

US010048330B2

(12) United States Patent

Frigg et al.

(54) SIMULATED BONE OR TISSUE MANIPULATION

(71) Applicant: DePuy Synthes Products, Inc.,

Raynham, MA (US)

(72) Inventors: Robert Frigg, Bettlach (CH); Stuart

Weikel, Austin, TX (US); Stefan Schwer, Loerrach (DE); Geoffrey Flexner, Chester Springs, PA (US); Ross Jonathan Hamel, West Chester,

PA (US)

(73) Assignee: **DEPUY SYNTHES PRODUCTS**,

INC., Raynham, MA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this

patent is extended or adjusted under 35

U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal dis-

claimer.

(21) Appl. No.: 14/743,895

(22) Filed: Jun. 18, 2015

(65) Prior Publication Data

US 2015/0282892 A1 Oct. 8, 2015

Related U.S. Application Data

- (63) Continuation of application No. 14/032,195, filed on Sep. 19, 2013, which is a continuation of application (Continued)
- (51) **Int. Cl.**A61B 5/05 (2006.01)

 G01R 33/28 (2006.01)

 (Continued)

(10) Patent No.: US 10,048,330 B2

(45) **Date of Patent:** *Aug. 14, 2018

(58) Field of Classification Search

CPC A61B 19/54; A61B 17/88; A61B 8/0841; A61B 6/032; A61B 5/7405; A61B

5/4836:

(Continued)

(56) References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,367,326 A 2/1968 Frazier 4,289,123 A 9/1981 Dunn (Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE 19709960 A1 9/1998 DE 10057023 A1 6/2002 (Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS


Maurer et al.; "Registration of 3-D Images Using Weighted Geometrical Features"; Dec. 1996; IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging; vol. 153, No. 6; pp. 836-849.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Michael Kahelin Assistant Examiner — Helene Bor (74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — Fay Kaplun & Marcin, LLP

(57) ABSTRACT

The present invention is directed to a system and method for performing tissue, preferably bone tissue manipulation. The system and method may include implanting markers on opposite sides of a bone, fractured bone or tissue to facilitate bone or tissue manipulation, preferably in-situ closed fracture reduction. The markers are preferably configured to be detected by one or more devices, such as, for example, a detection device so that the detection device can determine the relative relationship of the markers. The markers may also be capable of transmitting and receiving signals. An image may be captured of the bone or tissue and the attached (Continued)



markers. From the captured image, the orientation of each marker relative to the bone fragment may be determined. Next, the captured image may be manipulated in a virtual or simulated environment until a desired restored orientation has been achieved. The orientation of the markers in the desired restored orientation may then be determined. The desired relationship between markers may then be programmed into, for example, the detection device. Next, actual physical reduction and/or manipulation of the bone may begin. During the manipulation procedure, the orientation of the markers may be continuously monitored and when the markers substantially align with the virtual or simulated orientation of the markers in the desired restored orientation, an indicator signal is transmitted.

11 Claims, 22 Drawing Sheets

Related U.S. Application Data

No. 11/838,093, filed on Aug. 13, 2007, now Pat. No. 8,565,853.

(60) Provisional application No. 60/837,193, filed on Aug. 11, 2006.

```
(51) Int. Cl.
      G06F 19/00
                           (2018.01)
      A61B 5/055
                           (2006.01)
      A61B 5/00
                           (2006.01)
      A61B 6/03
                           (2006.01)
      A61B 6/00
                           (2006.01)
      A61B 8/08
                           (2006.01)
      A61B 17/88
                           (2006.01)
      A61C 19/04
                           (2006.01)
      G01R 33/56
                           (2006.01)
      A61B 90/00
                           (2016.01)
      A61B 34/20
                           (2016.01)
      A61B 34/10
                           (2016.01)
```

(52) U.S. Cl.

(58) Field of Classification Search

CPC A61B 5/055; A61B 6/52; A61B 90/36; A61B 19/5244; A61B 90/39; A61B 2090/3975; A61B 2090/3945; A61B 2090/3929; A61B 2090/3995; A61B 8/52; G01R 33/285; G01R 33/5608; A61C 19/04; G06F 19/3437

See application file for complete search history.

