

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
31 December 2003 (31.12.2003)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2004/000439 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **B01D 47/02**,
C01B 9/02

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/US2003/019442

(22) International Filing Date: 19 June 2003 (19.06.2003)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
60/319,329 20 June 2002 (20.06.2002) US
10/448,692 30 May 2003 (30.05.2003) US

(71) Applicant: **E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY** [US/US]; 1007 Market Street, Wilmington, DE 19898 (US).

(72) Inventors: **TAYLOR, Stephen, W.**; 15 Little Fox Lane, Chadds Ford, PA 19317 (US). **DUNSON, James, B., Jr.**; 22 Winslow Road, Newark, DE 19711 (US).

(74) Agent: **TULLOCH, Rebecca, W.**; E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY, LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER, 4417 Lancaster Pike, WILMINGTON, DE 19805 (US).

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

- with international search report
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: COMPOSITION AND METHOD FOR REDUCTION OF PERSISTENT, BIO-ACCUMULATIVE AND TOXIC POLLUTANTS IN CARBOCHLORINATION PROCESSES

(57) Abstract: The present invention provides a composition and a method for reducing the production of persistent bio-accumulative and toxic pollutants in solid carbonaceous reduction reactions in carbochlorination processes.



WO 2004/000439 A1

TITLE OF INVENTION**COMPOSITION AND METHOD FOR REDUCTION OF PERSISTENT,
BIO-ACCUMULATIVE AND TOXIC POLLUTANTS IN
CARBOCHLORINATION PROCESSES**

5

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

For fugitive dust emissions control, petroleum coke calciners use a variety of petroleum-derived dust suppression agents. These agents are commonly selected from a broad range of distillation fractions from crude oil processing. Agents may be selected from vacuum tower bottoms to asphalt to lube oils to paraffin wax, and these agents may possibly be mixtures formed from various combinations of distillate cuts.

The choice of dust suppression agent on petroleum coke has not been a concern of carbochlorination manufacturers beyond, perhaps, the hydrogen content of the agent and the weight percent loading of agent on the coke. Hydrogen content of the agent impacts the amount of hydrochloric acid that must be separated and removed from the carbochlorination products, particularly in the case of metal chloride product(s). Selection of suitable agents for use in the field of carbochlorination, as in other manufacturing processes has primarily been based on low cost.

Petroleum coke calciners here-to-fore have had essentially two criteria for dust suppressants: 1) flash point and 2) viscosity. A relatively high flash point is important from a safety perspective because suppression agents are typically applied to hot petroleum coke as the coke exits the after-cooler downstream of the calciner. Viscosity is important because it is indicative of tackiness for dust capture and retention. The dust-control efficacy of petroleum-derived dust suppression agents is somewhat dependent on viscosity. Another desirable property is a low pour point to avoid the need for the heat tracing of vessels and piping that store and deliver the suppression agent to the petroleum coke.

The inventors of the present invention have discovered that the certain petroleum-derived dust suppression agent impact, at trace levels, the production of halogenated organic PBT's (persistent, bio-accumulative,

and toxic pollutants) in carbochlorination processes, specifically titani-ferrous ore carbochlorination. The selection of dust suppression agents according to the present invention lowers the production of trace quantities of halogenated organic PBT's.

5

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides a composition and a method for reducing the production of persistent bio-accumulative and toxic pollutants in solid carbonaceous reduction reactions in the carbochlorination
10 processes.

The composition of the invention comprises composition for reducing production of persistent, bio-accumulative, and toxic halogenated pollutants in carbochlorination processes comprising a solid carbonaceous reductant and a predominately linear- and branched-chain saturated
15 hydrocarbon having low color, a viscosity in the range of 500 to 800 SUS at 100 degrees F and a flash point above 450 degrees F wherein the concentration of the hydrocarbon on surface of the reductant is the minimum concentration of the hydrocarbon necessary to control fugitive dust emissions.

