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DETERMINING A PRINTER’S SIGNATURE AND THE NUMBER
OF DOTS PER INCH PRINTED

Cross Reference to Related Applications

[0001] Reference is made to commonly assigned co-pending U.S. patent
application serial number 10/017,144 filed December 14, 2001 entitled “A Method
For Determining A Printer’s Signature To Provide Proof That The Printer Printed A
Particular Document” in the names of Donald G. Mackay, Claude Zeller and Robert
A. Cordery.

Field Of The Invention

[0002] The subject invention relates to a method for printing documents, and
more particularly, to providing a method for determining the mechanism or printer on
which the document was printed.

Background of the Invention

[0003] There are many different types of documents issued by government
agencies, corporations and individuals that authorize the holder of such documents
to perform authorized tasks or grant rights to the holder of such a document.
Examples of such documents are drivers’ licenses, passports, entry access badges,
identification cards, tickets, gift certificates, coupons, bonds, postal indicia, and the
like.

[0004] With the advent of computers and refined printers that are available at
a relatively low cost, the incidence of forgery of the above types of documents has
proliferated. Although there are processes that apply coatings to documents to
prevent copying, this does not end the problem of forgery.
Various schemes have been proposed to provide security to issued documents to inhibit forgeries of such documents. One such scheme is to use encryption so that a code can be derived that is based upon the information on the face of the issued document. Unfortunately, because of the limited space normally available in such documents, such a scheme often proves impractical.

The issuance of many types of tickets, such as theater tickets, is currently controlled by means of controlled supplies (e.g., serialized ticket stock, specially printed ticket stock, etc.) and by allowing tickets to be issued only by controlled, authorized issuers (e.g., ticket agents). Controlled supplies are expensive, difficult to control, and prone to theft or counterfeiting. Typically, one stood in line to purchase a ticket at the place the event was being held or purchased the ticket over the phone from an authorized ticket agent who mailed the ticket to the purchaser.

Currently, ticketing companies are giving purchasers the option of printing their electronic tickets at home using ordinary paper, a personal computer printer and an Internet connection. One of the problems in allowing people to print tickets at home is how to ensure that the tickets are not counterfeited. Furthermore, the printing technology used is another major factor, specifically when combined with the type of paper the ticket is printed on.

Unfortunately, if a ticket is printed properly on ordinary paper with an encrypted bar code, the ticket can be photocopied, and the seller of the ticket will be unable to distinguish between the original real ticket and the photocopied ticket.

**Summary Of The Invention**

This invention overcomes the disadvantages of the prior art by providing a method that determines whether or not a document was printed by a
particular or specified printer. The invention provides a method that is able to
determine the printer that produced a document in order to reduce the production of
fraudulent documents. This invention utilizes the fact that printers render images
that often contain unintended systematic errors that are a product of the design and
manufacture of the printer. Even in the best printers, it is impossible to eliminate all
possible sources of error. A printed image can be analyzed, and errors detected,
thereby providing a 'fingerprint' that is used to identify the printer (or product) used to
print the image.

[0010] This invention provides a method for assigning unique printer
resolutions or signatures, i.e., a unique number of dots per inch, to a class or models
of printers or lines of postage meters. The number of dots per inch or resolution may
be specified within an image on a document or within a postal indicia and later
checked to determine if the image or document or the postal indicia has the correct
resolution. The foregoing would be able to detect an image or postal indicia that was
scanned into a computer and printed with a printer that did not have the number of
dots per inch specified in the image or postal indicia.

[0011] In much the same way as described above, it is also possible to design
'errors' or 'defects' into the images appearing on documents, and the mechanism
used to print an image to be later used as a way of providing evidence that it was
printed with a particular mechanism or printer. This invention makes use of these
systematic 'defects' to provide forensic evidence of where the image was printed.
This invention also makes it difficult to reproduce the images exactly with
commercially available printers. In so doing, the value of the image is increased
because it not only communicates information that is visible to the observer but it
also contains a 'fingerprint' that identifies the source of the document and makes the
document difficult to copy exactly.
**Brief Description Of The Drawings**

[0012] Fig. 1 is a perspective drawing of an ink jet print head configured as a linear array with a plurality of ink jet nozzles.

