

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau




(43) International Publication Date  
28 June 2001 (28.06.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 01/46346 A1**

- (51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **C10L 1/18**
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/US00/34615
- (22) International Filing Date:  
20 December 2000 (20.12.2000)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:  
09/172,915 21 December 1999 (21.12.1999) US  
Not furnished 7 December 2000 (07.12.2000) US
- (71) Applicant: **EXXONMOBIL RESEARCH ENGINEERING COMPANY [US/US]**; P.O. Box 900, 1545 Route 22 East, Annandale, NJ 08801 (US).
- (72) Inventors: **YEH, Lisa I-Ching**; 14 Denver Road, Marlton, NJ 08503 (US). **SCHLOSBERG, Richard, Henry**; 800 Amsterdam Road, Bridgewater, NJ 08807 (US). **MILLER,**
- Richard, C.; 11633 Rue Concord, Baton Rouge, LO 70810 (US). **CAERS, Raf, F.**; Prins Boudewijnlaan 189, B-2650 Edegem (BE).
- (74) Agents: **ALLOCCA, Joseph, J.** et al.; ExxonMobil Research and Engineering Company, P.O. Box 900, Annandale, NJ 08801 (US).
- (81) Designated States (*national*): CA, JP, SG.
- (84) Designated States (*regional*): European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR).
- Published:**  
— *With international search report.*  
— *Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments.*
- For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

(54) Title: DIESEL FUEL COMPOSITION



(57) Abstract: This invention is a fuel composition for use in internal combustion engines comprising a major amount of a base fuel which contains no more than 10 % by weight of olefins and no more than 10 % by weight of esters, and greater than 5 % by weight based on the total composition of an oxygenate selected from the group consisting of a saturated, aliphatic monohydric alcohol having on an average from 8 to 20 carbon atoms, one or more ketones having on an average 5 to 25 carbons, and mixtures of the alcohol(s) and ketone(s). The amount of the oxygenate in the fuel composition is sufficient to provide the fuel with at least 0.5 % by weight of oxygen. The oxygenate significantly reduces particulate emissions from the exhausts of diesel powered engines.



WO 01/46346 A1

## DIESEL FUEL COMPOSITION

This invention relates to fuel compositions of low sulphur content which contain at least one component capable of reducing particulate emissions from the exhausts of engines which generate power by combustion of such fuels.

Of particular interest are fuels such as diesel which are used widely in automotive transport and for providing power for heavy duty equipment due to their high fuel economy. However, one of the problems when such fuels are burned in internal combustion engines is the pollutants in the exhaust gases that are emitted into the environment. For instance, some of the most common pollutants in diesel exhausts are nitric oxide and nitrogen dioxide (hereafter abbreviated as "NO<sub>x</sub>"), hydrocarbons and sulphur dioxide, and to a lesser extent carbon monoxide. In addition, diesel powered engines also generate a significant amount of particulate emissions which include *inter alia* soot, adsorbed hydrocarbons and sulphates, which are usually formed due to the incomplete combustion of the fuel and are hence the cause of dense black smoke emitted by such engines through the exhaust. The oxides of sulphur have recently been reduced considerably by refining the fuel, e.g., by hydrodesulphurisation thereby reducing the sulphur levels in the fuel itself and hence in the exhaust emissions. However, the presence of particulate matter in such exhaust emissions has been a more complex problem. It is known that the primary cause of the particulate matter emission is incomplete combustion of the fuel and to this end attempts have been made to introduce into the fuel organic compounds which have oxygen value therein (hereafter referred to as "oxygenates") to facilitate combustion. Oxygenates are known to facilitate the combustion of fuel to reduce the particulate matter. Examples of such compounds include some of the lower aliphatic esters such as, e.g., the ortho esters of formic and acetic acid, ethers, glycols, polyoxyalkylene glycols, ethers

and esters of glycerol, and carbonic acid esters. For instance, US-A-5,308,365 describes the use of ether derivatives of glycerol which reduce particulate emissions when added to diesel fuel. This patent teaches that the amount of reduction in particulate matter is linearly proportional to the oxygen content of the added components, i.e., the greater the oxygen content the higher are the reductions in particulate matter for a range of added compounds and that it is independent of the specific compound chosen over the range described.

Similarly, Society of Automotive Engineering paper 932734 summarizes a heavy-duty diesel engine study over a broader range of oxygenated fuels and one of the authors (Liotta, F J) is also one of the inventors of US-A-5,425,790 (alcohols and glycols) and US-A-5,308,365 (glycerol ethers and esters). The authors confirm that the amount of reduction in particulate matter scales roughly linearly with the oxygen content of the component added although *ethers* seem to be more effective for reducing particulates than *alcohols* for the same oxygen content.

Again, SAE Paper No. 942023 teaches the use of alcohols generically disclosed as A and B. This paper however fails to identify the alcohols tested.