20 The method of the present invention comprises using the composition of the present invention in carbochlorination. Examples of carbochlorination processes are processes for the production of metals from their chlorides such as titanium, aluminum and zirconium chlorides for the production of the respective metals or the oxides. The reductant in
25 these reactions is a source of solid carbon particles called herein a solid carbonaceous reductant. The most common solid carbonaceous reductant used in these reactions is petroleum coke although other cokes and carbon sources are suitable for use.

30

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Petroleum coke calciners typically apply petroleum-derived dust suppression agents onto their calcined cokes after the coke has exited an after-cooler where the coke has been cooled to approximately 300 degrees F. Typically, the coke enters a rotating drum or mixer, equipped

with lifters, where the coke is thoroughly tumbled as dust suppression agent is sprayed onto its surfaces. Each coke calciner may have unique and proprietary spray nozzles and nozzle configurations depending on their specific equipment to apply the dust suppression agent uniformly to the coke surfaces. The dust suppression agent is typically stored in a supply tank, which is typically heated and insulated, and delivered to the rotating drum or mixer via a pump and piping system which has a valve and possible instrumentation devices for flow and process control.

Typical dust suppression agents employed in the industry are a variety of petroleum-derived hydrocarbons from petroleum distillers such as the bottoms product of vacuum towers or specific distillate cut(s) from a fractionation process. These cut(s) can be derived from naphthenic or paraffinic distillates containing various percentages of polar, polycyclic, and unsaturated hydrocarbons as well as saturated hydrocarbons. Two examples are cited in U.S. Patent 3,896,556 that are predominantly composed of greater than 70% aromatics. Other commercially available dust suppression agents, such as water-based polymers and vegetable oils, are undesirable additives to a carbochlorination process due to their hydrogen content.

PBT pollutants are chemicals that are toxic, persist in the environment, and bio-accumulate in food chains and, thus, pose risks to human health and ecosystems, per the United States Environmental Protection Agency. The challenges remaining on PBT pollutants stem from the fact that they transfer rather easily among air, water, and land, and span boundaries of programs, geography, and generations. Examples of halogenated organic PBT's include, but are not limited to, hexachlorobenzene, pentachlorobenzene, octachlorostyrene, chlorinated biphenyls, and the seventeen toxic congeners of poly-chloro-dibenzo-dioxin and poly-chloro-dibenzo-furan as identified by the World Health Organization. The U.S. EPA requires all U.S. facilities to annually report their emissions of these specific chlorinated PBT's if the total emissions to air, water, and land exceed specific threshold reporting values. An example is hexachlorobenzene at 10 pounds per year per emitting facility.

The discussion above has focused on calcined petroleum coke as a

primary source of the solid carbonaceous reductant. Other solid sources of carbon are also suitable as the solid carbonaceous reductant. For example, coke derived from a coal-gasification process or graphite, or even charcoal.

- 5 The inventors are not aware of any methods currently practiced to reduce the levels of PBT's that are produced in carbochlorination reactions or other industrial chlorinations. However, there are methods to destroy PBT's after their production, as well as appropriate disposal methods for PBT's in an approved and secure landfill. An example of destroying PBT's
- 10 is incineration, with specific enhancement claims made on that technology using catalysts (as an example, refer to U.S. Patent 4,793,270). Another example of downstream processing for destruction of specific PBT's is the use of a catalytic filtration system as practiced by W. L. Gore (U.S. Patents 5,620,669 and 5,843,390) and Mitsubishi (U.S. Patent 6,027,697).
- 15 Photolysis and biodegradation are also emerging-technologies for the downstream destruction of organic PBT's. Other downstream destruction technologies promoted by non-governmental organizations include gas-phase chemical reduction, electrochemical oxidation, molten metal pyrolysis, molten salt oxidation, solvated electron process, supercritical
- 20 water oxidation, and plasma arc. None of these are readily available, commercial-scale processes and each process would be expensive to develop.

