Office de la Propriété Intellectuelle du Canada
Un organisme d'Industrie Canada

Canadian Intellectual Property Office
An agency of Industry Canada

CA 2891103 A1 2014/05/15

(21) 2 891 103

(12) DEMANDE DE BREVET CANADIEN
CANADIAN PATENT APPLICATION

(13) A1

(86) Date de dépôt PCT/PCT Filing Date: 2013/11/08
(87) Date publication PCT/PCT Publication Date: 2014/05/15
(85) Entrée phase nationale/National Entry: 2015/05/08
(86) N° demande PCT/PCT Application No.: IB 2013/003098
(87) N° publication PCT/PCT Publication No.: 2014/072830
(30) Priorité/Priority: 2012/11/10 (US61/724,917)

(51) Cl.Int./Int.Cl. *H04W 74/08* (2009.01), *H04W 84/18* (2009.01), *H04B 17/00* (2015.01)

(71) Demandeur/Applicant:
KING ABDULLAH UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, SA

(72) Inventeur/Inventor:
BADER, AHMED, JO

(74) Agent: BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP

(54) Titre : SYSTEME D'EVALUATION DE CANAL AMELIORE
(54) Title: AN IMPROVED CHANNEL ASSESSMENT SCHEME




FIG. 4

(57) Abrégé/Abstract:
A source node in a multihop network determines whether to transmit in a channel based on whether the channel is occupied by a packet transmission with a large number of relays; whether the source node is in the data tones back-off zone; and the source

CA 2891103 A1 2014/05/15

(21) 2 891 103

(13) A1

(57) Abrégé(suite)/Abstract(continued):
node is in the busy tone back-off zone. A multihop network transmits a packet including a RACH area and a hop number. The RACH area includes a list of subcarriers. A source node in the network dynamically determines the size of the RACH area. A node in the network performs an open-loop transmit power control.

Mobile | Deutsch | Español | Français | 日本語 | 한국어 | Português | Русский | 中文 |

WIPO PATENTSCOPE

Search International and National Patent Collections

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION

Search Browse Translate Options News Login Help

Home IP Services PATENTSCOPE

1. (WO2014072830) AN IMPROVED CHANNEL ASSESSMENT SCHEME

PCT Biblio. Data | Description | Claims | National Phase | Notices | Drawings | Documents

Latest bibliographic data on file with the International Bureau

PermaLink

Pub. No.: WO/2014/072830 **International Application No.:** PCT/IB2013/003098
Publication Date: 15.05.2014 **International Filing Date:** 08.11.2013
IPC:
H04W 74/08 (2009.01), *H04B 17/00* (2006.01), *H04W 84/18* (2009.01)

Applicants: **KING ABDULLAH UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY** [SA/SA]; 4700 King Abdullah University of Science and Technology Thuwal, 23955-6900 (SA)
Inventors: **BADER, Ahmed**; (JO)

Priority Data: 61/724,917 10.11.2012 US
Title **(EN)** AN IMPROVED CHANNEL ASSESSMENT SCHEME
(FR) SYSTÈME D'ÉVALUATION DE CANAL AMÉLIORÉ

Abstract: **(EN)**A source node in a multihop network determines whether to transmit in a channel based on whether the channel is occupied by a packet transmission with a large number of relays; whether the source node is in the data tones back-off zone; and the source node is in the busy tone back-off zone. A multihop network transmits a packet including a RACH area and a hop number. The RACH area includes a list of subcarriers. A source node in the network dynamically determines the size of the RACH area. A node in the network performs an open-loop transmit power control.
(FR)L'invention concerne un nœud source dans un réseau à sauts multiples, qui détermine s'il doit transmettre ou non dans un canal selon que ce canal est occupé ou non par une transmission de paquets avec un grand nombre de relais; selon que le nœud source se trouve ou non dans la zone de d'attente de tonalités de données; et selon que le nœud source se trouve ou non dans la zone d'attente de tonalité d'occupation. L'invention concerne également un réseau à sauts multiples qui transmet un paquet comprenant une zone de canal d'accès aléatoire et un nombre de sauts. La zone de canal d'accès aléatoire comprend une liste de sous-porteuses. Un nœud source dans le réseau détermine de manière dynamique la taille de la zone de canal d'accès aléatoire. Un nœud du réseau effectue une commande de puissance d'émission en boucle ouverte.



Designated States: AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.
African Regional Intellectual Property Organization (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW)
Eurasian Patent Organization (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM)
European Patent Office (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR)
African Intellectual Property Organization (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Publication Language: English (EN)
Filing Language: English (EN)

AN IMPROVED CHANNEL ASSESSMENT SCHEME

CLAIM OF PRIORITY

[0001] This application claims the benefit of prior U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/724,917, filed on November 10, 2012, which is incorporated by reference in its entirety.

TECHNICAL FIELD

[0002] The present invention relates to a multihop network such as a wireless sensor network, and a scheme for a node in the network to assess a channel.

