# (19) World Intellectual Property Organization

International Bureau



# 

(43) International Publication Date 16 March 2006 (16.03.2006)

**PCT** 

US

#### (10) International Publication Number WO 2006/028745 A1

(51) International Patent Classification:

C08G 65/30 (2006.01)

C08G 65/329 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/US2005/030518

(22) International Filing Date: 25 August 2005 (25.08.2005)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:

10/932629 1 September 2004 (01.09.2004)

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): DOW GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES INC. [US/US]; Washington Street, 1790 Building, Midland, MI 48674 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): CHAPPELOW, Christopher, M. [US/US]; 2610 Abbott Road, Midland, MI 48642 (US). DAUGS, Edward, D. [US/US]; 2788 N. Tupelo Drive, Midland, MI 48642 (US). GATLING, Sterling, C. [US/US]; 5101 Swede Avenue, Midland, MI 48642 (US). SIKKEMA, Kevin, D. [US/US]; 4214 Woodlawn Street, Midland, MI 48640 (US). TUR-OWSKI, Maciej [PL/US]; 1705 Bauss Court, Midland, MI 48642 (US). WALLINGFORD, Ross, A. [US/US]; 4 Appaloosa Lane, Scott Depot, WV (US).

(74) Agent: DRAKE, James, J.; The Dow Chemical Company, Intellectual Property, P.O. Box 1967, Midland, MI 48641-1967 (US).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KM, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

#### Published:

with international search report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: HIGH PURITY, HIGH MOLECULAR WEIGHT METHOXY-POLYETHYLENGLYCOLS (MPEG)

(57) Abstract: The invention is directed toward novel high molecular weigh and high purity mPEG alcohol compositions as well as a process for obtaining said compositions by removing PEG diols from the mPEG alcohol after polymerization is complete.

# HIGH PURITY, HIGH MOLECULAR WEIGHT METHOXY-POLYETHYLENGLYCOLS (MPEG)

#### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

#### 5 1. Field of the Invention

20

25

The invention is directed toward novel high molecular weight and high purity mPEG alcohol compositions as well as a process for obtaining said compositions by removing PEG diols from the mPEG alcohol.

# 2. <u>Description of the Prior Art</u>

The therapeutic efficacy of bioactive molecules can be improved by conjugating them with poly(ethylene glycol)(PEG). The PEG is often a linear poly(ethylene glycol) with one hydroxyl end group capped with a methyl group and the other hydroxyl group activated for conjugation. An activated mPEG is made from mPEG alcohol, which in turn is typically made by initiating anionic polymerization of ethylene oxide with methanol or its equivalent.

If there is any water in the polymerization, it forms a linear PEG with hydroxyl groups on

If there is any water in the polymerization, it forms a linear PEG with hydroxyl groups on both ends. Since the PEG diol undergoes the same activation and conjugation chemistry as mPEG alcohol, it's presence in the mPEG alcohol is undesirable.

The amount of PEG diol can be reduced by decreasing the amount of water in the polymerization reactor. U.S. Patent No. 6,455,639, discloses the production of mPEG alcohol by polymerization of EO under very dry conditions with molecular weights up to 20,861. Obtaining these very low levels of water requires great effort.

Alternatively, the PEG diol can be converted to its unreactive dimethyl ether. This is performed by initiating polymerization of EO with benzyl alcohol, permethylating all the hydroxyl groups (both on the benzyl PEG and PEG diol), and then removing the benzyl group to give mPEG alcohol and dimethyl PEG (U.S. 6,448,369). The permethylation of the PEG diol requires two additional chemistry steps, and the concentration of the desired mPEG alcohol is reduced by the presence of the dimethyl PEG.

In addition to the processes described above, a variety of purification techniques for removal of excess diol have been described in the literature. Snow (Snow USPatent 5,298,410, 1994) converted all the hydroxyl groups to dimethoxytrityl ethers, separated the ditrityl PEG from the methyl trityl PEG by reverse phase chromatography, and then removed the trityl group from the methyl trityl PEG to give mPEG. Lapienis (Lapienis and Penczek, J. Bioactive Compatible Polymers, 16, 206 (2001)) used ultrafiltration to purify 2K mPEG, although analysis indicated that little if any PEG was removed. Kazanskii (Kazanskii et al, Polymer Science Ser. A, 42, 585 (2000)) also used ultrafiltration to remove impurities. Kokufuta (Kokufuta et al, Polymer, 24, 1031 (1983))describes the narrowing of the molecular weight distribution of PEG by complexing it with polyacrylic acid (PAA).