 The present invention provides a surprisingly simple method to reduce the production of PBT's. The hydrocarbon oils of the present

25 invention may be produced from the distillation of crude oil. Process to make the oils of the present invention include the distillation of crude oil followed by processing to make additional product cuts. For example, the residue from atmospheric distillation of crude oil is further distilled under vacuum conditions to produce a range of vacuum distillates. Solvent

30 extraction and/or hydrofining are then used to increase the viscosity index, enhance the color and convert undesirable chemical structures such as unsaturated hydrocarbons and aromatics to less chemically reactive species. Finally, solvent dewaxing is used to reduce the wax content of base oils so as to prevent wax crystals forming within the normal working

temperature range of the lubricant or oil. Another process route to the oils of the present invention is solvent deasphalting of the vacuum residue with liquid propane which produces higher viscosity grades of lubricating oil base stocks. Liquid propane may be used to precipitate the resins and the asphaltenes to produce a deasphalted residual oil. This deasphalted residual oil is then further treated by solvent extraction and/or hydrogenation to reduce the aromatics content.

Below are specific examples of petroleum-derived base oils used in the manufacture of the oils of the present invention. These feed stocks oils are listed along with their reference CAS number. They are themselves derived from various distillate cuts and additional processing and typically come from neutral and paraffinic based-oils composed of mostly saturated C15 to C50 hydrocarbons in linear- and branched-chain structures.

Lubricating oils (petroleum), C20-50, hydrotreated neutral oil-based, high-viscosity (CAS # 72623-85-9) A complex combination of hydrocarbons obtained by treating light vacuum gas oil, heavy vacuum gas oil, and solvent deasphalted residual oil with hydrogen in the presence of a catalyst in a two-stage process with dewaxing being carried out between the two stages. It consists predominantly of hydrocarbons having carbon numbers predominantly in the range of C20 through C50. The finished oil contains a relatively large proportion of saturated hydrocarbons.

Lubricating oils (petroleum), C15-30, hydrotreated neutral oil-based (CAS # 72623-86-0) A complex combination of hydrocarbons obtained by treating light vacuum gas oil and heavy vacuum gas oil with hydrogen in the presence of a catalyst in a two-stage process with dewaxing being carried out between the two stages. It consists predominantly of hydrocarbons having carbon numbers predominantly in the range of C15 through C30. The finished oil contains a relatively large proportion of saturated hydrocarbons.

Lubricating oils (petroleum), C20-50, hydrotreated neutral oil-based (CAS # 72623-87-1) A complex combination of hydrocarbons obtained by treating light vacuum gas oil, heavy vacuum gas oil and solvent

deasphalted residual oil with hydrogen in the presence of a catalyst in a two-stage process with dewaxing being carried out between the two stages. It consists predominantly of hydrocarbons having carbon numbers predominantly in the range of C20 through C50. The finished oil contains
5 a relatively large proportion of saturated hydrocarbons.

Distillates (petroleum) hydrotreated middle (CAS # 64742-46-7)
Distillates (petroleum), hydrotreated light paraffinic (CAS # 64742-55-8) A complex combination of hydrocarbons obtained by treating a petroleum fraction with hydrogen in the presence of a catalyst. It consists of
10 hydrocarbons having carbon numbers predominantly in the range of C15 through C30. The finished oil contains a relatively large proportion of saturated hydrocarbons.

Distillates (petroleum), hydrotreated heavy paraffinic (CAS # 64742-54-7) A complex combination of hydrocarbons obtained by treating a
15 petroleum fraction with hydrogen in the presence of a catalyst. It consists of hydrocarbons having carbon numbers predominantly in the range of C20 through C50. The finished oil contains a relatively large proportion of saturated hydrocarbons.

The hydrocarbon oils of the present invention are characterized as
20 follows: petroleum-derived dust suppression agents that are essentially free of aromatic, asphaltenes, and polar compounds, and are essentially composed of linear- and branched-chain saturates (alkanes).

The composition specifications are based on a clay-gel absorption procedure, ASTM D 2007, which classifies oils into hydrocarbon types:

25

	<u>Components</u>	<u>Composition</u>
	Asphaltenes	0.0 wt. %
	Polar Compounds	0.5 wt. % (maximum)
	Aromatics	4.0 wt. % (maximum)
30	Saturates	95.0 wt. % (minimum)

A most preferred petroleum-derived dust suppression agent according to the present invention has a saturates composition of greater than 99.0 weight percent per the ASTM D 2007 procedure.

