



(51) International Patent Classification:

C22B 15/00 (2006.01) C22B 3/26 (2006.01)
C22B 3/08 (2006.01) C25C 1/12 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/CA2009/000527

(22) International Filing Date:

17 April 2009 (17.04.2009)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

61/050,868 6 May 2008 (06.05.2008) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA** [CA/CA];
University-Industry Liaison Office, 103 - 6190 Agronomy
Road, Vancouver, British Columbia V6T 1Z3 (CA).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **DIXON, David, G.**
[US/CA]; 6716 London Drive, Delta, British Columbia
V4K 4W7 (CA). **RIVERA-VASQUEZ, Berny** [PE/CA];
483-6335 Thunderbird Crescent, Vancouver, British
Columbia V6G 2G9 (CA).

(74) Agents: **MCGRUDER, David, J.** et al.; Oyen Wiggs
Green & Mutala LLP, 480 - The Station, 601 West Cor-

dova Street, Vancouver, British Columbia V6B 1G1
(CA).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RS, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report (Art. 21(3))

(54) Title: LEACHING PROCESS FOR COPPER CONCENTRATES CONTAINING ARSENIC AND ANTIMONY COMPOUNDS




FIG. 1

(57) Abstract: A method of recovering copper from a copper sulfide concentrate comprising a copper arsenic sulfosalt or a copper antimony sulfosalt, using pyrite as a catalyst. The concentrate and pyrite are added to an acidic sulfate leach solution. The copper is leached from the concentrate, in the presence of an oxygen-containing gas, under conditions whereby the pyrite is substantially unoxidized. The pyrite:copper sulfide ratio of the pyrite being added to the copper sulfide present in the concentrate being added is at least 1:3. The pyrite may be maintained at a concentration in the leach solution of at least 9 grams per liter. The leached copper is recovered from the solution by conventional methods.

LEACHING PROCESS FOR COPPER CONCENTRATES CONTAINING ARSENIC AND ANTIMONY COMPOUNDS

5

Technical Field

[0001] The invention pertains to methods for leaching copper from concentrates containing copper arsenic sulfosalt and copper antimony sulfosalt minerals.

10

Background

[0002] Copper sulfides containing arsenic or antimony are commonly-occurring minerals. Arsenic-containing copper sulfides include sulpharsenites, such as enargite (Cu_3AsS_4), and sulpharsenates, such as tennantite ($\text{Cu}_{12}\text{As}_4\text{S}_{13}$). Antimony-containing copper sulfides include sulfantimonites, such as famatinite (Cu_3SbS_4), and sulphantimonates, such as tetrahedrite ($\text{Cu}_{12}\text{Sb}_4\text{S}_{13}$). It is difficult to recover the copper from such minerals. For example, enargite has proven resistant to virtually every leaching process other than high pressure oxidation in autoclaves with oxygen at temperatures exceeding 200°C ., which is not an economic process. Mines that process ore in smelters often pay penalties if the ore they ship to the smelter contains enargite, due to high arsenic levels.

25

[0003] It would be desirable to be able to recover copper from mineral concentrates containing copper arsenic sulfosalt and copper antimony sulfosalt minerals by an economically viable, hydrometallurgical process.

30

Summary of the Invention

[0004] The invention provides a hydrometallurgical method for extracting copper from copper concentrates containing copper arsenic sulfosalt or copper antimony sulfosalt minerals by a leaching process which uses pyrite (FeS_2) as a catalyst for the leaching reaction. The

35

leaching step is carried out in an acidic sulfate leach solution, for example a ferric sulfate medium, under conditions whereby the pyrite is not materially oxidized. The process includes the application of an oxidizing agent, e.g. oxygen in the form of air or oxygen gas.

5

[0005] Once the copper is leached from the concentrate, it can be recovered by conventional methods, such as solid-liquid separation, solvent extraction (SX) and electrowinning (EW).

10 **[0006]** According to one embodiment of the invention, there is provided a method of recovering copper from a copper sulfide concentrate comprising one of a copper arsenic sulfosalt and a copper antimony sulfosalt. The concentrate and pyrite are added to an acidic sulfate leach solution, the concentrate and the pyrite being in particulate form. Copper
15 is leached from the concentrate in the leach solution, in the presence of an oxygen-containing gas, under conditions whereby the pyrite is substantially unoxidized, to produce a solution containing copper ions. The leached copper is then recovered from the solution.