All prior art purifications cited above use mPEG alcohol with a molecular weight of 5 kDaltons or less. The longevity of bioactive molecules attached to PEG increases with the molecular weight of the PEG. Therefore, it is desirable to use activated mPEG alcohols with molecular weights of at least 10 kDaltons.

15

10

5

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The invention is directed toward novel high molecular weight and high purity mPEG alcohol compositions as well as a process for obtaining said compositions by using separation techniques to remove PEG diols from the mPEG.

20

25

30

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

The invention comprises a monomethoxy poly(ethyleneglycol) of at least 95% chemical purity by weight, having a polydispersity value of less than 1.1 and having a defined molecular weight of from 10,000 Daltons to about 60,000 Daltons. Preferably, the monomethoxy poly(ethyleneglycol) of the invention has a polydispersity value of less than 1.05. The invention further comprises a process for obtaining a monomethoxy poly(ethyleneglycol) of at least 95% chemical purity by weight, having a polydispersity value of less than 1.1 and having a defined molecular weight of at least 10,000 Daltons and up to around 60,000 Daltons. The process comprises a first step of providing an impure monomethoxy poly(ethyleneglycol) characterized as a monomethoxy poly(ethyleneglycol) having one or more impurities including poly(ethyleneglycol) [hereinfter "PEG diol"] and low molecular weight organic and inorganic molecules. The impure monomethoxy

poly(ethyleneglycol) can be obtained according to well-known polymerization techniques as described in "Poly(Ethylene Oxide)" (F.E. Bailey, Jr. and J.V. Koleske, Academic Press, New York, 1976).

The impure monomethoxy poly(ethyleneglycol) is directly purified by means of one or more separation techniques such as, but not limited to, polymeric adsorption/desorption, ultrafiltration, chromatography, precipitation or combinations of one or more of the above. The separated PEG diol and low molecular weight organic or inorganic molecules are then removed from the purified monomethoxy poly(ethyleneglycol). The PEG diol may be either of higher or of lower molecular weight than the purified monomethoxy poly(ethyleneglycol) thereby obtained.

5

10

15

20

25

In one embodiment of the invention, the separation technique comprises polymeric adsorption/desorption. The polymeric adsorption/desorption preferably comprises treatment of the impure mPEG alcohol with a polymer containing repeating pendant functional groups capable of hydrogen bonding with the ether oxygen atoms of mPEG alcohol and/or PEG diol, in the presence of a protic solvent. Preferably, the pendant functional groups are selected from the group consisting of CO<sub>2</sub>H, SO<sub>3</sub>H, PO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, NH, NH<sub>2</sub>, OH and SH. Preferably, the polymer is a polyacid. More preferably, the polymer is a poly(carboxylic acid). Most preferably, the polymer is a crosslinked poly(carboxylic acid) resin. Preferably, the protic solvent is selected from the group comprising water, a C<sub>1-3</sub> alcohol or a mixture thereof. More preferably, the protic solvent is water.

In a second embodiment of the invention. The separation technique comprises ultrafiltration. Ultrafiltration comprises contacting an impure mPEG alcohol solution with a membrane of the appropriate pore size as to allow materials of lower molecular weight to pass through the membrane and be removed.

The separation technique of chromatography comprises placing the polymer on one end of a column packed with an active support, passing a suitable solvent through the column, and collecting fractions at the other end of the column. The various components of the impure alcohol are separated on the column and collected in separate fractions.

Analysis of the mPEG polymer for PEG diol content is determined by critical condition HPLC analysis (Gorshkov; J. Chrom. **523**, 91 (1990); Kazanskii et al, Polymer Science Ser. A, **42**, 585 (2000); Lapienis and Penczek, J. Bioactive Biocompat Polymers, **16**, 206 (2001). Critical condition chromatography is useful in this application for analytical separation of the mPEG from PEG diol as the retention time of the polymer is independent of molecular weight, and is only a function of polymer end groups. Specifically in this case, the mPEG and PEG diol polymers are derivatized with 3,5-dinitrobenzoyl chloride and separated at the critical point on a reversed phase analytical column with UV detection.

