



- (51) **International Patent Classification:**
G01N 33/53 (2006.01)
- (21) **International Application Number:**
PCT/US2012/037676
- (22) **International Filing Date:**
12 May 2012 (12.05.2012)
- (25) **Filing Language:** English
- (26) **Publication Language:** English
- (30) **Priority Data:**
- | | | |
|------------|--------------------------|----|
| 61/485,576 | 12 May 2011 (12.05.2011) | US |
| 61/485,577 | 12 May 2011 (12.05.2011) | US |
| 61/485,579 | 12 May 2011 (12.05.2011) | US |
| 61/485,588 | 12 May 2011 (12.05.2011) | US |
- (71) **Applicant** (for all designated States except US): **ASTUTE MEDICAL, INC.** [US/US]; Blg 2, R. 645, 3550 General Atomics Court, San Diego, CA 92121 (US).
- (72) **Inventors; and**
- (75) **Inventors/Applicants** (for US only): **ANDERBERG, Joseph** [US/US]; 470 Delage Court, Encinitas, CA 92024 (US). **GRAY, Jeff** [US/US]; 417 Bay Meadows Way, Solana Beach, CA 92075 (US). **McPHERSON, Paul** [US/US]; 1449 Elva Court, Encinitas, CA 92024 (US). **NAKAMURA, Kevin** [US/US]; 2409 Newcastle Ave, Cardiff By The Sea, CA 92007 (US). **KAMPF, James, Patrick** [US/US]; 5882 Gablewood Way, San Diego, CA 92130 (US).
- (74) **Agents:** **CHAMBERS, Daniel, M.** et al.; ACUITY LAW GROUP, P.C., 12707 High Bluff Drive, Suite 200, San Diego, CA 92130 (US).
- (81) **Designated States** (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (84) **Designated States** (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
- Published:**
- without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report (Rule 48.2(g))

(54) **Title:** METHODS AND COMPOSITIONS FOR DIAGNOSIS AND PROGNOSIS OF RENAL INJURY AND RENAL FAILURE

(57) **Abstract:** The present invention relates to methods and compositions for monitoring, diagnosis, prognosis, and determination of treatment regimens in subjects suffering from or suspected of having a renal injury. In particular, the invention relates to using a one or more assays configured to detect a kidney injury marker selected from the group consisting of Transforming growth factor beta-1, Transforming growth factor beta-2, Transforming growth factor beta-3, and Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1 as diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers in renal injuries.



**METHODS AND COMPOSITIONS FOR DIAGNOSIS AND PROGNOSIS OF
RENAL INJURY AND RENAL FAILURE**

[0001] The present application is claims priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Applications 61/485,576 filed May 12, 2011; 61/485,577 filed May 12, 2011; 61/485,579 filed May 12, 2011; and 61/485,588 filed May 12, 2011, each of which is hereby incorporated in its entirety including all tables, figures, and claims.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0002] The following discussion of the background of the invention is merely provided to aid the reader in understanding the invention and is not admitted to describe or constitute prior art to the present invention.

[0003] The kidney is responsible for water and solute excretion from the body. Its functions include maintenance of acid-base balance, regulation of electrolyte concentrations, control of blood volume, and regulation of blood pressure. As such, loss of kidney function through injury and/or disease results in substantial morbidity and mortality. A detailed discussion of renal injuries is provided in Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine, 17th Ed., McGraw Hill, New York, pages 1741-1830, which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety. Renal disease and/or injury may be acute or chronic. Acute and chronic kidney disease are described as follows (from Current Medical Diagnosis & Treatment 2008, 47th Ed, McGraw Hill, New York, pages 785-815, which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety): "Acute renal failure is worsening of renal function over hours to days, resulting in the retention of nitrogenous wastes (such as urea nitrogen) and creatinine in the blood. Retention of these substances is called azotemia. Chronic renal failure (chronic kidney disease) results from an abnormal loss of renal function over months to years".

[0004] Acute renal failure (ARF, also known as acute kidney injury, or AKI) is an abrupt (typically detected within about 48 hours to 1 week) reduction in glomerular filtration. This loss of filtration capacity results in retention of nitrogenous (urea and creatinine) and non-nitrogenous waste products that are normally excreted by the kidney, a reduction in urine output, or both. It is reported that ARF complicates about 5% of hospital admissions, 4-15% of cardiopulmonary bypass surgeries, and up to 30% of intensive care admissions. ARF may be categorized as prerenal, intrinsic renal, or

postrenal in causation. Intrinsic renal disease can be further divided into glomerular, tubular, interstitial, and vascular abnormalities. Major causes of ARF are described in the following table, which is adapted from the Merck Manual, 17th ed., Chapter 222, and which is hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety:

Type	Risk Factors
Prerenal	
ECF volume depletion	Excessive diuresis, hemorrhage, GI losses, loss of intravascular fluid into the extravascular space (due to ascites, peritonitis, pancreatitis, or burns), loss of skin and mucus membranes, renal salt- and water-wasting states
Low cardiac output	Cardiomyopathy, MI, cardiac tamponade, pulmonary embolism, pulmonary hypertension, positive-pressure mechanical ventilation
Low systemic vascular resistance	Septic shock, liver failure, antihypertensive drugs
Increased renal vascular resistance	NSAIDs, cyclosporines, tacrolimus, hypercalcemia, anaphylaxis, anesthetics, renal artery obstruction, renal vein thrombosis, sepsis, hepatorenal syndrome
Decreased efferent arteriolar tone (leading to decreased GFR from reduced glomerular transcapillary pressure, especially in patients with bilateral renal artery stenosis)	ACE inhibitors or angiotensin II receptor blockers
Intrinsic Renal	
Acute tubular injury	Ischemia (prolonged or severe prerenal state): surgery, hemorrhage, arterial or venous obstruction; Toxins: NSAIDs, cyclosporines, tacrolimus, aminoglycosides, foscarnet, ethylene glycol, hemoglobin, myoglobin, ifosfamide, heavy metals, methotrexate, radiopaque contrast agents, streptozotocin
Acute glomerulonephritis	ANCA-associated: Crescentic glomerulonephritis, polyarteritis nodosa, Wegener's granulomatosis; Anti-GBM glomerulonephritis: Goodpasture's syndrome; Immune-complex: Lupus glomerulonephritis, postinfectious glomerulonephritis, cryoglobulinemic glomerulonephritis
Acute tubulointerstitial nephritis	Drug reaction (eg, β -lactams, NSAIDs, sulfonamides, ciprofloxacin, thiazide diuretics, furosemide, phenytoin, allopurinol, pyelonephritis, papillary necrosis)
Acute vascular nephropathy	Vasculitis, malignant hypertension, thrombotic microangiopathies, scleroderma, atheroembolism
Infiltrative diseases	Lymphoma, sarcoidosis, leukemia
Postrenal	

Type	Risk Factors
Tubular precipitation	Uric acid (tumor lysis), sulfonamides, triamterene, acyclovir, indinavir, methotrexate, ethylene glycol ingestion, myeloma protein, myoglobin
Ureteral obstruction	Intrinsic: Calculi, clots, sloughed renal tissue, fungus ball, edema, malignancy, congenital defects; Extrinsic: Malignancy, retroperitoneal fibrosis, ureteral trauma during surgery or high impact injury
Bladder obstruction	Mechanical: Benign prostatic hyperplasia, prostate cancer, bladder cancer, urethral strictures, phimosis, paraphimosis, urethral valves, obstructed indwelling urinary catheter; Neurogenic: Anticholinergic drugs, upper or lower motor neuron lesion

[0005] In the case of ischemic ARF, the course of the disease may be divided into four phases. During an initiation phase, which lasts hours to days, reduced perfusion of the kidney is evolving into injury. Glomerular ultrafiltration reduces, the flow of filtrate is reduced due to debris within the tubules, and back leakage of filtrate through injured epithelium occurs. Renal injury can be mediated during this phase by reperfusion of the kidney. Initiation is followed by an extension phase which is characterized by continued ischemic injury and inflammation and may involve endothelial damage and vascular congestion. During the maintenance phase, lasting from 1 to 2 weeks, renal cell injury occurs, and glomerular filtration and urine output reaches a minimum. A recovery phase can follow in which the renal epithelium is repaired and GFR gradually recovers. Despite this, the survival rate of subjects with ARF may be as low as about 60%.

[0006] Acute kidney injury caused by radiocontrast agents (also called contrast media) and other nephrotoxins such as cyclosporine, antibiotics including aminoglycosides and anticancer drugs such as cisplatin manifests over a period of days to about a week. Contrast induced nephropathy (CIN, which is AKI caused by radiocontrast agents) is thought to be caused by intrarenal vasoconstriction (leading to ischemic injury) and from the generation of reactive oxygen species that are directly toxic to renal tubular epithelial cells. CIN classically presents as an acute (onset within 24-48h) but reversible (peak 3-5 days, resolution within 1 week) rise in blood urea nitrogen and serum creatinine.

[0007] A commonly reported criteria for defining and detecting AKI is an abrupt (typically within about 2-7 days or within a period of hospitalization) elevation of serum creatinine. Although the use of serum creatinine elevation to define and detect AKI is

well established, the magnitude of the serum creatinine elevation and the time over which it is measured to define AKI varies considerably among publications. Traditionally, relatively large increases in serum creatinine such as 100%, 200%, an increase of at least 100% to a value over 2 mg/dL and other definitions were used to define AKI. However, the recent trend has been towards using smaller serum creatinine rises to define AKI. The relationship between serum creatinine rise, AKI and the associated health risks are reviewed in Praught and Shlipak, *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens* 14:265-270, 2005 and Chertow et al, *J Am Soc Nephrol* 16: 3365-3370, 2005, which, with the references listed therein, are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety. As described in these publications, acute worsening renal function (AKI) and increased risk of death and other detrimental outcomes are now known to be associated with very small increases in serum creatinine. These increases may be determined as a relative (percent) value or a nominal value. Relative increases in serum creatinine as small as 20% from the pre-injury value have been reported to indicate acutely worsening renal function (AKI) and increased health risk, but the more commonly reported value to define AKI and increased health risk is a relative increase of at least 25%. Nominal increases as small as 0.3 mg/dL, 0.2 mg/dL or even 0.1 mg/dL have been reported to indicate worsening renal function and increased risk of death. Various time periods for the serum creatinine to rise to these threshold values have been used to define AKI, for example, ranging from 2 days, 3 days, 7 days, or a variable period defined as the time the patient is in the hospital or intensive care unit. These studies indicate there is not a particular threshold serum creatinine rise (or time period for the rise) for worsening renal function or AKI, but rather a continuous increase in risk with increasing magnitude of serum creatinine rise.

[0008] One study (Lassnigg et al, *J Am Soc Nephrol* 15:1597-1605, 2004, hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety) investigated both increases and decreases in serum creatinine. Patients with a mild fall in serum creatinine of -0.1 to -0.3 mg/dL following heart surgery had the lowest mortality rate. Patients with a larger fall in serum creatinine (more than or equal to -0.4 mg/dL) or any increase in serum creatinine had a larger mortality rate. These findings caused the authors to conclude that even very subtle changes in renal function (as detected by small creatinine changes within 48 hours of surgery) seriously effect patient's outcomes. In an effort to reach consensus on a unified classification system for using serum creatinine to define AKI in clinical trials and in clinical practice, Bellomo *et al.*, *Crit Care*. 8(4):R204-12, 2004, which is hereby

incorporated by reference in its entirety, proposes the following classifications for stratifying AKI patients:

“Risk”: serum creatinine increased 1.5 fold from baseline OR urine production of <0.5 ml/kg body weight/hr for 6 hours;

“Injury”: serum creatinine increased 2.0 fold from baseline OR urine production <0.5 ml/kg/hr for 12 h;

“Failure”: serum creatinine increased 3.0 fold from baseline OR creatinine >355 $\mu\text{mol/l}$ (with a rise of >44) or urine output below 0.3 ml/kg/hr for 24 h or anuria for at least 12 hours;

And included two clinical outcomes:

“Loss”: persistent need for renal replacement therapy for more than four weeks.

“ESRD”: end stage renal disease—the need for dialysis for more than 3 months.

[0009] These criteria are called the RIFLE criteria, which provide a useful clinical tool to classify renal status. As discussed in Kellum, *Crit. Care Med.* 36: S141-45, 2008 and Ricci *et al.*, *Kidney Int.* 73, 538-546, 2008, each hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety, the RIFLE criteria provide a uniform definition of AKI which has been validated in numerous studies.

More recently, Mehta *et al.*, *Crit. Care* 11:R31 (doi:10.1186.cc5713), 2007, hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety, proposes the following similar classifications for stratifying AKI patients, which have been modified from RIFLE:

“Stage I”: increase in serum creatinine of more than or equal to 0.3 mg/dL ($\geq 26.4 \mu\text{mol/L}$) or increase to more than or equal to 150% (1.5-fold) from baseline OR urine output less than 0.5 mL/kg per hour for more than 6 hours;

“Stage II”: increase in serum creatinine to more than 200% (> 2-fold) from baseline OR urine output less than 0.5 mL/kg per hour for more than 12 hours;

“Stage III”: increase in serum creatinine to more than 300% (> 3-fold) from baseline OR serum creatinine $\geq 354 \mu\text{mol/L}$ accompanied by an acute increase of at least 44 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ OR urine output less than 0.3 mL/kg per hour for 24 hours or anuria for 12 hours.

[0010] The CIN Consensus Working Panel (McCollough *et al.*, *Rev Cardiovasc Med.* 2006;7(4):177-197, hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety) uses a serum

creatinine rise of 25% to define Contrast induced nephropathy (which is a type of AKI). Although various groups propose slightly different criteria for using serum creatinine to detect AKI, the consensus is that small changes in serum creatinine, such as 0.3 mg/dL or 25%, are sufficient to detect AKI (worsening renal function) and that the magnitude of the serum creatinine change is an indicator of the severity of the AKI and mortality risk.

[0011] Although serial measurement of serum creatinine over a period of days is an accepted method of detecting and diagnosing AKI and is considered one of the most important tools to evaluate AKI patients, serum creatinine is generally regarded to have several limitations in the diagnosis, assessment and monitoring of AKI patients. The time period for serum creatinine to rise to values (e.g., a 0.3 mg/dL or 25% rise) considered diagnostic for AKI can be 48 hours or longer depending on the definition used. Since cellular injury in AKI can occur over a period of hours, serum creatinine elevations detected at 48 hours or longer can be a late indicator of injury, and relying on serum creatinine can thus delay diagnosis of AKI. Furthermore, serum creatinine is not a good indicator of the exact kidney status and treatment needs during the most acute phases of AKI when kidney function is changing rapidly. Some patients with AKI will recover fully, some will need dialysis (either short term or long term) and some will have other detrimental outcomes including death, major adverse cardiac events and chronic kidney disease. Because serum creatinine is a marker of filtration rate, it does not differentiate between the causes of AKI (pre-renal, intrinsic renal, post-renal obstruction, atheroembolic, etc) or the category or location of injury in intrinsic renal disease (for example, tubular, glomerular or interstitial in origin). Urine output is similarly limited. Knowing these things can be of vital importance in managing and treating patients with AKI.

[0012] These limitations underscore the need for better methods to detect and assess AKI, particularly in the early and subclinical stages, but also in later stages when recovery and repair of the kidney can occur. Furthermore, there is a need to better identify patients who are at risk of having an AKI.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0013] It is an object of the invention to provide methods and compositions for evaluating renal function in a subject. As described herein, measurement of one or more

biomarkers selected from the group consisting of Transforming growth factor beta-1, Transforming growth factor beta-2, Transforming growth factor beta-3, and Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1 (each referred to herein as a “kidney injury marker”) can be used for diagnosis, prognosis, risk stratification, staging, monitoring, categorizing and determination of further diagnosis and treatment regimens in subjects suffering or at risk of suffering from an injury to renal function, reduced renal function, and/or acute renal failure (also called acute kidney injury).

[0014] The kidney injury markers of the present invention may be used, individually or in panels comprising a plurality of kidney injury markers, for risk stratification (that is, to identify subjects at risk for a future injury to renal function, for future progression to reduced renal function, for future progression to ARF, for future improvement in renal function, *etc.*); for diagnosis of existing disease (that is, to identify subjects who have suffered an injury to renal function, who have progressed to reduced renal function, who have progressed to ARF, *etc.*); for monitoring for deterioration or improvement of renal function; and for predicting a future medical outcome, such as improved or worsening renal function, a decreased or increased mortality risk, a decreased or increased risk that a subject will require renal replacement therapy (*i.e.*, hemodialysis, peritoneal dialysis, hemofiltration, and/or renal transplantation, a decreased or increased risk that a subject will recover from an injury to renal function, a decreased or increased risk that a subject will recover from ARF, a decreased or increased risk that a subject will progress to end stage renal disease, a decreased or increased risk that a subject will progress to chronic renal failure, a decreased or increased risk that a subject will suffer rejection of a transplanted kidney, *etc.*

[0015] In a first aspect, the present invention relates to methods for evaluating renal status in a subject. These methods comprise performing an assay method that is configured to detect one or more biomarkers selected from the group consisting of Transforming growth factor beta-1, Transforming growth factor beta-2, Transforming growth factor beta-3, and Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1 is/are then correlated to the renal status of the subject. This correlation to renal status may include correlating the assay result(s) to one or more of risk stratification, diagnosis, prognosis, staging, classifying and monitoring of the subject as described herein. Thus, the present invention utilizes one or more kidney injury markers of the present invention for the evaluation of renal injury.

[0016] In certain embodiments, the methods for evaluating renal status described herein are methods for risk stratification of the subject; that is, assigning a likelihood of one or more future changes in renal status to the subject. In these embodiments, the assay result(s) is/are correlated to one or more such future changes. The following are preferred risk stratification embodiments.

[0017] In preferred risk stratification embodiments, these methods comprise determining a subject's risk for a future injury to renal function, and the assay result(s) is/are correlated to a likelihood of such a future injury to renal function. For example, the measured concentration(s) may each be compared to a threshold value. For a "positive going" kidney injury marker, an increased likelihood of suffering a future injury to renal function is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is above the threshold, relative to a likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold. For a "negative going" kidney injury marker, an increased likelihood of suffering a future injury to renal function is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is below the threshold, relative to a likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold.

[0018] In other preferred risk stratification embodiments, these methods comprise determining a subject's risk for future reduced renal function, and the assay result(s) is/are correlated to a likelihood of such reduced renal function. For example, the measured concentrations may each be compared to a threshold value. For a "positive going" kidney injury marker, an increased likelihood of suffering a future reduced renal function is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is above the threshold, relative to a likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold. For a "negative going" kidney injury marker, an increased likelihood of future reduced renal function is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is below the threshold, relative to a likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold.

[0019] In still other preferred risk stratification embodiments, these methods comprise determining a subject's likelihood for a future improvement in renal function, and the assay result(s) is/are correlated to a likelihood of such a future improvement in renal function. For example, the measured concentration(s) may each be compared to a threshold value. For a "positive going" kidney injury marker, an increased likelihood of a future improvement in renal function is assigned to the subject when the measured

concentration is below the threshold, relative to a likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold. For a “negative going” kidney injury marker, an increased likelihood of a future improvement in renal function is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is above the threshold, relative to a likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold.

[0020] In yet other preferred risk stratification embodiments, these methods comprise determining a subject’s risk for progression to ARF, and the result(s) is/are correlated to a likelihood of such progression to ARF. For example, the measured concentration(s) may each be compared to a threshold value. For a “positive going” kidney injury marker, an increased likelihood of progression to ARF is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is above the threshold, relative to a likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold. For a “negative going” kidney injury marker, an increased likelihood of progression to ARF is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is below the threshold, relative to a likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold.

[0021] And in other preferred risk stratification embodiments, these methods comprise determining a subject’s outcome risk, and the assay result(s) is/are correlated to a likelihood of the occurrence of a clinical outcome related to a renal injury suffered by the subject. For example, the measured concentration(s) may each be compared to a threshold value. For a “positive going” kidney injury marker, an increased likelihood of one or more of: acute kidney injury, progression to a worsening stage of AKI, mortality, a requirement for renal replacement therapy, a requirement for withdrawal of renal toxins, end stage renal disease, heart failure, stroke, myocardial infarction, progression to chronic kidney disease, etc., is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is above the threshold, relative to a likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold. For a “negative going” kidney injury marker, an increased likelihood of one or more of: acute kidney injury, progression to a worsening stage of AKI, mortality, a requirement for renal replacement therapy, a requirement for withdrawal of renal toxins, end stage renal disease, heart failure, stroke, myocardial infarction, progression to chronic kidney disease, etc., is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is below the threshold, relative to a likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold.

[0022] In such risk stratification embodiments, preferably the likelihood or risk assigned is that an event of interest is more or less likely to occur within 180 days of the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained from the subject. In particularly preferred embodiments, the likelihood or risk assigned relates to an event of interest occurring within a shorter time period such as 18 months, 120 days, 90 days, 60 days, 45 days, 30 days, 21 days, 14 days, 7 days, 5 days, 96 hours, 72 hours, 48 hours, 36 hours, 24 hours, 12 hours, or less. A risk at 0 hours of the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained from the subject is equivalent to diagnosis of a current condition.

[0023] In preferred risk stratification embodiments, the subject is selected for risk stratification based on the pre-existence in the subject of one or more known risk factors for prerenal, intrinsic renal, or postrenal ARF. For example, a subject undergoing or having undergone major vascular surgery, coronary artery bypass, or other cardiac surgery; a subject having pre-existing congestive heart failure, preeclampsia, eclampsia, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, coronary artery disease, proteinuria, renal insufficiency, glomerular filtration below the normal range, cirrhosis, serum creatinine above the normal range, or sepsis; or a subject exposed to NSAIDs, cyclosporines, tacrolimus, aminoglycosides, foscarnet, ethylene glycol, hemoglobin, myoglobin, ifosfamide, heavy metals, methotrexate, radiopaque contrast agents, or streptozotocin are all preferred subjects for monitoring risks according to the methods described herein. This list is not meant to be limiting. By "pre-existence" in this context is meant that the risk factor exists at the time the body fluid sample is obtained from the subject. In particularly preferred embodiments, a subject is chosen for risk stratification based on an existing diagnosis of injury to renal function, reduced renal function, or ARF.

[0024] In other embodiments, the methods for evaluating renal status described herein are methods for diagnosing a renal injury in the subject; that is, assessing whether or not a subject has suffered from an injury to renal function, reduced renal function, or ARF. In these embodiments, the assay result(s), for example measured concentration(s) of one or more biomarkers selected from the group consisting of Transforming growth factor beta-1, Transforming growth factor beta-2, Transforming growth factor beta-3, and Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1 is/are correlated to the occurrence or nonoccurrence of a change in renal status. The following are preferred diagnostic embodiments.

[0025] In preferred diagnostic embodiments, these methods comprise diagnosing the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an injury to renal function, and the assay result(s) is/are

correlated to the occurrence or nonoccurrence of such an injury. For example, each of the measured concentration(s) may be compared to a threshold value. For a positive going marker, an increased likelihood of the occurrence of an injury to renal function is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is above the threshold (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold); alternatively, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, an increased likelihood of the nonoccurrence of an injury to renal function may be assigned to the subject (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold). For a negative going marker, an increased likelihood of the occurrence of an injury to renal function is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is below the threshold (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold); alternatively, when the measured concentration is above the threshold, an increased likelihood of the nonoccurrence of an injury to renal function may be assigned to the subject (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold).

[0026] In other preferred diagnostic embodiments, these methods comprise diagnosing the occurrence or nonoccurrence of reduced renal function, and the assay result(s) is/are correlated to the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an injury causing reduced renal function. For example, each of the measured concentration(s) may be compared to a threshold value. For a positive going marker, an increased likelihood of the occurrence of an injury causing reduced renal function is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is above the threshold (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold); alternatively, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, an increased likelihood of the nonoccurrence of an injury causing reduced renal function may be assigned to the subject (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold). For a negative going marker, an increased likelihood of the occurrence of an injury causing reduced renal function is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is below the threshold (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold); alternatively, when the measured concentration is above the threshold, an increased likelihood of the nonoccurrence of an injury causing reduced renal function may be assigned to the subject (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold).

[0027] In yet other preferred diagnostic embodiments, these methods comprise diagnosing the occurrence or nonoccurrence of ARF, and the assay result(s) is/are correlated to the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an injury causing ARF. For example, each of the measured concentration(s) may be compared to a threshold value. For a positive going marker, an increased likelihood of the occurrence of ARF is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is above the threshold (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold); alternatively, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, an increased likelihood of the nonoccurrence of ARF may be assigned to the subject (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold). For a negative going marker, an increased likelihood of the occurrence of ARF is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is below the threshold (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold); alternatively, when the measured concentration is above the threshold, an increased likelihood of the nonoccurrence of ARF may be assigned to the subject (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold).

