

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
24 June 2004 (24.06.2004)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2004/053016 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **C09K 7/02**,
E21B 43/25

SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA,
UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/IB2003/006432

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (BW, GH,
GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW),
Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM),
European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE,
ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO, SE,
SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA,
GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

(22) International Filing Date: 4 December 2003 (04.12.2003)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
10/313,344 6 December 2002 (06.12.2002) US

Declarations under Rule 4.17:

- *as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a patent (Rule 4.17(ii)) for all designations*
- *as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii)) for all designations*
- *of inventorship (Rule 4.17(iv)) for US only*

(71) Applicant and

(72) Inventor: **LEGENDRE, Zevallos, Manuel** [VE/MX];
Torre Esmeralda, Apt. 7, Piso 4, Calle Maculi, Colonia
Tierra Colorada, Villahermosa, Tabasco (MX).

Published:

- *with international search report*
- *before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments*

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU,
AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR,
CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD,
GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR,
KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN,
MW, MX, MZ, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU,

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: SELF-GENERATING FOAMED DRILLING FLUIDS

(57) **Abstract:** Self-generating foamed fluids for circulation through a well during drilling operations and methods for circulating such self-generating foamed drilling fluids are provided for. The drilling fluid comprises an aqueous solvent, a polymer, a surfactant, as first foam generating agent, and a second foam generating agent. The first and second foam generating agents react to generate a gas within the drilling fluid and thereby to foam the drilling fluid. Preferably, the foam generating agents are selected such that they react to generate carbon dioxide. Preferably a base fluid comprising excess amounts of only one of the foam generating agents is pumped into the drill string using a hydraulic pump. The other foam generating agent then is injected in a controlled manner downstream of the circulation pump such that the drilling fluid is foamed after being pumped for circulation through the well. The novel drilling fluids preferably have a density of from about 5.8 lb/gal to about 0.83 lb/gal, and most preferably, from about 3.3 lb/gal to about 0.83 lb/gal.



WO 2004/053016 A1

SELF-GENERATING FOAMED DRILLING FLUIDS**FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention relates to fluids used in oil and gas well drilling operations and, and more particularly, to low density, self-generating, foamed drilling fluids suitable for use in fragile, highly porous, and low pressure oil bearing formations.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Hydrocarbons, such as oil and gas, may be recovered from various types of subsurface geological formations. Such formations typically consist of a porous layer, such as limestone and sands, overlaid by a nonporous layer. Hydrocarbons cannot rise through the nonporous layer, and thus, the porous layer forms a reservoir in which hydrocarbons are able to collect. A well is drilled through the earth until the hydrocarbon bearing formation is reached. Hydrocarbons then are able to flow from the porous formation into the well.

In conventional drilling processes, a drill bit is attached to a series of pipe sections referred to as the drill string. The drill string is rotated and, as the drilling progresses, it is extended by adding more pipe sections. Larger diameter pipes, or casings, also are placed and cemented in the well to prevent the sides of the well from caving in. Once an appropriate depth has been reached, the casing is perforated at the level of the oil bearing formation. If necessary, various completion processes then are performed to enhance the ultimate flow of oil from the formation. The drill string is withdrawn and replaced with a production string. Valves and other production equipment are connected to the well so that the hydrocarbons may flow in a controlled manner from the formation, into the cased well bore, and through the production string up to the surface for storage or transport.

As a well bore is drilled deeper and passes through hydrocarbon producing formations, the production of hydrocarbons must be controlled until the well is completed and the necessary production equipment has been installed. The most common way of controlling production during the drilling process is to circulate a drilling fluid or "mud" through the well bore. Typically, the fluid is pumped down the drill string, through the bit, and into the well bore. The hydrostatic pressure of the drilling fluid in the well bore relative to the hydrostatic pressure of hydrocarbons in the formation is adjusted by

1 varying the density of the drilling fluid, thereby controlling the flow of hydrocarbons
2 from the formation.

3 Drilling fluids, however, are used for a variety of other purposes. As the drill
4 string is rotated and the bit cuts through the earth, a tremendous amount of heat and large
5 quantities of cuttings are generated. The drilling fluid serves to lubricate and cool the
6 drill bit. As it is recirculated back up the well bore, the drilling fluid also carries cuttings
7 away from the bit and out of the well bore. The drilling fluid also helps stabilize uncased
8 portions of the well bore and prevents it from caving in.

9 Traditionally, drilling has been conducted in an overbalanced condition, that is,
10 the hydrostatic pressure of drilling fluid in the well bore exceeds the pressure of
11 hydrocarbons in the formation. Hydrocarbons, therefore, are prevented from flowing into
12 the well bore. This avoids the risk that the well will blow-out and damage the
13 environment and drilling equipment or injure those working on the drilling rig.

14 A major consequence of overbalanced drilling operations is that drilling fluid can
15 flow from the well bore into the formation. That flow of fluid at relatively low levels is
16 referred to as seepage and, at higher levels, as lost circulation. Seepage, and especially
17 lost circulation, in turn may have several deleterious and costly effects. First, and
18 obviously, any drilling fluid that flows into the formation must be replaced in order to
19 maintain circulation of fluid through the well. The amount and cost of drilling fluid
20 required to drill the well, therefore, is increased.

21 Moreover, drilling fluids typically comprise a variety of additives designed to
22 improve the chemical and physical properties of the fluid. Seepage and lost circulation of
23 drilling fluid necessarily carries with it whatever components are in the drilling fluid. It
24 also carries fine cuttings generated by the drill bit. The cuttings, and many of the other
25 components in the drilling fluid, however, can decrease the permeability of the formation.
26 It then is more difficult for oil to flow from the formation once drilling is completed and
27 production is started. Decreased permeability also may require acidizing or fracturing the
28 hydrocarbon bearing formation to enhance production from the formation, which will
29 further increases costs.

1 For example, low density diesel-water emulsions have been used as drilling fluids
2 in fractured limestone formations. Those fluids comprise an emulsion of from about 75
3 to 85% diesel and from about 25 to 15% water and may have densities as low as about 7.0
4 lb/gal. Though lighter than dispersed solids formulations, those diesel-water emulsion
5 fluids still are too heavy for such formations. Lost circulation can range from 3,000 to as
6 high as 100,000 barrels of drilling fluid per well. Especially in those quantities, lost
7 circulation greatly increases the costs of drilling fluid, complicates the logistics of
8 supplying drilling fluid to the rig, and can cause extensive damage to the formation.

9 The effective density of drilling fluids may be lowered somewhat by aerating the
10 fluid. Such fluids typically consist of conventional clay or polymer fluids lightened by
11 injecting air, nitrogen or carbon dioxide. They may have densities as low as about 6.2
12 lb/gal, which may be lowered further, to around 5.8 lb/gal, by the addition of plastic or
13 glass micro-spheres. Aerated fluids, however, still are too heavy for use in extremely low
14 pressure, fragile formations without substantial losses. For example, in fractured
15 limestone formations such as those in the Cantarell field offshore of Mexico, the drilling
16 fluid must have a density of from about 4.2 to about 5.0 lb/gal in order to balance the
17 well.