The separation technique of precipitation comprises the successive precipitation of polymer from a solution by addition of a miscible nonsolvent, by controlled cooling, or by controlled evaporation of solvent. The polymer molecules with higher molecular weight precipitate first.

In a preferred embodiment of the invention, the process further includes the step of isolating the pure monomethoxy poly(ethyleneglycol) composition from aqueous solution by an isolation technique selected from the group consisting of spray drying, addition of a non-solvent, extraction into a good solvent followed by addition of a non-solvent and evaporation of solvent under vacuum. The more preferred isolation technique comprises spray drying. The step of spray drying comprises spraying a solution of polymer into a chamber to form droplets, the solvent of which is evaporated in a flow of hot air to give a dry powder.

#### **Examples**

#### **Example 1 - Ultrafiltration**

25

30

5

10

15

20

#### Removal of Low Molecular Weight Polymer from 30K mPEG

A 3.8 kg sample of crude mPEG (Mp 31,491, 5.0 mol% diol) was dissolved in about 75 kg of DI water and loaded to the ultrafiltration feed tank. An Osmonics 2.5 m<sup>2</sup> 10K MWCO membrane (model # PW2540F1080) was installed. The recirculation pump was turned on at 28% output. The retentate and permeate back pressure valves were adjusted to achieve a retentate flowrate of 15 lpm with a 30 psi transmembrane pressure. The tank volume was initially concentrated down to about 40 liters, at which time DI water was continuously

added in order to maintain a constant tank volume. A total of 303 kg of permeate was collected at an average rate of about 0.4 lpm. GPC analysis of a composite sample indicated the permeate contained 0.7 kg of mPEG. The GPC profile of the permeate was noticeably skewed to the lower molecular weight material. The retentate fraction in the feed tank was further concentrated to about 33 liters and then drained through a 0.2 micron polypropylene polish filter. The final 32.9 kg retentate sample contained 7.6% mPEG by GPC (2.5 kg mPEG). DI water was loaded to the feed tank and recirculated for about 15 minutes to rinse the membrane and piping. GPC analysis indicated the 36.3 kg rinse sample contained an additional 0.6 kg of mPEG. The mPEG in the final retentate sample was isolated using a spray dryer. The diol concentration in the final isolated product was 2.7 mol%.

#### Example 2 - Polyacrylic Acid (PAA)

5

10

# Removal of High Molecular component at ambient temperature from 20K mPEG

- 15 Crude 20 kDa mPEG was dissolved in DI water to make a 1.49 wt % solution of mPEG.
  317.3g of this solution were added to a 1-L Erlenmeyer flask fitted with a mechanical stirrer.
  While stirring, a total of 21g of Dowex MAC-3 PAA ion exchange resin (containing 48 wt % water) were added. The reaction was stirred at 25oC for 42 hours. The resin was filtered.
  GPC analysis of the corresponding filtrate indicated that the high molecular weight
  20 component was reduced from 8.6 to 0.3 area %.
- Removal of High Molecular component at higher temperature from 20K mPEG

  Crude 20 kDa mPEG was dissolved in DI water to make a 1.19 wt % solution of mPEG.

  571.2g of this solution were added to a 1-L round bottom flask fitted with a water recirculation bath, mechanical stirrer, condenser, and N<sub>2</sub> purge. While stirring, 22.4 g of

  Dowex MAC-3 PAA ion exchange resin (containing ~ 50 wt % water) and 0.083g of hydroquinone were added. The reaction was stirred at 63oC for 4.3 hours. The resin was filtered. GPC analysis of the corresponding filtrate indicated that the high molecular weight component was reduced from 9.2 to 0.6 area %.
- Removal of High and Low Molecular components from 20K mPEG
  8017.1g of 0.725% aqueous mPeg were added to a 12 litter round bottom flask equipped
  with a mechanical stirrer, condenser, temperature controller, and N<sub>2</sub> purge. While stirring,

93g of Dowex MAC-3 PAA (contain ~ 50% water) and 1.4 g of hydroquinone were added. The reaction was stirred at 56oC for 39 hours. The resin containing the high molecular PEG component was separated by filtration and discarded. 7900g of filtrate containing 31g of mPEG were collected and GPC analysis showed the high molecular weight component was reduced from 4.6 to 0.2 area%.

