be very favourable.

When the ship is exposed to waves water will flow into the wells from below when the ship sinks down in a wave, while the water runs out of the well when the wave draws itself down under the ship. According to a preferred construction the portion of the well which comes into contact with the inwardly and outwardly flowing mass of water comprises means which function as to delay or brake the said inward and outward flow of water from the well, and which thereby can further improve the inertia resistance of the ship against heaving movements. Two such means are shown in Figure 5, the one involving the lower portion of the well walls 36 comprising an essentially horizontal outwardly projecting shoulder facing out from the well wall 36, with a largely flat upper recess 32, so that there is formed a lip 34. The lip 34 extends largely around the whole inner wall periphery 36 of the well and forms therefore a constricted entrance opening to the well from below. Lowermost in the well wall 36, the lip 34 is apparently also in connection with the front well 30 of the ship in Figure 3.

Instead of the lip extending continuously around the periphery as the Figures show, it can be divided up into a number of mutually separated outwardly projecting lips/ lips

or beads. These outwardly projecting single lips can also be arranged at different height levels in the well wall 36 of the ship.

According to the invention the well of the ship comprises additional means which can delay the inward and outward flow of water into the well. This can be brought about by arranging along the well walls one or more extra spaces which water can flow into and out of, and which thereby delay the outward and inward flow of the water to the well, and which consequently contributes further to improving the movements of the ship. The space or spaces are formed, with reference to Figure 4 and 5, by installing in the well a wall plate 40 parallel to each of the well walls 36 so as to establish a water in/out flowable space 42. The space 42 is defined by the well wall 36, the plate 40, the upper side surface 32 for the well lip 34, and can be open upwards. The plate 40 comprises a number of through-going holes or openings 44. In Figures 4 and 5 there is shown a perforated plate 40, that is to say with a series of regular through-going holes 44 which connect the space 42 with the well present outside. The plate 40 can alternatively comprise a series of larger holes lowermost against the lip, while the plate further up does not include openings. By means of these devices a portion of the water which penetrates into the well will flow through the holes 44 in the plate 40 and into the space 42 present behind.

In this manner a delay is achieved of the inward and outward flow of water into the well. This causes the cushioning of the ship against heaving movements to become further improved. Besides tests are referred to which are discussed in detail later.

The depth (the distance) from the perforated (44) wall 40 to the sealed well wall/ship's bulkhead (36) existing behind in the tests with a full-scale ship, having a length

of 180m, water line breadth of 35m, and total water line area of about 6000 m^2, can be up to 1.6m. However a good cushioning effect can be achieved even when the depth behind the wall 40 varies. The depth to the bulkhead 36 present behind, can besides be in the region of 1-5m..

As is evident form the Figures 1-3 the hull has an approximately flat-bottomed keel portion. Along the outer side of the keel there also extends a continuous or divided up lip 32, which can have the same construction as the well lips 32. From the bottom sides the side of the ship extends by way of introduction largely vertically upwards and forms the inwardly facing substantially horizontal shoulder or flat recess 32 for forming the largely horizontal outwardly facing lip 34. The lip 34 extends largely along the whole length of the keel from the bow and backwards to the stern portion of the ship. As mentioned above the existence of such a lip, during individual circumstances, produces negative effects on the heaving movement characteristics of the ship, and such a lip is therefore not obligatory according to the present invention. However it can be preferred in combination with one or more wells of the ship since such a combination can yield a synergistic effect. The outer lip of the hull according to the invention stretches from the bow portion of the ship and aft to the stern of the ship where the sloping underside of the stern constitutes the natural termination of the extension. Preferably the lip is designed as far down towards the bottom/keel portion of the ship as possible.

Alternative lip cross-sections both for keel lips and well lips 34 are shown in Figure 6. According to one of these (Figure 6a) the shoulder portion extends in cross-section as a largely horizontal surface outwardly from ship's side portion 12 so as then to extend perpendicularly and further in an arcuate form which then forms a uniform transition to the underlying largely flat keel portion of the vessel.

According to another design shown in Figure 6b the lip is provided instead with a rounded-off form, designed in cross-section as a hemispherical form.

A further construction of the lip of the ship is shown in Figure 6c. According to this construction the lip slopes downwards and outwards from the perpendicular (well) side of the ship, so as then to extend rectilinearly downwards in order then to slope inwards and downwards, and thereafter so as to extend over into the underlying keel portion of the hull. This form is thereby polygonal, and resembles a trapezoid.

