(54) **PEARLITE STEEL RAIL OF HIGH INTERNAL HARDNESS TYPE EXCELLENT IN WEAR RESISTANCE, FATIGUE FAILURE RESISTANCE AND DELAYED FRACTURE RESISTANCE AND PROCESS FOR PRODUCTION OF THE SAME**

PERLITEISENSCHIENE VOM TYP HOHER INTERNER HÄRTE MIT HERVORRAGENDER VERSCHLEISSFESTIGKEIT, ERMÜDUNGSVERSAGENSBESTÄNDIGKEIT UND BESTÄNDIGKEIT GEGENÜBER VERZÖGERTEM BRUCH UND HERSTELLUNGSVERFAHREN DAFÜR

RAIL EN ACIER PERLITIQUE À DURETÉ INTERNE ÉLEVÉE DONT LA RÉSISTANCE À L'USURE, À LA RUPTURE PAR FATIGUE ET À LA FRACTURE RETARDÉE SONT EXCELLENTES AINSI QUE SON PROCÉDÉ DE FABRICATION

(72) Inventors:
• **HONJO, Minoru
Tokyo 100-0011 (JP)**
• **KIMURA, Tatsumi
Tokyo 100-0011 (JP)**
• **SUZUKI, Shinichi
Tokyo 100-0011 (JP)**
• **NISHIMURA, Kimihiro
Tokyo 100-0011 (JP)**
• **SHIKANAI, Nobuo
Tokyo 100-0011 (JP)**

(56) References cited:
WO-A1-2005/085481   CN-A- 1 793 403
JP-A- 9 241 747   JP-A- 10 195 601
JP-A- 50 047 808   JP-A- H05 171 268
JP-A- H06 279 928   JP-B- 58 018 966

EP 2 196 552 B1

Description

Technical Field

[0001]   The present invention relates to an internal high hardness type pearlitic rail with excellent wear resistance and rolling contact fatigue (RCF) resistance and a method for producing the same. Specifically, the present invention relates to an internal high hardness type pearlitic rail having excellent wear resistance, rolling contact fatigue resistance, and delayed fracture properties and achieving longer operating life of rails used under severe high-axle load conditions like foreign mining railways in which freight cars are heavy and high curve lines are often present, and to a method for producing the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail.

Background Art

[0002]   In high-axle load railways mainly transporting mineral ores, a load on an axle of a freight car is significantly higher than that of a passenger car, and the use environment of rails is also severe. Rails used in such an environment have been mainly composed of steel having a pearlitic structure from the viewpoint of significant concern of wear resistance. To enhance the efficiency of railway transport, progress has recently been made in increasing carrying capacity. Thus, there is a need for further improvement in wear resistance and rolling contact fatigue resistance. High-axle load railways are used to indicate railways in which trains and freight cars have a large carrying capacity (for example, a carrying capacity of about 150 ton or more per freight car).

[0003]   In recent years, various studies have been conducted in order to further improve wear resistance. For example, in Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication Nos. 8-109439 and 8-144016, the C content is increased to more than 0.85% and 1.20% by mass or less. In Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication Nos. 8-246100 and 8-246101, the C content is increased to more than 0.85% to 1.20% by mass or less and a rail head is subjected to heat treatment. In this way, for example, a technique for improving wear resistance by increasing the C content to increase the cementite ratio has been used.

[0004]   Meanwhile, rails placed in curved sections of high-axle load railways are subjected to rolling stress due to wheels and slip force due to centrifugal force, causing severe wear of rails and fatigue damage due to slippage. As described above, in the case where the C content is simply more than 0.85% and 1.20% by mass or less, a proeutectoid cementite structure is formed depending on heat treatment conditions, and the amount of a cementite layer in a brittle lamellar pearlitic structure is also increased; hence, rolling contact fatigue resistance is not improved. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication No. 2002-69585, thus, discloses a technique for inhibiting the formation of proeutectoid cementite by addition of Al and Si to improve rolling contact fatigue resistance. The addition of Al, however, causes the formation of an oxide acting as a starting point of fatigue damage, for example. It is thus difficult to satisfy both wear resistance and rolling contact fatigue resistance of a rail having a pearlitic structure.