The filtrate was added back to the reactor along with 91g of fresh PAA (enough to complex greater than 75% of the mPEG). The reaction mixture was stirred at 61oC for 32 hours. The PAA resin containing the mPEG was collected by filtration and the filtrate (7872g) was discarded. 115g of the PAA resin wetcake (containing mPEG) were washed with deionized water and added back to the reactor along with 237g of 30% aqueous tetrahydrofuran (THF). The mixture was stirred at 25°C for 20 hours. The PAA resin, from which the mPEG had now been removed, was separated from the mPEG solution by filtration and discarded. GPC analysis of the filtrate showed the low molecular weight component was reduced from 4.0 to 0.7%. THF was removed from the filtrate and 14.8g mPEG was isolated by extracting the filtrate with chloroform.

#### **Example 3 - Spray Drying**

5

10

15

A Buchi B-191 Mini Spray dryer was set up with the following operating parameters: nitrogen flow was 700 L/h, inlet temperature was 95 °C, vacuum aspirator was 50% of the maximum speed, and DI water was fed at 15% of the maximum rate. After the system was equilibrated for 30 minutes, the outlet temperature was 36 °C. A 951-g aqueous solution containing 3.0 wt% of mPEG (28.5 g) was loaded at 15% of the maximum rate. Over the course of the 3 hour and 10 minute addition, the inlet temperature was adjusted to 97, then 99 °C. The outlet temperature ranged from 36 to 38 °C. A total of 9.5 g of mPEG was collected as a fluffy white powder from the cyclone. The mPEG contained 0.31 wt% water by Karl Fisher titration.

### Example 4 - PAA, Ultrafiltration, and Spray Drying.

5

A sample of mPEG (Mp 28164, 3.6 mol% PEG diol) was treated with PAA as described above to provide 15.2-kg of anaqueous solution containing 92.7 g of polymer. The solution was subjected to ultrafiltration using an Osmonics 10K MWCO polyethersulfone membrane as described above to provide a 3.2-kg aqueous solution containing 67.7 g of polymer. A portion of the aqueous solution was spray dried as described above to provide 9.1 g of mPEG polymer (Mp 29178) containing 1.3 mol% of PEG diol.

#### WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

5

1. A monomethoxy poly(ethyleneglycol) of at least 95% chemical purity by weight, having a polydispersity value of less than 1.1 and having a defined molecular weight of from 10,000 Daltons to about 60,000 Daltons.

- 2. The monomethoxy poly(ethyleneglycol) of claim 1 wherein the polydispersity value is less than 1.05.
- 3. A process to obtain a monomethoxy poly(ethyleneglycol) composition of at least 95% chemical purity by weight, having a polydispersity value of less than 1.1 and having a defined molecular weight of from 10,000 Daltons to about 60,000 Daltons, which comprises the steps of:
  - (a) providing an impure monomethoxy poly(ethyleneglycol);
- 15 (b) purifying the impure monomethoxy poly(ethyleneglycol) by means of a separation technique such as, but not limited to, polymeric adsorption/desorption, ultrafiltration, chromatography, precipitation and combinations thereof.
- The process according to claim 3, wherein the step of purification comprises
   separation of PEG diol from the impure monomethoxy poly(ethyleneglycol), wherein the molecular weight of the separated PEG diol is different than that of the purified monomethoxy poly(ethyleneglycol) thereby obtained.
- 5. The process according to claim 4, wherein the separation technique comprises polymeric adsorption/desorption and wherein the polymer contains repeating pendant functional groups capable of hydrogen bonding with the ether oxygen atoms of the monomethoxy poly(ethyleneglycol) and PEG diol, in the presence of a protic solvent.
- 6. The process according claim 5, wherein the repeating pendant functional groups in the polymer are selected from the group consisting of CO<sub>2</sub>H, SO<sub>3</sub>H, PO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, NH, NH<sub>2</sub>, OH or SH.