18 Such densities may be achieved by using foamed drilling fluids. They typically
19 comprise a surfactant solution with gas dispersed therein. The surfactant acts to stabilize
20 the gas dispersion. For environmental reasons, aqueous systems are preferred, and they
21 typically include a polymer to improve the rheological and thixotropic properties of the
22 foam. Many types of foamed fluids are known, such as the aqueous, polymer based
23 foamed drilling fluids disclosed in U.S. Patent 5,706,895 to R. Sydansk. Foamed drilling
24 fluids chemically are more complex and, therefore, their chemical and physical properties
25 are somewhat more difficult to control.

26 In general, however, such foamed drilling fluids perform quite well in drilling
27 operations and offer several advantages over traditional suspended solids drilling fluids.
28 For example, the density of the foam may be controlled relatively easily by adjusting the
29 gas injected into the foam. Also, the ability of foamed drilling fluids to carry cuttings
30 away from a drilling bit is much greater than that of liquid drilling fluids. More effective

1 removal of cuttings allows drilling to proceed at a faster pace, thereby reducing the time
2 and expense of drilling. Moreover, when used at near balanced or underbalanced
3 conditions, foamed drilling fluids can effectively prevent damage to even highly fragile,
4 highly permeable formations.

5 Foamed drilling fluids are prepared by mixing a liquid phase, such as a polymer-
6 surfactant solution, and a gas phase, such as nitrogen. Typically, this has been done by
7 high velocity mixing of the phases or by injecting gas into the liquid phase through a
8 small orifice. Most commonly, the foam is generated at the surface and then pumped into
9 the well bore. It also has been suggested that drilling fluids may be foamed by pumping
10 separate liquid and gas streams through a drill string to a downhole foam generator.

11 Foamed drilling fluids, therefore, typically require a source of gas such as nitrogen
12 and various additional equipment that is not needed in conventional liquid circulation
13 systems. For example, if liquid nitrogen is used, special tanks and equipment for
14 cryogenically storing and handling the liquid nitrogen are required. Alternately, nitrogen
15 membrane units may be used to produce nitrogen gas, although the gas produced thereby
16 is only approximately 95% pure. Foam circulation systems also may include
17 compressors, storage tanks, air pumps, foam generators, and other equipment beyond that
18 commonly employed for circulating liquids. Moreover, unlike many other drilling fluids,
19 which are hydraulic, foamed fluids are pneumatic. Special pneumatic pumps and control
20 heads may have to be used to pump or otherwise control the foam in the well bore. Thus,
21 systems for preparing and circulating foamed drilling fluids are relatively costly and
22 require more maintenance, control, and logistical support than those required for more
23 traditional suspended solids drilling fluids.

24 Such problems are exacerbated in offshore drilling operations where maintenance
25 and logistical support is more difficult and costly. Space also is at a premium in offshore
26 operations. On land, there usually is adequate space for additional equipment. Offshore,
27 however, valuable space on the drilling rig deck is required, or it may be necessary to
28 provide a barge or support boat to accommodate a foam circulation system. That can add
29 considerable cost to the drilling operation.

1 Preferably a base fluid comprising excess amounts of only one of the foam
2 generating agents is pumped into the drill string using a conventional hydraulic mud
3 circulation pump. The other foam generating agent then is injected in a controlled
4 manner downstream of the circulation pump such that the drilling fluid is foamed after
5 being pumped for circulation through the well. In this manner the amount of gas
6 generated and, therefore, the density of the drilling fluid may be more precisely
7 controlled, and the circulation of the foamed drilling fluid through the well is facilitated.

8 Preferably, the drilling fluid has a density of from about 5.8 lb/gal to about 0.83
9 lb/gal, and most preferably, from about 3.3 lb/gal to about 0.83 lb/gal. It will be
10 appreciated, therefore, that the novel self-generating foamed drilling fluids have
11 sufficiently low densities for use in underbalanced drilling through fragile and low
12 pressure formations.

13 DESCRIPTION OF ILLUSTRATIVE EMBODIMENTS

14 The self-generating foamed drilling fluids of the subject invention comprise an
15 aqueous solvent, a polymer, a surfactant, a first foam generating agent, and a second foam
16 generating agent. The first foam generating agent reacts with the second foam generating
17 agent to generate a gas within the drilling fluid and thereby to foam the drilling fluid. It
18 will be appreciated, therefore, that the novel foams are self-generating. That is, the foam
19 is generated by the chemical release of gas from the liquid phase and not by physically
20 mixing a gas into a liquid. Because the novel foamed drilling fluids are self-generating,
21 the systems required for circulating them through a well are much simpler and more
22 economically operated.

23 The aqueous solvent of the drilling fluid may be any aqueous liquid capable of
24 forming a solution with the selected polymer and the other components of the drilling
25 fluid. The term "solution" as used herein, encompasses dispersions, emulsions, or any
26 other substantially homogeneous mixture, as well as true solutions. The solvent
27 preferably is either fresh water or brine.

28 *Polymers*

29 The polymer component of the novel foamed drilling fluids primarily serves to
30 enhance the viscosity of the drilling fluid such that it is capable of lubricating the drill bit

1 and carry cuttings away from the drill bit to the surface. Accordingly, polymers useful in
2 the novel drilling fluids include substantially any water-soluble, viscosity-enhancing
3 polymer of the type conventionally used in drilling fluids. A variety of such polymers are
4 known to workers in the art and may be used in the subject invention.

5 For example, the polymer component may be either a biopolymer or a synthetic
6 polymer. Suitable biopolymers may be selected from the group consisting of
7 polysaccharides and modified polysaccharides, such as xanthan gum, guar gum, wellum
8 gums, gellan gums, succinoglycan, succinoglycan polysaccharides, scleroglycan,
9 schleroglucan polysaccharides, polyvinylsaccharides, o-carboxychitosans, polyanionic
10 cellulose, carboxymethylcellulose, hydroxyethylcellulose, hydroxypropylcellulose, and
11 modified starches, and mixtures thereof, or consisting of any subgroup of the foregoing.

12 Suitable, synthetic polymers may be selected from the group consisting of
13 polyvinyl alcohol, polyethylene oxide, polyvinyl pyrrolidone, and acrylamide polymers,
14 aluminum and mixed metal hydroxide silicates, and mixtures thereof, or consisting of any
15 subgroup of the foregoing. Preferred acrylamide polymers may be selected from the
16 group consisting of polyacrylamide ("PA", *i.e.*, acrylamide homopolymer having
17 substantially less than about 1% of its acrylamide groups converted to carboxylate
18 groups), partially hydrolyzed polyacrylamide ("PHPA", *i.e.*, acrylamide homopolymers
19 having more than about 1%, but not 100%, of its acrylamide groups converted to
20 carboxylate groups), acrylamide copolymers, acrylamide terpolymers containing
21 acrylamide, a second species, and a third species, and acrylamide tetrapolymers
22 containing acrylamide, acrylate, a third species, and a fourth species, and mixtures
23 thereof, or consisting of any subgroup of the foregoing.

24 The average molecular weight of an acrylamide polymer is generally from about
25 10,000 and about 50,000,000, preferably is from about 250,000 to about 20,000,000, and
26 most preferably is from about 1,000,000 to about 18,000,000.