(56) References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

	U.S.	PAIENI	DOCUMENTS	
5,233,639	Α	8/1993	Marks	
5,249,581	Ā	10/1993	Horbal et al.	
5,402,801	A	4/1995	Taylor	
5,509,919	A	4/1996	Young	
5,546,942	A	8/1996	Zhang	
5,595,193	A	1/1997	Walus et al.	
5,622,170 5,682,886		4/1997 11/1997	Schulz Delp	A61B 17/154
3,002,000	A	11/1997	Беф	128/920
5,693,054	A	12/1997	Durham et al.	128/920
5,772,594	A	6/1998	Barrick	
5,792,147	A	8/1998	Evans et al.	
5,807,252	A	9/1998	Hassfeld et al.	
5,819,737	A	10/1998	Young et al.	
5,833,608		11/1998	Acker	
5,868,673 5,891,034	A A	2/1999 4/1999	Vesely Bucholz	
5,920,395	A	7/1999	Schulz	
5,935,171	Ā	8/1999	Schneider et al.	
5,987,349		11/1999	Schulz	
6,025,725	\mathbf{A}	2/2000	Gershenfeld et al.	
6,111,520	A	8/2000	Allen et al.	
6,167,145		12/2000	Foley et al.	
6,241,735		6/2001	Marmulla Mandag et al	
6,245,109 6,278,379		6/2001 8/2001	Mendes et al. Allen et al.	
6,434,415		8/2001	Foley et al.	
6,442,416		8/2002	Schultz	
6,447,448		9/2002	Ishikawa	A61B 5/0031
				128/899
6,470,207		10/2002	Simon et al.	
6,477,400		11/2002	Barrick	A C1D 5/0215
6,498,944	BI *	12/2002	Ben-Haim	
6,499,488	В1	12/2002	Hunter et al.	600/407
6,533,737		3/2003	Brosseau et al.	
6,662,036		12/2003	Cosman	
6,711,432		3/2004	Krause et al.	
6,856,828		2/2005	Cossette et al.	
6,996,431	B2	2/2006	Ben-Haim et al.	
7,033,360		4/2006	Cinquin et al.	
7,237,556 7,580,027		7/2007 8/2009	Smothers et al. Satoh et al.	
7,606,613		10/2009	Simon et al.	
7,618,419		11/2009	Lavalle	
7,636,459	B2	12/2009	Dore et al.	
		5/2010	Richard	
7,758,617		7/2010	Iott et al.	
7,760,909 7,769,429		7/2010 8/2010	Manus Hu	
7,797,030		9/2010	Lahm et al.	
7,955,355		6/2011	Chin	
2002/0133175		9/2002		
2002/0143357	A1	10/2002	Krag	
2002/0147416	Al	10/2002	Zogbi et al.	
2002/0193685	Al	12/2002	Mate et al.	
2003/0004518	A1 A1	1/2003	Perren et al. Govari et al.	
2003/0023161 2003/0040806	Al	1/2003 2/2003	Macdonald	
2003/0040800	Al	4/2003	Martinelli et al.	
2003/0181918	Al	9/2003	Smothers et al.	
2004/0019263	Al	1/2004	Jutras et al.	
2004/0068263	A1	4/2004	Chouinard et al.	
2004/0111024	A1	6/2004	Zheng et al.	
2004/0171924	A1	9/2004	Mire et al.	
2004/0243148	A1	12/2004	Wasielewski	
2005/0003757	A1	1/2005	Anderson	
2005/0215887	A1	9/2005	Ben-Haim et al.	1.615 1.516
2007/0043354	A1*	2/2007	Koo	
2007/0057704	A 1	2/2007	Gissolbors et al	606/58
2007/0057794 2007/0169782	A1 A1	3/2007 7/2007	Gisselberg et al. Smothers et al.	
Z001/0109/02	A1	7/2007	Murago	

2007/0173815 A1

2008/0039717 A1

7/2007 Murase

2/2008 Frigg et al.

US 10,048,330 B2 Page 3

(56) References Cited		KR	20030053039 A	6/2003			
` /			WO	1996/011624	4/1996		
	U.S. PATENT	DOCUMENTS	WO	1998/004203	2/1998		
			WO	2002/032328	4/2002		
2008/0	0208055 A1 8/2008	Bertram et al.	WO	2003/041611	5/2003		
	0287781 A1 11/2008		WO	2003/068090	8/2003		
		Simon et al.	WO	2004/046754	6/2004		
	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	~	WO	2004/075768	9/2004		
FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS		WO	2005/006246	1/2005			
		WO	2005/023128	3/2005			
DE	10161707 11	7/2002	WO	2005/084541	9/2005		
DE	10161787 A1	7/2003	WO	2005/084544	9/2005		
DE	10352027 A1	6/2005	WO	2005/084572	9/2005		
DE	60032312 T2	7/2007	WO	2005/086062	9/2005		
DE	102006048451 A1	4/2008	WO	2005/087125	9/2005		
EA	1570781 A1	9/2005	WO	2007/041678	4/2007		
EP	0869745 A2	10/1998	WO	2007/061890	5/2007		
EP	0977514 A2	2/2000	WO	2007/117951	10/2007		
EP	1321097 A2	6/2003					
EP	1374791 A1	1/2004		OTHER D	IDLICATIONS		
EP	1374793 A1	1/2004		OTHER PUBLICATIONS			
EP	1474054 A1	11/2004					
EP	1530947 A1	5/2005	Wallis	Wallis et al.; "Use of Volume-Rendered Images in Registration of			
EP EP	1570782 A2	9/2005 5/2008	Nuclea	Nuclear Medicine Studies"; 1995; pp. 1429-1432.			
	1923015 A1		Lavalle	Lavallee et al.; "Building a Hybrid Patient's Model for Augmented			
GB	2324038 A	4/1997		Reality in Surgery: a Registration Problem"; 1995; Comput. Biol.			
GB	2402883 A	6/2003		Med.; vol. 25, No. 2; pp. 149-164.			
GB IT	2408805 A	11/2004	11100.,				
JP	1290368 B1 2005253970 A	7/1996 9/2005	* aita	* cited by examiner			
JP	2003233970 A	9/2003	Cited	a by examiner			








FIG. 2







Aug. 14, 2018








Aug. 14, 2018























Aug. 14, 2018




FIG. 14







FIG. 15


106 100


FIG. 16

















SIMULATED BONE OR TISSUE MANIPULATION

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

The present application is a Continuation application of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/032,195 filed Sep. 19, 2013, now U.S. Pat. No. 9,921,276, which is Continuation application of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/838,093 filed Aug. 13, 2007, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,565,853, which claims priority of U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 60/837,193 filed Aug. 11, 2006. The disclosures of these applications and/or patents are incorporated herein by reference.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates generally to orthopedics. More 20 specifically, the invention relates to a system and method of performing bone or tissue manipulation.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

During an operation for bone fracture fixation, proper reduction (e.g. alignment) of the fracture prior to placement of any fixation devices and/or implants affects restoration of the patient's biomechanical function. Fracture reduction may be the most difficult part of the bone fracture fixation 30 procedure. In addition, as techniques for minimally invasive fracture repair have developed, more surgeons are performing closed fracture reduction (e.g. a procedure for setting a fractured bone without making a skin incision at the fracture site).