7. The process according to claim 5, wherein the polymer is a polyacid.

- 8. The process according to claim 7, wherein the polymer is a poly(carboxylic acid).
- 5 9. The process according to claim 8, wherein the polymer is a crosslinked poly(carboxylic acid) resin.
  - 10. The process according to claim 5, wherein the protic solvent is selected from the group comprising water, a  $C_{1-3}$  alcohol and mixtures thereof.
  - 11. The process according to claim 10, wherein the protic solvent is water.

10

15

20

- 12. The process according to claim 3, wherein the technique of ultrafiltration comprises contacting the impure monomethoxy poly(ethyleneglycol) solution with a membrane of the appropriate pore size as to allow materials of lower molecular weight to pass throught the membrane and be removed.
- 13. The process according to claim 3, further comprising the step of isolating the desired monomethoxy poly(ethyleneglycol) composition from aqueous solution.
- 14. The process according to claim 13, wherein isolation is achieved by spray drying.
- 15. An improved chemical analysis method for the determination of polyethylene glycol in monomethoxy poly(ethyleneglycol), wherein a sample to be analyzed in chromatographed by liquid chromatography under critical conditions, wherein the improvement comprises reacting the polyethylene glycol and the monomethoxy poly(ethyleneglycol) with a derivatizing agent to form derivatized polyethylene glycol and derivatized monomethoys poly(ethyleneglycol) followed by liquid chromatography under critical conditions.
- 30 16. The method of Claim 15, wherein the derivatizing agent is a benzoyl chloride.
  - 17. The method of Claim 16, wherein the benzoyl chloride is dinitro benzoyl chloride.

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/US2005/030518

a. classification of subject matter C08G65/30 C08G65/329

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

#### **B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)} \\ \textbf{C08G} \end{array}$ 

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X,P	WO 2005/010075 A (NEKTAR THERAPEUTICS AL, CORPORATION; MCMANUS, SAMUEL, P; KOZLOWSKI, AN) 3 February 2005 (2005-02-03) paragraph '0035!; examples 1-4,7	1-17
X	EP 0 985 697 A (NOF CORPORATION) 15 March 2000 (2000-03-15) examples 3,8 comparative example 4	1-17
X	WO 02/059179 A (SHEARWATER CORPORATION; KOZLOWSKI, ANTONI; SHEN, XIOAMING; BENTLEY, MI) 1 August 2002 (2002-08-01) claim 14 examples 4,6,9-14,16-18,20 in particular examples 10,12,14	1–17
	-/	

X Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	χ Patent family members are listed in annex.
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.  "&" document member of the same patent family
Date of the actual completion of the international search  23 November 2005	Date of mailing of the international search report  05/12/2005
Name and mailing address of the ISA  European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk  Tel. (+31-70) 340–2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  Fax: (+31-70) 340–3016	Authorized officer  Kositza, M

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Intermenonal Application No
PCT/US2005/030518

2/5		PC1/052005/030518
	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	Indianata distribution
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Х	EP 0 824 126 A (ENZON, INC) 18 February 1998 (1998-02-18) examples 3-7	1-17
X	A. V. GORSHKOV ET AL: "Chromatographic investigations of macromolecules in the "critical range" of liquid chromatography" JOURNAL OF CHROMATOGRAPHY, vol. 523, 1990, pages 91-102, XP002355585 Amsterdam the whole document	1-17

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

al Application No PCT/US2005/030518

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 2005010075	Α	03-02-2005	NONE		
EP 0985697	Α	15-03-2000	AU WO NO US	2855499 A 9948948 A1 994392 A 2002120075 A1	18-10-1999 30-09-1999 24-01-2000 29-08-2002
WO 02059179	A	01-08-2002	CA EP JP TW	2431977 A1 1345982 A2 2004525212 T 593427 B	01-08-2002 24-09-2003 19-08-2004 21-06-2004
EP 0824126	Α	18-02-1998	CA US US	2183292 A1 5605976 A 5681567 A	15-02-1998 25-02-1997 28-10-1997