




(86) Date de dépôt PCT/PCT Filing Date: 2012/07/25
(87) Date publication PCT/PCT Publication Date: 2013/01/31
(45) Date de délivrance/Issue Date: 2022/10/25
(85) Entrée phase nationale/National Entry: 2014/01/06
(86) N° demande PCT/PCT Application No.: US 2012/048046
(87) N° publication PCT/PCT Publication No.: 2013/016377
(30) Priorité/Priority: 2011/07/28 (US13/137,216)

(51) Cl.Int./Int.Cl. *D21H 27/30* (2006.01),
D21H 25/00 (2006.01)
(72) Inventeurs/Inventors:
MILLER, JOSEPH H., US;
SUMNIGHT, DANIEL W., US;
BERNARD, AYANNA M., US;
WAHAL, SANJAY, US
(73) Propriétaire/Owner:
GPCP IP HOLDINGS LLC, US
(74) Agent: CPST INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY INC.

(54) Titre : FEUILLE MULTIPLIS GAUFREE PAR COURROIE, AU GRAMMAGE LOCALEMENT VARIE ET A BASE DE MICROFIBRES DE CELLULOSE, FABRIQUEE AU MOYEN D'UNE COURROIE POLYMERE PERFOREE
(54) Title: BELT-CREPED, VARIABLE LOCAL BASIS WEIGHT MULTI-PLY SHEET WITH CELLULOSE MICROFIBER PREPARED WITH PERFORATED POLYMERIC BELT

WIPE DRY TIMES FOR FBBC TWO-PLY WIPER



(57) **Abrégé/Abstract:**

A multi-ply absorbent sheet includes at least a first and second ply bonded together. In one construction, the first and second ply are provided with from about 90% to about 25% by weight pulp-derived papermaking fiber and from about 10% to about 75% by weight fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfiber having a CSF value of less than 175 ml; the sheet having a caliper of from 180-250 mils/8 sheets and exhibiting a wipe-dry time of less than 20 seconds, an SAT capacity in the range of 350-500 g/m², an SAT rate in the range of 0.05-0.25 g/s^{0.5}, a CD wet tensile in the range of 400-2500 g/3" and a wet/dry CD tensile ratio of from 35% to 60%. The multi-ply sheets have enough absorbent capacity for use as ordinary paper towels. Preferred products exhibit a differential pore volume for pores under 5 microns in diameter of at least about 75 mm³/g/micron.

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(10) International Publication Number
WO 2013/016377 A4

(43) International Publication Date
31 January 2013 (31.01.2013)

- (51) International Patent Classification:
D21H 21/14 (2006.01) *D21H 25/00* (2006.01)
- (21) International Application Number:
PCT/US2012/048046
- (22) International Filing Date:
25 July 2012 (25.07.2012)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:
13/137,216 28 July 2011 (28.07.2011) US
- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **GEORGIA-PACIFIC CONSUMER PRODUCTS LP** [US/US]; 133 Peachtree Street, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30303 (US).
- (72) Inventors; and
- (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **MILLER, Joseph H.** [US/US]; 1261 Nature Trail Drive, Neenah, Wisconsin 54956 (US). **SUMNIGHT, Daniel W.** [US/US]; 1754 Berkshire Drive, Hobart, Wisconsin 54313 (US). **BERNARD, Ayanna M.** [GY/US]; 1750 Dublin Trail #48, Neenah, Wisconsin 54956 (US). **WAHAL, Sanjay** [US/US]; 5000 N. Waterford Drive, Appleton, Wisconsin 54913 (US).
- (74) Agents: **BOZEK, Laura L.** et al.; Patent Group GA030-41, Georgia-Pacific LLC, 133 Peachtree Street, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30303 (US).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).


Declarations under Rule 4.17:

- as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a patent (Rule 4.17(ii))
- as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii))

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: BELT-CREPED, VARIABLE LOCAL BASIS WEIGHT MULTI-PLY SHEET WITH CELLULOSE MICROFIBER PREPARED WITH PERFORATED POLYMERIC BELT

FIG. 2
WIPE DRY TIMES FOR FRBC TWO-PLY WIPER



(57) Abstract: A multi-ply absorbent sheet includes at least a first and second ply bonded together. In one construction, the first and second ply are provided with from about 90% to about 25% by weight pulp-derived papermaking fiber and from about 10% to about 75% by weight fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibril having a CSF value of less than 175 ml; the sheet having a caliper of from 180-250 mils/8 sheets and exhibiting a wipe-dry time of less than 20 seconds, an SAT capacity in the range of 350-500 g/m², an SAT rate in the range of 0.05-0.25 g/s^{0.5}, a CD wet tensile in the range of 400-2500 g/3" and a wet/dry CD tensile ratio of from 35% to 60%. The multi-ply sheets have enough absorbent capacity for use as ordinary paper towels. Preferred products exhibit a differential pore volume for pores under 5 microns in diameter of at least about 75 mm³/g/micron.

WO 2013/016377 A4



Published:

- *with international search report (Art. 21(3))*
- *with amended claims (Art. 19(1))*

(88) Date of publication of the international search report:
10 May 2013

Date of publication of the amended claims: 18 July 2013

BELT-CREPED, VARIABLE LOCAL BASIS WEIGHT MULTI-PLY SHEET WITH
CELLULOSE MICROFIBER
PREPARED WITH PERFORATED POLYMERIC BELT

5 Claim for Priority and Cross-Reference to Related Applications

This application relates to the subject matter of U. S. Patent Application Publication No. 2009/0020139, published January 22, 2009, based on Application No. 12/284,148, filed September 17, 2008, entitled “High Efficiency Disposable Cellulosic Wiper” (Attorney
10 Docket No. 20134 P1). This application also relates to the subject matter of U. S. Patent Application Publication No. 2009/0020248, published January 22, 2009, based on Application No. 12/284,147, filed September 17, 2008, entitled “Absorbent Sheet Incorporating Regenerated Cellulose Microfiber” (Attorney Docket No. 20134 P2). Both U. S. Patent Application Nos. 12/284,148 and 12/284,147 were based, in part, on U. S. Patent Application
15 No. 11/725,253, filed March 19, 2007, entitled “Absorbent Sheet Having Regenerated Cellulose Microfiber Network”, now U. S. Patent No. 7,718,036 (Attorney Docket No. 20134). This application also relates, in part, to the subject matter of the following U. S. Provisional Patent Applications:

- 20 (1) Provisional Application No. 60/784,228, filed March 21, 2006;
- (2) Provisional Application No. 60/850,467, filed October 10, 2006;
- (3) Provisional Application No. 60/850,681, filed October 10, 2006;
- (4) Provisional Application No. 60/881,310, filed January 19, 2007;
- (5) Provisional Application No. 60/994,344, filed September 19, 2007;
- (6) Provisional Application No. 60/994,483, filed September 19, 2007.

Background

Lyocell fibers are typically used in textiles or filter media. See, for example, U. S. Patent Application Publication Nos. 2003/0177909 and 2003/0168401, both to Koslow, as well as U. S. Patent No. 6,511,746 to Collier et al. On the other hand, high efficiency wipers for
5 cleaning glass and other substrates are typically made from thermoplastic fibers.

U. S. Patent No. 6,890,649, to Hobbs et al. (3M), discloses polyester microfibers for use in a wiper product. According to the '649 patent, the microfibers have an average effective diameter of less than 20 microns and generally from 0.01 microns to 10 microns. See column
10 2, lines 38-40. These microfibers are prepared by fibrillating a film surface and then harvesting the fibers.

U. S. Patent No. 6,849,329, to Perez et al., discloses microfibers for use in cleaning wipes. These fibers are similar to those described in the '649 patent discussed above. U. S. Patent
15 No. 6,645,618, to Hobbs et al., also discloses microfibers in fibrous mats such as those used for removal of oil from water or those used as wipers.

U. S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0148264, to Varona et al., discloses a wiper with a bimodal pore size distribution. The wipe is made from melt blown fibers as well as
20 coarser fibers and papermaking fibers. See page 2, paragraph 16.

U. S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0203306, to Grafe et al., discloses a flexible wipe including a non-woven layer and at least one adhered nanofiber layer. The nanofiber layer is illustrated in numerous photographs. It is noted on page 1, paragraph 9, that the
25 microfibers have a fiber diameter of from about 0.05 microns to about 2 microns. In this patent application, the nanofiber webs were evaluated for cleaning automotive dashboards, automotive windows and so forth. For example, see page 8, paragraphs 55, 56.

U. S. Patent No. 4,931,201, to Julemont, discloses a non-woven wiper incorporating melt-blown fiber. U. S. Patent No. 4,906,513, to Keibell, et al. also discloses a wiper having melt-blown fiber. Here, polypropylene microfibers are used and the wipers are reported to provide streak-free wiping properties. This patent is of general interest as is U. S. Patent
5 No. 4,436,780, to Hotchkiss, et al. which discloses a wiper having a layer of melt-blown polypropylene fibers and on either side a spun bonded polypropylene filament layer. See also U. S. Patent No. 4,426,417, to Meitner et al., which discloses a non-woven wiper having a matrix of non-woven fibers including microfiber and staple fiber. U. S. Patent No. 4,307,143, to Meitner, discloses a low cost wiper for industrial applications which
10 includes thermoplastic, melt-blown fibers.

U. S. Patent No. 4,100,324, to Anderson et al., discloses a non-woven fabric useful as a wiper which incorporates wood pulp fibers.

15 U. S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0141881, to Bergsten et al., discloses a wipe with melt-blown fibers. This publication also describes a drag test at pages 7 and 9. For example, see page 7, paragraph 59. According to the test results on page 9, microfiber increases the drag of the wipes on a surface.

20 U. S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0200991, to Keck et al., discloses a dual texture absorbent web. Note pages 12 and 13 which describe cleaning tests and a Gardner wet abrasion scrub test.

25 U. S. Patent No. 6,573,204, to Philipp et al., discloses a cleaning cloth having a non-woven structure made from micro staple fibers of at least two different polymers and secondary staple fibers bound into the micro staple fibers. The split fiber is reported to have a titer of 0.17 to 3.0 dtex prior to being split. See column 2, lines 7 through 9. Note also, U. S. Patent No. 6,624,100, to Pike, which discloses splittable fiber for use in microfiber webs.

30

Technical Field

This application relates to multi-ply wipers comprising at least one variable local basis weight absorbent sheet including a significant proportion of fibrillated cellulose microfiber

having a plurality of arched or domed regions interconnected by a generally planar, densified fibrous network including at least some areas of consolidated fiber bordering the domed areas. The domed regions have a leading edge with a relatively high local basis weight and, at their lower portions, transition sections which include upwardly and
5 inwardly inflected sidewall areas of consolidated fiber.

While there have been advances in the art as to high efficiency wipers, existing products tend to be relatively difficult and expensive to produce; many do not have the absorbent capacity of premium paper towels and are not readily re-pulped or recycled. Moreover, the
10 wipers of the invention are capable of removing micro-particles and if not substantially all of the residue from a surface, then at least almost all, reducing the need for biocides and cleaning solutions in typical cleaning or sanitizing operations.

Summary of Invention

15 The present invention is directed, in part, to multi-ply absorbent sheet incorporating cellulose microfiber suitable for paper towels and wipers. The sheet exhibits high absorbency (SAT) values as well as low-residue, "wipe-dry" characteristics. The sheet can accordingly be used as a high efficiency wiper, or as an ordinary paper towel; eliminating the need for multiple products.

20

In one embodiment, the present invention is a multi-ply absorbent sheet exhibiting a wipe-dry time of less than 20 seconds, preferably 10 seconds or less, and a SAT capacity in the range of 9.5-11 g/g. In a further embodiment, the absorbent sheet exhibits a SAT rate in the range of 0.05-0.25 g/s^{0.5}.

25

A preferred variable basis weight ply is prepared by a belt-creping process including compactively dewatering a nascent web containing from about 10 to about 60% of fibrillated cellulosic microfiber, applying the dewatered web to a transfer surface with an apparently random distribution of fibers, and belt-creping the web under pressure with nip
30 parameters selected so as to rearrange fiber orientation and optionally provide local basis weight variation. The plies of this invention will exhibit a repeating structure of arched raised portions which define hollow areas on their opposite side. The raised arched

portions or domes have relatively high local basis weight interconnected with a network of densified fiber. Transition areas bridging the connecting regions and the domes include upwardly and optionally inwardly inflected consolidated fiber. Generally speaking, the furnish is selected and the steps of belt creping, applying vacuum and drying are controlled such that a dried web is formed having: a plurality of fiber-enriched hollow domed regions protruding from the upper surface of the sheet, said hollow domed regions having a sidewall of relatively high local basis weight formed along at least a leading edge thereof; and connecting regions forming a network interconnecting the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions of the sheet; wherein consolidated groupings of fibers extend upwardly from the connecting regions into the sidewalls of said fiber-enriched hollow domed regions along at least the leading edge thereof. Fibrillated cellulosic microfiber present at the surface of such consolidated groupings forms venation over the surface of the consolidated grouping while fibrillated cellulosic microfiber present within the consolidated groupings appears to enhance the bonding and consolidation therein, both apparently contributing to an increase in very small pores in the sheet structure. Preferably such consolidated groupings of fibers are present at least at the leading and trailing edges of the domed areas. In many cases, the consolidated groupings of fibers form saddle shaped regions extending at least partially around the domed areas wherein a venation of cellulosic microfibers extends over the surface of the consolidated regions. In other less consolidated regions of the ply, the fibrillated cellulosic microfibers are present as intermittently bonded fibers distributed through less consolidated regions of the ply and intermingled with conventional papermaking fibers therein and bonded thereto largely at crossover regions where the fibers contact.

The superior wipe-dry characteristics of the inventive products is surprising in view of the very low SAT rates observed. **Figures 1A-1H, 1J-1N and 1P-1T** are photomicrographs illustrating the microstructure at a surface of multi-ply products of the invention (**Figures 1G, 1J and 1L**) along with a variety of somewhat similar products. It is considered quite surprising that such greatly improved wipe dry characteristics can be observed when apparent porosity is suppressed to the extreme shown here. Without intending to be bound by theory, it is believed that the microfiber venation seen on the surfaces of the consolidated regions in the inventive products **Figures 1G, 1J and 1L** (formed by creping

from a transfer drum using a perforate polymeric belt) provides a very slow observed SAT rate and a high capillary pressure due to a large percentage of very small, easily accessible pores as described hereinafter, as well as the large number of very small pores distributed throughout the consolidated groupings. The inventive products are remarkably efficient

5 wipers for cleaning surfaces, leaving little, if any, residue; thus providing streak-free cleaning which is especially desirable for glass and glossy surfaces and much preferred for sanitation purposes. Briefly, "Wipe Dry" is the time it takes for residual Windex® original glass cleaner to evaporate from a plate after a wiper substrate is dragged across a wetted surface. Low values indicate less residual liquid that results in less streaking. Without

10 being bound by theory, it is hypothesized that Campbell's forces draw the fibrillated cellulosic microfibers into rather intimate adhesion to the consolidated fibrous regions so that rather than bonds being formed only at crossover points between fibers, in areas of venation, line-surface adhesion can be observed between the fibrillated cellulosic microfibers and the underlying consolidated fibrous region creating numerous highly

15 accessible micropores therebetween contributing to the excellent wipe dry properties. In any event, the sheets of the present invention formed by creping from a transfer surface using perforate polymeric belts exhibit both remarkable microporosity and remarkably quick wipe dry times while maintaining satisfactory SAT capacity. Overall, sheets which are more highly consolidated exhibit shorter wipe dry times than more open sheets.

20

The products of the invention also exhibit wet tensiles significantly above commercial towel products, but have similar SAT capacity so that the wipe-dry characteristics endure as the product absorbs liquid. **Figure 2** shows the combined attributes of wipe-dry, absorbency and wet strength achieved in a two-ply product of the invention. Wipe-dry

25 times approach 10 seconds or less with a CMF (cellulosic microfiber) content of 40% as compared to 25-30 seconds for a conventional towel.

While exhibiting very high strength, the products of the invention also exhibit an unexpectedly high level of softness as is appreciated from **Figure 3** which illustrates

30 softness as a function of wet tensile and cellulosic microfiber (cmf) content. It is seen in **Figure 3** that elevated softness levels are achieved even at wet tensiles, more than twice that of conventional towel. Preferred products of the present invention will exhibit a

differential pore volume for pores under 5 microns in diameter of at least about 75 mm³/g/micron.