27 Preferably, the polymer is a mixture of xanthan gum and polyanionic cellulose
28 ("PAC").

4 *Surfactants*

For example, the surfactant may be selected from the group consisting of anionic, cationic, and nonionic surfactants, and mixtures thereof, or consisting of any subgroup of the foregoing. Preferred surfactants may be selected from the group consisting of ethoxylated alcohols, ethoxylated sulfates, refined sulfonates, petroleum sulfonates, and alpha olefin sulfonates, and mixtures thereof, or consisting of any subgroup of the foregoing. Most preferably, the surfactant is a mixture of an anionic and a non-ionic surfactant.

21 *Foam Generating Agents*

9

1 The first and second foaming agents will react in aqueous solutions to generate a
2 gas which may be selected from the group consisting of carbon dioxide, oxygen, nitrogen,
3 sulfur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, and ammonia, and mixtures thereof, or consisting of any
4 subgroup of the foregoing. Carbon dioxide, however, is preferred because it is nontoxic
5 and may be generated at low temperatures and at a manageable pH. While they may be
6 generated in an aqueous solution and are believed capable of foaming a drilling fluid,
7 oxygen generally will not be preferred because it is explosive, ammonia is less preferred
8 because it is toxic, and nitrogen suffers the disadvantage that it requires relatively high
9 temperatures for its generation. Additional precautions and expense generally will be
10 required in order to utilize such gases and, as a practical matter, their use may be
11 precluded by safety, health, or cost reasons.

12 Accordingly, the first foam generating agent may be selected from the group
13 consisting of acid and neutral salts of alkali metals and alkaline earth metals, and
14 mixtures thereof, or consisting of any subgroup of the foregoing. Such agents are
15 preferred because they are relatively inexpensive and are capable of generating carbon
16 dioxide, which is the preferred foaming gas. Preferably, the first foam generating agent is
17 selected from the group consisting of sodium bicarbonate, potassium bicarbonate,
18 calcium bicarbonate, barium bicarbonate, and lithium bicarbonate, and mixtures thereof,
19 or consisting of any subgroup of the foregoing. Most preferably, the first foam generating
20 agent is sodium bicarbonate.

21 The second foam generating agent may be selected from the group consisting of
22 organic and inorganic acids, and mixtures thereof. Organic acids suitable for use as the
23 second foam generating agent preferably are selected from the group consisting of
24 carboxylic acids, acetic acids, acetyl salicylic acids, ascorbic acids, citric acids, lactic
25 acids, tartaric acids, gluconic acids, phenyl glycolic acids, benzylic acids, malic acids,
26 salicylic acids, acetyl salicylic acids, formic acids, propionic acids, butyric acids, oleic
27 acids, linoleic acids, linolenic acids, sorbic acids, benzoic acids, phenyl acetic acids,
28 gallic acids, oxylacetic acids, valeric acids, palmitic acids, fatty acids, valproic acids,
29 acrylic acids, and methacrylic acids, and mixtures thereof, or consisting of any subgroup
30 of the foregoing. Inorganic acids suitable for use as the second foam generating agent

1 preferably are selected from the group consisting of hydrochloric acids, sulfuric acids,
2 nitric acids, sulfonitric acids, polyphosphoric acids, chlorosulfuric acids, and boric acids,
3 and mixtures thereof, or consisting of any subgroup of the foregoing. Most preferably,
4 the second foam generating agent is 2-hydroxy-1,2,3,propanetricarboxylic acid, citric
5 acid, or mixtures thereof.

6 The concentration of the foam generating agents in the liquid phase of the foam is
7 generally from about 0.1 to about 20 lb/bbl of the first foam generating agent and from
8 about 0.1 to about 20 lb/bbl of the second foam generating agent. Preferably, the novel
9 drilling fluids comprise from about 3 to about 10 lb/bbl of the first foam generating agent
10 and from about 2 to about 8 lb/bbl of the second foam generating agent.

11 *Additives*

12 Preferably the novel drilling fluids will comprise various additives that enhance
13 the efficacy of the fluid. For example, they may comprise additives designed to prevent
14 the flow of fluid into the formation, such as additives capable of forming a filter cake,
15 seepage loss additives, and lost circulation additives. As will be appreciated by workers
16 in the art, filter cakes are commonly used in drilling fluids to stabilize the uncased portion
17 of the well bore and to protect the formation from contamination by the drilling fluid.
18 Additives suitable for forming a filter cake on the surface of the uncased well bore and
19 useful in the novel drilling fluids include calcium carbonate, bentonite, lignites,
20 sulfonated asphalt, and various polymers. Even in underbalanced operations, the drilling
21 fluid preferably will comprise filter cake additives as the presence of a filter cake will
22 protect the formation in the event that the well inadvertently becomes overbalanced.

23 While the novel drilling fluids may be used to greatest advantage in
24 underbalanced operations, they also may be used in near balanced or overbalanced
25 drilling. For such applications, there is a greater risk of fluid flowing into the formation.
26 Accordingly, it may be appropriate to add seepage loss additives, such cellulose fibers,
27 and lost circulation materials, such as nut hulls and various coarse fibrous materials, to
28 the drilling fluid. In any event, the use of filter cake additives, seepage loss additives, and
29 lost circulation additives is well understood by workers in the art and may be used, if
30 desired or appropriate, in the novel fluids.

1 The novel drilling fluids also preferably comprise a rheological stabilizer.
2 Rheological stabilizers are commonly used in drilling fluids, as will be appreciated by
3 workers in the art, to thin, disperse, or otherwise control the flow properties of the fluid.
4 Suitable rheological stabilizers useful in the novel drilling fluids include magnesium
5 oxide, lignosulfonate, and acrylic polymers. Other compositions suitable for use as
6 rheological stabilizers in drilling fluids are known to workers in the art and may be used
7 in the subject invention. Suitable rheological stabilizers may be manufactured by
8 methods well known in the art and are commercially available from most drilling fluid
9 companies.

10 The novel drilling fluids, as compared to other aqueous drilling fluids, has a
11 relatively low pH. That may make them more suitable as a substrate for bacterial growth.
12 Accordingly, the novel drilling fluids also preferably comprise a biocide. Suitable
13 biocides useful in the novel drilling fluids include formaldehydes, paraformaldehydes,
14 glutaraldehyde, and isothiazolones. Other biocides suitable for use in drilling fluids are
15 known to workers in the art and may be used in the subject invention. They may be
16 manufactured by methods well known in the art and are commercially available from a
17 number of sources.

18 Also because the novel drilling fluids have a relatively low pH, they may be more
19 likely to corrode the drilling equipment. Accordingly, the novel drilling fluids also
20 preferably comprise an anticorrosive agent. Suitable anticorrosive agents useful in the
21 novel drilling fluids include macro-filming amines, liquid phosphonates, with or without
22 nitrile salt, oxygen inhibitors, and oxygen scavengers. Other anticorrosive agents suitable
23 for use in drilling fluids are known to workers in the art and may be used in the subject
24 invention. They may be manufactured by methods well known in the art and are
25 commercially available from a number of sources.