[0028] In still other preferred diagnostic embodiments, these methods comprise diagnosing a subject as being in need of renal replacement therapy, and the assay result(s) is/are correlated to a need for renal replacement therapy. For example, each of the measured concentration(s) may be compared to a threshold value. For a positive going marker, an increased likelihood of the occurrence of an injury creating a need for renal replacement therapy is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is above the threshold (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold); alternatively, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, an increased likelihood of the nonoccurrence of an injury creating a need for renal replacement therapy may be assigned to the subject (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold). For a negative going marker, an increased likelihood of the occurrence of an injury creating a need for renal replacement therapy is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is below the threshold (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold); alternatively, when the measured concentration is above the threshold, an increased likelihood of the nonoccurrence of an injury creating a need for

renal replacement therapy may be assigned to the subject (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold).

[0029] In still other preferred diagnostic embodiments, these methods comprise diagnosing a subject as being in need of renal transplantation, and the assay result(s) is/are correlated to a need for renal transplantation. For example, each of the measured concentration(s) may be compared to a threshold value. For a positive going marker, an increased likelihood of the occurrence of an injury creating a need for renal transplantation is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is above the threshold (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold); alternatively, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, an increased likelihood of the nonoccurrence of an injury creating a need for renal transplantation may be assigned to the subject (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold). For a negative going marker, an increased likelihood of the occurrence of an injury creating a need for renal transplantation is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is below the threshold (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold); alternatively, when the measured concentration is above the threshold, an increased likelihood of the nonoccurrence of an injury creating a need for renal transplantation may be assigned to the subject (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold).

[0030] In still other embodiments, the methods for evaluating renal status described herein are methods for monitoring a renal injury in the subject; that is, assessing whether or not renal function is improving or worsening in a subject who has suffered from an injury to renal function, reduced renal function, or ARF. In these embodiments, the assay result(s), for example measured concentration(s) of one or more biomarkers selected from the group consisting of Transforming growth factor beta-1, Transforming growth factor beta-2, Transforming growth factor beta-3, and Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1 is/are correlated to the occurrence or nonoccurrence of a change in renal status. The following are preferred monitoring embodiments.

[0031] In preferred monitoring embodiments, these methods comprise monitoring renal status in a subject suffering from an injury to renal function, and the assay result(s) is/are correlated to the occurrence or nonoccurrence of a change in renal status in the subject. For example, the measured concentration(s) may be compared to a threshold

value. For a positive going marker, when the measured concentration is above the threshold, a worsening of renal function may be assigned to the subject; alternatively, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, an improvement of renal function may be assigned to the subject. For a negative going marker, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, a worsening of renal function may be assigned to the subject; alternatively, when the measured concentration is above the threshold, an improvement of renal function may be assigned to the subject.

[0032] In other preferred monitoring embodiments, these methods comprise monitoring renal status in a subject suffering from reduced renal function, and the assay result(s) is/are correlated to the occurrence or nonoccurrence of a change in renal status in the subject. For example, the measured concentration(s) may be compared to a threshold value. For a positive going marker, when the measured concentration is above the threshold, a worsening of renal function may be assigned to the subject; alternatively, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, an improvement of renal function may be assigned to the subject. For a negative going marker, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, a worsening of renal function may be assigned to the subject; alternatively, when the measured concentration is above the threshold, an improvement of renal function may be assigned to the subject.

[0033] In yet other preferred monitoring embodiments, these methods comprise monitoring renal status in a subject suffering from acute renal failure, and the assay result(s) is/are correlated to the occurrence or nonoccurrence of a change in renal status in the subject. For example, the measured concentration(s) may be compared to a threshold value. For a positive going marker, when the measured concentration is above the threshold, a worsening of renal function may be assigned to the subject; alternatively, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, an improvement of renal function may be assigned to the subject. For a negative going marker, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, a worsening of renal function may be assigned to the subject; alternatively, when the measured concentration is above the threshold, an improvement of renal function may be assigned to the subject.

[0034] In other additional preferred monitoring embodiments, these methods comprise monitoring renal status in a subject at risk of an injury to renal function due to the pre-existence of one or more known risk factors for prerenal, intrinsic renal, or postrenal ARF, and the assay result(s) is/are correlated to the occurrence or

nonoccurrence of a change in renal status in the subject. For example, the measured concentration(s) may be compared to a threshold value. For a positive going marker, when the measured concentration is above the threshold, a worsening of renal function may be assigned to the subject; alternatively, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, an improvement of renal function may be assigned to the subject. For a negative going marker, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, a worsening of renal function may be assigned to the subject; alternatively, when the measured concentration is above the threshold, an improvement of renal function may be assigned to the subject.

[0035] In still other embodiments, the methods for evaluating renal status described herein are methods for classifying a renal injury in the subject; that is, determining whether a renal injury in a subject is prerenal, intrinsic renal, or postrenal; and/or further subdividing these classes into subclasses such as acute tubular injury, acute glomerulonephritis acute tubulointerstitial nephritis, acute vascular nephropathy, or infiltrative disease; and/or assigning a likelihood that a subject will progress to a particular RIFLE stage. In these embodiments, the assay result(s), for example measured concentration(s) of one or more biomarkers selected from the group consisting of Transforming growth factor beta-1, Transforming growth factor beta-2, Transforming growth factor beta-3, and Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1 is/are correlated to a particular class and/or subclass. The following are preferred classification embodiments.

[0036] In preferred classification embodiments, these methods comprise determining whether a renal injury in a subject is prerenal, intrinsic renal, or postrenal; and/or further subdividing these classes into subclasses such as acute tubular injury, acute glomerulonephritis acute tubulointerstitial nephritis, acute vascular nephropathy, or infiltrative disease; and/or assigning a likelihood that a subject will progress to a particular RIFLE stage, and the assay result(s) is/are correlated to the injury classification for the subject. For example, the measured concentration may be compared to a threshold value, and when the measured concentration is above the threshold, a particular classification is assigned; alternatively, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, a different classification may be assigned to the subject.

[0037] A variety of methods may be used by the skilled artisan to arrive at a desired threshold value for use in these methods. For example, the threshold value may be determined from a population of normal subjects by selecting a concentration

representing the 75th, 85th, 90th, 95th, or 99th percentile of a kidney injury marker measured in such normal subjects. Alternatively, the threshold value may be determined from a “diseased” population of subjects, e.g., those suffering from an injury or having a predisposition for an injury (e.g., progression to ARF or some other clinical outcome such as death, dialysis, renal transplantation, etc.), by selecting a concentration representing the 75th, 85th, 90th, 95th, or 99th percentile of a kidney injury marker measured in such subjects. In another alternative, the threshold value may be determined from a prior measurement of a kidney injury marker in the same subject; that is, a temporal change in the level of a kidney injury marker in the subject may be used to assign risk to the subject.

[0038] The foregoing discussion is not meant to imply, however, that the kidney injury markers of the present invention must be compared to corresponding individual thresholds. Methods for combining assay results can comprise the use of multivariate logistical regression, loglinear modeling, neural network analysis, n-of-m analysis, decision tree analysis, calculating ratios of markers, etc. This list is not meant to be limiting. In these methods, a composite result which is determined by combining individual markers may be treated as if it is itself a marker; that is, a threshold may be determined for the composite result as described herein for individual markers, and the composite result for an individual patient compared to this threshold.

[0039] The ability of a particular test to distinguish two populations can be established using ROC analysis. For example, ROC curves established from a “first” subpopulation which is predisposed to one or more future changes in renal status, and a “second” subpopulation which is not so predisposed can be used to calculate a ROC curve, and the area under the curve provides a measure of the quality of the test. Preferably, the tests described herein provide a ROC curve area greater than 0.5, preferably at least 0.6, more preferably 0.7, still more preferably at least 0.8, even more preferably at least 0.9, and most preferably at least 0.95.

[0040] In certain aspects, the measured concentration of one or more kidney injury markers, or a composite of such markers, may be treated as continuous variables. For example, any particular concentration can be converted into a corresponding probability of a future reduction in renal function for the subject, the occurrence of an injury, a classification, etc. In yet another alternative, a threshold that can provide an acceptable level of specificity and sensitivity in separating a population of subjects into “bins” such as a “first” subpopulation (e.g., which is predisposed to one or more future changes in

renal status, the occurrence of an injury, a classification, etc.) and a “second” subpopulation which is not so predisposed. A threshold value is selected to separate this first and second population by one or more of the following measures of test accuracy:

an odds ratio greater than 1, preferably at least about 2 or more or about 0.5 or less, more preferably at least about 3 or more or about 0.33 or less, still more preferably at least about 4 or more or about 0.25 or less, even more preferably at least about 5 or more or about 0.2 or less, and most preferably at least about 10 or more or about 0.1 or less;

a specificity of greater than 0.5, preferably at least about 0.6, more preferably at least about 0.7, still more preferably at least about 0.8, even more preferably at least about 0.9 and most preferably at least about 0.95, with a corresponding sensitivity greater than 0.2, preferably greater than about 0.3, more preferably greater than about 0.4, still more preferably at least about 0.5, even more preferably about 0.6, yet more preferably greater than about 0.7, still more preferably greater than about 0.8, more preferably greater than about 0.9, and most preferably greater than about 0.95;

a sensitivity of greater than 0.5, preferably at least about 0.6, more preferably at least about 0.7, still more preferably at least about 0.8, even more preferably at least about 0.9 and most preferably at least about 0.95, with a corresponding specificity greater than 0.2, preferably greater than about 0.3, more preferably greater than about 0.4, still more preferably at least about 0.5, even more preferably about 0.6, yet more preferably greater than about 0.7, still more preferably greater than about 0.8, more preferably greater than about 0.9, and most preferably greater than about 0.95;

at least about 75% sensitivity, combined with at least about 75% specificity;

a positive likelihood ratio (calculated as $\text{sensitivity}/(1-\text{specificity})$) of greater than 1, at least about 2, more preferably at least about 3, still more preferably at least about 5, and most preferably at least about 10; or

a negative likelihood ratio (calculated as $(1-\text{sensitivity})/\text{specificity}$) of less than 1, less than or equal to about 0.5, more preferably less than or equal to about 0.3, and most preferably less than or equal to about 0.1.

The term “about” in the context of any of the above measurements refers to $\pm 5\%$ of a given measurement.

[0041] Multiple thresholds may also be used to assess renal status in a subject. For example, a “first” subpopulation which is predisposed to one or more future changes in renal status, the occurrence of an injury, a classification, etc., and a “second” subpopulation which is not so predisposed can be combined into a single group. This group is then subdivided into three or more equal parts (known as tertiles, quartiles, quintiles, etc., depending on the number of subdivisions). An odds ratio is assigned to subjects based on which subdivision they fall into. If one considers a tertile, the lowest or highest tertile can be used as a reference for comparison of the other subdivisions. This reference subdivision is assigned an odds ratio of 1. The second tertile is assigned an odds ratio that is relative to that first tertile. That is, someone in the second tertile might be 3 times more likely to suffer one or more future changes in renal status in comparison to someone in the first tertile. The third tertile is also assigned an odds ratio that is relative to that first tertile.

[0042] In certain embodiments, the assay method is an immunoassay. Antibodies for use in such assays will specifically bind a full length kidney injury marker of interest, and may also bind one or more polypeptides that are “related” thereto, as that term is defined hereinafter. Numerous immunoassay formats are known to those of skill in the art. Preferred body fluid samples are selected from the group consisting of urine, blood, serum, saliva, tears, and plasma. In the case of those kidney injury markers which are membrane proteins as described hereinafter, preferred assays detect soluble forms thereof.

[0043] The foregoing method steps should not be interpreted to mean that the kidney injury marker assay result(s) is/are used in isolation in the methods described herein. Rather, additional variables or other clinical indicia may be included in the methods described herein. For example, a risk stratification, diagnostic, classification, monitoring, etc. method may combine the assay result(s) with one or more variables measured for the subject selected from the group consisting of demographic information (e.g., weight, sex, age, race), medical history (e.g., family history, type of surgery, pre-existing disease such as aneurism, congestive heart failure, preeclampsia, eclampsia, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, coronary artery disease, proteinuria, renal insufficiency, or sepsis, type of toxin exposure such as NSAIDs, cyclosporines, tacrolimus, aminoglycosides, foscarnet, ethylene glycol, hemoglobin, myoglobin, ifosfamide, heavy metals, methotrexate, radiopaque contrast agents, or streptozotocin), clinical variables (e.g., blood pressure, temperature, respiration rate), risk scores (APACHE score, PREDICT score, TIMI Risk

Score for UA/NSTEMI, Framingham Risk Score, risk scores of Thakar et al. (J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 16: 162-68, 2005), Mehran et al. (J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 44: 1393-99, 2004), Wijeyesundera et al. (JAMA 297: 1801-9, 2007), Goldstein and Chawla (Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 5: 943-49, 2010), or Chawla et al. (Kidney Intl. 68: 2274-80, 2005)), a glomerular filtration rate, an estimated glomerular filtration rate, a urine production rate, a serum or plasma creatinine concentration, a urine creatinine concentration, a fractional excretion of sodium, a urine sodium concentration, a urine creatinine to serum or plasma creatinine ratio, a urine specific gravity, a urine osmolality, a urine urea nitrogen to plasma urea nitrogen ratio, a plasma BUN to creatinine ratio, a renal failure index calculated as urine sodium / (urine creatinine / plasma creatinine), a serum or plasma neutrophil gelatinase (NGAL) concentration, a urine NGAL concentration, a serum or plasma cystatin C concentration, a serum or plasma cardiac troponin concentration, a serum or plasma BNP concentration, a serum or plasma NTproBNP concentration, and a serum or plasma proBNP concentration. Other measures of renal function which may be combined with one or more kidney injury marker assay result(s) are described hereinafter and in Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine, 17th Ed., McGraw Hill, New York, pages 1741-1830, and Current Medical Diagnosis & Treatment 2008, 47th Ed, McGraw Hill, New York, pages 785-815, each of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

[0044] When more than one marker is measured, the individual markers may be measured in samples obtained at the same time, or may be determined from samples obtained at different (e.g., an earlier or later) times. The individual markers may also be measured on the same or different body fluid samples. For example, one kidney injury marker may be measured in a serum or plasma sample and another kidney injury marker may be measured in a urine sample. In addition, assignment of a likelihood may combine an individual kidney injury marker assay result with temporal changes in one or more additional variables.

[0045] In various related aspects, the present invention also relates to devices and kits for performing the methods described herein. Suitable kits comprise reagents sufficient for performing an assay for at least one of the described kidney injury markers, together with instructions for performing the described threshold comparisons.

[0046] In certain embodiments, reagents for performing such assays are provided in an assay device, and such assay devices may be included in such a kit. Preferred reagents

can comprise one or more solid phase antibodies, the solid phase antibody comprising antibody that detects the intended biomarker target(s) bound to a solid support. In the case of sandwich immunoassays, such reagents can also include one or more detectably labeled antibodies, the detectably labeled antibody comprising antibody that detects the intended biomarker target(s) bound to a detectable label. Additional optional elements that may be provided as part of an assay device are described hereinafter.

[0047] Detectable labels may include molecules that are themselves detectable (e.g., fluorescent moieties, electrochemical labels, ecl (electrochemical luminescence) labels, metal chelates, colloidal metal particles, etc.) as well as molecules that may be indirectly detected by production of a detectable reaction product (e.g., enzymes such as horseradish peroxidase, alkaline phosphatase, etc.) or through the use of a specific binding molecule which itself may be detectable (e.g., a labeled antibody that binds to the second antibody, biotin, digoxigenin, maltose, oligohistidine, 2,4-dinitrobenzene, phenylarsenate, ssDNA, dsDNA, etc.).

[0048] Generation of a signal from the signal development element can be performed using various optical, acoustical, and electrochemical methods well known in the art. Examples of detection modes include fluorescence, radiochemical detection, reflectance, absorbance, amperometry, conductance, impedance, interferometry, ellipsometry, etc. In certain of these methods, the solid phase antibody is coupled to a transducer (e.g., a diffraction grating, electrochemical sensor, etc) for generation of a signal, while in others, a signal is generated by a transducer that is spatially separate from the solid phase antibody (e.g., a fluorometer that employs an excitation light source and an optical detector). This list is not meant to be limiting. Antibody-based biosensors may also be employed to determine the presence or amount of analytes that optionally eliminate the need for a labeled molecule.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

[0049] The present invention relates to methods and compositions for diagnosis, differential diagnosis, risk stratification, monitoring, classifying and determination of treatment regimens in subjects suffering or at risk of suffering from injury to renal function, reduced renal function and/or acute renal failure through measurement of one or more kidney injury markers. In various embodiments, a measured concentration of one or more biomarkers selected from the group consisting of Transforming growth factor beta-

1, Transforming growth factor beta-2, Transforming growth factor beta-3, and Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1 or one or more markers related thereto, are correlated to the renal status of the subject.

[0050] For purposes of this document, the following definitions apply:

[0051] As used herein, an “injury to renal function” is an abrupt (within 14 days, preferably within 7 days, more preferably within 72 hours, and still more preferably within 48 hours) measurable reduction in a measure of renal function. Such an injury may be identified, for example, by a decrease in glomerular filtration rate or estimated GFR, a reduction in urine output, an increase in serum creatinine, an increase in serum cystatin C, a requirement for renal replacement therapy, *etc.* “Improvement in Renal Function” is an abrupt (within 14 days, preferably within 7 days, more preferably within 72 hours, and still more preferably within 48 hours) measurable increase in a measure of renal function. Preferred methods for measuring and/or estimating GFR are described hereinafter.

[0052] As used herein, “reduced renal function” is an abrupt (within 14 days, preferably within 7 days, more preferably within 72 hours, and still more preferably within 48 hours) reduction in kidney function identified by an absolute increase in serum creatinine of greater than or equal to 0.1 mg/dL ($\geq 8.8 \mu\text{mol/L}$), a percentage increase in serum creatinine of greater than or equal to 20% (1.2-fold from baseline), or a reduction in urine output (documented oliguria of less than 0.5 ml/kg per hour).

[0053] As used herein, “acute renal failure” or “ARF” is an abrupt (within 14 days, preferably within 7 days, more preferably within 72 hours, and still more preferably within 48 hours) reduction in kidney function identified by an absolute increase in serum creatinine of greater than or equal to 0.3 mg/dl ($\geq 26.4 \mu\text{mol/l}$), a percentage increase in serum creatinine of greater than or equal to 50% (1.5-fold from baseline), or a reduction in urine output (documented oliguria of less than 0.5 ml/kg per hour for at least 6 hours). This term is synonymous with “acute kidney injury” or “AKI.”

[0054] As used herein, the term “Transforming growth factor beta-1” refers to one or more polypeptides present in a biological sample that are derived from the Transforming growth factor beta-1 precursor (human sequence: Swiss-Prot P01137 (SEQ ID NO: 1))

```

      10      20      30      40      50      60
MPPSGLRLLL LLLPLLWLLV LTPGRPAAGL STCKTIDMEL VKRKRIEAIK GQILSKLRLA

      70      80      90     100     110     120
SPPSQGEVPP GPLPEAVLAL YNSTRDRVAG ESAEPEPEPE ADYYAKEVTR VLMVETHNEI

     130     140     150     160     170     180
YDKFKQSTHS IYMFNTSEL REAVPEPVLL SRAELRLLRL KLVQEQHVEL YQKYSNNNSWR

     190     200     210     220     230     240
YLSNRLAPAS DSPEWLSFDV TGVVRQWLSR GGEIEGFRLS AHCSCDSRDN TLQVDINGFT

     250     260     270     280     290     300
TGRRGDLATI HGMNRPFLLL MATPLERAQH LQSSRHRRAL DTNYCFSSTE KNCCVRQLYI

     310     320     330     340     350     360
DFRKDLGWKW IHEPKGYHAN FCLGPCPYIW SLDTQYSKVL ALYNQHNPQA SAAPCCVPQA

     370     380     390
LEPLPIVYYV GRKPKVEQLS NMIVRSCKCS

```

[0055] Most preferably, the assay detects the total active + latent Transforming growth factor beta-1. Preferred assays specifically detect the active form of Transforming growth factor beta-1 relative to the inactive pro form, but inactive forms may be converted to active prior to assay. The following domains have been identified in Transforming growth factor beta-1:

Residues	Length	Domain ID
1-29	29	signal peptide
30-278	249	Latency associated peptide
279-390	112	Transforming growth factor beta-1

[0056] As used herein, the term “Transforming growth factor beta-2” refers to one or more polypeptides present in a biological sample that are derived from the Transforming growth factor beta-2 precursor (human sequence: Swiss-Prot P61812 (SEQ ID NO: 2))

```

      10      20      30      40      50      60
MHYCVLSAFL ILHLVTVALS LSTCSTLDMD QFMRKRIEAI RGQILSKLKL TSPPEDYPEP

      70      80      90     100     110     120
EEVPPEVISI YNSTRDLLQE KASRRAAACE RERSDEEYYA KEVYKIDMPP FFPSENAIPP

     130     140     150     160     170     180
TFYRPFYFRIV RFDVSAMEKN ASNLVKAEFR VFRLQNPKAR VPEQRIELYQ ILKSKDLTSP

     190     200     210     220     230     240
TQRYIDSKVV KTRAEGEWLS FDVTDVHEW LHHKDRNLGF KISLHCPCT FVPSNNYIIP

```

```

      250      260      270      280      290      300
NKSEELARF AGIDGTSTYT SGDQKTIKST RKKNSGKTPH LLLMLLPSYR LESQQTNRRK

      310      320      330      340      350      360
KRALDAAYCF RNVQDNCCLR PLYIDFKRDL GWKWIHEPKG YNANFCAGAC PYLWSSDTQH

      370      380      390      400      410
SRVLSLYNTI NPEASASPCC VSQDLEPLTI LYYIGKTPKI EQLSNMIVKS CKCS

```

[0057] Most preferably, the assay detects the total active + latent Transforming growth factor beta-2. Preferred assays specifically detect the active form of Transforming growth factor beta-2 relative to the inactive pro form, but inactive forms may be converted to active prior to assay. The following domains have been identified in Transforming growth factor beta-2:

Residues	Length	Domain ID
1-19	19	signal peptide
20-302	283	propeptide
303-414	112	Transforming growth factor beta-2
116	1	N → TVCPVVTTSPSGSVGSLCSRQ SQVLCGYLD (SEQ ID NO: 3) in isoform B

[0058] As used herein, the term “Transforming growth factor beta-3” refers to one or more polypeptides present in a biological sample that are derived from the Transforming growth factor beta-3 precursor (human precursor: Swiss-Prot P10600 (SEQ ID NO: 4))

```

      10      20      30      40      50      60
MKMHLQRALV VLALLNFATV SLSLSTCTTL DFGHIKKRV EAIRGQILSK LRLTSPPEPT

      70      80      90     100     110     120
VMTHVPYQVL ALYNSTRELL EEMHGEREEG CTQENTESEY YAKEIHKFDM IQGLAEHNEL

      130     140     150     160     170     180
AVCPKGITSK VFRFNVSSVE KNRTNLFRAE FRVLRVPNPS SKRNEQRIEL FQILRPDEHI

      190     200     210     220     230     240
AKQRYIGGKN LPTRGTAEWL SFDVTDTVRE WLLRRESNLG LEISIHCPCH TFQPNGDILE

      250     260     270     280     290     300
NIHEVMEIKF KGVDNEDDHG RGDLGRLKKQ KDHHNPHLIL MMIPPHRLDN PGQGGQRKKR

      310     320     330     340     350     360
ALDTNYCFRN LEENCCVRPL YIDFRQDLGW KVVHEPKGYY ANFCSGPCPY LRSADTTHST

      370     380     390     400     410
VLGLYNTLNP EASASPCCVP QDLEPLTILY YVGRTPKVEQ LSNMVKVSKS CS

```


[0059] Most preferably, the assay detects the total active + latent Transforming growth factor beta-3. Preferred assays specifically detect the active form of Transforming growth factor beta-3 relative to the inactive pro form, but inactive forms may be converted to active prior to assay. The following domains have been identified in Transforming growth factor beta-3:

Residues	Length	Domain ID
1-20	20	signal peptide
21-300	280	propeptide
301-412	112	Transforming growth factor beta-3

[0060] As used herein, the term "Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1" refers to one or more polypeptides present in a biological sample that are derived from the Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1 precursor (human sequence: Swiss-Prot Q01638 (SEQ ID NO: 5))

```

      10      20      30      40      50      60
MGFWILAILT ILMYSTAAKF SKQSWGLENE ALIVRCPRQG KPSYTVDWYY SQTNKS IPTQ

      70      80      90     100     110     120
ERNRVFASGQ LLKFLPAAVA DSGIYTCIVR SPTFNRTGYA NVTIYKKQSD CNVPDYL MYS

     130     140     150     160     170     180
TVSGSEKNSK IYCPTIDL N WTAPLEWFKN CQALQGSRYR AHKSFLVIDN VMTEDAGDYT

     190     200     210     220     230     240
CKFIHNENGA NYSVTATRSF TVKDEQGFSL FPGVIGAPAQN EIKEVEIGKN ANLTCSACFG

     250     260     270     280     290     300
KGTQFLAAVL WQLNGTKITD FGEPRIQQEE GQNQSFSNGL ACLDMVLRIA DVKEEDLLLQ

     310     320     330     340     350     360
YDCLALNLHG LRRHTVRLSR KNPIDHHSIY CIIAVCSVFL MLINLVLIIL KMFWIEATLL

     370     380     390     400     410     420
WRDIAKPYKT RNDGKLYDAY VVYPRNYKSS TDGASRVEHF VHQILPDVLE NKC GYTLCIY

     430     440     450     460     470     480
GRDMLPGEDV VTAVETNIRK SRRHIFILTP QITHNKEFAY EQEVALHCAL IQNDAKVILI

     490     500     510     520     530     540
EMEALSELDM LQAEALQDSL QHLMKVQGTI KWREDHIANK RSLNSKFWKH VRYQMPVPSK

     550
IPRKASSLTP LAAQKQ

```

[0061] Most preferably, the Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1 assay detects one or more soluble forms of Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1. Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1 is a single-pass type I membrane protein having a large extracellular domain, most or all of which is present in soluble forms of Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1 generated either through alternative splicing event which deletes all or a portion of the transmembrane domain, or by proteolysis of the membrane-bound form. In the case of an immunoassay, one or more antibodies that bind to epitopes within this extracellular domain may be used to detect these soluble form(s). The following domains have been identified in Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1:

Residues	Length	Domain ID
19-556	538	Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1
1-18	18	signal peptide
350-556	207	cytoplasmic domain
329-349	21	transmembrane domain
19-328	310	extracellular domain
234-328		IDHHS (SEQ ID NO: 6) → SKECF (SEQ ID NO: 7) in isoform B
329-556		missing in isoform B
204-259		DEQ...KIT → VWCQSFCCKLKKSLIFSNTHW IQSLMRGFVMVYYGVHKCCR VVFNLCCLQYFQHHQWP (SEQ ID NO: 8) in isoform C
260-556		missing in isoform C

[0062] As used herein, the term “relating a signal to the presence or amount” of an analyte reflects the following understanding. Assay signals are typically related to the presence or amount of an analyte through the use of a standard curve calculated using known concentrations of the analyte of interest. As the term is used herein, an assay is “configured to detect” an analyte if an assay can generate a detectable signal indicative of the presence or amount of a physiologically relevant concentration of the analyte. Because an antibody epitope is on the order of 8 amino acids, an immunoassay configured to detect a marker of interest will also detect polypeptides related to the

marker sequence, so long as those polypeptides contain the epitope(s) necessary to bind to the antibody or antibodies used in the assay. The term “related marker” as used herein with regard to a biomarker such as one of the kidney injury markers described herein refers to one or more fragments, variants, etc., of a particular marker or its biosynthetic parent that may be detected as a surrogate for the marker itself or as independent biomarkers. The term also refers to one or more polypeptides present in a biological sample that are derived from the biomarker precursor complexed to additional species, such as binding proteins, receptors, heparin, lipids, sugars, etc.

