



## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<p><b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>5</sup> :</b> <b>G03C 5/31, G03D 3/06</b></p>	<p><b>A1</b></p>	<p><b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 90/08979</b> <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 9 August 1990 (09.08.90)</p>
<p><b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/GB90/00162 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 1 February 1990 (01.02.90) <b>(30) Priority data:</b> 8902186.9                      1 February 1989 (01.02.89)      GB <b>(71) Applicant (for GB only):</b> KODAK LIMITED [GB/GB]; P.O. Box 66, Station Road, Hemel Hempstead, Hertfordshire HP1 1JU (GB). <b>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except GB US):</b> EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY [US/US]; 343 State Street, Rochester, NY 14650 (US). <b>(72) Inventor; and</b> <b>(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only) :</b> RIDER, Christopher, Barrie [GB/GB]; 9 Miles Road, Mitcham, Surrey CR4 3DA (GB).</p>		<p><b>(74) Agent:</b> BARON, Paul, A., C.; Kodak Limited, Headstone Drive, Harrow, Middlesex HA1 4TY (GB). <b>(81) Designated States:</b> AT (European patent), AU, BE (European patent), CA, CH (European patent), DE (European patent), DK (European patent), ES (European patent), FI, FR (European patent), GB (European patent), IT (European patent), JP, KR, LU (European patent), NL (European patent), NO, SE (European patent), US. <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i></p>
<p><b>(54) Title:</b> PHOTOGRAPHIC PROCESSING</p>		
<p><b>(57) Abstract</b></p> <p>In known photographic processing apparatus, quality of the photographs produced can be adversely affected by drift in the paper processor of the apparatus. One cause of drift is incorrect replenishment of the chemicals used in the paper processor. In processing apparatus which utilise only small volumes of chemicals, drift can be caused by replenishment rates not matching the dye formed on the prints being processed, and an operator would need to take steps to adjust the processor. Described herein is an improved method of controlling the replenishment rate of chemicals in photographic processing apparatus. The method comprises deriving a control signal from the image to be exposed on to photographic material and using the derived signal to control the replenishment rate of the chemicals.</p>		

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	ES	Spain	MG	Madagascar
AU	Australia	FI	Finland	ML	Mali
BB	Barbados	FR	France	MR	Mauritania
BE	Belgium	GA	Gabon	MW	Malawi
BF	Burkina Fasso	GB	United Kingdom	NL	Netherlands
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BJ	Benin	IT	Italy	RO	Romania
BR	Brazil	JP	Japan	SD	Sudan
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SN	Senegal
CG	Congo	LI	Liechtenstein	SU	Soviet Union
CH	Switzerland	LK	Sri Lanka	TD	Chad
CM	Cameroon	LU	Luxembourg	TG	Togo
DE	Germany, Federal Republic of	MC	Monaco	US	United States of America
DK	Denmark				

PHOTOGRAPHIC PROCESSING

The present invention relates to the replenishment of chemical solutions used in the processing of photographic materials.

5 In a photofinishing laboratory, one of the problems which must be overcome if quality standards are to be maintained concerns the drift in the sensitometry of processed photographic materials.

10 One cause of such drift is incorrect replenishment of chemicals. As the chemicals in the processor baths are used up, replenishment chemicals must be added to the baths in order to keep the activities and concentrations of the chemicals constant.

15 Most modern paper processors use detectors at the input to measure the area of paper passing into them. Replenishment rates can then be derived assuming that, on average, the paper has been exposed to a mid-grey. This assumption is reasonable,  
20 considering that most printers use an "integrate-to-grey" system.

Many modern printers, however, also have colour correction levels different from 100% and slope correction, which together will cause deviations from  
25 the "integrate-to-grey" assumption. These density and colour-balance deviations may not significantly affect the operation of a processor with baths containing large volumes of chemicals. However, a small processor would be more susceptible to drift due to  
30 replenishment rates not compensating for the amount of dye formed on the paper being processed. At this point an operator would take steps to bring the processor back to aim.

35 GB-A-2111726 describes a system for controlling the addition of replenisher to a bath in which light-sensitive media are being processed. The signal controlling the rate of addition of replenisher

chemicals is derived from the area of the light-sensitive media which has been scanned by a laser exposing device.

It is therefore an object of the present invention to provide an improved method of controlling the rate of addition of replenisher chemicals to a photographic processor.