Similarly, US-A-5,425,790 (corresponding to SAE 932734) discloses the use of cyclohexyl ethanol and methyl benzyl alcohol as additives for fuels to reduce particulate emissions and states that these do not work (column 6, lines 53-57). No other alcohols are disclosed. This reference which is primarily concerned with testing glycols and glycol ethers, does not state in what concentration the alcohols were tested.

US-A-4,378,973 discloses the use of a combination of cyclohexane and an oxygenated additive for reducing particulate emissions from fuels. This

document states that the beneficial effect cannot be achieved in the absence of cyclohexane. This document discloses 2-ethyl hexanol and "EPAL 1012" which comprises a mixture of normal C<sub>6</sub>-C<sub>20</sub> alcohols as the oxygenated additives.

A further reference, WO 93/24593, is primarily concerned with gasohol blends from diesel and alcohols. This blend must contain 20-70% by volume of ethanol or methanol, 1-15% by volume of a tertiary alkyl peroxide and 4.5-5.5% by volume of a higher straight chain alcohol. The straight chain alcohols disclosed have from 3-12 carbon atoms. According to this reference the presence of a tertiary alkyl peroxide is essential for the performance of the fuel since using 10% v/v alcohol performs no better than a straight diesel whereas 30% v/v of ethanol "severely degraded the engine's operation" (page 8, lines 14-19).

WO 98/35000 relates to lubricity enhancing agents and makes no mention of controlling or reducing emission of particulate matter. This document discloses the use of primary, linear C<sub>7</sub>+ alcohols in an amount of < 5% w/w of a diesel fuel composition.

Similarly, WO 96/23855 relates to the use of glycol ethers and esters as lubricity enhancing additives to fuel oils such as diesel. There is no mention of using any alcohols as such although several alcohols have been listed as being used to prepare the ethers and esters.

Like the WO 96/23855 above, US-A-5,004,478 refers to the use of polyethers and esters of aromatic carboxylic acids in diesel fuels as additives. There is no mention of the use of any alcohols as additives.

US-A-5,324,335 and US-A-5,465,613 both in the name of the same assignee relate to fuels produced by the Fischer-Tropsch process which also

contain *inter alia* alcohols formed in situ in the process which is recycled to the process. Whilst several primary alcohols are disclosed most of these are linear except the reference to methyl butanol and methyl pentanol. However, the streams recycled contain a considerable amount of other components such as, e.g., aldehydes, ketones, aromatics, olefins, etc. Also, the amount of alcohols generated by this process, especially the content of branched alcohols (<0.5%), appears to be very low in relation to the total stream recycled.

US-A5,720,784 refers to fuel blends and the difficulty in rendering diesel fuels miscible with the conventionally used methanol and ethanol. This document purports to mitigate the problem of miscibility by adding to such formulations a C<sub>3</sub> (excluding n-propanol)-C<sub>22</sub> organic alcohol. However, whilst the document refers to the use of higher alcohols to form single phase compositions which are not prone to separation, it is silent on the nature of the diesel fuel – for these can vary significantly in their composition from light naphtha to heavy duty diesel oils – nor indeed the effect of any of the alcohols referred to on the problems of particulate emissions when using such fuels in diesel fuel powered internal combustion engines. Furthermore, when addressing the issue of miscibility, it fails to distinguish between fuel compositions which contain the lower C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> alcohols and compositions which contain no lower alcohols.

WO 92/20761 discloses compositions comprising biodiesel in which the base fuels are predominantly esters and alcohols. There is no mention in this document of reducing particulate matter from emissions.

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

Figures 1A and 1B graphically present the data for absolute particulate matter (PM) and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions measured for a ULSADO base fuel and the

base fuel containing 2% oxygen from primary, secondary and tertiary saturated aliphatic monohydric alcohol and ketone.

Figure 2 graphically presents and compares the emissions data relating to PM, NO<sub>x</sub>, HC, and CO for ULSADO fuel additized with primary, secondary and tertiary saturated aliphatic monohydric alcohols and ketone.

It has now been found that certain specific oxygenates when added to diesel fuels can enable the particulate emissions from the exhausts of engines powered by these fuels to be substantially reduced when compared with some of the additives used hitherto with little to no NO<sub>x</sub> increase.

Accordingly, an embodiment of the present invention is a fuel composition comprising a major amount of a base fuel having no more than 10% by weight of olefins, no more than 10% by weight of an ester, and greater than 5% by weight based on the total fuel composition of at least one oxygenate selected from the group consisting of saturated, aliphatic, monohydric primary, secondary, tertiary alcohol and mixtures thereof having on an average 8 to 20 carbon atoms, at least one mono- or poly-ketone or keto-monohydric aliphatic alcohol having on an average 5 to 25 carbons, and mixtures of the aforesaid alcohol(s) and ketone(s), said oxygenate containing no other oxygen in its structure, the amount of the oxygenate in the composition being sufficient to provide the fuel composition with at least 0.5% by weight of oxygen.