Further details and advantages will become apparent from the discussion provided
5 hereinafter.

Brief Description of the Drawings

The invention is described with reference to the drawings, wherein:

10 **Figures 1A, 1C and 1E** illustrate CMF containing wipers formed by creping a nascent web from a transfer cylinder using a creping fabric and are placed for easy comparison of these to similarly formed wipers without CMF in **Figures 1B, 1D and 1F**.

Figures 1G, 1J and 1L illustrate venation on CMF containing wipers formed by creping a
15 nascent web from a transfer cylinder using a perforated polymeric creping belt and are placed for easy comparison of those to TAD formed wipers without CMF in **Figures 1H, 1K and 1M**.

Figures 1N, 1Q and 1S illustrate CMF containing wipers formed by conventional wet
20 press technology and are placed for easy comparison of these to similarly formed wipers without CMF in **Figures 1P, 1R and 1T**.

Figure 2 illustrates the wipe dry times of three commercially available kitchen roll towel
25 products as compared to two ply wipers containing varying amounts of CMF formed by belt creping from a transfer cylinder using an exemplary perforated belt as described herein and illustrated in **Figure 7**.

Figure 3 illustrates the relationship between softness, wet tensile strength and fibrillated
cellulosic microfiber content in wipers.

30

Figure 4 illustrates the distribution of fiber lengths in a cellulosic microfiber which is preferred for the practice of the present invention.

Figure 5 illustrates the extraordinarily high percentage of very long cellulosic fibers attainable with fibrillated cellulosic microfiber.

5 **Figure 6** illustrates the emboss pattern known as “Fantale” mentioned in Example 2.

Figure 7 illustrates the sheet contact surface of a perforated polymeric belt mentioned in Example 1.

10 **Figure 8** illustrates the extrusion/intrusion porosimetry system used for measuring pore volume and pore size distribution.

Figure 9 is a schematic illustrating the interaction between the pressure plate and the sample in the apparatus for measurement of pore volume distribution.

15

Figure 10 illustrates the extraordinarily high percentage of very small pores attainable in wipers comprising various amounts of fibrillated cellulosic microfibers.

20 **Figure 11** illustrates the relationship between wipe dry times and capillary pressure in wipers.

Figure 12 illustrates the relationship between capillary pressure and fibrillated cellulosic microfiber content in wipers.

25 **Figure 13** illustrates the inter-relationship between wet tensile strength, wipe dry time and content of fibrillated cellulosic microfiber content in a wiper.

Figure 14 illustrates the softness of a variety of wipers as a function of GM tensile strength with fibrillated cellulosic microfiber content being indicated as a parameter.

30

Figure 15 illustrates the softness of a variety of wipers as a function of CD wet tensile strength with fibrillated cellulosic microfiber content being indicated as a parameter.

Figure 16 illustrates wipe dry times as a function of SAT capacity with fibrillated cellulosic microfiber content being indicated as a parameter.

- 5 **Figure 17** illustrates wipe dry times as a function of water holding capacity with fibrillated cellulosic microfiber content being indicated as a parameter.

Figure 18 illustrates wipe dry times as a function of SAT rate with fibrillated cellulosic microfiber content being indicated as a parameter.

10

Figure 19 illustrates wipe dry times as a function of fibrillated cellulosic microfiber content with wet strength resin content being indicated as a parameter.

- 15 **Figure 20** illustrates variation in wet extracted lint for a variety of wipers with fibrillated cellulosic microfiber content; wet strength agent content and debonder content being indicated.

Figure 21 illustrates the response of caliper and SAT capacity in wipers to calendering.

- 20 **Figure 22** illustrates variation in the C/D wet tensile strength for a variety of towels as a function of basis weight.

Figure 23 illustrates the response of basesheet caliper to shoe press load in a variety of wipers.

25

Figure 24 illustrates basesheet caliper as a function of fibrillated cellulosic microfiber content at a constant shoe press load.

- 30 **Figures 25 A and B** illustrates an emboss pattern known as “Little Circles” mentioned in Example 2.

Figure 26 illustrates an emboss pattern known as “Patchwork” mentioned in Example 2.

Figure 27 illustrates the CD wet tensile strength of a variety of towels as a function of basis weight.

- 5 **Figure 28** is a schematic scale drawing of a preferred belt usable in the practice of the present invention.

Figure 29 illustrates the CD wet tensile strength of a variety of towels as a function of caliper.

10

Figure 30 illustrates the SAT capacity of a variety of towels as a function of caliper.

Figure 31 illustrates variation in SAT capacity for a variety of towels as a function of basis weight.

15

Figure 32 illustrates the relationship between CD wet tensile strength and Sensory Softness for a variety of towels.

- 20 **Figure 33** presents SAT Capacity and wipe dry times for both black glass and stainless steel surfaces for the wipers of Example 2.

Figure 34 is a sectional scanning electron micrograph illustrating a consolidated region in a sheet formed by belt creping using a perforate polymeric belt.

- 25 **Figure 35** is an enlarged view of a portion of **Figure 34** illustrating a domed region and a consolidated region in more detail.

Figure 36 is a sectional scanning electron micrograph illustrating another consolidated region in a sheet formed by belt creping using a perforate polymeric belt.

30

Figure 37 compares the relative improvements in wipe dry of wipers made by creping with a woven fabric as compared to wipers made by belt creping using a perforate polymeric belt.

- 5 **Figure 38** compares wipe dry of wipers made by creping with a woven fabric as compared to wipers made by belt creping using a perforate polymeric belt.

Figure 39 illustrates the effect of excessive quaternary ammonium salt release agent on wipers made by belt creping using a perforate polymeric belt.

10

Figure 40 is an isometric schematic illustrating a device to measure roll compression of tissue products.

Figure 41 is a sectional view taken along line 41-41 of **Figure 40**.

15

Figure 42 illustrates the dimensions of a marked microscope slide used in evaluating the resistance of the products of the present invention to wet linting.

Detailed Description of Invention

- 20 The invention is described in detail below with reference to several embodiments and numerous examples. Such discussion is for purposes of illustration only. Modifications to particular examples within the spirit and scope of the present invention, set forth in the appended claims, will be readily apparent to one of skill in the art.

- 25 Terminology used herein is given its ordinary meaning for example, mils refers to thousandths of an inch; mg refers to milligrams and m² refers to square meters, percent means weight percent (dry basis), “ton” means short ton (2000 pounds), unless otherwise indicated “ream” means 3000 ft², and so forth. A “ton” is 2000 pounds while a “tonne” is a metric ton of 100 kg or 2204.62 pounds. Unless otherwise specified, in an abbreviation
30 “t” stands for “ton”. Unless otherwise specified, the version of a test method applied is that in effect as of January 1, 2010 and test specimens are prepared under standard TAPPI

conditions; that is, preconditioned for 24 hours then conditioned in an atmosphere of $23^{\circ} \pm 1.0^{\circ}\text{C}$ ($73.4^{\circ} \pm 1.8^{\circ}\text{F}$) at 50% relative humidity for at least about 2 hours.

5 Test methods, materials, equipment and manufacturing techniques and terminology are those enumerated in the applications referred to above as supplemented herein.

Throughout this specification and claims, when we refer to a nascent web having an apparently random distribution of fiber orientation (or use like terminology), we are referring to the distribution of fiber orientation that results when known forming
10 techniques are used for depositing a furnish on the forming fabric. When examined microscopically, the fibers give the appearance of being randomly oriented even though, depending on the jet to wire speed ratio, there may be a significant bias toward machine direction orientation making the machine direction tensile strength of the web exceed the cross-direction tensile strength.

15

In many applications related to U.S. Patent No. 7,399,378, entitled "Fabric Crepe Process for Making Absorbent Sheet", the importance of the distinction between creping using a woven fabric and a creping belt formed by perforating a solid belt was of minor importance, so the term "belt" could apply to either creping medium. However, in this
20 patent application, as well as in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2010/0186913, entitled "Belt-Creped, Variable Local Basis Weight Absorbent Sheet Prepared With Perforated Polymeric Belt", the distinction between the use of a creping fabric and a perforate polymeric belt is of considerable importance as it has been found that use of a perforate polymeric belt makes it possible to obtain consolidated regions, particularly
25 consolidated saddle shaped regions, in the web giving it improved physical properties over the webs previously formed using the technique of creping from a transfer drum. For convenience, we refer to this method of forming a sheet as Fiber Reorienting Belt Creping or FRBC. Further, in this application, it is demonstrated that CMF containing wipers made using a perforate polymeric belt have substantial performance advantages over wipers
30 made using a woven creping fabric which we term Fiber Reorienting Fabric Creping or FRFC. Throughout this application, we have endeavored to make this distinction explicit;

but in this application belts and creping fabrics should not be considered synonymous.

Unless otherwise specified, “basis weight”, BWT, bwt, BW and so forth refers to the weight of a 3000 square-foot (278.7 m^2) ream of product (basis weight is also expressed in g/m^2 or gsm). Likewise, “ream” means a 3000 square-foot (278.7 m^2) ream unless otherwise specified. Local basis weights and differences therebetween are calculated by measuring the local basis weight at 2 or more representative low basis weight areas within the low basis weight regions and comparing the average basis weight to the average basis weight at two or more representative areas within the relatively high local basis weight regions. For example, if the representative areas within low basis weight regions have an average basis weight of 15 lbs/3000 ft^2 (24.5 g/m^2) ream and the average measured local basis weight for the representative areas within the relatively high local basis regions is 20 lbs/3000 ft^2 ream (32.6 g/m^2), the representative areas within high local basis weight regions have a characteristic basis weight of $((20-15)/15) \times 100\%$ or 33% higher than the representative areas within low basis weight regions. Preferably, the local basis weight is measured using a beta particle attenuation technique as referenced herein. In some cases, X-ray techniques can be suitable provided that the X-rays are sufficiently “soft” – that the energy of the photons is sufficiently low and the basis weight differences between the various regions of the sheet are sufficiently high that significant differences in attenuation are attained.

Calipers and or bulk reported herein may be measured at 8 or 16 sheet calipers as specified. The sheets are stacked and the caliper measurement taken about the central portion of the stack. Preferably, the test samples are conditioned in an atmosphere of $23^\circ \pm 1.0^\circ\text{C}$ ($73.4^\circ \pm 1.8^\circ\text{F}$) at 50% relative humidity for at least about 2 hours and then measured with a Thwing-Albert Model 89-II-JR or Progage Electronic Thickness Tester with 2-in (50.8-mm) diameter anvils, 539 ± 10 grams dead weight load, and 0.231 in/sec (5.87 mm/sec) descent rate. For finished product testing, each sheet of product to be tested must have the same number of plies as the product as sold. For testing in general, eight sheets are selected and stacked together. For napkin testing, napkins are unfolded prior to stacking. For base sheet testing off of winders, each sheet to be tested must have the same

number of plies as produced off the winder. For base sheet testing off of the papermachine reel, single plies must be used. Sheets are stacked together aligned in the MD. Bulk may also be expressed in units of volume/weight by dividing caliper by basis weight.

5 Consolidated fibrous structures are those which have been so highly densified that the fibers therein have been compressed to ribbon-like structures and the void volume is reduced to levels approaching or perhaps even less than those found in flat papers such as are used for communications purposes. In preferred structures, the fibers are so densely packed and closely matted that the distance between adjacent fibers is typically less than
10 the fiber width, often less than half or even less than a quarter of the fiber width. In the most preferred structures, the fibers are largely collinear and strongly biased in the MD direction. The presence of consolidated fiber or consolidated fibrous structures can be confirmed by examining thin sections which have been imbedded in resin then microtomed in accordance with known techniques. Alternatively, if SEM's of both faces of a region
15 are so heavily matted as to resemble flat paper, then that region can be considered consolidated. Sections prepared by focused ion beam cross-section polishers, such as those offered by JEOL®, are especially suitable for observing densification throughout the thickness of the sheet to determine whether regions in the tissue products of the present invention have been so highly densified as to become consolidated.

20

Creping belt and like terminology refers to a belt which bears a perforated pattern suitable for practicing the process of the present invention. In addition to perforations, the belt may have features such as raised portions and/or recesses between perforations if so desired. Preferably, the perforations are tapered which appears to facilitate transfer of the web,
25 especially from the creping belt to a dryer, for example. Typically, the face of the sheet contacting the web during the fabric creping step will have greater open area than the face away from the web. In some embodiments, the creping belt may include decorative features such as geometric designs, floral designs and so forth formed by rearrangement, deletion, and/or combination of perforations having varying sizes and shapes.

30

“Dome”, “domed”, “dome-like” and so forth, as used in the description and claims, refer generally to hollow, arched protuberances in the sheet of the class seen in the various

Figures and is not limited to a specific type of dome structure as is illustrated in **Figures 34-36**. The terminology refers to vaulted configurations generally, whether symmetric or asymmetric about a plane bisecting the domed area. Thus, “dome” refers generally to spherical domes, spheroidal domes, elliptical domes, ellipsoidal domes, oval domes, domes with polygonal bases and related structures, generally including a cap and sidewalls preferably inwardly and upwardly inclined; that is, the sidewalls being inclined toward the cap along at least a portion of their length.

Extractable Lint Test

To quantify the amount of lint removed from towel, tissue and related products when used dry (“extractable lint”), a Sutherland Rub Tester with 4.0-lb sled (rub block) is used. This apparatus is available from: Danilee Company; 27223 Starry Mountain Street; San Antonio, Texas 78260; 830-438-7737; 800-438-7738 (FAX). The 4.0-lb rub block for the Rub Tester has dimensions of 2” by 4” so that the pressure exerted during testing is 0.5 psi.

After the samples to be evaluated are preconditioned at 10-35% RH at 22°-40°C for 24 hours then conditioned at $50.0\% \pm 2.0\%$ RH and $23.0 \pm 1.0^\circ\text{C}$ for 2 hours, all of the subsequent procedures being performed within the confines of a room maintained at between 48 to 53% RH and a temperature of between 22°C and 24°C.

Two stacks of four 2.25-in. x 4.5-in. test strips with 4.5-in length in the machine direction are cut from the sample with the top (exterior of roll) side up.

Two 2.5-in. x 6-in. strips of black felt are cut with the 6-in. length in the machine direction, and the top side labeled with sample ID numbers.

A baseline reading for the felt is determined by taking one L* lightness color reading on the labeled side of each black felt strip used for testing in the middle of what will be the rubbed area using a GretagMacbeth® Ci5 spectrophotometer using the following settings on the spectrophotometer: Large area view; Specular component excluded; UV Source C; 2 degree observer; and Illuminant C. The GretagMacbeth® spectrophotometer Model Ci5 is available from: GretagMacbeth®; 617 Little Britain Road; New Windsor, NY 12553;

- 914-565-7660; 914-565-0390 (FAX); www.gretagmacbeth.com. The “before testing” reading is later compared to the “after testing” reading in the same area of the black felt strip on the same side, so particular care is taken to be sure that comparison are made only between the same felt strips. “L*” as used in this connection relates to CIE 1976 also
- 5 known as CIELAB measurement of lightness and should not be confused with Hunter lightness typically denominated “L”. In this connection, the asterisk “*” is not a reference mark directing the reader to some other location in this document but a portion of the commonly used symbol for CIE 1976 lightness “L*”.
- 10 To evaluate a specimen, it is taped to the galvanized plate on the Sutherland Rub Tester with the top side up so that rubbing will be in the machine direction with care being observed to ensure that each specimen is taped in the same rub area each time the test is performed. The first black felt specimen is taped, labeled side out, to the bottom of the 4.0-lb rub block of the Sutherland Rub Tester, the number of strokes on the rub tester is set
- 15 to four, and the slow speed selected (#2 setting for 4 speed model or #1 setting for 2 speed model), the rub block is placed on the Sutherland Rub Tester carriage arm and the “Start” button pressed to start testing. After the four strokes are completed, the rub block is removed from the tester and the black felt is removed from the bottom of the rub block with the black felt being preserved for L* “after testing” color reading. The specimen is
- 20 removed from the galvanized plate and discarded.

- One L* color reading is taken on the labeled side of each black felt strip, reading the same spot used to obtain the “before testing” value, in the middle of the rubbed area. The “after testing” reading is paired up with the appropriate “before testing” reading to calculate
- 25 difference between the readings – “ ΔL^* ”.