Surgeons often use visual information to determine the adequacy of fracture reduction. For instance, in limb fracture correction, surgeons may compare the treated limb's length and rotation to the corresponding uninjured limb, the goal being symmetry and balance. Surgeons may also use x-ray 40 data, particularly intra-operative fluoroscopy, to monitor the position and/or orientation of the bone fragments and determine when adequate reduction is achieved.

Computer navigation systems may also be used to aid surgeons in fracture reduction and fracture fixation. Using 45 infrared optical systems and instruments with reflective tracking balls or active infrared light-emitting markers. surgeons can monitor the position and/or orientation of fixation devices and/or implants within the bone and also monitor the position and/or orientation of the bone frag- 50 ments relative to one another. Other navigation systems utilize electromagnetic fields to accomplish similar objectives. Drawbacks associated with these technologies are the expense and cumbersome nature of the equipment required to use them. Their use in the operating room is particularly 55 challenging because the operating room is generally a very restrictive environment. Operating rooms often lack the necessary space for large equipment and working around the sterile field poses many constraints on freedom to use equipment. For example, with optical navigation systems, 60 the equipment in the operating room is generally large and imposing, and the surgical staff must be mindful of standing in the way of the line-of-sight of the equipment for it to properly function.

Thus, there exists a need for a surgical system and/or 65 method which improves the accuracy of bone or tissue manipulation or bone fracture reduction and enables the

2

surgeon and/or doctor to verify that the desired pre-operative surgical plan for the patient is being achieved.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is directed to a system and method for performing tissue, and more specifically bone, manipulation. The system and method seeks to improve the accuracy of bone or tissue manipulation and enable surgeons and/or doctors to verify that the desired pre-operative plan for the patient is being achieved.

In one exemplary embodiment, the method of performing bone or tissue manipulation may include implanting at least one marker on opposite sides of one or more bone, tissue or bone fragments, wherein the position of the markers is preferably capable of being determined. Next, the method may include capturing an image of the bone, tissue or bone fragments with the markers attached. The surgeon and/or doctor may then manipulate the image of the bone, tissue or bone fragments in a virtual or simulated environment to a desired restored orientation. Next, the orientation of the markers in the desired restored orientation is preferably determined. The surgeon and/or doctor may then manipulate the bone, tissue or bone fragments until an indicator signal is generated indicating that the desired restored orientation has been substantially achieved.

In another exemplary embodiment, the method of performing bone or tissue manipulation may include implanting at least one marker on opposite sides of one or more bone, tissue or bone fragments, wherein the position of the markers is preferably capable of being determined. Next, the method may include capturing an image of the bone, tissue or bone fragments with the markers attached. The surgeon and/or doctor may then manipulate the image of the bone, tissue or bone fragments in a virtual or simulated environment to a desired restored orientation. Next, the orientation of the markers in the desired restored orientation may be determined. The method may also include providing an indicator signal when the bone, tissue or bone fragments have been manipulated such that the position of the markers on the bone, tissue or bone fragments substantially corresponds to or matches the position of the markers in the desired restored orientation.

In yet another exemplary embodiment, the method of performing bone or tissue manipulation may include implanting and associating at least one marker on opposite sides of a bone, tissue or bone fragments, wherein the markers are capable of communicating a signal with an external device, the signal containing information as to the markers orientation and/or position. The method may also include acquiring an image of the bone, tissue or bone fragments; manipulating the image of the bone, tissue or bone fragments in a virtual or simulated environment until the bone, tissue or bone fragments have achieved a desired restored orientation; determining the orientation of the markers in the desired restored orientation; programming the external device with the orientation of the markers in the desired restored orientation so that the external device generates an indicator signal when the external device determines that the orientation of the implanted markers substantially corresponds with the position of the imaged markers in the desired restored orientation; and manipulating the bone, tissue or bone fragments until the indicator signal is generated.

The markers may be passive markers. Alternatively, the markers may be capable of one or more of the following: (i) transmitting a signal to an external device; (ii) receiving a

signal from an external device; (iii) both transmitting and receiving a signal; and/or (iv) communicating with one another in order to determine their relative orientation. The markers may also be programmable so that the implanted markers can be programmed before manipulating the bone, 5 tissue or bone fragments, the makers may be programmed with the desired restored orientation.

The method for performing bone or tissue manipulation may also incorporate an external device, the external device being capable of one or more of the following: (i) detecting the positions of the markers; (ii) monitoring the positions of the markers; (iii) both detecting and monitoring the positions of the markers; (iv) communicating with the markers; (v) being programmed with the orientation and/or position of the markers and/or (vi) generating an indicator signal upon determining that the position of the implanted markers have been manipulated so that they substantially correspond with the position of the virtual markers in the desired restored orientation.

The method of performing bone or tissue may further ²⁰ include implanting at least one fracture fixation device to the bone, tissue or bone fragments.

In use, preferably one or more of the steps of implanting the markers, capturing the image of the bone fragments, manipulating the image of the bone fragments, determining 25 the orientation of the markers, and/or manipulating the bone, tissue or bone fragments occurs outside of the operating room setting.

