



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : G10L 3/00	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 96/25733
		(43) International Publication Date: 22 August 1996 (22.08.96)

(21) International Application Number: PCT/GB96/00344

(22) International Filing Date: 15 February 1996 (15.02.96)

(30) Priority Data:
95300975.0 15 February 1995 (15.02.95) EP
(34) Countries for which the regional or international application was filed: GB et al.

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): BRITISH TELECOMMUNICATIONS PUBLIC LIMITED COMPANY [GB/GB]; 81 Newgate Street, London EC1A 7AJ (GB).


(72) Inventor; and
(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): BRIDGES, James, Anthony [GB/GB]; 88 Suffolk Road, Ipswich, Suffolk IP4 2EL (GB).

(74) Agent: HIBBERT, Juliet, Jane, Grace; BT Group Legal Services, Intellectual Property Dept., 8th floor - Holborn Centre, 120 Holborn, London EC1N 2TE (GB).

(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, ARIPO patent (KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian patent (AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published
With international search report.

(54) Title: VOICE ACTIVITY DETECTION



(57) Abstract

A voice activity detector (26) comprising an input for receiving an outgoing speech signal transmitted from a speech system (2) to a user and an input for receiving an incoming signal from the user. Both the outgoing and incoming signals are divided into time limited frames. Means (263) are provided for calculating a feature from each frame of the incoming signal and for forming a function of the calculated feature and a threshold. Based on the function, it is determined whether or not the incoming signal includes speech. Means are provided to determine the echo return loss during an outgoing speech signal from the interactive speech system and to control the threshold in dependence on the echo return loss measured.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
AU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgystan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KZ	Kazakhstan	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	LI	Liechtenstein	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LR	Liberia	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LT	Lithuania	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	US	United States of America
FR	France	MR	Mauritania	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon			VN	Viet Nam

VOICE ACTIVITY DETECTION

This invention relates to voice activity detection.

There are many automated systems that depend on the detection of
5 speech for operation, for instance automated speech systems and cellular radio
coding systems. Such systems monitor transmission paths from users' equipment
for the occurrence of speech and, on the occurrence of speech, take appropriate
action. Unfortunately transmission paths are rarely free from noise. Systems
which are arranged simply to detect activity on the path may therefore incorrectly
10 take action if there is noise present.

The usual noise that is present is line noise (i.e. noise that is present
irrespective of whether or not the a signal is being transmitted) and background
noise from a telephone conversation, such as a dog barking, the sound of the
television, the noise of a car's engine etc.

15 Another source of noise in communications systems is echo. For instance,
echoes in a public switch telephone network (PSTN) are essentially caused by
electrical and/or acoustic coupling e.g. at the four wire to two wire interface of a
conventional exchange box; or the acoustic coupling in a telephone handset, from
earpiece to microphone. The acoustic echo is time variant during a call due to the
20 variation of the airpath, i.e. the talker altering the position of their head between
the microphone and the loudspeaker. Similarly in telephone kiosks, the interior of
the kiosk has a limited damping characteristic and is reverberant which results in
resonant behaviour. Again this causes the acoustic echo path to vary if the talker
moves around the kiosk or indeed with any air movement. Acoustic echo is
25 becoming a more important issue at this time due to the increased use of hands
free telephones. The effect of the overall echo or reflection path is to attenuate,
delay and filter a signal.

The echo path is dependent on the line, switching route and phone type.
This means that the transfer function of the reflection path can vary between calls
30 since any of the line, switching route and the handset may change from call to call
as different switch gear will be selected to make the connection.

Various techniques are known to improve the echo control in human-to-
human speech communications systems. There are three main techniques. Firstly

insertion losses may be added into the talker's transmission path to reduce the level of the outgoing signal. However the insertion losses may cause the received signal to become intolerably low for the listener. Alternatively, echo suppressors operate on the principle of detecting signal levels in the transmitting and receiving
5 path and then comparing the levels to determine how to operate switchable insertion loss pads. A high attenuation is placed in the transmit path when speech is detected on the received path. Echo suppressors are usually used on longer delay connections such as international telephony links where suitable fixed insertion losses would be insufficient.