20 **[0007]** In one embodiment of the invention, the pyrite:copper sulfide ratio of the pyrite being added to the copper sulfide present in the concentrate being added is at least 1:3, or alternatively in the range of 1:3 to 20:1, or alternatively in the range of 1:1 to 10:1, or alternatively in the range of 3:1 to 5:1.

25

[0008] According to another embodiment, the pyrite is maintained at a concentration in the leach solution of at least 9 grams per liter, or alternatively at some higher concentration.

30 **[0009]** These and other features of the invention will be apparent from the following description and drawings of the preferred embodiments.

Brief Description of the Drawings

5 [0010] Fig. 1 is a flow sheet for the process of leaching copper from enargite.

[0011] Fig. 2 is a graph showing copper recovery versus leaching time for enargite with pyrite and for enargite alone.

10 [0012] Fig. 3 is a graph showing copper recovery versus leaching time for a concentrate containing tennantite, chalcopyrite and pyrite.

Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiments

15 [0013] The process of the invention is described below in respect of the recovery of the copper from enargite, as an example of the copper sulfide minerals to which the process can be applied.

The Leaching Reaction

20

[0014] In one embodiment of the copper-recovery method, particulate pyrite and particulate enargite concentrate are added to an acidic sulfate leach solution. The weight ratio of the pyrite to the enargite present in the enargite concentrate is at least 1:3. The copper is leached in
25 the presence of an oxygen-containing gas, under conditions whereby the pyrite is substantially unoxidized, producing a solution containing copper ions. The leached copper is then recovered from the solution.

[0015] Alternatively, rather than directly controlling the weight ratio
30 of pyrite to enargite being added to the leach solution, the method can include the step of maintaining the pyrite in the leach solution at a suitable concentration, by the addition of pyrite as the leaching reaction proceeds.


In this embodiment of the method, particulate enargite concentrate and particulate pyrite are added to an acidic sulfate leach solution. The copper is leached from the enargite concentrate, in the presence of an oxygen-containing gas, under conditions whereby the pyrite is substantially
5 unoxidized, while maintaining the pyrite at a concentration of at least 9 grams per liter of the leach solution, alternatively at least 10, 16, 31, 40, 44, 47, 63 or 78 grams per liter. The copper is then recovered from the leached solution.

10 **[0016]** The leaching process is carried out using a mixture comprising particulate enargite concentrate and particulate pyrite. Reference herein to such a “mixture” includes both compositions in which the pyrite is specifically added to the concentrate and those where it is already present in the concentrate at a sufficient level and therefore does
15 not have to be added. Where the pyrite must be added, the mixture of enargite concentrate and pyrite can be prepared and then added to the leach solution, or alternatively each may be added separately to the leach solution.


20 **[0017]** In the method of the invention, pyrite is believed to act as a provider of an alternative surface for ferric reduction. Pyrite is most effective as a galvanic catalyst when it behaves strictly as a cathode. Hence, the leaching process is carried out under conditions in which the pyrite is not oxidized to any substantial extent, i.e. not to an extent that is
25 material to the effective functioning of the process, and preferably not at all. This can be done by maintaining the solution potential below a certain level. The maximum operating solution potential (i.e. the potential at which the process is carried out) is less than about 500 mV versus Ag/AgCl (all solution potentials stated herein are expressed in relation to
30 the standard Ag/AgCl reference electrode). Preferably, the operating solution potential is between about 400 mV and 550 mV and more preferably between about 460 mV and 500 mV.

[0018] In order not to exceed a certain solution potential in a ferric leaching reactor, one must ensure that the supply of ferric does not exceed the demand. In the present system, this means that the overall leaching reaction:

5



must be intrinsically faster than the ferrous oxidation reaction with
10 dissolved oxygen gas:





15 [0019] Assuming that the proper set of operating variables has been identified in order to maximize the intrinsic leaching rate (i.e. pyrite:enargite ratio, particle size, acid level, and temperature), then it is a matter of engineering design to select appropriate levels of pulp density, oxygen flow rate, and agitation intensity such that the supply of ferric
20 does not exceed the demand in any part of the leaching circuit.