26 Other conventional additives are known to workers in the art and may, if desired,
27 be used in the subject invention. It is generally expected that additives of the type used in
28 aqueous, polymer based drilling fluids may be used to advantage in the novel fluids, and
29 the desirability and compatibility of such conventional additives may be determined by
30 routine experimentation.

Making and Using the Novel Foamed Drilling Fluids

Typical components and their concentrations in the novel fluids are described above. The choice of a particular composition among those generally suitable for use in the novel fluids and the concentration thereof, however, will depend on the precise chemical and physical properties of the foam that are needed for a particular drilling operation. Cost considerations also may come into play. Workers in the art may optimize the precise formulation of the novel fluids for a particular application by reference to principles well known in the art and by routine experimentation.

For example, the viscosity and other rheological and thixotropic properties of the novel fluids are primarily a function of the properties of the polymer component and the polymer concentration. The viscosity and degree of structure of the foam generally are increased by increasing the polymer concentration in the liquid phase. It may be more cost effective, however, to use a higher molecular weight polymer or a polymer having a higher degree of hydrolysis at a relatively fixed polymer concentration. Conversely, the viscosity and degree of structure of the foam may be reduced by using a lower molecular weight polymer, a lower polymer concentration, or, in some cases, a polymer having a lower degree of hydrolysis.

The novel fluids may be formulated to provide foams with a wide range of densities as may be appropriate for the wide range of hydrostatic pressures encountered in different formations. Because the problems of excessively heavy fluids and lost circulation are more acute when a formation is highly permeable, has low pressures, or is fragile, that is the environment in which the novel fluids may be used to greatest advantage. Accordingly, the novel fluids preferably are formulated such that they have a density of from about 5.8 lbs/gal to about 0.83 lbs/gal, or most preferably, a density of from about 3.3 lbs/gal to about 0.83 lbs/gal. Correspondingly, the novel fluids preferably will have a gas content of from about 5.0% to about 95 % by volume and, most preferably, a gas content of from about 40% to about 90 % by volume.

The density of the novel foamed drilling fluids will be controlled primarily by the amount of gas that is generated, which in turn is determined by the amount of foam generating agents added to the fluid. Preferably one of the foam generating agents is

1 present in the liquid phase in excess of that required to generate the amount of gas that
2 will give the foam its desired density. Thus, the generation of gas and, in turn, the density
3 of the foam may be controlled by the amount and controlled addition of the other foam
4 generating agent to the drilling fluid.

5 It will be appreciated, therefore, that the novel foamed drilling fluids are
6 particularly useful in formations that are highly permeable, that have low pressures, or
7 that are fragile without substantial lost circulation. They may be formulated to provide
8 extremely low densities. It is possible, therefore, to balance or underbalance the
9 hydrostatic pressures at the interface between the well bore and formation. Production
10 from the well may thereby be controlled without allowing substantial loss of fluid into the
11 formation.

12 Moreover, it will be appreciated that the novel low density foamed drilling fluids
13 have chemical and physical properties that make them highly suitable for use in drilling
14 operations. The rheological and thixotropic properties of the foam are primarily
15 determined by the polymer component, and such polymers have been proven to provide
16 excellent results in drilling fluids. The foam generating agents will have no significant
17 effect on the physical properties of the foam. To the extent that the chemical reaction that
18 generates the foam also lowers the pH of the fluids, if desired, the effects thereof may be
19 counteracted by the addition of biocides, anticorrosive agents, and other known additives.
20 Such additives are both economical and effective.

21 In general, the novel drilling fluids may be made and circulated by methods and
22 equipment well known and used by workers in the art. That is, a base fluid comprising all
23 desired components except one of the foam generating agents is admixed by conventional
24 means. The second foam generating agent is then added to the liquid phase, for example,
25 by conventional liquid injectors. The resulting chemical reaction between the foam
26 generating agents generates gas to foam the fluid.

27 For example, the base polymer fluid may be admixed in conventional mixing
28 tanks. That fluid, which contains only one of the foam generating agents, then is pumped
29 with conventional hydraulic pumps from the mixing tank into the drill string. Once the
30 base fluid has exited the pump, the other foam generating agent is introduced via a

1 separate conduit into the base fluid. As the fluid continues downstream, it self-generates
2 a foam, which flows down the drill string, out of the drill bit, and into the well bore. As it
3 returns to the surface, the foam carries with it drill cuttings. Once at the surface, the
4 cuttings are separated from the foam by conventional separators. The fluid can be
5 defoamed, for example, by subjecting it to a water spray or passing it through another
6 known type of defoaming apparatus. The fluid then may be reconditioned by admixing
7 additional amounts of the first foam generating agent and other drilling fluid components,
8 at which point is ready for recirculation and refoaming.

9 It is not necessary, however, to introduce one of the foam generating agents
10 downstream of the pump that circulates the fluid toward the well. While such systems are
11 preferred because they are simpler and avoid the need for special pneumatic pumps
12 capable of pumping foam, both foam generating agents may be added in a mixing tank, or
13 one of the agents added downstream of the mixing tank to generate a foam before the
14 fluid is pumped toward the well. It is believed, however, that circulation of the fluid
15 through the well may be controlled more efficiently and effectively if one of the foam
16 generating agents is injected after the base fluid has been circulated toward the well by
17 the main hydraulic circulation pump, *i.e.*, the pump that delivers the fluid into the drill
18 string.

19 It will be appreciated, therefore, that the novel foamed drilling fluids may be more
20 easily and economically prepared and circulated in drilling operations. The cost of the
21 foam generating agents generally will be substantially less than that of nitrogen, the gas
22 most commonly used in conventional drilling fluids, especially when the cost and
23 logistics of constructing and supplying circulation systems is included. Since the novel
24 fluids self-generate a foaming gas, there is no need to generate a supply of gas or to store
25 it. Thus, gas generators, storage tanks, air pumps and other equipment needed to
26 generate, store, and inject gas are not necessary, as are foam generators and the like.
27 Although the systems used to prepare and circulate the novel fluids preferably include a
28 system for storing and delivering one of the foam generating agents into a base polymer
29 fluid comprising the other foam generating agent downstream of a hydraulic circulation
30 pump, such liquid injection systems are less expensive to install and operate than are

1 those for injecting gas. Moreover, because they are simpler and, in particular, avoid the
2 need for large, bulky gas generation and storage equipment, systems for formulating and
3 circulating the novel fluids may be accommodated in less space. In offshore operations, it
4 generally will not be necessary to provide a separate barge or boat to accommodate the
5 equipment. Thus, the cost of formulating and circulating the novel fluids is significantly
6 less than that associated with conventional foamed drilling fluids.

7 Also, while the novel fluids have been described for use in drilling a well bore, it
8 will be appreciated that they also may be used to advantage in various completion,
9 workover, or kill operations as are typically performed to enhance production from a
10 hydrocarbon well. As used herein, therefore, drilling operations shall encompass such
11 operations as well as the process of drilling a well bore. Moreover, while the novel fluids
12 are particularly useful in drilling wells for hydrocarbon production, they may be used to
13 advantage in other well drilling operations. For example, the novel fluids may be used in
14 the drilling of geothermal wells.