[0063] In this regard, the skilled artisan will understand that the signals obtained from an immunoassay are a direct result of complexes formed between one or more antibodies and the target biomolecule (*i.e.*, the analyte) and polypeptides containing the necessary epitope(s) to which the antibodies bind. While such assays may detect the full length biomarker and the assay result be expressed as a concentration of a biomarker of interest, the signal from the assay is actually a result of all such “immunoreactive” polypeptides present in the sample. Expression of biomarkers may also be determined by means other than immunoassays, including protein measurements (such as dot blots, western blots, chromatographic methods, mass spectrometry, *etc.*) and nucleic acid measurements (mRNA quantitation). This list is not meant to be limiting.

[0064] The term “positive going” marker as that term is used herein refer to a marker that is determined to be elevated in subjects suffering from a disease or condition, relative to subjects not suffering from that disease or condition. The term “negative going” marker as that term is used herein refer to a marker that is determined to be reduced in subjects suffering from a disease or condition, relative to subjects not suffering from that disease or condition.

[0065] The term “subject” as used herein refers to a human or non-human organism. Thus, the methods and compositions described herein are applicable to both human and veterinary disease. Further, while a subject is preferably a living organism, the invention described herein may be used in post-mortem analysis as well. Preferred subjects are humans, and most preferably “patients,” which as used herein refers to living humans that are receiving medical care for a disease or condition. This includes persons with no defined illness who are being investigated for signs of pathology.

[0066] Preferably, an analyte is measured in a sample. Such a sample may be obtained from a subject, or may be obtained from biological materials intended to be provided to the subject. For example, a sample may be obtained from a kidney being evaluated for possible transplantation into a subject, and an analyte measurement used to evaluate the kidney for preexisting damage. Preferred samples are body fluid samples.

[0067] The term “body fluid sample” as used herein refers to a sample of bodily fluid obtained for the purpose of diagnosis, prognosis, classification or evaluation of a subject of interest, such as a patient or transplant donor. In certain embodiments, such a sample may be obtained for the purpose of determining the outcome of an ongoing condition or the effect of a treatment regimen on a condition. Preferred body fluid samples include blood, serum, plasma, cerebrospinal fluid, urine, saliva, sputum, and pleural effusions. In addition, one of skill in the art would realize that certain body fluid samples would be more readily analyzed following a fractionation or purification procedure, for example, separation of whole blood into serum or plasma components.

[0068] The term “diagnosis” as used herein refers to methods by which the skilled artisan can estimate and/or determine the probability (“a likelihood”) of whether or not a patient is suffering from a given disease or condition. In the case of the present invention, “diagnosis” includes using the results of an assay, most preferably an immunoassay, for a kidney injury marker of the present invention, optionally together with other clinical characteristics, to arrive at a diagnosis (that is, the occurrence or nonoccurrence) of an acute renal injury or ARF for the subject from which a sample was obtained and assayed. That such a diagnosis is “determined” is not meant to imply that the diagnosis is 100% accurate. Many biomarkers are indicative of multiple conditions. The skilled clinician does not use biomarker results in an informational vacuum, but rather test results are used together with other clinical indicia to arrive at a diagnosis. Thus, a measured biomarker level on one side of a predetermined diagnostic threshold indicates a greater likelihood of the occurrence of disease in the subject relative to a measured level on the other side of the predetermined diagnostic threshold.

[0069] Similarly, a prognostic risk signals a probability (“a likelihood”) that a given course or outcome will occur. A level or a change in level of a prognostic indicator, which in turn is associated with an increased probability of morbidity (e.g., worsening renal function, future ARF, or death) is referred to as being “indicative of an increased likelihood” of an adverse outcome in a patient.

[0070] Marker Assays

[0071] In general, immunoassays involve contacting a sample containing or suspected of containing a biomarker of interest with at least one antibody that specifically binds to the biomarker. A signal is then generated indicative of the presence or amount of complexes formed by the binding of polypeptides in the sample to the antibody. The signal is then related to the presence or amount of the biomarker in the sample. Numerous methods and devices are well known to the skilled artisan for the detection and analysis of biomarkers. *See, e.g.*, U.S. Patents 6,143,576; 6,113,855; 6,019,944; 5,985,579; 5,947,124; 5,939,272; 5,922,615; 5,885,527; 5,851,776; 5,824,799; 5,679,526; 5,525,524; and 5,480,792, and *The Immunoassay Handbook*, David Wild, ed. Stockton Press, New York, 1994, each of which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety, including all tables, figures and claims.

[0072] The assay devices and methods known in the art can utilize labeled molecules in various sandwich, competitive, or non-competitive assay formats, to generate a signal that is related to the presence or amount of the biomarker of interest. Suitable assay formats also include chromatographic, mass spectrographic, and protein “blotting” methods. Additionally, certain methods and devices, such as biosensors and optical immunoassays, may be employed to determine the presence or amount of analytes without the need for a labeled molecule. *See, e.g.*, U.S. Patents 5,631,171; and 5,955,377, each of which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety, including all tables, figures and claims. One skilled in the art also recognizes that robotic instrumentation including but not limited to Beckman ACCESS®, Abbott AXSYM®, Roche ELECSYS®, Dade Behring STRATUS® systems are among the immunoassay analyzers that are capable of performing immunoassays. But any suitable immunoassay may be utilized, for example, enzyme-linked immunoassays (ELISA), radioimmunoassays (RIAs), competitive binding assays, and the like.

[0073] Antibodies or other polypeptides may be immobilized onto a variety of solid supports for use in assays. Solid phases that may be used to immobilize specific binding members include those developed and/or used as solid phases in solid phase binding assays. Examples of suitable solid phases include membrane filters, cellulose-based papers, beads (including polymeric, latex and paramagnetic particles), glass, silicon wafers, microparticles, nanoparticles, TentaGels, AgroGels, PEGA gels, SPOCC gels, and multiple-well plates. An assay strip could be prepared by coating the antibody or a

plurality of antibodies in an array on solid support. This strip could then be dipped into the test sample and then processed quickly through washes and detection steps to generate a measurable signal, such as a colored spot. Antibodies or other polypeptides may be bound to specific zones of assay devices either by conjugating directly to an assay device surface, or by indirect binding. In an example of the later case, antibodies or other polypeptides may be immobilized on particles or other solid supports, and that solid support immobilized to the device surface.

[0074] Biological assays require methods for detection, and one of the most common methods for quantitation of results is to conjugate a detectable label to a protein or nucleic acid that has affinity for one of the components in the biological system being studied. Detectable labels may include molecules that are themselves detectable (*e.g.*, fluorescent moieties, electrochemical labels, metal chelates, *etc.*) as well as molecules that may be indirectly detected by production of a detectable reaction product (*e.g.*, enzymes such as horseradish peroxidase, alkaline phosphatase, *etc.*) or by a specific binding molecule which itself may be detectable (*e.g.*, biotin, digoxigenin, maltose, oligohistidine, 2,4-dinitrobenzene, phenylarsenate, ssDNA, dsDNA, *etc.*).

[0075] Preparation of solid phases and detectable label conjugates often comprise the use of chemical cross-linkers. Cross-linking reagents contain at least two reactive groups, and are divided generally into homofunctional cross-linkers (containing identical reactive groups) and heterofunctional cross-linkers (containing non-identical reactive groups). Homobifunctional cross-linkers that couple through amines, sulfhydryls or react non-specifically are available from many commercial sources. Maleimides, alkyl and aryl halides, alpha-haloacyls and pyridyl disulfides are thiol reactive groups. Maleimides, alkyl and aryl halides, and alpha-haloacyls react with sulfhydryls to form thiol ether bonds, while pyridyl disulfides react with sulfhydryls to produce mixed disulfides. The pyridyl disulfide product is cleavable. Imidoesters are also very useful for protein-protein cross-links. A variety of heterobifunctional cross-linkers, each combining different attributes for successful conjugation, are commercially available.

[0076] In certain aspects, the present invention provides kits for the analysis of the described kidney injury markers. The kit comprises reagents for the analysis of at least one test sample which comprise at least one antibody that a kidney injury marker. The kit can also include devices and instructions for performing one or more of the diagnostic and/or prognostic correlations described herein. Preferred kits will comprise an antibody

pair for performing a sandwich assay, or a labeled species for performing a competitive assay, for the analyte. Preferably, an antibody pair comprises a first antibody conjugated to a solid phase and a second antibody conjugated to a detectable label, wherein each of the first and second antibodies that bind a kidney injury marker. Most preferably each of the antibodies are monoclonal antibodies. The instructions for use of the kit and performing the correlations can be in the form of labeling, which refers to any written or recorded material that is attached to, or otherwise accompanies a kit at any time during its manufacture, transport, sale or use. For example, the term labeling encompasses advertising leaflets and brochures, packaging materials, instructions, audio or video cassettes, computer discs, as well as writing imprinted directly on kits.

[0077] Antibodies

[0078] The term "antibody" as used herein refers to a peptide or polypeptide derived from, modeled after or substantially encoded by an immunoglobulin gene or immunoglobulin genes, or fragments thereof, capable of specifically binding an antigen or epitope. *See, e.g.* Fundamental Immunology, 3rd Edition, W.E. Paul, ed., Raven Press, N.Y. (1993); Wilson (1994; J. Immunol. Methods 175:267-273; Yarmush (1992) J. Biochem. Biophys. Methods 25:85-97. The term antibody includes antigen-binding portions, i.e., "antigen binding sites," (e.g., fragments, subsequences, complementarity determining regions (CDRs)) that retain capacity to bind antigen, including (i) a Fab fragment, a monovalent fragment consisting of the VL, VH, CL and CH1 domains; (ii) a F(ab')₂ fragment, a bivalent fragment comprising two Fab fragments linked by a disulfide bridge at the hinge region; (iii) a Fd fragment consisting of the VH and CH1 domains; (iv) a Fv fragment consisting of the VL and VH domains of a single arm of an antibody, (v) a dAb fragment (Ward et al., (1989) Nature 341:544-546), which consists of a VH domain; and (vi) an isolated complementarity determining region (CDR). Single chain antibodies are also included by reference in the term "antibody."

[0079] Antibodies used in the immunoassays described herein preferably specifically bind to a kidney injury marker of the present invention. The term "specifically binds" is not intended to indicate that an antibody binds exclusively to its intended target since, as noted above, an antibody binds to any polypeptide displaying the epitope(s) to which the antibody binds. Rather, an antibody "specifically binds" if its affinity for its intended target is about 5-fold greater when compared to its affinity for a non-target molecule which does not display the appropriate epitope(s). Preferably the affinity of the antibody

will be at least about 5 fold, preferably 10 fold, more preferably 25-fold, even more preferably 50-fold, and most preferably 100-fold or more, greater for a target molecule than its affinity for a non-target molecule. In preferred embodiments, Preferred antibodies bind with affinities of at least about 10^7 M^{-1} , and preferably between about 10^8 M^{-1} to about 10^9 M^{-1} , about 10^9 M^{-1} to about 10^{10} M^{-1} , or about 10^{10} M^{-1} to about 10^{12} M^{-1} .

[0080] Affinity is calculated as $K_d = k_{\text{off}}/k_{\text{on}}$ (k_{off} is the dissociation rate constant, K_{on} is the association rate constant and K_d is the equilibrium constant). Affinity can be determined at equilibrium by measuring the fraction bound (r) of labeled ligand at various concentrations (c). The data are graphed using the Scatchard equation: $r/c = K(n-r)$: where r = moles of bound ligand/mole of receptor at equilibrium; c = free ligand concentration at equilibrium; K = equilibrium association constant; and n = number of ligand binding sites per receptor molecule. By graphical analysis, r/c is plotted on the Y-axis versus r on the X-axis, thus producing a Scatchard plot. Antibody affinity measurement by Scatchard analysis is well known in the art. See, e.g., van Erp *et al.*, *J. Immunoassay* 12: 425-43, 1991; Nelson and Griswold, *Comput. Methods Programs Biomed.* 27: 65-8, 1988.

[0081] The term “epitope” refers to an antigenic determinant capable of specific binding to an antibody. Epitopes usually consist of chemically active surface groupings of molecules such as amino acids or sugar side chains and usually have specific three dimensional structural characteristics, as well as specific charge characteristics. Conformational and nonconformational epitopes are distinguished in that the binding to the former but not the latter is lost in the presence of denaturing solvents.

[0082] Numerous publications discuss the use of phage display technology to produce and screen libraries of polypeptides for binding to a selected analyte. See, e.g., Cwirla *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 87, 6378-82, 1990; Devlin *et al.*, *Science* 249, 404-6, 1990; Scott and Smith, *Science* 249, 386-88, 1990; and Ladner *et al.*, U.S. Pat. No. 5,571,698. A basic concept of phage display methods is the establishment of a physical association between DNA encoding a polypeptide to be screened and the polypeptide. This physical association is provided by the phage particle, which displays a polypeptide as part of a capsid enclosing the phage genome which encodes the polypeptide. The establishment of a physical association between polypeptides and their genetic material allows simultaneous mass screening of very large numbers of phage bearing different polypeptides. Phage displaying a polypeptide with affinity to a target bind to the target and these phage are enriched by affinity screening to the target. The identity of

polypeptides displayed from these phage can be determined from their respective genomes. Using these methods a polypeptide identified as having a binding affinity for a desired target can then be synthesized in bulk by conventional means. *See, e.g.*, U.S. Patent No. 6,057,098, which is hereby incorporated in its entirety, including all tables, figures, and claims.

[0083] The antibodies that are generated by these methods may then be selected by first screening for affinity and specificity with the purified polypeptide of interest and, if required, comparing the results to the affinity and specificity of the antibodies with polypeptides that are desired to be excluded from binding. The screening procedure can involve immobilization of the purified polypeptides in separate wells of microtiter plates. The solution containing a potential antibody or groups of antibodies is then placed into the respective microtiter wells and incubated for about 30 min to 2 h. The microtiter wells are then washed and a labeled secondary antibody (for example, an anti-mouse antibody conjugated to alkaline phosphatase if the raised antibodies are mouse antibodies) is added to the wells and incubated for about 30 min and then washed. Substrate is added to the wells and a color reaction will appear where antibody to the immobilized polypeptide(s) are present.

[0084] The antibodies so identified may then be further analyzed for affinity and specificity in the assay design selected. In the development of immunoassays for a target protein, the purified target protein acts as a standard with which to judge the sensitivity and specificity of the immunoassay using the antibodies that have been selected. Because the binding affinity of various antibodies may differ; certain antibody pairs (e.g., in sandwich assays) may interfere with one another sterically, etc., assay performance of an antibody may be a more important measure than absolute affinity and specificity of an antibody.

[0085] While the present application describes antibody-based binding assays in detail, alternatives to antibodies as binding species in assays are well known in the art. These include receptors for a particular target, aptamers, etc. Aptamers are oligonucleic acid or peptide molecules that bind to a specific target molecule. Aptamers are usually created by selecting them from a large random sequence pool, but natural aptamers also exist. High-affinity aptamers containing modified nucleotides conferring improved characteristics on the ligand, such as improved in vivo stability or improved delivery characteristics. Examples of such modifications include chemical substitutions at the

ribose and/or phosphate and/or base positions, and may include amino acid side chain functionalities.

[0086] Assay Correlations

[0087] The term “correlating” as used herein in reference to the use of biomarkers refers to comparing the presence or amount of the biomarker(s) in a patient to its presence or amount in persons known to suffer from, or known to be at risk of, a given condition; or in persons known to be free of a given condition. Often, this takes the form of comparing an assay result in the form of a biomarker concentration to a predetermined threshold selected to be indicative of the occurrence or nonoccurrence of a disease or the likelihood of some future outcome.

[0088] Selecting a diagnostic threshold involves, among other things, consideration of the probability of disease, distribution of true and false diagnoses at different test thresholds, and estimates of the consequences of treatment (or a failure to treat) based on the diagnosis. For example, when considering administering a specific therapy which is highly efficacious and has a low level of risk, few tests are needed because clinicians can accept substantial diagnostic uncertainty. On the other hand, in situations where treatment options are less effective and more risky, clinicians often need a higher degree of diagnostic certainty. Thus, cost/benefit analysis is involved in selecting a diagnostic threshold.

[0089] Suitable thresholds may be determined in a variety of ways. For example, one recommended diagnostic threshold for the diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction using cardiac troponin is the 97.5th percentile of the concentration seen in a normal population. Another method may be to look at serial samples from the same patient, where a prior “baseline” result is used to monitor for temporal changes in a biomarker level.

[0090] Population studies may also be used to select a decision threshold. Receiver Operating Characteristic (“ROC”) arose from the field of signal detection theory developed during World War II for the analysis of radar images, and ROC analysis is often used to select a threshold able to best distinguish a “diseased” subpopulation from a “nondiseased” subpopulation. A false positive in this case occurs when the person tests positive, but actually does not have the disease. A false negative, on the other hand, occurs when the person tests negative, suggesting they are healthy, when they actually do have the disease. To draw a ROC curve, the true positive rate (TPR) and false positive

rate (FPR) are determined as the decision threshold is varied continuously. Since TPR is equivalent with sensitivity and FPR is equal to 1 - specificity, the ROC graph is sometimes called the sensitivity vs (1 - specificity) plot. A perfect test will have an area under the ROC curve of 1.0; a random test will have an area of 0.5. A threshold is selected to provide an acceptable level of specificity and sensitivity.

[0091] In this context, “diseased” is meant to refer to a population having one characteristic (the presence of a disease or condition or the occurrence of some outcome) and “nondiseased” is meant to refer to a population lacking the characteristic. While a single decision threshold is the simplest application of such a method, multiple decision thresholds may be used. For example, below a first threshold, the absence of disease may be assigned with relatively high confidence, and above a second threshold the presence of disease may also be assigned with relatively high confidence. Between the two thresholds may be considered indeterminate. This is meant to be exemplary in nature only.

[0092] In addition to threshold comparisons, other methods for correlating assay results to a patient classification (occurrence or nonoccurrence of disease, likelihood of an outcome, etc.) include decision trees, rule sets, Bayesian methods, and neural network methods. These methods can produce probability values representing the degree to which a subject belongs to one classification out of a plurality of classifications.

[0093] Measures of test accuracy may be obtained as described in Fischer *et al.*, *Intensive Care Med.* 29: 1043-51, 2003, and used to determine the effectiveness of a given biomarker. These measures include sensitivity and specificity, predictive values, likelihood ratios, diagnostic odds ratios, and ROC curve areas. The area under the curve (“AUC”) of a ROC plot is equal to the probability that a classifier will rank a randomly chosen positive instance higher than a randomly chosen negative one. The area under the ROC curve may be thought of as equivalent to the Mann-Whitney U test, which tests for the median difference between scores obtained in the two groups considered if the groups are of continuous data, or to the Wilcoxon test of ranks.

[0094] As discussed above, suitable tests may exhibit one or more of the following results on these various measures: a specificity of greater than 0.5, preferably at least 0.6, more preferably at least 0.7, still more preferably at least 0.8, even more preferably at least 0.9 and most preferably at least 0.95, with a corresponding sensitivity greater than 0.2, preferably greater than 0.3, more preferably greater than 0.4, still more preferably at

least 0.5, even more preferably 0.6, yet more preferably greater than 0.7, still more preferably greater than 0.8, more preferably greater than 0.9, and most preferably greater than 0.95; a sensitivity of greater than 0.5, preferably at least 0.6, more preferably at least 0.7, still more preferably at least 0.8, even more preferably at least 0.9 and most preferably at least 0.95, with a corresponding specificity greater than 0.2, preferably greater than 0.3, more preferably greater than 0.4, still more preferably at least 0.5, even more preferably 0.6, yet more preferably greater than 0.7, still more preferably greater than 0.8, more preferably greater than 0.9, and most preferably greater than 0.95; at least 75% sensitivity, combined with at least 75% specificity; a ROC curve area of greater than 0.5, preferably at least 0.6, more preferably 0.7, still more preferably at least 0.8, even more preferably at least 0.9, and most preferably at least 0.95; an odds ratio different from 1, preferably at least about 2 or more or about 0.5 or less, more preferably at least about 3 or more or about 0.33 or less, still more preferably at least about 4 or more or about 0.25 or less, even more preferably at least about 5 or more or about 0.2 or less, and most preferably at least about 10 or more or about 0.1 or less; a positive likelihood ratio (calculated as sensitivity/(1-specificity)) of greater than 1, at least 2, more preferably at least 3, still more preferably at least 5, and most preferably at least 10; and or a negative likelihood ratio (calculated as (1-sensitivity)/specificity) of less than 1, less than or equal to 0.5, more preferably less than or equal to 0.3, and most preferably less than or equal to 0.1

[0095] Additional clinical indicia may be combined with the kidney injury marker assay result(s) of the present invention. These include other biomarkers related to renal status. Examples include the following, which recite the common biomarker name, followed by the Swiss-Prot entry number for that biomarker or its parent: Actin (P68133); Adenosine deaminase binding protein (DPP4, P27487); Alpha-1-acid glycoprotein 1 (P02763); Alpha-1-microglobulin (P02760); Albumin (P02768); Angiotensinogenase (Renin, P00797); Annexin A2 (P07355); Beta-glucuronidase (P08236); B-2-microglobulin (P61679); Beta-galactosidase (P16278); BMP-7 (P18075); Brain natriuretic peptide (proBNP, BNP-32, NTproBNP; P16860); Calcium-binding protein Beta (S100-beta, P04271); Carbonic anhydrase (Q16790); Casein Kinase 2 (P68400); Ceruloplasmin (P00450); Clusterin (P10909); Complement C3 (P01024); Cysteine-rich protein (CYR61, O00622); Cytochrome C (P99999); Epidermal growth factor (EGF, P01133); Endothelin-1 (P05305); Exosomal Fetuin-A (P02765); Fatty acid-binding

protein, heart (FABP3, P05413); Fatty acid-binding protein, liver (P07148); Ferritin (light chain, P02793; heavy chain P02794); Fructose-1,6-biphosphatase (P09467); GRO-alpha (CXCL1, (P09341); Growth Hormone (P01241); Hepatocyte growth factor (P14210); Insulin-like growth factor I (P01343); Immunoglobulin G; Immunoglobulin Light Chains (Kappa and Lambda); Interferon gamma (P01308); Lysozyme (P61626); Interleukin-1alpha (P01583); Interleukin-2 (P60568); Interleukin-4 (P60568); Interleukin-9 (P15248); Interleukin-12p40 (P29460); Interleukin-13 (P35225); Interleukin-16 (Q14005); L1 cell adhesion molecule (P32004); Lactate dehydrogenase (P00338); Leucine Aminopeptidase (P28838); Meprin A-alpha subunit (Q16819); Meprin A-beta subunit (Q16820); Midkine (P21741); MIP2-alpha (CXCL2, P19875); MMP-2 (P08253); MMP-9 (P14780); Netrin-1 (O95631); Neutral endopeptidase (P08473); Osteopontin (P10451); Renal papillary antigen 1 (RPA1); Renal papillary antigen 2 (RPA2); Retinol binding protein (P09455); Ribonuclease; S100 calcium-binding protein A6 (P06703); Serum Amyloid P Component (P02743); Sodium/Hydrogen exchanger isoform (NHE3, P48764); Spermidine/spermine N1-acetyltransferase (P21673); TGF-Beta1 (P01137); Transferrin (P02787); Trefoil factor 3 (TFF3, Q07654); Toll-Like protein 4 (O00206); Total protein; Tubulointerstitial nephritis antigen (Q9UJW2); Uromodulin (Tamm-Horsfall protein, P07911).