10 Echo cancellers are voice operated devices which use adaptive signal processing to reduce or eliminate echoes by estimating an echo path transfer function. An outgoing signal is fed into the device and the resulting output signal subtracted from the received signal. Provided that the model is representative of the real echo path, the echo should theoretically be cancelled. However, echo
15 cancellers suffer from stability problems and are computationally expensive. Echo cancellers are also very sensitive to noise bursts during training.

One example of an automated speech system is the telephone answering machine, which records messages left by a caller. Generally, when a user calls up an automated speech system, a prompt is played to the user which prompt usually
20 requires a reply. Thus an outgoing signal from the speech system is passed along a transmission line to the loudspeaker of a user's telephone. The user then provides a response to the prompt which is passed to the speech system which then takes appropriate action.

It has been proposed that allowing a caller to an automated speech system
25 to interrupt outgoing prompts from the system greatly enhances the usability of the system for those callers who are familiar with the dialogue of the system. This facility is often termed "barge in" or "over-ridable guidance".

If a user speaks during a prompt, the spoken words may be preceded or corrupted by an echo of the outgoing prompt. Essentially isolated clean vocabulary
30 utterances from the user are transformed into embedded vocabulary utterances (in which the vocabulary word is contaminated with additional sounds). In automated speech systems which involve automated speech recognition, because of the

limitations of current speech recognition technology, this results in a reduction in recognition performance.

If a user has never used the service provided by the automated speech system, the user will need to hear the prompts provided by the speech generator in their entirety. However, once a user has become familiar with the service and the information that is required at each stage, the user may wish to provide the required response before the prompt has finished. If a speech recogniser or recording means is turned off until the prompt is finished, no attempt will be made to recognise a user's early response. If, on the other hand, the speech recogniser or recording means is turned on all the time, the input would include both the echo of the outgoing prompt and the response provided by the user. Such a signal would be unlikely to be recognisable by a speech recogniser. Voice activity detectors (VADs) have therefore been developed to detect voice activity on the path.

Known voice activity detectors rely on generating an estimate of the noise in an incoming signal and comparing an incoming signal with the estimate which is either fixed or updated during periods of non-speech. An example of such a voice activated system is described in US Patent No. 5155760 and US Patent No. 4410763.

Voice activity detectors are used to detect speech in the incoming signal, and to interrupt the outgoing prompt and turn on the recogniser when such speech is detected. A user will hear a clipped prompt. This is satisfactory if the user has barged in. If however the voice activity detector has incorrectly detected speech, the user will hear a clipped prompt and have no instructions on to how to proceed with the system. This is clearly undesirable.

The present invention provides a voice activity detector for use with a speech system, the voice activity detector comprising an input for receiving an outgoing speech signal transmitted from a speech system to a user and an input for receiving an incoming signal from the user, both the outgoing and incoming signals being divided into time limited frames, means for calculating a feature from each frame of the incoming signal, means for forming a function of the calculated feature and a threshold and, based on the function, determining whether or not the incoming signal includes speech, characterised in that means are provided to

determine the echo return loss during an outgoing speech signal from the interactive speech system and to control the threshold in dependence on the echo return loss measured.

The echo return loss is derived from the difference in the level of the outgoing signal and the level of the echo of the outgoing signal received by the voice activity detector. The echo return loss is a measure of attenuation of the outgoing prompt by the transmission path.

Controlling the threshold on the basis of the echo return loss measured not only reduces the number of false triggering by the voice activity detector due to echo, but also reduces the number of triggerings of the voice activity detector when the user makes a response over a line having a high amount of echo. Whilst this may appear unattractive, it should be appreciated that it is preferable for the voice activity detector not to trigger when the user barges in than for the voice activity detector to trigger when the user has not barged in, which would leave the user with a clipped prompt and no further assistance.

The threshold may be a function of the echo return loss and the maximum possible power of the outgoing signal. Both of these are long-term characteristics of the line (although the echo return loss may be remeasured from time to time). Preferably the threshold is the difference between the maximum power and the echo return loss. It may be preferred that the threshold is a function of the echo return loss and the feature calculated from each frame of the outgoing speech signal (i.e. the threshold represents an attenuation of each frame of the outgoing signal).

Preferably the feature calculated is the average power of each frame of a signal although other features, such as the frame energy, may be used. More than one feature of the incoming signal may be calculated and various functions formed.