[0013] Fig. 2 is a perspective drawing of the print head of Fig. 1 mounted at an angle of 10° from its position in Fig. 1 to the substrate to provide a unique resolution by having closer nozzle spacing.

[0014] Fig. 3 is a drawing of a front view of the ink jet print head of Fig. 1.

[0015] Fig. 4 is a drawing of a front view of the ink jet print head of Fig. 2 mounted at an angle of 10° from its position in Fig. 3 to the substrate to provide a unique resolution by having closer nozzle spacing in the Y direction.

[0016] Fig. 5 is a drawing of the character ‘A’ printed on a substrate in which the spacing of ink jet nozzles controls the printing of dots along the Y axis and encoder trigger pulses controls the printing of dots along the X axis.

[0017] Fig. 6 is a drawing of the character ‘A’ printed on a substrate in which the ink jet print head of is mounted at an angle of 10° from its position in Fig. 3 to the substrate to provide a unique resolution by having closer nozzle spacing of the dots along the Y axis and encoder trigger pulses are specified to provide a unique resolution of dots along the X axis.

[0018] Fig. 7 is a block diagram that shows how the image may be analyzed to determine the number of dots per inch in the image.

[0019] Fig. 8 is a drawing of a document in the form of a mail piece that has information regarding the number of dots per inch that an authorized printer used to print the document contained in the vicinity of a postal indicia.

[0020] Fig. 9 is a drawing showing information regarding the number of dots per inch that an authorized printer used to print the document contained in an Information Based Indicia.
[0021] Fig. 10 is a block diagram showing the process used to determine if an image is an original or a copy.

**Detailed Description of Preferred Embodiments Of The Invention**

[0022] Referring now to the drawings in detail, and more particularly to Fig. 1, the reference character 11 represents an ink jet linear print head array having a plurality of nozzles 12 equally spaced linearly about axis 13 of array 11. The number of nozzles spaced in a one inch section of array 11 will determine the number of dots per inch array 11 prints. Thus, if the spacing "d" between the centers of nozzles 11 is 1/300 of an inch, array 11 will print 300 dots per inch. Array 11 is spaced a distance h above substrate 14. The center of nozzles 12 is also equally spaced about axis 13 which is parallel to substrate 14 and perpendicular to transport direction A. Nozzles 12 will produce dots 15 on substrate 14.

[0023] Fig. 2 is a perspective drawing of the print head of Fig. 1 mounted at an angle of 10° from its position in Fig. 1 to the substrate to provide a unique resolution by having closer nozzle spacing.

[0024] Fig. 3 is a drawing of a front view of the ink jet print head of Fig. 1. Assuming distance L is one inch and there are 300 nozzles 12 of array 11 on axis 13 in distance L, one drop of ink from each nozzle 12 will be deposited on substrate 14. Thus, there will be 300 dots on substrate 14 in distance L.

[0025] Fig. 4 is a drawing of a front view of the ink jet print head of Fig. 2 mounted at an angle of 10° from its position in Fig. 3 to the substrate to provide a unique resolution by having closer nozzle spacing along the Y axis. Distance M plus distance N equals distance L. As array 11 rotates about point P in direction B, the effective vertical firing spacing between nozzles 11 and substrate 14 will be decreasing, thereby increasing the number of dots 15 per inch produced by array 11. Thus, when array 11 is rotated 10° about point P from substrate 14, the effective
vertical firing spacing between nozzles 12 will decrease, and the number of dots 15 produced in distance M on substrate 14 is still 300. The number of dots per inch produced by array 11 on substrate 14 will be 305.

Since, \( \cos 10^\circ = \frac{M}{1} \)
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Thus, 300 dots 15 will be produced in distance M on substrate 14.