The fuels that may be used in and benefit from the addition of the aforesaid oxygenate comprise *inter alia* distillate fuels, and typically comprise a major amount of diesel fuel, jet fuel, kerosene, bunker fuel or mixtures thereof. The fuels, especially the diesel fuels, are suitably ashless fuels.

The olefin content of the fuel compositions are not intended to include diesel fuels which contain substantial amounts of olefins (e.g., greater than 40% by weight) such as those produced in some of the Fischer-Tropsch processes. In any event the fuel compositions contain no more than 10% by weight of olefins, suitably less than 5% by weight of olefins and preferably less than 2% by weight of olefins. Such fuels may be produced by modified Fischer Tropsch processes to control the olefins formed therein to below the threshold levels now specified. Furthermore, the base fuel has less than 10% by weight of esters, i.e., the base fuels do not include the so called biodiesels.

The diesel fuel suitably comprises at least 70% by weight of the base fuel, preferably at least 80% by weight of the base fuel, more preferably greater than 85% by weight of the base fuel. The base fuel suitably contains greater than 1% by weight of aromatics, preferably greater than 5% by weight of aromatics and even more preferably from 5-20% by weight of aromatics. The base fuel suitably has a density below  $855 \text{ kg/m}^3$ , preferably no more than  $835 \text{ kg/m}^3$ . The base fuel suitably has a T95 of no more than  $345^\circ\text{C}$ .

The amount of any of the oxygenate referred to above and used in the compositions of embodiment of the present invention is greater than 5% by weight of the total composition and is such that it is capable of providing the composition with at least 0.5% w/w of oxygen, suitably at least 1.0% by weight of oxygen and preferably at least 2% by weight of oxygen. Thus, to achieve this composition, the amount of oxygenate added to the composition is suitably greater than 5% by weight of the total composition, and is preferably greater than 7% w/w of the total composition. Typically, the oxygenate(s) is (are) used in an amount in the range from 7 to 60% by weight, preferably from 7 to 40% by weight of the total composition. Within these ranges, it would be possible to use a relatively low amount of a specific oxygenate if said oxygenate has a relatively

high oxygen content and conversely, one may have to use a higher amount of a particular oxygenate if it is relatively low in oxygen content.

The feature of an embodiment of the invention is the use of greater than 5% by weight of at least one oxygenate selected from the group consisting of saturated, aliphatic monohydric, primary, secondary, tertiary alcohol and mixture thereof having 8-20 carbon atoms, one or more mono- or poly-ketone or keto-monohydric aliphatic alcohol having on an average 5 to 25 carbons, and mixtures of the aforesaid alcohol(s) and ketone(s) which is blended with the base fuel such that the final composition has an oxygen content of at least 0.5% by weight in order to reduce particulate emission when such a composition is used as a fuel in an internal combustion engine. It has been found that these oxygenate when used in the amounts now specified are better at reducing emission of particulates from engine exhausts than the esters and ethers used hitherto. This improved performance in reducing particulate emission is achieved without recourse to the use of further additives such as, e.g., cyclohexane or peroxides or the use of aromatic alcohols. A further feature is that these oxygenate are capable of an impressive performance with respect to particulate emissions over a broad range of vehicles and driving cycles when compared with the performance of esters, glycols and ethers used hitherto for this purpose which perform only over a restricted range of vehicles and driving cycles. A further feature is that the particulate reduction is achieved with little to no increase in NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and also with a substantial decrease in CO emissions at high engine loads.

The saturated, aliphatic, monohydric alcohols in the compositions of embodiments of the present invention are suitably used alone or as an admixture. The alcohols suitably have on an average from 8-20 carbon atoms, preferably 9-20 carbon atoms, more preferably, 9-16 carbon atoms. The alcohols are