According to a further construction according to Figure 6d the lip comprises a straight, largely horizontal upper side, in order to extend rectilinearly perpendicular and further horizontally inwardly and passes over into the keel portion. It is this construction which is shown in the Figures 1-5. As is evident otherwise sharp edges are rounded off.

According to the invention the keel of the hull has a largely flat under side so that vertical movements of the ship in the sea are able to be dampened as thoroughly as possible.

PERFORMANCE OF PRACTICAL TRIALS, ASSESSMENT OF DIMENSIONAL RELATIONSHIPS IN THE HULL DESIGN ACCORDING TO THE INVENTION

Trials in basins have shown that a lip beneath the water line alone on the hull of the ship has a positive effect on the heaving response of the ship for larger portions of the wave spectrum, but has in part a strongly negative effect for a smaller portion of the wave spectrum in that the heaving movement is on the contrary reinforced. In order to compensate for this unexpected, and negative effect from the lip there have been performed comprehensive basin trials under the direction of patent applicants where one, based on a given, and dynamically viewed favourable, hull shape with

bulging below the water line the effect of different ship's wells, have been tested. The ship's wells were varied both in size, design and placement. The results showed that when the water line area of the wells were increased, the negative resonance effect in heaving gradually went away. In addition an increasing water line area in the wells of the ship contributed to a further dampening of the heaving movement and an extension of the heaving cycle of the ship.

During basin trials a hull model was tested based on a ship with a length of 180m, water line breadth of 35m, and total water line area of about 6000 m^2, as well as comprising a lip beneath the water line of 2.5m. projecting horizontally outwards which extends from the bow of the ship to almost completely astern. The trials showed by way of example that the given lip in combination with a ship's well area of 900 m^2, or about 15% of the total water line area of the ship, would be able to provide a dampening of the maximum heaving movement of fully 60% at a significant wave height H(s) of 3m., and about 45% at H(s) = 5m.. At the same time the natural intrinsic cycle of heaving of the ship was increased by 2.5 seconds. At H(s) = 7m. the effect of lip and a large well area of the ship was reduced to about 20%.

The condition of the sea in the northern portion of the North Sea is H(s) < 5m. for 95% of the year. It is under these conditions a drilling ship shall operate, and then the dampening of the movements of the ship is substantial. At extreme conditions at H(s) > 5m., with maximum waves over 9-10m., it is to be expected that a drilling ship will to a large degree lie inoperative and await better weather conditions. This is due to the fact that high seas are followed as a rule by strong winds, something which however causes cranes and lifting equipment not to be able to be operated.

For the response of the ship to the influence of waves the distribution of the well area along the mid-section of the ship has proved to be favourable. At the same time this yielded possibilities for retaining the fore-and-aft strength of the ship-hull, in that the well region can be placed within a inner beam in the hull of the ship.

A total well area of 976.8 m^2, that is to say breadth b = 13.2 and length l = about 74m., placed amidships showed itself to satisfy requirements for necessary operability for the ship in the northern portion of the Norwegian Sea, at the same time as requirements for the strength of the ship, large carrying capacity for variable cargoes, stability and constructional friendliness were maintained.

A further improvement was achieved by dividing a single well up into several smaller mutually separate wells, for example 2 units of b = 13.2m. and l = 23.2 plus one unit with b = 13.2m. and l = 27.2m.. Probably this is due to the fact that the well area was spread over a far greater length along the fore-and-aft axis of the ship. With 3 separate wells one achieves being able to build in beams thwartships between the wells, something which improves the total strength of the ship. In addition one will be able to avoid the problem with the formation of larger fore-and-aft waves inside the well area. For the drilling technical operations it is favourable that the ocean conditions within the well of a ship are as calm as possible.

A surprising effect which arises on dividing the area into 3 wells, has proved to be that the two outer wells, that is to say front and rear wells 26,30 cancel to a certain degree the waves within the centre well 28, where one looks for the drilling operations to take place.

By installing lips within the wells beneath the water line additional dampening of the movements of the ship is obtained. The lips were placed adjacent towards the bottom of

the ship, in the same manner as the outer lips on the hull, and were installed as an annular form round all the sides of the well area. In the basin trials the lips within the well had a full scale breadth in a horizontal direction of about 1.6m., something which for example gave an aperture opening of 10 x 24m. in the centre well. A practical horizontal size of the lips within the well is considered to be about 1-5m.