.9848 inches = 1 inch

dots in M  

dots in M+N

.9848 inches = 1 inch

dots in M+N

300  

dots in M+N

.9848 M+N = 300

M = N = 304.63 = 305 dots per inch

Fig. 5 is a drawing of the character 'A' printed on a substrate in which the spacing of ink jet nozzles controls the printing of dots along the X axis, and encoder trigger pulses controls the printing of dots along the Y axis. Ink jet linear print head array 11 has a plurality of nozzles 12 spaced 1/300 of an inch apart about axis 13 of array 11.

Encoder trigger pulses 20 are produced by a rotary encoder containing a disk with etched lines matched to the printer resolution, coupled to the mechanism transporting the print head (or the substrate to be printed upon). It is necessary to use an encoding device to accurately position individual pixels and build the character 'A' by printing dots 21. Encoder 150 described in the description of Fig. 10 is typically coupled to the substrate directly or to a belt or roller that is moving the substrate (envelope or label). For example, in an ink jet printer rendering images at 300 x 300 dots per inch resolution, the encoder is designed so that it provides an electrical pulse each time the print head (or substrate) advances 1/300". Upon
receiving the encoder pulse, the printer fires the necessary ink jet nozzles, printing a
column of image data, thereby producing the necessary pattern of pixels or dots 21
to create a portion of the character 'A' on substrate 16. One way to change the
distance between pulses (and printed pixels or dots 21), is by changing the physical
design of the encoding system, thereby creating a unique resolution for the printer.
For example, in the case where an encoder is coupled to a shaft driving a roller to
transport an envelope, the diameter of the roller can be altered to increase or
decrease the spacing of printed pixels. If a roller had been nominally sized to
provide pulses at 300 dots per inch, it could be increased by 5% to provide pulses at
286 dots per inch or decreased by 5% to generate encoding pulses at 316 dots per
inch. Using this technique to create unique printing resolutions, it would be possible
to assign unique printing resolutions to particular printers. The images created by
these printers could be traced to the printer by subsequently scanning and analyzing
the image to determine the frequency (or spacing) of the printed pixels or dots. In
the example described above, the unique spacing would be fixed and unchanging.

[0037] Fig. 6 is a drawing of the character 'A' printed on a substrate in which
the ink jet print head is mounted at an angle of 10° from its position in Fig. 3 to the
substrate to provide a unique resolution by having closer nozzle spacing of the dots
along the Y axis, and encoder trigger pulses are specified to provide a unique
resolution of dots along the X axis. The number of dots 22 per inch (described in
Fig. 4) produced by array 11 on substrate 17 along the Y axis will be 305. The
number of dots 22 per inch along the Y axis may be varied by mounting ink jet print
head 11 at various angles. For instance, when print head 11 is mounted at an angle
of 15° from its position in Fig. 3 to the substrate, 311 dots per inch will be produced
along the Y axis of substrate 17 and when print head 11 is mounted at an angle of
20° from its position in Fig. 3 to the substrate, 319 dots per inch will be produced along the Y axis of substrate 17.

[0038] The diameter of the roller of encoder 76 of Fig 10 is sized to produce encoder pulses 23 at 306 dots per inch along the Y axis. The number of dots 22 per inch along the Y axis may be varied by changing the diameter of the roller of encoder 76. For instance, if the diameter of the roller of encoder 150 that produced 300 dots per inch was made twice as large, the encoder pulses would be twice as far apart, i.e., 1/150 of an inch; and, if the diameter of the roller of encoder 150 that produced 300 dots per inch was made one half the size the encoder, pulses would be closer together, i.e., 1/600 of an inch. For the 300 dots per inch ink jet head and encoder described above, many different resolutions may be obtained, i.e., number of different dot spacing that may be printed along the X axis multiplied by the number of different dot spacing that may be printed along the Y axis (100) (100) = 10,000 different unique resolution combinations. It would be obvious to one skilled in the art that for each different ink jet head that produces different numbers of dots per inch, i.e., 300, 600, 1200, etc. A different encoder may be used in which the number of pulses may be varied so that many different resolutions may be obtained