[0005]   To improve the operating life of rails, in Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication No. 10-195601, a portion located from the surface of corners and of the top of the head of the rail to a depth of at least 20 mm have a hardness of 370HV or more, thereby improving the operating life of the rail. In Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication No. 2003-293086, by controlling a pearlite block, a portion located from the surface of corners and of the top of the head of the rail to a depth of at least 20 mm have a hardness of 300HV to 500HV, thereby improving the operating life of the rail.

[0006]   Further strengthening of a rail increases the risk of causing a delayed fracture. In Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication Nos. 8-109439, 8-144016, 8-246100, 8-246101, 2002-69585, 10-195601, and 2003-293086, the effect of preventing the delayed fracture is not sufficient.

[0007]   As a technique for preventing a delayed fracture of a rail composed of pearlitic steel (hereinafter, referred to as a "pearlitic rail"), for example, Japanese Patent No. 3648192 and Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication No. 5-287450 disclose a technique for improving delayed fracture properties by subjecting high-strength pearlitic steel to heavy drawing. In the case of applying the technique to rails, disadvantageously, the use of heavy drawing increases the production cost of rails.

[0008]   The control of the figure and volume of A-type inclusions disclosed in Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication Nos. 2000-328190 and 6-279928, Japanese Patent No. 3323272, and Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication No. 6-279929 is also known to be effective as a technique for improving delayed fracture properties. In Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication Nos. 2000-328190 and 6-279928, Japanese Patent No. 3323272, and Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication No. 6-279929, however, the figure and volume of A-type inclusions are controlled in order to improve the toughness and ductility of rails. For example, in Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication No. 6-279928, A-type inclusions are controlled so as to have a size of 0.1 to 20 μm and in such a manner that the number of the A-type inclusions is 25 to 11,000 per square millimeter, thereby improving the toughness and ductility of a rail. Thus, this technique does not necessarily provide satisfactory delayed

fracture properties. CN 1793403-A and JP H05-171268 A also disclose pearlitic rails.

[0009] The use environment of pearlitic rails, however, has been increasingly severe. To improve the operating life of pearlitic rails, there has been a challenge for higher hardness, the expansion of the range of quench hardening depth, and improvement in delayed fracture properties. To solve the problems, the present invention has been accomplished. The optimization of the addition of Si, Mn, Cr, V, and N, optimizations of a quench hardenability index (hereinafter, referred to as "DI") and a carbon equivalent (hereinafter, referred to as "C_{eq}"), and keeping the values of [%Mn]/[%Cr] and [%V]/[%N], where [%Mn] represents the Mn content, [%Cr] represents the Cr content, [%V] represents the V content, and [%N] represents the N content, within proper ranges increase the hardness of a portion located from the surface of a rail head to a depth of at least 25 mm, as compared with hypoeutectoid-, eutectoid-, and hypereutectoid-type pearlitic rails in the related art, thereby providing an internal high hardness type pearlitic rail with excellent wear resistance, rolling contact fatigue resistance, and delayed fracture properties. The present invention also provides a preferred method for producing the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail.

