

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
22 December 2005 (22.12.2005)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2005/121502 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **E21B 34/14**,
21/10, 41/00

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/US2005/018273

(22) International Filing Date: 25 May 2005 (25.05.2005)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
60/576,355 2 June 2004 (02.06.2004) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **BAKER
HUGHES INCORPORATED** [US/US]; 3900 Essex
Lane, Suite 1200, Houston, TX 77027 (US).

(72) Inventor: **RUSSELL, Ronnie, D.**; 3330 Mary Lane, Dick-
inson, TX 77539 (US).

(74) Agents: **DUPHORNE, Darin, H.** et al.; 9100 Emmott
Road, Houston, TX 77040 (US).


(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every
kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM,
AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN,
CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI,
GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE,
KG, KM, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA,
MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ,
OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL,
SM, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VC, VN,
YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every
kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH,
GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM,
ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM),
European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI,
FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO,
SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN,
GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:
— with international search report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guid-
ance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the begin-
ning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: EROSION RESISTENT APERTURE FOR A DOWNHOLE VALVE OR PORTED FLOW CONTROL TOOL



(57) Abstract: An aperture design minimizes erosion on the surrounding casing and to the aperture itself and is particularly effective in fluid injection wells where large volumes of fluids over a long period of time with entrained solids are expected to be pumped through. The preferred design is an elongated shape with a flaring wider in the downhole direction. The downhole end of the opening features an exit that flares in the downhole direction with multiple slopes with an arc transition. Other options for the opening configuration are envisioned.

WO 2005/121502 A1

APPLICATION FOR PATENT

Inventor: Ronnie D. Russell

Title: Erosion Resistant Aperture for a Downhole Valve or Ported Flow Control

Tool

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

[0001] The field of this invention is aperture shape for downhole valves or ported flow control tools and more particularly valves or tools of the sliding sleeve type for use in fluid injection wells.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0002] When production becomes marginal in a given zone in a field, one way to bolster production is to inject large quantities of fluid such as water or steam into an injection well at one point in the zone or zones in question and take additional production in another well or wells in the field. In the injection well pumping equipment is used to move large amounts of fluid into the well to get the desired enhanced production. The injection well can have a valve, typically of a sliding sleeve design, to allow access into a single zone at a time and in turn service multiple zones, if desired. These sliding sleeve valves have a sleeve with a port where the port can be selectively brought into alignment with the housing around it. The injection well can have a service life as long as 15 years or more. In the course of its life span, high fluid volumes and large weights of entrained solids can be forced through a single sliding sleeve valve, when it is in the open position. It would not be unusual that in the life of such a well injection rates of about 45,000 barrels per day would be used. This could result in 250 million barrels pumped during the life of the well. Additionally, with solids content of about one pound per 1000 barrels the amount of solids pumped through such an opening could reach 250,000 pounds of fine sand, generally smaller than 50 micron and having a generally sharp and angular shape, being pumped through the open port in the expected life of the well.

[0003] Maintaining these rates over long periods has raised concerns about erosion of the opening in the tool and more significantly to the surrounding casing

[0004] In the past, other work has been done relating to crossover tools used in high rate high volume frac packing, as reported in the American Association of drilling Engineers (AADE) paper 03-NTCE-18 in 2003 by a group of engineers from Halliburton Energy Services Inc. In this application there are high flow volumes with significantly more solids content than in fluid injection applications. In the design tested in this paper, both the tool body and the sliding sleeve had matching ports that were created by simply angling a drill at a predetermined angle from the axis of the tool and drilling in an uphole direction through the tool body and the sleeve. This technique results in an oval shaped opening when viewed in a line perpendicular to the tool axis. The hole appears narrower at the top and bottom because of the slant in the drilling process and having generally parallel slopes at the uphole and downhole ends, again resulting from the slant drilling technique. While positive results were reported for high flows and high solids content application of frac packing, the overall volumes of fluid pale in comparison with the volumes of fluid and solids used during the life of an injection well.