15 It also will be appreciated that the foam generating agents are identified as first
16 and second foam generating agents for convenience only. Thus, for example, in
17 describing the injection of a "second" foam generating agent into a base fluid containing a
18 "first" foam generating agent, such description also would encompass adding those foam
19 generating agents identified above as "first" foam generating agents into a base fluid
20 comprising "second" foam generating agents, and vice versa.

21

22

Examples

23 The invention and its advantages may be further understood by reference to the
24 following examples. It will be appreciated, however, that the invention is not limited
25 thereto.

26

Example 1 – Generation of Gas Bubbles

27 An aqueous buffer solution of sodium bicarbonate was prepared and titrated with
28 a citric acid solution to determine the pH at which carbon dioxide was generated.
29 Specifically, 350 ml of a buffer solution comprising 10 lbs/bbl of sodium bicarbonate was
30 titrated with a citric acid solution comprising 50 vol% of citric acid. The pH of the buffer

solution was measured with an electronic glass pH meter and recorded at various intervals as the buffer solution was titrated with the citric acid solution. The results are shown below in Table 1.

Table 1

Millimeters of Citric Acid (50 vol%)	pH of Solution
0.00	8.21
0.50	7.95
1.00	7.69
1.50	7.43
1.78	7.30
2.06	7.17
2.34	7.04
2.60	6.89
3.00	6.83
3.40	6.50
3.80	6.50
4.20	6.50
4.60	6.50
5.00	6.50
5.40	6.50
5.40	6.62
5.40	6.74
5.40	6.86
5.40	6.98
5.40	7.10

The initial pH of the buffer solution was 8.21. The generation of carbon dioxide gas bubbles was observed after titration of 2.60 ml of citric acid solution, *i.e.*, when the pH reached 6.89. After 3.40 ml of citric acid was titrated, the pH of the buffer solution was 6.50, and it remained there as additional citric acid solution was titrated. Titration was stopped at 5.40, at which point the pH remained at 6.50. Generation of carbon dioxide gas bubbles continued after titration was stopped, although the pH of the solution tended to rise.

From the foregoing, it will be appreciated that the generation of carbon dioxide gas bubbles in an aqueous solution may be controlled by the addition of citric acid and that gas generation may occur at a constant pH of approximately 6.50 which can be raised to approximately 7.00.

Example 2 – Generation of Foam

Polymer base fluids were prepared and titrated with a citric acid solution in accordance with the subject invention to select a surfactant that best stabilized generated carbon dioxide bubbles into a foamed state. Specifically, polymer base fluids 1 and 2 were prepared in an aqueous solution. The polymer base fluids were an aqueous solution comprising a mix of xantham gum and polyanionic cellulose polymers, calcium carbonate as a filter cake additive, sodium bicarbonate as a first foam generating agent, and a surfactant. The polymer base fluids were identical, except for the choice of surfactant.

The PAC HV polymer was a commercially available high molecular weight polyanionic cellulose. The surfactant in polymer base fluid 1 was a commercially available blend of anionic and nonionic, alcohol-ether-sulfates that is resistant to contamination by hard water and brine. The surfactant in polymer base fluid 2 was a commercially available mixture of anionic and nonionic, alcohol-ether-sulfates that is resistant to contamination by oil and by hard water and brine.

The composition of the polymer base fluids is set forth below in Table 2.

Table 2

Components of Polymer Base Fluids	Concentration (lb/bbl)
Xantham Gum	3.0
PAC HV	2.0
Calcium Carbonate - 300 Mesh	20.0
Sodium Bicarbonate	10.0
Surfactant	5.0

Various chemical and physical properties of the fluids were determined in accordance with API standard test methods, *i.e.*, API-RP 13B-1, API-RP 13B-2, API-RP 13I, and API-RP 13J. Those properties are set forth below in Table 3.

Table 3

Properties of Polymer Base Fluids	Polymer Base Fluid 1	Polymer Base Fluid 2
pH	9.22	9.26
Density (gram/cc)	1.05	1.05
API Filtrate (ml /30 min)	6.50	6.80
Chlorides (ppm)	800.00	800.00
Mf (ml H ₂ SO ₄)	29.00	28.00
Marsh Viscosity (sec/qt gal)	44.00	45.00
Yield Point (lbs/100 sq ft)	8.00	10.00

350 ml of each polymer base fluid then were titrated with a citric acid solution comprising 50 vol% of citric acid. The pH of the polymer base fluids were measured with an electronic glass pH meter and recorded at various intervals as fluids were titrated with the citric acid solution. The results are shown below in Table 4.

Table 4

Millimeters of Citric Acid (50 vol%)	pH of Fluid A	pH of Fluid B
0.00	9.22	9.26
1.00	9.04	9.06
2.00	8.86	8.87
3.00	8.69	8.67
4.00	8.52	8.48
5.00	8.34	8.28
6.00	8.17	8.09
7.00	7.99	7.89
8.00	7.82	7.70
9.00	7.63	7.50
9.30	7.45	7.34
9.70	7.27	7.18
10.00	7.10	7.02
10.50	6.82	6.80
11.00	6.47	6.50
11.50	6.13	6.20
12.00	5.78	5.90
12.50	5.44	5.62
12.50	5.76	5.89
12.50	6.08	6.16
12.50	6.40	6.43
12.50	6.72	6.70
12.50	7.02	6.98

The initial pH of the polymer base fluids were, respectively 9.22 and 9.26. The abundant generation of carbon dioxide gas bubbles and the formation of a foam was

1 observed after titration of 10.50 ml of citric acid solution, *i.e.*, when the pH reached 6.82
2 and 6.80, respectively. As titration continued to 12.50 ml, the pH of the polymer base
3 fluids dropped to, respectively, 5.44 and 5.62, at which point the titration was stopped.
4 Thereafter, the pH of both polymer base fluids tended to rise to approximately 7.00.

5 Abundant foam generation continued during the titration and afterwards. While
6 both polymer base fluids generated generally satisfactory foams, the foam generated in
7 polymer base fluid B was observed to be more abundant and stable.

8 From the foregoing, it will be appreciated that stable foams may be self-generated
9 in an aqueous polymer fluid by the generation of carbon dioxide gas in the presence of a
10 stabilizing surfactant. Moreover, the novel self-generating foams of the subject invention
11 have a pH range that is manageable in a drilling environment.

12 ***Example 3 – Chemical and Physical Properties***
13 ***of Novel Self-Generated Foamed Compositions***

14 Various aqueous polymer fluids were prepared and foamed by the addition of
15 citric acid in accordance with the subject invention. The fluids were similar to those
16 prepared in Example 2 above in that they were aqueous solutions comprising a mix of
17 xanthan gum and polyanionic cellulose polymers, calcium carbonate as a filter cake
18 additive, sodium bicarbonate as a first foam generating agent, and a surfactant, and they
19 were foamed by the addition of citric acid as a second foam generating agent.

20 All of the fluids utilized surfactant B, however, and incorporated magnesium
21 oxide to stabilize the rheology and the pH of the fluids and to control the filtrate in the
22 fluids. The total and relative concentrations of the polymer components were varied to
23 determine the effect thereof on the rheology and thixotropic performance of the foamed
24 fluids. Likewise, the concentrations of the other components were varied and chemical
25 and physical properties of the fluids both before and after foaming were determined.