[0020] The leaching process may be carried out on a batch basis or as a continuous process, the latter being preferred. In batch mode, as the level of enargite in the leaching reactor (and, concurrently, the demand
25 for oxidant) diminishes with time, it may be necessary to regulate the flow of oxygen to the reactor to prevent the solution potential from exceeding the desired maximum, particularly when pure oxygen gas rather than air is used. Alternatively, in a continuous process consisting of a number of leaching tanks in series, one would simply supply oxygen to each tank at
30 the appropriate rate. This may be facilitated in practice by supplying pure oxygen or oxygen-enriched air to the first one or two tanks and air to the remaining tanks, or running the final tank without oxygen.

[0021] Also, in batch mode, it is desirable that the leach solution have an initial iron level of at least 5 grams per litre to initiate the leaching process.

5 **[0022]** An example of a process flowsheet for carrying out the process on a continuous basis, and recovering the extracted copper, is shown in Figure 1. The process involves three basic steps, namely, leaching **10**, copper recovery (by SX-EW) **14**, and iron rejection and optional makeup acid generation (by oxyhydrolysis) **16**. Optional flow streams are indicated in dotted lines. In the leach reactor, copper is leached from enargite selectively at low potential in the presence of pyrite catalyst, producing a solid sulphur residue, while ferrous is oxidized to ferric with dissolved oxygen gas:



20



[0023] In the process shown in Figure 1, a bulk concentrate having a pyrite:enargite ratio of between about 1:3 and about 20:1 is subjected to the leaching process. Alternatively, the pyrite:enargite ratio is between about 1:1 and 10:1 or between about 3:1 and 5:1. The provenance of the pyrite present in the concentrate is not important. Additional pyrite can be added from an external source or recycled to make up the desired ratio in the bulk concentrate or, if appropriate, a bulk concentrate can be made from an ore sample that is naturally rich in pyrite, with further enrichment

25

30

from an external pyrite source if necessary. Other copper or base metal sulfides can also be present in the concentrate being leached.

[0024] The leaching process is run at temperatures between about
5 50° C. and the melting point of sulphur (about 110 to 120° C.).
Alternatively, it can be run at a temperature of between about 70° C. and
the melting point of sulphur. The leaching process can be run under any
pressure between about atmospheric pressure and those pressures
attainable in an autoclave. Preferably, it is run under about atmospheric
10 pressure.

[0025] The leaching process is run under an atmosphere of oxygen-
containing gas such as air, oxygen-enriched air, substantially pure oxygen,
or any combination thereof, in a series of leaching tanks. Given the
15 relatively modest oxygen requirements of the process, this oxygen gas can
also be supplied by a low-cost VPSA plant, or by a more conventional
cryogenic oxygen plant for larger applications.


[0026] Ultrafine grinding of the concentrate or the pyrite is not
20 necessary, though the process will work with ultrafine materials. In this
specification, the term P80 describes the particle size at which 80% of the
mass of material will pass through the specified size of mesh. For use in
the leaching process, the P80 particle size of the enargite concentrate can
vary over a wide range. For example, a P80 particle size of about 210
25 microns can be used. Preferably, the enargite particle size is below about
106 microns, or alternatively below about 75 microns, or alternatively
below about 38 microns. The pyrite particle size may be the same as or
less than the enargite particle size. Alternatively the P80 particle size of
both the enargite concentrate and the pyrite may be in the range of 38 to
30 106 microns.

[0027] The leach can be run at any pulp density that will seem reasonable to one skilled in the art. For example, the pulp density may be about 9% or higher. Higher pulp densities facilitate the control of solution potential by ensuring high ferric demand and may also enhance the effectiveness of the galvanic couple between pyrite and enargite. Higher pulp densities also require smaller, more economical leach tanks.

[0028] According to the overall leach stoichiometry given above, at least one mole of sulphuric acid should theoretically be added to the leach for every mole of copper recovered from the enargite. In practice, however the acid requirement may fluctuate depending on the exact composition of the concentrate and the degrees of sulphur and ferrous oxidation and iron precipitation that occur during the leach. Preferably, at least one mole of sulfuric acid is added for every mole of copper recovered.

Solid-Liquid Separation and Solvent Extraction

[0029] Following the leaching process, copper is extracted from the leach solution. After a solid-liquid separation step (12 in Fig. 1), producing a liquid solution containing the copper, the liquid solution is subjected to conventional solvent extraction and electrowinning (14) to produce pure copper cathodes according to the following overall reaction.