primary, secondary, tertiary monohydric alcohols and mixtures thereof. Particularly preferred are branched, open chain alcohols. Specific examples of such alcohols include *inter alia* octanol, iso-octanol, 2-ethyl hexanol, nonanol, iso-nonanol, 2-propyl heptanol, 2,4-dimethyl heptanol, decanol, isodecanol, undecanol, isoundecanol, dodecanol, iso-dodecanol, tridecanol, iso-tridecanol, tetradecanol, iso-tetradecanol, myristyl alcohol, hexadecanol, octadecanol, stearyl alcohol, isostearyl alcohol, eicosanol, di-isobutyl carbinol, tetrahydro-linalool, and mixtures thereof, especially Exxal®-10, Exxal®-12 and Exxal®-13. In these expressions the term "iso" is generally meant to indicate a mixture of branched alcohols. For instance, iso-nonanol represents a mixture containing approximately 85% 3,5,5-trimethyl hexanol, iso-decanol represents a mixture of C<sub>9</sub>-C<sub>11</sub> alcohols, iso-dodecanol represents a mixture of C<sub>11</sub>-C<sub>13</sub> alcohols, isotridecanol a mixture of C<sub>12</sub>-C<sub>14</sub> alcohols and iso-tetradecanol is a mixture of linear and branched chain C<sub>13</sub>-C<sub>15</sub> alcohols. Several of the alcohols referred to herein may be derived from natural sources. These alcohols, for instance, belong to two families, i.e., the lauric oils (primarily from coconut oil, palm kernel oil and jojoba oil) and the stearic oils. The lauric oils give rise to alcohols in the C<sub>6</sub>-C<sub>18</sub> range peaking in C<sub>12</sub>-C<sub>14</sub> (respectively C<sub>12</sub> = lauryl alcohol and C<sub>14</sub> = myristyl alcohol) alcohols. The stearic oils led to alcohols in the C<sub>14</sub>-C<sub>22</sub> range peaking in C<sub>16</sub>-C<sub>18</sub> (respectively C<sub>16</sub> = cetyl alcohol and C<sub>18</sub> = stearyl alcohol) alcohols. Since these are generally produced by hydrogenation of the corresponding acids or methyl esters, these alcohols are considered to be saturated alcohols.

The term ketone includes mono- or poly-ketone or keto-monohydric aliphatic alcohol which may contain straight chain or branched chain aliphatic groups and mixtures thereof attached to the central carbonyl (C=O) group, or aromatic or naphthenic groups, or mixtures of aliphatic, aromatic and naphthenic groups, preferably one or both of the groups are aliphatic groups which may

themselves be substituted with aryl moiety (e.g., phenyl, naphthyl groups, etc.), preferably the alkyl groups are unsubstituted. The ketones suitably have on an average 5 to 25 carbon atoms, preferably on an average 5 to 21 carbon atoms, more preferably on an average of 7 to 21 carbons, still more preferably on an average of 7 to 15 carbons. Examples of suitable ketones include di-n-propyl ketone, cyclopentanone, cyclohexanone, methyl undecylketone, 8-pentadecanone, 2-heptadecanone, 9-eicosanone, 10-heneicosanone and 2-doeicosanone as well as their alkyl derivatives and mixtures thereof. The ketones most preferred are open chain ketones such as di-ethyl ketone, methyl propyl ketone, methyl isopropyl ketone, ethyl propyl ketone, ethyl isopropyl ketone, di-n-propyl ketone, di-isopropyl ketone, isopropyl isobutyl ketone, di-n-butyl ketone, di-isobutyl ketone, di-n-pentyl ketone, di-isopentyl ketone, isobutyl isopentyl ketone, isopropyl isopentyl ketone, di-n-hexyl ketone, di-isohexyl ketone, isopentyl isohexyl ketone, and other ketones having aliphatic groups wherein each aliphatic group is independently a straight chain, singly branched chain or multiply branched chain aliphatic group. Also, included are hydrocarbons with multiple ketone functions and with mixed ketone and monohydric functions (i.e., keto-monohydric aliphatic alcohol), with such keto-monohydric alcohols containing up to 25 carbons in total.

The fuel compositions are suitably substantially free of C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>2</sub> alcohols, i.e., they are present in an amount of < 5% by weight, preferably ≤ 1% by weight, of the total composition. The oxygenates used suitably have an acid value of no more than 0.1 mg KOH/g and a carbonyl number of no more than 0.35 mg KOH/g.

The diesel fuel composition may contain one or more conventional fuel additives, which may be added at the refinery, at the fuel distribution terminal, into the tanker, or as bottle additives purchased by the end user for addition into

the fuel tank of an individual vehicle. These additives may include cold flow improvers (also known as middle distillate flow improvers), wax antissettling additives, diesel fuel stabilizers, antioxidants, cetane improvers, combustion improvers, detergents, demulsifiers, dehazers, lubricity additives, anti-foamants, anti-static additive, conductivity improvers, corrosion inhibitors, drag reducing agents, reodorants, dyes and markers, and the like.

The fuel compositions used in the method of the present invention may additionally contain cetane improvers.

Some of the oxygenates which can be used in the fuel compositions of embodiment of the present invention were evaluated for their performance in reducing particulate emission using a single cylinder Caterpillar 3406 HD engine (which is a Cat 1Y450 engine) with gaseous emission analyses for: hydrocarbons, NO<sub>x</sub>, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, oxygen (Horiba, Mexa-9100 DEGR) and a full flow dilution particulate tunnel (Horiba, DLS-9200). The particulates generated in the combustion process are collected on a 70 mm diameter Whatman GF/A glass fibre filter paper after the primary dilution tunnel. No secondary dilution is used. The filter papers used are stabilized and weighed both before and after testing. Stabilization conditions are at a temperature of  $20 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$  and at a relative humidity of  $45 \pm 10\%$ . The difference in weight measured is taken to be the mass of particulate matter collected. The analytical and sampling systems for particulate collection conform to EEC Directive 88/77/EEC.

