

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
9 December 2004 (09.12.2004)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2004/107703 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **H04L 29/06**,
G06F 1/00

(74) Agent: **ROCKE, Carsten**; Müller-Boré & Partner, Grafinger
Strasse 2, 81671 München (DE).

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/EP2004/005750

(81) Designated States (*unless otherwise indicated, for every
kind of national protection available*): AE, AG, AL, AM,
AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN,
CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI,
GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE,
KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD,
MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG,
PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM,
TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM,
ZW.

(22) International Filing Date: 27 May 2004 (27.05.2004)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
10/447,680 28 May 2003 (28.05.2003) US

(84) Designated States (*unless otherwise indicated, for every
kind of regional protection available*): ARIPO (BW, GH,
GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM,
ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM),
European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI,
FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI,
SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ,
GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

(71) Applicant (*for all designated States except US*): **SAP
AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT** [DE/DE]; Neurottstrasse
16, 69190 Walldorf (DE).

(72) Inventors; and


(75) Inventors/Applicants (*for US only*): **BUCHHOLZ,
Cristina** [RO/DE]; Hans-Hohlbein-Strasse 1, 69190 Wall-
dorf (DE). **BUCHHOLZ, Frank** [DE/DE]; Metzgarten
11, 69168 Wiesloch (DE).

Published:

— with international search report

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: METHODS FOR ROLE BASED AUTHORIZATION DATA MODEL



(57) Abstract: An authorization data model factors roles into generic roles, e.g. Role 1, and responsibilities e.g. Responsibility 1, using these attributes at run-time to complete an authorization process based on non-static privileges associated with currently defined roles and responsibilities. Multiple applications collect current variable authorization information at run-time, when prompted by a user (user1, user2, user3) request to access a protected resource, from an external central repository (Central Authorization Repository) that maintains updated generic role and responsibility information independent of user identity, thus replacing a fixed authorization structure with a flexible wild-card based model.

WO 2004/107703 A1



-
- *before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments*
- For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

METHODS FOR ROLE BASED AUTHORIZATION OF DATA ACCESS

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

The present application is related to an application entitled "An Authorization Mechanism," filed in the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office by Cristina Buchholz on February 21, 2003, Serial No. 10/372,030, which application in its entirety is incorporated
5 by reference herein.

TECHNICAL FIELD

This invention relates to information technology security sometimes referred to as e-security, and more particularly to authorization management within the context of information technology.

BACKGROUND

10 The working environment of e-business is characterized by open networks and cross-company business transactions, replacing closed, monolithic systems with intrinsic security mechanisms. In the world of Web services in eCommerce, access will depend more and more on authorization. In this environment, ways of rationalizing the
15 authorization process and authorization status will be key.

Existing solutions for authorization management share a common constraint: they are all tailored to particular applications. Consequently, every time a new application is introduced into the corporate landscape, the user management tool has to create yet another adaptor for it. In most cases, the connection to a central user management tool
20 also requires a plug-in to be installed in the software in order to accomplish the connection. While the user and current role information is centrally kept, because the information has to be prepared by and immediately available to each connected system, there is likely to be redundant storage. For example, where the same users have essentially the same roles and authorizations on different systems, the same user
25 information may wind up being stored separately for multiple systems.

The amount of user information that must be handled is further exacerbated by the need to define and maintain separate roles for each distinct position within the organization, each distinct role being understood as a specific collection of privileges associated with a particular position. While user administration can rely on these roles
30 for administering access rights, the advantages realized by the use of roles, in terms of

easier inclusion of new users and grouping of function-related authorizations, are overridden by the huge number of roles to be maintained for even a medium-sized organization. Merely creating derived roles does not solve this problem of proliferation. In the case where the individual's actual role is merely a qualified version of a higher order role, the derived or qualified role merely gives rise to still another discretely defined role associated on an *ad hoc* basis with a specific privilege set for a specific position. Derived roles thus do not avoid proliferating data to be analyzed and maintained by the user management tools.