[0039] Fig. 7 is a block diagram that shows how the image may be analyzed to determine the number of dots per inch in the image. The QEA model IAS 1000, manufactured by QEA of 99 South Bedford Street, Burlington, MA 01803, USA may be used to identify the resolution of the printer that is used to create the image. The QEA model IAS 1000 has a 'banding' function that calculates frequency related characteristics of an image. The image is first captured at high resolution, and the light reflectance data is saved as a gray scale image bit map. The resulting image matrix is then operated on by a fast Fourier transform to convert the data from the spatial domain to the frequency domain. Blocks 70, 71 and 72 may be the QEA
model IAS 1000. The image analysis process may be mapped out as follows: The image is captured in block 70 by a charged coupled device camera, or a scanner, etc. Then, in block 71 a fast Fourier transform is performed on the image matrix. Block 72 determines if there are any dominant peaks in the transformed image. Now block 73 compares the calculated value of the peaks with the expected value of the peaks. If the calculated value of the peaks is the same as the expected value of the peaks, the image is authentic, and a signal is sent to block 74 authentic. If the calculated value of the peaks is not the same as the expected value of the peaks, the image is a suspected copy, and a signal is sent to block 75 indicating a suspected copy from an unknown source.

[0040] Another method for analyzing an image to determine the number of dots per inch in the image and to verify that a document was printed on a printer with a unique resolution (a specified number of dots per inch) involves printing a unique pattern of dots that coincides with the printer resolution and measuring the distance between columns of dots and the gaps between them. When the image is printed at a different resolution than the one specified above, the resulting image would not look the same as the image specified above.

[0041] Fig. 8 is a drawing of a document in the form of a mail piece that has information regarding the number of dots per inch that an authorized printer used to print the document contained in the vicinity of a postal indicia. Mail piece 30 has a recipient address field 31 and a sender address field 32. A postal indicia 33 that was made by an electronic meter is affixed to mail piece 30. Indicia 33 contains a dollar amount 34; the date 35; that postal indicia 33 was affixed to mail piece 30; the place the mail piece was mailed from 36; the postal meter serial number 37; an eagle 38; and, information 39 regarding the number of dots per inch that an authorized printer
used to print indicia 33 and/or mail piece 30. Information 39 may be encrypted, in
the form of a bar code or an encrypted bar code.

[0042] Fig. 9 is a drawing showing information regarding the number of dots
per inch that an authorized printer used to print the document contained in an
Information-Based Indicia (IBI). Information 39 (not shown) is hidden in IBI 40.
Indicia 40 contains a dollar amount 41; the date 42; that postal indicia 40 was affixed
to mail piece 51; the place 43 that mail piece 51 was mailed; the postal meter serial
number 44; a two-dimensional encrypted bar code 45; a FIM 46; and, the class of
mail 47. IBI elements 1-11 are contained in space 48. Data element No. 1 is the
meter or PSD identification number, and data element number 2 is the ascending
register value of the meter or PSD. Data element No. 3 is the postage for this
particular mail piece, and data element number 4 is the digital signature. Data
element No. 5 is the mailing date of mail piece 51, and data element number 6 is the
originating address (not shown) of mail piece 51. Data element No. 7 is the license
zip code (not shown), and data element number 8 is the software identification
number of the PSD 9 not shown). Data element No. 9 is the descending register
value, and data element number 10 is the PSD certificate identification. Data
element No. 11 is the rate category for the mail piece 51 being mailed.

[0043] IBI data element 12 is contained in space 49. Data element number 12
has been reserved by the United States Postal Service. Space 49 contains
information 39.