- For each sample, the average, standard deviation, minimum and maximum test results are recorded as measured to the nearest 0.01 L* unit for both the before testing and after testing values. The difference value of the after reading minus the before reading is
- 30 indicative of the lint removal by the standardized dry rubbing procedure.

Wet Abrasion Lint Test

Two tests are used herein to evaluate wet linting of tissue samples: in one approach, fiber is rubbed against a wetted pigskin under controlled conditions, the resulting fiber is washed off the pigskin and the number of fibers removed is measured using an OpTest® Fiber Quality Analyzer; in the second, tissue is rubbed against wetted black felt under controlled conditions and the area of the lint left behind is measured using a flat bed scanner as described hereinbelow.

Area Test

To evaluate a tissue sample for lint removal by wet abrasion, it is first subjected to simulated wet use against a sample of standard black felt with a Crockmeter Rub Tester, modified as described herein, then the area in mm² of the lint left on the felt is measured with an Epson Perfection 4490 flat bed scanner and Apogee, SpecScan Software, version 2.3.6.

15

The Crockmeter Rub available from: SDL Atlas, LLC; 3934 Airway Drive; Rock Hill, SC 29732; (803) 329-2110. To be used to measure wet lint as described herein, the Crockmeter is modified to accept a 360 gram arm and a 1" x 2" foot that exerts a pressure on the specimen of 0.435 psi. The weight of the rub block is 355 g for the weighted arm supported on one end, and 36 g for the rub foot. These weights are exerted on a 1" x 2" area, for a pressure of $391\text{g}/12.9\text{cm}^2 = 30.3\text{ g/cm}^2$. In contrast, the method of evaluating wet abrasion in U. S. Patent No. 5,958,187, Bhat et al., and U. S. Patent No. 6,059,928, Luu et al., used a 135 g sled placed on a 2 x 3" sample for a pressure of $135\text{ g}/38.7\text{ cm}^2 = 3.5\text{ g/cm}^2$.

25

Research Dimensions at 1720 Oakridge Road; Neenah, WI 54956; 920-722-2289; will modify Crockmeter Rub Testers to conform hereto.

Suitable black felt is 3/16-inch thick, part# 113308F-24 available from: Aetna Felt

Corporation; 2401 W. Emaus Avenue; Allentown, PA 18103; 800-526-4451.

To test a sample, the outer three layers of tissue are removed from the roll. Three sheets of tissue are cut at the perforations and placed in a stack using a paper cutter ensuring that the tissue sheets are placed in the same orientation relative to direction and the side of the roll. From the stack, samples that are 2-inches by 2.5-inches are cut with the long dimension being the machine direction. Enough samples are cut for 4 replicates. The short (2") side of the tissue is marked with a small dot to indicate the surface of the tissue which was outwardly facing when on the roll. The foot is mounted to the arm of the Crockmeter with the short dimension parallel to the stroke of the Crockmeter and stroke distance set at 4" $\pm$ 1/8 inch and the stroke speed is set to 10 strokes per minute. The black felt is cut into 3-inch by 6-inch pieces with the inside surface being marked along the short edge. In this test, the tissue sample to be tested will be rubbed against the inside of the felt starting at the mark. A 12-inch by 12-inch sheet of Black Acrylic, a 2-inch by 3-inch glass microscope slide marked as shown in **Figure 42**, tape, a pipette and beaker of distilled water are located on any nearby convenient flat surface. The Crockmeter is turned on, then turned off to position the arm at its furthest back position. The spacer is placed under the arm to hold it above the rubbing surface. A clean piece of black felt is taped to the base of the Crockmeter over the rubbing surface with the marked surface oriented upward with the marked end up adjacent the beginning point of the stroke of the foot. A sample is taped along one shorter edge to the foot with the top side of the tissue facing up and the length of the tissue is wrapped around the foot and attached to the arm of the Crockmeter with the taped side and the marked location on the tissue sample facing the operator at the forward portion of the Crockmeter. The type of tape used is not critical. Office tape commonly referred to as cellophane tape or sold under the trademark Scotch® tape is suitable. The spacer is removed from under the arm and the arm with the attached foot is set down on the black felt with the long dimension of the foot perpendicular to the rub direction and the foot is fixed in place. The glass microscope slide is placed on the felt forward of the foot and 3 volumes of 200 μ L of distilled water each are dispensed from the pipette onto the cross-marks on the glass slide. The sample, foot and arm are gently lifted, the glass slide is placed under the sample and the sample is lowered to allow the water to wet the sample for 5 seconds, after which time the arm is lifted, the glass slide removed and the Crockmeter activated to allow the sample to make three forward strokes on the felt with the arm being lifted manually at the beginning of each return stroke to prevent the sample from

contacting the felt during the return strokes. After three forward strokes, the Crockmeter is inactivated and the spacer placed under the arm so that the black felt can be removed without disturbing the abraded lint thereupon. Three minutes after the felt is removed from the rubbing surface, it is scanned in an Epson, Perfection 4490 flat bed scanner using

5 Apogee SpecScan Software version 2.3.36 with the software being set for “lint” in the “Scanner Settings” window, with “5” being set in the “Process Groups of:” window on the “Defaults panel”, the “Resolution” being set at “600 dots/inch”, the “Scanner Mode” being set to “256-Grayscale”, the “Area Setting” being set to “Special”, the “Scan Image” being set to “Reverse Image”, the “Upper Limit” window on the “Dirt Histogram” panel being

10 set to “>= 5.000” the “Lower Limit” window of that panel being set to “0.013—0.020” and the “X Scale:” window being set to “25”; and the “PPM” window of the “Bad Handsheet” panel set to “2500.0”. On the “Printout Settings:” panel, the “Gray-Summary”, “Sheet Summary” and “Gray Histogram” boxes are checked, the “Copies” window is set to “1”, while the “Dirt Histogram”, “Categories” and “XY Location boxes on that panel are

15 unchecked. Both the “Enable Display” and “Enable Zoom” boxes are checked on the Display Mode panel. On the “Scanner Setup” panel, the “White” box is set for “255” while the “Black” box is set for “0”, the “Contrast Filter” box is set for “0.000”, the upper “Threshold =” box is set for 80.0 [% percent of background plus] while the lower “Threshold =” box is set for “0.0” [grayscale value]. The “Percent of Background, plus

20 offset” box on the “Scanner Setup” panel is checked while the “Manual Threshold Setting” and “Function of StdDev of Background” boxes are unchecked. If desired the “Grade Identification:” and “Reel/Load Number:” boxes may be used to record indicia related to the identification of the samples being tested. On the “Special Area Definition” panel, “Inches” is checked in the “Dimensions:” region while “Rectangular” is checked in the

25 “Shape:” region. In the “Border at top and left:” region, “0.15” [in.] is entered in the “At the left side: (X)” box and “0.625” [in.] is entered in the “At the top: (Y)” box. In the “Area to scan:” regions “2.7” [in.] is entered in the “Width (X)” box and “5.2” [in.] is entered in the “Height (Y)” box. After scanning, the area in mm² of the abraded lint left on the black felt is output in the “SHEETS” Table in the “Total Area” column under the

30 “Sample Sheet(s)” heading on the “Sheet & Category Summary” screen. This result is sometimes referred to herein as “WALA” for Wet Abraded Lint Area which is reported in mm².

Fiber Count Test

In other cases, rather than using black felt, a pigskin comparable to human skin is substituted therefor, the fiber removed will be washed off and the solution subjected to testing in an Optest® Fiber Quality Analyzer to determine the number of fibers removed having a length in excess of 40 µm. The Optest® Fiber Quality Analyzer has become a standard in the paper industry for determining fiber length distributions and fiber counts (above a certain minimal length which keeps decreasing periodically as Optest® continually upgrades their technique. The Optest® Fiber Quality Analyzer is available from:

OpTest Equipment Inc.

900 Tupper St. - Hawkesbury - ON - K6A 3S3 - Canada

Phone: 613-632-5169 Fax: 613-632-3744

15

Fpm refers to feet per minute; while fps refers to feet per second.

MD means machine direction and CD means cross-machine direction.

20 “Predominantly” means more than 50% of the specified component, by weight unless otherwise indicated.

Roll Compression Test

Roll compression is measured by compressing the roll **285** under a 1500 g flat platen **281** of a test apparatus **283** similar to that shown in **Figures 40** and **41**; subsequently measuring the difference in height between the uncompressed roll and the compressed roll while in the fixture. Sample rolls **285** are conditioned and tested in an atmosphere of $23.0^{\circ} \pm 1.0^{\circ}\text{C}$ ($73.4^{\circ} \pm 1.8^{\circ}\text{F}$). A suitable test apparatus **283** with a movable 1500 g platen **281** (referred to as a Height Gauge) is available from:

30

Research Dimensions

1720 Oakridge Road

Neenah, WI 54956

920-722-2289

920-725-6874 (FAX)

The test procedure is generally as follows:

- 5 (a) Raise the platen **281** and position the roll **285** to be tested on its side, centered under the platen **281**, with the tail seal **287** to the front of the gauge and the core **289** parallel to the back of the gauge **291**.
- (b) Slowly lower the platen **281** until it rests on the roll **285**.
- (c) Read the compressed roll diameter height from the gauge pointer
10 **293** to the nearest 0.01 inch (0.254 mm).
- (d) Raise the platen **281** and remove the roll **285**.
- (e) Repeat for each roll to be tested.

To calculate roll compression in percent, the following formula is used:

$$15 \quad RC (\%) = 100 \times \frac{(\text{initial roll diameter} - \text{compressed roll diameter})}{\text{initial roll diameter}}.$$

Dry tensile strengths (MD and CD), stretch, ratios thereof, modulus, break modulus, stress and strain are measured with a standard Instron® test device or other suitable elongation tensile tester which may be configured in various ways, typically using 3 inch (76.2 mm)
20 or 1 inch (25.4 mm) wide strips of tissue or towel, conditioned in an atmosphere of 23° ± 1°C (73.4° ± 1°F) at 50% relative humidity for 2 hours. The tensile test is run at a crosshead speed of 2 in/min (50.8 mm/min). Break modulus is expressed in grams/3 inches/ %strain or its SI equivalent of g/mm/%strain. % strain is dimensionless and need not be specified. Unless otherwise indicated, values are break values. GM refers to the
25 square root of the product of the MD and CD values for a particular product. Tensile energy absorption (T.E.A.), which is defined as the area under the load/elongation (stress/strain) curve, is also measured during the procedure for measuring tensile strength. Tensile energy absorption is related to the perceived strength of the product in use. Products having a higher T.E.A. may be perceived by users as being stronger than similar
30 products that have lower T.E.A. values, even if the actual tensile strength of the two products are the same. In fact, having a higher tensile energy absorption may allow a product to be perceived as being stronger than one with lower T.E.A., even if the tensile

strength of the high-T.E.A. product is less than that of the product having the lower tensile energy absorption. Where the term “normalized” is used in connection with a tensile strength, it simply refers to the appropriate tensile strength from which the effect of basis weight has been removed by dividing that tensile strength by the basis weight. In many cases, similar information is provided by the term “breaking length”.

Tensile ratios are simply ratios of the values determined by way of the foregoing methods. Unless otherwise specified, a tensile property is a dry sheet property.

“Upper”, “upwardly” and like terminology is used purely for convenience and does not require that the sheet be placed in a specified orientation but rather refers to position or direction toward the caps of the dome structures, that is, the belt side of the web, which is generally opposite the Yankee side unless the context clearly indicates otherwise.

“Venation” means a structure presenting a generally smooth surface having raised, generally continuous ridges defined thereacross similar to the venation observable on the lower surface of many common leaves.

The void volume and/or void volume ratio as referred to hereafter, are determined by saturating a sheet with a nonpolar POROFIL® liquid and measuring the amount of liquid absorbed. The volume of liquid absorbed is equivalent to the void volume within the sheet structure. The % weight increase (PWI) is expressed as grams of liquid absorbed per gram of fiber in the sheet structure times 100, as noted hereinafter. More specifically, for each single-ply sheet sample to be tested, select 8 sheets and cut out a 1 inch by 1 inch (25.4 mm by 25.4 mm) square (1 inch (25.4mm) in the machine direction and 1 inch (25.4mm) in the cross machine direction). For multi-ply product samples, each ply is measured as a separate entity. Multiple samples should be separated into individual single plies and 8 sheets from each ply position used for testing. Weigh and record the dry weight of each test specimen to the nearest 0.0001 gram. Place the specimen in a dish containing POROFIL® liquid having a specific gravity of about 1.93 grams per cubic centimeter, available from Coulter Electronics Ltd., (Beckman Coulter, Inc.; 250 S. Kraemer Boulevard; P.O. Box 8000; Brea, CA 92822-8000 USA; Part No. 9902458.) After 10 seconds, grasp the specimen at the very edge (1-2 millimeters in) of one corner with

tweezers and remove from the liquid. Hold the specimen with that corner uppermost and allow excess liquid to drip for 30 seconds. Lightly dab (less than ½ second contact) the lower corner of the specimen on #4 filter paper (Whatman Ltd., Maidstone, England) in order to remove any excess of the last partial drop. Immediately weigh the specimen,
5 within 10 seconds, recording the weight to the nearest 0.0001 gram. The PWI for each specimen, expressed as grams of POROFIL® liquid per gram of fiber, is calculated as follows:

$$\text{PWI} = [(W_2 - W_1) / W_1] \times 100$$

10 wherein

“W₁” is the dry weight of the specimen, in grams; and

“W₂” is the wet weight of the specimen, in grams.

15

The PWI for all eight individual specimens is determined as described above and the average of the eight specimens is the PWI for the sample.

20 The void volume ratio is calculated by dividing the PWI by 1.9 (density of fluid) to express the ratio as a percentage, whereas the void volume (gms/gm) is simply the weight increase ratio; that is, PWI divided by 100.

Water absorbency rate is related to the time it takes for a sample to absorb a 0.1 gram droplet of water disposed on its surface by way of an automated syringe. The test
25 specimens are preferably conditioned at 23°C ± 1°C (73.4°F ± 1.8°F) at 50% relative humidity. For each sample, four 3X3 inch test specimens are prepared. Each specimen is placed in a sample holder such that a high intensity lamp is directed toward the specimen. 0.1 ml of water is deposited on the specimen surface and a stop watch is started. When the water is absorbed, as indicated by lack of further reflection for light from the drop, the
30 stopwatch is stopped and the time is recorded to the nearest 0.1 seconds. The procedure is repeated for each specimen and the results averaged for the sample. SAT rate is determined by graphing the weight of water absorbed by the sample (in grams) against the

square root of time (in seconds). The SAT rate is the best fit slope between 10 and 60 percent of the end point (grams of water absorbed), and is expressed in $\text{g/s}^{0.5}$.

The wet tensile of a wiper of the present invention is measured generally following TAPPI Method T 576 pm-07 using a three-inch (76.2 mm) wide strip of tissue that is folded into a loop, clamped in a special fixture termed a Finch Cup, then immersed in a water. A suitable Finch cup, 3-in. (76.2 mm), with base to fit a 3-in. (76.2 mm) grip, is available from:

High-Tech Manufacturing Services, Inc.
3105-B NE 65th Street
Vancouver, WA 98663
360-696-1611
360-696-9887 (FAX)

For fresh basesheet and finished product (aged 30 days or less for towel product; aged 24 hours or less for tissue product) containing wet strength additive, the test specimens are placed in a forced air oven heated to 105°C (221°F) for five minutes. No oven aging is needed for other samples. The Finch cup is mounted onto a tensile tester equipped with a 2.0 pound (8.9 Newton) load cell with the flange of the Finch cup clamped by the tester's lower jaw and the ends of tissue loop clamped into the upper jaw of the tensile tester. The sample is immersed in water that has been adjusted to a pH of 7.0 ± 0.1 and the tensile is tested after a 5 second immersion time using a crosshead speed of 2 inches/minute (50.8 mm/minute). The results are expressed in g/3" or (g/mm), dividing the readout by two to account for the loop as appropriate.

Wipe dry times are evaluated using a turntable wipe dry instrument with a spray fluid dispensing instrument, each being as described below. For purposes of this application, two standard test surfaces are used: stainless steel and black glass. To evaluate a sample, the paper is first pre-conditioned and conditioned as described below, the test surface cleaned with Windex® original glass cleaner from S. C. Johnson and Son, Racine Wisconsin, and then wiped dry with a lint-free wipe.