The performance of the compositions and additives are further illustrated with reference to the following Examples and Comparative Tests:

EXAMPLE 1

The fuel used as base fuel in the tests conducted below was that from Esso's Fawley refinery (hereafter referred to as "LSADO") and had the following characteristics:

Density	-	851 kg/m <sup>3</sup>
KV20 (cSt)	-	5.03
Sulphur content	-	400 ppm

The dimensions of the engine used for testing are shown in Table 1 below:

TABLE 1

Engine	Cat 1Y540
Bore (mm)	137.2
Stroke (mm)	165.1
Swept Volume (liters)	2.43
Compression ratio	13.37:1
Aspiration	Simulated turbo-charged

In the Tables below by references to "Tech. Polyol Ester (branched acids)" is meant an ester of technical pentaerythritol derived by reacting pentaerythritol with an isomeric mixture of branched C8 acids (isooctanoic acid sold as Cekanoic® 8 by Exxon Chemical Company) and branched C9 acids (3,5,5-trimethylhexanoic acid, sold as Cekanoic® 9 by Exxon Chemical Company) in the ratio of 1:5 by weight respectively such that the resultant ester had a hydroxyl number of 100-120 as measured by infra-red technique. The branched ester of Cekanoic® 8 acid has a molecular weight of 514 whereas that of Cekanoic® 9 has a molecular weight of 556. Similarly, references to "Tech.

Polyol Ester (linear acids)" is meant a mixed ester of technical pentaerythritol with a mixture of linear C<sub>8</sub>-C<sub>10</sub> monocarboxylic acids derived from natural oils such as, e.g., coconut oil. Such a mixture of linear acids comprising 55% w/w of C<sub>8</sub> acids, 40% w/w C<sub>10</sub> acids and the remainder being C<sub>6</sub> and C<sub>12</sub> acids is available from Procter & Gamble. The linear ester of C<sub>8</sub> linear acid has a molecular weight of 514 whereas that of the C<sub>10</sub> linear acid has a molecular weight of 598.

In the Tables the following abbreviations have been used:

- LSADO - Low sulphur automotive diesel oil (ex Esso's Fawley refinery) as base fuel
- Exxal®10 - Isodecanol (CAS No. 93821-11-5, EINECS No. 2986966, ex Exxon Chemicals)
- Exxal®12 - Isododecanol (CAS No. 90604-37-8, EINECS No. 2923309, ex Exxon Chemicals)
- PM - Particulate Matter

Emissions testing was carried out in a single cylinder version of the Caterpillar 3406 heavy duty engine. A full dilution tunnel with a primary dilution ratios of about 10:1 at high load and 15:1 at low load was used for particulate collection and analysis. Dynamic injection timing was kept constant for the range of fuels tested and the engine was supercharged using two external Roots pumps.

Seven oxygenated fuels were made by blending seven oxygenates into LSADO to make test fuels with 2 weight % oxygen content.. Their emissions performance was compared against LSADO which served as the reference fuel.

Two steady state conditions were chosen for testing, both at 1500 rpm. The high load condition was 220 Nm and the low load condition was 60 Nm. Each fuel was tested over five or six different days at each load in a randomized fuel test sequence for each day. Particulates were collected on two filter papers for 10 minutes each and these results were averaged to generate the data point for each fuel for each day.

The resultant particulate results are listed in the table below for each fuel averaged over the 5-6 days of testing as a % change compared to the LSADO base fuel, the base diesel fuel with 400 ppm sulphur. At high load, the amount of PM reduction was typically around 20%. The largest reduction in PM was 38% which was seen for the fuel containing the primary alcohol. At low load, the amount of PM reduction seen was smaller. Again, the largest reduction in PM seen amongst any of the oxygenates tested was for the fuel containing the primary alcohol where a reduction of about 16% was seen (Table 2). These reductions in PM were obtained without increasing NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and with a large reduction in CO emissions as seen from Table 2A below.