SUMMARY

The invention is based on an authorization data model that uses generic roles and, if applicable, responsibilities at run-time to complete an authorization process based on non-static privileges associated with the currently defined roles and responsibilities associated with a given user. In one aspect of the invention, when prompted by a user request to access a protected resource, such as a data file, via an application, the application collects information at run-time about the user's currently defined role, e.g., sales manager, and privileges associated with that role, dynamically decides whether the user is authorized to access a given protected resource based on the current variable role-based information, rather than the user's identity, collected at run-time. In a preferred system, the collection of this authorization information is accomplished by querying a central authorization data repository external to the application. The central authorization repository preferably stores and maintains dynamically variable role data defining generic roles that can be associated with multiple users and assigns users to said generic roles, more than one user being assignable to a given role. The role data can be altered from time to time to change a definition of a given role independently of user associations, and preferably independently of the respective responsibilities of users associated with a given generic role or responsibility. The repository associates privileges with said roles based on their current respective definitions. The information collected from said repository by the application includes the current variable value of the privilege status, with respect to the sought-after protected resource or type of resource, associated with the currently defined role assigned to the user requesting access.

In addition to roles, the repository can store and maintain generic responsibilities, e.g., sales territory, in the same manner described for roles, the responsibilities being variable, independently of the roles and users, and assignable to multiple users.

5 In the preferred system, the users are assigned to roles and responsibilities by decomposing a given user's positional functions and responsibilities into basic actions and objects to which the actions are applied, mapping the actions and objects onto respective generic roles and responsibilities stored in said repository, and assigning the respective roles and responsibilities to the user.

10 In another aspect of the invention, multiple applications collect current, variable authorization information at run-time from an external central repository that maintains updated generic role and, if applicable, generic responsibility information independent of user identity, thus replacing a fixed authorization structure with a flexible wild-card based model. Upon receiving requests for authorization at a plurality of applications from users seeking access to protected resources, the applications independently collect respective
15 authorization information at run-time from a central repository containing user information, the user information including non-static roles whose definitions and corresponding privileges are variable, independently of the users associated with said roles. The applications base decisions on access to protected resources on the current authorization information collected at run time in response to a given request.

20 Still another aspect of the invention comprises an authorization information management process comprising storing in a central repository dynamically variable role data defining generic roles that can be associated with multiple users, along with responsibility data in the same manner, if applicable. In this process privileges are associated with the roles or responsibilities based on their current respective definitions.
25 When queried by an external application, the current variable value of the privilege status, with respect to a given protected resource or type of resource, associated with the currently defined role, and responsibility, if applicable, assigned to a user, is made available at run-time to the respective external application requesting access authorization for a user who seeks access to said protected resource via said application. In the
30 preferred system, the central repository runs on and is maintained by the same system that hosts the user management system or directory, such as the human resources, customer relationship management or project planning system.

Advantages

The data model, which is object of the invention, proposes a novel organization of the privileges held by system users, thus simplifying the maintenance of authorizations. Under the premise that the privileges of a user are bundled in roles, the purpose of the data model is to ease the role management by dramatically reducing the number of roles necessary in a system.

The present invention will enable user management to evolve from proprietary, application-specific solutions that contain only high-level role information to central generic authorization repositories that offer not only role data, but also detailed, ready-to-use authorization information. Applications will be able to use information supplied by the central user repositories without additional processing or checking, eliminating the need for sophisticated user management functions within individual business applications, thus relieving the administrator from maintaining the access rights with every participating system. The needed authorization information is managed, maintained by and kept at the appropriate system that hosts the user base, e.g., human resources.

The details of one or more embodiments of the invention are set forth in the accompanying drawings and the description below. Other features, objects, and advantages of the invention will be apparent from the description and drawings, and from the claims.

DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a flow chart illustrating the basic structure for authorization management, according to the invention.

FIG. 2 is a data model for authorization management data.

FIG. 3 is a flow chart illustrating a process for completion of authorization at runtime.