[0005] As a result of these differences simulations (such as CFD, Computational Fluid Dynamic models or simulations were run to evaluate port effectiveness) and field tests have led to an improved port design to minimize erosive effects on the surrounding casing and to the ports themselves. The resulting port designs feature elongated openings that flare in the downhole direction. It further can feature a multi-sloped downhole outlet composed of ramps or/and curves. These and other features of the invention will be more readily appreciated by those skilled in the art from a review of the description of the preferred embodiment and the claims that appear below.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0006] An aperture design minimizes erosion on the surrounding casing and to the aperture itself and is particularly effective in fluid injection wells where large volumes of fluids over a long period of time with entrained solids are expected to be pumped through. The preferred design is an elongated shape with a flaring wider in the downhole direction. The downhole end of the opening features an exit that flares in the downhole direction with multiple slopes with an arc transition. Other options for the opening configuration are envisioned.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0007] Figure 1 is an isometric view of the preferred embodiment;

[0008] Figure 2 is a section through the assembly along line 2-2 of Figure 1;

[0009] Figure 3 is a plan view of the aperture shown in section in Figure 2;

[0010] Figures 4-7 show progressively better performing designs that are an alternative to that shown in Figures 1-3 but each representing a design that is less favored on a performance basis than the preferred embodiment.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

[0011] Figure 1 shows an exterior view of the aperture **10** in the housing **12**. A conforming opening is located on the sliding sleeve (not shown) that can be moved between an open position and a closed position with a known tool. One or more assemblies may be mounted on a single string in a wellbore to allow selection of the zone into which the fluid is to be pumped for injection purposes. Surrounding this structure shown in Figures 1-3 is generally casing (not shown). The flow comes out of the aperture **10** and into the cased surrounding wellbore. Aperture **10** has an uphole end **14** and a downhole end **16**. The number of apertures can be varied to accommodate the anticipated flow rates to keep the velocity in a desired range. A range of about 35-65 feet per second is preferred.

[0012] Referring to Figures 2 and 3 it can be seen that the aperture **10** has an elongated shape. From the inside looking out, in Figure 2, the aperture **10** has a ramp **18** that is preferably at 45 degrees. While a single planar surface is shown for ramp **18** it is also possible to use multiple ramps with or without intervening transitional surfaces. Alternatively a combination of planar and arcuate surfaces can be used where the arcs are at a constant or varying radii. It is preferred that the larger radii be further uphole, if used on surface **18** so that at the outside surface **20** of the body **12** the curvature will be more pronounced.

[0013] At the downhole end **16** the preferred configuration of surface **22** between the inside surface **24** and the outside surface **20** is an initial ramp **26** of about

55 degrees followed by an arcuate segment **28** at about an inch and a quarter radius followed by an exit ramp **30** at about 15 degrees.

[0014] Figure 3 shows the aperture **10** flaring out at a constant angle of about 10 degrees making the aperture **10** wider near the downhole end **16** than at the uphole end **14**.

[0015] While these combinations of parameters represent the preferred embodiment other possibilities are within the scope of the invention. As one example the aperture **10** shape may feature a flaring wider from uphole to downhole end regardless of the flaring being along a straight line, an arc, a combination of a line or lines and an arc and where the arc segments have the same or varying radii. Furthermore, the surfaces can be arranged in any order going between inside surface **20** and outside surface **24**. This feature alone without the other illustrated features of Figures 1-3 will perform better from a minimizing erosion point of view than a simple rectangular opening, shown in Figure 4, that has parallel sides **32** and **34** and hence no flaring of a generally rectangular opening. Note in Figure 4 that uphole surface **36** and downhole surface **38** are flat and are each a single ramp with both oriented perpendicularly to the axis of the tool. While surface **36** & **38** are actually shown with perpendicular 90 degree ramp angle, they could be reoriented to improve performance by orienting both of them in down hole direction. While a flare angle of 10 degrees is preferred the flare angle can vary with the diameter of the body **12**, the number and length of apertures **10** and the need to accommodate control lines (not shown), which are mounted out of the trajectory of coursing fluid through the apertures **10**. Thus straight taper angles from about a degree to about 30 degrees are contemplated while even larger angles are also possible. This flare angle could also increase for the same port in a direction toward downhole by disposing increased angles in the down hole direction or gradual arcing or any combination of the two.