The test sample is folded so that the fold extends in the cross direction and centered on the black foam side of the sample head so that the machine direction runs perpendicular to the shaft (i.e., the machine direction is parallel to the directions of motion) and taped in position at its corners so that the sample's leading edge is the folded edge and the towel sample is flush with the right hand edge of the sample head. The sample head is placed on the test surface and the slack in the sample removed. Windex® original glass cleaner is sprayed on the test surface in an amount of 0.75 ± 0.1 grams in the center of the area not occupied by the test head. The table is rotated for 3 revolutions at 30-32 rpm with the head in engagement with the test surface at a load of 1065 g spread over bearing surface dimensions of 23 cm x 9.5 cm. After the turntable has made three revolutions, the area on the test surface to which the Windex® original glass cleaner was applied is observed and the elapsed time recorded until all of the Windex® original glass cleaner has evaporated. This time is recorded in seconds as the Wipe Dry Time.

15 **Liquid Porosimetry**

Liquid porosimetry is a procedure for determining the pore volume distribution (PVD) within a porous solid matrix. Each pore is sized according to its effective radius, and the contribution of each size to the total free volume is the principal objective of the analysis. The data reveals useful information about the structure of a porous network, including absorption and retention characteristics of a material.

The procedure generally requires quantitative monitoring of the movement of liquid either into or out of a porous structure. The effective radius R of a pore is operationally defined by the Laplace equation:

25

$$R = \frac{2\gamma \cos \theta}{\Delta P}$$

where γ is liquid surface tension, θ is advancing or receding contact angle of the liquid, and ΔP is pressure difference across the liquid/air meniscus. For liquid to enter or drain from a pore, an external pressure must be applied that is just enough to overcome the Laplace ΔP . Cos θ is negative when liquid must be forced in; cos θ is positive when it must be forced

30

out. If the external pressure on a matrix having a range of pore sizes is changed, either continuously or in steps, filling or emptying will start with the largest pore and proceed in turn down to the smallest size that corresponds to the maximum applied pressure difference.

Porosimetry involves recording the increment of liquid that enters or leaves with each pressure change and can be carried out in the extrusion mode; that is, liquid is forced out of the porous network rather than into it. The receding contact angle is the appropriate term in the Laplace relationship, and any stable liquid that has a known $\cos \theta_r > 0$ can be used. If necessary, initial saturation with liquid can be accomplished by preevacuation of the dry material. The basic arrangement used for extrusion porosimetry measurements is illustrated in **Figure 8**. The presaturated specimen is placed on a microporous membrane which is itself supported by a rigid porous plate. The gas pressure within the chamber is increased in steps, causing liquid to flow out of some of the pores, largest ones first. The amount of liquid removed is monitored by the top-loading recording balance. In this way, each level of applied pressure (which determines the largest effective pore size that remains filled) is related to an increment of liquid mass. The chamber is pressurized by means of a computer-controlled, reversible, motor-driven piston/cylinder arrangement that can produce the required changes in pressure to cover a pore radius range from 1 to 1000 μm .

Eight finished product samples were analyzed for pore volume distribution testing.

Measurements were performed on the TRI/Autoporosimeter[®]. The instrument and the PVD methodology are described in the paper "Liquid Porosimetry: New Methodology and Applications" by Dr. B. Miller and Dr. I. Tyomkin, published in the Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 162, 163-170, (1994).

The test liquid was 0.1% TX-100 solution in water, surface tension 30 mN/m. TX-100 is a surfactant. For reference, water at room temperature has a surface tension of 72 dyne/cm. Sample size was 30 cm^2 . The test started in advancing mode and finished in receding mode. Advancing mode requires good contact with fine porous membrane in the test chamber. Therefore, samples were covered with a multi-pin plate as shown in **Figure 9**. The pin plate area is 30 cm^2 . It has 196 0.9 x 0.9 mm square pins; the height of each pin is

4 mm, the distance between pins is 3.2 mm, total area of pins is 159 mm². The pin plate locally compressed the sample; total area of pins is 5% of sample.

- Data from 1 micron to 500 microns represent the advancing part of the curve, and data from
- 5 500 microns to 1 micron represent the receding part of the curve. At the end of the test at 1 micron, there was some liquid left in the sample. This liquid is a sum of liquid in swollen fibers, liquid in pores below 1 micron, and liquid trapped in the larger pores. The amount of liquid in a sample at the end of experiment was usually below 0.5 mm³/mg.
- 10 Water Holding Capacity is determined pursuant to withdrawn ASTM Standard Method D-4250-92, Standard Method for Water-Holding Capacity of Bibulous Fibrous Products. It is considered generally very comparable to SAT.

Regenerated Cellulose Microfiber

- 15 In accordance with the invention, regenerated cellulose fiber is prepared from a cellulosic dope comprising cellulose dissolved in a solvent comprising tertiary amine N-oxides or ionic liquids. The solvent composition for dissolving cellulose and preparing underivatized cellulose dopes suitably includes tertiary amine oxides such as N-methylmorpholine-N-oxide (NMMO) and similar compounds enumerated in U. S. Patent No. 4,246,221, to McCorsley.
- 20 Cellulose dopes may contain non-solvents for cellulose such as water, alkanols or other solvents as will be appreciated from the discussion which follows.

Suitable cellulosic dopes are enumerated in Table 1, below.

Table 1			
EXAMPLES OF TERTIARY AMINE N-OXIDE SOLVENTS			
Tertiary Amine N-oxide	% water	% cellulose	
N-methylmorpholine N-oxide		up to 22	up to 38
N,N-dimethyl-ethanol-amine N-oxide		up to 12.5	up to 31
N,N-dimethylcyclohexylamine N-oxide		up to 21	up to 44
N-methylhomopiperidine N-oxide		5.5-20	1-22
N,N,N-triethylamine N-oxide		7-29	5-15
2(2-hydroxypropoxy)-N-ethyl-N,N,-dimethyl-amide N-oxide		5-10	2-7.5
N-methylpiperidine N-oxide		up to 17.5	5-17.5
N,N-dimethylbenzylamine N-oxide		5.5-17	1-20

See, also, U. S. Patent No. 3,508,941 to Johnson.

Details with respect to preparation of cellulosic dopes including cellulose dissolved in suitable ionic liquids and cellulose regeneration therefrom are found in U. S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0157351, of Swatloski et al., entitled "Dissolution and Processing of Cellulose Using Ionic Liquids". Here again, suitable levels of non-solvents for cellulose may be included. There is described generally in this patent application a process for dissolving cellulose in an ionic liquid without derivatization and regenerating the cellulose in a range of structural forms. It is reported that the cellulose solubility and the solution properties can be controlled by the selection of ionic liquid constituents with small cations and halide or pseudohalide anions favoring solution. Preferred ionic liquids for dissolving cellulose include those with cyclic cations such as the following cations: imidazolium; pyridinium; pyridazinium; pyrimidinium; pyrazinium; pyrazolium; oxazolium; 1,2,3-triazolium; 1,2,4-triazolium; thiazolium; piperidinium; pyrrolidinium; quinolinium; and isoquinolinium.

Processing techniques for ionic liquids/cellulose dopes are also discussed in U. S. Patent No. 6,808,557, to Holbrey et al., entitled "Cellulose Matrix Encapsulation and Method". Note also, U. S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2005/0288484, of Holbrey et al., entitled "Polymer Dissolution and Blend Formation in Ionic Liquids", as well as U. S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2004/0038031, of Holbrey et al., entitled "Cellulose Matrix Encapsulation and Method". With respect to ionic fluids in general the following documents provide further detail: U. S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0241287, of Hecht et al., entitled "Extracting Biopolymers From a Biomass Using Ionic Liquids"; U. S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0240727, of Price et al., entitled "Ionic Liquid Based Products and Method of Using The Same"; U. S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0240728, of Price et al., entitled "Ionic Liquid Based Products and Method of Using the Same"; U. S. Patent

Application Publication No. 2006/0090271, of Price et al., entitled “Processes For Modifying Textiles Using Ionic Liquids”; and U. S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0207722, of Amano et al., entitled “Pressure Sensitive Adhesive Compositions, Pressure Sensitive Adhesive Sheets and Surface Protecting Films”. Some ionic liquids and quasi-ionic liquids
5 which may be suitable are disclosed by Imperato et al., Chemical Communications, 2005, pages 1170-1172.

“Ionic liquid”, refers to a molten composition including an ionic compound that is preferably a stable liquid at temperatures of less than 100°C at ambient pressure. Typically, such liquids
10 have very low vapor pressure at 100°C, less than 75 mBar or so and preferably less than 50 mBar or less than 25 mBar at 100°C. Most suitable liquids will have a vapor pressure of less than 10 mBar at 100°C and often the vapor pressure is so low it is negligible and is not easily measurable since it is less than 1 mBar at 100°C.

15 Suitable commercially available ionic liquids are Basionic™ ionic liquid products available from BASF (Florham Park, NJ) and are listed in Table 2 below.

Table 2 – Exemplary Ionic Liquids

<u>STANDARD</u>			
IL Abbreviation	Basionic™ Grade	Product name	CAS Number
EMIM Cl	ST 80	<u>1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride</u>	65039-09-0
EMIM CH ₃ SO ₃	ST 35	<u>1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium methanesulfonate</u>	145022-45-3
BMIM Cl	ST 70	<u>1-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride</u>	79917-90-1
BMIM CH ₃ SO ₃	ST 78	<u>1-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium methanesulfonate</u>	342789-81-5
MTBS	ST 62	<u>Methyl-tri-n-butylammonium methylsulfate</u>	13106-24-6
MMMPZ MeOSO ₃	ST 33	<u>1,2,4-Trimethylpyrazolium methylsulfate</u>	
EMMIM EtOSO ₃	ST 67	<u>1-Ethyl-2,3-di-methylimidazolium ethylsulfate</u>	516474-08-01
MMMIM MeOSO ₃	ST 99	<u>1,2,3-Trimethyl-imidazolium methylsulfate</u>	65086-12-6

5

ACIDIC

IL Abbreviation	Basionic™ Grade	Product name	CAS Number
HMIM Cl	AC 75	<u>Methylimidazolium chloride</u>	35487-17-3
HMIM HSO ₄	AC 39	<u>Methylimidazolium hydrogensulfate</u>	681281-87-8
EMIM HSO ₄	AC 25	<u>1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium hydrogensulfate</u>	412009-61-1
EMIM AlCl ₃	AC 09	<u>1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrachloroaluminate</u>	80432-05-9
BMIM HSO ₄	AC 28	<u>1-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium hydrogensulfate</u>	262297-13-2
BMIM AlCl ₃	AC 01	<u>1-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrachloroaluminate</u>	80432-09-3

BASIC

IL Abbreviation	Basionic™ Grade	Product name	CAS Number
EMIM Acetat	BC 01	<u>1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium acetate</u>	143314-17-4
BMIM Acetat	BC 02	<u>1-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium acetate</u>	284049-75-8

10

Table 2 – Exemplary Ionic Liquids (cont'd)

LIQUID AT RT

IL Abbreviation	Basionic™ Grade	Product name	CAS Number
EMIM EtOSO ₃	LQ 01	<u>1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium ethylsulfate</u>	342573-75-5
BMIM MeOSO ₃	LQ 02	<u>1-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium methylsulfate</u>	401788-98-5

5

LOW VISCOSITY

IL Abbreviation	Basionic™ Grade	Product name	CAS Number
EMIM SCN	VS 01	<u>1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium thiocyanate</u>	331717-63-6
BMIM SCN	VS 02	<u>1-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium thiocyanate</u>	344790-87-0

FUNCTIONALIZED

IL Abbreviation	Basionic™ Grade	Product name	CAS Number
COL Acetate	FS 85	<u>Choline acetate</u>	14586-35-7
COL Salicylate	FS 65	<u>Choline salicylate</u>	2016-36-6
MTEOA MeOSO ₃	FS 01	Tris-(2-hydroxyethyl)-methylammonium methylsulfate	29463-06-7

10

Cellulose dopes including ionic liquids having dissolved therein about 5% by weight underivatized cellulose, are commercially available from Aldrich. These compositions utilize alkyl-methylimidazolium acetate as the solvent. It has been found that choline-based ionic liquids are not particularly suitable for dissolving cellulose.

15

After the cellulosic dope is prepared, it is spun into fiber, fibrillated and incorporated into absorbent sheet as hereinafter described.

A synthetic cellulose such as lyocell is split into micro- and nano-fibers and added to conventional wood pulp. The fiber may be fibrillated in an unloaded disk refiner, for example, or any other suitable technique including using a PFI beater mill. Preferably,

20

relatively short fiber is used and the consistency kept low during fibrillation. The beneficial features of fibrillated lyocell include: biodegradability, hydrogen bonding, dispersibility, repulpability, and smaller microfibers than obtainable with meltspun fibers, for example.

5

Fibrillated lyocell or its equivalent has advantages over splittable meltspun fibers. Synthetic microdenier fibers come in a variety of forms. For example, a 3 denier nylon/PET fiber in a so-called pie wedge configuration can be split into 16 or 32 segments, typically in a hydroentangling process. Each segment of a 16-segment fiber would have a coarseness of about 2 mg/100 m versus eucalyptus pulp at about 7 mg/100 m.

10

Unfortunately, a number of deficiencies have been identified with this approach for conventional wet laid applications. Dispersibility is less than optimal. Melt spun fibers must be split before sheet formation, and an efficient method is lacking. Most available polymers for these fibers are not biodegradable. The coarseness is lower than wood pulp, but still high enough that they must be used in substantial amounts and form a costly part of the furnish. Finally, the lack of hydrogen bonding requires other methods of retaining the fibers in the sheet.

15

Fibrillated lyocell has fibrils that can be as small as 0.1 – 0.25 microns (μm) in diameter, translating to a coarseness of 0.0013 – 0.0079 mg/100 m. Assuming these fibrils are available as individual strands – separate from the parent fiber – the furnish fiber population can be dramatically increased at various addition rates. Even fibrils not separated from the parent fiber may provide benefit. Dispersibility, repulpability, hydrogen bonding, and biodegradability remain product attributes since the fibrils are cellulose.

20

25

Fibrils from lyocell fiber have important distinctions from wood pulp fibrils. The most important distinction is the length of the lyocell fibrils. Wood pulp fibrils are only perhaps microns long, and therefore act in the immediate area of a fiber-fiber bond. Wood pulp fibrillation from refining leads to stronger, denser sheets. Lyocell fibrils, however, are potentially as long as the parent fibers. These fibrils can act as independent fibers and improve the bulk while maintaining or improving strength. Southern pine and mixed

30

southern hardwood (MSHW) are two examples of fibers that are disadvantaged relative to premium pulps with respect to softness. The term “premium pulps” used herein refers to northern softwoods and eucalyptus kraft pulps commonly used in the tissue industry for producing the softest bath, facial, and towel grades. Southern pine is coarser than northern softwood Kraft, and mixed southern hardwood is both coarser and higher in fines than market eucalyptus. The lower coarseness and lower fines content of premium market pulp leads to a higher fiber population, expressed as fibers per gram (N or $N_{i>0.2}$) in Table 3. The coarseness and length values in Table 3 were obtained with an OpTest Fiber Quality Analyzer. Definitions are as follows:

$$L_n = \frac{\sum_{\text{all fibers}} n_i L_i}{\sum_{\text{all fibers}} n_i} \quad L_{n,i>0.2} = \frac{\sum_{i>0.2} n_i L_i}{\sum_{i>0.2} n_i} \quad C = 10^5 \times \frac{\text{sample weight}}{\sum_{\text{all fibers}} n_i L_i}$$

$$N = \frac{100}{CL} [=] \text{ million fibers / gram}$$

Northern bleached softwood Kraft (NBSK) and eucalyptus have more fibers per gram than southern pine and hardwood. Lower coarseness leads to higher fiber populations and smoother sheets.