BACKGROUND

[0003] Mobile multihop networks have been considered lately as viable alternatives for the delivery and sharing of multimedia content between users. Performance of such networks is typically measured in terms of conflicting objectives, namely: end-to-end latency, end-to-end energy consumption, and network throughput. Subsequently, this calls for a packet delivery protocols which are able to establish a careful balance between these objectives. Recently, a packet forwarding protocol has been developed to meet such objectives. See Bader, Ahmed et al., "An Efficient Multi-Carrier Position-Based Packet Forwarding Protocol for Wireless Sensory Network," IEEE Transaction on Wireless Communications, Volume 11, no. 1 (January 2012), the content of which is incorporated by reference in its entirety.

[0004] For example, the protocol is built using orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) for the physical (PHY) layer. Furthermore, the protocol utilizes position-based channel access techniques in conjunction with the OFDM PHY. This allows all eligible relays at a given hop to access the channel concurrently. Due to this property, the protocol is labelled as "multi-relay." The protocol is indifferent to mobility since it does not mandate relays to have knowledge of the network topology. The use of OFDM makes it also quite resilient to fast fading environments and thus well-suited for mobility.

SUMMARY

[0005] One aspect of present invention provides a source node in a multihop network having a circuit that determines whether a channel is occupied by a packet transmission meeting predetermined criteria; wherein the source node determines whether to transmit in the channel based on the determination that the channel is occupied by a packet transmission meeting predetermined criteria; and wherein the criteria is based on a normalized auto-covariance of the power spectral density (PSD) of a total interference signal.

[0006] In another aspect of the present invention, the source node further determines whether it is in a data back-off zone by detecting data tones, and determines whether to transmit in the channel based on whether the node is in the data back-off zone. Typically, data tones correspond to subcarriers. Busy tone, however, may be of a single subcarrier dedicated to carrying the busy tone.

[0007] In another aspect of the present invention, the source node further determines whether it is in a busy tone back-off zone by detecting a busy tone, and determines whether to transmit in the channel based on whether the node is in the busy tone back-off zone.

[0008] In another aspect of the present invention, the source node transmits in the channel in a case that the channel is not occupied by a packet transmission meeting the predetermined criteria, the source node is not in the data tones back-off zone, and the source node is not in the busy tone back-off zone.

[0009] In another aspect of the present invention, the source node listens to the channel for an extended period and re-assess in a case that the channel is occupied by a packet transmission meeting the predetermined criteria, the source node is not in the data tones back-off zone, and the source node is in the busy tone back-off zone.

[0010] In another aspect of the present invention, the source node extracts a destination position from the busy tone, and transmits in the channel if an angle between the source node's destination and that of an ongoing transmission is $> \pi / 2$, in a case the source node is in the data tones back-off zone, and the source node is in the busy tone back-off zone.

[0011] In another aspect of the present invention, the source node does not transmit in a channel in a case that the channel is not occupied by a packet transmission meeting the predetermined criteria, the source node is not in the data tones back-off zone, and the source node is in the busy tone back-off zone.

[0012] In another aspect of the present invention, the source node transmits in the channel in a case that the channel is occupied by a packet transmission meeting the predetermined criteria, the source node is in the data tones back-off zone, and the source node is not in the busy tone back-off zone.

[0013] In another aspect of the present invention, the source node does not transmit in the channel in a case that the channel is not occupied by a packet transmission meeting the predetermined criteria, the source node is in the data tones back-off zone, and the source node is in the busy tone back-off zone.

[0014] One aspect of present invention provides a multihop network having a plurality of nodes. The nodes may be a source, a destination, or a relay which both receives and transmits data. The data includes a packet having a random access channel (RACH) area and a hop number.

[0015] The RACH area includes a list of subcarriers and a relay number. A node, such as a relay, andomly selects one of the subcarriers and modulates it with a time-domain signal.

[0016] A node (such as a relay) of the multihop network receiving the packet extracts the relay number, and thereby obtains the number of prior relays. Further, the node scans the subcarriers, and, in a case that a subcarrier having an energy level meeting or exceeding a predetermined amount is detected, the number of relays is incremented.

[0017] Further, the node in the multihop network is an OFDM wireless communication device.

[0018] In another aspect of the present invention, a source node of the multihop network dynamically allocates a size of the RACH area. The node listens to a RACH area during a packet transmission at a second hop for a number of nodes of the second hop. The node receives a number of nodes at a destination stage transmitted by a

destination node. The source node determines a size of the RACH area based on the number of nodes of the second hop and the number of nodes at the destination stage.

[0019] Further, the size of the RACH area set is constant for a plurality of hops.

[0020] In another aspect of the present invention, a relay of the multihop network scans the subcarriers in a RACH area to estimate a number of previous-hop relays. The relay further receives or transmits a packet including a hop number. The node determines a transmit power level based on the number of previous-hop relays and the hop number.

