



(11) **EP 2 206 558 A1**

(12) **EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

(43) Date of publication:
14.07.2010 Bulletin 2010/28

(51) Int Cl.:
B03C 1/22 (2006.01)

(21) Application number: **10155597.7**

(22) Date of filing: **13.07.2006**

(84) Designated Contracting States:
AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR HU IE IS IT LI LT LU LV MC NL PL PT RO SE SI SK TR

- **Berkhout, Simon Peter Maria**
2636 BC, Schipluiden (NL)
- **Fraunholcz, Otto Norbert**
2716 LL, Zoetermeer (NL)

(62) Document number(s) of the earlier application(s) in accordance with Art. 76 EPC:
06117130.2 / 1 878 505

(74) Representative: **Van Breda, Jacobus Octrooibureau Los & Stigter B.V., Weteringschans 96 1017 XS Amsterdam (NL)**

(71) Applicants:
• **Technische Universiteit Delft**
2628 CN Delft (NL)
• **Recycling Avenue**
2628 AL Delft (NL)

Remarks:


This application was filed on 05-03-2010 as a divisional application to the application mentioned under INID code 62.

(72) Inventors:
• **Rem, Peter Carlo**
2288 AB, Rijswijk (NL)

(54) **Process and device for the separation of fragments of liberated ferrous scrap from not liberated ferrous scrap fragments by means of a static magnet**

(57) The invention relates to a process and device for the separation of fragments of liberated ferrous scrap from not liberated ferrous scrap fragments by means of a static magnet, wherein a mixture of said liberated ferrous and not liberated ferrous fragments is fed onto a

continuous conveyor belt which is driven around drums and wherein said magnet is fixed in the drum distant from the feeding point, wherein a magnet is used which is preferably a dipole magnet having magnetic field lines in the separation zone predominantly parallel to the belt surface.



FIGURE

Description

[0001] The present invention relates to a process and device for the separation of fragments of liberated ferrous scrap from not liberated ferrous scrap fragments by means of a static magnet.

[0002] Basically, the present invention relates to the dramatic reduction of the copper content in liberated ferrous scrap in particular in steel scrap.

[0003] Steel scrap is produced, among others, from end-of-life consumer products, such as cars as well as electric and electronic appliances at car shredders and waste from energy plants.

[0004] When these products are shredded in order to recycle the steel, scrap particles are passed through a magnetic separator to recover the liberated ferrous or steel particles. The term liberated scrap means fragments which essentially do not contain materials other than iron and steel. The term not liberated ferrous scrap refers to fragments containing other materials in particular copper. Furthermore, ferrous scrap obtained from shredders contain both liberated and not liberated scrap. This "shredder steel scrap", obtained according to the prior art separation methods, is sold to steel manufacturers to be re-melted and processed into new steel products.

[0005] To date, steelmakers require the copper content of steel scrap be less than 0.2 wt-%, preferably less than 0.1 wt-%. However, shredder ferrous or steel scrap as currently produced by the magnetic separation at shredder yards often contains more copper, up to 2 wt-%. This is because end-of-life consumer products contain an increasing amount of copper containing parts, in which copper and steel are intimately integrated, and therefore not liberated, such as electric motor armatures and transformers. The average copper content of such parts is about 20 wt-%. Hence, there is a need to dramatically reduce the copper content of such liberated ferrous or steel scrap prior to re-melting.

[0006] During the last decade, the threshold value of copper in steel scrap set by steelmakers has gone down from 0.25 wt-% to 0.2 wt-%. In the same period, the amount of copper containing parts in steel scrap has substantially risen, up to about 20 wt-%, as a result of design changes of durable consumer goods and passenger vehicles.

[0007] The removal of copper from steel scrap can be achieved metallurgically, by hand sorting or by physical separation. The metallurgical method is very costly. On the other hand, despite its obvious disadvantages, hand sorting is a widely applied method today. However, the costs of hand sorting rise sharply with both throughput and copper content.

[0008] To date, two proposed methods to reduce the copper content of liberated ferrous material or steel scrap by physical separation means are known. One method is to further fragmentize the scrap so that the copper is liberated from the steel and can be separated by conventional magnetic separation devices. At current prices, this route costs approx. 20 euro/ton scrap and is very energy-intensive.

[0009] A second method, which eliminates the need of an additional shredding step, was described by Peace in GB 1.602.279.

[0010] According to this document, the liberated ferrous scrap fragments are separated from the not liberated ferrous scrap fragments by means of a static magnet wherein a mixture of said liberated ferrous and not liberated ferrous fragments is fed to a continuous conveyor belt which is driven around drums and wherein said magnet is fixed in the drum distant from the feeding point.

[0011] Said magnet is attracting liberated ferromagnetic material towards the belt as it passes around the drum, which device comprising a plurality of magnet poles extending around the interior of the drum for substantially 180°, wherein the uppermost pole being positioned at an angle of at least 15° to the vertical through the axis of the drum in the direction of belt travel.

[0012] The speed of the conveyor belt may not exceed 500 feet per minute (150 m/s).