TABLE 2  
% Change in Particulate Matter between Test Fuel and Reference LSADO

Test Fuel LSADO	Oxygenate Used	Amount (%)	PM g/kWh		% Change of PM over LSADO	
			High Load	Low Load	High Load	Low Load
Fuel 1	Trimethoxymethane	4.5	0.1420	0.3998	-20.6	-2.7
Fuel 2	2-Methoxy ethyl ether	5.6	0.1332	0.3775	-25.5	-5.6
Fuel 3	Tech Polyol Ester with Branched Acids	9.4	0.1495	0.3957	-16.4	-1.0
Fuel 4	Tech Polyol Ester with Linear Acids	10.0	0.1455	0.3912	-18.7	-2.2
Fuel 5*	Exxal®-10	19.8	0.1110	0.3368	-38.0	-15.8
Fuel 6	Anisole	13.5	0.1354	0.3461	-24.3	-13.4
Fuel 7	Methyl tert.-butyl ether	11.0	0.1439	0.3784	-19.6	-5.4

\*Embodiment of the invention

TABLE 2A

% Change in CO and NO<sub>x</sub> between Test Fuel and Reference LSADO Base Fuel

Test Fuel + Oxygenate	High Load		Low Load	
	CO	NO <sub>x</sub>	CO	NO <sub>x</sub>
Fuel 1	-9.7	1.5	0.23	0.56
Fuel 2	-12.7	2.5	0.16	1.28
Fuel 3	-16.5	2.6	0.11	0.05
Fuel 4	-10.5	2.3	-2.39	1.83
Fuel 5*	-22.7	1.2	-1.13	-2.25
Fuel 6	-11.0	6.2	-1.58	4.80
Fuel 7	-7.7	-1.0	2.65	-2.73

\*Embodiment of the invention

## EXAMPLE 2

Emissions testing was also carried out in 3 passenger cars that spanned a range of vehicle technologies. The Ford Escort (1.8 liter IDI) represented the older vehicle technology and had no after-treatment. This vehicle was a typical vehicle sold from 1990-1991. The intermediate technology was the VW Jetta (1.6 liter IDI) that had turbo-charging and an oxidation catalyst and represented a state of the art vehicle in 1990-1991. The VW Golf (1.9 liter TDI) represented the newest vehicle technology and was turbo-charged, intercooled, had a closely mounted oxidation catalyst and used exhaust gas recirculation. It was a state of the art vehicle in 1996-1997.

Six samples of oxygenated fuels were made by blending six oxygenates into LSADO to make test fuels with 2 weight % oxygen content as was described previously and whose compositions are given in Table 2 (Fuels 1, 3 to 7). The performance of these oxygenated fuels was compared against LSADO which served as the reference fuel and this performance is shown in Table 3. The improvement in particulate matter emissions over the reference fuel can be



compared between these six fuels. In particular, the improvement using the primary monohydric alcohol compound in Fuel 5 can be compared with Fuels 1, 3, 4, 6, and 7 which contained various other oxygenated compounds.

Testing was done running the European hot ECE 15-EUDC test cycle. Each fuel was tested three times over the complete test cycle with a base fuel test completed before and after the three runs on the test fuel. Results for each test fuel are then expressed as a relative change from the base fuel data taken on the same day.

The resultant particulate results are listed below for each fuel for each of the three vehicles as a % change compared to LSADO, the base diesel fuel with 400 ppm sulphur. Note that for many of the fuels tested, the amount of particulate reduction varied widely between the three vehicles tested. Surprisingly, the results for the fuel with primary C<sub>10</sub> alcohol (Fuel 5) were extremely consistent showing a PM reduction of 18-20% over the ECE-EUDC test cycle. Again, no significant increase in NO<sub>x</sub> occurred for the fuel with the primary alcohol.

TABLE 3

% Change in Particulate Matter  
Between the Test Fuel and LSADO Reference Fuel

Test Fuel + Oxygenate	Escort	Jetta	Golf
Fuel 1	-9.8	-6.5	+4.5
Fuel 3	-0.1	-2.7	-9.1
Fuel 4	-3.8	-9.3	-2.0
Fuel 5*	-18.9	-18.2	-19.6
Fuel 6	-19.0	+10.8	-13.4
Fuel 7	-18.4	-10.2	-11.6

\*Embodiment of the invention

TABLE 3A

Test Fuel + Oxygenate	NO <sub>x</sub> DATA		
	Escort	Jetta	Golf
Fuel 1	-0.1	3.2	-1.6
Fuel 3	6.5	-2.2	-1.9
Fuel 4	5.3	4.2	-2.3
Fuel 5*	1.2	2.5	0.9
Fuel 6	-0.4	-5.2	10.3
Fuel 7	-10.1	-3.1	1.9

\* Embodiment of the invention

EXAMPLE 3

Emissions testing was carried out in a single cylinder version of the Caterpillar 3406 heavy duty engine. A full dilution tunnel with a primary dilution ratio of about 15:1 at low load was used for particulate collection and analysis. Dynamic injection timing was kept constant for the range of fuels tested and the engine was supercharged using two external Roots pumps.

Three alcohols were tested in LSADO blended to make test fuels with 2 weight % oxygen content. Their emissions performance was compared against the LSADO which served as the reference fuel.

One steady state condition was chosen for testing at 1500 rpm and 60 Nm. Each fuel was tested over six different days in a randomized fuel test sequence for each day. Particulates were collected on two filter papers for 10 minutes each and these results were averaged to generate the data point for each fuel for each day.