The centre well will be favourable as the well of a ship for drilling operations, while the main function of the remaining wells will be to improve the movement characteristics of the ship, especially with respect to the response cycle.

By installing a largely vertical wall 40 over the lips within the wells, which has a number of holes or openings 44, a delay was achieved in the inward and outward flow of water inside the well, and especially the inward and outward flow of water to the space 42 behind the wall 40. (When each of the walls "is clothed" with such a wall 40 the space 42 thus forms an annular hollow space which surrounds the aperture of the well.) This involves dampening of the ship in heaving becoming further improved. In the trials there was employed a uniformly perforated/holed wall, having circular perforations which constituted about 25% of the wall area. The trials showed that the desired dampening effect can be achieved with different constructions of the wall, where it is estimated that the area of the wall openings/holes 44 ought to be about 10-30% of the combined area of the wall 40.

In the trials the depth from the perforated wall to the sealed ship's bulkhead present behind corresponded in a full scale ship to about 1.6m, that is to say that the wall 40 was anchored approximately outermost on the upper surface 32 of the lip 34. The dampening effect can be obtained even if the depth behind this wall is varied. A practical depth for the

bulkhead present behind, is considered to be in the range between 1-5 metres.

The results from the combined trials gave very favourable movements for the heaving response of the ship, especially in the most important ocean conditions, H(s) < 5m., for drilling operations.

H(s)Wave	T(p)Wave	H(max)Wave	Max.Heaving Swing	Heaving Swing Reduction
3m	9 sec.	5.8m.	0.95m.	60%
5m	11 sec.	9.6m.	3.2m.	45%
7m	12.5 sec.	13.5m.	6.4m.	20%

Where

H(s) = significant wave height

T(p) = wave cycle in seconds

H(max.) = maximum wave height

According to the invention the ship has on each side of the hull an expansion in a horizontal direction, to an extent of up to 5.5 meters. It has been found that the expansions/lips on each side of the hull in the range of 1.5-5 metres have a good dampening effect on the heaving movements for the hull.

For example the largest amidships side-breadth 20 including the expansion/lip can be up to 60 metres, while the breadth on the amidships side 20 of the hull above the expansion can be up to about 50 metres.

It is preferred that the amidships side 20 of the hull has a breadth which constitutes 20-35% of the total length of

the hull. That is to say that a hull which has a length of 180 metres can have a amidships side-breadth of up to 63 metres. For such a hull it is preferred that the lips/the expansion on each side is at least 5 metres. According to one construction the ratio is 22%, that is to say for a vessel having a total length of 160 metres the amidships breadth is 35 metres. According to another preferred construction the amidships breadth, for a hull having a length of 180 metres, is about 40 metres, that is to say 25% of the length, and the largest amidships-hull breadth including the expansion is about 50 metres.

For example a hull can have a breadth B1 of 40 metres including the lip on each side (that is to say each lip has a largest horizontal dimension/breadth of 2.5 metres), while the overlying hull portion 12 has a breadth B2 of 35 metres, and where the upper hull portion (with the bulwark) has a breadth of 40 metres. The hull breadth B3 at the bulwark constitutes approximately the same large breadth (40 metres) as the breadth of the vessel including the lip. According to the afore-mentioned the hull length can be 100 metres, that is to say that the breadth can be up to 35% of the length.

With a hull design as indicated in this description the drawbacks are eliminated which are described by of introduction in the present specification in connection with the previously known forms of hull for drilling ships.

An objective and characteristic feature in the development of the present invention has been to produce a newly developed, but nevertheless practical and construction friendly design of a single hull with favourable dynamic properties. Single hull vessels have namely a series of economic advantages compared with semi-sub drilling rigs. In addition to the said operative advantages of being able to take large payloads, having good space on board, storing oil,

etc., a drilling ship is much cheaper to construct than a semi-sub drilling rig.

A drilling ship built with a hull according to the principles which are presented in this specification will be able to have a construction price 60-70% of a semi-sub built to the same specifications. This means savings of several 100 million kroner per vessel. This is due to the fact inter alia that a ship is well-known, little tinged by risk and construction friendly. A ship can be built by many workshops which do not desire to take the risk of constructing a complicated semi-sub.

Figure 7 shows a graphical presentation of the relationship (HEAVE) between heaving movements and wave height for different types of vessel (single hull as according to the invention, and two types of half-submergible platforms, called SEMISUB 1 and SEMISUB 2) as a function of the wave cycle.