[0021] Further, such determination is made using a lookup table.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0022] Figure 1 illustrates a packet having a RACH area.

[0023] Figure 2 shows the probability of the estimated count matching the real relay count.

[0024] Figure 3 is the flow chart for dynamic resource allocation.

[0025] Figures 4 is the flow chart for open-loop power control.

[0026] Figure 5 illustrates a channel model of the total interference signal.

[0027] Figure 6 shows the probability mass function for the number of relay at an arbitrary hop.

[0028] Figure 7a illustrates sample realization of the interference-plus-noise auto-covariance of the power spectral density (PSD) in a multi-relay case.

[0029] Figure 7b illustrates sample realization of the interference-plus-noise auto-covariance of the power spectral density (PSD) in a single-relay case

[0030] Figure 8 illustrates the scheme for exposed nodes to capture more transmission opportunities.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE SYSTEM

[0031] A multihop network includes a plurality of communication devices. An example is a wireless communication device. In a wireless sensor network, each of the communication devices is also a sensor.

[0032] The communication device is referred to as a node. A node transmitting data is a source node. Nodes that transmit or retransmit the data are also called relays.

[0033] A source node may not able to estimate the number of relays in the nearby ongoing packet transmission for the following reasons:

1. Current known packet structure does not provide information for such estimation. The estimation of the number of relays requires an "improved packet structure" such as one described in 61/717,289, filed October 23, 2012, incorporated by reference in its entirety.

2. To exploit the improved packet structure, a node should switch to coherent channel assessment mode, i.e. it should synchronize with the ongoing packet transmission. This is typically a less-efficient method of channel assessment.

3. The source node will be able to synchronize to the ongoing packet transmission only if it lies inside the coverage perimeter shown in Figure 4 below. This is a case which does not occur very often.

4. Furthermore, one aspect of present invention is directed to cases where a source node lies inside one or both of the back-off zones but outside the coverage perimeter (discussed below).

[0034] Consequently, a source node utilizes a multi-stage channel assessment scheme:

[0035] 1. The classical non-coherent energy detection method, whereby the node measures the energy on the data tones as well as the busy tone.

[0036] 2. The source node performs the normalized auto-covariance computation described below to estimate whether it is in the vicinity of a packet transmission with a large number of relays. It will not be able to estimate how many relays there are, but just

determine whether it is a large number or not, which is sufficient to make an educated decision. This is also a non-coherent method, which makes sense to use here, since the node may typically be outside the coverage perimeter of the ongoing transmission, i.e. it will not be able to synchronize with that transmission.

[0037] 3. The third stage is reverted to only in certain scenarios as explained in the decision table below. In this stage, the source node extracts the position of the destination from the busy tone signal.

[0038] Aspects of present invention provide, inter alia, enhancements in the packet structure which enable dynamic allocation of resources throughout the packet forwarding process. One aspect provides nodes with the ability to closely estimate the number of relays of the ongoing packet transmission. Another aspect provides a dedicated field for the hop number. The enhancements allow a source node to perform dynamic allocation of random access channel (RACH) slots, and allow relays subsequent to the source node to perform open-loop power control.

[0039] The ability of nodes to estimate the number of relays at a given hop helps to understand the underlying node density. With this, nodes are able to undertake well-informed and more efficient resource allocation approaches. For instance, the nodes are now able to adjust the size of the RACH area in a way that does not compromise end-to-end delay performance but achieves better L2 throughput. (I.e., Layer 2 of the 7-layer OSI network model. Layer 2 corresponds to the Data Link layer.) Similarly, an open-loop power control scheme that is aware of the underlying density can reduce energy consumption while maintaining a minimum level of end-to-end delay.

[0040] The improved packet structure is illustrated in Figure 1. The packet 100 introduces a separate field for the hop number. See FIG. 1, "i." The source node sets the value of this field to 1. Every hop, relays increment this field by 1. Thus, the packet is relayed from source to destination over multiple hops, nodes in between the source and destination act as repeaters. The number of hops corresponds to the number of times the packet was transmitted before it reached the destination. So, indeed the transmission from the source node comprises the first hop. The transmission one of whose receivers is the destination is the last hop.

[0041] The other modification is done to the first OFDM symbol of the RACH area (110). The RACH 110 includes slots 120 and subcarriers 130.

[0042] As shown in the Figure, there are V_{Nc} subcarriers. Each subcarrier is now accessible to relays. Each relay randomly selects one of those subcarriers and modulates it with a time-domain pulse. Next-hop nodes will scan through V_{Nc} subcarriers using a simple energy detector. Detection of any subcarrier holding substantial energy will increment the hop number by one. A subcarrier is considered to have been modulated by a relay if the energy measured on that subcarrier exceeds a certain preset threshold. The value of such a threshold is determined according to the desired probability of detection and probability of false alarm.