The voice activity detector may further include data relating to statistical models representing the calculated feature for at least a signal containing substantially noise-free speech and a noisy signal, the function of the calculated feature and the threshold being compared with the statistical models. The noisy signal statistical models may represent line noise and/or typical background noise and/or an echo of the outgoing signal.

In accordance with the invention there is also provided a method of voice activity detection comprising receiving an outgoing speech signal transmitted from a speech system to a user and receiving an incoming signal from the user, both the outgoing and incoming signals being divided into time limited frames, calculating a feature from each frame of the incoming signal, forming a function of the calculated feature and a threshold and, based on the function, determining whether or not the incoming signal includes speech, characterised by measuring the echo return loss during an outgoing speech signal from the speech system and controlling the threshold in dependence on the echo return loss measured.

10 Preferably the threshold is a function of the echo return loss and the maximum possible power of the outgoing signal. As mentioned above, the threshold may be a function of the echo return loss and the same feature calculated from a frame of the outgoing speech signal. The feature calculated may be the average power of each frame of a signal.

15 The invention will now be further described by way of example with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

Figure 1 shows an automated speech system including a voice activity detector according to the invention; and

20 Figure 2 shows the components of a voice activity detector according to the invention.

Figure 1 shows an automated speech system 2, including a voice activity detector according to the invention, connected via the public switched telephone network to a user terminal, which is usually a telephone 4. The automated speech system is preferably located at an exchange in the network. The automated speech system 2 is connected to a hybrid transformer 6 via an outgoing line 8 and an incoming line 10. A user's telephone is connected to the hybrid via a two-way line 12.

Echoes in the PSTN are essentially caused by electrical and/or acoustic coupling e.g., the four wire to two wire interface at the hybrid transformer 6 (indicated by the arrow 7). Acoustic coupling in the handset of the telephone 4, from earpiece to microphone, causes acoustic echo (indicated by the arrow 9).

The automated speech system 2 comprises a speech generator 22, a speech recogniser 24 and a voice activity detector (VAD) 26. The type of speech

generator 22 and speech recogniser 24 will not be discussed further since these do not form part of the invention. It will be clear to a person skilled in the art that any suitable speech generator, for instance those using text to speech technology or pre-recorded messages, may be used. In addition any suitable type of speech
5 recogniser 24 may be used.

In use, when a user calls up the automated speech system the speech generator 22 plays a prompt to the user, which usually requires a reply. Thus an outgoing speech signal from the speech system is passed along the transmission line 8 to the hybrid transformer 6 which switches the signal to the loudspeaker of
10 the user's telephone 4. At the end of a prompt, the user provides a response which is passed to the speech recogniser 24 via the hybrid 6 and the incoming line 10. The speech recogniser 24 then attempts to recognise the response and appropriate action is taken in response to the recognition result.

If a user has never used the service provided by the automated speech
15 system, the user will need to hear the prompts provided by the speech generator 22 in their entirety. However, once a user has become familiar with the service and the information that is required at each stage, the user may wish to provide the required response before the prompt has finished. If the speech recogniser 24 is turned off until the prompt is finished, no attempt will be made to recognise the
20 user's early response. If, on the other hand, the speech recogniser 24 is turned on all the time, the input to the speech recogniser would include both the echo of the outgoing prompt and the response provided by the user. Such a signal would be unlikely to be recognisable by the speech recogniser.

The voice activity detector 26 is provided to detect direct speech (i.e.
25 speech from the user) in the incoming signal. The speech recogniser 24 is held in an inoperative mode until speech is detected by the voice activity detector 26. An output signal from the voice activity detector 26 passes to the speech generator 22, which is then interrupted (so clipping the prompt), and the speech recogniser 24, which, in response, becomes active.

30 Figure 2 shows the voice activity detector 26 of the invention in more detail. The voice activity detector 26 has an input 260 for receiving an outgoing prompt signal from the speech generator 22 and an input 261 for receiving the signal received via the incoming line 10. For each signal, the voice activity

detector includes a frame sequencer 262 which divides the incoming signal into frames of data comprising 256 contiguous samples. Since the energy of speech is relatively stationary over 15 milliseconds, frames of 32 ms are preferred with an overlap of 16ms between adjacent frames. This has the effect of making the VAD
5 more robust to impulsive noise.