26 The composition of the polymer fluids is set forth below in Table 5.

Table 5

Components of Polymer Fluids	Concentration (lbs/bbl)				
	Fluid 3	Fluid 4	Fluid 5	Fluid 6	Fluid 7
Xantham Gum	4.0	1.0	3.0	2.5	1.5
PAC HV	1.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	3.0
Calcium Carbonate 300 Mesh	10.0	5.0	20.0	15.0	15.0
Sodium Bicarbonate	10.0	5.0	10.0	5.0	5.0
Surfactant B	4.0	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.0
Magnesium Oxide	2.0	1.5	0.5	2.0	2.0
Citric Acid (50% vol)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0

The properties of the polymer fluids were determined before foaming. Those properties are set forth below in Table 6.

Table 6

Properties of Polymer Fluids (Before Foaming)	Fluid 3	Fluid 4	Fluid 5	Fluid 6	Fluid 7
pH	9.26	8.50	9.18	8.60	8.80
Density (lb/gal)	8.67	8.58	8.84	8.75	8.67
API Filtrate (ml /30 min)	6.00	5.40	5.80	6.20	6.10
Chlorides (ppm)	800	850	780	900	900
Mf (ml H ₂ SO ₄)	30	26	31	24	24
Marsh Viscosity (seg/qt gal)	52	44	48	45	42
Yield Point (lbs/100 sq ft)	18	14	16	12	11

The fluids were then foamed by the addition of citric acid, and the properties of the polymer fluids were determined. Those properties are set forth below in Table 7.

Table 7

Properties of Polymer Fluids (After Foaming)	Fluid 3	Fluid 4	Fluid 5	Fluid 6	Fluid 7
pH	7.12	6.80	7.00	6.80	6.90
Density (lb/gal)	2.83	3.08	3.42	3.25	3.17
API Filtrate (ml /30 min)	7.4	7.7	4.6	6.4	8.8
Chlorides (ppm)	400	300	380	350	370
Pf (ml H ₂ SO ₄)	0	0	0	0	0
Mf (ml H ₂ SO ₄)	22.8	19.9	22.1	20.5	20.0
Magnesium (mg/l)	8,000	6,000	2,400	8,000	7,500

The rheological properties of the foamed fluids then were investigated using a Brookfield Viscometer at 95° F in accordance with the manufacturer's standard method of determining low shear rate viscosity. The results thereof are set forth below in Table 8.

Table 8

Rotational Velocity (rpm)	Centipoise				
	Fluid 3	Fluid 4	Fluid 5	Fluid 6	Fluid 7
60.0	1,346	980	1,300	1,998	1,978
30.0	2,390	1,580	2,320	3,320	3,352
12.0	5,160	3,480	5,030	6,520	6,680
6.0	9,160	6,280	8,080	10,920	11,300
3.0	16,600	11,160	11,200	18,320	19,080
1.5	29,280	21,600	25,200	30,640	32,080
0.6	62,200	42,800	51,600	61,460	63,800
0.3	108,800	91,200	99,200	102,800	107,600

All of the fluids were successfully foamed by the addition of citric acid. It will be noted from the foregoing data that the novel self-generating foamed fluids of the subject invention have relatively low densities as compared to those produced by prior art methods, *i.e.*, from 2.83 to 3.42 lb/gal. Moreover, all of the novel foamed fluids have pH values that are manageable in a drilling environment, *i.e.*, from 6.80 to 7.12. The foamed fluids of the subject invention also exhibit satisfactory physical, chemical, and rheological properties for use as drilling fluids. In particular, Fluids 3, 6, and 7 exhibit excellent physical, chemical, and rheological properties.

***Example 4 – Physical Properties
of Novel Self-Generated Foamed Compositions***

Various aqueous polymer fluids were prepared and foamed by the addition of citric acid in accordance with the subject invention. The fluids were similar to those prepared in Example 3 above in that they were aqueous solutions comprising a mix of xantham gum and polyanionic cellulose polymers, calcium carbonate as a filter cake additive, sodium bicarbonate as a first foam generating agent, magnesium oxide as a rheological and pH stabilizer, and a surfactant, and they were foamed by the addition of citric acid as a second foam generating agent.

The fluids utilized either surfactant A or surfactant B. The relative concentrations of the polymer components were varied to determine the effect thereof on the rheology and thixotropic performance of the foamed fluids. Likewise, the concentrations of other components were varied, and the rheological properties of the fluids after foaming were determined.

The composition of the polymer fluids is set forth below in Table 9.

Table 9

Components of Polymer Fluids	Concentration (lb/bbl)			
	Fluid 8 (Surf. A)	Fluid 9 (Surf. A)	Fluid 3 (Surf. B)	Fluid 10 (Surf. B)
Xanthan Gum	4.0	1.0	4.0	1.0
PAV HV	1.0	4.0	1.0	4.0
Calcium Carbonate 300 Mesh	10.0	5.0	10.0	5.0
Sodium Bicarbonate	10.0	5.0	10.0	5.0
Surfactant A	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0
Surfactant B	0.0	0.0	4.0	4.0
Magnesium Oxide	2.0	1.5	2.0	1.5
Citric Acid	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0

The fluids were then foamed by the addition of citric acid, and the rheological properties of the polymer fluids were determined as set forth above in Example 3. Those properties are set forth below in Table 10.

Table 10

Rotational Velocity (rpm)	Centipoise			
	Fluid 8 (Surf. A)	Fluid 9 (Surf. A)	Fluid 3 (Surf. B)	Fluid 10 (Surf. B)
60.0	1,960	1,420	2,620	2,120
30.0	3,404	2,980	4,340	4,300
12.0	7,180	6,730	9,250	8,450
6.0	12,540	11,040	15,800	13,270
3.0	21,680	20,320	27,200	25,300
1.5	37,600	34,400	45,200	44,700
0.6	77,800	72,650	90,000	88,540
0.3	133,200	120,200	148,000	134,800

All of the fluids were successfully foamed by the addition of citric acid. It will be appreciated from the foregoing that the self-generating foamed fluids of the subject invention have excellent rheological properties.

***Example 5 – Chemical and Physical Properties
Of Novel Self-Generated Foamed Compositions***

Various aqueous polymer fluids were prepared and foamed by the addition of citric acid, including Fluid 3 of Example 3 above, in accordance with the subject invention. The remaining fluids were similar to those prepared in Example 3 above in

that they were aqueous solutions comprising a mix of xantham gum and polyanionic cellulose polymers, calcium carbonate as a filter cake additive, sodium bicarbonate as a first foam generating agent, magnesium oxide as a rheological and pH stabilizer, and surfactant B, and they were foamed by the addition of citric acid as a second foam generating agent.

The total and relative concentrations of the polymer components were varied to determine the effect thereof on the rheology of the foamed fluids. Certain fluids, however, incorporated various additives commonly used in drilling fluids, *i.e.*, a clay inhibitor, a biocide, and a corrosion inhibitor, in order to assess the compatibility of those components with the fluids.