Oxyhydrolysis

[0030] In order to reject iron and to recover the remainder of the acid, a raffinate bleed stream is subjected to oxyhydrolysis (16) with oxygen gas to oxidize ferrous to ferric and form a stable ferric precipitate. One preferred method involves the formation of hematite thus:

Iron oxyhydrolysis: $\text{FeSO}_4 (\text{aq}) + \frac{1}{4} \text{O}_2 (\text{g}) + \text{H}_2\text{O} (\text{l}) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 (\text{s}) + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 (\text{aq})$

5 **[0031]** This process requires a small amount of oxygen gas, which can be supplied from a low-cost vapor pressure swing absorption (VPSA) plant. The hematite is removed from the leach circuit in a solid-liquid separation step (18) following the oxyhydrolysis.

10 **[0032]** Trivalent arsenic in the leach solution is oxidized to the pentavalent state by ferric and precipitated as ferric arsenate, FeAsO_4 (scorodite) during the oxyhydrolysis step:

15 Arsenic oxyhydrolysis: $2 \text{H}_3\text{AsO}_3 (\text{aq}) + 3 \text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 (\text{aq}) + 2 \text{H}_2\text{O} (\text{l}) \rightarrow 2 \text{FeAsO}_4 (\text{s}) + 4 \text{FeSO}_4 (\text{aq}) + 5 \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 (\text{aq})$

[0033] Scorodite is a stable compound which can be safely discharged to a tailings impoundment.

20 **Example 1**

[0034] Copper leaching tests were performed on samples of a nearly pure enargite (En) sample (from the Leonard Mine near Butte, Montana), which contained 41% copper (pure ideal enargite contains 46.1% copper) with additions of nearly pure pyrite (from the Huanzala district of Peru). Six tests were run in 1600 mL of solution under identical conditions (480 mV vs Ag/AgCl, 80°C, 20 g total dissolved Fe, 60 g total H_2SO_4 , oxygen gas, 1200 rpm agitation speed). 25 g of the enargite sample was used in each test with mass additions of pyrite (Py) in the following seven pyrite to enargite ratios: 0:1, 1:1, 2:1, 2.8:1, 3:1, 4:1 and 5:1, corresponding to
30 pyrite concentrations of approximately 0, 16, 31, 44, 47, 63 and 78 g/L

respectively. The copper extraction was measured at intervals. The results are shown in Figure 2.

[0035] In the absence of pyrite, the leach rate reached a plateau after about 30 hours, with less than 30% of the copper extracted. Increasing the pyrite to enargite mass ratio had a significant effect on leach kinetics. The effect was particularly dramatic at 4:1 and above, at which the enargite leaching was essentially complete within about 25 hours.

10 Example 2

[0036] A copper leaching test was run on a 180 g sample of concentrate having the following mineral composition:

15	Mineral	Ideal Formula	Mass %
	Chalcopyrite	CuFeS_2	18.5
	Pyrite	FeS_2	42.4
	Tennantite	$\text{Cu}_{12}\text{As}_4\text{S}_{13}$	5.4
	Sphalerite	$(\text{Zn}, \text{Fe})\text{S}$	25.8
20	Galena	PbS	0.2
	Anglesite	PbSO_4	2.3
	Plagioclase	$\text{NaAlSi}_3\text{O}_8\text{-CaAl}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_8$	1.8
	Quartz	SiO_2	1.4
	Gypsum	$\text{CaSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$	2.2
25	Total		100

[0037] The test was run in 1600 mL of solution under the following conditions: 480 mV vs Ag/AgCl, 80°C, 20 g total dissolved Fe, 80 g total H_2SO_4 , oxygen gas, 1200 rpm agitation speed. Based on the ideal mineral formulae given above, approximately 29% of the Cu in the sample occurred as tennantite (Tn), and the remaining 71% occurred as

chalcopyrite (Cp). The chalcopyrite was leached rapidly (within about 20 hours) at the prevailing pyrite (Py) content of 42.4%, or approximately 48 g/L pyrite concentration, but tennantite failed to leach significantly during the first 58 hours of the test, and was only about half leached when the
5 test was terminated after 70 hours. The results are shown by the "Recycle ratio = 0%" line in Figure 3.