Disclosure of Invention

[0010] To overcome the foregoing problems, the inventors have produced pearlitic rails with different proportions of Si, Mn, Cr, V, and N and have conducted intensive studies on the structure, hardness, wear resistance, rolling contact fatigue resistance, and delayed fracture properties. As a result, the inventors have found that in the case where the value of [%Mn]/[%Cr], which is calculated from the Mn content [%Mn] and the Cr content [%Cr], is greater than or equal to 0.3 and less than 1.0 and where the value of [%V]/[%N], which is calculated from the V content [%V] and the N content [%N], is in the range of 8.0 to 30.0, the spacing of the lamella (lamellar spacing) of a pearlite layer (hereinafter, also referred to simply as a "lamella") is reduced, and the internal hardness of a rail head that is defined by the Vickers hardness of a portion located from a surface layer of the rail head to a depth of at least 25 mm is greater than or equal to 380Hv and less than 480Hv, thereby improving wear resistance, rolling contact fatigue resistance, and delayed fracture properties. Furthermore, the inventors have found that in the case where the quench hardenability index (i.e., the DI value) is in the range of 5.6 to 8.6, the carbon equivalent (i.e., the C_{eq} value) is in the range of 1.04 to 1.27, and the value of [%Si] + [%Mn] + [%Cr], which is calculated from the Mn content [%Mn], the Cr content [%Cr], and the Si content [%Si], is in the range of 1.55% to 2.50% by mass, the effect of improving wear resistance and rolling contact fatigue resistance can be stably maintained.

[0011] The present invention has been accomplished on the basis of these findings.

[0012] According to the present invention, an internal high hardness type pearlitic rail with excellent wear resistance, rolling contact fatigue resistance, and delayed fracture properties has a composition containing 0.73% to 0.85% by mass C, 0.5% to 0.75% by mass Si, 0.3% to 1.0% by mass Mn, 0.035% by mass or less P, 0.0005% to 0.012% by mass S, 0.2% to 1.3% by mass Cr, 0.005% to 0.12% by mass V, 0.0015% to 0.0060% by mass N, 0.004% by mass or less O, 0.0010% by mass or less Ti, and optionally one or two or more selected from 1.0% by mass or less Cu, 1.0% by mass or less Ni, 0.001% to 0.05% by mass Nb, and 0.5% by mass or less Mo, the balance being Fe and incidental impurities, in which the value of [%Mn]/[%Cr] is greater than or equal to 0.3 and less than 1.0, where [%Mn] represents the Mn content, and [%Cr] represents the Cr content, and the value of [%V]/[%N] is in the range of 8.0 to 30.0, where [%V] represents the V content, and [%N] represents the N content, and in which the internal hardness of a rail head is defined by the Vickers hardness of a portion located from a surface layer of the rail head to a depth of at least 25 mm and is greater than or equal to 380Hv and less than 480Hv.

[0013] In the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail of the present invention, preferably, the value of DI calculated from expression (1) is in the range of 5.6 to 8.6, and the value of C_{eq} calculated from expression (2) is in the range of 1.04 to 1.27,

$$DI = (0.548[\%C]^{1/2}) \times (1 + 0.64[\%Si]) \times (1 + 4.1[\%Mn]) \times (1 +$$

$$2.83[\%P]) \times (1 - 0.62[\%S]) \times (1 + 2.23[\%Cr]) \times (1 +$$

$$1.82[\%V]) \qquad (1);$$

and

$$C_{eq} = [\%C] + ([\%Si]/11) + ([\%Mn]/7) + ([\%Cr]/5.8) + [\%V]$$

$$(2)$$

where [%C] represents the C content, [%Si] represents the Si content, [%Mn] represents the Mn content, [%P] represents the P content, [%S] represents the S content, [%Cr] represents the Cr content, and [%V] represents the V content of the composition.

[0014] Preferably, the value of [%Si] + [%Mn] + [%Cr] is in the range of 1.55% to 2.50, where [%Si] represents the Si content, [%Mn] represents the Mn content, and [%Cr] represents the Cr content of the composition. Preferably, the composition further contains one or two or more selected from 1.0% by mass or less Cu, 1.0% by mass or less Ni, 0.001% to 0.05% by mass Nb, and 0.5% by mass or less Mo.

[0015] In the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail of the present invention, preferably, the lamellar spacing of a pearlite layer in the portion located from the surface layer of the rail head to a depth of at least 25 mm is in the range of 0.04 to 0.15 μm.

[0016] Furthermore, according to the present invention, a method for producing an internal high hardness type pearlitic rail with excellent wear resistance, rolling contact fatigue resistance, and delayed fracture properties includes hot-rolling a steel material having the composition described above to form a rail in such a manner that the finishing rolling temperature is in the range of 850°C to 950°C, and then slack-quenching the surface of the railhead from a temperature equal to or higher than a pearlite transformation starting temperature to 400°C to 650°C at a cooling rate of 1.2 to 5 °C/s.