The clay inhibitor was a commercially available amine based composition. The biocide was a commercially available glutaraldehyde. The corrosion inhibitor was a commercially available filming amine type inhibitor.

The composition of the polymer fluids is set forth below in Table 11.

Table 11

Components of Polymer Fluids	Concentration (lb/bbl)			
	Fluid 3	Fluid 11	Fluid 12	Fluid 13
Xantham Gum	4.0	2.0	4.0	2.0
PAV HV	1.0	4.0	1.0	4.0
Calcium Carbonate 300 Mesh	10.0	5.0	10.0	5.0
Sodium Bicarbonate	10.0	5.0	10.0	5.0
Surfactant B	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Magnesium Oxide	2.0	1.5	2.0	1.5
Clay Inhibitor	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.0
Biocide	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Corrosion Inhibitor	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
Citric Acid	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0

The fluids were then foamed by the addition of citric acid, and the rheological properties of the polymer fluids were determined as set forth above in Example 3. Those properties are set forth below in Table 12.

Table 12

Rotational Velocity (rpm)	Centipoise			
	Fluid 3	Fluid 11	Fluid 12	Fluid 13
60.0	2,620	2,120	2,380	1,430
30.0	4,340	4,300	4,040	3,560
12.0	9,250	8,450	8,650	5,320
6.0	15,800	13,270	15,200	9,800
3.0	27,200	25,300	26,200	14,300
1.5	45,200	44,700	43,200	32,500
0.6	90,000	88,540	81,000	64,000
0.3	148,000	134,800	126,000	94,780

No deleterious effects were observed from the addition of the additives. It will be appreciated, therefore, that the novel self-generating foamed fluids are compatible with conventional additives and that conventional additives do not significantly impair the excellent rheological properties of the foams.

The thermal stability of the foamed fluids then was investigated by hot rolling the foam at 338° F (170° C) for a period of 18 hours in a roller oven and otherwise in accordance with API standard test methods, *i.e.*, API-13I. It was observed that the chemical composition of the foams remained unchanged. The rheological properties of the polymer fluids then were determined. Those properties are set forth below in Table 13.

Table 13

Rotational Velocity (rpm)	Centipoises			
	Before Hot Rolling		After Hot Rolling	
	Fluid 12	Fluid 13	Fluid 12	Fluid 13
60.0	2,380	1,430	1,380	1,120
30.0	4,040	3,560	2,350	2,160
12.0	8,650	5,320	4,930	4,200
6.0	15,200	9,800	7,960	5,740
3.0	26,200	14,300	8,250	7,400
1.5	43,200	32,500	22,100	18,500
0.6	81,000	64,000	51,800	48,000
0.3	126,000	94,780	89,200	78,300

As will be appreciated from the foregoing, the novel self-generating foamed fluids of the subject invention exhibit excellent thermal stability.

1 The foregoing examples demonstrate the excellent physical, chemical, and
2 rheological properties of the novel self-generating foamed fluids of the subject invention
3 and, therefore, their suitability for use in a drilling environment. In particular, they
4 demonstrate that the novel foamed fluids are not only suitable for use as drilling fluids,
5 but that they have sufficiently low densities for use in underbalanced drilling through
6 fragile and low pressure formations.

7 While this invention has been disclosed and discussed primarily in terms of
8 specific embodiments thereof, it is not intended to be limited thereto. Other
9 modifications and embodiments will be apparent to the worker in the art.

10

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A self-generating foamed fluid for circulation through a well during drilling operations, said drilling fluid comprising:
 - a. an aqueous solvent;
 - b. a polymer;
 - c. a surfactant;
 - d. a first foam generating agent; and
 - e. a second foam generating agent, wherein said first foam generating agent and said second foam generating agent react to generate a gas within said drilling fluid and thereby to foam said drilling fluid.
2. The drilling fluid of claim 1, wherein said drilling fluid has a density of from about 5.8 lb/gal to about 0.83 lb/gal.
3. The drilling fluid of claim 1, wherein said drilling fluid has a density of from about 3.3 lb/gal to about 0.83 lb/gal.
4. The drilling fluid of claim 1, wherein said drilling fluid has a gas content of from about 5.0% to about 95 % by volume.
5. The drilling fluid of claim 1, wherein said drilling fluid has a gas content of from about 40% to about 90 % by volume.
6. The drilling fluid of claim 1, wherein said first foam generating agent is selected from the group consisting of acid and neutral salts of alkali metals and alkaline earth metals, and mixtures thereof.
7. The drilling fluid of claim 1, wherein said first foam generating agent is selected from the group consisting of sodium bicarbonate, potassium bicarbonate, calcium bicarbonate, barium bicarbonate, and lithium bicarbonate, and mixtures thereof.
8. The drilling fluid of claim 1, wherein said first foam generating agent is sodium bicarbonate.
9. The drilling fluid of claim 1, wherein said second foam generating agent is selected from the group consisting of organic and inorganic acids, and mixtures thereof.

- 1 10. The drilling fluid of claim 1, wherein said second foam generating agent is
2 selected from the group consisting of carboxylic acids, acetic acids, acetyl
3 salicylic acids, ascorbic acids, citric acids, lactic acids, tartaric acids, gluconic
4 acids, phenyl glycolic acids, benzylic acids, malic acids, salicylic acids, acetyl
5 salicylic acids, formic acids, propionic acids, butyric acids, oleic acids, linoleic
6 acids, linolenic acids, sorbic acids, benzoic acids, phenyl acetic acids, gallic acids,
7 oxylacetic acids, valeric acids, palmitic acids, fatty acids, valproic acids, acrylic
8 acids, and methacrylic acids, and mixtures thereof.
- 9 11. The drilling fluid of claim 1, wherein said second foam generating agent is
10 selected from the group consisting of hydrochloric acids, sulfuric acids, nitric
11 acids, sulfonitric acids, polyphosphoric acids, chlorosulfuric acids, and boric
12 acids, and mixtures thereof.
- 13 12. The drilling fluid of claim 1, wherein said second foam generating agent is 2-
14 hydroxy-1,2,3,propanetricarboxylic acid.
- 15 13. The drilling fluid of claim 1, wherein said second foam generating agent is citric
16 acid.
- 17 14. The drilling fluid of claim 1, wherein said drilling fluid comprises from about 0.1
18 to about 20 lb/bbl of said first foam generating agent and from about 0.1 to about
19 20 lb/bbl of said second foam generating agent.
- 20 15. The drilling fluid of claim 1, wherein said drilling fluid comprises from about 3 to
21 about 10 lb/bbl of said first foam generating agent and from about 2 to about 8
22 lb/bbl of said second foam generating agent.
- 23 16. The drilling fluid of claim 1, wherein said drilling fluid comprises one of said first
24 or second foam generating agents in amounts in excess of the amount needed to
25 react with the other of said first or second foam generating agents to generate a
26 predetermined amount of gas, and the generation of foam within said drilling fluid
27 is controlled by the amount of said other of said first or second foam generating
28 agents added to the drilling fluid.
- 29 17. The drilling fluid of claim 1, wherein said first and second foam generating agents
30 react to generate a gas selected from the group consisting of carbon dioxide,

1 oxygen, nitrogen, sulfur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, and ammonia, and mixtures
2 thereof.