In accordance with the present invention, there is provided a method of controlling the rate of replenishment of chemical solutions used in photographic processing apparatus, the apparatus including photographic printing apparatus for copying an object on to photographic material, the method being characterized by the steps of deriving a signal which is related to the exposure given to the photographic material, and using the signal to control the replenishment rate of the processing solutions.

Preferably, the derived signal produces a replenishment rate which is directly related to the amount of image-producing substances formed on the photographic material after development of an image of the object.

Advantageously, the derived signal produces a replenishment rate which exactly balances the chemicals depleted in processing the photographic material.

Photographic processors are normally set up so that the replenishment rate exactly compensates for the chemicals used in processing paper which has been exposed to an predefined average grey level. This grey level is intended to simulate the amount of dye produced on a print made from the average (population centre) customer negative. It is usual to calibrate the printer with such a population centre negative which is printed to produce a grey print at the average grey level. The printer is adjusted so that the correct density is produced on the grey print.

Having calibrated the printer in this way, the factory calibration of the replenishment system of the processor will also be correct since the average of all prints will turn out to be the average grey level produced by the printer calibration.

The notion of a population centre negative is a useful although fictitious one, since there are always large statistical fluctuations in the negatives submitted by customers. As mentioned earlier, for large volume machines, fluctuations will give rise to little concern. For machines with very small tank volumes, however, this will not be true.

In the following discussion, a method for replenishing photographic developer solution will be described as a particular example. However, this method could be applied to any process where the chemicals are used up according to some function of the amount of exposure given to the material, rather than by the area of exposed material being processed. The equations derived below may need to be modified according to the exact nature of the process involved.

A colour photographic material has three image forming layers: the cyan, magenta and yellow. Light is projected through the film on to the paper to form a latent image which is rendered visible by the processing solutions. Dye is formed by the reaction of developer molecules which have been oxidised by the reduction of silver halide to silver metal and halogen gas, with coupler molecules in the paper. The equivalence of a dye is the amount of oxidised developer molecules which is needed to form one molecule of the dye. In photographic paper, the dyes used are typically 2 and 4 equivalent. In practice, the measured equivalence of a dye may be more than this because not all oxidised developer molecules are converted to dye. Some molecules are lost due to other reactions and processes. Furthermore, the

amount of oxidised developer molecules that are lost may vary according to the amount of dye which has already been formed on the paper at any point in the development cycle.

5 Let the amount of dye formed in the cyan layer of one square foot of paper be  $c$ , the amount in the magenta layer be  $m$ , and the amount in the yellow layer,  $y$ , all in grams. A general expression for the weight of developer replenisher which must be added to  
10 the developer tank to replace the developer which has been used to process 1 square foot of colour paper,  $R$ , is

$$15 \quad R = k[e_c(c) + e_m(m) + e_y(y) + j(t)] + K \quad \dots\dots (1)$$

where  $k$  is a constant of proportionality;

$e_c$ ,  $e_m$ , and  $e_y$  are functions of the dye amounts  $c$ ,  $m$  and  $y$ , respectively;

20  $j$  is a function of time,  $t$ , and represents the natural process of degradation of the developer by, for example, aerial oxidation, and is dependent on the design of the processor tank; and

25  $K$  is a constant representing the weight of developer carried out of the tank by the wet paper after development.

Consider now an expression for the average amount of replenisher added per square foot of paper assuming that the paper has been exposed to an average grey as described above in relation to printer  
30 calibration. The superscript  $^\circ$  is used to denote an average. In the following expression, therefore,  $R^\circ$  is the average amount of replenisher which is added per square foot of paper.

$$35 \quad R^\circ = k[e_c(c^\circ) + e_m(m^\circ) + e_y(y^\circ) + j(t)] + K \quad \dots\dots (2)$$

By subtracting equation (2) from equation (1), we obtain the following expression for the difference in replenisher,  $\delta R$ , which must be added compared to the average amount to correct for variations in the dye amounts for each square foot of paper entering the printer,

$$\delta R = k[e_c(c) + e_m(m) + e_y(y) - K^\circ] \quad \dots\dots (3)$$

10 where

$$K^\circ = k[e_c(c^\circ) + e_m(m^\circ) + e_y(y^\circ)] \quad \dots\dots (4)$$

$K^\circ$  is a known quantity and is a recommended figure by manufacturers of photographic products. For machines with large tank volumes, there will be as many prints with dye amounts less than the average than with dye amounts above the average. Developer efficiency is therefore unaffected by these fluctuations in print dye amount. Small volume machines, however, would benefit from being able to calculate  $\delta R$  and vary the replenisher rates accordingly. There are several ways of calculating  $\delta R$ , but none is perfectly accurate.