[0017] According to the present invention, a pearlitic rail having excellent wear resistance, rolling contact fatigue resistance, and delayed fracture properties can be stably produced compared with pearlitic rails in the related art. This contributes to longer operating life of pearlitic rails used for high-axle load railways and to the prevention of railway accidents, providing industrially beneficial effects.

Brief Description of Drawings

[0018]

[Figs. 1A and 1B] Figs. 1A and 1B show a Nishihara-type rolling contact test piece used for evaluation of wear resistance, Fig. 1A is a plan view, and Fig. 1B is a side view.
[Fig. 2] Fig. 2 is a cross-sectional view of a rail head and illustrates positions where Nishihara-type rolling contact test pieces are taken.
[Figs. 3A and 3B] Figs. 3A and 3B show a Nishihara-type rolling contact test piece used for evaluation of rolling contact fatigue resistance, Fig. 3A is a plan view, and Fig. 3B is a side view.
[Fig. 4] Fig. 4 is a cross-sectional view of a rail head and illustrates a position where a slow strain rate technique (SSRT) test piece is taken.
[Fig. 5] Fig. 5 is a cross-sectional view of the shape and dimensions of the SSRT test piece.
[Fig. 6] Fig. 6 is a graph showing the relationship between the [%V]/[%N] value and the rate of improvement in delayed fracture susceptibility.

Reference Numerals

[0019]

1 Nishihara-type rolling contact test piece taken from pearlitic rail
1a Nishihara-type rolling contact test piece taken from surface layer of rail head
1b Nishihara-type rolling contact test piece taken from inside of rail head
2 tire specimen
3 rail head
4 SSRT test piece

Best Modes for Carrying Out the Invention

[0020] The reason for limitations for the conditions of an internal high hardness type pearlitic rail of the present invention including the composition will be described.

C: 0.73% to 0.85% by mass

[0021] C is an essential element to form cementite in a pearlitic structure to ensure wear resistance. The wear resistance is improved as the C content is increased. At a C content of less than 0.73% by mass, however, it is difficult to provide high wear resistance compared with heat treatment-type pearlitic rails in the conventional art. A C content exceeding 0.85% by mass results in the formation of proeutectoid cementite in austenite grain boundaries during transformation

after hot rolling, thereby significantly reducing rolling contact fatigue resistance. Thus, the C content is set in the range of 0.73% to 0.85% by mass and preferably 0.75% to 0.85% by mass.

Si: 0.5% to 0.75% by mass

[0022]   Si is an element serving as a deoxidizer and strengthening a pearlitic structure and needed in an amount of 0.5% by mass or more. A Si content exceeding 0.75% by mass results in a deterioration in weldability due to high bond strength of Si with oxygen. Further more, high quench hardenability of Si facilitates the formation of a martensitic structure in a surface layer of the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail. Thus, the Si content is set in the range of 0.5% to 0.75% by mass and preferably 0.5% to 0.70% by mass.

Mn: 0.3% to 1.0% by mass

[0023]   Mn reduces a pearlite transformation starting temperature to reduce a lamellar spacing. Thus, Mn contributes to higher strength and higher ductility of the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail. An excessive amount of Mn added reduces the equilibrium transformation temperature of pearlite to reduce the degree of supercooling, increasing the lamellar spacing. A Mn content of less than 0.3% by mass does not result in a sufficient effect. A Mn content exceeding 1.0% by mass facilitates the formation of a martensitic structure, so that hardening and embrittlement occur during heat treatment and welding, thereby readily reducing the quality of the material. Furthermore, even if the pearlitic structure is formed, the equilibrium transformation temperature is reduced, thereby increasing the lamellar spacing. Thus, the Mn content is set in the range of 0.3% to 1.0% by mass and preferably 0.3% to 0.8% by mass.