3 18. The drilling fluid of claim 1, wherein said drilling fluid is circulated into said well
4 by a hydraulic pump and wherein one of said first or second foam generating
5 agents is admixed in said drilling fluid upstream of said hydraulic pump and the
6 other of said first or second foam generating agents is admixed in said drilling
7 fluid downstream of said hydraulic pump.

8 19. The drilling fluid of claim 1, wherein said polymer is selected from the group
9 consisting of polysaccharides and modified polysaccharides, such as xanthan gum,
10 guar gum, wellum gums, gellan gums, succinoglycan, succinoglycan
11 polysaccharides, scleroglycan, schleroglucan polysaccharides,
12 polyvinylsaccharides, o-carboxychitosans, polyanionic cellulose,
13 carboxymethylcellulose, hydroxyethylcellulose, hydroxypropylcellulose, and
14 modified starches, and mixtures thereof.

15 20. The drilling fluid of claim 1, wherein said polymer is selected from the group
16 consisting of polyvinyl alcohol, polyethylene oxide, polyvinyl pyrrolidone, and
17 acrylamide polymers, aluminum and mixed metal hydroxide silicates, and
18 mixtures thereof.

19 21. The drilling fluid of claim 1, wherein said polymer is selected from the group
20 consisting of polyacrylamide, partially hydrolyzed polyacrylamide, acrylamide
21 copolymers, acrylamide terpolymers containing acrylamide, a second species, and
22 a third species, and acrylamide tetrapolymers containing acrylamide, acrylate, a
23 third species, and a fourth species, and mixtures thereof.

24 22. The drilling fluid of claim 1, wherein said drilling fluid comprises xanthan gum
25 and polyanionic cellulose polymers.

26 23. The drilling fluid of claim 1, wherein said polymer is xanthan gum.

27 24. The drilling fluid of claim 1, wherein said polymer is polyanionic cellulose.

28 25. The drilling fluid of claim 1, wherein said drilling fluid comprises from about 1 to
29 about 12 lb/bbl of said polymer.

- 1 26. The drilling fluid of claim 1, wherein said drilling fluid comprises from about 4 to
2 about 8 lb/bbl of said polymer.
- 3 27. A method for controlling production of hydrocarbons from a well bore during
4 drilling operations, which method comprises:
- 5 a. providing a drilling fluid, which drilling fluid comprises an aqueous
6 solvent, a polymer, a surfactant, and a first foam generating agent;
- 7 b. admixing a second foam generating agent into said drilling fluid,
8 whereupon said first and second foam generating agents react to generate a
9 gas within said fluid and thereby to foam said fluid; and
- 10 c. introducing said fluid into said well bore prior to or after admixing said
11 second foam generating agent.
- 12 28. The method of claim 25, wherein said method comprises admixing said second
13 foam generating agent into said drilling fluid after it has been introduced into said
14 well bore.
- 15 29. The method of claim 25, wherein said method comprises:
- 16 a. introducing said drilling fluid comprising said first foam generating agent
17 into said well bore through a drill string extending therethrough;
- 18 b. admixing said second foam generating agent into said drilling fluid after it
19 has been introduced into said drill string;
- 20 c. circulating said drilling fluid through said drill string into the annulus
21 between said drill string and said well bore; and
- 22 d. recovering said drilling fluid from said annulus.
- 23 30. The method of claim 25, wherein said method further comprises:
- 24 a. defoaming said drilling fluid after recovery thereof;
- 25 b. recirculating at least a portion of said defoamed drilling fluid into said drill
26 string, with or without the admixture of additional amounts of said first
27 foam generating agent; and
- 28 c. admixing additional amounts of said second foam generating agent into
29 said drilling fluid after it has been recirculated into said drill string.

- 1 31. The method of claim 25, wherein said first foam generating agent is present in
2 excess of the amount needed to generate a predetermined amount of gas and the
3 amount of foam generated is controlled by the addition of said second foam
4 generating agent.
- 5 32. A method for controlling production of hydrocarbons from a well bore during
6 drilling operations, which method comprises:
- 7 a. pumping a drilling fluid comprising an aqueous solvent, a polymer, a
8 surfactant, and a first foam generating agent into a drill string with a
9 hydraulic pump;
- 10 b. admixing a second foam generating agent into said drilling fluid after said
11 drilling fluid has exited said hydraulic pump, whereupon said first and
12 second foam generating agents react to generate a gas within said fluid and
13 thereby to foam said fluid;
- 14 c. circulating at least a portion of said foamed drilling fluid through said drill
15 string into the annulus between said drill string and said well bore;
- 16 d. recovering said drilling fluid from said annulus.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/IB 03/06432

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 C09K7/02 E21B43/25

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
IPC 7 C09K E21B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 4 844 163 A (HAZLETT RANDY D ET AL) 4 July 1989 (1989-07-04) column 2, line 36 - line 56 column 3, line 4 - column 4, line 51 -----	1,6-8, 17,21, 25,27
X	US 4 813 484 A (HAZLETT RANDY D) 21 March 1989 (1989-03-21) column 2, line 39 - column 3, line 65 column 4, line 54 - line 61 column 5, line 1 - line 38 -----	1,6-10, 17,19,27
A	US 4 832 123 A (ABOU-SAYED IBRAHIM S ET AL) 23 May 1989 (1989-05-23) column 3, line 24 - column 4, line 20 claims 1-6 ----- -/-	1-17, 27-32



Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.



Patent family members are listed in annex.

° Special categories of cited documents :

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- *&* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

27 May 2004

Date of mailing of the international search report

08/06/2004

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Zimpfer, E

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/IB 03/06432

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5 780 395 A (SYDANSK ROBERT D) 14 July 1998 (1998-07-14) column 4, line 24 - column 5, line 23 -----	1-32
A	US 5 990 052 A (HARRIS PHILLIP C) 23 November 1999 (1999-11-23) claims 1-9 -----	1-32
A	US 6 268 314 B1 (HUGHES TREVOR LLOYD ET AL) 31 July 2001 (2001-07-31) claims 1-12 -----	1-32

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/IB 03/06432

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 4844163	A	04-07-1989	NONE	
US 4813484	A	21-03-1989	NONE	
US 4832123	A	23-05-1989	NONE	
US 5780395	A	14-07-1998	US 5105884 A AU 648793 B2 AU 7774991 A BR 9106641 A CA 2083054 C CN 1058798 A DE 4191771 T EG 19485 A GB 2260353 A ,B HU 67453 A2 IE 911581 A1 NL 9120019 T NO 924645 A RO 112774 B1 RU 2062864 C1 WO 9202708 A1	21-04-1992 05-05-1994 02-03-1992 08-06-1993 31-01-1995 19-02-1992 13-05-1993 29-06-1995 14-04-1993 28-04-1995 12-02-1992 01-04-1993 14-01-1993 30-12-1997 27-06-1996 20-02-1992
US 5990052	A	23-11-1999	US 5566760 A	22-10-1996
US 6268314	B1	31-07-2001	GB 2318814 A CA 2219621 A1 NO 975040 A	06-05-1998 01-05-1998 04-05-1998