In yet another exemplary embodiment, the system and method of performing bone or tissue manipulation may 30 include implanting markers on opposite sides of a bone, tissue or bone fragments. The position of the markers are preferably capable of being detected by an external device so that the external device can determine the relative relationship of the markers. The markers may be passive or 35 active. If active, the markers may be configured to transmit a signal to and optionally receive a signal from the external device. The markers may also be capable of transmitting and optionally receiving signals with respect to one another. The method of performing bone or tissue manipulation may also 40 include capturing an image of the bone, tissue or bone fragments and optionally the attached markers. From the captured image, the position and/or orientation of each marker relative to the bone, tissue or bone fragments may be determined. Next, the captured image may be manipulated 45 in a virtual or simulated environment until a desired restored orientation has been achieved. The position and/or orientation of the markers in the desired restored orientation may then be determined and/or calculated.

The desired relationship between the markers may preferably be programmed into the markers and/or the external device. Next, physical manipulation of the bone, tissue or bone fragments may begin. During physical manipulation, the position and/or orientation of the markers may be monitored, preferably continuously, so that when the markers substantially align with the computer generated position and/or orientation of the markers in the desired restored orientation, an indicator signal is transmitted.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The system is explained in even greater detail in the following exemplary drawings. The drawings are merely exemplary to illustrate the structure of preferred devices and certain features that may be used singularly or in combination with other features. The invention should not be limited to the embodiments shown.

4

FIG. 1 illustrates a fractured bone to which markers have been attached, FIG. 1 further illustrates a two-way line of communication between the markers:

FIG. 2 illustrates a flow chart describing an exemplary method for performing bone or tissue manipulation;

FIG. 3 illustrates an exemplary method for performing bone or tissue manipulation;

FIG. 4 illustrates two ways in which data may be generated in accordance with the exemplary embodiment of FIG.

FIG. 5 illustrates three ways in which the acquired data may be used in accordance with the exemplary embodiment of FIG. 3:

FIG. 6 illustrates an exemplary method for performing 5 bone or tissue manipulation;

FIG. 7 further illustrates the exemplary method for performing bone or tissue manipulation of FIG. 6;

FIG. 8 further illustrates the exemplary method for performing bone or tissue manipulation of FIG. 6;

FIG. 9 further illustrates the exemplary method for performing bone or tissue manipulation of FIG. 6;

FIG. 10 further illustrates the exemplary method for performing bone or tissue manipulation of FIG. 6;

FIG. 11 further illustrates the exemplary method for performing bone or tissue manipulation of FIG. 6;

FIG. 12 illustrates the exemplary method for performing bone or tissue manipulation of FIG. 6;

FIG. 13 illustrates a top and cross-sectional view of a signal receiving and/or transmitting platform;

FIG. 14 illustrates one exemplary method for transmitting the signal in accordance with one exemplary embodiment of the method for performing bone or tissue manipulation;

FIG. 15 illustrates another exemplary method for transmitting the signal in accordance with another exemplary embodiment of the method for performing bone or tissue manipulation:

FIG. 16 illustrates another exemplary method for transmitting the signal in accordance with another exemplary embodiment of the method for performing bone or tissue manipulation:

FIG. 17 illustrates the incorporation of markers onto intra-operative surgical instruments and fixation devices/implants according to another aspect of the exemplary embodiment of the method for performing bone or tissue manipulation;

FIG. 18 illustrates exemplary marker placements;

FIG. 19 illustrates exemplary marker placements;

FIG. 20 illustrates exemplary marker placements;

en be determined and/or calculated.

FIG. 21 illustrates the use of a portable data storage according to one aspect of the exemplary embodiment of the ably be programmed into the markers and/or the external method for performing bone or tissue manipulation; and

FIG. 22 illustrates the use of a hospital network according to another aspect of the exemplary embodiment of the method for performing bone or tissue manipulation.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Certain exemplary embodiments of the invention will now be described with reference to the drawings. In general, such embodiments relate to an apparatus, system and/or method for performing and optionally verifying tissue, preferably bone, manipulation.

While the system and method of the present invention may be generally described as, generally shown as and may generally be used in connection with fracture fixation, it should be understood that the system and/or method for performing bone or tissue manipulation is not limited in use

to repairing bone fractures. Rather, the system and/or method for performing bone or tissue manipulation may be used for manipulating bone, manipulating tissue, manipulating bone fragments caused by, for example, injury, deformation, degeneration, disease, etc. The system and/or 5 method for performing bone or tissue manipulation is not limited to any particular type of fracture and, in fact, may be used even where no fracture exists. The system and/or method for bone or tissue manipulation only requires desired relative movement or manipulation of bone, bone fragments, 10 tissue, etc.

The system and/or method for performing bone or tissue manipulation may be used in connection with bone markers. The system and/or method, by way of non-limiting example, may include implanting markers on opposite sides of a 15 fractured bone. The implantation of the markers may be used to facilitate in-situ closed fracture reduction. The system and/or method preferably enables one or more steps of the fracture reduction procedure to occur substantially outside of the operating room setting. For example, preferably one 20 or more of the steps of implanting the markers, capturing the image of the bone fragments, manipulating the image of the bone fragments, determining the orientation of the markers, programming the implanted markers, and/or manipulating the fracture bone may occur outside of the operating room 25 setting.