25 It is the object of the present invention to describe the principles involved and techniques which could be used to determine  $\delta R$ , as opposed to the exact detail of formulae etc. It should also be borne in mind that the average replenishment rate assumption currently in use is extremely effective. This invention provides a small correction to this technique and absolute accuracy is therefore unnecessary, though accuracy becomes increasingly important as tank volume is reduced. A further complexity which should be understood is that the exact nature of the functions for dye equivalence will vary between different manufacturers' papers.

The simplest approach to this problem is an empirical one. Most photofinishing printers work on the "integrate-to-grey principle" (see 'The  
Reproduction of Colour', 4th Edition, Fountain Press,  
5 Hunt R W G, at section 16.7 on page 294) or a more  
sophisticated variant of it. In essence this means  
that the printer tries to print each negative to  
produce the same amount of dye on the print, though  
some more sophisticated exposure determination  
10 algorithms may diverge from this when printing  
"difficult" negatives like snow scenes or fireworks  
shots. It is possible to override this tendency by  
using a manual correction to the exposure time. The  
corrections are usually defined in terms of "density  
15 button" units where each button adds a fixed increment  
to the exposure time, typically 19%. Thus a '+3  
button' correction increments the time by  $1.19 \times 1.19$   
 $\times 1.19$  or 1.68. A '-4 button' change decreases the  
time by  $1.19 \times 1.19 \times 1.19 \times 1.19$  or 2 (a halving of  
20 the time). The exact increment is usually variable  
and can be set up by the user.

If the amount of replenisher which must be added to the developer tank per square foot of paper printed normally (without manual correction) is  
25 known, it is possible to calculate the amount of dye  
which will be formed on a print which has been  
corrected for density. The calculation is not trivial  
and will be addressed later. It is nevertheless  
possible, whether by experiment or by calculation, to  
30 assign to each correction button, an adjustment to the  
replenishment rate according to the difference in dye  
formed on the print. This is equivalent to solving  
equation (3) above at discrete values of c, m and y.  
For example, we might find that for a +4 correction to  
35 a print, there is 1.75 times as much dye produced in  
each of the three layers as for a normal print. Thus  
1.75 times as much replenisher would need to be added

as for a normal print.

In this way the replenishment rate may be varied without the need for complicated calculations. Implementation is therefore cheap and simple,  
5 requiring only the use of a lookup table referencing  $\delta R$  to each correction button. The same principle may also be applied to the colour correction buttons, though it should be understood that the dye  
10 equivalency functions may not be the same for each layer.

More sophisticated printer algorithms may permit much smaller increments in density and colour balance. In these cases, it may be possible to perform a calculation to get values for  $\delta R$  rather  
15 than having to perform many experimental determinations. Again, the exact details of the calculation will vary from machine to machine so the general outline will be explained below, where the assumption is made that an average measurement of the  
20 negative transmittance has been made (rather than discrete measurements at many places on the negative).

Each printer has some form of exposure determination algorithm whose output is an exposure,  $E_i$ , to each of the three layers ( $i = c, m$  and  $y$ ) of  
25 a photographic paper relative to some calibration setting,  $E^{\circ}_i$ .

There is a well known relation between exposure and optical reflection density,  $R_{Di}$ , known as the  $R_D - \log(E)$  curve for each layer of the paper  
30 which can be used to calculate the optical density of the print in each layer. This relation is discussed in 'The Theory of the Photographic Process', 4th edition, Mees C.E.K. and James T.H., page 529.

The next step is to convert from reflection  
35 density to transmission density using another well known relation (see Williams and Klapper, Journal of the Optical Society of America, 1953, volume 43, page

595). It is now possible to obtain relative dye amounts on the print to a good approximation by taking the ratio of the transmission densities of the print in question,  $T_{Di}$ , to the transmission density of the calibration print,  $T_{Di}^{\circ}$ . We may therefore write for the magenta layer for example,

$$\frac{m}{m^{\circ}} = \frac{T_{Di}^{\circ}}{T_{Di}} \quad \dots\dots (5)$$

If the contribution from the magenta layer to the total replenishment needed for the print is  $R_m$  and that for the calibration print is  $R_m^{\circ}$ , then we may write,

$$\frac{R_m}{R_m^{\circ}} = \frac{T_{Di}^{\circ}}{T_{Di}} \quad \dots\dots (6)$$

and more generally,

$$\delta R_i = R_i^{\circ} \left( \frac{E_i^{\circ}}{E_i} - 1 \right) \quad \dots\dots (7)$$