[0096] For purposes of risk stratification, Adiponectin (Q15848); Alkaline phosphatase (P05186); Aminopeptidase N (P15144); CalbindinD28k (P05937); Cystatin C (P01034); 8 subunit of F1FO ATPase (P03928); Gamma-glutamyltransferase (P19440); GSTa (alpha-glutathione-S-transferase, P08263); GSTpi (Glutathione-S-transferase P; GST class-pi; P09211); IGFBP-1 (P08833); IGFBP-2 (P18065); IGFBP-6 (P24592); Integral membrane protein 1 (Itm1, P46977); Interleukin-6 (P05231); Interleukin-8 (P10145); Interleukin-18 (Q14116); IP-10 (10 kDa interferon-gamma-induced protein, P02778); IRPR (IFRD1, O00458); Isovaleryl-CoA dehydrogenase (IVD, P26440); I-TAC/CXCL11 (O14625); Keratin 19 (P08727); Kim-1 (Hepatitis A virus cellular receptor 1, O43656); L-arginine:glycine amidinotransferase (P50440); Leptin (P41159); Lipocalin2 (NGAL, P80188); MCP-1 (P13500); MIG (Gamma-interferon-induced monokine Q07325); MIP-1a (P10147); MIP-3a (P78556); MIP-1beta (P13236); MIP-1d (Q16663); NAG (N-acetyl-beta-D-glucosaminidase, P54802); Organic ion transporter (OCT2, O15244); Osteoprotegerin (O14788); P8 protein (O60356); Plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 (PAI-1, P05121); ProANP(1-98) (P01160); Protein phosphatase 1-beta (PPI-beta, P62140); Rab GDI-beta (P50395); Renal kallikrein (Q86U61); RT1.B-1

(alpha) chain of the integral membrane protein (Q5Y7A8); Soluble tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member 1A (sTNFR-I, P19438); Soluble tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member 1B (sTNFR-II, P20333); Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases 3 (TIMP-3, P35625); uPAR (Q03405) may be combined with the kidney injury marker assay result(s) of the present invention.

[0097] Other clinical indicia which may be combined with the kidney injury marker assay result(s) of the present invention includes demographic information (e.g., weight, sex, age, race), medical history (e.g., family history, type of surgery, pre-existing disease such as aneurism, congestive heart failure, preeclampsia, eclampsia, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, coronary artery disease, proteinuria, renal insufficiency, or sepsis, type of toxin exposure such as NSAIDs, cyclosporines, tacrolimus, aminoglycosides, foscarnet, ethylene glycol, hemoglobin, myoglobin, ifosfamide, heavy metals, methotrexate, radiopaque contrast agents, or streptozotocin), clinical variables (e.g., blood pressure, temperature, respiration rate), risk scores (APACHE score, PREDICT score, TIMI Risk Score for UA/NSTEMI, Framingham Risk Score), a urine total protein measurement, a glomerular filtration rate, an estimated glomerular filtration rate, a urine production rate, a serum or plasma creatinine concentration, a renal papillary antigen 1 (RPA1) measurement; a renal papillary antigen 2 (RPA2) measurement; a urine creatinine concentration, a fractional excretion of sodium, a urine sodium concentration, a urine creatinine to serum or plasma creatinine ratio, a urine specific gravity, a urine osmolality, a urine urea nitrogen to plasma urea nitrogen ratio, a plasma BUN to creatinine ratio, and/or a renal failure index calculated as $\text{urine sodium} / (\text{urine creatinine} / \text{plasma creatinine})$. Other measures of renal function which may be combined with the kidney injury marker assay result(s) are described hereinafter and in Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine, 17th Ed., McGraw Hill, New York, pages 1741-1830, and Current Medical Diagnosis & Treatment 2008, 47th Ed, McGraw Hill, New York, pages 785-815, each of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

[0098] Combining assay results/clinical indicia in this manner can comprise the use of multivariate logistical regression, loglinear modeling, neural network analysis, n-of-m analysis, decision tree analysis, etc. This list is not meant to be limiting.

[0099] Diagnosis of Acute Renal Failure

[00100] As noted above, the terms “acute renal (or kidney) injury” and “acute renal (or kidney) failure” as used herein are defined in part in terms of changes in serum creatinine from a baseline value. Most definitions of ARF have common elements, including the use of serum creatinine and, often, urine output. Patients may present with renal dysfunction without an available baseline measure of renal function for use in this comparison. In such an event, one may estimate a baseline serum creatinine value by assuming the patient initially had a normal GFR. Glomerular filtration rate (GFR) is the volume of fluid filtered from the renal (kidney) glomerular capillaries into the Bowman's capsule per unit time. Glomerular filtration rate (GFR) can be calculated by measuring any chemical that has a steady level in the blood, and is freely filtered but neither reabsorbed nor secreted by the kidneys. GFR is typically expressed in units of ml/min:

$$GFR = \frac{\text{Urine Concentration} \times \text{Urine Flow}}{\text{Plasma Concentration}}$$

[00101] By normalizing the GFR to the body surface area, a GFR of approximately 75–100 ml/min per 1.73 m² can be assumed. The rate therefore measured is the quantity of the substance in the urine that originated from a calculable volume of blood.

[0100] There are several different techniques used to calculate or estimate the glomerular filtration rate (GFR or eGFR). In clinical practice, however, creatinine clearance is used to measure GFR. Creatinine is produced naturally by the body (creatinine is a metabolite of creatine, which is found in muscle). It is freely filtered by the glomerulus, but also actively secreted by the renal tubules in very small amounts such that creatinine clearance overestimates actual GFR by 10-20%. This margin of error is acceptable considering the ease with which creatinine clearance is measured.

[0101] Creatinine clearance (CCr) can be calculated if values for creatinine's urine concentration (U_{Cr}), urine flow rate (V), and creatinine's plasma concentration (P_{Cr}) are known. Since the product of urine concentration and urine flow rate yields creatinine's excretion rate, creatinine clearance is also said to be its excretion rate (U_{Cr}×V) divided by its plasma concentration. This is commonly represented mathematically as:

$$C_{Cr} = \frac{U_{Cr} \times V}{P_{Cr}}$$

Commonly a 24 hour urine collection is undertaken, from empty-bladder one morning to the contents of the bladder the following morning, with a comparative blood test then taken:

$$C_{Cr} = \frac{U_{Cr} \times 24\text{-hour volume}}{P_{Cr} \times 24 \times 60\text{mins}}$$

To allow comparison of results between people of different sizes, the CCr is often corrected for the body surface area (BSA) and expressed compared to the average sized man as ml/min/1.73 m². While most adults have a BSA that approaches 1.7 (1.6-1.9), extremely obese or slim patients should have their CCr corrected for their actual BSA:

$$C_{Cr\text{-corrected}} = \frac{C_{Cr} \times 1.73}{BSA}$$

[0102] The accuracy of a creatinine clearance measurement (even when collection is complete) is limited because as glomerular filtration rate (GFR) falls creatinine secretion is increased, and thus the rise in serum creatinine is less. Thus, creatinine excretion is much greater than the filtered load, resulting in a potentially large overestimation of the GFR (as much as a twofold difference). However, for clinical purposes it is important to determine whether renal function is stable or getting worse or better. This is often determined by monitoring serum creatinine alone. Like creatinine clearance, the serum creatinine will not be an accurate reflection of GFR in the non-steady-state condition of ARF. Nonetheless, the degree to which serum creatinine changes from baseline will reflect the change in GFR. Serum creatinine is readily and easily measured and it is specific for renal function.

[0103] For purposes of determining urine output on a Urine output on a mL/kg/hr basis, hourly urine collection and measurement is adequate. In the case where, for example, only a cumulative 24-h output was available and no patient weights are provided, minor modifications of the RIFLE urine output criteria have been described. For example, Bagshaw *et al.*, *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 23: 1203–1210, 2008, assumes an average patient weight of 70 kg, and patients are assigned a RIFLE classification based on the following: <35 mL/h (Risk), <21 mL/h (Injury) or <4 mL/h (Failure).

[0104] Selecting a Treatment Regimen

[0105] Once a diagnosis is obtained, the clinician can readily select a treatment regimen that is compatible with the diagnosis, such as initiating renal replacement therapy, withdrawing delivery of compounds that are known to be damaging to the kidney, kidney transplantation, delaying or avoiding procedures that are known to be damaging to the kidney, modifying diuretic administration, initiating goal directed therapy, etc. The skilled artisan is aware of appropriate treatments for numerous diseases discussed in relation to the methods of diagnosis described herein. See, e.g., Merck Manual of Diagnosis and Therapy, 17th Ed. Merck Research Laboratories, Whitehouse Station, NJ, 1999. In addition, since the methods and compositions described herein provide prognostic information, the markers of the present invention may be used to monitor a course of treatment. For example, improved or worsened prognostic state may indicate that a particular treatment is or is not efficacious.

[0106] One skilled in the art readily appreciates that the present invention is well adapted to carry out the objects and obtain the ends and advantages mentioned, as well as those inherent therein. The examples provided herein are representative of preferred embodiments, are exemplary, and are not intended as limitations on the scope of the invention.

[0107] Example 1: Contrast-induced nephropathy sample collection

[0108] The objective of this sample collection study is to collect samples of plasma and urine and clinical data from patients before and after receiving intravascular contrast media. Approximately 250 adults undergoing radiographic/angiographic procedures involving intravascular administration of iodinated contrast media are enrolled. To be enrolled in the study, each patient must meet all of the following inclusion criteria and none of the following exclusion criteria:

Inclusion Criteria

males and females 18 years of age or older;

undergoing a radiographic / angiographic procedure (such as a CT scan or coronary intervention) involving the intravascular administration of contrast media;

expected to be hospitalized for at least 48 hours after contrast administration.

able and willing to provide written informed consent for study participation and to comply with all study procedures.

Exclusion Criteria

renal transplant recipients;

acutely worsening renal function prior to the contrast procedure;

already receiving dialysis (either acute or chronic) or in imminent need of dialysis at enrollment;

expected to undergo a major surgical procedure (such as involving cardiopulmonary bypass) or an additional imaging procedure with contrast media with significant risk for further renal insult within the 48 hrs following contrast administration;

participation in an interventional clinical study with an experimental therapy within the previous 30 days;

known infection with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) or a hepatitis virus.

[0109] Immediately prior to the first contrast administration (and after any pre-procedure hydration), an EDTA anti-coagulated blood sample (10 mL) and a urine sample (10 mL) are collected from each patient. Blood and urine samples are then collected at 4 (± 0.5), 8 (± 1), 24 (± 2), 48 (± 2), and 72 (± 2) hrs following the last administration of contrast media during the index contrast procedure. Blood is collected via direct venipuncture or via other available venous access, such as an existing femoral sheath, central venous line, peripheral intravenous line or hep-lock. These study blood samples are processed to plasma at the clinical site, frozen and shipped to Astute Medical, Inc., San Diego, CA. The study urine samples are frozen and shipped to Astute Medical, Inc.

[0110] Serum creatinine is assessed at the site immediately prior to the first contrast administration (after any pre-procedure hydration) and at 4 (± 0.5), 8 (± 1), 24 (± 2) and 48 (± 2), and 72 (± 2) hours following the last administration of contrast (ideally at the same time as the study samples are obtained). In addition, each patient's status is evaluated through day 30 with regard to additional serum and urine creatinine measurements, a need for dialysis, hospitalization status, and adverse clinical outcomes (including mortality).

[0111] Prior to contrast administration, each patient is assigned a risk based on the following assessment: systolic blood pressure < 80 mm Hg = 5 points; intra-arterial balloon pump = 5 points; congestive heart failure (Class III-IV or history of pulmonary edema) = 5 points; age > 75 yrs = 4 points; hematocrit level $< 39\%$ for men, $< 35\%$ for

women = 3 points; diabetes = 3 points; contrast media volume = 1 point for each 100 mL; serum creatinine level >1.5 g/dL = 4 points OR estimated GFR 40–60 mL/min/1.73 m² = 2 points, 20–40 mL/min/1.73 m² = 4 points, < 20 mL/min/1.73 m² = 6 points. The risks assigned are as follows: risk for CIN and dialysis: 5 or less total points = risk of CIN - 7.5%, risk of dialysis - 0.04%; 6–10 total points = risk of CIN - 14%, risk of dialysis - 0.12%; 11–16 total points = risk of CIN - 26.1%, risk of dialysis - 1.09%; >16 total points = risk of CIN - 57.3%, risk of dialysis - 12.8%.

[0112] Example 2: Cardiac surgery sample collection

[0113] The objective of this sample collection study is to collect samples of plasma and urine and clinical data from patients before and after undergoing cardiovascular surgery, a procedure known to be potentially damaging to kidney function. Approximately 900 adults undergoing such surgery are enrolled. To be enrolled in the study, each patient must meet all of the following inclusion criteria and none of the following exclusion criteria:

Inclusion Criteria

males and females 18 years of age or older;

undergoing cardiovascular surgery;

Toronto/Ottawa Predictive Risk Index for Renal Replacement risk score of at least 2 (Wijeysundera *et al.*, *JAMA* 297: 1801-9, 2007); and

able and willing to provide written informed consent for study participation and to comply with all study procedures.

Exclusion Criteria

known pregnancy;

previous renal transplantation;

acutely worsening renal function prior to enrollment (e.g., any category of RIFLE criteria);

already receiving dialysis (either acute or chronic) or in imminent need of dialysis at enrollment;

currently enrolled in another clinical study or expected to be enrolled in another clinical study within 7 days of cardiac surgery that involves drug infusion or a therapeutic intervention for AKI;

known infection with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) or a hepatitis virus.

[0114] Within 3 hours prior to the first incision (and after any pre-procedure hydration), an EDTA anti-coagulated blood sample (10 mL), whole blood (3 mL), and a urine sample (35 mL) are collected from each patient. Blood and urine samples are then collected at 3 (± 0.5), 6 (± 0.5), 12 (± 1), 24 (± 2) and 48 (± 2) hrs following the procedure and then daily on days 3 through 7 if the subject remains in the hospital. Blood is collected via direct venipuncture or via other available venous access, such as an existing femoral sheath, central venous line, peripheral intravenous line or hep-lock. These study blood samples are frozen and shipped to Astute Medical, Inc., San Diego, CA. The study urine samples are frozen and shipped to Astute Medical, Inc.

[0115] Example 3: Acutely ill subject sample collection

[0116] The objective of this study is to collect samples from acutely ill patients. Approximately 1900 adults expected to be in the ICU for at least 48 hours will be enrolled. To be enrolled in the study, each patient must meet all of the following inclusion criteria and none of the following exclusion criteria:

Inclusion Criteria

males and females 18 years of age or older;

Study population 1: approximately 300 patients that have at least one of:

shock (SBP < 90 mmHg and/or need for vasopressor support to maintain MAP > 60 mmHg and/or documented drop in SBP of at least 40 mmHg); and

sepsis;

Study population 2: approximately 300 patients that have at least one of:

IV antibiotics ordered in computerized physician order entry (CPOE) within 24 hours of enrollment;

contrast media exposure within 24 hours of enrollment;

increased Intra-Abdominal Pressure with acute decompensated heart failure; and

severe trauma as the primary reason for ICU admission and likely to be hospitalized in the ICU for 48 hours after enrollment;

Study population 3: approximately 300 patients expected to be hospitalized through acute care setting (ICU or ED) with a known risk factor for acute renal injury (*e.g.* sepsis, hypotension/shock (Shock = systolic BP < 90 mmHg and/or the need for vasopressor support to maintain a MAP > 60 mmHg and/or a documented drop in SBP > 40 mmHg), major trauma, hemorrhage, or major surgery); and/or expected to be hospitalized to the ICU for at least 24 hours after enrollment;

Study population 4: approximately 1000 patients that are 21 years of age or older, within 24 hours of being admitted into the ICU, expected to have an indwelling urinary catheter for at least 48 hours after enrollment, and have at least one of the following acute conditions within 24 hours prior to enrollment:

(i) respiratory SOFA score of ≥ 2 ($\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2 < 300$), (ii) cardiovascular SOFA score of ≥ 1 (MAP < 70 mm Hg and/or any vasopressor required).

Exclusion Criteria

known pregnancy;

institutionalized individuals;

previous renal transplantation;

known acutely worsening renal function prior to enrollment (*e.g.*, any category of RIFLE criteria);

received dialysis (either acute or chronic) within 5 days prior to enrollment or in imminent need of dialysis at the time of enrollment;

known infection with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) or a hepatitis virus;

meets any of the following:

- (i) active bleeding with an anticipated need for > 4 units PRBC in a day;
- (ii) hemoglobin < 7 g/dL;
- (iii) any other condition that in the physician's opinion would contraindicate drawing serial blood samples for clinical study purposes;

meets only the SBP < 90 mmHg inclusion criterion set forth above, and does not have shock in the attending physician's or principal investigator's opinion;

[0117] After obtaining informed consent, an EDTA anti-coagulated blood sample (10 mL) and a urine sample (25-50 mL) are collected from each patient. Blood and urine samples are then collected at 4 ($\pm$ 0.5) and 8 ($\pm$ 1) hours after contrast administration (if applicable); at 12 ($\pm$ 1), 24 ($\pm$ 2), 36 ($\pm$ 2), 48 ($\pm$ 2), 60 ($\pm$ 2), 72 ($\pm$ 2), and 84 ($\pm$ 2) hours after enrollment, and thereafter daily up to day 7 to day 14 while the subject is hospitalized. Blood is collected via direct venipuncture or via other available venous access, such as an existing femoral sheath, central venous line, peripheral intravenous line or hep-lock. These study blood samples are processed to plasma at the clinical site, frozen and shipped to Astute Medical, Inc., San Diego, CA. The study urine samples are frozen and shipped to Astute Medical, Inc.

[0118] Example 4. Immunoassay format

[0119] Analytes are measured using standard sandwich enzyme immunoassay techniques. A first antibody which binds the analyte is immobilized in wells of a 96 well polystyrene microplate. Analyte standards and test samples are pipetted into the appropriate wells and any analyte present is bound by the immobilized antibody. After washing away any unbound substances, a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated second antibody which binds the analyte is added to the wells, thereby forming sandwich complexes with the analyte (if present) and the first antibody. Following a wash to remove any unbound antibody-enzyme reagent, a substrate solution comprising tetramethylbenzidine and hydrogen peroxide is added to the wells. Color develops in proportion to the amount of analyte present in the sample. The color development is stopped and the intensity of the color is measured at 540 nm or 570 nm. An analyte concentration is assigned to the test sample by comparison to a standard curve determined from the analyte standards. The assays for Transforming growth factor beta-1, Transforming growth factor beta-2, and Transforming growth factor beta-3 in the following data tables are configured to preferentially detect active forms, however latent forms are activated prior to assay so that the reported concentrations are total of active + latent. Concentrations are reported below as pg/mL.

[0120] Example 5. Apparently Healthy Donor and Chronic Disease Patient Samples

[0121] Human urine samples from donors with no known chronic or acute disease (“Apparently Healthy Donors”) were purchased from two vendors (Golden West Biologicals, Inc., 27625 Commerce Center Dr., Temecula, CA 92590 and Virginia Medical Research, Inc., 915 First Colonial Rd., Virginia Beach, VA 23454). The urine samples were shipped and stored frozen at less than -20° C. The vendors supplied demographic information for the individual donors including gender, race (Black /White), smoking status and age.

[0122] Human urine samples from donors with various chronic diseases (“Chronic Disease Patients”) including congestive heart failure, coronary artery disease, chronic kidney disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, diabetes mellitus and hypertension were purchased from Virginia Medical Research, Inc., 915 First Colonial Rd., Virginia Beach, VA 23454. The urine samples were shipped and stored frozen at less than -20 degrees centigrade. The vendor provided a case report form for each individual donor with age, gender, race (Black/White), smoking status and alcohol use, height, weight, chronic disease(s) diagnosis, current medications and previous surgeries.

[0123] Example 6. Use of Kidney Injury Markers for evaluating renal status in patients

[0124] Patients from the intensive care unit (ICU) were enrolled in the following study. Each patient was classified by kidney status as non-injury (O), risk of injury (R), injury (I), and failure (F) according to the maximum stage reached within 7 days of enrollment as determined by the RIFLE criteria. EDTA anti-coagulated blood samples (10 mL) and a urine samples (25-30 mL) were collected from each patient at enrollment, 4 ($\pm$ 0.5) and 8 ($\pm$ 1) hours after contrast administration (if applicable); at 12 ($\pm$ 1), 24 ($\pm$ 2), and 48 ($\pm$ 2) hours after enrollment, and thereafter daily up to day 7 to day 14 while the subject is hospitalized. Markers were each measured by standard immunoassay methods using commercially available assay reagents in the urine samples and the plasma component of the blood samples collected.

[0125] Two cohorts were defined to represent a “diseased” and a “normal” population. While these terms are used for convenience, “diseased” and “normal” simply represent two cohorts for comparison (say RIFLE O vs RIFLE R, I and F; RIFLE O vs RIFLE R; RIFLE O and R vs RIFLE I and F; etc.). The time “prior max stage” represents the time at which a sample is collected, relative to the time a particular patient reaches the

lowest disease stage as defined for that cohort, binned into three groups which are +/- 12 hours. For example, "24 hr prior" which uses 0 vs R, I, F as the two cohorts would mean 24 hr (+/- 12 hours) prior to reaching stage R (or I if no sample at R, or F if no sample at R or I).

[0126] A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was generated for each biomarker measured and the area under each ROC curve (AUC) is determined. Patients in Cohort 2 were also separated according to the reason for adjudication to cohort 2 as being based on serum creatinine measurements (sCr), being based on urine output (UO), or being based on either serum creatinine measurements or urine output. Using the same example discussed above (0 vs R, I, F), for those patients adjudicated to stage R, I, or F on the basis of serum creatinine measurements alone, the stage 0 cohort may include patients adjudicated to stage R, I, or F on the basis of urine output; for those patients adjudicated to stage R, I, or F on the basis of urine output alone, the stage 0 cohort may include patients adjudicated to stage R, I, or F on the basis of serum creatinine measurements; and for those patients adjudicated to stage R, I, or F on the basis of serum creatinine measurements or urine output, the stage 0 cohort contains only patients in stage 0 for both serum creatinine measurements and urine output. Also, in the data for patients adjudicated on the basis of serum creatinine measurements or urine output, the adjudication method which yielded the most severe RIFLE stage is used.

[0127] The ability to distinguish cohort 1 from Cohort 2 was determined using ROC analysis. SE is the standard error of the AUC, n is the number of sample or individual patients ("pts," as indicated). Standard errors are calculated as described in Hanley, J. A., and McNeil, B.J., The meaning and use of the area under a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. Radiology (1982) 143: 29-36; p values are calculated with a two-tailed Z-test. An AUC < 0.5 is indicative of a negative going marker for the comparison, and an AUC > 0.5 is indicative of a positive going marker for the comparison.

[0128] Various threshold (or "cutoff") concentrations were selected, and the associated sensitivity and specificity for distinguishing cohort 1 from cohort 2 are determined. OR is the odds ratio calculated for the particular cutoff concentration, and 95% CI is the confidence interval for the odds ratio.

[0129] Table 1: Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0) and in urine samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage R, I or F in Cohort 2.

Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1

sCr or UO	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	740	1620	740	1450	740	664
Average	2380	4110	2380	5440	2380	664
Stdev	5620	7480	5620	11500	5620	165
p(t-test)		0.28		0.12		0.67
Min	78.0	100	78.0	58.6	78.0	548
Max	40700	29200	40700	49400	40700	781
n (Samp)	62	19	62	18	62	2
n (Patient)	50	19	50	18	50	2

sCr only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	942	1770	942	8860	942	1440
Average	2750	1790	2750	15100	2750	1440
Stdev	5690	1040	5690	19900	5690	930
p(t-test)		0.71		1.3E-4		0.75
Min	45.6	311	45.6	58.6	45.6	781
Max	40700	3220	40700	49400	40700	2100
n (Samp)	101	5	101	5	101	2
n (Patient)	81	5	81	5	81	2

UO only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	750	1130	750	1790	750	1820
Average	2520	4820	2520	9160	2520	5900
Stdev	6010	8320	6010	20900	6010	9020
p(t-test)		0.24		0.039		0.30
Min	78.0	100	78.0	162	78.0	548
Max	40700	29200	40700	91800	40700	19400
n (Samp)	53	15	53	19	53	4
n (Patient)	42	15	42	19	42	4

	0hr prior to AKI stage			24hr prior to AKI stage			48hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.64	0.64	0.63	0.66	0.73	0.72	0.45	0.60	0.72
SE	0.076	0.14	0.085	0.077	0.13	0.073	0.21	0.21	0.15
p	0.071	0.32	0.12	0.044	0.072	0.0026	0.82	0.64	0.15
nCohort 1	62	101	53	62	101	53	62	101	53
nCohort 2	19	5	15	18	5	19	2	2	4
Cutoff 1	750	1610	750	1030	2810	1180	532	772	1480
Sens 1	74%	80%	73%	72%	80%	74%	100%	100%	75%
Spec 1	52%	69%	51%	61%	79%	66%	39%	43%	74%
Cutoff 2	564	1610	695	799	2810	988	532	772	532
Sens 2	84%	80%	80%	83%	80%	84%	100%	100%	100%
Spec 2	42%	69%	49%	53%	79%	60%	39%	43%	36%
Cutoff 3	225	305	225	97.0	45.6	231	532	772	532
Sens 3	95%	100%	93%	94%	100%	95%	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	15%	20%	17%	5%	1%	19%	39%	43%	36%
Cutoff 4	1360	1660	1450	1360	1660	1450	1360	1660	1450
Sens 4	53%	60%	47%	56%	80%	53%	0%	50%	75%
Spec 4	71%	70%	72%	71%	70%	72%	71%	70%	72%
Cutoff 5	2090	3030	2090	2090	3030	2090	2090	3030	2090
Sens 5	26%	20%	33%	39%	60%	42%	0%	0%	25%

	0hr prior to AKI stage			24hr prior to AKI stage			48hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
Spec 5	81%	80%	81%	81%	80%	81%	81%	80%	81%
Cutoff 6	4610	5910	5040	4610	5910	5040	4610	5910	5040
Sens 6	16%	0%	20%	22%	60%	32%	0%	0%	25%
Spec 6	90%	90%	91%	90%	90%	91%	90%	90%	91%
OR Quart 2	1.0	0	1.6	0.30	0	1.0	>1.1	>1.0	>1.1
p Value	1.0	na	0.63	0.31	na	1.0	<0.96	<1.0	<0.96
95% CI of	0.18	na	0.23	0.028	na	0.13	>0.061	>0.059	>0.061
OR Quart2	5.7	na	11	3.1	na	8.0	na	na	na
OR Quart 3	1.9	2.1	2.3	3.1	0	5.1	>1.1	>0	>1.1
p Value	0.43	0.56	0.38	0.15	na	0.068	<0.96	<na	<0.96
95% CI of	0.38	0.18	0.36	0.66	na	0.89	>0.061	>na	>0.061
OR Quart3	9.3	25	15	14	na	29	na	na	na
OR Quart 4	3.5	2.0	4.1	3.1	4.3	6.4	>0	>1.0	>2.2
p Value	0.11	0.58	0.12	0.15	0.20	0.036	<na	<1.0	<0.55
95% CI of	0.77	0.17	0.69	0.66	0.45	1.1	>na	>0.059	>0.17
OR Quart4	16	23	24	14	42	36	na	na	na

Transforming growth factor beta-2

sCr or UO	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76	nd	nd
Average	24.2	25.7	24.2	714	nd	nd
Stdev	78.0	60.6	78.0	2100	nd	nd
p(t-test)		0.96		0.063	nd	nd
Min	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76	nd	nd
Max	433	163	433	6320	nd	nd
n (Samp)	32	7	32	9	nd	nd
n (Patient)	26	7	26	9	nd	nd

sCr only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76
Average	161	16.4	161	2.76	161	2.76
Stdev	931	23.6	931	0	931	0
p(t-test)		0.79		0.81		0.81
Min	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76
Max	6320	43.6	6320	2.76	6320	2.76
n (Samp)	46	3	46	2	46	2
n (Patient)	37	3	37	2	37	2

UO only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76
Average	27.1	34.8	27.1	585	27.1	31.8
Stdev	93.7	71.7	93.7	1900	93.7	50.3
p(t-test)		0.87		0.18		0.93
Min	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76
Max	433	163	433	6320	433	89.8
n (Samp)	21	5	21	11	21	3
n (Patient)	17	5	17	11	17	3

	0hr prior to AKI stage			24hr prior to AKI stage			48hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.50	0.55	0.53	0.54	0.40	0.53	nd	0.40	0.60
SE	0.12	0.18	0.15	0.11	0.22	0.11	nd	0.22	0.19
p	1.0	0.79	0.82	0.70	0.65	0.80	nd	0.65	0.58
nCohort 1	32	46	21	32	46	21	nd	46	21
nCohort 2	7	3	5	9	2	11	nd	2	3

	0hr prior to AKI stage			24hr prior to AKI stage			48hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
Cutoff 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	nd	0	0
Sens 1	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	nd	100%	100%
Spec 1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	nd	0%	0%
Cutoff 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	nd	0	0
Sens 2	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	nd	100%	100%
Spec 2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	nd	0%	0%
Cutoff 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	nd	0	0
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	nd	100%	100%
Spec 3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	nd	0%	0%
Cutoff 4	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76	nd	2.76	2.76
Sens 4	14%	33%	20%	22%	0%	18%	nd	0%	33%
Spec 4	84%	80%	86%	84%	80%	86%	nd	80%	86%
Cutoff 5	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76	nd	2.76	2.76
Sens 5	14%	33%	20%	22%	0%	18%	nd	0%	33%
Spec 5	84%	80%	86%	84%	80%	86%	nd	80%	86%
Cutoff 6	43.6	89.8	43.6	43.6	89.8	43.6	nd	89.8	43.6
Sens 6	14%	0%	20%	22%	0%	18%	nd	0%	33%
Spec 6	91%	93%	95%	91%	93%	95%	nd	93%	95%
OR Quart 2	0	1.0	>8.0	>23	>0	21	nd	>0	>3.0
p Value	na	1.0	<0.12	<0.012	<na	0.024	nd	<na	<0.43
95% CI of	na	0.055	>0.60	>2.0	>na	1.5	nd	>na	>0.20
OR Quart2	na	18	na	na	na	290	nd	na	na
OR Quart 3	6.0	0	>0	>0	>2.4	0	nd	>2.4	>0
p Value	0.15	na	<na	<na	<0.50	na	nd	<0.50	<na
95% CI of	0.53	na	>na	>na	>0.19	na	nd	>0.19	>na
OR Quart3	68	na	na	na	na	na	nd	na	na
OR Quart 4	2.6	0.92	>1.0	>2.2	>0	1.0	nd	>0	>1.2
p Value	0.48	0.95	<1.0	<0.54	<na	1.0	nd	<na	<0.91
95% CI of	0.19	0.051	>0.050	>0.17	>na	0.10	nd	>na	>0.059
OR Quart4	34	16	na	na	na	9.6	nd	na	na

[0130] Table 2: Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R) and in urine samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage I or F in Cohort 2.

Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1

sCr or UO	24hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	901	2060
Average	3080	11600
Stdev	7100	24100
p(t-test)		0.0049
Min	58.6	227
Max	49400	91800
n (Samp)	106	14
n (Patient)	83	14

sCr only	24hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	965	14500
Average	3960	13700

sCr only	24hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Stdev	10800	10100
p(t-test)		0.12
Min	45.6	3220
Max	91800	23500
n (Samp)	118	3
n (Patient)	93	3

UO only	24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	1020	1310	1020	21400
Average	2790	10500	2790	21400
Stdev	5830	24900	5830	2880
p(t-test)		0.012		2.1E-5
Min	58.6	227	58.6	19400
Max	40700	91800	40700	23500
n (Samp)	90	13	90	2
n (Patient)	70	13	70	2

	24hr prior to AKI stage			48hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.68	0.90	0.63	nd	nd	0.98
SE	0.083	0.12	0.088	nd	nd	0.074
p	0.032	9.8E-4	0.13	nd	nd	9.1E-11
nCohort 1	106	118	90	nd	nd	90
nCohort 2	14	3	13	nd	nd	2
Cutoff 1	1060	3030	847	nd	nd	18900
Sens 1	71%	100%	77%	nd	nd	100%
Spec 1	55%	79%	47%	nd	nd	98%
Cutoff 2	695	3030	695	nd	nd	18900
Sens 2	86%	100%	85%	nd	nd	100%
Spec 2	38%	79%	37%	nd	nd	98%
Cutoff 3	321	3030	321	nd	nd	18900
Sens 3	93%	100%	92%	nd	nd	100%
Spec 3	22%	79%	23%	nd	nd	98%
Cutoff 4	1770	1790	1770	nd	nd	1770
Sens 4	57%	100%	46%	nd	nd	100%
Spec 4	71%	70%	70%	nd	nd	70%
Cutoff 5	3030	3300	2850	nd	nd	2850
Sens 5	43%	67%	31%	nd	nd	100%
Spec 5	80%	81%	80%	nd	nd	80%
Cutoff 6	8220	10100	5910	nd	nd	5910
Sens 6	36%	67%	31%	nd	nd	100%
Spec 6	91%	91%	90%	nd	nd	90%
OR Quart 2	1.0	>0	0.96	nd	nd	>0
p Value	1.0	<na	0.97	nd	nd	<na
95% CI of	0.13	>na	0.12	nd	nd	>na
OR Quart2	7.6	na	7.4	nd	nd	na
OR Quart 3	2.2	>0	2.1	nd	nd	>0
p Value	0.40	<na	0.42	nd	nd	<na
95% CI of	0.36	>na	0.35	nd	nd	>na
OR Quart3	13	na	13	nd	nd	na
OR Quart 4	3.5	>3.2	2.7	nd	nd	>2.2
p Value	0.15	<0.32	0.26	nd	nd	<0.53

	24hr prior to AKI stage			48hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
95% CI of	0.65	>0.32	0.48	nd	nd	>0.18
OR Quart4	19	na	16	nd	nd	na

Transforming growth factor beta-2

sCr or UO	24hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	2.76	2.76
Average	22.0	589
Stdev	68.9	1900
p(t-test)		0.043
Min	2.76	2.76
Max	433	6320
n (Samp)	46	11
n (Patient)	35	11

sCr only	24hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	2.76	23.2
Average	139	23.2
Stdev	859	28.9
p(t-test)		0.85
Min	2.76	2.76
Max	6320	43.6
n (Samp)	54	2
n (Patient)	42	2

UO only	24hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	2.76	2.76
Average	24.4	643
Stdev	79.1	1990
p(t-test)		0.075
Min	2.76	2.76
Max	433	6320
n (Samp)	33	10
n (Patient)	25	10

	24hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.56	0.62	0.53
SE	0.099	0.22	0.11
p	0.52	0.56	0.75
n Cohort 1	46	54	33

	24hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
nCohort 2	11	2	10
Cutoff 1	0	0	0
Sens 1	100%	100%	100%
Spec 1	0%	0%	0%
Cutoff 2	0	0	0
Sens 2	100%	100%	100%
Spec 2	0%	0%	0%
Cutoff 3	0	0	0
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	0%	0%	0%
Cutoff 4	2.76	2.76	2.76
Sens 4	27%	50%	20%
Spec 4	85%	81%	85%
Cutoff 5	2.76	2.76	2.76
Sens 5	27%	50%	20%
Spec 5	85%	81%	85%
Cutoff 6	43.6	89.8	43.6
Sens 6	18%	0%	20%
Spec 6	91%	94%	94%
OR	13	>1.1	>27
Quart 2	0.028	<0.96	<0.0085
p Value	1.3	>0.061	>2.3
95% CI of OR Quart2	130	na	na
OR	0	>0	>0
Quart 3	na	<na	<na
p Value	na	>na	>na
95% CI of OR Quart3	na	na	na
OR	3.2	>1.1	>2.2
Quart 4	0.33	<0.96	<0.54
p Value	0.30	>0.061	>0.17
95% CI of OR Quart4	36	na	na

[0131] Table 3: Comparison of the maximum marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0) and the

maximum values in urine samples collected from subjects between enrollment and 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage F in Cohort 2.

Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1

sCr or UO	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	781	3220	781	3220	781	2100
Average	2690	8220	2690	8220	2690	5080
Stdev	6200	8630	6200	8630	6200	5860
p(t-test)		0.040		0.040		0.52
Min	93.8	1070	93.8	1070	93.8	1310
Max	40700	23500	40700	23500	40700	11800
n (Samp)	50	7	50	7	50	3
n (Patient)	50	7	50	7	50	3

sCr only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	1010	8870	1010	8870	nd	nd
Average	3170	10600	3170	10600	nd	nd
Stdev	6270	10400	6270	10400	nd	nd
p(t-test)		0.027		0.027	nd	nd
Min	45.6	1310	45.6	1310	nd	nd
Max	40700	23500	40700	23500	nd	nd
n (Samp)	81	4	81	4	nd	nd
n (Patient)	81	4	81	4	nd	nd

UO only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	796	1700	796	1700	796	2100
Average	2940	4070	2940	4070	2940	5080
Stdev	6680	5190	6680	5190	6680	5860
p(t-test)		0.74		0.74		0.59
Min	97.0	1070	97.0	1070	97.0	1310
Max	40700	11800	40700	11800	40700	11800
n (Samp)	42	4	42	4	42	3
n (Patient)	42	4	42	4	42	3

	0hr prior to AKI stage			24hr prior to AKI stage			48hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.83	0.83	0.76	0.83	0.83	0.76	0.82	nd	0.81
SE	0.098	0.13	0.15	0.098	0.13	0.15	0.15	nd	0.16
p	6.2E-4	0.011	0.078	6.2E-4	0.011	0.078	0.035	nd	0.046
nCohort 1	50	81	42	50	81	42	50	nd	42
nCohort 2	7	4	4	7	4	4	3	nd	3
Cutoff 1	2090	3030	1180	2090	3030	1180	1180	nd	1180
Sens 1	71%	75%	75%	71%	75%	75%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 1	80%	78%	67%	80%	78%	67%	68%	nd	67%
Cutoff 2	1180	1260	988	1180	1260	988	1180	nd	1180
Sens 2	86%	100%	100%	86%	100%	100%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 2	68%	60%	60%	68%	60%	60%	68%	nd	67%
Cutoff 3	988	1260	988	988	1260	988	1180	nd	1180
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 3	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	68%	nd	67%
Cutoff 4	1360	1790	1450	1360	1790	1450	1360	nd	1450
Sens 4	71%	75%	50%	71%	75%	50%	67%	nd	67%
Spec 4	70%	70%	71%	70%	70%	71%	70%	nd	71%
Cutoff 5	2090	3400	3030	2090	3400	3030	2090	nd	3030
Sens 5	71%	50%	25%	71%	50%	25%	67%	nd	33%
Spec 5	80%	80%	81%	80%	80%	81%	80%	nd	81%
Cutoff 6	5040	10100	8860	5040	10100	8860	5040	nd	8860

	0hr prior to AKI stage			24hr prior to AKI stage			48hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
Sens 6	43%	50%	25%	43%	50%	25%	33%	nd	33%
Spec 6	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	nd	90%
OR Quart 2	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	nd	>0
p Value	<na	<na	<na	<na	<na	<na	<na	nd	<na
95% CI of	>na	>na	>na	>na	>na	>na	>na	nd	>na
OR Quart2	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	nd	na
OR Quart 3	>2.3	>1.0	>2.4	>2.3	>1.0	>2.4	>1.1	nd	>1.1
p Value	<0.51	<0.97	<0.49	<0.51	<0.97	<0.49	<0.96	nd	<0.95
95% CI of	>0.19	>0.061	>0.19	>0.19	>0.061	>0.19	>0.061	nd	>0.060
OR Quart3	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	nd	na
OR Quart 4	>7.0	>3.3	>2.2	>7.0	>3.3	>2.2	>2.2	nd	>2.2
p Value	<0.097	<0.32	<0.54	<0.097	<0.32	<0.54	<0.55	nd	<0.54
95% CI of	>0.71	>0.32	>0.17	>0.71	>0.32	>0.17	>0.17	nd	>0.17
OR Quart4	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	nd	na

Transforming growth factor beta-3

	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
sCr or UO	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	11.2	0.470	11.2	0.470	11.2	0.470
Average	18.7	31.6	18.7	31.6	18.7	4.05
Stdev	25.2	73.1	25.2	73.1	25.2	6.21
p(t-test)		0.45		0.45		0.33
Min	0.470	0.470	0.470	0.470	0.470	0.470
Max	96.6	197	96.6	197	96.6	11.2
n (Samp)	26	7	26	7	26	3
n (Patient)	26	7	26	7	26	3

sCr only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	11.2	0.470	11.2	0.470	nd	nd
Average	24.1	4.05	24.1	4.05	nd	nd
Stdev	38.8	6.21	38.8	6.21	nd	nd
p(t-test)		0.38		0.38	nd	nd
Min	0.470	0.470	0.470	0.470	nd	nd
Max	197	11.2	197	11.2	nd	nd
n (Samp)	37	3	37	3	nd	nd
n (Patient)	37	3	37	3	nd	nd

UO only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	11.2	0.470	11.2	0.470	11.2	0.470
Average	14.0	41.9	14.0	41.9	14.0	4.05
Stdev	22.4	86.8	22.4	86.8	22.4	6.21
p(t-test)		0.22		0.22		0.46
Min	0.470	0.470	0.470	0.470	0.470	0.470
Max	96.6	197	96.6	197	96.6	11.2
n (Samp)	17	5	17	5	17	3
n (Patient)	17	5	17	5	17	3

	0hr prior to AKI stage			24hr prior to AKI stage			48hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.34	0.23	0.41	0.34	0.23	0.41	0.23	nd	0.29
SE	0.12	0.16	0.15	0.12	0.16	0.15	0.17	nd	0.18
p	0.20	0.094	0.54	0.20	0.094	0.54	0.11	nd	0.26
nCohort 1	26	37	17	26	37	17	26	nd	17
nCohort 2	7	3	5	7	3	5	3	nd	3
Cutoff 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	nd	0
Sens 1	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	nd	0%

	0hr prior to AKI stage			24hr prior to AKI stage			48hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
Cutoff 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	nd	0
Sens 2	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	nd	0%
Cutoff 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	nd	0
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	nd	0%
Cutoff 4	11.2	11.2	11.2	11.2	11.2	11.2	11.2	nd	11.2
Sens 4	14%	0%	20%	14%	0%	20%	0%	nd	0%
Spec 4	81%	78%	88%	81%	78%	88%	81%	nd	88%
Cutoff 5	11.2	27.5	11.2	11.2	27.5	11.2	11.2	nd	11.2
Sens 5	14%	0%	20%	14%	0%	20%	0%	nd	0%
Spec 5	81%	81%	88%	81%	81%	88%	81%	nd	88%
Cutoff 6	42.1	96.6	27.5	42.1	96.6	27.5	42.1	nd	27.5
Sens 6	14%	0%	20%	14%	0%	20%	0%	nd	0%
Spec 6	92%	97%	94%	92%	97%	94%	92%	nd	94%
OR Quart 2	1.1	>1.1	0	1.1	>1.1	0	>0	nd	0
p Value	0.93	<0.94	na	0.93	<0.94	na	<na	nd	na
95% CI of	0.060	>0.060	na	0.060	>0.060	na	>na	nd	na
OR Quart2	22	na	na	22	na	na	na	nd	na
OR Quart 3	2.7	>0	5.0	2.7	>0	5.0	>1.3	nd	2.7
p Value	0.46	<na	0.24	0.46	<na	0.24	<0.85	nd	0.50
95% CI of	0.19	>na	0.34	0.19	>na	0.34	>0.069	nd	0.16
OR Quart3	37	na	73	37	na	73	na	nd	45
OR Quart 4	4.8	>2.5	1.2	4.8	>2.5	1.2	>3.2	nd	0
p Value	0.22	<0.49	0.89	0.22	<0.49	0.89	<0.39	nd	na
95% CI of	0.38	>0.19	0.058	0.38	>0.19	0.058	>0.23	nd	na
OR Quart4	60	na	27	60	na	27	na	nd	na

[0132] Table 4: Comparison of marker levels in EDTA samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0) and in EDTA samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage R, I or F in Cohort 2.

Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1

sCr or UO	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	139000	198000	139000	190000	139000	143000
Average	222000	284000	222000	367000	222000	263000
Stdev	251000	279000	251000	357000	251000	289000
p(t-test)		0.44		0.046		0.66
Min	10300	33900	10300	31600	10300	31500
Max	1160000	934000	1160000	1360000	1160000	762000
n (Samp)	54	13	54	23	54	9
n (Patient)	53	13	53	23	53	9

sCr only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	160000	158000	160000	222000	160000	84900
Average	313000	158000	313000	222000	313000	95500
Stdev	364000	176000	364000	265000	364000	67700
p(t-test)		0.55		0.72		0.30
Min	10300	33900	10300	34100	10300	33700
Max	1470000	282000	1470000	409000	1470000	168000
n (Samp)	110	2	110	2	110	3
n (Patient)	92	2	92	2	92	3

UO only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	124000	190000	124000	243000	124000	234000
Average	216000	292000	216000	406000	216000	337000
Stdev	246000	285000	246000	408000	246000	321000
p(t-test)		0.35		0.015		0.20
Min	10300	56400	10300	31600	10300	31500
Max	1160000	934000	1160000	1470000	1160000	762000
n (Samp)	48	12	48	25	48	9
n (Patient)	44	12	44	25	44	9

	0hr prior to AKI stage			24hr prior to AKI stage			48hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.57	0.39	0.60	0.63	0.43	0.66	0.50	0.29	0.56
SE	0.091	0.21	0.095	0.072	0.21	0.070	0.10	0.17	0.11
p	0.44	0.61	0.31	0.062	0.73	0.023	1.00	0.21	0.55
nCohort 1	54	110	48	54	110	48	54	110	48
nCohort 2	13	2	12	23	2	25	9	3	9
Cutoff 1	67400	31600	121000	156000	33900	162000	43200	31600	43200
Sens 1	77%	100%	75%	74%	100%	72%	78%	100%	78%
Spec 1	26%	6%	50%	57%	7%	60%	15%	6%	15%
Cutoff 2	65900	31600	67400	72300	33900	96200	31500	31600	31500
Sens 2	85%	100%	83%	83%	100%	80%	89%	100%	89%
Spec 2	22%	6%	27%	28%	7%	44%	7%	6%	4%
Cutoff 3	53400	31600	65900	43200	33900	43200	30700	31600	21600
Sens 3	92%	100%	92%	91%	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	19%	6%	23%	15%	7%	15%	7%	6%	4%
Cutoff 4	239000	272000	239000	239000	272000	239000	239000	272000	239000
Sens 4	46%	50%	42%	48%	50%	52%	33%	0%	44%
Spec 4	70%	70%	71%	70%	70%	71%	70%	70%	71%
Cutoff 5	276000	497000	280000	276000	497000	280000	276000	497000	280000
Sens 5	31%	0%	25%	43%	0%	44%	33%	0%	44%
Spec 5	81%	80%	81%	81%	80%	81%	81%	80%	81%
Cutoff 6	428000	838000	450000	428000	838000	450000	428000	838000	450000
Sens 6	23%	0%	25%	30%	0%	32%	22%	0%	33%
Spec 6	91%	90%	92%	91%	90%	92%	91%	90%	92%
OR Quart 2	0.58	>1.0	1.6	0.70	0	0.70	0.62	>1.1	0
p Value	0.58	<0.98	0.63	0.68	na	0.67	0.63	<0.96	na
95% CI of	0.083	>0.062	0.23	0.13	na	0.13	0.089	>0.064	na
OR Quart2	4.0	na	11	3.7	na	3.7	4.3	na	na
OR Quart 3	1.3	>0	2.4	1.7	0	2.8	0.29	>1.1	0.61
p Value	0.74	<na	0.37	0.46	na	0.16	0.31	<0.96	0.62
95% CI of	0.25	>na	0.36	0.40	na	0.66	0.027	>0.064	0.085
OR Quart3	7.2	na	15	7.5	na	12	3.1	na	4.4
OR Quart 4	1.3	>1.0	1.6	3.8	1.0	3.9	1.1	>1.1	1.3
p Value	0.74	<0.98	0.63	0.066	1.0	0.063	0.93	<0.96	0.74
95% CI of	0.25	>0.062	0.23	0.92	0.059	0.93	0.18	>0.064	0.24
OR Quart4	7.2	na	11	15	17	16	6.4	na	7.4

Transforming growth factor beta-2

sCr or UO	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	60.2	4.64	60.2	180	60.2	346
Average	320	272	320	410	320	633
Stdev	706	601	706	643	706	927
p(t-test)		0.61		0.40		0.11
Min	0.270	0.270	0.270	0.270	0.270	0.270
Max	5490	3730	5490	3010	5490	3530
n (Samp)	159	76	159	57	159	15
n (Patient)	89	76	89	57	89	15

sCr only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	7.78	4.64	7.78	7.78	7.78	7.78
Average	343	286	343	465	343	245
Stdev	831	846	831	735	831	325
p(t-test)		0.77		0.60		0.76
Min	0.270	0.345	0.270	0.345	0.270	0.277
Max	8630	3730	8630	2470	8630	710
n (Samp)	379	20	379	13	379	7
n (Patient)	178	20	178	13	178	7

UO only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	7.78	4.64	7.78	165	7.78	219
Average	304	248	304	403	304	553
Stdev	688	473	688	712	688	894
p(t-test)		0.54		0.34		0.17
Min	0.270	0.270	0.270	0.270	0.270	0.270
Max	5490	2310	5490	3730	5490	3530
n (Samp)	186	66	186	60	186	17
n (Patient)	92	66	92	60	92	17

	0hr prior to AKI stage			24hr prior to AKI stage			48hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.44	0.44	0.45	0.57	0.59	0.57	0.66	0.51	0.63
SE	0.041	0.068	0.042	0.045	0.084	0.043	0.080	0.11	0.075
p	0.17	0.38	0.26	0.14	0.27	0.13	0.042	0.90	0.084
nCohort 1	159	379	186	159	379	186	159	379	186
nCohort 2	76	20	66	57	13	60	15	7	17
Cutoff 1	0.277	0.345	0.277	0.665	7.32	0.665	140	0.665	80.7
Sens 1	79%	75%	73%	74%	77%	70%	73%	71%	76%
Spec 1	19%	26%	19%	32%	43%	34%	52%	35%	56%
Cutoff 2	0.270	0.277	0.270	0.345	0.277	0.345	80.7	0.270	0.665
Sens 2	93%	100%	91%	81%	100%	80%	87%	100%	82%
Spec 2	8%	19%	8%	24%	19%	27%	51%	9%	34%
Cutoff 3	0.270	0.277	0.270	0.277	0.277	0.270	0.345	0.270	0.270
Sens 3	93%	100%	91%	91%	100%	95%	93%	100%	94%
Spec 3	8%	19%	8%	19%	19%	8%	24%	9%	8%
Cutoff 4	346	334	288	346	334	288	346	334	288
Sens 4	24%	20%	27%	33%	38%	37%	47%	29%	47%
Spec 4	72%	71%	70%	72%	71%	70%	72%	71%	70%
Cutoff 5	447	428	414	447	428	414	447	428	414
Sens 5	18%	20%	23%	30%	38%	28%	27%	29%	35%
Spec 5	81%	80%	80%	81%	80%	80%	81%	80%	80%
Cutoff 6	744	794	627	744	794	627	744	794	627
Sens 6	13%	10%	17%	18%	23%	20%	20%	0%	24%
Spec 6	91%	90%	90%	91%	90%	90%	91%	90%	90%
OR Quart 2	0.64	0	0.69	1.4	1.3	1.1	0.98	0.99	0.98
p Value	0.30	na	0.39	0.49	0.70	0.86	0.98	0.99	0.98
95% CI of	0.28	na	0.30	0.56	0.29	0.45	0.13	0.14	0.13
OR Quart2	1.5	na	1.6	3.4	6.2	2.6	7.3	7.2	7.2
OR Quart 3	1.6	3.3	1.3	1.4	0.33	1.6	2.7	0.49	3.2
p Value	0.25	0.047	0.55	0.49	0.34	0.29	0.25	0.57	0.17
95% CI of	0.73	1.0	0.58	0.56	0.033	0.68	0.49	0.044	0.61
OR Quart3	3.3	11	2.8	3.4	3.2	3.7	15	5.5	17
OR Quart 4	1.3	1.0	1.3	2.0	1.7	1.7	3.2	0.99	3.8
p Value	0.51	0.99	0.55	0.13	0.47	0.23	0.17	0.99	0.11
95% CI of	0.60	0.25	0.58	0.82	0.40	0.72	0.62	0.14	0.75
OR Quart4	2.8	4.2	2.8	4.7	7.3	3.9	17	7.2	19

[0133] Table 5: Comparison of marker levels in EDTA samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R) and in EDTA samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage I or F in Cohort 2.

Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1

sCr or UO	24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	146000	179000	146000	409000
Average	262000	620000	262000	592000
Stdev	307000	606000	307000	513000
p(t-test)		0.0026		0.0094
Min	10300	102000	10300	56400
Max	1450000	1470000	1450000	1470000
n (Samp)	112	9	112	7
n (Patient)	91	9	91	7

UO only	24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	149000	179000	149000	483000
Average	271000	620000	271000	663000
Stdev	316000	606000	316000	523000
p(t-test)		0.0047		0.0057
Min	10300	102000	10300	56400
Max	1450000	1470000	1450000	1470000
n (Samp)	98	9	98	6
n (Patient)	76	9	76	6

	24hr prior to AKI stage			48hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.69	nd	0.68	0.74	nd	0.76
SE	0.10	nd	0.10	0.11	nd	0.12
p	0.063	nd	0.075	0.027	nd	0.024
nCohort 1	112	nd	98	112	nd	98
nCohort 2	9	nd	9	7	nd	6
Cutoff 1	106000	nd	106000	360000	nd	360000
Sens 1	78%	nd	78%	71%	nd	83%
Spec 1	40%	nd	39%	80%	nd	79%
Cutoff 2	102000	nd	103000	162000	nd	360000
Sens 2	89%	nd	89%	86%	nd	83%
Spec 2	40%	nd	39%	55%	nd	79%
Cutoff 3	102000	nd	99100	53400	nd	53400
Sens 3	100%	nd	100%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 3	40%	nd	38%	20%	nd	17%
Cutoff 4	249000	nd	249000	249000	nd	249000
Sens 4	44%	nd	44%	71%	nd	83%
Spec 4	71%	nd	70%	71%	nd	70%
Cutoff 5	360000	nd	409000	360000	nd	409000
Sens 5	44%	nd	44%	71%	nd	67%

	24hr prior to AKI stage			48hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
Spec 5	80%	nd	81%	80%	nd	81%
Cutoff 6	751000	nd	762000	751000	nd	762000
Sens 6	44%	nd	44%	29%	nd	33%
Spec 6	90%	nd	91%	90%	nd	91%
OR Quart 2	>3.3	nd	>3.2	0	nd	0
	<0.31	nd	<0.32	na	nd	na
p Value	>0.33	nd	>0.32	na	nd	na
95% CI of OR Quart2	na	nd	na	na	nd	na
OR Quart 3	>2.1	nd	>2.1	0.97	nd	0
	<0.54	nd	<0.56	0.98	nd	na
p Value	>0.18	nd	>0.18	0.058	nd	na
95% CI of OR Quart3	na	nd	na	16	nd	na
OR Quart 4	>4.4	nd	>4.5	5.6	nd	6.0
	<0.19	nd	<0.19	0.13	nd	0.12
p Value	>0.47	nd	>0.47	0.61	nd	0.64
95% CI of OR Quart4	na	nd	na	51	nd	55

Transforming growth factor beta-2

sCr or UO	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	110	0.409	110	7.78	110	83.2
Average	415	264	415	663	415	629
Stdev	922	688	922	1880	922	1470
p(t-test)		0.40		0.18		0.33
Min	0.270	0.270	0.270	0.270	0.270	0.270
Max	8630	3200	8630	10900	8630	5920
n (Samp)	357	28	357	35	357	20
n (Patient)	177	28	177	35	177	20

sCr only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	7.78	2.49	7.78	905	7.78	0.345
Average	353	2.49	353	1130	353	135
Stdev	833	3.04	833	1250	833	297
p(t-test)		0.55		0.11		0.56
Min	0.270	0.345	0.270	4.64	0.270	0.277
Max	8630	4.64	8630	2470	8630	665
n (Samp)	481	2	481	3	481	5
n (Patient)	216	2	216	3	216	5

UO only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	7.78	0.409	7.78	7.78	7.78	83.2
Average	373	264	373	593	373	662
Stdev	870	688	870	1860	870	1550
p(t-test)		0.52		0.21		0.19
Min	0.270	0.270	0.270	0.270	0.270	0.270
Max	8630	3200	8630	10900	8630	5920
n (Samp)	350	28	350	35	350	18

UO only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
n (Patient)	166	28	166	35	166	18

	0hr prior to AKI stage			24hr prior to AKI stage			48hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.34	0.31	0.36	0.49	0.76	0.49	0.47	0.39	0.49
SE	0.058	0.21	0.058	0.051	0.16	0.052	0.067	0.13	0.070
p	0.0071	0.38	0.017	0.89	0.11	0.82	0.69	0.41	0.88
nCohort 1	357	481	350	357	481	350	357	481	350
nCohort 2	28	2	28	35	3	35	20	5	18
Cutoff 1	0.277	0.277	0.277	0.345	0.665	0.345	0.277	0.277	0.277
Sens 1	71%	100%	71%	74%	100%	71%	80%	80%	83%
Spec 1	17%	18%	17%	23%	36%	25%	17%	18%	17%
Cutoff 2	0.270	0.277	0.270	0.277	0.665	0.277	0.277	0.277	0.277
Sens 2	82%	100%	82%	80%	100%	80%	80%	80%	83%
Spec 2	7%	18%	8%	17%	36%	17%	17%	18%	17%
Cutoff 3	0	0.277	0	0	0.665	0	0.270	0.270	0.270
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%	94%
Spec 3	0%	18%	0%	0%	36%	0%	7%	9%	8%
Cutoff 4	363	329	339	363	329	339	363	329	339
Sens 4	18%	0%	18%	34%	67%	31%	25%	20%	28%
Spec 4	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%
Cutoff 5	544	447	485	544	447	485	544	447	485
Sens 5	14%	0%	14%	23%	67%	26%	20%	20%	17%
Spec 5	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%
Cutoff 6	981	855	869	981	855	869	981	855	869
Sens 6	14%	0%	14%	11%	67%	11%	10%	0%	11%
Spec 6	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
OR Quart 2	0.19	>0	0.39	0.52	>1.0	0.43	1.6	0	2.1
p Value	0.14	<na	0.27	0.21	<1.00	0.13	0.51	na	0.31
95% CI of	0.022	>na	0.074	0.18	>0.062	0.14	0.42	na	0.50
OR Quart2	1.7	na	2.1	1.5	na	1.3	5.7	na	8.5
OR Quart 3	1.9	>2.0	2.4	0.61	>0	0.81	0.75	3.1	1.0
p Value	0.27	<0.56	0.13	0.33	<na	0.65	0.71	0.34	1.0
95% CI of	0.61	>0.18	0.79	0.23	>na	0.32	0.16	0.31	0.20
OR Quart3	5.9	na	7.1	1.6	na	2.0	3.4	30	5.1
OR Quart 4	2.9	>0	2.1	1.0	>2.0	0.91	1.8	1.0	2.1
p Value	0.053	<na	0.18	1.0	<0.56	0.84	0.35	1.00	0.31
95% CI of	0.99	>na	0.70	0.41	>0.18	0.37	0.52	0.062	0.50
OR Quart4	8.4	na	6.5	2.4	na	2.3	6.5	16	8.5

[0134] Table 6: Comparison of marker levels in EDTA samples collected within 12 hours of reaching stage R from Cohort 1 (patients that reached, but did not progress beyond, RIFLE stage R) and from Cohort 2 (patients that reached RIFLE stage I or F).

Transforming growth factor beta-2

	sCr or UO		sCr only		UO only	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	157	0.409	7.78	131	132	0.409
Average	451	232	353	999	421	133
Stdev	691	681	696	1830	640	232
p(t-test)		0.15		0.19		0.030
Min	0.270	0.270	0.277	0.345	0.270	0.270
Max	3350	3730	3350	3730	2820	905
n (Samp)	66	30	25	4	52	26
n (Patient)	66	30	25	4	52	26

	At Enrollment		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.33	0.54	0.32
SE	0.062	0.16	0.067
p	0.0055	0.80	0.0089
nCohort 1	66	25	52
nCohort 2	30	4	26
Cutoff 1	0.277	0.409	0.270
Sens 1	73%	75%	88%
Spec 1	12%	32%	6%
Cutoff 2	0.270	0.277	0.270
Sens 2	90%	100%	88%
Spec 2	3%	4%	6%
Cutoff 3	0.270	0.277	0
Sens 3	90%	100%	100%
Spec 3	3%	4%	0%
Cutoff 4	631	544	544
Sens 4	3%	25%	4%
Spec 4	71%	72%	71%
Cutoff 5	806	627	867
Sens 5	3%	25%	4%
Spec 5	80%	80%	81%
Cutoff 6	1090	769	1090
Sens 6	3%	25%	0%
Spec 6	91%	92%	90%
OR Quart 2	16	1.0	4.2
p Value	0.011	1.0	0.11
95% CI of	1.9	0.050	0.72
OR Quart2	140	20	24
OR Quart 3	9.5	1.0	7.4
p Value	0.044	1.0	0.022
95% CI of	1.1	0.050	1.3
OR Quart3	84	20	41
OR Quart 4	23	0.86	8.1
p Value	0.0044	0.92	0.017
95% CI of	2.7	0.044	1.5
OR Quart4	200	17	45

Transforming growth factor beta-3

	sCr or UO		sCr only		UO only	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	0.361	0.235	0.361	31.0	0.361	0.1000
Average	36.9	25.5	31.8	33.5	37.8	20.7
Stdev	64.3	35.9	39.5	38.7	69.2	33.8
p(t-test)		0.36		0.94		0.24
Min	0.0654	0.0654	0.0654	0.0654	0.0654	0.0654
Max	352	101	101	72.0	352	101
n (Samp)	66	30	25	4	52	26
n (Patient)	66	30	25	4	52	26

	At Enrollment		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.40	0.48	0.35
SE	0.064	0.16	0.068
p	0.12	0.92	0.025
nCohort 1	66	25	52
nCohort 2	30	4	26
Cutoff 1	0.0654	0.139	0.0654
Sens 1	83%	75%	81%
Spec 1	6%	36%	6%
Cutoff 2	0.0654	0	0.0654

	At Enrollment		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
Sens 2	83%	100%	81%
Spec 2	6%	0%	6%
Cutoff 3	0	0	0
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	0%	0%	0%
Cutoff 4	61.6	61.6	61.4
Sens 4	23%	25%	19%
Spec 4	76%	72%	71%
Cutoff 5	82.3	82.3	82.3
Sens 5	3%	0%	4%
Spec 5	83%	88%	85%
Cutoff 6	101	85.5	101
Sens 6	0%	0%	0%
Spec 6	92%	92%	90%
OR Quart 2	0.64	1.2	0.80
p Value	0.51	0.92	0.77
95% CI of	0.17	0.059	0.18
OR Quart2	2.4	23	3.6
OR Quart 3	1.0	1.2	1.6
p Value	1.0	0.92	0.49
95% CI of	0.29	0.059	0.41
OR Quart3	3.5	23	6.3
OR Quart 4	2.1	1.2	3.3
p Value	0.24	0.92	0.082
95% CI of	0.62	0.059	0.86
OR Quart4	6.8	23	13

[0135] Table 7: Comparison of the maximum marker levels in EDTA samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0) and the maximum values in EDTA samples collected from subjects between enrollment and 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage F in Cohort 2.

Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1

sCr or UO	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	137000	1360000	137000	1360000
Average	222000	1000000	222000	1000000
Stdev	254000	717000	254000	717000
p(t-test)		2.5E-5		2.5E-5
Min	10300	175000	10300	175000
Max	1160000	1470000	1160000	1470000
n (Samp)	53	3	53	3
n (Patient)	53	3	53	3

UO only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	132000	1360000	132000	1360000
Average	225000	1000000	225000	1000000
Stdev	254000	717000	254000	717000
p(t-test)		5.3E-5		5.3E-5
Min	10300	175000	10300	175000
Max	1160000	1470000	1160000	1470000
n (Samp)	44	3	44	3
n (Patient)	44	3	44	3

	0hr prior to AKI stage			24hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.86	nd	0.86	0.86	nd	0.86
SE	0.14	nd	0.14	0.14	nd	0.14
p	0.0087	nd	0.0081	0.0087	nd	0.0081
nCohort 1	53	nd	44	53	nd	44
nCohort 2	3	nd	3	3	nd	3
Cutoff 1	156000	nd	156000	156000	nd	156000
Sens 1	100%	nd	100%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 1	58%	nd	59%	58%	nd	59%
Cutoff 2	156000	nd	156000	156000	nd	156000
Sens 2	100%	nd	100%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 2	58%	nd	59%	58%	nd	59%
Cutoff 3	156000	nd	156000	156000	nd	156000
Sens 3	100%	nd	100%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 3	58%	nd	59%	58%	nd	59%
Cutoff 4	247000	nd	247000	247000	nd	247000
Sens 4	67%	nd	67%	67%	nd	67%
Spec 4	72%	nd	70%	72%	nd	70%
Cutoff 5	276000	nd	341000	276000	nd	341000
Sens 5	67%	nd	67%	67%	nd	67%
Spec 5	81%	nd	82%	81%	nd	82%
Cutoff 6	428000	nd	450000	428000	nd	450000
Sens 6	67%	nd	67%	67%	nd	67%
Spec 6	91%	nd	91%	91%	nd	91%
OR Quart 2	>0	nd	>0	>0	nd	>0
p Value	<na	nd	<na	<na	nd	<na
95% CI of	>na	nd	>na	>na	nd	>na
OR Quart2	na	nd	na	na	nd	na
OR Quart 3	>1.1	nd	>1.0	>1.1	nd	>1.0
p Value	<0.96	nd	<1.0	<0.96	nd	<1.0
95% CI of	>0.061	nd	>0.055	>0.061	nd	>0.055
OR Quart3	na	nd	na	na	nd	na
OR Quart 4	>2.3	nd	>2.2	>2.3	nd	>2.2
p Value	<0.51	nd	<0.54	<0.51	nd	<0.54
95% CI of	>0.19	nd	>0.17	>0.19	nd	>0.17
OR Quart4	na	nd	na	na	nd	na

Transforming growth factor beta-3

sCr or UO	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	0.361	45.8	0.361	22.6	0.361	0.120
Average	33.3	63.6	33.3	55.4	33.3	42.4
Stdev	52.9	74.5	52.9	76.7	52.9	83.7
p(t-test)		0.10		0.24		0.69
Min	0.0779	0.0779	0.0779	0.0779	0.0779	0.0654
Max	273	209	273	209	273	209
n (Samp)	89	10	89	10	89	6
n (Patient)	89	10	89	10	89	6

sCr only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	1.19	0.131	1.19	0.131	1.19	0.131
Average	39.5	50.9	39.5	50.9	39.5	50.9
Stdev	57.3	90.7	57.3	90.7	57.3	90.7
p(t-test)		0.67		0.67		0.67

sCr only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Min	0.0779	0.0779	0.0779	0.0779	0.0779	0.0779
Max	352	209	352	209	352	209
n (Samp)	178	5	178	5	178	5
n (Patient)	178	5	178	5	178	5

UO only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	0.361	64.5	0.361	23.5	0.361	0.0716
Average	36.3	63.6	36.3	49.9	36.3	0.0716
Stdev	53.7	63.7	53.7	67.6	53.7	0.00884
p(t-test)		0.24		0.56		0.35
Min	0.0779	0.0779	0.0779	0.0779	0.0779	0.0654
Max	273	170	273	170	273	0.0779
n (Samp)	92	6	92	6	92	2
n (Patient)	92	6	92	6	92	2

	0hr prior to AKI stage			24hr prior to AKI stage			48hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.59	0.40	0.62	0.52	0.40	0.52	0.35	0.40	0.035
SE	0.099	0.14	0.13	0.098	0.14	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.092
p	0.38	0.45	0.34	0.84	0.45	0.89	0.24	0.45	4.4E-7
nCohort 1	89	178	92	89	178	92	89	178	92
nCohort 2	10	5	6	10	5	6	6	5	2
Cutoff 1	0.139	0.1000	0.139	0.109	0.1000	0.0779	0.0654	0.1000	0
Sens 1	70%	80%	83%	70%	80%	83%	83%	80%	100%
Spec 1	31%	14%	34%	17%	14%	14%	0%	14%	0%
Cutoff 2	0.109	0.1000	0.139	0.1000	0.1000	0.0779	0.0654	0.1000	0
Sens 2	80%	80%	83%	80%	80%	83%	83%	80%	100%
Spec 2	17%	14%	34%	16%	14%	14%	0%	14%	0%
Cutoff 3	0.1000	0	0	0.0779	0	0	0	0	0
Sens 3	90%	100%	100%	90%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	16%	0%	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Cutoff 4	32.3	61.6	61.6	32.3	61.6	61.6	32.3	61.6	61.6
Sens 4	60%	20%	50%	50%	20%	33%	33%	20%	0%
Spec 4	73%	72%	73%	73%	72%	73%	73%	72%	73%
Cutoff 5	82.3	82.3	82.3	82.3	82.3	82.3	82.3	82.3	82.3
Sens 5	20%	20%	17%	20%	20%	17%	17%	20%	0%
Spec 5	84%	84%	83%	84%	84%	83%	84%	84%	83%
Cutoff 6	101	101	101	101	101	101	101	101	101
Sens 6	20%	20%	17%	20%	20%	17%	17%	20%	0%
Spec 6	93%	93%	92%	93%	93%	92%	93%	93%	92%
OR Quart 2	0.29	1.0	0.96	0.21	1.0	0.46	1.0	1.0	>0
p Value	0.30	1.0	0.98	0.18	1.0	0.54	1.0	1.0	<na
95% CI of	0.028	0.061	0.057	0.022	0.061	0.039	0.059	0.061	>na
OR Quart2	3.0	16	16	2.0	16	5.4	17	16	na
OR Quart 3	0.61	0	1.0	0.43	0	0.48	0	0	>0
p Value	0.61	na	1.0	0.36	na	0.56	na	na	<na
95% CI of	0.092	na	0.059	0.072	na	0.040	na	na	>na
OR Quart3	4.0	na	17	2.6	na	5.7	na	na	na
OR Quart 4	1.3	3.2	3.1	0.68	3.2	0.96	4.8	3.2	>2.3
p Value	0.73	0.32	0.34	0.64	0.32	0.97	0.17	0.32	<0.51
95% CI of	0.27	0.32	0.30	0.14	0.32	0.12	0.50	0.32	>0.19
OR Quart4	6.7	32	32	3.4	32	7.4	47	32	na

[0136] Table 8: Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0, R, or I) and in urine samples

collected from Cohort 2 (subjects who progress to RIFLE stage F) at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to the subject reaching RIFLE stage I.

Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1

sCr or UO	24hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	965	2660
Average	4440	7170
Stdev	12200	8950
p(t-test)		0.59
Min	45.6	1070
Max	91800	23500
n (Samp)	122	6
n (Patient)	96	6

sCr only	24hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	1020	14500
Average	4430	13700
Stdev	12100	10100
p(t-test)		0.19
Min	45.6	3220
Max	91800	23500
n (Samp)	126	3
n (Patient)	99	3

UO only	24hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	1070	1700
Average	4690	4070
Stdev	12500	5190
p(t-test)		0.92
Min	45.6	1070
Max	91800	11800
n (Samp)	104	4
n (Patient)	82	4

	24hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.76	0.89	0.68
SE	0.12	0.12	0.15
p	0.025	0.0013	0.22
nCohort 1	122	126	104
nCohort 2	6	3	4
Cutoff 1	1290	3030	1290
Sens 1	83%	100%	75%

	24hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
Spec 1	60%	79%	57%
Cutoff 2	1290	3030	1060
Sens 2	83%	100%	100%
Spec 2	60%	79%	50%
Cutoff 3	1060	3030	1060
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	53%	79%	50%
Cutoff 4	1790	1880	1940
Sens 4	67%	100%	50%
Spec 4	70%	71%	70%
Cutoff 5	3300	3300	3400
Sens 5	33%	67%	25%
Spec 5	80%	80%	81%
Cutoff 6	10100	10200	10300
Sens 6	33%	67%	25%
Spec 6	90%	90%	90%
OR Quart 2	>0	>0	>1.0
p Value	<na	<na	<0.98
95% CI of	>na	>na	>0.062
OR Quart2	na	na	na
OR Quart 3	>3.3	>0	>2.2
p Value	<0.31	<na	<0.54
95% CI of	>0.33	>na	>0.18
OR Quart3	na	na	na
OR Quart 4	>3.3	>3.2	>1.0
p Value	<0.31	<0.33	<0.98
95% CI of	>0.33	>0.32	>0.062
OR Quart4	na	na	na

Transforming growth factor beta-1

sCr or UO	24hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	11.7	11.7
Average	51.7	10700
Stdev	205	26100
p(t-test)		0.0021
Min	11.7	11.7
Max	1500	64000
n (Samp)	54	6
n (Patient)	42	6

sCr only	24hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	11.7	20.4
Average	1130	20.4

sCr only	24hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Stdev	8320	12.3
p(t-test)		0.85
Min	11.7	11.7
Max	64000	29.1
n (Samp)	59	2
n (Patient)	46	2

UO only	24hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	11.7	11.7
Average	64.4	12800
Stdev	238	28600
p(t-test)		0.0036
Min	11.7	11.7
Max	1500	64000
n (Samp)	40	5
n (Patient)	31	5

	24hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.54	0.58	0.56
SE	0.13	0.22	0.14
p	0.76	0.71	0.66
nCohort 1	54	59	40
nCohort 2	6	2	5
Cutoff 1	0	0	0
Sens 1	100%	100%	100%
Spec 1	0%	0%	0%
Cutoff 2	0	0	0
Sens 2	100%	100%	100%
Spec 2	0%	0%	0%
Cutoff 3	0	0	0
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	0%	0%	0%
Cutoff 4	11.7	29.1	29.1
Sens 4	33%	0%	20%
Spec 4	70%	93%	92%
Cutoff 5	29.1	29.1	29.1
Sens 5	17%	0%	20%
Spec 5	94%	93%	92%
Cutoff 6	29.1	29.1	29.1
Sens 6	17%	0%	20%
Spec 6	94%	93%	92%
OR Quart 2	3.5	>1.1	2.2
p Value	0.30	<0.96	0.54

	24hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
95% CI of OR Quart2	0.32	>0.061	0.17
	38	na	29
OR Quart 3	0	>1.1	0
p Value	na	<0.96	na
95% CI of OR Quart3	na	>0.061	na
	na	na	na
OR Quart 4	2.2	>0	2.0
p Value	0.55	<na	0.59
95% CI of OR Quart4	0.17	>na	0.16
	27	na	26

Transforming growth factor beta-2

sCr or UO	24hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	2.76	2.76
Average	22.4	1060
Stdev	65.1	2580
p(t-test)		0.0023
Min	2.76	2.76
Max	433	6320
n (Samp)	54	6
n (Patient)	42	6

sCr only	24hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	2.76	23.2
Average	128	23.2
Stdev	822	28.9
p(t-test)		0.86
Min	2.76	2.76
Max	6320	43.6
n (Samp)	59	2
n (Patient)	46	2

UO only	24hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	2.76	2.76
Average	24.9	1270
Stdev	73.5	2820
p(t-test)		0.0042
Min	2.76	2.76
Max	433	6320
n (Samp)	40	5
n (Patient)	31	5

	24hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.59	0.64	0.53
SE	0.13	0.22	0.14
p	0.49	0.53	0.83
nCohort 1	54	59	40
nCohort 2	6	2	5
Cutoff 1	0	0	0
Sens 1	100%	100%	100%
Spec 1	0%	0%	0%
Cutoff 2	0	0	0
Sens 2	100%	100%	100%
Spec 2	0%	0%	0%
Cutoff 3	0	0	0
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	0%	0%	0%
Cutoff 4	2.76	2.76	2.76
Sens 4	33%	50%	20%
Spec 4	83%	83%	82%
Cutoff 5	2.76	2.76	2.76
Sens 5	33%	50%	20%
Spec 5	83%	83%	82%
Cutoff 6	89.8	89.8	43.6
Sens 6	17%	0%	20%
Spec 6	96%	95%	90%
OR Quart 2	3.5	>1.1	>6.3
p Value	0.30	<0.96	<0.13
95% CI of	0.32	>0.061	>0.58
OR Quart2	38	na	na
OR Quart 3	0	>0	>0
p Value	na	<na	<na
95% CI of	na	>na	>na
OR Quart3	na	na	na
OR Quart 4	2.2	>1.0	>1.0
p Value	0.55	<1.0	<1.0
95% CI of	0.17	>0.057	>0.055
OR Quart4	27	na	na

Transforming growth factor beta-3

sCr or UO	24hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	11.2	0.470
Average	19.6	33.2
Stdev	25.8	80.2
p(t-test)		0.36
Min	0.470	0.470
Max	96.6	197

sCr or UO	24hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
n (Samp)	54	6
n (Patient)	42	6

sCr only	24hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	11.2	5.85
Average	21.5	5.85
Stdev	34.1	7.60
p(t-test)		0.52
Min	0.470	0.470
Max	197	11.2
n (Samp)	59	2
n (Patient)	46	2

UO only	24hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	11.2	0.470
Average	17.3	39.8
Stdev	23.1	87.8
p(t-test)		0.18
Min	0.470	0.470
Max	96.6	197
n (Samp)	40	5
n (Patient)	31	5

	24hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.25	0.32	0.29
SE	0.12	0.21	0.14
p	0.038	0.39	0.12
nCohort 1	54	59	40
nCohort 2	6	2	5
Cutoff 1	0	0	0
Sens 1	100%	100%	100%
Spec 1	0%	0%	0%
Cutoff 2	0	0	0
Sens 2	100%	100%	100%
Spec 2	0%	0%	0%
Cutoff 3	0	0	0
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	0%	0%	0%
Cutoff 4	11.2	11.2	11.2
Sens 4	17%	0%	20%
Spec 4	80%	80%	82%
Cutoff 5	27.5	27.5	11.2

	24hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
Sens 5	17%	0%	20%
Spec 5	81%	81%	82%
Cutoff 6	42.1	70.0	42.1
Sens 6	17%	0%	20%
Spec 6	91%	92%	92%
OR Quart 2	0	>1.1	0
p Value	na	<0.93	na
95% CI of	na	>0.065	na
OR Quart2	na	na	na
OR Quart 3	0	>0	0
p Value	na	<na	na
95% CI of	na	>na	na
OR Quart3	na	na	na
OR Quart 4	7.0	>1.1	6.3
p Value	0.097	<0.93	0.13
95% CI of	0.71	>0.065	0.58
OR Quart4	69	na	68

[0137] Table 9: Comparison of marker levels in EDTA samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0, R, or I) and in EDTA samples collected from Cohort 2 (subjects who progress to RIFLE stage F) at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to the subject reaching RIFLE stage I.

Transforming growth factor beta-1

sCr or UO	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	4550	8310	4550	13300	4550	5170
Average	7620	13500	7620	26300	7620	18700
Stdev	8440	9180	8440	31100	8440	29000
p(t-test)		0.12		5.4E-7		0.012
Min	726	6060	726	2010	726	2320
Max	57000	27900	57000	85600	57000	62100
n (Samp)	490	5	490	6	490	4
n (Patient)	221	5	221	6	221	4

sCr only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	nd	nd	nd	nd	4590	4480
Average	nd	nd	nd	nd	7580	4430
Stdev	nd	nd	nd	nd	8350	1460
p(t-test)	nd	nd	nd	nd		0.51
Min	nd	nd	nd	nd	726	2940
Max	nd	nd	nd	nd	57000	5870
n (Samp)	nd	nd	nd	nd	503	3
n (Patient)	nd	nd	nd	nd	226	3

UO only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	4420	6900	4420	8590	nd	nd
Average	7550	8920	7550	12500	nd	nd

UO only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Stdev	9170	5700	9170	13600	nd	nd
p(t-test)		0.77		0.23	nd	nd
Min	726	4610	726	2010	nd	nd
Max	85600	17300	85600	35000	nd	nd
n (Samp)	489	4	489	5	nd	nd
n (Patient)	208	4	208	5	nd	nd

	0hr prior to AKI stage			24hr prior to AKI stage			48hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.79	nd	0.70	0.75	nd	0.57	0.56	0.46	nd
SE	0.12	nd	0.15	0.12	nd	0.13	0.15	0.17	nd
p	0.017	nd	0.18	0.028	nd	0.60	0.68	0.82	nd
nCohort 1	490	nd	489	490	nd	489	490	503	nd
nCohort 2	5	nd	4	6	nd	5	4	3	nd
Cutoff 1	7640	nd	6030	8530	nd	2300	4450	2930	nd
Sens 1	80%	nd	75%	83%	nd	80%	75%	100%	nd
Spec 1	72%	nd	64%	76%	nd	14%	50%	29%	nd
Cutoff 2	7640	nd	4590	8530	nd	2300	2300	2930	nd
Sens 2	80%	nd	100%	83%	nd	80%	100%	100%	nd
Spec 2	72%	nd	52%	76%	nd	14%	14%	29%	nd
Cutoff 3	6030	nd	4590	1990	nd	1990	2300	2930	nd
Sens 3	100%	nd	100%	100%	nd	100%	100%	100%	nd
Spec 3	62%	nd	52%	8%	nd	8%	14%	29%	nd
Cutoff 4	7320	nd	6960	7320	nd	6960	7320	7340	nd
Sens 4	80%	nd	50%	83%	nd	60%	25%	0%	nd
Spec 4	70%	nd	70%	70%	nd	70%	70%	70%	nd
Cutoff 5	10100	nd	9690	10100	nd	9690	10100	9960	nd
Sens 5	40%	nd	25%	67%	nd	40%	25%	0%	nd
Spec 5	80%	nd	80%	80%	nd	80%	80%	80%	nd
Cutoff 6	17600	nd	16800	17600	nd	16800	17600	17500	nd
Sens 6	20%	nd	25%	33%	nd	20%	25%	0%	nd
Spec 6	90%	nd	90%	90%	nd	90%	90%	90%	nd
OR Quart 2	>0	nd	>0	0	nd	0	0.99	>1.0	nd
p Value	<na	nd	<na	na	nd	na	1.00	<0.99	nd
95% CI of	>na	nd	>na	na	nd	na	0.061	>0.063	nd
OR Quart2	na	nd	na	na	nd	na	16	na	nd
OR Quart 3	>3.0	nd	>3.1	0	nd	0	1.0	>2.0	nd
p Value	<0.34	nd	<0.33	na	nd	na	1.0	<0.56	nd
95% CI of	>0.31	nd	>0.32	na	nd	na	0.062	>0.18	nd
OR Quart3	na	nd	na	na	nd	na	16	na	nd
OR Quart 4	>2.0	nd	>1.0	5.2	nd	1.5	0.99	>0	nd
p Value	<0.57	nd	<1.0	0.14	nd	0.66	1.00	<na	nd
95% CI of	>0.18	nd	>0.062	0.59	nd	0.25	0.061	>na	nd
OR Quart4	na	nd	na	45	nd	9.1	16	na	nd

Transforming growth factor beta-2

sCr or UO	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	7.78	429	7.78	752	7.78	0.345
Average	354	950	354	2450	354	1480
Stdev	833	1340	833	4210	833	2960
p(t-test)		0.11		6.3E-8		0.0095
Min	0.270	0.345	0.270	0.409	0.270	0.277
Max	8630	3200	8630	10900	8630	5920
n (Samp)	490	5	490	6	490	4
n (Patient)	221	5	221	6	221	4

sCr only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	nd	nd	nd	nd	7.78	0.345
Average	nd	nd	nd	nd	348	0.322

sCr only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Stdev	nd	nd	nd	nd	823	0.0394
p(t-test)	nd	nd	nd	nd		0.46
Min	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.270	0.277
Max	nd	nd	nd	nd	8630	0.345
n (Samp)	nd	nd	nd	nd	503	3
n (Patient)	nd	nd	nd	nd	226	3

UO only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	7.78	215	7.78	276	nd	nd
Average	370	386	370	578	nd	nd
Stdev	982	527	982	840	nd	nd
p(t-test)		0.97		0.64	nd	nd
Min	0.270	0.409	0.270	0.345	nd	nd
Max	10900	1120	10900	2010	nd	nd
n (Samp)	489	4	489	5	nd	nd
n (Patient)	208	4	208	5	nd	nd

	0hr prior to AKI stage			24hr prior to AKI stage			48hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.65	nd	0.60	0.78	nd	0.61	0.39	0.19	nd
SE	0.13	nd	0.15	0.11	nd	0.13	0.15	0.15	nd
p	0.28	nd	0.52	0.011	nd	0.40	0.48	0.043	nd
nCohort 1	490	nd	489	490	nd	489	490	503	nd
nCohort 2	5	nd	4	6	nd	5	4	3	nd
Cutoff 1	0.345	nd	0.345	270	nd	0.345	0.277	0.270	nd
Sens 1	80%	nd	100%	83%	nd	80%	75%	100%	nd
Spec 1	26%	nd	28%	68%	nd	28%	18%	9%	nd
Cutoff 2	0.345	nd	0.345	270	nd	0.345	0.270	0.270	nd
Sens 2	80%	nd	100%	83%	nd	80%	100%	100%	nd
Spec 2	26%	nd	28%	68%	nd	28%	9%	9%	nd
Cutoff 3	0.277	nd	0.345	0.345	nd	0.277	0.270	0.270	nd
Sens 3	100%	nd	100%	100%	nd	100%	100%	100%	nd
Spec 3	18%	nd	28%	26%	nd	19%	9%	9%	nd
Cutoff 4	311	nd	294	311	nd	294	311	311	nd
Sens 4	60%	nd	50%	67%	nd	40%	25%	0%	nd
Spec 4	70%	nd	70%	70%	nd	70%	70%	70%	nd
Cutoff 5	447	nd	428	447	nd	428	447	447	nd
Sens 5	40%	nd	50%	67%	nd	40%	25%	0%	nd
Spec 5	80%	nd	80%	80%	nd	80%	80%	80%	nd
Cutoff 6	867	nd	869	867	nd	869	867	855	nd
Sens 6	40%	nd	25%	50%	nd	20%	25%	0%	nd
Spec 6	90%	nd	90%	90%	nd	90%	90%	90%	nd
OR Quart 2	0.99	nd	>2.0	>1.0	nd	>2.0	0	>0	nd
p Value	1.00	nd	<0.56	<1.00	nd	<0.57	na	<na	nd
95% CI of	0.061	nd	>0.18	>0.062	nd	>0.18	na	>na	nd
OR Quart 2	16	nd	na	na	nd	na	na	na	nd
OR Quart 3	0	nd	>0	>1.0	nd	>1.0	0	>2.0	nd
p Value	na	nd	<na	<1.00	nd	<1.00	na	<0.56	nd
95% CI of	na	nd	>na	>0.062	nd	>0.062	na	>0.18	nd
OR Quart 3	na	nd	na	na	nd	na	na	na	nd
OR Quart 4	3.0	nd	>2.0	>4.1	nd	>2.0	3.1	>1.0	nd
p Value	0.34	nd	<0.57	<0.21	nd	<0.57	0.33	<0.99	nd
95% CI of	0.31	nd	>0.18	>0.46	nd	>0.18	0.32	>0.063	nd
OR Quart 4	29	nd	na	na	nd	na	30	na	nd

Transforming growth factor beta-3

sCr or UO	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	0.361	82.3	0.361	23.5	0.361	0.0716
Average	26.6	53.1	26.6	71.1	26.6	30.3
Stdev	46.3	49.0	46.3	94.4	46.3	60.5
p(t-test)		0.20		0.022		0.87
Min	0.0654	0.0654	0.0654	0.0654	0.0654	0.0654
Max	352	101	352	209	352	121

sCr or UO	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
n (Samp)	490	5	490	6	490	4
n (Patient)	221	5	221	6	221	4

sCr only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.361	0.0654
Average	nd	nd	nd	nd	27.3	0.0695
Stdev	nd	nd	nd	nd	46.6	0.00722
p(t-test)	nd	nd	nd	nd		0.31
Min	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.0654	0.0654
Max	nd	nd	nd	nd	352	0.0779
n (Samp)	nd	nd	nd	nd	503	3
n (Patient)	nd	nd	nd	nd	226	3

UO only	0hr prior to AKI stage		24hr prior to AKI stage		48hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	0.361	82.3	0.361	0.361	nd	nd
Average	27.1	61.7	27.1	43.4	nd	nd
Stdev	47.2	41.1	47.2	73.4	nd	nd
p(t-test)		0.14		0.44	nd	nd
Min	0.0654	0.0779	0.0654	0.0654	nd	nd
Max	352	82.3	352	170	nd	nd
n (Samp)	489	4	489	5	nd	nd
n (Patient)	208	4	208	5	nd	nd

	0hr prior to AKI stage			24hr prior to AKI stage			48hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.57	nd	0.68	0.55	nd	0.46	0.30	0.076	nd
SE	0.13	nd	0.15	0.12	nd	0.13	0.15	0.11	nd
p	0.61	nd	0.22	0.70	nd	0.77	0.17	6.4E-5	nd
nCohort 1	490	nd	489	490	nd	489	490	503	nd
nCohort 2	5	nd	4	6	nd	5	4	3	nd
Cutoff 1	0.0654	nd	75.1	0	nd	0	0	0	nd
Sens 1	80%	nd	75%	100%	nd	100%	100%	100%	nd
Spec 1	8%	nd	83%	0%	nd	0%	0%	0%	nd
Cutoff 2	0.0654	nd	0.0654	0	nd	0	0	0	nd
Sens 2	80%	nd	100%	100%	nd	100%	100%	100%	nd
Spec 2	8%	nd	10%	0%	nd	0%	0%	0%	nd
Cutoff 3	0	nd	0.0654	0	nd	0	0	0	nd
Sens 3	100%	nd	100%	100%	nd	100%	100%	100%	nd
Spec 3	0%	nd	10%	0%	nd	0%	0%	0%	nd
Cutoff 4	22.6	nd	25.5	22.6	nd	25.5	22.6	25.5	nd
Sens 4	60%	nd	75%	50%	nd	40%	25%	0%	nd
Spec 4	71%	nd	71%	71%	nd	71%	71%	71%	nd
Cutoff 5	61.6	nd	61.6	61.6	nd	61.6	61.6	61.6	nd
Sens 5	60%	nd	75%	33%	nd	20%	25%	0%	nd
Spec 5	81%	nd	81%	81%	nd	81%	81%	80%	nd
Cutoff 6	92.4	nd	92.4	92.4	nd	92.4	92.4	92.4	nd
Sens 6	20%	nd	0%	33%	nd	20%	25%	0%	nd
Spec 6	90%	nd	91%	90%	nd	91%	90%	90%	nd
OR Quart 2	0	nd	0	0.50	nd	2.0	0	>0	nd
p Value	na	nd	na	0.57	nd	0.56	na	<na	nd
95% CI of	na	nd	na	0.044	nd	0.18	na	>na	nd
OR Quart2	na	nd	na	5.5	nd	23	na	na	nd
OR Quart 3	0	nd	0	0.50	nd	0	0	>0	nd
p Value	na	nd	na	0.57	nd	na	na	<na	nd
95% CI of	na	nd	na	0.044	nd	na	na	>na	nd
OR Quart3	na	nd	na	5.5	nd	na	na	na	nd
OR Quart 4	1.5	nd	3.0	1.0	nd	2.0	3.1	>3.1	nd
p Value	0.66	nd	0.34	1.0	nd	0.56	0.33	<0.33	nd

	0hr prior to AKI stage			24hr prior to AKI stage			48hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
95% CI of	0.25	nd	0.31	0.14	nd	0.18	0.32	>0.32	nd
OR Quart4	9.1	nd	29	7.2	nd	23	30	na	nd

[0138] Table 10: Comparison of marker levels in enroll urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R within 48hrs) and in enroll urine samples collected from Cohort 2 (subjects reaching RIFLE stage I or F within 48hrs). Enroll samples from patients already at RIFLE stage I or F were included in Cohort 2.

Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1

	sCr or UO		sCr only		UO only	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	1100	17000	1180	23500	1180	14500
Average	3150	29300	5140	36500	2690	30200
Stdev	7260	33700	14000	30700	4310	36300
p(t-test)		5.6E-6		7.9E-4		9.6E-6
Min	58.6	888	58.6	14500	58.6	888
Max	49400	91800	91800	71600	23500	91800
n (Samp)	50	8	55	3	42	7
n (Patient)	50	8	55	3	42	7

	At Enrollment		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.86	0.96	0.84
SE	0.085	0.077	0.098
p	2.1E-5	1.4E-9	5.6E-4
nCohort 1	50	55	42
nCohort 2	8	3	7
Cutoff 1	11700	11800	11700
Sens 1	75%	100%	71%
Spec 1	98%	95%	98%
Cutoff 2	1180	11800	1180
Sens 2	88%	100%	86%
Spec 2	54%	95%	52%
Cutoff 3	847	11800	847
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	44%	95%	40%
Cutoff 4	1710	2090	1880
Sens 4	75%	100%	71%
Spec 4	70%	71%	71%
Cutoff 5	3270	4260	3270
Sens 5	75%	100%	71%
Spec 5	80%	80%	81%
Cutoff 6	8220	10300	8220
Sens 6	75%	100%	71%
Spec 6	90%	91%	90%
OR Quart 2	>2.2	>0	>2.4
p Value	<0.55	<na	<0.50
95% CI of	>0.17	>na	>0.19
OR Quart2	na	na	na
OR Quart 3	>0	>0	>0
p Value	<na	<na	<na
95% CI of	>na	>na	>na
OR Quart3	na	na	na
OR Quart 4	>9.3	>3.5	>7.5
p Value	<0.054	<0.30	<0.090

	At Enrollment		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
95% CI of	>0.96	>0.32	>0.73
OR Quart4	na	na	na

Transforming growth factor beta-1

	sCr or UO		sCr only		UO only	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	11.7	29.1	11.7	764	11.7	29.1
Average	15.7	9390	2390	764	15.0	9390
Stdev	7.48	24100	12300	1040	7.03	24100
p(t-test)		0.068		0.86		0.12
Min	11.7	11.7	11.7	29.1	11.7	11.7
Max	29.1	64000	64000	1500	29.1	64000
n (Samp)	22	7	27	2	16	7
n (Patient)	22	7	27	2	16	7

	At Enrollment		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.86	0.88	0.88
SE	0.094	0.16	0.092
p	1.0E-4	0.019	4.6E-5
nCohort 1	22	27	16
nCohort 2	7	2	7
Cutoff 1	11.7	11.7	11.7
Sens 1	86%	100%	86%
Spec 1	77%	67%	81%
Cutoff 2	11.7	11.7	11.7
Sens 2	86%	100%	86%
Spec 2	77%	67%	81%
Cutoff 3	0	11.7	0
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	0%	67%	0%
Cutoff 4	11.7	29.1	11.7
Sens 4	86%	50%	86%
Spec 4	77%	93%	81%
Cutoff 5	29.1	29.1	11.7
Sens 5	43%	50%	86%
Spec 5	100%	93%	81%
Cutoff 6	29.1	29.1	29.1
Sens 6	43%	50%	43%
Spec 6	100%	93%	100%
OR Quart 2	>1.2	>0	>1.0
p Value	<0.92	<na	<1.0
95% CI of	>0.059	>na	>0.048
OR Quart2	na	na	na
OR Quart 3	>5.2	>0	>5.0
p Value	<0.21	<na	<0.24
95% CI of	>0.40	>na	>0.34
OR Quart3	na	na	na
OR Quart 4	>4.2	>2.3	>5.0
p Value	<0.27	<0.53	<0.24
95% CI of	>0.33	>0.17	>0.34
OR Quart4	na	na	na

[0139] Table 12: Comparison of marker levels in enroll EDTA samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R within 48hrs) and in enroll EDTA samples collected from Cohort 2 (subjects reaching RIFLE stage I or

F within 48hrs). Enroll samples from patients already at stage I or F were included in Cohort 2.

Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1

	sCr or UO		sCr only		UO only	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	158000	941000	nd	nd	162000	941000
Average	338000	870000	nd	nd	348000	870000
Stdev	371000	538000	nd	nd	380000	538000
p(t-test)		3.8E-4	nd	nd		7.8E-4
Min	33700	102000	nd	nd	33700	102000
Max	1450000	1470000	nd	nd	1450000	1470000
n (Samp)	47	10	nd	nd	41	10
n (Patient)	47	10	nd	nd	41	10

	At Enrollment		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.81	nd	0.80
SE	0.087	nd	0.089
p	4.6E-4	nd	8.7E-4
nCohort 1	47	nd	41
nCohort 2	10	nd	10
Cutoff 1	450000	nd	450000
Sens 1	70%	nd	70%
Spec 1	79%	nd	78%
Cutoff 2	360000	nd	360000
Sens 2	80%	nd	80%
Spec 2	72%	nd	71%
Cutoff 3	162000	nd	162000
Sens 3	90%	nd	90%
Spec 3	53%	nd	51%
Cutoff 4	341000	nd	360000
Sens 4	80%	nd	80%
Spec 4	70%	nd	71%
Cutoff 5	570000	nd	570000
Sens 5	60%	nd	60%
Spec 5	81%	nd	80%
Cutoff 6	929000	nd	764000
Sens 6	50%	nd	60%
Spec 6	91%	nd	90%
OR Quart 2	>2.3	nd	0.92
p Value	<0.51	nd	0.95
95% CI of	>0.19	nd	0.051
OR Quart2	na	nd	16
OR Quart 3	>2.3	nd	2.0
p Value	<0.51	nd	0.59
95% CI of	>0.19	nd	0.16
OR Quart3	na	nd	25
OR Quart 4	>9.3	nd	9.4
p Value	<0.054	nd	0.058
95% CI of	>0.96	nd	0.93
OR Quart4	na	nd	96

Transforming growth factor beta-1

	sCr or UO		sCr only		UO only	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	5570	4670	5470	2430	5320	5030
Average	8560	8630	8710	2430	8110	8850
Stdev	8440	12300	9270	155	7840	12400
p(t-test)		0.97		0.34		0.69

	sCr or UO		sCr only		UO only	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Min	726	1130	726	2320	726	1130
Max	46100	62100	62100	2540	46000	62100
n (Samp)	138	29	162	2	132	28
n (Patient)	138	29	162	2	132	28

	At Enrollment		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.45	0.15	0.47
SE	0.060	0.17	0.061
p	0.39	0.045	0.64
nCohort 1	138	162	132
nCohort 2	29	2	28
Cutoff 1	2500	2250	2940
Sens 1	72%	100%	71%
Spec 1	17%	12%	23%
Cutoff 2	2400	2250	2400
Sens 2	83%	100%	82%
Spec 2	13%	12%	14%
Cutoff 3	1970	2250	1970
Sens 3	93%	100%	93%
Spec 3	8%	12%	8%
Cutoff 4	8500	8590	8290
Sens 4	31%	0%	32%
Spec 4	70%	70%	70%
Cutoff 5	14100	12900	12700
Sens 5	10%	0%	14%
Spec 5	80%	80%	80%
Cutoff 6	20400	20400	17300
Sens 6	10%	0%	11%
Spec 6	91%	90%	90%
OR Quart 2	2.0	>0	2.0
p Value	0.25	<na	0.24
95% CI of	0.61	>na	0.61
OR Quart2	6.6	na	6.7
OR Quart 3	1.0	>0	1.0
p Value	1.0	<na	1.0
95% CI of	0.27	>na	0.27
OR Quart3	3.7	na	3.8
OR Quart 4	2.4	>2.1	2.0
p Value	0.15	<0.55	0.24
95% CI of	0.74	>0.18	0.61
OR Quart4	7.7	na	6.7

[0140] While the invention has been described and exemplified in sufficient detail for those skilled in this art to make and use it, various alternatives, modifications, and improvements should be apparent without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. The examples provided herein are representative of preferred embodiments, are exemplary, and are not intended as limitations on the scope of the invention. Modifications therein and other uses will occur to those skilled in the art. These modifications are encompassed within the spirit of the invention and are defined by the scope of the claims.

[0141] It will be readily apparent to a person skilled in the art that varying substitutions and modifications may be made to the invention disclosed herein without departing from the scope and spirit of the invention.

[0142] All patents and publications mentioned in the specification are indicative of the levels of those of ordinary skill in the art to which the invention pertains. All patents and publications are herein incorporated by reference to the same extent as if each individual publication was specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated by reference.

[0143] The invention illustratively described herein suitably may be practiced in the absence of any element or elements, limitation or limitations which is not specifically disclosed herein. Thus, for example, in each instance herein any of the terms “comprising”, “consisting essentially of” and “consisting of” may be replaced with either of the other two terms. The terms and expressions which have been employed are used as terms of description and not of limitation, and there is no intention that in the use of such terms and expressions of excluding any equivalents of the features shown and described or portions thereof, but it is recognized that various modifications are possible within the scope of the invention claimed. Thus, it should be understood that although the present invention has been specifically disclosed by preferred embodiments and optional features, modification and variation of the concepts herein disclosed may be resorted to by those skilled in the art, and that such modifications and variations are considered to be within the scope of this invention as defined by the appended claims.

[0144] Other embodiments are set forth within the following claims.

We claim:

1. A method for evaluating renal status in a subject, comprising:
performing one or more assays configured to detect one or more biomarkers selected from the group consisting of Transforming growth factor beta-1, Transforming growth factor beta-2, Transforming growth factor beta-3, and Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1 on a body fluid sample obtained from the subject to provide an assay result; and
correlating the assay result(s) to the renal status of the subject.
2. A method according to claim 1, wherein said correlation step comprises correlating the assay result(s) to one or more of risk stratification, diagnosis, staging, prognosis, classifying and monitoring of the renal status of the subject.
3. A method according to claim 1, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood of one or more future changes in renal status to the subject based on the assay result(s).
4. A method according to claim 3, wherein said one or more future changes in renal status comprise one or more of a future injury to renal function, future reduced renal function, future improvement in renal function, and future acute renal failure (ARF).
5. A method according to one of claims 1-4, wherein said assay results comprise at least 1, 2 or 3 of:
a measured concentration of Transforming growth factor beta-1,
a measured concentration of Transforming growth factor beta-2,
a measured concentration of Transforming growth factor beta-3, and
a measured concentration of Interleukin-1.
6. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein a plurality of assay results are combined using a function that converts the plurality of assay results into a single composite result.
7. A method according to claim 3, wherein said one or more future changes in renal status comprise a clinical outcome related to a renal injury suffered by the subject.

8. A method according to claim 3, wherein the likelihood of one or more future changes in renal status is that an event of interest is more or less likely to occur within 30 days of the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained from the subject.
9. A method according to claim 8, wherein the likelihood of one or more future changes in renal status is that an event of interest is more or less likely to occur within a period selected from the group consisting of 21 days, 14 days, 7 days, 5 days, 96 hours, 72 hours, 48 hours, 36 hours, 24 hours, and 12 hours.
10. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein the subject is selected for evaluation of renal status based on the pre-existence in the subject of one or more known risk factors for prerenal, intrinsic renal, or postrenal ARF.
11. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein the subject is selected for evaluation of renal status based on an existing diagnosis of one or more of congestive heart failure, preeclampsia, eclampsia, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, coronary artery disease, proteinuria, renal insufficiency, glomerular filtration below the normal range, cirrhosis, serum creatinine above the normal range, sepsis, injury to renal function, reduced renal function, or ARF, or based on undergoing or having undergone major vascular surgery, coronary artery bypass, or other cardiac surgery, or based on exposure to NSAIDs, cyclosporines, tacrolimus, aminoglycosides, foscarnet, ethylene glycol, hemoglobin, myoglobin, ifosfamide, heavy metals, methotrexate, radiopaque contrast agents, or streptozotocin.
12. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a diagnosis of the occurrence or nonoccurrence of one or more of an injury to renal function, reduced renal function, or ARF to the subject based on the assay result(s).
13. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein said correlating step comprises assessing whether or not renal function is improving or worsening in a subject who has suffered from an injury to renal function, reduced renal function, or ARF based on the assay result(s).
14. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein said method is a method of diagnosing the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an injury to renal function in said subject.
15. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein said method is a method of diagnosing the occurrence or nonoccurrence of reduced renal function in said subject.

16. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein said method is a method of diagnosing the occurrence or nonoccurrence of acute renal failure in said subject.
17. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein said method is a method of diagnosing the occurrence or nonoccurrence of a need for renal replacement therapy in said subject.
18. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein said method is a method of diagnosing the occurrence or nonoccurrence of a need for renal transplantation in said subject.
19. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein said method is a method of assigning a risk of the future occurrence or nonoccurrence of an injury to renal function in said subject.
20. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein said method is a method of assigning a risk of the future occurrence or nonoccurrence of reduced renal function in said subject.
21. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein said method is a method of assigning a risk of the future occurrence or nonoccurrence of acute renal failure in said subject.
22. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein said method is a method of assigning a risk of the future occurrence or nonoccurrence of a need for renal replacement therapy in said subject.
23. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein said method is a method of assigning a risk of the future occurrence or nonoccurrence of a need for renal transplantation in said subject.
24. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein said one or more future changes in renal status comprise one or more of a future injury to renal function, future reduced renal function, future improvement in renal function, and future acute renal failure (ARF) within 72 hours of the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained.
25. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein said one or more future changes in renal status comprise one or more of a future injury to renal function, future reduced renal function, future improvement in renal function, and future acute renal failure (ARF) within 48 hours of the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained.

26. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein said one or more future changes in renal status comprise one or more of a future injury to renal function, future reduced renal function, future improvement in renal function, and future acute renal failure (ARF) within 24 hours of the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained.
27. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein the subject is in RIFLE stage 0 or R.
28. A method according to claim 27, wherein the subject is in RIFLE stage 0, and said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage R, I or F within 72 hours.
29. A method according to claim 28, wherein the subject is in RIFLE stage 0, and said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage I or F within 72 hours.
30. A method according to claim 28, wherein the subject is in RIFLE stage 0, and said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage F within 72 hours.
31. A method according to claim 27, wherein the subject is in RIFLE stage 0 or R, and said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage I or F within 72 hours.
32. A method according to claim 31, wherein the subject is in RIFLE stage 0 or R, and said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage F within 72 hours.
33. A method according to claim 27, wherein the subject is in RIFLE stage R, and said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage I or F within 72 hours.
34. A method according to claim 33, wherein the subject is in RIFLE stage R, and said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage F within 72 hours.
35. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein the subject is in RIFLE stage 0, R, or I, and said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage F within 72 hours.

36. A method according to claim 35, wherein the subject is in RIFLE stage I, and said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage F within 72 hours.
37. A method according to claim 28, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage R, I or F within 48 hours.
38. A method according to claim 29, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage I or F within 48 hours.
39. A method according to claim 30, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage F within 48 hours.
40. A method according to claim 31, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage I or F within 48 hours.
41. A method according to claim 32, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage F within 48 hours.
42. A method according to claim 33, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage I or F within 48 hours.
43. A method according to claim 34, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage F within 48 hours.
44. A method according to claim 35, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage F within 48 hours.
45. A method according to claim 36, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage F within 48 hours.
46. A method according to claim 28, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage R, I or F within 24 hours.
47. A method according to claim 29, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage I or F within 24 hours.
48. A method according to claim 30, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage F within 24 hours.
49. A method according to claim 31, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage I or F within 24 hours.

50. A method according to claim 32, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage F within 24 hours.
51. A method according to claim 33, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage I or F within 24 hours.
52. A method according to claim 34, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage F within 24 hours.
53. A method according to claim 35, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage F within 24 hours.
54. A method according to claim 36, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage F within 24 hours.
55. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein the subject is not in acute renal failure.
56. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein the subject has not experienced a 1.5-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine over a baseline value determined prior to the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained.
57. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein the subject has a urine output of at least 0.5 ml/kg/hr over the 6 hours preceding the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained.
58. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein the subject has not experienced an increase of 0.3 mg/dL or greater in serum creatinine over a baseline value determined prior to the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained.
59. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein the subject (i) has not experienced a 1.5-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine over a baseline value determined prior to the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained, (ii) has a urine output of at least 0.5 ml/kg/hr over the 6 hours preceding the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained, and (iii) has not experienced an increase of 0.3 mg/dL or greater in serum creatinine over a baseline value determined prior to the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained.
60. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein the subject has not experienced a 1.5-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine over a baseline value determined prior to the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained.

61. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein the subject has a urine output of at least 0.5 ml/kg/hr over the 6 hours preceding the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained.

62. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein the subject (i) has not experienced a 1.5-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine over a baseline value determined prior to the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained, (ii) has a urine output of at least 0.5 ml/kg/hr over the 12 hours preceding the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained, and (iii) has not experienced an increase of 0.3 mg/dL or greater in serum creatinine over a baseline value determined prior to the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained.

63. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning one or more of: a likelihood that within 72 hours the subject will (i) experience a 1.5-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine (ii) have a urine output of less than 0.5 ml/kg/hr over a 6 hour period, or (iii) experience an increase of 0.3 mg/dL or greater in serum creatinine.

64. A method according to claim 63, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning one or more of: a likelihood that within 48 hours the subject will (i) experience a 1.5-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine (ii) have a urine output of less than 0.5 ml/kg/hr over a 6 hour period, or (iii) experience an increase of 0.3 mg/dL or greater in serum creatinine.

65. A method according to claim 63, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning one or more of: a likelihood that within 24 hours the subject will (i) experience a 1.5-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine (ii) have a urine output of less than 0.5 ml/kg/hr over a 6 hour period, or (iii) experience an increase of 0.3 mg/dL or greater in serum creatinine.

66. A method according to claim 63, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that within 72 hours the subject will experience a 1.5-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine.

67. A method according to claim 63, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that within 72 hours the subject will have a urine output of less than 0.5 ml/kg/hr over a 6 hour period.

68. A method according to claim 63, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that within 72 hours the subject will experience an increase of 0.3 mg/dL or greater in serum creatinine.

69. A method according to claim 63, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that within 48 hours the subject will experience a 1.5-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine.

70. A method according to claim 63, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that within 48 hours the subject will have a urine output of less than 0.5 ml/kg/hr over a 6 hour period.

71. A method according to claim 63, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that within 48 hours the subject will experience an increase of 0.3 mg/dL or greater in serum creatinine.

72. A method according to claim 63, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that within 24 hours the subject will experience a 1.5-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine.

73. A method according to claim 63, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that within 24 hours the subject will have a urine output of less than 0.5 ml/kg/hr over a 6 hour period.

74. A method according to claim 63, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that within 24 hours the subject will experience an increase of 0.3 mg/dL or greater in serum creatinine.

75. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein the subject has not experienced a 2-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine over a baseline value determined prior to the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained.

76. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein the subject has a urine output of at least 0.5 ml/kg/hr over the 12 hours preceding the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained.

77. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein the subject (i) has not experienced a 2-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine over a baseline value determined prior to the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained, (ii) has a urine output of at least 0.5 ml/kg/hr over the 2 hours preceding the time at which the body fluid

sample is obtained, and (iii) has not experienced an increase of 0.3 mg/dL or greater in serum creatinine over a baseline value determined prior to the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained.

78. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein the subject has not experienced a 3-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine over a baseline value determined prior to the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained.

79. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein the subject has a urine output of at least 0.3 ml/kg/hr over the 24 hours preceding the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained, or anuria over the 12 hours preceding the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained.

80. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein the subject (i) has not experienced a 3-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine over a baseline value determined prior to the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained, (ii) has a urine output of at least 0.3 ml/kg/hr over the 24 hours preceding the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained, or anuria over the 12 hours preceding the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained, and (iii) has not experienced an increase of 0.3 mg/dL or greater in serum creatinine over a baseline value determined prior to the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained.

81. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning one or more of: a likelihood that within 72 hours the subject will (i) experience a 2-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine (ii) have a urine output of less than 0.5 ml/kg/hr over a 12 hour period, or (iii) experience an increase of 0.3 mg/dL or greater in serum creatinine.

82. A method according to claim 81, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning one or more of: a likelihood that within 48 hours the subject will (i) experience a 2-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine (ii) have a urine output of less than 0.5 ml/kg/hr over a 6 hour period, or (iii) experience an increase of 0.3 mg/dL or greater in serum creatinine.

83. A method according to claim 81, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning one or more of: a likelihood that within 24 hours the subject will (i) experience a 2-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine, or (ii) have a urine output of less than 0.5 ml/kg/hr over a 6 hour period.

84. A method according to claim 81, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that within 72 hours the subject will experience a 2-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine.

85. A method according to claim 81, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that within 72 hours the subject will have a urine output of less than 0.5 ml/kg/hr over a 6 hour period.

86. A method according to claim 81, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that within 48 hours the subject will experience a 2-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine.

87. A method according to claim 81, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that within 48 hours the subject will have a urine output of less than 0.5 ml/kg/hr over a 6 hour period.

88. A method according to claim 81, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that within 24 hours the subject will experience a 2-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine.

89. A method according to claim 81, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that within 24 hours the subject will have a urine output of less than 0.5 ml/kg/hr over a 6 hour period.

90. A method according to one of claims 1-5, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning one or more of: a likelihood that within 72 hours the subject will (i) experience a 3-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine, or (ii) have a urine output of less than 0.3 ml/kg/hr over a 24 hour period or anuria over a 12 hour period.

91. A method according to claim 90, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning one or more of: a likelihood that within 48 hours the subject will (i) experience a 3-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine, or (ii) have a urine output of less than 0.3 ml/kg/hr over a 24 hour period or anuria over a 12 hour period.

92. A method according to claim 90, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning one or more of: a likelihood that within 24 hours the subject will (i) experience a 3-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine, or (ii) have a urine output of less than 0.3 ml/kg/hr over a 24 hour period or anuria over a 12 hour period.

93. A method according to claim 90, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that within 72 hours the subject will experience a 3-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine.
94. A method according to claim 90, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that within 72 hours the subject will have a urine output of less than 0.3 ml/kg/hr over a 24 hour period or anuria over a 12 hour period.
95. A method according to claim 90, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that within 48 hours the subject will experience a 3-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine.
96. A method according to claim 90, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that within 48 hours the subject will have a urine output of less than 0.3 ml/kg/hr over a 24 hour period or anuria over a 12 hour period.
97. A method according to claim 90, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that within 24 hours the subject will experience a 3-fold or greater increase in serum creatinine.
98. A method according to claim 90, wherein said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that within 24 hours the subject will have a urine output of less than 0.3 ml/kg/hr over a 24 hour period or anuria over a 12 hour period.
99. A method according to one of claims 1-98, wherein the body fluid sample is a urine sample.
100. A method according to one of claims 1-99, wherein said method comprises performing assays that detect one, two or three, or more of Transforming growth factor beta-1, Transforming growth factor beta-2, Transforming growth factor beta-3, and Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1.
101. Measurement of one or more biomarkers selected from the group consisting of Transforming growth factor beta-1, Transforming growth factor beta-2, Transforming growth factor beta-3, and Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1 for the evaluation of renal injury.
102. Measurement of one or more biomarkers selected from the group consisting of Transforming growth factor beta-1, Transforming growth factor beta-2, Transforming growth factor beta-3, and Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1 for the evaluation of acute renal injury.

103. A kit, comprising:

reagents for performing one or more assays configured to detect one or more kidney injury markers selected from the group consisting of Transforming growth factor beta-1, Transforming growth factor beta-2, Transforming growth factor beta-3, and Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1.

104. A kit according to claim 103, wherein said reagents comprise one or more binding reagents, each of which specifically binds one of said of kidney injury markers.

105. A kit according to claim 104, wherein a plurality of binding reagents are contained in a single assay device.

106. A kit according to claim 103, wherein at least one of said assays is configured as a sandwich binding assay.

107. A kit according to claim 103, wherein at least one of said assays is configured as a competitive binding assay.

108. A kit according to one of claims 103-107, wherein said one or more assays comprise assays that detect one, two or three, or more of Transforming growth factor beta-1, Transforming growth factor beta-2, Transforming growth factor beta-3, and Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1.

109. A method for evaluating biomarker levels in a body fluid sample, comprising:
obtaining a urine sample from a subject selected for evaluation based on a determination that the subject is at risk of a future or current acute renal injury; and
performing a plurality of analyte binding assays configured to detect a plurality of biomarkers, one or more of which is selected from the group consisting of Transforming growth factor beta-1, Transforming growth factor beta-2, Transforming growth factor beta-3, and Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1 by introducing the urine sample obtained from the subject into an assay instrument which (i) contacts a plurality of reagents which specifically bind for detection the plurality of biomarkers with the urine sample, and (ii) generates one or more assay results indicative of binding of each biomarker which is assayed to a respective specific binding reagent in the plurality of reagents.

110. A method according to claim 109, wherein the subject is selected for evaluation based on a determination that the subject is in need of risk stratification, diagnosis, staging, prognosis, classifying or monitoring of the renal status of the subject.

111. A method according to claim 109, wherein the subject is selected for evaluation based on a determination that the subject is at risk of a future acute renal injury.
112. A method according to claim 111, wherein the subject is selected for evaluation based on a determination that the subject is at risk of a future injury to renal function, future reduced renal function, future improvement in renal function, and future acute renal failure (ARF).
113. A method according to claim 111, wherein the subject is selected for evaluation based on a determination that the subject is at risk of a future acute renal injury within 30 days of the time at which the urine sample is obtained from the subject.
114. A method according to claim 113, wherein the subject is selected for evaluation based on a determination that the subject is at risk of a future acute renal injury within a period selected from the group consisting of 21 days, 14 days, 7 days, 5 days, 96 hours, 72 hours, 48 hours, 36 hours, 24 hours, and 12 hours.
115. A method according to claim 109, wherein the subject is selected ~~for~~ based on the pre-existence in the subject of one or more known risk factors for prerenal, intrinsic renal, or postrenal ARF.
116. A method according to claim 109, wherein the subject is selected for evaluation based on an existing diagnosis of one or more of congestive heart failure, preeclampsia, eclampsia, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, coronary artery disease, proteinuria, renal insufficiency, glomerular filtration below the normal range, cirrhosis, serum creatinine above the normal range, sepsis, injury to renal function, reduced renal function, or ARF, or based on undergoing or having undergone major vascular surgery, coronary artery bypass, or other cardiac surgery, or based on exposure to NSAIDs, cyclosporines, tacrolimus, aminoglycosides, foscarnet, ethylene glycol, hemoglobin, myoglobin, ifosfamide, heavy metals, methotrexate, radiopaque contrast agents, or streptozotocin.
117. A method according to claim 109, wherein the plurality of assays are immunoassays performed by (i) introducing the urine sample into an assay device comprising a plurality of antibodies, at least one of which binds to each biomarker which is assayed, and (ii) generating an assay result indicative of binding of each biomarker to its respective antibody.
118. A method according to claim 109, wherein the subject is selected for evaluation based on a determination that the subject is at risk of one or more future changes in renal

status selected from the group consisting of a future injury to renal function, future reduced renal function, future improvement in renal function, and future acute renal failure (ARF) within 72 hours of the time at which the urine sample is obtained.

119. A method according to claim 109, wherein the subject is selected for evaluation based on a determination that the subject is at risk of one or more future changes in renal status selected from the group consisting of a future injury to renal function, future reduced renal function, future improvement in renal function, and future acute renal failure (ARF) within 48 hours of the time at which the urine sample is obtained.

120. A method according to claim 109, wherein the subject is selected for evaluation based on a determination that the subject is at risk of one or more future changes in renal status selected from the group consisting of a future injury to renal function, future reduced renal function, future improvement in renal function, and future acute renal failure (ARF) within 24 hours of the time at which the urine sample is obtained.

121. A method according to claim 109, wherein the subject is in RIFLE stage 0 or R.

122. A method according to claim 109, wherein the subject is in RIFLE stage 0, R, or I.

123. A method according to claim 109, wherein at least one assay result is a measured concentration of Transforming growth factor beta-1, a measured concentration of Transforming growth factor beta-2, a measured concentration of Transforming growth factor beta-3, or a measured concentration of Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1.

124. A system for evaluating biomarker levels, comprising:

a plurality of reagents which specifically bind for detection the plurality of biomarkers, one or more of which is selected from the group consisting of Transforming growth factor beta-1, Transforming growth factor beta-2, Transforming growth factor beta-3, and Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1;

an assay instrument configured to receive a urine sample and contact the plurality of reagents with the urine sample and to generate one or more assay results indicative of binding of each biomarker which is assayed to a respective specific binding reagent in the plurality of reagents.

125. A system according to claim 124, wherein the reagents comprise a plurality of antibodies, at least one of which binds to each of the biomarkers which are assayed.

126. A system according to claim 125, wherein assay instrument comprises an assay device and an assay device reader, wherein the plurality of antibodies are immobilized at a plurality of predetermined locations within the assay device, wherein the assay device is configured to receive the urine sample such that the urine sample contacts the plurality of predetermined locations, and wherein the assay device reader interrogates the plurality of predetermined locations to generate the assay results.

127. A system according to claim 126, wherein the plurality of reagents comprises reagents for performing at least one assay selected from the group consisting of an Transforming growth factor beta-1 assay, an Transforming growth factor beta-2 assay, an Transforming growth factor beta-3 assay, and an Interleukin-1 receptor-like 1 assay.