[0044] Fig. 10 is a block diagram showing the process used to determine if an
image is an original or a copy. Meter or printer manufacturer 100 mounts print head
11 in printer 101 to provide a unique resolution of dots in the Y direction. Then
manufacturer 100 installs encoder wheel 150 (Fig. 11) in printer 101 to provide a
unique resolution of dots along the X axis. Now manufacturer 100 creates a bit map
image that may be used for future forensic analysis of the specific number of dots produced (unique resolution) by the mounting of ink jet print head 11 and encoder wheel 150 in printer 101. The aforementioned bit map image together with the digital image file attributes (dots per inch), serial number of printer 101, and/or the serial number of the meter are printed in printed image 102, i.e., indicia 33 or 40. Printer 101 will print mail piece 30 having indicia 33. Scanning system 103 will capture the image of indicia 33, and an image analysis system 104 will capture the image; perform a fast Fourier transform on the image matrix; and determine if there are any dominant peaks. Block 105 takes the measured output of the image analysis system 104 and transmits the measured output to decision block 107. Block 106 receives the decoded message from the image analysis system 104 and transmits it to decision block 107. Now decision block 107 determines whether or not the measured image attributes equal the decoded (read) image attributes, i.e., does block 105 equal block 106. If the measured value of the image attributes is the same as the decoded value of the image attributes the image is authentic. If the measured value of the image attributes is not the same as the decoded value of the image attributes the image is not authentic, i.e., a copy.

[0045] The above specification describes a new and improved method for increasing the security of a document by being able to detect when an image is copied. It is realized that the above description may indicate to those skilled in the art additional ways in which the principles of this invention may be used without departing from the spirit. Therefore, it is intended that this invention be limited only by the scope of the appended claims.
What is claimed is:

1. A method for determining whether an image on a substrate has a specified number of dots per inch, said method includes the steps of:

   specifying that the image on the substrate will be printed with $n$ plus $m$ dots per inch;

   rotating a ink jet head having $n$ nozzles per inch about a $Y$ axis parallel to a substrate by an angle $\theta$ so that the ink jet head will produce an image on the substrate having $\left(\frac{n}{\cos \theta}\right)$ dots per inch;

   storing in the image that the specified image will be printed with $\left(\frac{n}{\cos \theta}\right)$ dots per inch;

   analyzing the image to determine if the image has $\left(\frac{n}{\cos \theta}\right)$ dots per inch;

   comparing the number of dots per inch in the analyzed image with the number of dots per inch stored in the specified image to determine if they have the same number of dots per inch;

   analyzing the image to determine if the image has the specified dots per inch along the X and Y axis; and

   comparing the number of dots per inch along the X and Y axis in the analyzed image with the number of dots per inch along the X and Y axis stored in the image to determine if they have the same number of dots per inch.

2. The method claimed in claim 1, further including the step of:

   encoding the number of dots per inch that is specified to be printed into the image.

3. The method claimed in claim 2, wherein the image is a postal indicia.
4. The method claimed in claim 2, further including the step of:
   encrypting the number of dots per inch indicated in the image.

5. The method claimed in claim 2, wherein the image is a graphic.

6. The method claimed in claim 1, wherein the axis is the Y axis.

7. The method claimed in claim 1, further including the steps of:
   positioning individual dots about the X axis to build an image.

8. The method claimed in claim 1, further including the steps of:
   specifying the spacing of dots about the X axis to create an image.

9. The method claimed in claim 6, further including the steps of:
   analyzing the image to determine if the image has the specified dots per inch
   along the X and Y axis; and
   comparing the number of dots per inch along the X and Y axis in the analyzed
   image with the number of dots per inch along the X and Y axis stored in the image to
determine if they have the same number of dots per inch.
AMENDED CLAIMS

[Received by the International Bureau on 01 May 2003 (01.05.03); original claims 6 and 9, cancelled; remaining claims unchanged]

4. The method claimed in claim 2, further including the step of:
   encrypting the number of dots per inch indicated in the image.

5. The method claimed in claim 2, wherein the image is a graphic.

7. The method claimed in claim 1, further including the steps of:
   positioning individual dots about the X axis to build an image.

8. The method claimed in claim 1, further including the steps of:
   specifying the spacing of dots about the X axis to create an image.
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