The frame of data is then passed to a feature generator 263 which calculates the average power of each frame. The average power of a frame of a signal is determined by the following equation:

$$\text{Log Average Frame Power } P_{av} = 10 \log_{10} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N f_n(t)^2}{N}$$

10 where N is the number of samples in a frame, in this case 256.

Echo return loss is a measure of the attenuation i.e. the difference (in decibels) between the outgoing and the reflected signal. The echo return loss (ERL) is the difference between features calculated for the outgoing prompt and the returning echo i.e.

15

$$ERL = 10 \log_{10} \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N P_i(t)_{\text{incoming echo}} \right] - 10 \log_{10} \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N P_i(t)_{\text{outgoing prompt}} \right]$$

where N is the number of samples over which the average power P_i is calculated.

20 N should be as high as is practicable.

As can be seen from Figure 2, the echo return loss is determined by subtracting the average power of a frame of the outgoing prompt from the average power of a frame of the incoming echo. This is achieved by exciting the transmission path 8, 10 with a prompt from the system, such as a welcome
25 prompt. The signal level of the outgoing prompt and the returning echo are then calculated as described above by frame sequencer 262 and feature generator 263. The resulting signal levels are subtracted by subtractor 264 to form the echo return loss.

The echo return loss is then subtracted by subtractor 265 from the maximum power possible for the transmission path i.e. the subtractor 265 calculates the threshold signal:

$$\text{Threshold} = \text{Maximum possible power} - \text{echo return loss}$$

5 Typical echo return loss is approximately 12dB although the range is of the order of 6-30dB the maximum possible power on a telephone line for an A-law signal is around 72dB.

The ERL is calculated from the first 50 or so frames of the outgoing prompt, although more or fewer frames may be used.

10 Once the ERL has been calculated, the switch 267 is switched to pass the data relating to the incoming lime to the subtractor 266. The threshold signal is then, during the remainder of the call, subtracted by subtractor 266 from the average power of each frame of the incoming signal. Thus the output of the subtractor 266 is

$$15 \quad P_{av} |_{\text{incoming signal}} - (\text{Max possible power} - \text{ERL})$$

The output of subtractor 266 is passed to a comparator 268, which compares the result with a threshold. If the result is above the threshold, the incoming signal is deemed to include direct speech from the user and a signal is output from the voice activity detector to deactivate the speech generator 22 and
20 activate the speech recogniser 24. If the result is lower than the threshold, no signal is output from the voice activity detector and the speech recogniser remains inoperative.

In another embodiment of the invention, the output of subtractor 266 is passed to a classifier (not shown) which classifies the incoming signal as speech or
25 non-speech. This may be achieved by comparing the output of subtractor 266 with statistical models representing the same feature for typical speech and non-speech signals.

In a further embodiment, the threshold signal is formed according to the following equation:

$$30 \quad (P_{av} |_{\text{outgoing prompt}} - \text{ERL})$$

The resulting threshold signal is input to subtractor 266 to form the product:

$$P_{av} | \text{incoming signal} - (P_{av} | \text{outgoing prompt} - ERL)$$

The echo return loss is calculated at the beginning of at least the first prompt from the speech system. The echo return loss can be calculated from a single frame if necessary, since the echo return loss is calculated on a frame-by-frame basis. Thus, even if a user speaks almost immediately it is still possible for the echo return loss to be calculated.


The frame sequencers 262 and feature generators 263 have been described as being an integral part of the voice activity detector. It will be clear to a skilled person that this is not an essential feature of the invention, either or both of these being separate components. Equally it is not necessary for a separate frame sequencer and feature generator to be provided for each signal. A single frame sequencer and feature generator may be sufficient to generate a feature from each signal.

CLAIMS

1. A voice activity detector for use with a speech system, the voice activity detector comprising an input for receiving an outgoing speech signal transmitted
5 from the speech system to a user and an input for receiving an incoming signal from the user, both the outgoing and incoming signals being divided into time limited frames, means for calculating a feature from each frame of the incoming signal, means for forming a function of the calculated feature and a threshold and, based on the function, determining whether or not the incoming signal includes
10 speech,
characterised in that means are provided to determine the echo return loss during an outgoing speech signal from the speech system and to control the threshold in dependence on the echo return loss measured.
- 15 2. A voice activity detector according to claim 1 characterised in that the threshold is a function of the echo return loss and the maximum possible power of the outgoing signal.
3. A voice activity detector according to Claim 1 characterised in that the
20 threshold is a function of the echo return loss and a feature calculated from a frame of the outgoing speech signal.
4. A voice activity detector according to any of claims 1, 2 or 3 wherein the feature calculated is the average power of each frame of a signal.
25
5. A voice activity detector according to any preceding claim further comprising data relating to statistical models representing the calculated feature for at least a signal containing substantially noise-free speech and a noisy signal, the function of the calculated feature and the threshold being compared with the
30 statistical models.