FIG. 4 is a wild-card based authorization data model.

FIG. 5 is the flow chart of FIG. 1 shaded to show the portion that is replaced by the wild card based model of the invention.

FIG. 6 is the data model of FIG. 2 associated with the flow chart of FIG. 5.

FIG. 7 is a flow chart illustrating in more detail the process for completion of authorization at runtime.

FIG. 8 is the wild-card based authorization data model of FIG. 4 associated with the flow chart of FIG. 7.

FIG. 9 is a block diagram of a dynamic authorization system using a centralized authorization repository.

Like reference symbols in the various drawings indicate like elements.

5

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Introduction

Maintaining separate roles for each distinct position within the organization results in a proliferation of specific roles, which often differ only by virtue of the individual's location geographically or within the organization chart. For example, the positions of sales manager for Brazil and sales manager for Portugal would typically differ critically not in function but in the geographical territory of responsibility, cost center, reporting lines, etc.

The data model of the present invention relies on the separation between roles in the functional sense and responsibilities. In other words, the actions that the user is allowed to make, in terms of access to services or processing steps, are distinguished in the data model from the resources, usually, data, on which these actions are performed. As a consequence, different responsibilities of users having the same function do not require different roles to be maintained in the system. Conversely, the area of responsibility may be the same but different users within the same area can have different functional roles. In the end, the privileges of a given user are defined by the combination of both parts, the functional roles and the areas of responsibilities.

The data model which is object of the invention takes advantage of this new way of looking at roles by taking into account the divisibility and fungibility of roles and responsibilities and using this logic to create a novel organization of the privileges held by system users, thus simplifying the maintenance of authorizations. Under the premise that the privileges of a user are bundled in roles, the purpose of the data model is to ease the role management by dramatically reducing the number of roles necessary in a system.

Instead of treating roles as a flat enumeration, the invention is based upon a quadratic model, i.e., one in which each position within the enterprise is defined as the product of two types of variables: (1) a generic role (which may be comprised of a unique set of sub roles) and (2) a specific set of responsibilities to go with the roles.

Conventional roles, i.e., "specific roles," more in the nature of position descriptions, can be separated from their associated responsibilities and thus factored into generic roles and subroles on the one hand and responsibilities and sub-responsibilities on the other hand. Generic roles can be redefined to represent exclusively the functions of the individual's position reflected in the actions he or she is allowed to perform without consideration of the objects upon which those actions are executed. The functions at the atomic level can be aggregated to form generic roles associated commonly with similar positions among the company's staff. So all the functions associated with a basic sales position would be assigned to a generic sales role. Separately, the responsibilities consist of the objects upon which the actions are executed, e.g., data that an action modifies. For sales roles, the corresponding set of responsibility parameters would include, e.g., responsibility for a particular sales territory, or range of products, or customers within a certain size range, business or other category, etc. Taken alone, these generic roles and responsibilities are an incomplete description. But together the intersection of a generic role and a specific set of responsibilities fully specifies the individual's position, or conventionally, his specific role in the organization.

This approach imparts object-oriented programming principles to role administration in the sense that the generic roles or responsibilities are treated as objects that have predefined characteristics and inheritance properties. Thus when new positions are added in the company the same modular objects (i.e., generic roles) can be re-used in most cases without generating new roles.

Solution: Authorization Data Model

The subject of the invention is a way of modeling authorization information that dramatically reduces the number of roles, which need to be maintained in a role-based system. The core idea is to separate the role, reflecting the position of an individual in an organization, from the responsibilities, reflecting the areas of activity, which need to be accessed by this individual.

The simplest reflection upon the system is that the information about the actions an individual is allowed to take are separated from the information qualifying the data upon which these actions are taken.