[0043] For example, a multihop network may have multiple relays concurrently transmit the same packet. E.g., in the 4^{th} hop of the packet towards the destination, 8 relays may be concurrently transmitting the packet. What they will do is to increment the hop number field in the packet structure by 1 such that the field contains the value 5. A receiver now will utilize the information on the V_{Nc} subcarriers and will reach the conclusion that there were 8 relays in the 4^{th} hop.

[0044] Since V_{Nc} is relatively large, the probability of no collision is also relatively high. In other words, the estimated count is not far from reality. This is further demonstrated in Figure 2. Relays modulate their position information by randomly selecting one of the designated RACH slots $b_1 \ldots b_B$, as explained in the "An Efficient Multi-Carrier Position-Based Packet Forwarding Protocol for Wireless Sensory Network," article. Nodes choosing unique slots are labelled within this context as "resolvable."

[0045] The feature of dynamic allocation of RACH resources is discussed herein. A flowchart is shown in FIG. 3.

[0046] The size of the RACH area in terms of number of OFDM symbols is determined by the source node and is maintained throughout the packet's journey towards the destination. The number of nodes in the 2nd hop (K_2) as well as the last hop (K_q) are taken into consideration by the source node. The source node makes an estimate of K_2 by listening to the RACH area (e.g., receiving and detecting signals in the RACH area) during the packet transmission at the second hop. (FIG. 3, 210). As a receiver in the last

hop, the destination may conveniently construct an estimate of K_q. The value of K_q is sent back to the source in a separate packet. (FIG. 3, 220). It can be shown that through simulation that the series

$$\{K_i\}_{i=1}^{q}$$

is generally an increasing monotone such that

$$\max\{K_i\}_{i=1}^{q} = K_q$$

As such, knowledge of K_2 and K_q only is sufficient for the source node on the evolution of the number of relays hop after hop.

[0047] Thus, the intensity of relays involved in forwarding the packet is a direct indication of the network node density. Consequently, the source can make an educated estimate on an optimized RACH allocation. In this invention, the source node will increase the size for the RACH area if it happens to be in a dense network. (FIG. 3, 230). This will ensure that the number of non-resolvable relays each hop is reduced. As a result, the probability of having relays which offer non-positive progress is reduced. This can be shown to result in saving energy consumed per packet. It also downsizes the interference footprint per packet particularly for narrow forwarding strip widths. This is true since adjustment in the size of the RACH area typically impact K_{i-} much more than K_i. In other words, it does not really affect the number relays offering positive progress. Further, the impact of those K_{i-} relays is only a small portion compared to K_i. As such, the number of hops q does not increase a lot. Subsequently, the end-to-end delay is only marginally affected. The dynamic allocation approach described above is suited for traffic with short length packets, e.g. video streaming. Any savings in terms of packet overhead proves to be really valuable.

[0048] The feature of open-loop power control, which is performed by each relay, is discussed herein. The flow chart is shown in FIG. 4.

[0049] The first objective is that, in case of high node density, end-to-end delay target can be easily met at lower transmit power levels. Therefore, it is beneficiary to reduce power levels so as to avoid large interference footprints and consequently enhance the

network throughput performance. On the other hand, in case of low node density, increasing the power level becomes mandatory to maintain the end-to-end delay within acceptable ranges.

[0050] The second objective here is to preserve energy; a precious resource for mobile terminals. Reducing transmit power reduces substantial the energy consumption causing only marginal impact on the delay performance.

[0051] A relay makes an estimate of the number of previous-hop relays by scanning the respective subcarriers within the RACH area. (FIG. 4, 310). For example, the packet is a data structure along the time dimension and the frequency dimension. The smallest unit in this packet is one time slot by one frequency subcarrier. Thus, in this example, the term "area" is defined by time-frequency unit. It also takes note of the hop number. (FIG. 4, 320). The latter is important simply because it qualifies whether a large number of relays corresponds to high density or is simply due to the packet having traversed many hops already. We recall here that the number of relays increases every hop. Using this information, the transmit power level is set based on preset look-up tables. (FIG. 4, 330). For example, the look-up table includes two columns: : the first is the number of relays, the second is the transmit power corresponding to the number of relays. Generally speaking, the larger the number of relays the smaller the transmit power would be. The determination of the optimal transmit power levels is an offline task done by the network designer taking into consideration various parameters such as PHY bit rate, target packet error rate, end-to-end delay, end-to-end energy consumption, …etc.

[0052] One aspect of present invention provides feature-based assessment of a channel. A node wishing to access the channel performs an analysis of the interference signal's features. Using such an approach, it gains valuable knowledge about its vicinity in comparison to the case where only traditional assessment techniques are used. The improved scheme increases the throughput performance and reduces of channel access delay. A direct consequence of this is the reduction of jitter, which is one of the major concerns within the context of multimedia content delivery.