The markers may be passive (e.g., incapable of transmitting and/or receiving a signal, for example, a radiopaque marker) or active (e.g. a transmitter capable of sending a signal). The markers may incorporate electronic transmitters 30 or receivers. The markers may incorporate both receivers and transmitters so that the markers can receive and transmit a signal. The markers may be capable of receiving and sending signals with an external device, such as, for example, a detection device, which will be described in 35 greater detail below. Alternatively and/or in addition, the markers may be capable of receiving and sending signals with respect to one another in order to determine their relative orientation. The signal preferably contains information as to the position and/or orientation (collectively 40 referred to herein as orientation) of the markers and hence the attached bone fragments. Alternatively, one of the markers may incorporate a transmitter while the other marker may incorporate a receiver. For example, if during a surgical procedure, it is desired for one bone fragment to remain 45 relatively stationary and for the other bone fragment to be manipulated, it may not be necessary for both markers be configured to receive and transmit signals.

Moreover, the markers may be nonprogrammable and the detection device, which will be described in greater detail 50 below, may be programmable such that the detection device is capable of determining when the markers have arrived at the desired restored orientation with respect to the planned fracture reduction, which will also be described in greater detail below. Alternatively and/or in addition, one of the 55 markers may be programmable while the other marker(s) may be nonprogrammable, while in an alternate embodiment, both or all of the markers may be programmable so that the markers themselves are capable of determining when they have arrived at the desired restored orientation. In 60 addition, one of the markers may function in a master mode while the other marker(s) may function in a slave mode.

The markers may also be anchored to the bone by any means known in the art including but not limited to pins, nails, barbs, threads, screws, adhesive, etc. The markers are 65 preferably capable of being fixedly secured with respect to the bone to which they are being attached so that the

6

orientation of the markers with respect to the bone is fixed. The markers preferably are small enough so that they may be inserted into the patient's body and attached to a patient's bone through a small incision, such as for example a stab incision. Alternatively, the markers may be inserted into the patient's body by any means including but not limited to, an open incision, an injection, etc.

A function of the markers is that the position of the marker should be detectable. It should be understood that the system and/or method for performing bone or tissue manipulation is not limited in use to any particular type of marker.

In use, the position of the markers may be detected by any means known in the art including but not limited to the detection device. The detection device may detect the position of the markers by any means known in the art including, but not limited to, visual, sound, radio waves, infrared, electromagnetic, electrical, x-rays, reflective, ultrasound, mechanical waves, GPS systems or chips, magnetic, transducer, etc. In addition, as previously mentioned, the markers may be capable of sending a signal to the detection device and the detection device may determine the relative relationship of the markers.

programming the implanted markers, and/or manipulating the fracture bone may occur outside of the operating room setting.

The markers may be passive (e.g., incapable of transmitting and/or receiving a signal, for example, a radiopaque marker) or active (e.g. a transmitter capable of sending a signal). The markers may incorporate electronic transmitters or receivers. The markers may incorporate both receivers

The detection device may also be capable of generating and transmitting an indicator signal when the desired restored orientation of the fracture bone has been achieved, as will be described in greater detail below. Alternatively, an indicator device which may be a separate and distinct device from the detection device may also be capable of generating and transmitting an indicator signal when the desired restored orientation of the fractured bone has been achieved, as will be described in greater detail below. Alternatively, an indicator signal when the desired restored orientation of the fracture bone has been achieved, as will be described in greater detail below. Alternatively, an indicator signal when the desired restored orientation of the fracture bone has been achieved, as will be described in greater detail below. Alternatively, an indicator signal when the desired restored orientation of the fractured bone has been achieved, as will be described in greater detail below. Alternatively, an indicator signal when the desired restored orientation of the fractured bone has been achieved, as will be described in greater detail below. Alternatively, an indicator signal when the desired restored orientation of the fractured bone has been achieved, as will be described in greater detail below. Alternatively, an indicator device may also be capable of generating and transmitting an indicator signal when the desired restored orientation of the fractured bone has been achieved.

The detection device can be any known device capable of detecting the position of the markers. For example, the detection device may be a computer console, x-ray machine, computed tomography (CT) scan, a receiver specifically designed for such purpose, etc. It should be understood that the system and/or method for performing bone or tissue manipulation is not limited in use to any particular type of detection device.

Referring to FIGS. 1 and 2, an exemplary system and/or method for performing bone or tissue manipulation will be described in connection with a mid-shaft fracture of a long bone 100. As shown, the surgeon and/or doctor preferably places a single marker 102, 104 on each side of the fracture 106. Although more than one marker may be placed on either side of the fracture if desired and/or required. The markers 102, 104 are preferably positioned in locations that will not interfere with any surgical instruments that may be required to complete the fracture reduction. Moreover, the markers 102, 104 are preferably positioned in locations that will not interfere with placement of any fixation devices and/or implants that may be implanted. More preferably, the markers 102, 104 are preferably secured to the fractured bone on either side of the fracture 106 as close as possible to the bone ends opposite the bone fracture 106. The placement of the markers 102, 104 preferably occurs prior to the fracture fixation surgery so that the placement of the markers 102, 104 may occur outside of the operating room, in advance of the surgeon's pre-operative planning. For example, a radiologist may implant the markers 102, 104 prior to image acquisition.

Next, a scan may be taken of the fractured bone 100 and markers 102, 106. The scan may be any scan known in the art including but not limited to a computed tomography (CT) scan, a three dimensional (3D) image capture, a set of at least two non-parallel two dimensional (2D) images, which allows for 3D reconstruction of the image data, etc.