[0038] A second 180 g batch of sample was added to the residue from the test described in the preceding paragraph, and leached under the
10 same conditions for 75 hours (results not shown). Then, a third 180 g batch of sample was added to the residue of the second test, and leached for another 53 hours. Hence, this third test represents a pyrite recycle ratio of 200%. Assuming no oxidation of the pyrite, the pyrite concentration in this test was approximately 144 g/L. The results are
15 shown by the "Recycle ratio = 200%" line in Figure 3. The chalcopyrite was leached from this batch within about 5 hours, and the tennantite required roughly another 23 hours to leach to completion. Hence, increasing the pyrite concentration by a factor of three decreased the time required for complete leaching of both tennantite and chalcopyrite by
20 roughly a factor of three.

[0039] Although the invention has been described in terms of various embodiments, it is not intended that the invention be limited to these embodiments. Various modifications within the scope of the invention
25 will be apparent to those skilled in the art. The scope of the invention is defined by the claims that follow.

Claims:

1. A method of recovering copper from a copper sulfide concentrate comprising one of a copper arsenic sulfosalt and a copper
5 antimony sulfosalt, comprising the steps of:
 - (a) adding the concentrate and pyrite to an acidic sulphate leach solution, the concentrate and the pyrite being in particulate form;
10
 - (b) leaching the copper from the concentrate in the leach solution, in the presence of an oxygen-containing gas, under conditions whereby the pyrite is substantially unoxidized, to produce a solution containing copper ions; and
15
 - (c) recovering the leached copper from the solution.
2. A method according to claim 1, wherein the copper sulfide concentrate comprises a copper arsenic sulfosalt selected from one of a
20 sulpharsenite and a sulpharsenate.
3. A method according to claim 2, wherein the copper sulfide concentrate comprises enargite.
- 25 4. A method according to claim 2, wherein the copper sulfide concentrate comprises tennantite.
5. A method according to any one of claims 2 to 4, wherein the pyrite: copper sulfide ratio of the pyrite being added to the copper sulfide
30 present in the concentrate being added is at least 1:3.

- 13 -

6. A method according to any one of claims 2 to 4, wherein the pyrite is maintained at a concentration in the leach solution of at least 9 g/L.
- 5 7. A method according to any one of claims 2 to 4, wherein the pyrite is maintained at a concentration in the leach solution that is at least as high as one of 10, 16, 31, 40, 44, 47, 63 and 78 g/L.
8. A method according to claim 5, wherein the pyrite:copper
10 sulfide ratio is in the range of 1:3 to 20:1.
9. A method according to claim 5, wherein the pyrite: copper sulfide ratio is in the range of 1:1 to 10:1.
- 15 10. A method according to claim 5, wherein the pyrite:copper sulfide ratio is in the range of 3:1 to 5:1.
11. A method according to any one of claims 2 to 10, wherein the concentrate and the pyrite are added in the form of a mixture.
20
12. A method according to any one of claims 2 to 11, wherein at least some of the pyrite that is added is recycled pyrite obtained from the leach solution following a solid-liquid separation step.
- 25 13. A method according to any one of claims 2 to 12, wherein the conditions comprise maintaining an operating potential of the leach solution such that the pyrite is substantially unoxidized.
14. A method according to claim 13, wherein the operating
30 potential is 500 mV or less versus Ag/AgCl.
15. A method according to claim 13, wherein the operating potential is between 400 and 550 mV versus Ag/AgCl.

- 14 -

16. A method according to claim 13, wherein the operating potential is between 460 and 500 mV versus Ag/AgCl.

17. A method according to any one of claims 13 to 16, wherein
5 the maintaining of the operating potential is carried out by means of selecting one or more of:

(i) the ratio of the concentrate to the pyrite;

10 (ii) the particle size of the concentrate and the pyrite;

(iii) the concentration of the acid; and

(iv) the temperature of the leach solution.

15

18. A method according to any one of claims 13 to 16, further comprising the step of selecting one or more of:

(i) pulp density level,

20

(ii) oxygen flow rate, and

(iii) intensity of agitation of the leaching solution,

25 to control the operating potential.

19. A method according to any one of claims 2 to 18, wherein the leaching step is carried out at atmospheric pressure.

30 20. A method according to any one of claims 2 to 18, wherein the leaching step is carried out above atmospheric pressure.