[0053] A source node assesses a channel before accessing it. A channel model is illustrated in Figure 5. See Bader, Ahmed et al., "An Efficient Multi-Carrier Position-Based Packet Forwarding Protocol for Wireless Sensory Network," IEEE Transaction on

Wireless Communications, Volume 11, no. 1 (January 2012), the content of which is incorporated by reference in its entirety. Very often, the observing node (the source node) will lie in the vicinity of a packet transmission featuring a large number of relays. Under such circumstances, the features of the resulting total interference signal are dominated by that transmission. In fact, it is quite likely to be in such a situation. The probability mass function (PMF) of the number of relays per transmission is plotted in Figure 6 for various node densities. As illustrated in the figure, transmissions with large K are quite probable particularly at higher node densities. Under such circumstances, the total interference signal will show noticeable correlation across the frequency domain. Such a correlation is best captured by the observing node by computing the normalized auto-covariance of the power spectral density (PSD) of the total interference signal. This can be conveniently accomplished by exploiting the fact that nodes readily deploy Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) circuits which are required for the operation of the OFDM PHY. There are various algorithms in practice for the computation of the FFT. However, they are almost all based around the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) which is a well-known algorithm. The normalized auto-covariance is computed by the observing node as follows:

$$X_{cov}(c) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{X_0}\sum_{n=0}^{N_s-c-1}\left(P_I(n+c)-\overline{P}_I\right)\left(P_I(n)-\overline{P}_I\right) & ,c=0\ldots N_s-1 \\ X_{cov}(-c) & ,c=-N_s\ldots 0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $\overline{P}_I = \frac{1}{N_s}\sum_{i=0}^{N_s-1}P_I(i)$ and $X_0 = \sum_{n=0}^{N_s-1}\left(P_I(n)-\overline{P}_I\right)^2$.

[0054] In contrast, the interference PSD in the case of single-relay systems features very low correlation across the frequency domain. In fact, the more concurrent single-relay transmissions there are, the less correlation is observed. Sample realizations of the interference PSD for both cases are shown in FIGS. 7a and 7b.

[0055] A simple yet effective characterization of the correlation featured by X_{cov} is the first zero-crossing. The larger the number of relays K is, the larger this zero-crossing is expected to be. The improved scheme developed in this invention further introduces an additional feature. The busy tone (BT) signal is conventionally a continuous analog signal. In our case, the BT signal is modulated with the position of the destination node.

[0056] The ability of the observing node to detect the presence of a "large-K" transmission and to know the destination of that transmission opens the door wide for

more channel access opportunities. This is illustrated in Figure 8. An exposed node utilizing classical channel assessment schemes will refrain from accessing the channel if it lies inside the back-off zone. The back-off zone is composed of two subzones, BO_{DATA} and BO_{BT}, corresponding to the data tones back-off zone and busy tone back-off zone respectively. This is true even if the node lies outside the coverage perimeter of the nearby packet transmission. This is where feature detection lends itself to a more opportunistic channel access process.

[0057] In one aspect of present invention, a node determines whether it is in a back-off zone by means of an "energy detector." For the data tones back-off zone, the energy detector measures the aggregate energy level on those tones, outputs the average and compares it to a preset threshold. If larger than the threshold, the node decides that it is inside the data tones back-off zone.

[0058] An exposed node utilizing the improved assessment scheme of this invention first measures the PSD at the output of the FFT block. It then computes the first zero-crossing, denoted by n_{f_0}, of X_{cov}. Denoting the decision threshold by n_T, then if $n_{f_0} > n_T$ the node declares the channel as being one with a large number of relays.

[0059] The threshold n_T setting depends on few factors such as the typical traffic load, node density, large-scale path loss coefficient, among other parameters. One way to set the threshold at a meaningful value is by means of simulations. One aspect of present invention provides that for typical network scenarios, setting $n_T = 6$ is a reasonable choice.

[0060] Accordingly, it knows that injecting a new packet into the network is unlikely to interfere with the ongoing nearby transmission. At the same time, it is likely to find a few potential receivers. Such a scenario is applicable to node A in Figure 8. Here, w is the forwarding strip width. L is the number of receivers for that packet transmission. As such, the number of nodes energizing the busy tone is also L. The coverage perimeter is simply the perimeter of the geographical area where the packet transmission can be received correctly. Additional information may be found in the incorporated "An Efficient Multi-Carrier Position-Based Packet Forwarding Protocol for Wireless Sensory Network" article.

[0061] For node B however, the improved algorithm introduces an additional stage in

the decision-making process. Node B might not be able to properly characterize the PSD of the interference signal, since it lies outside BO_{DATA}. Nevertheless, it will be able to extract the position of the transmission's destination. The angle between the observing node's destination and that of the ongoing transmission is denoted by $\Delta\Theta_{dst}$. The observing node decides to transmit if $|\Delta\Theta_{dst}| > \pi / 2$.