Table 3 – Fiber Properties

Sample	Type	C, mg/100m	Fines, %	L_n , mm	N, MM/g	$L_{n,i>0.2}$, mm	$N_{i>0.2}$, MM/g
Southern HW	Pulp	10.1	21	0.28	35	0.91	11
Southern HW - low fines	Pulp	10.1	7	0.54	18	0.94	11
Aracruz Eucalyptus	Pulp	6.9	5	0.50	29	0.72	20
Southern SW	Pulp	18.7	9	0.60	9	1.57	3
Northern SW	Pulp	14.2	3	1.24	6	1.74	4
Southern (30 SW/70 HW)	Base sheet	11.0	18	0.31	29	0.93	10
30 Southern SW/70 Eucalyptus	Base sheet	8.3	7	0.47	26	0.77	16

For comparison, the “parent” or “stock” fibers of unfibrillated lyocell have a coarseness 16.6 mg/100 m before fibrillation and a diameter of about 11-12 μm .

The fibrils of fibrillated lyocell have a coarseness on the order of 0.001 – 0.008 mg/100 m. Thus, the fiber population can be dramatically increased at relatively low addition rates.

Figure 4 illustrates the distribution of fiber lengths found in a regenerated cellulosic microfiber which is preferred for the practice of the present invention. Fiber length of the parent fiber is selectable, and fiber length of the fibrils can depend on the starting length and the degree of cutting during the fibrillation process, as can be seen in **Figure 5**.

5

The dimensions of the fibers passing the 200 mesh screen are on the order of 0.2 micron by 100 micron long. Using these dimensions, one calculates a fiber population of 200 billion fibers per gram. For perspective, southern pine might be three million fibers per gram and eucalyptus might be twenty million fibers per gram (Table 3). It appears that these fibers are the fibrils that are broken away from the original unrefined fibers. Different fiber shapes with lyocell intended to readily fibrillate could result in 0.2 micron diameter fibers that are perhaps 1000 microns or more long instead of 100. As noted above, fibrillated fibers of regenerated cellulose may be made by producing “stock” fibers having a diameter of 10-12 microns or so followed by fibrillating the parent fibers. Alternatively, fibrillated lyocell microfibers have recently become available from Engineered Fibers Technology (Shelton, Connecticut) having suitable properties.

Particularly preferred materials contain more than 40% fiber that is finer than 14 mesh and exhibit a very low coarseness (low freeness). For ready reference, mesh sizes appear in Table 4, below.

20

<u>Table 4 – Mesh Size</u>		
Sieve Mesh #	Inches	Microns
14	.0555	1400
28	.028	700
60	.0098	250
100	.0059	150
200	.0029	74

Details as to fractionation using the Bauer-McNett Classifier appear in Gooding et al., “Fractionation in a Bauer-McNett Classifier”, Journal of Pulp and Paper Science, Vol. 27,

No. 12, December 2001. A particularly preferred microfiber is shown in Table 4A.

Table 4A	
Fiber Length Distribution of Preferred Regenerated Cellulosic Microfiber	
Fiber Length	Weight %
+14 mesh	2.3%
28 mesh	20.5%
48 mesh	10.6%
100 mesh	15.6%
200 mesh	17.2%
<200 mesh	<u>33.8%</u>

- 5 **Figure 5** is a plot showing fiber length as measured by an FQA analyzer for various samples of regenerated cellulosic microfiber. From this data it is appreciated that much of the fine fiber is excluded by the FQA analyzer and length prior to fibrillation has an effect on fineness. The OpTest Fiber Quality Analyzer has become a standard in the paper industry for determining fiber length distributions and fiber counts (above a certain minimum length which
- 10 keeps decreasing steadily as OpTest continually upgrades their technology.) The OpTest Fiber Quality Analyzer is available from:

OpTest Equipment Inc.

900 Tupper St. - Hawkesbury - ON - K6A 3S3 - Canada

Phone: 613-632-5169 Fax: 613-632-3744

15

Example 1 (Perforated polymeric belt creping)

A series of belt-creped base-sheets were prepared with the materials and layering described in Table 5, with the CMF having the approximate fiber length distribution shown in **Figure 4**.

Table 5. Base-sheet Cells							
Cell	NBSK %	CMF %	BW lb/R	CMC lb/t	Amres lb/t	Layered	Comments
1	100	0	14	0	12	No	Control, balanced charge
2	80	20	14	0	12	No	20% CMF, two-ply towel
3	60	40	14	0	12	No	40% CMF, two-ply towel
4	40	60	14	0	12	No	60% CMF, two-ply towel
5	100	0	14	12	40	No	Control, high resin
6	80	20	14	12	40	No	20% CMF, two-ply towel, high wet
7	60	40	14	12	40	No	40% CMF, two-ply towel, high wet
8	40	60	14	12	40	No	60% CMF, two-ply towel, high wet
9	60	40	14	12	40	Yes	100% CMF on surface
10	60	40	14	12	40	Yes	100% CMF on surface, calender
11	40	60	14	12	40	No	High wet/dry 3 lb/t GP-C in MC 1 and MC 2
12	40	60	14	12	40	No	High wet/dry 3 lb/t GP-C, calender
13	60	40	14	12	40	No	High wet/dry 3 lb/t GP-C in MC 1 and MC 2

100% NBSK was delivered from a first machine chest. 100% CMF was supplied from a second machine chest. The softwood fiber was refined an average of 2.2 HPD/ton based on total flow, requiring less refined horsepower as softwood fiber content decreased. The average freeness of the softwood fiber across the trial was 541 ml CSF.

Amres® HP 100 was split proportionally to the suction of each machine chest pump. Amtex Gelycel® carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) was split proportionally to the static mixer or stuff box. Titratable charge averaged 0.02 ml/10ml for cells with no CMC and 12 lb/ton Amres®. Titratable charge averaged -0.17 ml/10 ml for cells with 12 lb/ton CMC and 40 lb/ton Amres®.

Trial speed averages appear in Table 6:

Table 6. Trial Speed Averages	
Jet Speed, fpm	2450
Form Roll Speed, fpm	1574
Small Dryer Speed, fpm	1559
Yankee Speed, fpm	1251
Reel Speed, fpm	1190
Jet/Wire Ratio	1.56
Fabric Crepe Ratio	1.25
Reel Crepe Ratio	1.05
Total Crepe Ratio	1.31

A perforated polymer creping belt was used as described in U. S. Patent Application Publication No. 2010/0186913, entitled “Belt-Creped, Variable Local Basis Weight Absorbent Sheet Prepared With Perforated Polymeric Belt”. The sheet contact surface of the perforated polymeric belt is illustrated in **Figure 7**.

5

The basesheets produced had the properties set forth in Table 7. Base-sheets were converted to two-ply sheet using Fantale emboss pattern, **Figure 6**, with THVS configuration, that is, the pattern is embossed into only one of the two plies which is joined to the non-embossed ply by glue lamination in points to the inside configuration, such that the outer surface of the embossed ply is debossed and the asperities created by embossing bear against and are shielded by the unembossed ply.

10

Softness panel, wet lint, and wipe dry tests were completed in addition to conventional strength and absorbency tests described above. Porosity of the sheets is discussed in some detail below. The results of these tests are set forth in Table 8.

15

Table 7 Basesheet (perforate polymeric belt creped)													
Roll	CMF Percent	Amres, lb/t	Caliper 8 Sheet mils/8 sht	Basis Weight lb/3000 ft ²	MD Tensile g/3 in	MD Stretch %	CD Tensile g/3 in	CD Stretch %	CD Wet Tens Finch Cured g/3 in.	SAT Capacity g/m ²	GM Tensile g/3 in.	GM Break Modulus gms/%	CD Tensile Wet/Dry Unitless
22989	0	12	77.7	14.0	1891	31.7	1556	6.5	345	364	1714	121	0.22
22988	0	12	77.5	14.5	1928	33.7	1539	6.4	317	354	1722	119	0.21
22990	20	12	74.6	14.1	1939	37.3	2068	7.6	554	336	2002	119	0.27
22992	20	12	73.4	14.3	2177	36.1	1886	6.4	561	332	2026	135	0.30
22996	40	12	86.9	14.0	1802	40.3	1931	9.1	486	377	1865	99	0.25
22997	40	12	83.9	14.5	1837	39.1	1766	8.6	544	373	1799	101	0.31
22998	60	12	94.8	13.8	1419	39.2	1324	9.1	445	392	1369	73	0.34
22999	60	12	94.6	14.8	1756	42.2	1340	9.9	520	404	1534	74	0.39
23010	0	40	88.2	14.3	1881	25.3	2490	6.6	924	403	2162	170	0.37
23012	0	40	84.2	14.9	2877	32.7	2086	6.4	696	435	2449	168	0.33
23015	20	40	87.0	14.0	2535	32.3	2701	8.0	1,155	410	2615	165	0.43
23017	20	40	88.2	14.6	2307	31.1	2235	6.3	1,078	410	2266	162	0.48
23019	40	40	89.1	14.5	2833	38.0	2393	8.6	968	399	2602	146	0.40
23020	40	40	93.8	14.6	2640	39.5	2468	9.2	1,147	400	2552	134	0.46
23024	60	40	99.4	14.1	2342	37.6	2216	9.8	1,077	431	2278	117	0.49
23025	60	40	92.0	14.5	2412	36.7	1868	8.6	993	403	2123	119	0.53
23027	40	40	97.1	14.0	2148	38.0	1950	9.3	810	432	2043	112	0.42
23028	40	40	93.4	14.4	2315	37.4	2075	9.2	845	416	2192	118	0.41
23032	60	40	104.1	13.8	2761	36.7	2453	9.3	1,325	473	2602	143	0.54
23034	60	40	70.6	14.4	3286	38.1	3058	9.5	1,378	395	3169	169	0.45
23036	40 strat Y*	40	96.8	14.1	2811	38.2	2973	8.4	1,393	447	2891	159	0.47
23037	40 strat Y*	40	95.9	13.9	3080	37.1	3047	7.8	1,432	446	3063	177	0.47
23038	40 strat Y*	40	66.0	12.9	2895	37.7	2999	8.8	1,444	391	2946	163	0.48
23040	40 strat Y*	40	62.4	13.0	2172	37.9	2315	8.0	1,050	356	2242	129	0.45

*The overall composition of the Yankee side ply is 40% CMF by weight with the Yankee side layer of the headbox issuing substantially 100% CMF.

WO 2013/016377

PCT/US2012/048046

20000001

Table 8 Finished Product Data (perforate polymeric belt creped)																				
	Description	Basesheet	CMF	Softness Panel	Basis Weight lb/3000 ft ²	Caliper 8 Sheet mils/ 8 sht	MD Tensile g/3 in	MD Stretch %	CD Tensile g/3 in	CD Stretch %	GM Tensile g/3 in.	Wet Tens Finch Cured-CD g/3 in.	CD Tensile Wet/Dry Unitless	SAT Capacity g/m ²	SAT Rate g/s ^{0.5}	SAT Time s	Break Modulus GM g/%	Wet Extracted Lint %	Wipe Dry Top s	Wipe Dry Bottom s
1	P3424.1	22989 22988	0	6.26	28.6	184	3,321	29	2,949	7.4	3,128	730	0.25	395	0.27	20	212	0.25	19	32
2	P3425.1	23010 23012	0	4.88	29.6	204	4,057	28	4,547	8.3	4,293	1,380	0.30	440	0.33	17	283	0.22	23	34
3	P3426.1	23033 23032	60	4.73	27.9	227	5,156	31	4,379	9.7	4,747	2,263	0.52	438	0.09	105	280	0.09	9	9
4	P3427.1	23034 23032	60	4.62	28.4	215	5,191	30	4,382	9.6	4,764	2,185	0.50	446	0.11	74	278	0.11	12	10
5	P3428.1	23036 23037	40	5.37	28.0	221	5,007	31	5,082	9.1	5,042	2,262	0.45	468	0.12	69	300	0.19	12	11
6	P3429.1	23038 23040	40	5.75	25.8	185	4,315	30	4,499	8.9	4,404	1,934	0.43	418	0.14	42	267	0.17	10	9
7	P3430.1	23024 23025	60	5.77	28.5	203	4,773	34	3,958	10.4	4,345	1,856	0.47	439	0.10	104	230	0.21	11	9
8	P3431.1	23028 23027	40	7.29	27.7	205	3,791	31	3,624	10.2	3,705	1,494	0.41	415	0.10	79	210	0.10	9	8
9	P3432.053	23020 23019	40		29.2	182	5,696	35	4,999	9.9	5,333	1,924	0.39	409	0.11	79	288	0.28	13	13
10	P3432.083	23020 23019	40		29.2	240	4,487	31	4,032	9.6	4,252	1,669	0.41	459	0.16	42	245	0.28	23	11
11	P3531.053	23028 23027	40		27.8	183	4,413	32	3,970	10.0	4,184	1,677	0.42	393	0.10	78	235	0.09	9	7
12	P3531.083	23028 23027	40		27.7	225	3,825	31	3,143	10.2	3,466	1,395	0.44	451	0.12	69	194	0.10	9	10
13	P3432.1	23020 23019	40	5.14	29.2	205	5,377	35	4,672	11.1	5,011	1,903	0.41	411	0.10	79	251	0.25	10	13
14	P3433.1	22999 22998	60	8.02	28.3	193	3,584	38	3,142	12.4	3,356	937	0.30	395	0.07	133	154	0.14	5	5
15	P3434.1	23015 23017	20	4.67	28.8	204	4,761	32	4,895	9.6	4,825	1,745	0.36	430	0.21	25	276	0.21	25	17
16	P3435.1	22997 22996	40	6.75	28.7	186	3,579	36	3,535	11.0	3,556	1,077	0.30	391	0.09	77	178	0.13	9	9
17	P3436.1	22990 22992	20	6.33	29.3	182	3,554	32	3,536	8.3	3,545	1,007	0.29	353	0.14	37	216	0.39	15	18

Details as to base-sheet properties and converted two-ply wiper properties appear in Tables 7 and 8. From Table 8, it can be appreciated that addition of even 20% CMF significantly improves the wipe dry characteristics of the sheets. *See* lines 15 and 17 in comparison to lines 1 and 2, while improvement in wipe dry starts leveling out with addition of 40%
5 CMF. Compare line 16 with 3, 4 and 7. However, as is shown in line 14, the best overall results for wipe dry and softness were obtained with 60% CMF.

Referring to **Figure 2**, it is seen that the two-ply products of the invention exhibit wipe dry and wet tensile which is far superior to that achieved with the conventional towel. As
10 illustrated in **Figures 10, 11 and 12**, it appears that faster wipe dry times may be at least partially attributable to the micropore structure of the sheets formed. In **Figure 10**, it can be seen that as CMF is increased, the number of pores less than 5 microns also increases, while the curves for product with 40 or 60% CMF are essentially similar, again suggesting that only diminishing benefit is obtained beyond 40% CMF. This hypothesis is consistent with
15 **Figure 10** showing that 20% CMF significantly improves wipe dry but the effect levels off above 40% CMF. Preferred wiper towel products exhibit a differential pore volume for pores under 5 microns in diameter of at least about $75 \text{ mm}^3/\text{g}/\text{micron}$, more preferably above about $100 \text{ mm}^3/\text{g}/\text{micron}$, still more preferably above about $150 \text{ mm}^3/\text{g}/\text{micron}$ for pores under 2.5 microns.

20 **Figure 11** suggests that there is a correlation between wipe dry and capillary pressure at 10% saturation, both in advancing and receding mode. **Figure 12** shows increasing capillary pressure at 10% saturation as CMF is increased.

25 **Figure 13** shows wipe dry as a function of CMF and wet strength. Cellulose microfiber (CMF) was varied between 0 and 60%, and Amres® wet strength resin was either 12 lb/ton or 40 lb/ton. Carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) was added at the higher wet strength dosage to balance charge. The non-CMF portion of the furnish was NBSWK refined at a constant net specific horsepower so that strength changes can be primarily attributed to
30 CMF and resin rather than NBSK refining level. The two curves at roughly constant wet tensile define three planes comprising a 3-D surface on which wipe dry time beneficially

decreases as the amount of CMF in the sheet is increased, indicating that wipe dry times of under 10 seconds can be obtained with 40% CMF in the sheet. The surface in **Figure 13** can be described by Equation 1:

$$\begin{aligned} 5 \quad & 1) \text{ Wipe Dry} = 22.1 - 0.662 \cdot \text{CMF} + 0.00495 \cdot \text{CMF}^2 + 0.00493 \cdot \text{Wet Tensile} \\ & R^2 = 0.99 \end{aligned}$$

Figure 3 shows the impact of CMF and wet tensile on softness. CMF has a positive impact; while increasing wet tensile strength reduces softness. The surface in **Figure 3** can be described by Equation 2:

$$\begin{aligned} 10 \quad & 2) \text{ Softness} = 7.90 + 0.0348 \text{ CMF} - 0.00223 \text{ Wet Tensile} \\ & R^2 = 0.99 \end{aligned}$$

15 **Figures 2, 14 and 15** illustrate the results of analyses of towels and wipers produced in Example 1 and include retail towel data for comparison. Surprisingly, the inventive product has higher wet tensile at a given softness level than Brawny® or Sparkle® towels.