The resultant particulate results are listed in Table 4 below for each fuel averaged over the six days of testing as a % change compared to LSADO, the base diesel fuel with 400 ppm sulphur. All three of these alcohols led to a particulate matter decrease of 17-19% compared to ADO with little to no increase in NO<sub>x</sub>.

TABLE 4

Test Fuel	% Change in	
	PM	NO <sub>x</sub>
Exxal®-10 in Fawley LSADO	-17.1	-2.3
Iso-Nonanol in Fawley LSADO	-18.8	-2.0
Exxal-12 in Fawley LSADO	-18.0	-2.6

EXAMPLE 4

The base fuel used was a Fawley ULSADO, which had a density of 825 kg/m<sup>3</sup> a kV<sub>20</sub> (cSt) of 3.41, a sulfur content of 31 ppm, and a T<sub>95</sub> of 314°C, and this was blended with the appropriate amount of oxygenate to achieve an oxygen content in the final blend of 2% by weight. A primary alcohol, secondary alcohol, tertiary alcohol and ketone were selected for screening. The fuel details are shown in Table 5.

TABLE 5

Blend Ref.	Fuel	Description	% wt oxygenate
	ULSADO	Base Fuel	0
TO	Base + Isodecanol	Primary: Exxal®10	18.74
TL	Base + Dimethyl Heptanol	Secondary: Di-isobutyl carbinol	18.0
TN	Base + Dimethyl Octanol	Tertiary: Tetrahydrolinalool	19.75
TM	Base + Dimethyl Heptanone	Ketone: Di-isobutyl ketone	17.75

Testing was carried out on a single vehicle. The VW Golf 1.9 TDI was selected. This vehicle is a 1.9 liter turbo-charged intercooled DI engine with an oxidation catalyst mounted very close to the engine block, exhaust gas recirculation, and an electronically controlled distributor fuel pump with a needle lift sensor allowing for closed loop control of injection timing.

The fuel blends were tested according to a specific test protocol and involved testing a base fuel against a different test fuel each day. The base fuel was tested first followed by the test fuel which was tested three times in succession followed by a final base fuel test (base1, test1, test2, test3, base2). Each of these five tests comprised a hot ECE+EUDC drive cycle. Gaseous and particulate emissions were collected for each test.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Shown in Figure 1A and 1B and Table 6 are the data for absolute PM and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions measured for each fuel. In the Figures the bars show the 95% least significant difference limits and if these do not overlap then there is said to be significant difference between fuels. All 4 oxygenates showed substantial

and significant reductions in particulate emissions relative to the base ULSADO fuel. There was no statistically significant difference between the type of oxygenates used. All 4 oxygenated blends also generated higher absolute emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> than for the ULSADO. However, for the tertiary alcohol and the ketone these increases were only small and not statistically significant at the 95% level, as compared with the base fuel ULSADO.

Figure 2 and Table 6 shows the relative change in emissions of each oxygenated blend compared with the base fuel. The differences observed from Figure 1A and 1B are clearly represented here. Reductions in particulate emissions varied from 19.8% (tertiary alcohol) to 22.6% (primary & secondary alcohols and ketone). The corresponding increases in NO<sub>x</sub> emissions relative to ULSADO were 0.5% (tertiary), 1.0% (ketone), 3.8% (primary) and 4.4% (secondary). The addition of an oxygenate to the base diesel fuel also had the effect of increasing HC and CO emissions, although these can be more easily controlled using an oxidation catalyst, now common on all light-duty diesel vehicles. The increase in HC and CO emissions do not outweigh the significance and importance of the reduction in particulate matter.

TABLE 6

Fuel	CO g/km	CO <sub>2</sub> g/km	HC g/km	NO <sub>x</sub> g/km	PM g/km
ULSADO	0.230	130.1	0.064	0.479	0.047
Primary	0.297	128.5	0.071	0.497	0.037
Secondary	0.292	128.4	0.077	0.500	0.037
Tertiary	0.270	129.4	0.075	0.481	0.038
Ketone	0.280	128.2	0.081	0.484	0.037
Difference from ULSADO base [%]					
Fuel	CO	CO <sub>2</sub>	HC	NO <sub>x</sub>	PM
Primary	29.27095	-1.2042	9.98703	3.827418	-22.6033
Secondary	27.23975	-1.28107	19.84436	4.384134	-22.6033
Tertiary	17.51904	-0.56367	16.73152	0.487126	-19.7889
Ketone	22.01668	-1.46042	26.07004	0.974252	-22.6033

This data demonstrates that secondary and tertiary alcohols and ketone produce a similar level of reduction in particulate emissions from base fuel to that previously demonstrated with a primary alcohol.