The general structure of existing authorization management systems is composed of individuals, roles, profiles or groups that qualify these individuals and authorizations/privileges that belong to the individual. FIG. 1 shows diagrammatically the basic design of the typical authorization management system. In this system the

individual who is being checked for authorization to access a protected resource is presented as a query to a database maintained by the application, which, using the individual's role within a group and its associated privileges, decides on the basis of the fixed role and privilege information whether a particular action is authorized or not. The
5 result of this determination is the value, for example yes or no, reflecting whether access is or is not granted, or conditionally granted in some cases.

This leads to the implementation of the data model in a typical relational database as shown in FIG. 2. The fields consist of user, role, object, action and value. The user field contains the user ID of the individual. The role field contains the specific position
10 information, the object field identifies the data for which access is being evaluated and the value field is the authorization status, i.e., access granted or not granted. The data model of Fig. 2 is not only static or fixed, but it is replicated in multiple applications and user authorization tools.

Performing the same actions, or having the same access rights for different
15 objects, results in different authorizations. In order to differentiate, one will need to create different roles to reflect those changes. Imagine the simple example of one company giving the sales people the right to access the product sales information in their own area. For each and every area, there will be another sales role created for that company.

With the model proposed by this invention, the fields are not static anymore, but
20 include generic variables. The input for filling the fields is requested at run-time from an external system containing information about the user, and/or the fields of the record that are already filled at the time of the enquiry.

This can be for example realized through a backtracking link as shown in the figure. The static authorizations composed of the elements object, action and value are
25 replaced by authorizations composed mainly of wildcards for the elements action and value. The object will be given at runtime by the application proving the access rights.

The system relies on decomposition or factoring of positions into two basic components: roles and responsibilities.

Objects are "protected resources" and could be data or a transaction or
30 instantiation of a class, etc. In the context of authorization, an "object" is a protected resource that the user wishes to access in some way. The "action" is the requested manner and extent of access that the user seeks with respect to the object. So if the object is data in a database, the action could be read, write, edit, move, or delete. If the object

was a particular screen, the action could be display. If the object was a transaction, the action could be approve, reject, comment, review, complete, and so on.

Fig. 3 is shows a system that partakes of both the prior and the new system. As in the prior system, the user ID would be paired with permissions through a static mask retrieval process, as shown in FIGS. 3 and 4. So knowing the ID and the object and action to be applied to the object, the same database could be queried for a yes/no value for a given action. This information is static in the authorization database. If the action/value fields have not been filled in already by the static system, a need updating, the new system triggers a look up of the meaning and implications of the individual's roles and responsibilities in an external system. This dynamic authorization decision triggers a call to a different database where the rights and privileges associated with these roles and responsibilities are stored. So the privileges associated with roles and responsibilities are disassociated from the individual per se. Rather they are associated with generic roles and responsibilities that may be changed and supplemented and updated from time to time without reference to or even knowledge of a particular individual. The difference between these two systems is that in the static, fixed data model, the fields are fixed, and in the dynamic system, they are completed at run time.

FIGS. 5 and 6 merely show conceptually the portion of the prior system that is addressed and replaced by the new approach. The grayed blocks containing static information on role/group, authorization and value are basically replaced by the wild card model.

One example of this dynamic authorization decision process is shown in FIGS. 7 and 8. Here the dynamic decision process of FIG. 3 is implemented by way of a query or call to an external database which holds the responsibilities and associated permissions for various positions and cost centers in a company, Based on the content of the external database, at run time, which may be different from time to time, the action/value fields are filled dynamically resulting in the authorization.

Another visualization of this concept is provided in FIG 9., showing interaction between one or more applications with a central authorization repository. Here an application with access to a protected resource, e.g., data or a transaction, receives a user request for access to the protected resource. The application contains an authorization module or tool that generates, in response to the access request, an inquiry to a central authorization repository maintained for example by the system that hosts the user information database within the enterprise, e.g., human resources, project planning, or

CRM (customer relationship manager) for customer links. This repository, which may be a data storage system implemented in the form of a relational database, contains a plurality of role definitions, in the form indicated as role No. 1, role No. 2, etc. These roles are not uniquely associated with a particular individual but are available for
5 assignment to any individual. So for example, in FIG. 9, users 1 and 2 are assigned the same role 1, e.g., sales manager, but have different respective responsibilities 1 and 2, e.g., Argentina and Brazil. Similarly users 1 and 3 have different roles, e.g., sales manager and technical writer, but the same areas of responsibility, e.g., Argentina.