Figures 16 and 17 show that wipers with 40 or 60% CMF have very fast wipe dry times while also having good capacity. **Figure 16** used SAT data while **Figure 17** used the old water holding capacity test (withdrawn ASTM Standard Method D-4250-92, Standard Method for Water-Holding Capacity of Bibulous Fibrous Products.). The general pattern of performance is similar with either test.

25 **Figure 18** illustrates the counter-intuitive and surprising result that as CMF is increased, we have found that, even though SAT Rate decreases, wipe dry times decrease.

Figure 19 illustrates the effect that CMF has upon the wipe dry times at various levels of the wet strength resins Amres® and CMC. It appears that increasing the amount of resin in the outer layers increases the wipe dry times.

30

Figure 20 shows wet extracted lint for finished product. CMF typically reduces lint at a variety of levels of CMF and wet strength resins. It can be appreciated that linting generally decreases as the amount of CMF is increased except that the wet extracted lint generally hovered between 0.20 and 0.25 with the Amres® containing sheets for all levels of CMF.

Figure 21 shows that any softness benefit from calendering is obtained at a significant cost with respect to lost caliper and absorbency. In one case, a calendered, embossed ply was matched with an unembossed ply for no softness benefit and 12 mil drop in caliper. In another case, a product with two calendered plies had a 0.4 point softness increase while dropping 35 mils of caliper and 50 gsm SAT. In our experience with softness panels for towel products, a gain of 0.32 points of softness is enough that one product, having a softness panel score 0.32 units greater than another, would be perceived as noticeably softer consistently at the 90% confidence level.

Figure 22 illustrates the dependence of CD wet tensile strength on both resin addition and CMF. The ratio is higher with CMF at a given resin dose, but the highest ratios are achieved at high CMF and high resin levels.

CMF makes the sheet more difficult to dewater compactively as the tendency of the sheet to extrude itself out of the pressing nip increases as the CMF content is increased. Oftentimes, this is referred to as sheet crushing. When attempting to dewater a nascent web containing increasing amounts of CMF, the Visconip pressure had to be progressively reduced to prevent sheet crushing at the nip as the level of CMF in the sheet was increased (**Figure 23**). Even though increasing the proportion of CMF in a sheet increases the bulk attainable with a given basis weight (**Figure 24**), the reduction in the pressing load that the sheet will sustain results in a wetter sheet going forward which normally entails much higher expenses for drying energy.

Figure 33 presents SAT Capacity and wipe dry times for both black glass and stainless steel surfaces for the wipers of Example 2.

Figure 34 is an SEM section (75X) along the machine direction (MD) of perforate polymeric belt creped basesheet **600** showing a domed area corresponding to a belt perforation as well as the densified pileated structure of the sheet. It is seen in **Figure 34** that the domed regions, such as region **640**, have a “hollow” or domed structure with inclined and at least partially densified sidewall areas, while surround areas **618**, **620** are densified but less so than transition areas. Sidewall areas **658**, **660** are inflected upwardly and inwardly and are so highly densified as to become consolidated, especially about the base of the dome. It is believed that these regions contribute to the very high caliper and roll firmness observed. The consolidated sidewall areas form transition areas from the densified fibrous, planar network between the domes to the domed features of the sheet and form distinct regions which may extend completely around and circumscribe the domes at their bases or may be densified in a horseshoe or bowed shape only around part of the bases of the domes. At least portions of the transition areas are consolidated and also inflected upwardly and inwardly

Figure 35 is another SEM (120X) along the MD of basesheet **600** showing region **640** as well as consolidated sidewall areas **658** and **660**. It is seen in this SEM that the cap **662** is fiber-enriched, of relatively high basis weight as compared with areas **618**, **620**, **658**, **660**. CD fiber orientation bias is also apparent in the sidewalls and dome.

Figure 36 is an SEM section (120X) along the machine direction (MD) of basesheet **700** in which consolidated sidewall areas **758**, **760** are densified and are inflected inwardly and upwardly.

Example 2 (Fabric Creping)

Basesheets having the properties set forth in Table 9 were made using fabric creping technology in which the nascent webs were creped from a creping cylinder using a woven creping fabric. These basesheets were converted to finished product towels by embossing one ply with the emboss pattern shown in **Figure 26** (Patches) and glue laminating it to an unembossed ply as set forth in Tables 9 and 10.

WO 2013/016377

PCT/US2012/048046

Table 9 FRFC/CMF Basesheet Data, (fabric creped) Basesheet Properties																	
Cell	Emboss ply	TL 2008-1905	Description	Furnish	8 Sheet Caliper mils/8 snt	Basis Weight lb/3000 ft²	MD Tensile g/3 in	MD Stretch %	CD Tensile g/3 in	CD Stretch %	CD Wet Tens Finch Cured- g/3 in.	GM Tensile g/3 in.	GM Break Modulus gms/%	Tensile Ratio Dry %	CD Tensile Wet/Dry Unities s	CD Wet Breaking length, m	Specific Bulk, cc/g
1	x	14-1	19721 229	50/50 M/A	85.1	15.3	1754	26.4	1638	5.5	587	1693	142	1.07	0.36	310	10.9
		15-1	19723 239	50/50 M/A	83.2	15.3	1695	23.3	1527	5.8	521	1607	140	1.11	0.34	274	10.6
		15 lbream Control Average (Calendered)			84.1	15.3	1724	24.9	1582	5.6	554	1650	141	1.09	0.35	292	10.7
2		22-1	19731 1008	50/50 M/A	82.1	11.7	1745	19.8	1454	5.5	504	1590	157	1.21	0.35	346	13.7
	x	24-1	19733 1030	50/50 M/A	77.1	11.6	2054	20.8	1338	5.1	520	1657	164	1.54	0.39	361	13.0
		12 lbream Control Average (Uncalendered)			79.6	11.7	1899	20.3	1396	5.3	512	1624	160	1.37	0.37	354	13.3
3	x	29-1	19738 1140	50/50 M/MCF	95.5	12.6	2059	30.9	1604	6.7	831	1816	126	1.29	0.52	530	14.7
		30-1	19739 1154	50/50 M/CVF	94.8	12.6	1972	30.6	1526	7.4	699	1734	116	1.30	0.46	446	14.6
		12 lbream Microfiber Average (Uncalendered)			95.1	12.6	2016	30.8	1565	7.0	765	1775	121	1.29	0.49	488	14.7
4		36-1	19755 155	50/50 M/CVF	110.4	14.5	2791	33.6	2298	7.2	1,075	2532	146	1.21	0.47	599	14.9
	x	37-1	19756 205	50/50 M/CVF	114.6	13.6	2429	37.4	2160	7.2	1,129	2290	147	1.13	0.52	670	16.5
		12 lbream Microfiber Average (Uncal, higher FC)			112.5	14.0	2610	36.7	1437	8.8	621	1442	81	1.01	0.43	507	20.9
5	x	37-1	19756 205	50/50 M/CVF	114.6	13.6	2429	37.4	2160	7.2	1,129	2290	141	1.13	0.52	670	16.5
		38-1	19757 216	50/50 M/CVF	105.7	9.9	1449	36.7	1437	8.8	621	1442	81	1.01	0.43	507	20.9
		10 lbream Microfiber (Uncal, higher FC)															
6		38-1	19757 216	50/50 M/CVF	105.7	9.9	1449	36.7	1437	8.8	621	1442	81	1.01	0.43	507	20.9
	x	43-1	19762 310	50/50 M/CVF	59.4	9.9	1612	27.1	1362	8.0	768	1482	102	1.18	0.56	623	11.7
		10 lbream Microfiber (Calendered)															
7	x	41-1	19760 248	50/50 M/CVF	94.8	10.2	1796	31.2	1550	8.1	791	1668	105.2	1.16	0.51	625	18.2
		42-1	19761 300	50/50 M/CVF	93.2	10.3	1921	29.3	1451	7.8	802	1669	111.1	1.32	0.55	628	17.6
		10 lbream Microfiber Average (Uncalendered)			94.0	10.2	1859	30.2	1501	7.9	797	1669	108	1.24	0.53	626	17.9

M= Northern SW Kraft; A= Aracruz Eucalyptus Kraft

Table 10 Base Sheet Assignment and Estimated Finished Product Physical Properties							
cell	Emboss Ply	Basesheet Roll #	Sheet Count	Caliper (mils/8 sheets)	Roll Diameter (inches)	CD Wet Tensile ("Finch Cup") (g/3")	Emboss Penetration
1	x	19721 19723	48	248±5	5.0±0.1	500	65
2	x	19731 19733	48	float	float	500	65
3	x	19738 19739	48	float	float	750	65
4A	x	19755 19756	48	float	float	1100	65
4B	x	19755 19756	48	max	>5.0	>1100	60
5A	x	19756 19757	48	float	float	850	65
5B	x	19756 19757	48	max	>5.0	>850	60
6A	x	19757 19762	48	float	float	700	65
6B	x	19757 19762	48	float	float	700	60
7A	x	19760 19761	48	float	float	800	65
7B	x	19760 19761	48	float	float	800	60
7C	x	19760 19761	48	float	float	800	55

When tested for physical properties, the results set forth in Table 11 were obtained.

Subsequently, other rolls of basesheet were converted using the emboss design shown in

- 5 **Figure 25A** (Little Circles) in a point to point mode, i.e.; registered debosses were formed in the outer surface of each ply with the depths of the debossed regions in each ply being pressed so forcefully against the debossed regions in the other, that the plies are thereby bonded to each other. In some cases, the contact regions between the plies may be glassined. When Little Circles is used in point to point mode both surfaces show the
- 10 pattern of **Figure 25A**. In cases, where a nested mode is used, one surface bears the pattern of **Figure 25A** while the other bears the pattern of **Figure 25B**. The physicals of

the rolls thereby formed are set forth in Table 11 and the preliminary evaluation of performance is set forth in Table 12.

Table 11. Appendix I. Properties of Rolls Converted with THVS Emboss in THVS Mode												
Cell ID	1	2	3	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	7C
Furnish	50/50 M/Aracruz		50/50 M/CMF									
Basis Weight (lb/3000 ft ²)	29.0	23.0	24.4	27.2	26.2	22.0	22.1	19.5	19.4	19.5	19.6	19.6
Caliper (mils/8 sheet)	200	180	199	230	214	207	200	175	165	176	173	169
MD Tensile (g/3")	2539	2487	3599	5713	6007	3638	3856	2554	3051	3539	3680	3971
MD Stretch (%)	19.2	15.6	21.1	25.1	26.3	24.1	24.6	20.9	21.1	21.1	21.1	21.2
CD Tensile (g/3")	2317	1974	2473	3739	3738	2828	3113	2332	2619	2598	2702	2983
CD Stretch (%)	5.4	5.4	7.3	6.9	7.6	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.0	7.9	8.2
GM Tensile (g/3")	2423	2215	2982	4620	4736	3206	3464	2438	2824	3031	3152	3441
CD Wet Tensile - Finch (g/3")	670	676	1048	1749	1683	1278	1418	1095	1141	1162	1263	1343
CD Tensile Wet/Dry (Unitless)	0.29	0.34	0.42	0.47	0.45	0.45	0.46	0.47	0.44	0.45	0.47	0.45
SAT Capacity (g/m ²)	469	399	447	497	476	460	456	408	413	424	411	416
GM Break Modulus (g/%)	242.4	241.9	239.7	346.3	330.3	223.9	246.4	187.2	211.4	235.2	246.0	263.1
GM Modulus (g/3"Stretch)	42.0	46.3	37.3	44.8	40.6	34.2	33.8	34.3	34.7	34.9	35.9	36.1
Roll Diameter (in)	4.98	4.78	4.82	5.25	4.89	4.81	4.77	4.55	4.35	4.67	4.63	4.34
Roll Compress Value (%)	19.7	20.4	16.5	20.9	16.4	15.7	14.5	14.6	14.3	16.5	17.2	13.5
Sensory Softness	8.19	6.76	6.19	4.30	4.52	5.54	5.26	7.35	6.94	5.75	5.47	5.12
Wet Extracted Lint (%)	0.069	0.050	0.063	0.076	0.080	0.062	0.069	0.055	0.063	0.048	0.048	0.050
Wipe Dry (Black Glass)	Top	17	26	14	13	15	15	14	13	14	17	18
	Bottom	16	28	12	15	13	17	20	18	16	20	20
	Average	16	27	13	14	14	16	17	15	15	18	19
Wipe Dry (Stainless Steel)	Top	18	26	14	16	16	22	20	21	25	28	21
	Bottom	20	22	15	13	15	14	18	19	21	27	23
	Average	20	22	15	13	15	14	18	19	21	27	23
Wet Lint (Picks/in) - FQA Fiber Count (Number)	1601	808	786	884	814	631	829	676	577	572	616	594

Table 11 (Continued) (Appendix II). Properties of Rolls Converted with Little Circles Emboss in Point to Point Mode							
Cell ID	4C	4D	4E	7D	7E	7F	
Furnish							
50/50 M/CMF							
Basis Weight (lb/3000 ft ²)	24.8	25.9	25.9	18.2	18.3	18.6	
Caliber (mils/8 sheet)	278	234	211	234	224	168	
MD Tensile (g/3")	5188	5555	6413	2749	3308	4242	
MD Stretch (%)	18.0	17.9	20.3	13.2	14.3	15.7	
CD Tensile (g/3")	2952	3589	4203	1725	2065	2934	
CD Stretch (%)	10.0	9.4	8.3	10.0	9.7	8.7	
GM Tensile (g/3")	3913	4460	5191	2174	2612	3527	
CD Wet Tensile - Finch (g/3")	1389	1591	1865	780	974	1349	
CD Tensile Wet/Dry (Unitless)	0.47	0.44	0.44	0.45	0.47	0.46	
SAT Capacity (g/m ²)	406	379	405	349	345	342	
GM Break Modulus (g%)	289.6	341.4	401.6	188.5	224.5	300.1	
GM Modulus (g/%Stretch)	39.1	46.0	48.8	31.2	33.8	44.2	
Roll Diameter (in)	5.52	5.10	4.88	5.32	5.17	4.52	
Roll Compress Value (%)	14.2	13.3	15.2	16.4	16.1	15.1	
Sensory Softness	5.17	4.51	3.92	7.48	6.85	5.83	
Wet Extracted Lint (%)	0.057	0.082	0.075	0.050	0.052	0.056	
Wipe Dry (Black Glass) (s)	Top	12	14	10	16	16	
	Bottom	17	11	10	17	17	
	Average	14	11	12	16	17	
Wipe Dry (Stainless Steel) (s)	Top	15	12	10	17	17	
	Bottom	12	12	14	16	16	
	Average	12	12	14	16	16	
Wet Lint (Pigskin) - FQA Fiber Count (Number)	561	902	726	679	600	689	

Table 12. Appendix III. Wipe Dry Data using Wiper Test Method (Single Sheet) (Preliminary Results)																		
Cell ID	1	2	3	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	4C	4D	4E	7D	7E	7F	4C	4D
Furnish	50/50 M/Araçuz		50/50 M/CMF															
Basis Weight (lb/3000ft²)	29.0	23.0	24.4	27.2	26.2	22.0	22.1	19.5	19.4	19.5	24.8	25.9	25.9	18.2	18.3	18.6	24.8	25.9
Caliper (mils/8 sheet)	200	180	199	230	214	207	200	175	165	176	278	234	211	234	224	168	278	234
SAT Capacity (g/m²)	469	399	447	497	476	460	456	408	413	424	406	379	405	349	345	342	406	379
Wipe Dry (Black Glass) (s)	Top		92	64	95	133	134	131	123	110	231	115	155	137	165	114	231	115
	Bottom	59	109	73	113	101	113	133	95	87	222	139	109	129	140	114	222	139
	Average	59	101	68	104	117	123	132	109	99	227	127	132	133	152	114	227	127
Wipe Dry (Stainless Steel) (s)	Top	41	64	50	71	59	76	79	69	68	104	69	58	120	78	61	104	69
	Bottom	43	63	56	49	71	88	81	67	76	78	70	82	134	80	66	78	70
	Average	43	63	56	49	71	88	81	67	76	78	70	82	134	80	66	78	70

By comparing **Figs 1G, 1J, and 1L**, of structures formed by creping from a transfer surface with a perforate polymeric belt, with micrographs of CMF containing structures formed by a variety of other methods including creping from a transfer surface with a woven fabric, conventional wet pressing, and TAD, it can be appreciated that structures formed by

5 creping from a transfer surface with a perforate polymeric belt exhibit “venation” in some regions in which the CMF fibrils are tightly adhered to an underlying consolidated structure with line contact between the CMF and the underlying consolidated structure. This venation resembles the vein which can be seen in the undersurface of a leaf and contrasts strongly with the structure formed by the other methods in which the CMF is part

10 of an open structure more closely resembling ivy growing on a wall than the veins on a leaf. As mentioned previously, without being bound by theory, it is hypothesized that this line surface contact may create micropores which are responsible for the remarkable wipe dry properties of these structures as discussed above. In any event, the superior wipe dry properties of the sheets formed using the perforate polymeric belt and exhibiting venation

15 are undeniable -- no matter what the explanation.

