Abstract: The invention relates to a baby feeding bottle (10) and an assembly thereof. The baby feeding bottle includes a container (22) and a teat unit. The teat unit includes a teat (12), a teat support (14) and means (18) for piercing a membrane (24) arranged on the mouth of the container (22). The teat unit is configured so that the means (18) for piercing the membrane (24) pierce the membrane (24) when the teat unit, or part thereof, is moved, in use, towards the membrane so that the baby feed is accessible through the teat.
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