The meaning or definition and associated privileges are defined by a role
10 definition management system, through which the description and functions of the roles can be manipulated and redefined from time to time, independent of the identity of the individual having those roles and independent of changes in personnel. In addition, the repository might contain a plurality of responsibility descriptions, corresponding, e.g., to territories, cost centers, groups, etc. As with the roles, the responsibility descriptions are
15 tended by a responsibility definition management system, which operates independently of the identity of the individual users, through which the responsibilities can be enlarged, contracted, supplemented or redefined from time to time.

The group of roles and responsibility definitions have associated with them a plurality of privileges that govern access to protected resources, or objects. In FIG. 9 the
20 privileges are shown conceptually as being separately grouped for roles and for responsibilities, respectively, but they can be the same or the responsibilities privileges can in effect filter or mask the privileges associated with various roles. The privileges are implied, imputed or discretely assigned to the roles and responsibilities.

At the time of a user access request, assuming the users ID is in the repository, the
25 system will find the corresponding privileges, existing at run time, for the generic roles and responsibilities as they are defined at run time, to which the user's ID is linked in the database. Then the systems will apply the privileges to the object for which access is sought, resulting in a value corresponding to granted or withheld or some other logical condition with respect to the processing of the user's approved access to the protected
30 resource.

Because the role and responsibility data is not fixed but is dynamically variable, the action/value data is are wild cards for any object. They are not fixed. Authorization may be available one day or moment but not the next.

While FIG. 9 shows but one application, this one application is intended to represent any number of multiple independent applications with access to the same central authorization repository.

5 A number of embodiments of the invention have been described. Nevertheless, it will be understood that various modifications may be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. For example, the use of a true relational database is not required. Accordingly, other embodiments are within the scope of the following claims.

Claims

1. An authorization process, comprising

receiving requests at an application at run-time from users seeking access to protected resources,

in response to a given request from a given user, collecting information about the

5 user's currently defined role and privileges associated with that role, and

dynamically deciding whether the user is authorized to access a given protected resource based on the current variable role-based information, rather than the user's identity, collected at run-time.

2. The authorization process of claim 1, wherein the collecting information step is
10 accomplished by querying a central data repository external to the application.

3. The authorization process of claim 2, further comprising

storing in said central repository dynamically variable role data defining generic roles that can be associated with multiple users,

15 assigning users to said generic roles, more than one user being assignable to a given role,

altering said role data from time to time to change a definition of a given role independently of user associations, and

associating privileges with said roles based on their current respective definitions,

20 the information collected from said repository by the application including the current variable value of the privilege status with respect to the protected resource associated with the currently defined role assigned to the user requesting access.

4. The authorization process of claim 3, wherein the altering of role data has the capability of causing the privileges associated with a given role to change.

5. The authorization process of claim 3 or 4, wherein the step of altering said role
25 data for a given generic role is carried out independently of the responsibilities of users associated with a given generic role.

6. The authorization process of claim 3, further comprising

storing in said central repository dynamically variable responsibility data defining generic responsibilities that can be associated with multiple users,

assigning users to said generic responsibilities, more than one user being assignable to a given responsibility,

altering said responsibility data from time to time to change a definition of a given generic responsibility independently of user associations, and

associating privileges with said responsibilities based on their current respective definitions,

the information collected from said repository by the application including the current variable value of the privilege status with respect to the protected resource associated with a combination of the currently defined generic role or roles and responsibilities assigned to the user requesting access.

7. The authorization process of claim 6, wherein the step of altering said responsibility data for a given responsibility is carried out independently of the roles of users associated with the given generic responsibility.