Next, using the captured image, the orientation of each marker 102, 104 relative to the bone fragment to which it is attached may be determined. The markers 102, 104 may essentially serve as a surgeon-applied landmark. As part of the pre-operative planning, the surgeon can manipulate the captured image or software-generated model, preferably 3D solid model, of the bone fragments in a simulated or virtual environment until a desired restored orientation has been achieved.

Many navigational system manufacturers produce and/or sell software capable of the desired functionality. For example, software currently exists for navigating IM nailing. Moreover, off-the-shelf software packages containing similar functionality include BrainLAB Trauma Module, Sekvenca.com and Singapore General Hospital Project. In an 15 alternate exemplary embodiment, instead of working with software generated 3D solid models, actual patient data or an actual dummy model prepared using the patient's data may be utilized. It should be understood that the system and/or method for performing bone or tissue manipulation is not 20 limited in use to any particular type of navigational device and/or software.

Once the desired restored orientation has been achieved by manipulating the simulated or virtual image, the orientation of the markers 102, 104 in their new, desired restored 25 orientation may be determined and/or calculated. The desired restored orientation of the markers 102, 104 may then be programmed into the detection device. Alternatively and/or in addition, if the markers 102, 104 are capable of receiving and transmitting a signal, the desired restored 30 orientation of the markers 102, 104 may be programmed into the markers 102, 104 themselves.

Once the desired restored orientation of the markers 102, 104 has been programmed into, for example, the detection device, actual physical reduction of the fracture may begin. 35 The actual physical reduction of the fracture may be performed by any means including but not limited to surgeon applied distractive forces via a reduction frame, fracture table, etc. During actual physical reduction of the fracture, the orientation of the markers 102, 104 may be monitored, 40 preferably continuously, by, for example, the detection device. Alternatively and/or in addition, the markers 102, 104 may signal to one another their relative orientation. The markers 102, 104 may communicate with one another and/or with the detection device by any means including, but not 45 limited to, via hard wire, wirelessly such as by radio frequency or other electromagnetic signals, via acoustic signals, etc.

Once the detection device and/or the markers 102, 104 have detected that the orientation of the markers 102, 104 substantially corresponds with or substantially matches, within some acceptable tolerance, the orientation of the markers in the desired restored orientation of the image from the virtual or simulated environment, an indicator signal is preferably generated and transmitted to indicate that the 55 desired restored orientation has been achieved. Once the indicator signal has been generated, the fixation procedure may proceed as is normally performed in order to fix the relative orientation of the bone fragments. The orientation of the bone fragments may be fixed by any means known in the 60 art including, but not limited to, a plate and screw construct, a rod and screw construct, external fixator, IM rod, etc.

The indicator signal may be any indicator signal known in the art including, but not limited to, visual cues such as, for example, color changes or alignment of articulating lines on 65 a computer screen, sounds, flashes of light, etc. The indicator signal may be generated by the detection device. Alterna8

tively, the indicator signal may be generated by an indicator device specifically designed for such purpose. Alternatively, the indicator signal may be generated by one or more of the markers 102, 104, a marker transponder or receiver (which will be described in greater detail below), etc.

Upon completion of the fracture reduction procedure, the markers 102, 104 may be removed from the patient's body. Alternatively, the markers 102, 104 may be made from a resorbable or partially resorbable material. As will be generally understood by one of ordinary skill in the art, the use of resorbable markers eliminates the need for subsequent surgical removal of the markers 102, 104.

Referring to FIG. 3, an exemplary method for performing bone or tissue manipulation may include implanting one or more markers 102, 104 on either side of a fracture 106 of a long bone 100. Next, an image of the fractured bone 100 and markers 102, 104 may be taken using, for example, an x-ray machine 110 or any other suitable imaging device. Imaging data may be provided via a marker transponder or receiver (e.g., a signal receiving and/or transmitting unit) 108 so that the marker transponder or receiver 108 can transmit the data to the detection device 114, which may be optionally connected to another marker transponder or receiver 108' so that the detection device 114 can transmit and/or receive data. Alternatively, the detection device 114 may integrally incorporate the marker transponder or receiver 108'. The image of the fractured bone 100 and bone markers 102, 104 may be displayed on a monitor 112. In addition, the image of the fractured bone 100 may be sent via a marker transponder or receiver 108" to an intra-operative bone and marker monitor 113 so that the image may be viewed in the operating room. As shown, the intra-operative bone and marker monitor 113 may be connected to a marker transponder or receiver 108" so that the intra-operative bone and marker monitor 113 can receive and/or transmit data. Alternatively, the intra-operative bone and marker monitor 113 may integrally incorporate the intra-operative bone and marker monitor 113". As described, the marker transponder or receiver may enable the data to be sent wirelessly. Alternatively, the data may be sent via wire leads 118 or any other methods.

As shown in FIG. 4 and as previously mentioned, data relating to the relative orientation of the markers 102, 104, and hence to the fractured bone fragments, may be generated primarily for two reasons. First, data relating to the relative orientation of the markers 102, 104 may be generated in order to acquire images of the fractured bone 100 and to define the orientation of the markers 102, 104 relative to the actual image generated by the x-ray or other similar machine no. Second, data relating to the relative orientation of the markers 102, 104 may be generated to define the desired orientation of the markers 102, 104 relative to the newly edited image.

Moreover, as best shown in FIG. 5, the data acquired, as described above, may be used primarily for three reasons. First, the data acquired may be used to intra-operatively monitor and optionally display the attached bone fragments in order to show the doctor and/or surgeon the orientation of the bone fragments during re-alignment. Second, the data acquired may be used to intra-operatively monitor and optionally display the attached bone fragments so that the doctor and/or surgeon can manipulate the bone fragments aided by the system. Third, the data acquired may be used to intra-operatively monitor and optionally display the attached bone fragments so that the doctor and/or surgeon can navigate surgical instruments 200 and/or fixation devices/implants (as will be described in greater detail below).