[0016] Another feature that can also stand-alone and produce erosion-minimizing properties, apart from the flare along the length discussed above, is the shape of the exit at the lower end **16**. The base feature is to include more than a single surface. A single flat exit surface **42** is shown in Figure 6. It should be noted that although the opening in Figure 6 gets wider from the inside of body **12** to outside as indicated by lines **44** and **46**, in this view those lines are parallel so that there is no

flaring of the width in the Figure 6 design. Accordingly, just improving the exit at the lower end 16 of the aperture 10 without making the other modifications described, will yield erosion minimization. More than a single surface can be accomplished by two flat surfaces with the surface closest to the inside 24 of body 12 having the steeper angle. This feature is also illustrated with surface 46 being steeper than surface 48 in Figure 5. Other alternatives envision flat surfaces with line transitions or arcuate surfaces of differing radii or combinations of flat and arcuate surfaces in any order and involving the same or different radii on the arcuate surfaces. Alternatively a single arc at a constant radius is possible as well as what looks like a single arc but is really a combination of arcs of different radii is also envisioned.

[0017] The upper end 14 can also have the same options as outlined for the lower end 16 and if that is the only feature used it will still help to minimize erosion but likely with less effect as a similar change done by itself in the manner described above to the lower end 16.

[0018] Of course, it would be more preferred to address the upper and lower ends 14 and 16 in each aperture either with similar surface, if not angle or radii combinations, however, the surface treatments at the ends need not be duplicates of each other. Indeed they are not as shown in the section view of Figure 2. Using the two planar surface variation for the end treatment, the initial ramp can be in the range of about 50 to 90 degrees with 80 degrees being closer to optimal and the final ramp in the direction of flow can be between about 1 to 50 degrees.

[0019] The designs of Figures 5-7 represent alternatives within the scope of the invention that show some different permutations over the basic design of an elongated opening, preferably rectangular that still performs better than the known prior art of drilling a hole using a drill held on a slant to the long axis of the housing. Fig. 4 is a basic design similar to a current product, which differs by having rounded uphole and downhole ends instead of flat/square ends. A feature of the prior art Halliburton ports is that they require multiple ports in series in a direction downstream, with the port sizes reduced in the downstream direction. Reduced port sizes downstream forces more flow through the up hole ports, which would otherwise see significantly reduced flow velocities. The downstream ports would otherwise erode most.

[0020] The above description is illustrative of the preferred embodiment and the full scope of the invention can be determined from the claims, which appear below.

I claim:

1. An aperture configuration for a downhole tool housing, comprising:
a body having a passage and a longitudinal axis therein;
at least one aperture through said body having an uphole and a downhole end
to allow fluid under pressure to flow out of said body;
said aperture flaring wider as it extends from its uphole to its downhole end.
2. The housing of claim 1 wherein:
said flaring occurs at a constant rate.
3. The housing of claim 1 wherein:
said flaring occurs at a variable rate.
4. The housing of claim 1 wherein:
said flaring occurs using a combination of flat surfaces disposed at different
angles.
5. The housing of claim 1 wherein:
said flaring occurs using a combination of arcuate surfaces.
6. The housing of claim 1 wherein:
said flaring occurs using at least one flat surface and at least one arcuate
surface.
7. The housing of claim 1 wherein:
said downhole end of said aperture further comprises a second flare away from
said longitudinal axis in the direction toward said downhole end.
8. The housing of claim 7 wherein:
said second flare comprises more than one surface.
9. The housing of claim 8 wherein:
said second flare comprises at least one flat surface.
10. The housing of claim 8, wherein:
said second flare comprises at least one arcuate surface.
11. The housing of claim 9, wherein:
said second flare comprises at least one arcuate surface.
12. The housing of claim 8, further comprising:
a first surface closer to said longitudinal axis that is at a steeper angle to said
longitudinal axis than a second surface further from said longitudinal axis.
13. The housing of claim 12, wherein:

- said first and second surfaces are flat and separated by an arcuate surface.
14. The housing of claim 1, wherein:
said uphole end of said aperture further comprises a second flare away from said longitudinal axis in the direction toward said downhole end.
15. The housing of claim 2, wherein:
said downhole end of said aperture further comprises a second flare away from said longitudinal axis in the direction toward said downhole end;
said second flare comprises more than one surface.
16. The housing of claim 15, wherein:
said uphole end of said aperture further comprises a third flare away from said longitudinal axis in the direction toward said downhole end.
17. An aperture configuration for a downhole tool housing, comprising:
a body having a passage and a longitudinal axis therein;
at least one aperture through said body having an uphole and a downhole end to allow fluid under pressure to flow out of said body;
said downhole end of said aperture further comprises a flare away from said longitudinal axis in the direction toward said downhole end.
18. The housing of claim 17, wherein:
said flare comprises more than one surface.
19. The housing of claim 18, wherein:
said flare comprises at least one flat surface.
20. The housing of claim 18, wherein:
said flare comprises at least one arcuate surface.
21. The housing of claim 19, wherein:
said flare comprises at least one arcuate surface.
22. The housing of claim 18, further comprising:
a first surface closer to said longitudinal axis that is at a steeper angle to said longitudinal axis than a second surface further from said longitudinal axis.
23. The housing of claim 22, wherein:
said first and second surfaces are flat and separated by an arcuate surface.
24. An aperture configuration for a downhole tool housing, comprising:
a body having a passage and a longitudinal axis therein;
at least one aperture through said body having an uphole and a downhole end to allow fluid under pressure to flow out of said body;




said uphole end of said aperture further comprises a flare away from said longitudinal axis in the direction toward said downhole end.

25. The housing of claim 1, wherein:

said flare is at an angle of about 1-30°.

26. The housing of claim 12, wherein:

said first surface forms an angle in the range of about 50-90° with said longitudinal axis and said second surface forms an angle of about 1-50° with said longitudinal axis.

**FIG. 1****FIG. 2****FIG. 3**




FIG. 4






FIG. 5

**FIG. 6****FIG. 7**

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/US2005/018273

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 E21B34/14 E21B21/10 E21B41/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 E21B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 2003/173086 A1 (HOWARD WILLIAM F ET AL) 18 September 2003 (2003-09-18) figures 3,4	1,2,17, 24
A	US 5 579 841 A (SCHNEIDER ET AL) 3 December 1996 (1996-12-03) figure 1	1,17,24
P,A	WO 2004/097165 A (DRILLING SOLUTIONS PTY LTD; BEGLEY, MAXWELL, GRAHAM; MUNSLOW-DAVIES, K) 11 November 2004 (2004-11-11) figure 7	1,17,24
A	US 6 161 632 A (HOVDEN ET AL) 19 December 2000 (2000-12-19) figure 7	1,17,24
	----- -/-- -----	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- *&* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

22 August 2005

Date of mailing of the international search report

29/08/2005

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Morrish, S

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/US2005/018273

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 3 054 415 A (BAKER JOHN R ET AL) 18 September 1962 (1962-09-18) figure 2a -----	1,17,24
A	GB 2 361 498 A (* WESTERN WELL TOOL INC) 24 October 2001 (2001-10-24) figure 7 -----	1,17,24

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US2005/018273

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2003173086	A1	18-09-2003	AU 2003218142 A1	29-09-2003
			CA 2478885 A1	25-09-2003
			WO 03078791 A2	25-09-2003
			US 2005150657 A1	14-07-2005
US 5579841	A	03-12-1996	DE 69118395 D1	02-05-1996
			EP 0559735 A1	15-09-1993
			WO 9209784 A1	11-06-1992
			NO 932007 A	26-07-1993
WO 2004097165	A	11-11-2004	WO 2004097165 A1	11-11-2004
US 6161632	A	19-12-2000	NO 954100 A	17-04-1997
			AU 7344996 A	07-05-1997
			GB 2321484 A ,B	29-07-1998
			WO 9714868 A1	24-04-1997
US 3054415	A	18-09-1962	NONE	
GB 2361498	A	24-10-2001	US 6250405 B1	26-06-2001
			GB 2361499 A ,B	24-10-2001
			AU 740639 B2	08-11-2001
			AU 2960300 A	24-07-2000
			CA 2334683 A1	13-07-2000
			GB 2353549 A ,B	28-02-2001
			NO 20013346 A	29-08-2001
			WO 0040833 A1	13-07-2000
			US 2002117337 A1	29-08-2002
			US 2003192720 A1	16-10-2003
			US 2002038727 A1	04-04-2002
			US 2004188147 A1	30-09-2004