- 15 -

21. A method according to any one of claims 2 to 20, wherein the leaching step is carried out at a pulp density of 9% solids or higher.
22. A method according to any one of claims 2 to 21, wherein the
5 step of recovering leached copper comprises solvent extraction and electrowinning.
23. A method according to claim 22, wherein ferrous ions in a raffinate stream from the solvent extraction step are oxidized to ferric ions
10 by oxygen gas and precipitated as a stable ferric salt.
24. A method according to any one of claims 2 to 23, wherein the pyrite is present in an ore from which the concentrate is prepared.
- 15 25. A method according to claim 1, wherein the copper sulfide concentrate comprises a copper antimony sulfosalt selected from one of a sulfantimonite and a sulphantimonate.
26. A method according to claim 25, wherein the copper sulfide
20 concentrate comprises famatinite.
27. A method according to claim 25, wherein the copper sulfide concentrate comprises tetrahedrite.
- 25 28. A method according to any one of claims 25 to 27, wherein the pyrite: copper sulfide ratio of the pyrite being added to the copper sulfide present in the concentrate being added is at least 1:3.
29. A method according to any one of claims 25 to 27, wherein
30 the pyrite is maintained at a concentration in the leach solution of at least 9 g/L.

- 16 -

30. A method according to any one of claims 25 to 27, wherein the pyrite is maintained at a concentration in the leach solution that is at least as high as one of 10, 16, 31, 40, 44, 47, 63 and 78 g/L.
- 5 31. A method according to claim 28, wherein the pyrite:copper sulfide ratio is in the range of 1:3 to 20:1.
32. A method according to claim 28, wherein the pyrite: copper sulfide ratio is in the range of 1:1 to 10:1.
- 10 33. A method according to claim 28, wherein the pyrite:copper sulfide ratio is in the range of 3:1 to 5:1.
34. A method according to any one of claims 25 to 33, wherein
15 the concentrate and the pyrite are added in the form of a mixture.
35. A method according to any one of claims 25 to 34, wherein at least some of the pyrite that is added is recycled pyrite obtained from the leach solution following a solid-liquid separation step.
- 20 36. A method according to any one of claims 25 to 35, wherein the conditions comprise maintaining an operating potential of the leach solution such that the pyrite is substantially unoxidized.
- 25 37. A method according to claim 36, wherein the operating potential is 500 mV or less versus Ag/AgCl.
38. A method according to claim 36, wherein the operating potential is between 400 and 550 mV versus Ag/AgCl.
- 30 39. A method according to claim 36, wherein the operating potential is between 460 and 500 mV versus Ag/AgCl.

40. A method according to any one of claims 36 to 39, wherein the maintaining of the operating potential is carried out by means of selecting one or more of:

- 5 (i) the ratio of the concentrate to the pyrite;
- (ii) the particle size of the concentrate and the pyrite;
- (iii) the concentration of the acid; and
- 10 (iv) the temperature of the leach solution.

41. A method according to any one of claims 36 to 39, further comprising the step of selecting one or more of:

- 15 (i) pulp density level,
- (ii) oxygen flow rate, and
- 20 (iii) intensity of agitation of the leaching solution,

to control the operating potential.

42. A method according to any one of claims 25 to 41, wherein
25 the leaching step is carried out at atmospheric pressure.

43. A method according to any one of claims 25 to 41, wherein the leaching step is carried out above atmospheric pressure.

30 44. A method according to any one of claims 25 to 41, wherein the leaching step is carried out at a pulp density of 9% solids or higher.

- 18 -

45. A method according to any one of claims 25 to 44, wherein the step of recovering leached copper comprises solvent extraction and electrowinning.

5 46. A method according to claim 45, wherein ferrous ions in a raffinate stream from the solvent extraction step are oxidized to ferric ions by oxygen gas and precipitated as a stable ferric salt.

47. A method according to any one of claims 25 to 46, wherein
10 the pyrite is present in an ore from which the concentrate is prepared.