Curve 1 shows the percentage-wise distribution of the wave cycles in the North Atlantic on a yearly basis. (the abscissa is read against the right ordinate). It will be evident that the wave cycle occurring the most often is about 9 seconds, with an occurrence of about 16.9%. Wave cycles in the range of 7-13 seconds are the most occurring. Over 17 seconds the curve evens out and such cycles will only rarely arise.

Along the left ordinate the heaving function of a vessel in metres of vertical movement is read relative to wave height in metres. The horizontal line marks the point where the heaving is the same as the wave height, that is to say HEAVE=1.0.

The designation HEAVE-RAO means "response amplitude operator", a mathematical function which describes movements of the vessel in heaving as a function as incoming waves.

The three other curves in the diagram show the response for a vessel according to the invention, (that is to say a ship with wells, inner lips, spaces including perforations and external lips), and two semi-subs numbered 1 and 2 (half submerged platforms of different size). It will be evident that in cycles of up to 6 seconds the constructions lie almost still (response = 0). During the wave cycle-range occurring the most often, that is to say up to 13 seconds, the three curves ascend uniformly, but for the two semi-subs the curve goes down again forward to cycle 20-21, where there again occurs a steep ascent, and the curve passes the response line for HEAVE = 1.0. This means that the semi-subs will swing with greater vertical heaving than the wave itself, when the wave cycle exceeds about 21 seconds. Even if such a long wave cycle occurs rarely, it will probably constitute 2-3 days of a year. Compared with this the HEAVE-RAO value for the hull construction (according to the invention) will thus ascend uniformly the whole time with the wave cycle, but then levels out and will not exceed the 1.0 line.

The advantages which these curves show, are that for a traditional single hull vessel one has been able to obtain response-values (HEAVE) in metres/metres which, over major portions of the wave spectrum, are just as good or better than the responses for the half submergible platforms SEMI-SUBS 1 and 2. The wave cycle-range where the single hull vessel has poorer responses than the SEMI-SUBS 1 and 2, that is to say of about 14-21 seconds, has in return a probability for occurrence, which goes down lower than 3% and down towards 0%, on a yearly basis. See the values for curve 1, (right ordinate).

Such good response values have previously not been possible to achieve for single hull-vessels, because earlier such vessels have not been constructed as according to the

invention, namely a combination of the internal through wells, where the wells comprise means for limiting the inward/outward flow of water, plus that there are mounted external lips beneath the water line on the hull.

There are several advantages with the hull construction according to the invention. Applied as drilling and production ships it can tolerate much larger deck loads than a conventional floating platform. It will be cheaper both in construction and in operation, it is more flexible for transfers, it can if necessary be used without being anchored, and the need for supply ships to serve the drilling/production ship is much less than for a platform.

Patent Claims

1. Hull construction for single hull vessel (10), especially designed for drilling and production operations in largely stationary position, characterised by a combination of the individually known features:

a) one or more open wells (26,28,30) extending through the hull for inward and outward flow of water from below

b) one or more of walls (36) of the well/wells (26,28,30) comprise a number of water flow delaying elements in the form of:

one or more beads/lips (34), projecting outwardly from the well wall (42), which in a region constrict the water-inward flow area of the well (26,28,30), and/or a number of water-inward flow delaying hollow spaces (42) which are flow connected with the well hollow space via perforations (44), and,

c) that the outside of the hull comprises a longitudinal bulge/lip (34) projecting horizontally outwards preferably arranged below the water line (20) of the hull (10).

2. Hull form in accordance with claim 1, characterised in that the well walls (36) form an outwardly projecting lip (34) round the whole well.

3. Hull form in accordance with one of the claims 1 - 2, characterised by a number of mutually separated outwardly projecting bulges/lips, possibly arranged at different height levels in the well wall (36) of the ship.

4. Hull form in accordance with claims 1 - 3, characterised in that seen in cross-section the lip extends from the well wall situated above:

a) as a horizontally extending shoulder (22) in order thereafter to extend downwards and inwards towards the keel portion with a largely rounded-off circular portion, and/or

b) as a downwardly sloping shoulder which is graduated step-by-step forming a polygonally-shaped (trapezoidal) cross-section in towards the underlying keel portion, and/or

c) as an outgrowth (28) having a circular cross-section.

5. Hull shape in accordance with claim 1, characterised in that the well water line area constitutes 3-40%, preferably 8-30%, and especially preferred 15-30%, and particularly preferred 15% of the total water line area of the ship.