As shown in FIGS. 6-12, the system or method for performing bone or tissue manipulation may include implantation of at least one marker 102, 104 onto the fractured bone **100** on either side of the fracture **106**. As best shown in FIG. 18 and as previously mentioned, the markers 102, 104 are 5 preferably secured to the fractured bone on either side of the fracture as close as possible to the bone ends opposite the fracture 106. Moreover, as shown, for complex fractures, a marker 102, 104 may be placed only on the far ends of the fractured bone 100 being reduced. Alternatively, however, a 10 marker 102, 104 may be installed on each or most of the bone fragments.

Next, an image of the fractured bone 100 may be acquired using, for example, an x-ray 110 or any other suitable imaging device such as but not limited to 3D x-ray, a 15 computed tomography (CT) scan, a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), an ultrasound, etc.

Once the image of the fractured bone 100 has been obtained, the image may be merged with the orientation data detection device 114. Preferably, the image of the fractured bone 100 may be overlapped and/or calibrated with the orientation data obtained by, for example, the detection device 114, so that the orientation of each markers 102, 104 is accurately reflected relative to each other at the time the 25 image was captured.

Next, the pre-operative fracture reduction of the image may be preferably performed by the doctor and/or surgeon, aided by the image of the fractured bone displayed on, for example, a computer monitor 112, which may be based on 30 the bone images and marker orientation. The virtual or simulated fracture reduction may be software based or any other means. After the virtual or simulated fracture reduction is completed, the data regarding the desired orientation of the markers 102, 104 may be stored in, for example, the 35 detection device 114. Alternatively and/or in addition, the data regarding the desired orientation of the markers 102, 104 may be stored in one or more marker transponder or receivers, the markers themselves, or any other storage unit known in the art, such as, for example, a portable data 40 storage unit as shown in FIG. 21, a hospital network as shown in FIG. 22, etc. so that the data can be used as a reference for the actual physical intra-operative fracture reduction. That is, for example, the detection device 114 may be programmed with the desired restored orientation of 45 the bone fragments. During intra-operative fracture reduction, and based on the pre-operative virtual or simulated manipulation of the bone fragments, the system and method is preferably capable of automatically recognizing the actual orientation of the markers 102, 104 as they are being 50 manipulated so that, for example, the detection device 114 can inform the doctor and/or surgeon via an indicator signal when the bone fracture has been properly reduced to the desired restored orientation. Alternatively and/or in addition, as previously mentioned, the marker transponder or receiver 55 and/or the markers themselves may be programmed with the desired restored orientation of the bone fragments. Moreover, the marker transponder or receiver and/or the markers themselves may be capable of producing the indicator signal when the bone fracture has been properly reduced to the 60 desired restored orientation. Alternatively and/or in addition, the method of performing bone or tissue manipulation may include an indicator device for transmitting the indicator signal.

Moreover, during intra-operative fracture reduction, the 65 manipulation and orientation of the markers and hence of the bone fragments, may be continuously tracked and displayed

10

in real time on a monitor 113 to aid the doctor and/or surgeon in reducing the fracture to the appropriate orientation. Once the desired restored orientation has been achieved, fixation of the fractured bone may be completed and reconfirmation and/or monitoring of the fracture can be performed with the aid of the image displayed on the monitor 113.

In addition to or alternatively from the detection device 114, as shown in FIG. 13, the system and method for performing and optionally verifying bone or tissue manipulation may include a signal receiving and/or transmitting platform 144. The signal receiving and/or transmitting platform 144 may be in the form of a table, a frame, a board, a bed or any other support system that will accommodate the fractured bone 100 so that the markers 102, 104 can be monitored via the signal receiving and/or transmitting platform 144. The signal receiving and/or transmitting platform 144 may include one or more sensors 140 capable of detecting the orientation of the markers 102, 104.

As previously mentioned and as best shown in FIG. 14, obtained from the markers 102, 104 via, for example, the 20 the system and method for performing and optionally verifying bone or tissue manipulation preferably detects and preferably transmits signals containing the data on the orientation of the markers 102, 104 wirelessly 116. The wireless signal 116 may be received and/or transmitted by the various marker transponder or receivers 108. The wireless signal 116 may then be displayed on monitors 113.

Alternatively and/or in addition, as best shown in FIGS. 15 and 16, and as previously mentioned, the markers 102, 104 may be capable of both transmitting signals and/or receiving signals so that the markers 102, 104 can directly exchange signals regarding their orientation with respect to one another. The markers 102, 104 are also preferably capable of transmitting signals to one or more marker transponder or receivers 108. The signals may then be transmitted to, for example, the detection device, a display unit (e.g. a monitor), etc. The signals may then be transmitted by any means known in the art including by way of wires 118, wirelessly 116, etc. In the embodiment where the signals are transmitted via a wire 118, the markers 102, 104 may include a wire that exits the patient through the stab incisions and which connects to, for example, the detection device (e.g. computer console), enabling both communication between the markers 102, 104, as well as communication between the markers 102, 104 and the detection device used to alert the surgeon that a desired restored orientation has been achieved.