8. The authorization process of claim 6 or 7, wherein the steps of assigning users to roles and responsibilities are carried out by decomposing a given user's positional functions and responsibilities into basic actions and objects to which the actions are applied,

mapping the actions and objects onto respective generic roles and responsibilities stored in said repository, and

assigning the respective roles and responsibilities to the user.

9. A multiple application authorization process, comprising

receiving requests for authorization at a plurality of applications at run-time from users seeking access to protected resources,

the applications independently collecting respective authorization information at run-time from a central repository containing user information, the user information including non-static roles whose definitions and corresponding privileges are variable, independently of the users associated with said roles,

the applications basing access to protected resources on the current authorization information collected at run time in response to a given request.

10. The multiple application authorization process of claim 9, wherein the step of basing access is accomplished by dynamically deciding whether the user is author-

ized to access a given protected resource based on the current variable role-based information, rather than the user's identity, collected at run-time from the central repository.

11. The multiple application authorization process of claim 10, wherein the collecting
5 information step is accomplished by querying a central data repository external to the application from which the user requests authorization.

12. The multiple application authorization process of claim 11, further comprising
storing in said central repository dynamically variable role data defining ge-
neric roles that can be associated with multiple users,

10 assigning users to said generic roles, more than one user being assignable to a given role,

altering said role data from time to time to change a definition of a given role inde-
pendently of user associations, and

15 associating privileges with said roles based on their current respective defini-
tions,

the information collected from said repository by the application including the
current variable value of the privilege status with respect to the protected resource
associated with the currently defined role assigned to the user requesting access.

13. The multiple application authorization process of claim 12, wherein the altering
20 of role data has the capability of causing the privileges associated with a given role to
change.

14. The multiple application authorization process of claim 12 or 13, wherein the
step of altering said role data for a given generic role is carried out independently of
the responsibilities of users associated with a given generic role.

25 15. The multiple application authorization process of anyone of claims 12 to 14, fur-
ther comprising

storing in said central repository dynamically variable responsibility data de-
fining generic responsibilities that can be associated with multiple users,

30 assigning users to said generic responsibilities, more than one user being as-
signable to a given responsibility,

altering said responsibility data from time to time to change a definition of a
given generic responsibility independently of user associations, and

associating privileges with said responsibilities based on their current respec-
tive definitions,

the information collected from said repository by the application including the current variable value of the privilege status with respect to the protected resource associated with a combination of the currently defined generic role or roles and responsibilities assigned to the user requesting access.

5 16. The authorization process of claim 15, wherein the step of altering said responsibility data for a given responsibility is carried out independently of the roles of users associated with the given generic responsibility.

10 17. The authorization process of claim 15 or 16, wherein the steps of assigning users to roles and responsibilities are carried out by decomposing a given user's positional functions and responsibilities into basic actions and objects to which the actions are applied,

mapping the actions and objects onto respective generic roles and responsibilities stored in said repository, and

assigning the respective roles and responsibilities to the user.

15 18. An authorization information management process, comprising
storing in a central repository dynamically variable role data defining generic roles that can be associated with multiple users,

assigning users to said generic roles, more than one user being assignable to a given role,

20 altering said role data from time to time to change a definition of a given role independently of user associations, and

associating privileges with said roles based on their current respective definitions,

25 making the current variable value of the privilege status, with respect to a given protected resource, associated with the currently defined role assigned to a user, available at run-time to an external application requesting access authorization for a user who seeks access to said protected resource via said application.

30 19. The authorization information management process of claim 18, wherein the altering of role data has the capability of causing the privileges associated with a given role to change.

20. The authorization information management process of claim 18 or 19, wherein the step of altering said role data for a given generic role is carried out independently of the responsibilities of users associated with a given generic role.