[0013] WO 88/05696 discloses a process for separating magnetic ore particles from non-magnetic particles using a short belt magnetic separator having a pulley head with axial pole permanent magnets located within said pulley head, said magnets being mounted in a fixed position within said pulley head during operation of said separator.

[0014] Said axial pole magnets within the pulley head are positioned so that said magnets extend along an arc beginning at a location spaced at least one degree beyond the point of tangency T of an upper surface of the belt with the pulley head.

[0015] US-A-3,057,477 relates to an apparatus for the sorting of tablets or pills which include a core of magnetically susceptible or paramagnetic material contained within an outer coating or shell. It is the object of US-A-3,057,477 to sort out pills having comprised therein a core of traceable small quantities of paramagnetic or magnetically susceptible material as opposed to pills without such a core. To this end US-A-3,057,477 employs a conveyor belt over which the pills are transported whereby at the end of the conveyor belt a magnetic field is applied which is established by placing electrical coils that are provided with pole pieces next to the belt and adjacent thereto. The resulting magnetic field lines follow therefore a path transverse to the conveying direction of the belt.

[0016] EP-A-0 455 948 shows a separating device for separating weakly magnetisable material from non-magnetisable material which is fed in a mixture at a feeding point to a continuous belt driven around drums for the transportation of the mixture to a separation zone. In said separation zone magnetic field lines of a magnet are present, and the magnet to be employed therefore is required to be strong in order to attract the weakly magnetisable materials such as steel-qualities that are normally not magnetisable but may have become weakly magnetisable by mechanical agitation resulting

in a transition of the steel-structure from austenite to martensite. EP-A-0 455 948 is however not concerned with the separation of strongly magnetisable materials such as iron, nickel and nickel alloys.

[0017] Now, the present invention relates to a process and device for the separation of liberated ferrous scrap fragments from not liberated ferrous scrap fragments by using a single magnet rather than a plurality of magnets from the above discussed prior art, wherein said magnet is fixed in the drum distant from the feeding point, having magnetic field lines in the separation zone which are predominantly parallel to the belt surface.

[0018] It appeared surprisingly that the magnetic field geometry in the separation zone is important for the separation process, in that a substantial better separation is obtained compared to any other field line orientation.

[0019] Furthermore, satisfactory results are obtained by the use of a cylindrical dipole magnet.

[0020] The invention also relates to a device for the separation of fragments of liberated ferrous scrap from not liberated ferrous scrap in a separation zone, which device is provided with a first drum and a second drum and a continuous belt for the transportation of a mixture of liberated and not liberated scrap to the separation zone, wherein said magnet is a dipole magnet in the drum distant from the feeding point. Said dipole magnet, is cylindrical and furthermore the position of said magnet in the drum is such that the magnetic field lines are predominantly parallel to the surface of said belt.

[0021] Reference is made to the table below wherein examples are given from magnetic field line orientation to belt surface. In the table, mention is made of a low-copper fraction and a copper-rich fraction.

[0022] It is noted that the copper content of the feed is 1.5 wt-%.

TABLE

magnetic field line orientation to belt surface	low-copper fraction		copper-rich fraction	
	copper content [wt-%]	steel recovery [wt-%]	copper content [wt-%]	steel recovery [wt-%]
parallel	0.18	82.9	8.0	17.1
perpendicular	0.40	85.0	8.1	15.0
intermediate	0.55	77.6	5.0	22.4

[0023] It appears from the above table that there are used three magnetic field line orientations, i.e. parallel, perpendicular and intermediate.

[0024] As it can be seen from the table, the parallel orientation of the magnetic field line gives the best result relating to a copper content of the recovered steel fraction, i.e. only about 0,18 wt-% copper versus iron recovery of about 82.9 wt-%.

[0025] The copper content of the copper-rich fraction obtained by the centrifugal forces is about 8.0 wt-% versus about 17.1 wt-% iron recovery in the parallel orientation of the magnetic field lines.

[0026] From the table it can be seen that the perpendicular and intermediate magnetic field line orientation do result in a copper content in the low-copper fraction of 0.40 and 0.55 wt-% respectively.

[0027] From the above table it clearly follows that the parallel magnetic field line orientation is preferred.

[0028] Furthermore, the present invention will be illustrated by the enclosed Figure.

[0029] The Figure shows the preferred embodiment of the device 1 of the invention for the separation of fragments of liberated ferrous scrap from not liberated ferrous scrap.

[0030] The liberated and not liberated scrap mixture is fed to the continuous conveyor belt 4 at drum 2 for the transportation of said scrap mixture with a belt speed of 2 to 5 m/s, preferably 3 to 4 m/s, and most preferably 3.5 m/s to the separation zone 6. By means of centrifugal forces the copper-rich fraction will be separated from the liberated ferrous scrap fraction, whereas the liberated ferromagnetic fragments carried around the drum 3 will leave the conveyor belt at a later stage.

[0031] In order to obtain a more satisfactory separation according to the invention the magnetic field strength should be in balance with the speed of the conveyor belt. Usually the magnetic field strength is 0.10-0.15 Tesla at the belt surface at a belt speed of 3.5 m/s. At a lower belt speed the optimum magnetic field strength will be lower whereas at a higher speed the magnetic field strength should be higher than 0.10-0.15 Tesla. Generally the magnetic field strength is proportional to the belt speed.