In addition, the marker technology may also be incorporated into surgical instruments and/or fixation devices/implants to help facilitate accurate placement of the surgical instruments and/or fixation devices/implants. As shown in FIG. 17, in addition to fracture reduction, surgical instruments 200 and/or surgical implants 208 can be equipped with one or more markers 102. The surgical instruments 200 and/or fixation devices/implants 208 may then be navigated based on pre-operative determination of the desired restored orientation of the bone fragments. Similar to the markers used in connection with the reduction procedure, the surgical instrument and/or fixation device/implant marker can be calibrated and predefined to a specific instrument or implant.

Although the system and method for performing and optionally verifying bone or tissue manipulation has been described and may generally be used for fixation of the long bones, those skilled in the art will appreciate that the markers and system may be used for fixation of other parts of the body such as, for example, in the spine (as best shown in FIG. 19) for correction or movement of vertebra, for cranio-facial and mandible reconstruction (as best shown in

FIG. 20), joints, bones in the hand, face, feet, extremities, etc. The system and method for performing and verifying fracture reduction may also be useful in complex fractures, such as for example, those shown in FIG. 18.

In addition, it should be understood that the embodiments described herein include not only pairs of markers, but also a system or a plurality of markers. This may be particularly useful for situations where multiple fragments are to be brought together or in the spine, where correction involves multiple, distinct vertebrae that require individual tracking. The system may allow for selective communication between the markers via channels or distinct frequencies.

It is foreseeable that the markers described herein can have many applications. For example, the markers may be applied on a short-term basis such as, for example, for no 15 more than one or two days. Alternatively, the markers may be implanted for a long-term period. In this manner, the markers may be useful in monitoring the progress of deformity correction procedures where distraction osteogenesis takes place over a period of weeks or months. It is also 20 conceivable that the markers could be used to provide biomechanical data related to the success of fracture healing. The markers could be used to develop a better understanding of the strain seen by a bone.

Alternatively, surgeons may prefer to use the markers in 25 a more "on-the-fly" manner, without pre-op planning, or reliance on 3D imaging. In this case, the markers may be used to limit the patient's exposure to radiation by reducing the use of intra-operative fluoroscopy. The surgeon may implant the markers as described above and may take a 30 perpendicular pair, for example an anterior/posterior view and a lateral view, of 2D images inclusive of the markers. The surgeon then "tags" or registers each marker to the 2D image of the bone fragment to which it is anchored, designating one bone fragment in the image to be stationary. As the reduction maneuver is being performed, the mobile 2D bone fragment representation moves on-screen in both views, tracking the motion that the markers communicate to the external signaling device such that the surgeon has an on-screen estimation of what the actual images would look 40 like if they were taken live. In such an embodiment, the limb preferably is maintained absolutely stationary during imaging and tagging prior to any reduction maneuver. Further, in such an embodiment the imaging and tagging procedure may be repeated mid-reduction to get a refreshed true image 45 if there is concern that the estimated image is inaccurate due to inadvertent motion during the capture and tagging procedure, or due to a rotational component of the reduction maneuver.

While the foregoing description and drawings represent 50 the preferred embodiments of the present invention, it will be understood that various additions, modifications, combinations and/or substitutions may be made therein without departing from the spirit and scope of the present invention as defined in the accompanying claims. In particular, it will 55 be clear to those skilled in the art that the present invention may be embodied in other specific forms, structures, arrangements, proportions, and with other elements, materials, and components, without departing from the spirit or essential characteristics thereof. One skilled in the art will 60 appreciate that the invention may be used with many modi-

12

fications of structure, arrangement, proportions, materials, and components and otherwise, used in the practice of the invention, which are particularly adapted to specific environments and operative requirements without departing from the principles of the present invention. In addition, features described herein may be used singularly or in combination with other features. The presently disclosed embodiments are therefore to be considered in all respects as illustrative and not restrictive, the scope of the invention being indicated by the appended claims, and not limited to the foregoing description.

What is claimed is:

- 1. A method for performing tissue manipulation, comprising the steps of:
- implanting first and second markers on first and second portions of tissue, respectively, wherein a position of each of the first and second markers is capable of being determined;
- actuating an instrument to capture an image of the first and second portions of tissue with the first and second markers attached thereto;
- manipulating the image of the first and second portions of tissue in a simulated environment to a desired orientation:
- determining positions of the first and second markers in the desired orientation using the instrument;
- programming the implanted markers with the desired orientation, the markers being capable of generating an indicator signal when in the desired orientation; and
- manipulating the first and second portions of tissue until an indicator signal is generated by the markers indicating the desired orientation has been substantially achieved.
- 2. The method according to claim 1, wherein the first portion of tissue is a bony tissue.
 - 3. The method according to claim 2, wherein the second portion of tissue is a bony tissue.
 - 4. The method according to claim 3, further comprising: implanting a fixation device to fix the first and second portions of tissue in the desired orientation.
 - 5. The method according to claim 2, wherein the first portion of tissue is a vertebra.
 - **6**. The method according to claim **2**, wherein the first portion of tissue is a portion of a mandible.
 - 7. The method according to claim 2, wherein the first portion of tissue is a portion of a long bone.
 - **8**. The method according to claim **1**, wherein the instrument detects when the positions of the first and second markers indicate the first and second portions of tissue have arrived at substantially the desired orientation.
 - 9. The method according to claim 1, wherein the first and second markers are configured to communicate with one another.
 - 10. The method according to claim 9, wherein the communication between the first and second markers is continuous during the tissue manipulation.
 - 11. The method according to claim 1, wherein the instrument comprises one of an X-ray imager, a three-dimensional X-ray imager, computed tomography, a magnetic resonance imager and an ultrasound imager.

* * * * *