6. Hull shape in accordance with one of the preceding claims, characterised in that in a horizontal direction the bulges/the lip(s) (34) project outwards from the well wall(s) a distance in the range of 1.5-5 metres.

7. Hull shape in accordance with claim 1, characterised in that for a ship with a length of about 180 metres the longitudinal bulge/lip projects on each side of the hull a distance of 1.5-5 metres outwardly from the side of the hull, and preferably at least 5 metres.

8. Hull form in accordance with one of the preceding claims, characterised in that seen in cross-section the bulge/the lip extends from the well wall situated above:

a) as a horizontally extending shoulder (22) in order thereafter to extend downwards and inwards towards the keel portion with a largely rounded-off circular portion, and/or

b) as a downwardly sloping shoulder which is graduated step-by-step forming a polygonally shaped (trapezium) cross-section in towards the underlying keel portion, and/or


c) as an outgrowth (28) with a circular cross-section.

9. Hull form in accordance with one of the preceding claims, characterised in that the hollow space (42) is formed by a plate (40) which is arranged largely parallel to the well wall (36) and at a distance from this, for example arranged up on the upper side of the lip (34), so that the well wall (36) the lip (34) and the plate (40) define a space (42), to which a portion of the well water can flow in and out through a number of holes (44) in the plate (40).

10. Hull form in accordance with claim 9, characterised in that the plate is a perforated/holed wall (40), preferably with circular perforations, which constitute up to about 10-30% of the total wall area, and especially preferred the wall openings/the holes (44) constitute about 25% of the combined area of the wall plate (40).

11. The use of the hull form according to claims 1 - 11 for vessels, especially vessels for drilling after and/or production of oil and gas, or for seismic investigations, where movements of the vessel, especially heaving movements, as a consequence of heavy seas have to be dampened.

FIG. 1






FIG.2




FIG.3




FIG. 4




FIG 5




FIG 6



HEAVE RAO CURVES
REGULAR HEAD SEAS
SKIP IFØLGE OPPFINNELSEN
SEMISUB. 1
SEMISUB. 2
NORTH ATLANTIC PEAK PERIOD DISTRIBUTION
SKIP IFØLGE OPPFINNELSEN
SEMISUB 2
SEMISUB 1
(1) PEAK PERIODE FORDELING (%) (NORD-ATLANTEREN)
HEAVE (m/m)
PERIOD (s)
PROBABILITY OF OCCURENCE (%)

FIG 7

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/NO 98/00003

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC6: B63B 39/00
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC6: B63B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

SE,DK,FI,NO classes as above

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

EDOC

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 3386404 A (SEIZO MOTORA), 4 June 1968 (04.06.68), column 1, line 27 - line 45; column 4, line 13 - line 67, figures 1-5,8	1-4,6-8,11
Y		9,10
A		5
	--	
A	US 4232623 A (CHOU ET AL), 11 November 1980 (11.11.80), abstract	1-8,11
Y		9,10
	--	

[X] Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. [X] See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
"E" erlier document but published on or after the international filing date
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed
"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"X" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
20 April 1998	29 -04- 1998
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Swedish Patent Office Box 5055, S-102 42 STOCKHOLM Facsimile No. +46 8 666 02 86	Authorized officer Christer Jönsson Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/NO 98/00003

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 4411212 A (BERGMAN), 25 October 1983 (25.10.83), column 4, line 30 - line 45, figures 5A-7B, abstract	1-4,6,8,11
A		5
	--	
A	US 3797440 A (PANGALILA), 19 March 1974 (19.03.74)	1-11
	--	
A	NO 164826 B (TENTECH INTERNATIONAL AS), 13 August 1990 (13.08.90)	1-11
	--	
P,A	WO 9720729 A1 (OLSEN, FRED), 12 June 1997 (12.06.97)	1-11
	--	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

02/04/98

International application No.
PCT/NO 98/00003

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 3386404 A	04/06/68	NONE	
US 4232623 A	11/11/80	AU 3944178 A	06/03/80
		CA 1090660 A	02/12/80
		GB 2008515 A,B	06/06/79
US 4411212 A	25/10/83	US 4458619 A	10/07/84
US 3797440 A	19/03/74	NONE	
NO 164826 B	13/08/90	NONE	
WO 9720729 A1	12/06/97	AU 1111897 A	27/06/97
		NO 300883 B	11/08/97
		NO 300884 B	11/08/97
		NO 954946 A	09/06/97
		NO 962441 A	09/06/97
		NO 971327 A	11/12/97
		WO 9747876 A	18/12/97