[0062] The various decision-making cases for this improved channel assessment scheme are highlighted in Table I below:

TABLE I

DECISION TABLE FOR CHANNEL ACCESS

Case	in BO_{DATA}	in BO_{BT}	Large K	Prior Art	Improved Scheme
1	n	n	n	transmit	transmit
2	n	n	y	transmit	keep listening to the channel for a period $< T_p$ then re-assess.
3	n	y	-	back off	extract destination position from the BT signal. if $\Delta\theta_{BT} > \frac{\pi}{3}$ then transmit, otherwise back off.
4	y	n	n	back off	back off
5	y	n	y	back off	transmit
6	y	y	n	back off	back off
7	y	y	y	back off	back off

[0063] Case 3 of Table I may occur in lightly-loaded networks. For the sake of extracting the position of the destination, the observing node needs to switch from simple energy detection to coherent channel assessment. The node needs to synchronize with the BT signal in that case.

[0064] For Case 2, the packet flow is very likely to be moving away from the observing node. For a higher degree of confidence, it may be possible to extend the channel sensing activity for a few more samples (extended period) and then re-assess.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A source node in a multihop network, comprising:
a circuit that determines whether a channel is occupied by a packet transmission meeting predetermined criteria;
wherein the source node determines whether to transmit in the channel based on the determination that the channel is occupied by a packet transmission meeting predetermined criteria; wherein the criteria is based on a normalized auto-covariance of the power spectral density (PSD) of a total interference signal.
2. The source node according to claim 1, wherein the source node further determines whether it is in a data tones back-off zone by averaging aggregate energy levels on the data tones, and determines whether to transmit in the channel based on whether the node is in the data tones back-off zone.
3. The source node according to claim 1, wherein the source node further determines whether it is in a busy tone back-off zone by detecting a busy tone, and determines whether to transmit in the channel based on whether the node is in the busy tone zone.
4. The source node according to claim 3, wherein the busy tone is modulated with position information of a destination node.
5. The source node according to claim 2, wherein the source node further determines whether it is in a busy tone back-off zone by detecting a busy tone, and determines whether to transmit in the channel based on whether the node is in the busy tone back-off zone.
6. The source node according to claim 5, wherein the busy tone is modulated with position information of a destination node.
7. The source node according to claim 1, wherein the power spectral density is measured at an output of a Fast Fourier Transform circuit.
8. The source node according to claim 7, wherein source node computes a first zero-crossing of the normalized auto-covariance of the power spectral density, and compares the first zero-crossing with a predetermined number to determine whether the channel is occupied by a packet transmission meeting the predetermined criteria.
9. The source node according to claim 8, wherein the predetermined number is based on typical traffic load, node density, or large-scale path loss coefficient.

10. The source node according to claim 5, wherein the source node transmits in the channel in a case that the channel is not occupied by a packet transmission meeting the predetermined criteria, the source node is not in the data tones back-off zone, and the source node is not in the busy tone back-off zone.

11. The source node according to claim 5, wherein the source node listens to the channel for an extended period and re-assess in a case that the channel is occupied by a packet transmission meeting the predetermined criteria, the source node is not in the data tones back-off zone, and the source node is in the busy tone back-off zone.

12. The source node according to claim 5, wherein the source node extracts a destination position from the busy tone, and transmits in the channel if an angle between the source node's destination and that of an ongoing transmission is $> \pi / 2$, in a case the source node is in the data tones back-off zone, and the source node is in the busy tone back-off zone.

13. The source node according to claim 5, wherein the source node does not transmit in a channel in a case that the channel is not occupied by a packet transmission meeting the predetermined criteria, the source node is not in the data tones back-off zone, and the source node is in the busy tone back-off zone.

14. The source node according to claim 5, wherein the source node transmits in the channel in a case that the channel is occupied by a packet transmission meeting the predetermined criteria, the source node is in the data tones back-off zone, and the source node is not in the busy tone back-off zone.

15. The source node according to claim 5, wherein the source node does not transmit in the channel in a case that the channel is not occupied by a packet transmission meeting the predetermined criteria, the source node is in the data tones back-off zone, and the source node is in the busy tone back-off zone.

16. The source node according to claim 5, wherein the source node does not transmit in the channel in a case that the channel is occupied by a packet transmission meeting the predetermined criteria, the source node is in the data tones back-off zone, and the source node is in the busy tone back-off zone.

17. A method for operating a source node in a multihop network, comprising:

determining whether a channel is occupied by a packet by a packet transmission meeting predetermined criteria;

wherein the source node determines whether to transmit in the channel based on the determination;

wherein the criteria is based on a normalized auto-covariance of the power spectral density (PSD) of a total interference signal.

18. The method according to claim 17, further comprising:

determining whether it is in a data tones back-off zone by detecting energy on the data tones, and determining whether to transmit in the channel based on whether the node is in the data tones back-off zone.

19. The method according to claim 17, further comprising:

determining whether it is in a busy tone back-off zone by detecting a busy tone, and determining whether to transmit in the channel based on whether the node is in the busy tone back-off zone.