[0032] The Figure further shows the separation zone 6 and some of the magnetic field lines 7. The arrow 8 shows the

belt travel direction.

[0033] The magnet, preferably a dipole magnet, which is furthermore preferably a cylindrical magnet, 5 is fixed in the drum 3. The capital letters N and S refer to north and south of the dipole magnet. For an appropriate working the north and south of the dipole magnet may be interchanged, so that N is in the down and S is in the upper section, provided that the magnetic field lines are predominantly parallel to the surface of said belt.

Claims

1. A separation process for separating liberated ferrous scrap from non-liberated ferrous scrap from a mixture of said liberated and non-liberated ferrous scrap, which mixture is fed at a feeding point on a continuous conveyor belt (4) which is driven around drums (2, 3) for the transportation of said scrap mixture to a separation zone (6), and wherein a magnet (5) is used having magnetic field lines (7) in said separation zone (6), **characterized in that** the magnet (5) is a single magnet placed in the drum (3) distant from the feeding point, in a manner to cause that the magnetic field lines (7) are predominantly parallel to the surface of the belt (4) in the separation zone (6).
2. A separation process according to claim 1, **characterized in that** the magnet (5) is a cylindrical dipole magnet.
3. A separation process according to claim 1 or 2, **characterized in that** in use the belt speed is 2 to 5 m/s, preferably 3 to 4 m/s, most preferably 3,5 m/s and that the magnetic field strength is proportional to the belt speed and arranged such that the magnet (5) has a magnetic field strength of about 0.10 - 0.15 Tesla at said belt speed of 3.5 m/s.
4. A separating-device (1) for separating liberated ferrous scrap from non-liberated ferrous scrap from a mixture of said liberated and non-liberated ferrous scrap, wherein said device has a magnet (5) and a continuous belt (4) onto which at a feeding point said mixture is fed, and which continuous belt (4) is driven around drums (2, 3) for the transportation of the scrap mixture to a separation zone (6), wherein magnetic field lines (7) of said magnet (5) are comprised in said separation zone (6), **characterized in that** the magnet (5) is a single magnet placed in the drum (3) distant from the feeding point, which is arranged such that the magnetic field lines (7) are predominantly parallel to the belt (4) surface in the separation zone (6).
5. A device (1) according to claim 4, **characterized in that** the magnet (5) is a cylindrical dipole magnet.
6. A device (1) according to anyone of the claims 4 or 5, **characterized in that** in use the belt speed is 2 to 5 m/s, preferably 3 to 4 m/s, most preferably 3.5 m/s and that the magnetic field strength is proportional to the belt speed and arranged such that the magnet (5) has a magnetic field strength of about 0.10 - 0.15 Tesla at said belt speed of 3.5 m/s.



FIGURE



EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 10 15 5597

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (IPC)
X	EP 0 455 948 A (LINDEMANN MASCHFAB GMBH [DE]) 13 November 1991 (1991-11-13) * column 7, line 2 - line 9 * -----	1-6	INV. B03C1/22
X	US 2 690 263 A (EDWARD BOX WILLIAM ET AL) 28 September 1954 (1954-09-28) * column 1, line 48 - column 2, line 21 * -----	1-6	
X	US 3 057 477 A (MAXIMILIANO RAPPAPORT) 9 October 1962 (1962-10-09) * figure 3 * -----	1,4	
X	DE 10 51 752 B (GERD RAYHRER DR ING) 5 March 1959 (1959-03-05) * figure 1 * -----	1,4	
A,D	WO 88/05696 A (USS ENG & CONSULT [US]) 11 August 1988 (1988-08-11) * abstract * -----	1-6	
A,D	GB 1 602 279 A (BRITISH STEEL CORP) 11 November 1981 (1981-11-11) * abstract * -----	1-6	
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (IPC) B03C
Place of search The Hague		Date of completion of the search 3 June 2010	Examiner Demol, Stefan
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document		T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document	

1
EPO FORM 1503 03.02 (P04C01)

**ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

EP 10 15 5597

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

03-06-2010

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0455948	A	13-11-1991	DE 4014969 A1	14-11-1991
US 2690263	A	28-09-1954	NONE	
US 3057477	A	09-10-1962	DE 1147349 B FR 1225338 A	30-06-1960
DE 1051752	B	05-03-1959	NONE	
WO 8805696	A	11-08-1988	EP 0346364 A1 US 4781821 A	20-12-1989 01-11-1988
GB 1602279	A	11-11-1981	NONE	

REFERENCES CITED IN THE DESCRIPTION

This list of references cited by the applicant is for the reader's convenience only. It does not form part of the European patent document. Even though great care has been taken in compiling the references, errors or omissions cannot be excluded and the EPO disclaims all liability in this regard.

Patent documents cited in the description

- GB 1602279 A [0009]
- WO 8805696 A [0013]
- US 3057477 A [0015]
- EP 0455948 A [0016]