Figure 37 compares the results of Examples 1 and 2 on a normalized basis obtained by dividing the wipe dry time for each cell by the best wipe dry time obtained with a 0% CMF in each of Examples 1 and 2 then plotting these against the CD wet tensile of the wiper in

20 that cell with the fabric creped sheets being indicated by solid symbols and the samples obtained by creping with a perforate polymeric belt being indicated by hollow symbols in accordance with the legend. It can be appreciated that there is quite a substantial difference between the wipers obtained using the fabric and those using the perforated polymeric belt, particularly when it is considered that the fabric creped samples indicated

25 by the solid circle contain 50% CMF while many of the belt creped samples contain far less CMF, the hollow diamonds indicating the presence of 40% CMF and the hollow squares indicating only 20%.

Figure 38 compares the results of Examples 1 and 2 without normalization of the wipe dry

30 times so that the wipe dry times are compared directly. Again it can be appreciated that the

wipers produced with the perforate polymeric belt are far superior to those produced with a fabric, particularly when differences in CMF content are considered.

Figure 39 presents the wipe dry times from Example 1 plotted against the ratio of PAE
5 adhesive to quaternary ammonia salt based release agent in the creping package. It can be
appreciated that wipe dry times suffer at low values of this ratio (high levels of quaternary
ammonia salt release agent), therefore, in those cases where, as is common, the outer surface
of the wiper is the Yankee side, care should be exercised to ensure that the level of quaternary
ammonium salt retained on the surface of the web is sufficiently low that the wipe dry time is
10 not increased unduly. In the present case, this point is primarily important as being the most
likely reason why a few of the wipers with 40% CMF exhibited anomalously high wipe dry
times as shown in **Figs. 37** and **38**.

While the invention has been described in detail, modifications within the spirit and scope of
15 the invention will be readily apparent to those of skill in the art. In view of the foregoing
discussion, relevant knowledge in the art and references including co-pending application(s)
discussed above in connection with the Background and Detailed Description, further
description is deemed unnecessary. In addition, it should be understood that aspects of the
invention and portions of various embodiments may be combined or interchanged either in
20 whole or in part. Furthermore, those of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that the
foregoing description is by way of example only, and is not intended to limit the invention.

CLAIMS

1. A multi-ply wiper/towel product comprising:
at least one wet laid web comprising (a) at least 10% fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers, and (b) at least 40% wood pulp derived papermaking fibers, the at least one wet laid web having formed therein:
 - (i) a plurality of fiber-enriched hollow domed regions on an upper side of the at least one wet laid web, the hollow domed regions having respective sidewalls of a relatively high local basis weight formed along at least a leading edge thereof;
 - (ii) connecting regions of a relatively lower local basis weight forming a network interconnecting the hollow domed regions of the at least one wet laid web; and
 - (iii) transition areas with consolidated fibrous regions that transition from the connecting regions into the hollow domed regions, by extending upwardly and inwardly from the connecting regions into the sidewalls of the hollow domed regions.
2. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the at least one wet laid web exhibits a differential pore volume for pores under 5 microns in a diameter of at least 75 mm³/g/micron.
3. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the at least one wet laid web exhibits a differential pore volume for pores under 5 microns in a diameter of at least 100 mm³/g/micron.
4. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers present in the at least one wet laid web form venation on the surface of the consolidated fibrous regions, such that the surface has raised, generally continuous ridges defined thereacross.

5. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the consolidated fibrous regions are saddle shaped, and more than 35% by weight of the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers has a Canadian Standard Freeness (CSF) value of less than 175 mL.
6. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions in the at least one wet laid web exhibit a local basis weight of at least 5% higher than the mean basis weight of a product sheet, and the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have a number average diameter of less than 2 microns.
7. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions in the at least one wet laid web exhibit a local basis weight of at least 10% higher than the mean basis weight of a sheet of the product, and the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have a fiber count of greater than 2 billion fibers/gram.
8. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein one of at least a portion of the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions and the transition areas in the at least one wet laid web exhibits a cross machine direction (CD) fiber orientation bias, and the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have a fiber count of greater than 10 billion fibers/gram.
9. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein at least a portion of the connecting regions in the at least one wet laid web exhibits a cross machine direction (CD) fiber orientation bias.
10. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein at least a portion of the sidewalls of the hollow domed regions exhibits a matted structure on both their outer and inner surfaces, and the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers form venation thereupon, such that the surfaces have raised, generally continuous ridges defined thereacross.

11. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have a characteristic Canadian Standard Freeness (CSF) value of less than 175 ml.
12. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the product has a caliper of from 7.5 to 12 mils/8 sheets/per pound per ream and exhibits a wipe-dry time of less than 20 seconds.
13. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the product has an SAT capacity in the range of about 9.5 to about 11.0 g/g.
14. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the product has an SAT rate in the range of about 0.05 to about 0.25 g/s^{0.5}.
15. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the product has a wet/dry CD tensile ratio of from about 40% to about 60%.
16. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the product has a cross machine direction (CD) wet breaking length in the range of about 300 to about 800 m.
17. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 16, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers exhibit a CD wet breaking length in the range of about 350 to about 800 m.
18. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 16, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers exhibit a CD wet breaking length in the range of about 400 to about 800 m.

19. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have a number average diameter of less than 2 microns.
20. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have a number average diameter of from about 0.1 to about 2 microns.
21. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have a weight average diameter of less than 2 microns.
22. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have a weight average diameter of less than 1 micron.
23. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have a weight average diameter of less than 0.5 microns.
24. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have a weight average diameter of less than 0.25 microns.
25. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have a weight average length of less than 500 microns.
26. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have a weight average length of less than 400 microns.
27. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have a weight average length of less than 300 microns.

28. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have a weight average length of less than 200 microns.
29. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have a fiber count of greater than 400 million fibers/gram.
30. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have a fiber count of greater than 10 billion fibers/gram.
31. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have a fiber count of greater than 50 billion fibers/gram.
32. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have a fiber count greater than 200 billion fibers/gram.
33. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein consolidated caps of the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions have the general shape of a portion of a spheroidal shell.
34. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein consolidated caps of the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions have the general shape of an apical portion of a spheroidal shell.
35. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein:
- (i) the consolidated fibrous regions are saddle shaped, and more than 35% by weight of the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have a Canadian Standard Freeness (CSF) value of less than 175 mL;
 - (ii) the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions exhibit a local basis weight of at least 10% higher than the mean basis weight of a product sheet;

- (iii) at least a portion of one of the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions and the transition areas exhibits a cross machine direction (CD) fiber orientation bias, and the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have a fiber count of greater than 10 billion fibers/gram;
- (iv) at least a portion of the connecting regions exhibits a CD fiber orientation bias;
- (v) at least a portion of the sidewalls of the hollow domed regions exhibits a matted structure on both their outer and inner surfaces, and the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers form venation thereupon, such that the surfaces have raised, generally continuous ridges defined thereacross; and
- (vi) the multi-ply wiper/towel product has a caliper of from 7.5 to 12 mils/8 sheets/per pound per ream and exhibits a wipe-dry time of less than 20 seconds, an SAT capacity in the range of about 9.5 to about 11.0 g/g, an SAT rate in the range of about 0.05 to about 0.25 g/s^{0.5}, a CD wet breaking length in the range of about 300 to about 800 m, and a wet/dry CD tensile ratio of from about 40% to about 60%.

36. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 35, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers exhibit a CD wet breaking length in the range of about 350 to about 800 m.

37. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 35, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers exhibit a CD wet breaking length in the range of about 400 to about 800 m.

38. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the 10% fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have a Canadian Standard Freeness (CSF) value of less than 175 mL, wherein the plurality of fiber-enriched regions include (i) hollow domed portions having the respective sidewalls of a relatively high local basis weight formed along at least a leading edge thereof and (ii) pileated fiber-enriched portions with a cross machine direction (CD) fiber orientation bias adjacent to the hollow domed portions, and wherein the hollow domed

portions have upwardly projecting densified sidewalls, at least a portion of each of the upwardly projecting densified sidewalls comprising a densified region that extends inwardly.

39. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 38, the product having a caliper of from 7.5 to 12 mils/8 sheets/per pound per ream and exhibiting a wipe-dry time of less than 20 seconds.

40. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 38, the product having an SAT capacity in the range of about 9.5 to about 11.0 g/g, and an SAT rate in the range of about 0.05 to about 0.25 g/s^{0.5}.

41. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 38, the product having a wet/dry CD tensile ratio of from about 40% to about 60%.

42. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 38, the product having a CD wet breaking length in the range of about 300 to about 800 m.

43. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 38, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers exhibit a CD wet breaking length in the range of about 350 to about 800 m.

44. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 38, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers exhibit a CD wet breaking length in the range of about 400 to about 800 m.

45. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein:
(i) the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions include an inclined sidewall;

(ii) the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers form venation on the surface of the consolidated fibrous regions, such that the surface has raised, generally continuous ridges defined thereacross; and

(iii) the wiper/towel product exhibits a differential pore volume for pores under 4 microns in a diameter of at least 100 mm³/g/micron.

46. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions exhibit a local basis weight of at least 5% higher than the mean basis weight of a sheet of the product.

47. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions in the at least one wet laid web exhibit a local basis weight of at least 10% higher than the mean basis weight of a sheet of the product, and the one wet laid web in the wiper/towel product exhibits a differential pore volume for pores under 3 microns in a diameter of at least 100 mm³/g/micron.

48. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein, in the at least one wet laid web, the respective sidewalls of the relatively high local basis weight formed along at least a leading edge of the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions comprise regions of consolidated fiber that extend upwardly and inwardly.

49. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein, in the at least one wet laid web, consolidated saddle shaped groupings of fibers extend upwardly from the connecting regions into the respective sidewalls of the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions formed along at least the leading edge thereof.

50. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein, in the at least one wet laid web, the respective sidewalls of the relatively high local basis weight formed along at least a

leading edge of the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions comprises consolidated groupings of fibers forming saddle shaped regions extending at least partially around the domed regions.

51. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein, in the at least one wet laid web, the respective sidewalls extend upwardly and inwardly, forming saddle shaped, highly densified, consolidated fibrous regions about the base of a dome.

52. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein, in the at least one wet laid web, saddle shaped transition areas with consolidated fibrous regions extend upwardly and inwardly from the connecting regions into the respective sidewalls of the relatively high local basis weight formed along at least a leading edge of the hollow domed regions.

53. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 52, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have a fiber count of greater than 2 billion fibers/gram.

54. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the transition areas at least partially circumscribe the domes at their bases.

55. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the transition areas are densified in a bowed shape around a portion of the bases of the domes.

56. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 38,
wherein of the at least 10% fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers having a Canadian Standard Freeness (CSF) value of less than 175 mL, 40% by weight is finer than 14 mesh, and wherein at least a portion of each of the upwardly projecting densified sidewalls comprises a densified saddle shaped region that extends inwardly.

57. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 56, wherein the wiper/towel product exhibits a differential pore volume for pores under 5 microns in a diameter of at least $100 \text{ mm}^3/\text{g}/\text{micron}$.
58. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 56, wherein the at least one wet laid web includes transition areas with consolidated fibrous regions that transition from the connecting regions to the fiber-enriched regions.
59. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 56, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have a fiber count of greater than 400 million fibers/gram.
60. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 56, wherein the at least one wet laid web has a caliper of from 7.5 to 12 mils/8 sheets/per pound per ream and exhibits a wipe-dry time of less than 20 seconds.
61. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 56, wherein the at least one wet laid web has an SAT capacity in the range of about 9.5 to about 11.0 g/g.
62. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 56, wherein the at least one wet laid web has an SAT rate in the range of about 0.05 to about $0.25 \text{ g/s}^{0.5}$.
63. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 56, wherein the at least one wet laid web has a wet/dry CD tensile ratio of from about 40% to about 60%.
64. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 56, wherein the at least one wet laid web has a CD wet breaking length in the range of about 300 to about 800 m.

65. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 64, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers exhibit a CD wet breaking length in the range of about 350 to about 800 m.

66. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 64, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers exhibit a CD wet breaking length in the range of about 400 to about 800 m.

67. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 56, wherein the at least one wet laid web has a caliper of from 7.5 to 12 mils/8 sheets/per pound per ream and exhibits a wipe-dry time of less than 20 seconds, an SAT capacity in the range of about 9.5 to about 11.0 g/g, an SAT rate in the range of about 0.05 to about 0.25 g/s^{0.5}, a CD wet breaking length in the range of about 300 to about 800 m, and a wet/dry CD tensile ratio of from about 40% to about 60%.

68. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 67, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers exhibit a CD wet breaking length in the range of about 350 to about 800 m.

69. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 67, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers exhibit a CD wet breaking length in the range of about 400 to about 800 m.

70. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 67, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have a fiber count of greater than 50 billion fibers/gram.

71. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 56, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic micro fibers have a fiber count of greater than 10 billion fibers/gram.

72. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 67, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic micro fibers have a fiber count of greater than 10 billion fibers/gram.
73. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 38, wherein at least one wet laid web comprises the at least 10% fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers having a Canadian Standard Freeness (CSF) value of less than 175 mL, and the at least 40% wood pulp derived papermaking fibers.
74. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 73, wherein the wiper/towel product has a bulk of from about 9 to about 19 cm³/g and exhibits a wipe-dry time of less than 20 seconds.
75. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 73, wherein the wiper/towel product has an SAT capacity in the range of about 9.5 to about 11.0 g/g.
76. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 73, wherein the wiper/towel product has an SAT rate in the range of about 0.05 to about 0.25 g/s^{0.5}.
77. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 73, wherein the wiper/towel product has a wet/dry CD tensile ratio of from about 40% to about 60%.
78. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 73, wherein the wiper/towel product has a cross machine direction (CD) wet breaking length in the range of about 300 to about 800 m.
79. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 73, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers exhibit a CD wet breaking length in the range of about 350 to about 800 m.

80. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 73, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers exhibit a CD wet breaking length in the range of about 400 to about 800 m.
81. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 73, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic micro fibers have a fiber count of greater than 10 billion fibers/gram.
82. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 73, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have a fiber count of greater than 50 billion fibers/gram, the wiper/towel product exhibiting a differential pore volume for pores under 5 microns in a diameter of at least 100 mm³/g/micron.
83. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the fibrous composition of the web, the geometry of the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers, and the number average count are chosen such that the multi-ply wiper/towel product exhibits a wipe-dry time of less than 20 seconds.
84. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 83, wherein the product exhibits a wipe-dry time of at most 10 seconds.
85. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 83, wherein the product exhibits a cross machine direction (CD) wet breaking length in the range of about 300 to about 800 m.
86. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 83, wherein the product exhibits a cross machine direction (CD) wet breaking length in the range of about 400 to about 800 m.
87. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 83, wherein the product exhibits a differential pore volume of at least 10% for pores under 5 microns in diameter.

88. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the fibrous composition of the web, the geometry of the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers, and the number average count are chosen such that the multi-ply wiper/towel product exhibits an SAT capacity in the range of about 9.5 to about 11.0 g/g.

89. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 88, wherein the product exhibits an SAT rate in the range of about 0.05 to about 0.25 g/s^{0.5}.

90. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 1, wherein the wiper/towel product exhibits a relative wipe dry time that is less than 50% of the wipe dry time exhibited by a different wipe of the same fibrous composition, but without fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers.

91. The multi-ply wiper/towel product of claim 90, wherein consolidated groupings of fibers extend upwardly from the connecting regions into the sidewalls of the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions formed along at least the leading edge thereof.

92. The multi-ply wiper/towel product of claim 90, wherein the product exhibits a differential pore volume for pores under 5 microns in a diameter of at least 100 mm³/g/micron.

93. The multi-ply wiper/towel product of claim 90, wherein the product exhibits a relative wipe dry time that is less than 40% of the wipe dry time exhibited by a different wipe of the same fibrous composition, but without fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers.

94. The multi-ply wiper/towel product of claim 90, wherein the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers have (i) a weight average diameter of less than 1 micron, (ii) a weight average length of less than 400 microns, and (iii) a fiber count of greater than 2 billion fibers/gram.

95. The multi-ply wiper/towel product of claim 94, wherein the wiper/towel product exhibits a differential pore volume for pores under 5 microns in a diameter of at least $100 \text{ mm}^3/\text{g}/\text{micron}$.

96. The multi-ply wiper/towel product of claim 90, wherein consolidated groupings of fibers extend upwardly from the connecting regions into the sidewalls of the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions along at least the leading edge thereof.

97. The multi-ply wiper/towel product of claim 90, wherein the fibrous composition of the web, geometry of the fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers, and number average count are chosen such that the wiper/towel product exhibits a differential pore volume of at least 10% for pores under 5 microns in diameter.

98. The multi-ply wiper/towel product of claim 97, wherein the product exhibits a wipe-dry time of at most 10 seconds.

99. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 97, wherein the product exhibits a cross machine direction (CD) wet breaking length in the range of 300 to 800 m.

100. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 97, wherein the product exhibits a cross machine direction (CD) wet breaking length in the range of 400 to 800 m.

101. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 97, wherein the product exhibits an SAT rate in the range of 0.05 to $0.25 \text{ g/s}^{0.5}$.

102. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 97, wherein the product exhibits an SAT capacity in the range of 9.5 to 11.0 g/g.

103. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 97, wherein the wiper-towel product exhibits a differential pore volume of at least 10% for pores under 5 microns in diameter.

104. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 103, wherein the product exhibits a wipe-dry time of at most 10 seconds.

105. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 103, wherein the product exhibits a cross machine direction (CD) wet breaking length in the range of about 300 to about 800 m.

106. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 103, wherein the product exhibits a cross machine direction (CD) wet breaking length in the range of about 400 to about 800 m.

107. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 103, wherein the product exhibits an SAT rate in the range of about 0.05 to about $0.25 \text{ g/s}^{0.5}$.

108. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 103, wherein the product exhibits an SAT capacity in the range of about 9.5 to about 11.0 g/g.

109. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 88, wherein the multi-ply wiper/towel product exhibits a differential pore volume of at least 10% for pores under 5 microns in diameter.

110. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 109, wherein the product exhibits a wipe-dry time of at most 10 seconds.

111. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 109, wherein the product exhibits a cross machine direction (CD) wet breaking length in the range of about 300 to about 800 m.

112. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 109, wherein the product exhibits a cross machine direction (CD) wet breaking length in the range of about 400 to about 800 m.

113. The multi-ply wiper/towel product according to claim 109, wherein the product exhibits an SAT rate in the range of about 0.05 to about 0.25 g/s^{0.5}.

114. A method of making a wiper/towel product, the method comprising:

- (a) compactively dewatering a nascent web comprising at least 10% cellulosic microfibers and having an apparently random distribution of fibers, the cellulosic microfibers comprising fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers;
- (b) applying the dewatered web having the apparently random distribution of fibers to a transfer surface;
- (c) belt-creping the web from the transfer surface utilizing a creping belt, the belt-creping step occurring under pressure in a belt creping nip defined between the transfer surface and the creping belt; and
- (d) drying the web to form a dried web having:
 - (i) a plurality of fiber-enriched hollow domed regions protruding from an upper side of the dried web, the hollow domed regions having sidewalls of a relatively high local basis weight formed along at least a leading edge thereof;
 - (ii) connecting regions of a relatively lower local basis weight forming a network interconnecting the hollow domed regions of the dried web; and
 - (iii) transition areas provided with consolidated fibrous regions that transition from the connecting regions into the hollow domed regions, by extending upwardly and inwardly from the connecting regions into the sidewalls of the hollow domed regions, wherein the plurality of fiber-enriched hollow domed regions include (A) hollow domed portions having the sidewalls of a relatively high local basis weight formed along at least a leading edge thereof and (B) pileated fiber-enriched portions with a cross machine direction (CD) fiber orientation bias adjacent to the hollow domed portions, and wherein the hollow domed portions having upwardly projecting densified sidewalls, at least a portion of each of the upwardly projecting densified sidewalls comprising a densified region that extends inwardly.

115. The method according to claim 114, wherein the nascent web comprises at least 40% wood pulp derived papermaking fibers.

116. The method according to claim 114, wherein fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers present in the dried web form venation on the surface of the consolidated fibrous regions, such that the surface has raised, generally continuous ridges defined thereacross.

117. The method according to claim 114, wherein the consolidated fibrous regions are saddle shaped.

118. The method according to claim 114, wherein the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions exhibit a local basis weight of at least 5% higher than the mean basis weight of a sheet of the product.

119. The method according to claim 114, wherein the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions exhibit a local basis weight of at least 10% higher than the mean basis weight of a sheet of the product.

120. The method according to claim 114, wherein one of at least a portion of the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions and transition areas in the dried web exhibits a cross machine direction (CD) fiber orientation bias.

121. The method according to claim 114, wherein at least a portion of the connecting regions in the dried web exhibits a cross machine direction (CD) fiber orientation bias.

122. The method according to claim 114, wherein at least a portion of the consolidated fibrous regions of the domed region sidewalls in the dried web exhibits a matted structure on both their outer and inner surfaces, and the cellulosic microfibers form venation thereupon, such that the surface has raised, generally continuous ridges defined thereacross.

123. The method according to claim 114, wherein the cellulosic microfibers have a characteristic Canadian Standard Freeness (CSF) value of less than 175 ml.
124. The method according to claim 114, wherein the cellulosic microfibers exhibit a cross machine direction (CD) wet breaking length in the range of about 300 to about 800 m.
125. The method according to claim 124, wherein the cellulosic microfibers exhibit a CD wet breaking length in the range of about 350 to about 800 m.
126. The method according to claim 124, wherein the cellulosic microfibers exhibit a CD wet breaking length in the range of about 400 to about 800 m.
127. The method according to claim 114, wherein the cellulosic microfibers have a number average diameter of less than 2 microns.
128. The method according to claim 114, wherein the cellulosic microfibers have a number average diameter of from about 0.1 to about 2 microns.
129. The method according to claim 114, wherein the cellulosic microfibers have a fiber count of greater than 400 million fibers/gram.
130. The method according to claim 114, wherein the cellulosic microfibers have a fiber count of greater than 50 billion fibers/gram.
131. The method according to claim 114, wherein the cellulosic microfibers have a fiber count greater than 200 billion fibers/gram.

132. The method according to claim 114, wherein the nascent web comprises from about 10% to about 60% cellulosic microfibers.

133. The method according to claim 132, wherein the nascent web comprises at least 40% wood pulp derived papermaking fibers.

134. The method according to claim 114, wherein the creping belt comprises a generally planar polymeric creping belt provided with a plurality of perforations through the belt.

135. The method according to claim 134, further comprising applying a vacuum to the creping belt while the web is held on the belt.

136. The method according to claim 134, wherein the creping belt has a non-random pattern of perforations.

137. The method according to claim 136, wherein the non-random pattern of perforations is staggered.

138. The method according to claim 134, wherein the perforations of the creping belt include tapered perforations, the tapered perforations having openings on a creping side of the belt that are larger than their openings on a machine side of the belt.

139. The method according to claim 138, wherein the creping belt defines raised lips around the openings of the perforations on the creping side of the belt.

140. The method according to claim 139, wherein the raised lips have a height from the surrounding areas of the belt of from about 10% to about 30% of the belt thickness.

141. The method according to claim 134, wherein the perforations of the creping belt have oval-shaped openings with major axes aligned in the cross-machine direction.

142. The method according to claim 134, wherein the creping belt is of a generally unitary construction made from a polymer sheet selected from one of a solid polymer sheet, a reinforced polymer sheet, and a filled polymer sheet.

143. The method according to claim 134, wherein the creping belt is made from a monolithic polyester sheet by way of laser drilling.

144. The method according to claim 134, wherein the creping belt has a thickness of from 0.2 mm to 1.5 mm.

145. The method according to claim 114, wherein:

- (i) the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions include an inclined sidewall; and
- (ii) the cellulosic microfibers form venation on the surface of the consolidated fibrous regions, such that the surface has raised, generally continuous ridges defined thereacross.

146. The method according to claim 114, wherein the sidewalls of the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions comprise regions of consolidated fibers that extend upwardly.

147. The method according to claim 114, wherein the consolidated fibrous regions are saddle shaped and extend upwardly from the connecting regions into the sidewalls of the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions.

148. The method according to claim 114, wherein the sidewalls of the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions comprise consolidated groupings of fibers that are saddle shaped and extend at least partially around the domed regions.

149. The method according to claim 114, wherein the sidewalls extend upwardly and form highly densified consolidated fibrous regions that are saddle shaped about the base of a dome of the domed regions.

150. The method according to claim 114, wherein saddle shaped transition areas with consolidated fibrous regions extend upwardly and inwardly from the connecting regions into the sidewalls of the hollow domed regions.

151. The method according to claim 114, wherein the cellulosic microfibers have a fiber count of greater than 2 billion fibers/gram.

152. The method according to claim 114, wherein the transition areas at least partially circumscribing domes of the domed regions at their respective bases.

153. The method according to claim 114, wherein the transition areas are densified in a bowed shape around a portion of respective bases of domes of the domed regions.

154. The method according to claim 114, wherein 40% by weight of the cellulosic microfibers is finer than 14 mesh.

155. The method according to claim 114, wherein at least a portion of each of the upwardly projecting densified sidewalls comprises a densified region that is saddle shaped and extends inwardly.

156. The method according to claim 114, wherein the fibrous composition of the web, the geometry of the cellulosic microfibers, and the number average count are chosen such that the wiper/towel product exhibits a wipe-dry time of less than 20 seconds.

157. The method according to claim 156, wherein the wiper/towel product exhibits a wipe-dry time of at most 10 seconds.

158. The method according to claim 114, wherein the fibrous composition of the web, the geometry of the cellulosic microfibers, and the number average count are chosen such that the wiper/towel product exhibits an SAT capacity in the range of about 9.5 to about 11.0 g/g.

159. The method according to claim 158, wherein the product exhibits an SAT rate in the range of about 0.05 to about $0.25 \text{ g/s}^{0.5}$.

160. The method according to claim 114, wherein and the wiper/towel product exhibits a relative wipe dry time that is less than 50% of the wipe dry time exhibited by a wipe of the same fibrous composition, but without cellulosic microfibers.

161. The method according to claim 160, wherein the product exhibits a relative wipe dry time that is less than 40% of the wipe dry time exhibited by a wipe of the same fibrous composition, but without cellulosic microfibers.

162. The method according to claim 114, wherein the amount of cellulosic microfibers is at most 60% cellulosic microfibers.

163. The method according to claim 162, wherein the nascent web comprises at least 40% wood pulp derived papermaking fibers.

164. The method according to claim 162, wherein fibrillated regenerated cellulosic microfibers present in the dried web form venation on the surface of the consolidated fibrous regions, such that the surface has raised, generally continuous ridges defined thereacross.

165. The method according to claim 162, wherein the consolidated fibrous regions are saddle shaped.

166. The method according to claim 162, wherein the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions exhibit a local basis weight of at least 5% higher than the mean basis weight of a sheet of the product.

167. The method according to claim 162, wherein the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions exhibit a local basis weight of at least 10% higher than the mean basis weight of a sheet of the product.

168. The method according to claim 162, wherein one of at least a portion of the fiber-enriched hollow domed regions and the transition areas in the dried web exhibits a cross machine direction (CD) fiber orientation bias.

169. The method according to claim 162, wherein at least a portion of the connecting regions in the dried web exhibits a cross machine direction (CD) fiber orientation bias.

170. The method according to claim 162, wherein at least a portion of the consolidated fibrous regions of the domed region sidewalls in the dried web exhibits a matted structure on both their outer and inner surfaces, and the cellulosic microfibers form venation thereupon, such that the surface has raised, generally continuous ridges defined thereacross.

171. The method according to claim 162, wherein the cellulosic microfibers have a characteristic Canadian Standard Freeness (CSF) value of less than 175 ml.

172. The method according to claim 162, wherein the cellulosic microfibers exhibit a cross machine direction (CD) wet breaking length in the range of about 300 to about 800 m.


173. The method according to claim 172, wherein the cellulosic microfibers exhibit a CD wet breaking length in the range of about 350 to about 800 m.

174. The method according to claim 172, wherein the cellulosic microfibers exhibit a CD wet breaking length in the range of about 400 to about 800 m.


175. The method according to claim 162, wherein the cellulosic microfibers have a number average diameter of less than 2 microns.

176. The method according to claim 162, wherein the cellulosic microfibers have a number average diameter of from about 0.1 to about 2 microns.

1/50


FIG. 1A

FRFC, 50% CMF


FIG. 1B

CELL 2, 0% CMF

2/50


FIG. 1C

FRFC, 50% CMF


FIG. 1D

CELL 2, 0% CMF

3/50

FIG. 1E


FRFC, 50% CMF

FIG. 1F

CELL 2, 0% CMF


4/50

FIG. 1G




FRBC, 40% CMF

FIG. 1H




TAD, 0% CMF

5/50


FIG. 1J

FRBC, 40% CMF


FIG. 1K

TAD, 0% CMF

6/50

FIG. 1L


FRBC, 40% CMF

FIG. 1M

TAD, 0% CMF


7/50

FIG. 1N



CWP, 50% CMF


FIG. 1P



CWP, 0% CMF


8/50

FIG. 1Q



CWP, 50% CMF


FIG. 1R



CWP, 0% CMF


9/50

FIG. 1S



CWP, 50% CMF


FIG. 1T



CWP, 0% CMF


10/50

FIG. 2
WIPE DRY TIMES FOR FRBC TWO-PLY WIPER




11/50

FIG. 3




12/50

FIG. 4




13/50

FIG. 5




14/50

FIG. 6




15/50

FIG. 7




16/50

FIG. 8

17/50


FIG. 9

PORE VOLUME DISTRIBUTION SAMPLE CONFIGURATION




18/50

FIG. 10




19/50

FIG. 11


20/50

FIG. 12




21/50

FIG. 13




22/50

FIG. 14




23/50

FIG. 15




24/50

FIG. 16




25/50

FIG. 17




26/50

FIG. 18




27/50

FIG. 19




28/50

FIG. 20




29/50

FIG. 21




30/50

FIG. 22



31/50


FIG. 23



32/50

FIG. 24

EFFECT OF CMF ON BULK AT CONSTANT PRESSING



33/50

FIG. 25A






FIG. 25B



34/50


FIG. 26




BELT-CREPED WIPER EMBOSS PATTERN

35/50

FIG. 27




36/50

FIG. 28


37/50

FIG. 29




38/50

FIG. 30




39/50

FIG. 31




40/50

FIG. 32



41/50


FIG. 33




SAT CAPACITY AND WIPE DRY TIME VERSUS CELL ID

42/50

FIG. 34
18" Hg. VACUUM, UNCALENDERED, BELT 50, MD




43/50



44/50

FIG. 36
18" Hg VACUUM, CALENDERED, BELT 50, MD



45/50

FIG. 37







FIG. 38




47/50

FIG. 39

48/50


FIG. 40

49/50

FIG. 41

50/50

FIG. 42



WIPE DRY TIMES FOR FRBC TWO-PLY WIPER