In equation (7), we have a relationship between the correction to the replenishment rate and the transmission density of the print, which is a function of  $E_i$ , the exposure given to the print. The functional relationship between  $T_{Di}$  and  $E_i$  is found from a knowledge of the paper's  $R_D - \log(E)$  curve, and the  $R_D/T_D$  curve as is described in detail by Williams and Klapper mentioned above. It is preferable to combine these two curves into a single function, which may be a table of pairs of values relating  $E_i$  and  $T_{Di}$ . Intermediate points may, of course, be found by interpolation. Once again, it is important to note that

the  $\delta R_i$  term will normally be a small correction to  $R_i$  and therefore a high degree of accuracy is not required to establish the relationship between  $E_i$  and  $T_{Di}$ .

5           Ideally, different values for  $R_i$  and the relationship between  $E_i$  and  $T_{Di}$  would be used for each manufacturer's paper, but in practice this would not be necessary on account of the nature of the small difference it would make to the performance of a replenishment  
10 system. This is further emphasized by the fact that most replenishment pumps are not capable of delivering liquid with a high degree of accuracy.

          Photofinishing printers work in one of three ways. Some expose one print at a time and immediately  
15 send each exposed print to a processing machine. Others expose small batches of prints (typically between five and thirty prints) which are sent in one long length to the processing machine. These first two types of printer are normally found in minilabs where the printer is directly  
20 connected to a processor. There are still other types of printer which expose very large batches of prints, typically many hundreds, on to long rolls of paper before being taken uncut to a separate processing machine. These types of printers are normally found in high volume  
25 photofinishing establishments.

          If the printer is of the high volume type, the replenishment data would need to be recorded on a magnetic storage medium, such as a floppy disc. When the roll of photographic paper has been exposed and loaded into the  
30 paper processor, the floppy disc would then be loaded into the paper processor's own floppy disc drive. The paper processor, equipped with a microprocessor controlled replenishment system, would access the replenishment data via its microprocessor as the roll of photographic paper  
35 is being processed in a developer. After a fixed number of prints have entered the developer, for example ten, an amount of replenisher would be added to the developer bath

and an equal amount of developer drained off. The amount added would correspond to the sum of the replenisher amounts for the particular ten prints in the developer.

5 It is common practice for holes or notches to be punched by the printer on to the roll of photographic paper between prints, for use by an apparatus which chops the paper into individual prints. The paper processor could be so arranged that it counts these holes or notches so that it would know how many prints had entered it.

10 The replenishment information for each print may also be recorded on the print itself by means of a machine-readable code applied to the back of the print. Alternatively, the information may be encoded as a series of punched holes between prints.

15 Photographic printers which only use discrete photocells for determining exposure measure only the average transmittance of a negative. A subject comprising a white spot against a black background would print as a black spot on a white background. The black spot would  
20 have reached the maximum density the photographic paper could give. The amount of dye in the spot would therefore be less than that expected from a calculation based on the average transmittance of the negative. Consequently, the calculated amount of replenishment required for that print  
25 would be too great.

This can be overcome by the use of a higher resolution measurement of the transmittance of the negative. A scanning device, for example a charge-coupled device having a 30 by 20 array, would yield 600  
30 measurements of the transmittance of the negative. Areas of low density on the negative which would give an area of  $D_{\max}$  on the print could be recognised as such, by using the paper's  $R_D - \log(E)$  curve. The dye amounts formed at each of the 600 areas could be added together to give  
35 an accurate calculation of the total dye amount formed on the print.

The ultimate extension of this technique would be

to apply it to a scanning printer where the negative is scanned at very high resolution.

The present invention has the advantage that it overcomes the problem of incorrect chemical replenishment, thus reducing sensitometric drift, maintaining quality and therefore saving money.

The present invention would be particularly suited to a small photofinishing operation such as a mini-lab where small chemical volumes in the processing tanks increase the susceptibility of the photographic processor to the effects of incorrect replenishment. Furthermore, for the small photofinishing operation, the relatively low hardware cost required to incorporate the present invention in a printer-processor pair is an added advantage. In addition, the need for a storage medium on which to retain the dye amounts calculated for the prints from a given roll of negatives during printing would be eliminated as the microprocessors in both the printer and the processor would be able to transfer the data between them.