20. The method according to claim 19, wherein the busy tone is modulated with position information of a destination node.

21. The method according to claim 18, further comprising:

determining whether it is in a busy tone back-off zone by detecting a busy tone, and determining whether to transmit in the channel based on whether the node is in the busy tone back-off zone.

22. The method according to claim 21, wherein the busy tone is modulated with position information of a destination node.

23. The method according to claim 17, wherein the power spectral density is measured at an output of a Fast Fourier Transform circuit.

24. The method according to claim 23, further comprising:

computing a first zero-crossing of the normalized auto-covariance of the power spectral density, and comparing the first zero-crossing with the predetermined number to determine whether the channel is occupied by a packet transmission meeting the predetermined criteria.

25. The method according to claim 21, further comprising transmitting in the channel in a case that the channel is not occupied by a packet transmission meeting the predetermined criteria, the source node is not in the data tones back-off zone, and the source node is not in the busy tone back-off zone.

26. The method according to claim 21, further comprising listening to the channel for an extended period and re-assessing in a case that the channel is occupied by a packet transmission meeting the predetermined criteria, the source node is not in

the data tones back-off zone, and the source node is in the busy tone back-off zone.

27. The method according to claim 21, further comprising extracting a destination position from the busy tone, and transmitting in the channel if an angle between the source node's destination and that of an ongoing transmission is $> \pi / 2$, in a case that the source node is not in the data tones back-off zone, and the source node is in the busy tone back-off zone.

28. The method according to claim 21, further comprising not transmitting in the channel in a case that the channel is not occupied by a packet transmission meeting the predetermined criteria, the source node is in the data tones back-off zone, and the source node is not in the busy tone back-off zone.

29. The method according to claim 21, further comprising transmitting in the channel in a case that the channel is occupied by a packet transmission meeting the predetermined criteria, the source node is in the data tones back-off zone, and the source node is not in the busy tone back-off zone.

30. The method according to claim 21, further comprising not transmitting in the channel in a case that the channel is not occupied by a packet transmission meeting the predetermined criteria, the source node is in the data tones back-off zone, and the source node is in the busy tone back-off zone..

31. The method according to claim 21, further comprising not transmitting in the channel in a case that the channel is occupied by a packet transmission meeting the predetermined criteria, the source node is in the data tones back-off zone, and the source node is in the busy tone back-off zone.

32. A multihop network having a plurality of nodes relaying data, comprising:

one of the plurality of nodes transmits or receives a packet including a RACH area;

wherein the packet includes a hop number.

33. The multihop network of claim 32, wherein the RACH area further includes a list of subcarriers.

34. The multihop network of claim 33, wherein the RACH area further includes position information of a node transmitted the packet in a previous hop.

35. The multihop network of claim 34, wherein the node randomly selects one of the RACH area subcarriers.

36. The multihop network of claim 35, wherein the node modulates the selected subcarrier with a signal.
37. The multihop network of claim 36, wherein the signal is a time-domain signal.
38. The multihop network of claim 34, wherein a second node in the multihop network scans the RACH area subcarriers.
39. The multihop network of claim 38, wherein the second node in the multihop network scans subcarriers for energy levels.
40. The multihop network of claim 39, wherein in a case that the second node detects a subcarrier having an energy level meeting or exceeding a predetermined amount, the hop number is incremented.
41. The multihop network of claim 32, wherein the node is a wireless communication device.
42. The multihop network of claim 41, wherein the node is an OFDM wireless communication device.
43. A communication device transmits or receives data, wherein
the data includes a packet including a RACH area;
and wherein the packet includes a hop number.
44. The communication device of claim 43, wherein the RACH area further includes a list of subcarriers.
45. The communication device of claim 44, wherein the RACH area further includes position information of a communication device previously transmitted the data.
46. The communication device of claim 44, wherein the communication device transmits the data including the packet, and randomly selects one of the subcarriers.
47. The communication device of claim 46, wherein the communication device modulates the selected subcarrier with a signal.
48. The communication device of claim 47, wherein the signal is a time-domain signal.
49. The communication device of claim 43, wherein the communication device is a wireless communication device.
50. The communication device of claim 49, wherein the communication device is an OFDM wireless communication device.
51. The communication device of claim 46, wherein the communication device receives the data including the packet and scans the subcarriers.

52. The communication device of claim 51, wherein the communication device scans the subcarriers for energy levels.

53. The communication device of claim 51, wherein in a case that the communication device detects a subcarrier having an energy level meeting or exceeding a predetermined amount, the hop number is incremented.

54. A method for operating a multihop network, comprising:
transmitting a packet including a RACH area;
and wherein the packet includes a hop number.

55. The method according to claim 54, wherein the RACH area further includes a list of subcarriers.

56. The method according to claim 55, wherein the RACH area further includes position information of a communication device previously transmitted the data.