P: 0.035% by mass or less

[0024]   A P content exceeding 0.035% results in a deterioration in ductility. Thus, the P content is set to 0.035% by mass or less and preferably 0.020% by mass or less.

S: 0.0005% to 0.012% by mass

[0025]   S is present in steel mainly in the form of A-type inclusions. A S content exceeding 0.012% by mass results in a significant increase in the amount of the inclusions and also results in the formation of coarse inclusions, thereby reducing cleanliness of steel. A S content of less than 0.0005% by mass leads to an increase in steelmaking cost. Thus, the S content is set in the range of 0.0005% to 0.012% by mass and preferably 0.0005% to 0.008% by mass.

Cr: 0.2% to 1.3% by mass

[0026]   Cr is an element that increases the equilibrium transformation temperature of pearlite to contribute to a reduction in lamellar spacing and that further increases the strength by solid-solution hardening. However, a Cr content of less than 0.2% by mass does not result in sufficient internal hardness. A Cr content exceeding 1.3% by mass results in excessively high quench hardenability, forming martensite to reduce wear resistance and rolling contact fatigue resistance. Thus, the Cr content is set in the range of 0.2% to 1.3% by mass, preferably 0.3% to 1.3% by mass, and more preferably 0.5% to 1.3% by mass.

V: 0.005% to 0.12% by mass

[0027]   V forms a carbonitride that is dispersively precipitated in a matrix, improving wear resistance and delayed fracture properties. At a V content of less than 0.005% by mass, the effect is reduced. A V content exceeding 0.12% by mass results in an increase in alloy cost, thereby increasing the cost of the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail. Thus, the V content is in the range of 0.005% to 0.12% by mass and preferably 0.012% to 0.10% by mass.

N: 0.0015% to 0.0060% by mass

[0028]   N forms a nitride that is dispersively precipitated in a matrix, improving wear resistance and delayed fracture properties. At a N content of less than 0.0015% by mass, the effect is reduced. A N content exceeding 0.0060% by mass results in the formation of coarse nitrides in the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail, thereby reducing rolling contact fatigue resistance and delayed fracture properties. Thus, the N content is in the range of 0.0015% to 0.060% by mass and preferably 0.0030% to 0.0060%.

[%Mn]/[%Cr]: greater than or equal to 0.3 and less than 1.0

[0029] Mn and Cr are additive elements in order to increase the hardness of the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail. In the case where an appropriate balance between the Mn content [%Mn] and the Cr content [%Cr] is not achieved, however, martensite is formed in a surface layer of the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail. Note that the units of [%Mn] and [%Cr] are percent by mass. When the value of [%Mn]/[%Cr] is less than 0.3, the Cr content is high. This facilitates the formation of martensite in the surface layer of the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail due to high quench hardenability of Cr. When the value of [%Mn]/[%Cr] is 1.0 or more, the Mn content is high. This also facilitates the formation of martensite in the surface layer of the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail due to high quench hardenability of Mn. In the case where the Mn content and the Cr content are set in the above ranges respectively and where the value of [%Mn]/[%Cr] is greater than or equal to 0.3 and less than 1.0, the internal hardness of the head of the rail (hardness of a portion located from the surface layer of the head of the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail to a depth of at least 25 mm) can be controlled within a range described below while the formation of martensite in the surface layer is being prevented. Thus, the value of [%Mn]/[%Cr] is greater than or equal to 0.3 and less than 1.0 and preferably in the range of 0.3 to 0.9.

[%V]/[%N]: 8.0 to 30.0

[0030] V and N are important elements that form a V-based nitride serving as a hydrogen-trapping site. To form the V-based nitride, the amounts thereof added must be controlled. The units of [%V] and [%N] are percent by mass. At a [%V]/[%N] value of less than 8.0, the V-based nitride is not sufficiently formed, thereby reducing the number of the hydrogen-trapping sites. Thus, it is unlikely that delayed fracture properties will be significantly improved. At a [%V]/[%N] value exceeding 30.0, the amount of V added is increased to increase the alloy cost, thereby increasing the cost of the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail. Furthermore, it is unlikely that delayed fracture properties will be significantly improved. Thus, the [%V]/[%N] value is in the range of 8.0 to 30.0 and preferably 8.0 to 22.0.