1/3




FIG. 1

2/3




FIG. 2

3/3




FIG. 3

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/CA2009/000527

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC: C22B 15/00 (2006.01) , C22B 3/08 (2006.01) , C22B 3/26 (2006.01) , C25C 1/12 (2006.01) According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC																	
B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC: C22B 3/08 (2006.01) Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic database(s) consulted during the international search (name of database(s) and, where practicable, search terms used) Derwent, WEST, CAPlus, Scopus, CPD Keywords: pyrite/fes2, copper, arsen*, antimon*, cataly*, unoxid*, oxid*/oxygen*, enargite/tennantite/famatinite/tetrahedrite																	
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Category*</th> <th>Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages</th> <th>Relevant to claim No.</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>X --- Y</td> <td>CN1358871 (JIANG et al.) 17 July 2002 (17-07-2002) * Derwent abstract, machine translation *</td> <td>1 2-47</td> </tr> <tr> <td>X --- Y</td> <td>WO2007093667 (HULTHOLM et al.) 23 August 2007 (23-08-2007) * para. spanning p.6-7, whole document *</td> <td>1 2-47</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Y</td> <td>WO2005118894 (DIXON et al.) 15 December 2005 (15-12-2005) * p.6, l.19-21, whole document *</td> <td>2-47</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Y</td> <td>WO0131072 (PINCHES et al.) 3 May 2001 (03-05-2001) * p.5, l.18-23 *</td> <td>2-5, 13-19, 21, 24</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.	X --- Y	CN1358871 (JIANG et al.) 17 July 2002 (17-07-2002) * Derwent abstract, machine translation *	1 2-47	X --- Y	WO2007093667 (HULTHOLM et al.) 23 August 2007 (23-08-2007) * para. spanning p.6-7, whole document *	1 2-47	Y	WO2005118894 (DIXON et al.) 15 December 2005 (15-12-2005) * p.6, l.19-21, whole document *	2-47	Y	WO0131072 (PINCHES et al.) 3 May 2001 (03-05-2001) * p.5, l.18-23 *	2-5, 13-19, 21, 24
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.															
X --- Y	CN1358871 (JIANG et al.) 17 July 2002 (17-07-2002) * Derwent abstract, machine translation *	1 2-47															
X --- Y	WO2007093667 (HULTHOLM et al.) 23 August 2007 (23-08-2007) * para. spanning p.6-7, whole document *	1 2-47															
Y	WO2005118894 (DIXON et al.) 15 December 2005 (15-12-2005) * p.6, l.19-21, whole document *	2-47															
Y	WO0131072 (PINCHES et al.) 3 May 2001 (03-05-2001) * p.5, l.18-23 *	2-5, 13-19, 21, 24															
[X] Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. [X] See patent family annex. <table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>* Special categories of cited documents :</td> <td>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</td> <td>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date</td> <td>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</td> <td>"&" document member of the same patent family</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			* Special categories of cited documents :	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention	"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone	"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art	"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"&" document member of the same patent family	"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed				
* Special categories of cited documents :	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention																
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone																
"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art																
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"&" document member of the same patent family																
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means																	
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed																	
Date of the actual completion of the international search 6 July 2009 (06-07-2009)		Date of mailing of the international search report 15 July 2009 (15-07-2009)															
Name and mailing address of the ISA/CA Canadian Intellectual Property Office Place du Portage I, C114 - 1st Floor, Box PCT 50 Victoria Street Gatineau, Quebec K1A 0C9 Facsimile No.: 001-819-953-2476		Authorized officer Jay Fothergill 819- 997-4842															

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/CA2009/000527

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	Abraitis P.K. et al., Variations in the compositional, textural and electrical properties of natural pyrite: a review. <i>Int. J. of Min. Processing</i>, Vol.74, Iss. 1-4, Nov. 2004, p.41-59. * abstract *	1-47

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
Information on patent family members

International application No.
PCT/CA2009/000527

Patent Document Cited in Search Report	Publication Date	Patent Family Member(s)	Publication Date
CN 1358871A	17-07-2002	CN 1204277C	01-06-2005
WO 2005118894A1	15-12-2005	AP 200603826D0 AU 2005250064A1 BR PI0511767A CA 2566596A1 ES 2301419A1 ES 2301419B2 MX PA06013742A US 2005269208A1	31-12-2006 15-12-2005 08-01-2008 15-12-2005 16-06-2008 01-04-2009 15-03-2007 08-12-2005
WO 0131072A1	03-05-2001	AP 1479A AP 200202491D0 AU 773999B2 AU 1107801A BR 0015071A CA 2388990A1 ES 2204340A1 ES 2204340B1 MX PA02004178A ZA 200202427A	31-12-2005 30-06-2002 10-06-2004 08-05-2001 26-11-2002 03-05-2001 16-04-2004 01-01-2005 20-08-2003 23-09-2003
WO 2007093667A1	23-08-2007	AU 2007216422A1 CA 2642673A1 CN 101384736A EP 1984529A1 FI 118473B1 FI 20060150A FI 20060150D0 US 2009078086A1	23-08-2007 23-08-2007 11-03-2009 29-10-2008 30-11-2007 21-09-2007 17-02-2006 26-03-2009