Color is another property that is an indicator of the purity of a petroleum-derived dust suppression agent. A water-white color is most preferred. However, a specification of no greater than 2.5 per ASTM D-6045 is acceptable.

- 5 Other properties of flash point, viscosity, and pour point are also specified to accommodate the requirements of petroleum coke calciners for dust suppression, safety, and ease-of-processing. These desired properties are listed below:

10	<u>Property</u>	<u>Specification</u>
	Viscosity, SUS @ 100 F°	500 to 800
	Flash Point, °F	450 (minimum)
	Pour Point, °F	15 (maximum)

- 15 Preferred oils for the present invention include lubricating oil basestocks consisting of greater than 95% saturated hydrocarbons (alkanes) having carbon numbers predominantly in the range of C20 through C50. Examples of commercially available oils that are suitable for use in the present invention include, but are not limited to, the following
- 20 oils:

TABLE
OILS SUITABLE FOR USE IN THE PRESENT INVENTION

	Conoco	Conoco	NOCO
	<u>Hydroclear 580</u>	<u>Paralube 600N</u>	<u>BL600HT</u>
25	<u>Clay-Gel, wt %</u>		
	Asphaltenes	0	0
	Polar Compounds	0.1	0
	Aromatics	3	< 0.1
	Saturates	96.9	99.0 min
30	<u>Property</u>		
	Viscosity, SUS @ 100 F°	610	625
	Flash Point, F°	500	500
	Pour Point, F°	10	10
35	Color	1.0	0.5

These oil have been tested in a batch, laboratory-scale, titani-ferrous ore carbochlorination unit and show significant reduction in trace levels of PBT's when compared to prior art de-dust oils.

5 The preferred weight % loading of dust suppression agent should be set as the minimum necessary for fugitive dust emissions control, but not greater than 0.2 wt. %. There is some indication that 0.12 wt. % loading is a possible minimum loading, and would be preferred. Fugitive dust emissions are air-borne particulate matter directly emitted to the atmosphere from sources such as factories, cars, and bulk handling
10 operations, with an aerodynamic diameter of about 10 micrometers. Per the United States Environmental Protection Agency, these small particles are likely responsible for most adverse health effects of particulate because of their ability to reach the thoracic or lower regions of the respiratory tract.

15 From the batch, laboratory-scale, titani-ferrous ore carbochlorination unit, there appears to be upwards of a 10x reduction in halogenated organic PBT production between vacuum tower bottoms, approximately 27 wt. % saturates, versus one of the above oils, that are greater than 95 wt. % saturates, on petroleum coke. For a lube oil that is
20 commercially applied to petroleum coke, which is approximately 66 wt. % saturates, there appears to be a 2x reduction in halogenated organic PBT production versus one of the above oils, that are greater than 95 wt. % saturates, on petroleum coke. The loading of petroleum-derived dust suppression agent on the petroleum cokes in these laboratory
25 experiments was approximately 0.2 wt. %.

 Plant testing of petroleum cokes with and without vacuum tower bottoms as the applied dust suppression agent also showed a significant reduction in halogenated organic PBT production, as much as a 40% reduction. In practical terms the level of PBT reduction that may be
30 realized for a commercial-scale, industrial carbochlorination process is 5 to 50%.

CLAIM(S)

What is claimed is:

1. A composition for reducing production of persistent, bio-accumulative, toxic pollutants in carbochlorination processes
5 comprising a solid carbonaceous reductant and a predominately linear- and branched-chain saturated hydrocarbon having a viscosity in the range of 500 to 800 SUS at 100 degrees F and a flash point above 450 degrees F wherein the concentration of the hydrocarbon on surface of the reductant is the minimum concentration of the hydrocarbon necessary to
10 control fugitive dust emissions.
2. The composition of claim 1 wherein the hydrocarbon has a color no greater than 2.5 as measured by ASTM D 6045.
- 15 3. The composition of claim 1 wherein the solid carbonaceous reductant is selected from the group consisting of calcined petroleum coke, coke derived from a coal-gasification process, graphite, or even charcoal.
- 20 4. The composition of claim 1 wherein the hydrocarbon is selected from lubricating oil basestocks consisting of greater than 95% saturated hydrocarbons having carbon numbers predominantly in the range of C20 through C50.
- 25 5. A method of reducing production of persistent, bio-accumulative and toxic pollutants in carbochlorination processes comprising using as the carbonaceous reductant the composition of claim 1.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internat^l Application No