[0031] Internal hardness of rail head (hardness of portion located from surface layer of head of internal high hardness type pearlitic rail to depth of at least 25 mm): greater than or equal to 380Hv and less than 480Hv

[0032] An internal hardness of the rail head of less than 380Hv results in a reduction in wear resistance, thereby reducing the operating life of the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail. An internal hardness of the rail head of 480Hv or more results in the formation of martensite, thereby reducing the rolling contact fatigue resistance of the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail. Thus, the internal hardness of the rail head is greater than or equal to 380Hv and less than 480Hv. The reason the internal hardness of the rail head is defined by the hardness of the portion located from the surface layer of the head of the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail to a depth of at least 25 mm is as follows: at a depth of less than 25 mm, the wear resistance of the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail is reduced with increasing distance from the surface layer of the rail head toward the inside, reducing the operating life. Preferably, the internal hardness of the rail head is greater than 390Hv and less than 480Hv.

DI: 5.6 to 8.6

[0033] The value of DI is calculated from expression (1) described below.

$$DI = (0.548[\%C]^{1/2}) \times (1 + 0.64[\%Si]) \times (1 + 4.1[\%Mn]) \times (1 + 2.83[\%P]) \times (1 - 0.62[\%S]) \times (1 + 2.23[\%Cr]) \times (1 + 1.82[\%V]) \quad (1)$$

where [%C] represents the C content, [%Si] represents the Si content, [%Mn] represents the Mn content, [%P] represents the P content, [%S] represents the S content, [%Cr] represents the Cr content, and [%V] represents the V content. Note that the units of [%C], [%Si], [%Mn], [%P], [%S], [%Cr], and [%V] are percent by mass.

[0034] The DI value indicates quench hardenability and is used as an index to determine whether quench hardenability is good or not. In the present invention, the DI value is used as an index to prevent the formation of martensite in the surface layer of the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail and to achieve a target value of the internal hardness of the rail head. The DI value is preferably maintained within a suitable range. At a DI value of less than 5.6, although a desired internal hardness is provided, the internal hardness is close to the lower limit of the target hardness range. Thus, it is unlikely that the wear resistance, rolling contact fatigue resistance, and delayed fracture properties will be further im-

proved. A DI value exceeding 8.6 results in an increase in the quench hardenability of the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail, facilitating the formation of martensite in the surface layer of the rail head. Thus, the DI value is preferably in the range of 5.6 to 8.6 and more preferably 5.6 to 8.2.

C_{eq}: 1.04 to 1.27

[0035] The value of C_{eq} is calculated from expression (2) described below.

$$C_{eq} = [\%C] + ([\%Si]/11) + ([\%Mn]/7) + ([\%Cr]/5.8) + [\%V] \quad (2)$$

where [%C] represents the C content, [%Si] represents the Si content, [%Mn] represents the Mn content, [%Cr] represents the Cr content, and [%V] represents the V content. Note that the units of [%C], [%Si], [%Mn], [%Cr], and [%V] are percent by mass.

[0036] The C_{eq} value is used to estimate the maximum hardness and weldability from proportions of the alloy components added. In the present invention, the C_{eq} value is used as an index to prevent the formation of martensite in the surface layer of the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail and to achieve a target value of the internal hardness of the rail head. The C_{eq} value is preferably maintained within a suitable range. At a C_{eq} value of less than 1.04, although a desired internal hardness is provided, the internal hardness is close to the lower limit of the target hardness range. Thus, it is unlikely that the wear resistance and rolling contact fatigue resistance will be further improved. A C_{eq} value exceeding 1.27 results in an increase in the quench hardenability of the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail, facilitating the formation of martensite in the surface layer of the rail head. Thus, the C_{eq} value is preferably in the range of 1.04 to 1.27 and more preferably 1.04 to 1.20.