It is particularly expected that the embodiment of the present invention describe above wherein the replenishment rate is linked to the density and colour correction buttons would be ideally suited to a minilab where implementation costs would need to be kept to a minimum.

The invention is particularly suited to the replenishment of photographic developers, but could be used with any apparatus where the replenishment rate is a function of the image density.

## CLAIMS

1. A method of controlling the rate of replenishment of chemical solutions used in photographic processing apparatus, the apparatus including photographic printing apparatus for copying an object on to photographic material, the method being characterized by the steps of deriving a signal which is related to the exposure given to the photographic material, and using the signal to control the replenishment rate of the processing solutions.
2. A method according to claim 1, wherein the derived signal produces a replenishment rate which is directly related to the amount of image-producing substances formed on the photographic material after development of an image of the object.
3. A method according to claim 2, wherein the image-producing substances are dyes.
4. A method according to claim 2, wherein the image-producing substances are metals.
5. A method according to claim 4, wherein the metal is silver.
6. A method according to claim 1, wherein the signal is derived from measurements of the average transmittance of the object to be copied.
7. A method according to claim 1, wherein the signal is derived from measurements of the average transmittance of a plurality of different small areas of the object to be copied.
8. A method according to claim 6 or 7, wherein the signal is derived from the average of measurements of the average transmittance of a large random sample of all such objects to be copied on to the photographic material.
9. A method according to claim 8, wherein the signal is further derived from data relating to the sensitometric characteristics of the photographic material.

10. A method according to claim 2, wherein the derived signal is related to the replenishment rate by an empirical function.

11. A method according to claim 2 or 10,  
5 wherein the replenishment rate is adjusted in discrete steps which are directly related to discrete levels of density and/or colour correction used in the process of copying the object.

12. A method according to claim 11, wherein  
10 the density and/or colour correction is/are directly linked to increments or decrements in the replenishment rate applied to the developer solution in the photographic processing apparatus.

13. Photographic processing apparatus  
15 including printing apparatus for copying an object on to photographic material, and processing apparatus for processing the exposed photographic material, characterized in that a signal related to the exposure given to the photographic material is used to control  
20 replenishment rate of the solutions used in the processing apparatus.

14. Apparatus according to claim 13, wherein the signal is transmitted to the processing apparatus by a direct data link.

25 15. Apparatus according to claim 13, wherein the printing apparatus is provided with means for recording replenishment data on the photographic material and wherein the processing apparatus is provided with means for reading the recorded data.

30 16. Apparatus according to claim 13, wherein the printing apparatus and processing apparatus are provided with storage means and wherein data relating to the signal is first stored on a storage medium in the printing apparatus which is then transferred to  
35 the processing apparatus.

17. Apparatus according to claim 16, wherein the storage medium is a magnetic storage medium.

18. Apparatus according to claim 13, wherein  
the printing apparatus has a plurality of density and  
colour correction buttons which are directly related  
to increments and decrements in the replenishment rate  
5 applied to the developer solution in the processing  
apparatus.

19. Apparatus according to claim 13, wherein  
the printing apparatus is provided with scanning means  
for obtaining measurements of the average  
10 transmittance of plurality of different small areas of  
an object to be copied.

15

20

25

30

35

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/GB 90/00162

**I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER** (if several classification symbols apply, indicate all) \*

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC

IPC<sup>5</sup>: G 03 C 5/31, G 03 D 3/06

**II. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Minimum Documentation Searched <sup>7</sup>

Classification System

Classification Symbols

IPC<sup>5</sup>

G 03 C 5, G 03 C 7, G 03 D 3

Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation  
to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched <sup>8</sup>

**III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT <sup>9</sup>**

Category <sup>9</sup>	Citation of Document, <sup>11</sup> with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages <sup>12</sup>	Relevant to Claim No. <sup>13</sup>
-----------------------	--	-------------------------------------

X

US, H, 30123 (CROWELL et al.)  
23 October 1979  
see the whole document

-----

1

\* Special categories of cited documents: <sup>10</sup>

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

**IV. CERTIFICATION**

Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search

19th April 1990

Date of Mailing of this International Search Report

21. 05. 90

International Searching Authority

EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE

Signature of Authorized Officer

F.W. HECK



**ANNEX TO THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT  
ON INTERNATIONAL PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

GB 9000162  
SA 34057

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on 22/05/90. The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US-H- 30123		None	
<hr/>			