PCT/US 03/19442

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 B01D47/02 C01B9/02

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 B01D C01B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 3 896 556 A (WELTER FRANKLIN H) 29 July 1975 (1975-07-29) cited in the application claim 1 ---	1-4
A	EP 0 115 634 A (BAYER AG) 15 August 1984 (1984-08-15) claim 1 ---	1-4
A	EP 0 219 381 A (ATOCHEM) 22 April 1987 (1987-04-22) page 3, line 30 - line 33 ---	1-5
A	US 4 117 076 A (GUEGUIN MICHEL) 26 September 1978 (1978-09-26) claim 5 ---	5
	--- -/--	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- *G* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

13 October 2003

Date of mailing of the international search report

20/10/2003

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Faria, C

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 03/19442

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	EP 0 315 985 A (DU PONT) 17 May 1989 (1989-05-17) claim 1 -----	5
A	US 4 039 648 A (REMPER JOHN A ET AL) 2 August 1977 (1977-08-02) column 2, line 1 - line 15; claim 1 -----	5

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

 Internatio
 Application No
 PCT/US 03/19442

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 3896556	A	29-07-1975	BR 7405136 A	04-11-1975
			CA 1025766 A1	07-02-1978
			DE 2430145 A1	23-01-1975
			FR 2235183 A1	24-01-1975
			GB 1454328 A	03-11-1976
			IT 1016148 B	30-05-1977
			JP 1127468 C	14-12-1982
			JP 56011986 A	05-02-1981
			JP 57016154 B	03-04-1982
			NO 742119 A ,B,	27-01-1975
EP 0115634	A	15-08-1984	DE 3300374 A1	12-07-1984
			DE 3368206 D1	22-01-1987
			EP 0115634 A1	15-08-1984
EP 0219381	A	22-04-1987	FR 2587325 A1	20-03-1987
			AT 39344 T	15-01-1989
			DE 3661475 D1	26-01-1989
			EP 0219381 A1	22-04-1987
			IN 168479 A1	13-04-1991
			JP 1686621 C	11-08-1992
			JP 3051655 B	07-08-1991
			JP 62072520 A	03-04-1987
US 4117076	A	26-09-1978	BR 7702086 A	28-03-1978
			CA 1106141 A1	04-08-1981
			IN 145890 A1	13-01-1979
			NO 771159 A ,B,	13-10-1977
			US 4187117 A	05-02-1980
EP 0315985	A	17-05-1989	AU 628791 B2	24-09-1992
			AU 2493788 A	11-05-1989
			AU 3023992 A	11-02-1993
			BR 8805863 A	01-08-1989
			CA 1338487 C	30-07-1996
			DE 3888453 D1	21-04-1994
			DE 3888453 T2	14-07-1994
			DE 315985 T1	13-06-1990
			EP 0315985 A2	17-05-1989
			FI 885175 A	11-05-1989
			JP 1188424 A	27-07-1989
			JP 2868124 B2	10-03-1999
			US 5389353 A	14-02-1995
US 4039648	A	02-08-1977	AU 502878 B2	09-08-1979
			AU 2014776 A	08-06-1978
			CA 1069673 A1	15-01-1980
			DE 2655835 A1	23-06-1977
			FR 2334625 A1	08-07-1977
			GB 1568224 A	29-05-1980
			IT 1066652 B	12-03-1985
			JP 1041953 C	23-04-1981
			JP 52072396 A	16-06-1977
			JP 55031087 B	15-08-1980
			RO 72703 A1	22-06-1981
			SU 786881 A3	07-12-1980