21. The authorization information management process of anyone of claims 18 to

20, further comprising

storing in said central repository dynamically variable responsibility data defining generic responsibilities that can be associated with multiple users,

5 assigning users to said generic responsibilities, more than one user being assignable to a given responsibility,

altering said responsibility data from time to time to change a definition of a given generic responsibility independently of user associations, and

associating privileges with said responsibilities based on their current respective definitions, and

10 making the current variable value of the privilege status with respect to the protected resource associated with a combination of the currently defined generic role or roles and responsibilities assigned to the user requesting access available at run-time to the external application requesting access authorization for a user who seeks access to said protected resource at said application.

15 22. The authorization information management process of 21, wherein the step of altering said responsibility data for a given responsibility is carried out independently of the roles of users associated with the given generic responsibility.

23. The authorization information management process of claim 21 or 22, wherein the steps of assigning users to roles and responsibilities are carried out by decomposing a given user's positional functions and responsibilities into basic actions and
20 objects to which the actions are applied,

mapping the actions and objects onto respective generic roles and responsibilities stored in said repository, and

assigning the respective roles and responsibilities to the user.

25 24. The authorization information management process of anyone of claims 18 to 23, wherein the central repository runs on the same system that hosts the human resources, customer relationship management or project planning system.





FIG. 1



FIG. 2



FIG. 4



Static mask retrieval

FIG. 3

2/4




FIG. 5

Use	Role	Object	Action	Value
-----	------	--------	--------	-------

FIG. 6

3/4




FIG. 7

*Object	*Action	*Value
---------	---------	--------

FIG. 8




FIG. 9

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/EP2004/005750

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
 IPC 7 H04L29/06 G06F1/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 H04L G06F

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 2003/055704 A1 (REECE DEBRA GAYLE) 20 March 2003 (2003-03-20) paragraph '0867! - paragraph '1213!; figures 72,78-80,83 paragraph '1317! - paragraph '1489! -----	1-24
X	US 2001/047485 A1 (BROWN DANIEL ET AL) 29 November 2001 (2001-11-29) paragraph '0004! - paragraph '0086!; figures 1,2,5,6,11,12 -----	1-24
X	US 2002/083059 A1 (HOFFMAN WOODWARD CRIM ET AL) 27 June 2002 (2002-06-27) paragraph '0002! - paragraph '0039!; figures 1-3 ----- -/--	1-17

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

E earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

T later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

X document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

G document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

23 September 2004

Date of mailing of the international search report

30/09/2004

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
 Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Günther, S

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/EP2004/005750

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 6 466 932 B1 (CORRINGTON ROBERT E ET AL) 15 October 2002 (2002-10-15) column 1, line 10 - column 13, line 58; figures 2,5,11-13C -----	1-24
A	EP 0 697 662 A (IBM) 21 February 1996 (1996-02-21) column 1, line 1 - column 11, line 44; figures 1-2C -----	1-24
A	SANDHU R S ET AL: "ACCESS CONTROL: PRINCIPLES AND PRACTICE" IEEE COMMUNICATIONS MAGAZINE, IEEE SERVICE CENTER. PISCATAWAY, N.J, US, vol. 32, no. 9, 1 September 1994 (1994-09-01), pages 40-48, XP000476554 ISSN: 0163-6804 the whole document -----	1-24

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/EP2004/005750

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2003055704	A1	20-03-2003	WO 02077917 A1	03-10-2002
US 2001047485	A1	29-11-2001	AU 4544401 A	17-09-2001
			DE 10195870 T0	13-03-2003
			WO 0167682 A1	13-09-2001
			TW 493122 B	01-07-2002
US 2002083059	A1	27-06-2002	AU 2866502 A	11-06-2002
			WO 0244888 A1	06-06-2002
US 6466932	B1	15-10-2002	US 2003023587 A1	30-01-2003
EP 0697662	A	21-02-1996	EP 0697662 A1	21-02-1996
			CA 2154020 A1	16-02-1996
			DE 69427347 D1	05-07-2001
			DE 69427347 T2	31-10-2001
			JP 3074638 B2	07-08-2000
			JP 8087454 A	02-04-1996
			US 5911143 A	08-06-1999