6. A voice activity detector according to claim 5 wherein the noisy signal statistical models represent line noise, typical background noise and/or an echo of the outgoing signal.
- 5 7. A method of voice activity detection comprising receiving an outgoing signal transmitted from a speech system to a user and receiving an incoming signal from the user, both the outgoing and incoming signals being divided into time limited frames, calculating a feature from each frame of the incoming signal, forming a function of the calculated feature and a threshold and, based on the
10 function, determining whether or not the incoming signal includes speech, characterised by measuring the echo return loss during an outgoing speech signal from the speech system and controlling the threshold in dependence on the echo return loss measured.
- 15 8. A method according to claim 7 characterised in that the threshold is a function of the echo return loss and the maximum possible power of the outgoing signal.
9. A method according to Claim 7 characterised in that the threshold is a
20 function of the echo return loss and the same feature calculated from a frame of the outgoing speech signal.
10. A method according to any of claims 7 to 9 wherein the feature calculated is the average power of each frame of a signal.

Fig.2.



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internal Application No
PCT/GB 96/00344

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 6 G10L3/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
IPC 6 G10L H04B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US,A,5 155 760 (JOHNSON ET AL.) 13 October 1992 see column 1, line 10 - column 2, line 50 ---	1,7
A	EP,A,0 604 870 (NIPPON ELECTRIC) 6 July 1994 see column 1, line 52 - column 2, line 16 ---	1,7
A	US,A,5 125 024 (GOKCEN ET AL.) 23 June 1992 see column 4, line 48 - column 6, line 29 ---	1,7
A	US,A,4 914 692 (HARTWELL ET AL.) 3 April 1990 see column 1, line 30 - line 44 ---	1,7
	-/--	

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- *&* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

31 May 1996

Date of mailing of the international search report

05.06.96

Name and mailing address of the ISA
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+ 31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+ 31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Lange, J

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/GB 96/00344

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US,A,4 410 763 (STRAWCZYNSKI ET AL.) 18 October 1983 see column 2 - column 3, line 44 ---	1,7
A	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 013, no. 468 (E-834), 23 October 1989 & JP,A,01 183232 (OKI ELECTRIC IND CO LTD), 21 July 1989, see abstract ---	1,7
A	US,A,4 897 832 (SUZUKI ET AL.) 30 January 1990 see column 2, line 31 - line 68 ---	1,7
A	GB,A,2 268 669 (KOKUSAI ELECTRIC) 12 January 1994 see page 2 ---	1,7
A	EP,A,0 625 774 (MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC IND) 23 November 1994 see page 6, line 26 - line 38 ---	5
A	IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON COMMUNICATIONS, vol. COM-20, no. 1, February 1972, US, XP000565246 FARIELLO: "A novel digital speech detector for improving effective satellite capacity" see paragraph I -----	6

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/GB 96/00344

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US-A-5155760	13-10-92	CA-A, C 2062425 EP-A- 0522725 JP-A- 6253346	27-12-92 13-01-93 09-09-94
-----	-----	-----	-----
EP-A-0604870	06-07-94	JP-A- 6188789 JP-B- 7123236 CA-A- 2111939 US-A- 5434916	08-07-94 25-12-95 19-06-94 18-07-95
-----	-----	-----	-----
US-A-5125024	23-06-92	NONE	
-----	-----	-----	-----
US-A-4914692	03-04-90	CA-A- 1326075	11-01-94
-----	-----	-----	-----
US-A-4410763	18-10-83	NONE	
-----	-----	-----	-----
US-A-4897832	30-01-90	JP-A- 1183232 JP-A- 1183233	21-07-89 21-07-89
-----	-----	-----	-----
GB-A-2268669	12-01-94	NONE	
-----	-----	-----	-----
EP-A-0625774	23-11-94	JP-A- 6332492	02-12-94
-----	-----	-----	-----