## CLAIMS:

1. A fuel composition comprising a major amount of a base fuel having no more than 10% by weight of olefins and no more than 10% by weight of esters, and greater than 5% by weight based on the total composition of at least one oxygenate selected from the group consisting of saturated, aliphatic monohydric primary, secondary and tertiary alcohol and mixtures thereof having on an average from 8 to 20 carbon atoms, at least one mono- or poly-ketone or ketomonohydric aliphatic alcohol having on an average at 5 to 25 carbons, and mixtures of the aforesaid alcohol(s) and ketone(s), said oxygenate containing no other oxygen in its structure, the amount of the oxygenate in the composition being sufficient to provide the fuel composition with at least 0.5% by weight of oxygen.
2. The composition according to Claim 1 wherein the fuel is an ashless diesel fuel.
3. The composition according to Claim 1 wherein the saturated, aliphatic monohydric alcohol has on an average from 9-20 carbon atoms.
4. The composition according to Claim 1 wherein the alcohol is selected from octanol, iso-octanol, 2-ethyl hexanol, nonanol, iso-nonanol, 2-propyl heptanol, 2,4-dimethyl heptanol, decanol, isodecanol, undecanol, isoundecanol, dodecanol, isododecanol, tridecanol, iso-tridecanol, tetradecanol, isotetradecanol, myristyl alcohol, hexadecanol, octadecanol, stearyl alcohol, isostearyl alcohol, eicosanol, di-isobutyl carbinol, tetrahydrolinalool, and mixtures thereof.

5. The composition according to Claim 1 wherein the ketone has on an average 5 to 21 carbons.
6. The composition according to Claim 1 wherein the ketone has on an average 7 to 15 carbons.
7. A composition according to Claim 1 wherein the amount of oxygenate present to provide the composition with at least 0.5% w/w of oxygen is greater than 7% by weight of the total composition.
8. The composition according to Claim 1 wherein the amount of oxygenate present is such that it is capable of providing the composition with at least 2% by weight of oxygen.
9. The composition according to Claim 1 wherein the amount of any C1 to C2 alcohol in said composition is less than 5% by weight.
10. The composition according to Claim 1 comprising at least 80% by weight of the base fuel.






FIG. 1A




FIG. 1B

# Emissions of Oxygenated Fuels relative to ULSADO base [%]




FIG. 2

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/US00/34615

<b>A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER</b>																						
IPC(7) :C10L, 1/18 US CL :44/437, 438, 439, 451, 452 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC																						
<b>B. FIELDS SEARCHED</b>																						
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S. : 44/437, 438, 439, 451, 452																						
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched																						
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) WEST (isononanol, isopar M, Exxal, oxygenate, aliphatic alcohols, ketones, diesel fuel)																						
<b>C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT</b>																						
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.																				
Y	US 4,207,078 A (SWEENEY et al.) 10 June 1980, note col. 2, line 4 to col. 3, lines 1-6, example III and claims 1, 3 and 6.	1-10																				
Y	US 4,378,973 A (SWEENEY et al.) 05 April 1983, note col. 2, line 13 to col. 3, lines 1-25 and claims 1, 3 and 6-7.	1-10																				
Y	US 5,324,335 A (BENHAM et al.) 28 June 1994, note col. 16, line 23 to col. 18, lines 1-2.	1-4, 7-10																				
Y	US 5,720,784 A (KILLICK et al.) 24 February 1998, see col. 2, line 12 to col. 3, lines 1-40, examples 5-12, 14-23; col. 5, lines 58-67 and claims 1-7.	1-4, 7-10																				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <input type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.																						
<p>* Special categories of cited documents:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>"A"</td> <td>document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</td> <td>"T"</td> <td>later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"E"</td> <td>earlier document published on or after the international filing date</td> <td>"X"</td> <td>document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"L"</td> <td>document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</td> <td>"Y"</td> <td>document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"O"</td> <td>document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</td> <td>"&amp;"</td> <td>document member of the same patent family</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"P"</td> <td>document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>			"A"	document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T"	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention	"E"	earlier document published on or after the international filing date	"X"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone	"L"	document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art	"O"	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&"	document member of the same patent family	"P"	document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		
"A"	document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T"	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention																			
"E"	earlier document published on or after the international filing date	"X"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone																			
"L"	document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art																			
"O"	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&"	document member of the same patent family																			
"P"	document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed																					
Date of the actual completion of the international search 17 MARCH 2001		Date of mailing of the international search report 08 MAY 2001																				
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230		Authorized officer MARGARET B. MEDLEY DEBORAH THOMAS <i>act</i> PARALEGAL SPECIALIST Telephone No. (703) 308-2518																				

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/US00/34615

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 5,689,031 A (BERLOWITZ et al.) 18 November 1997, note table 2 of cols. 7-8 and col. 4, lines 6-19.	1-4, 7-10
Y	WO 98/35000 A1 (BERLOWITZ et al.) 13 August 1998, notes pages 3-4, tables 2-6 and claims 1-9.	1-4, 7-10