57. The method according to claim 54, further comprising:
selecting randomly, by a communication device in the multihop network, one of the subcarriers.

58. The method according to claim 57, further comprising:
modulating, by the communication device, the selected subcarrier with a signal.

59. The method according to claim 58, wherein the signal is a time-domain signal.

60. The method according to claim 56, wherein the communication device is a wireless communication device.

61. The method according to claim 60, wherein the communication device is an OFDM wireless communication device.

62. The method according to claim 28, further comprising:
receiving, by the communication device, the packet;
scanning, by the communication device, the subcarriers.

63. The method according to claim 62, wherein the communication device scans the subcarriers for energy levels.

64. The method according to claim 63, wherein in a case that the communication device detects a subcarrier having an energy level meeting or exceeding a predetermined amount, the hop number is incremented.

65. A source node in a multihop network, comprising:
a circuit that listens to a RACH area during a packet transmission at a second hop for a number of nodes of the second hop;

a circuit receives a number of nodes at a destination stage transmitted by a destination node; and

a circuit that determines a size of the RACH area based on the number of nodes of the second hop and the number of nodes at the destination stage.

66. The source node according to claim 65, wherein the size of the RACH area is constant for a plurality of hops.

67. A method to dynamically allocate a size of a RACH area of a packet, comprising:

listening, by a source node of a multihop network, a RACH area during a packet transmission at a second hop for a number of nodes of the second hop;

receiving, by the source node, a number of nodes at a destination stage transmitted by a destination node; and

determining a size of the RACH area based on the number of nodes of the second hop and the number of nodes at the destination stage.

68. The method according to claim 67, wherein the RACH area is constant for all hops.

69. A relay of a multihop network, comprising:

a circuit that scans subcarriers in a RACH area to estimate a number of previous-hop relays;

a circuit that receives or transmits a packet including a hop number; and

a circuit that determines a transmit power level based on the number of previous-hop relays and the hop number.

70. The relay according to claim 69, wherein the transmit power level is determined based on a lookup table.

71. A method for open-loop transmit power control, comprising;

scanning, by a relay in a multihop network, subcarriers in a RACH area,

estimating, by the relay, a number of previous hop relay based on the scanning;

receiving and transmitting a packet including a hop number;

determining a transmit power level based on the number of previous-hop relays and the hop number.

72. The method according to claim 71, wherein the transmit power level is determined based on a lookup table.



100
frequency domain
sync, pilots & preamble
packet ID
BACH area
packet payload
CRC
time domain
Field containing hop number
110
120
130

FIG. 1



probability of estimate matching real relay count
1
0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2
0
4
6
8
10
12
14
number of RACH subcarriers, v_{N_c}
64
80
96
112
128
144
160
176
192
208
224
240
256
number of relays

FIG. 2



A source node listens to RACH area during packet transmission at a second hop to estimate the number of nodes in the next hop
210
The source node receives the number of nodes of the destination stage from a destination note
220
The source node determines a size of RACH area based on the number of nodes of the next hop and the number of nodes at the destination stage
230

FIG. 3



A relay scans the subcarriers in the RACH area to estimate the number of previous-hop relays
310
Relay takes note of the hop number in a packet
320
The relay determines transmit power level, using a lookup table, based on the number of previous-hop relays and the hop number
330

FIG. 4



$H_1(t)$
T_1
T
T
T
$h_{1,1}$
$h_{1,2}$
h_{1,n_R}
$I_1(t)$
T_1
Σ
$n(t)$
T_K
T
T
T
$h_{K_{t-1},1}$
$h_{K_{t-1},2}$
h_{K_{t-1},n_R}
Σ
Σ
Σ
$r(t)$
110
$I_M(t)$
$H_M(t)$

FIG. 5



probability mass function (pmf), p_K(k)
number of relays, K
ρ=1500 km^-2
ρ=1200 km^-2
ρ=900 km^-2
0
0.01
0.02
0.03
0.04
0.05
0.06
0.07
5
10
15
20
25
30
35

FIG. 6



auto-covariance of interference PSD, multi-relay
1
0.5
0
-0.5
-100
-50
0
50
100
Δ f
1
0.5
0
-0.5
-5
0
5

FIG. 7a



auto-covariance of interference PSD, single-relay system
1
0.5
0
-0.5
-100
-50
0
50
100
Δ f
1
0.5
0
-0.5
-5
0
5

FIG. 7b



BO_{DATA}
coverage perimeter
BO_{BT}
w
K
L
B
A
$x_{H_{i-2}}(0)$
$x_{H_{i-1}}(0)$
$x_{H_i}(0)$
exposed nodes

FIG. 8



A relay scans the subcarriers in the RACH area to estimate the number of previous-hop relays
310
Relay takes note of the hop number in a packet
320
The relay determines transmit power level, using a lookup table, based on the number of previous-hop relays and the hop number
330

FIG. 4