[%Si] + [%Mn] + [%Cr]: 1.55% to 2.50

[0037] When the sum of the Si content [%Si], the Mn content [%Mn], and the Cr content [%Cr] (= [%Si] + [%Mn] + [%Cr]) is less than 1.55, it is difficult to satisfy an internal hardness of the rail head greater than or equal to 380Hv and less than 480Hv. When the sum exceeds 2.50, a martensitic structure is formed because of high quench hardenability of Si, Mn, and Cr. This is liable to cause a reduction in ductility and toughness. Thus, the value of [%Si] + [%Mn] + [%Cr] is preferably in the range of 1.55 to 2.50 and more preferably 1.55 to 2.30. The units of [%Si], [%Mn], and [%Cr] are percent by mass.

[0038] The composition described above may further contain one or two or more selected from 1.0% by mass or less Cu, 1.0% by mass or less Ni, 0.001% to 0.05% by mass Nb, and 0.5% by mass or less Mo, as needed.

Cu: 1.0% by mass or less

[0039] Like Cr, Cu is an element that further increases the strength by solid-solution hardening. To provide the effect, the Cu content is preferably 0.005% by mass or more. A Cu content exceeding 1.0% by mass, however, is liable to cause Cu cracking. Thus, in the case where Cu is added, the Cu content is preferably 1.0% by mass or less and more preferably 0.005% to 0.5% by mass.

Ni: 1.0% by mass or less

[0040] Ni is an element that increases the strength without reducing ductility. Furthermore, the addition of Ni together with Cu suppresses Cu cracking. Thus, when Cu is added, preferably, Ni is also added. To provide the effect, the Ni content is preferably 0.005% or more. The Ni content exceeding 1.0% by mass, however, results in an increase in quench hardenability, forming martensite. This is liable to cause a reduction in wear resistance and rolling contact fatigue resistance. In the case where Ni is added, thus, the Ni content is preferably 1.0% by mass or less and more preferably 0.005% to 0.5% by mass.

Nb: 0.001% to 0.05% by mass

[0041] Nb is combined with C in steel to precipitate as a carbide during and after rolling and contributes to a reduction in pearlite colony size. This leads to significant improvement in wear resistance, rolling contact fatigue resistance and ductility and significant contribution to longer operating life of the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail. To provide

the effects, a Nb content of 0.001% by mass or more is preferred. At a Nb content of less than 0.001% by mass, the effect is not sufficiently provided. At a Nb content exceeding 0.05% by mass, the effect of improving wear resistance and rolling contact fatigue resistance is saturated, the effect is not worth the amount added. In the case where Nb is added, thus, the Nb content is preferably in the range of 0.001% to 0.05% by mass and more preferably 0.001% to 0.03% by mass.

Mo: 0.5% by mass or less

[0042] Mo is an element that increases the strength by solid-solution hardening. To provide the effect, the Mn content is preferably 0.005% by mass or more. A Mo content exceeding 0.5% by mass is liable to cause the formation of a bainitic structure and to reduce wear resistance. In the case where Mo is added, thus, the Mo content is preferably 0.5% by mass or less and more preferably 0.005% to 0.3% by mass.

[0043] Lamellar spacing of pearlite layer in portion located from surface layer of rail head to depth of at least 25 mm: 0.04 to 0.15 μm

[0044] A reduction in the lamellar spacing of a pearlite layer increases the hardness of the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail, which is advantageous from the viewpoint of improving wear resistance and rolling contact fatigue resistance. A lamellar spacing exceeding 0.15 μm does no result in sufficient improvement in these properties. Thus, the lamellar spacing is preferably 0.15 μm or less. On the other hand, for reducing the lamellar spacing to less than 0.04 μm, a technique for reducing the lamellar spacing by improving quench hardenability is to be used. This is liable to cause the formation of martensite in the surface layer, thereby adversely affecting rolling contact fatigue resistance. Thus, the lamellar spacing is preferably 0.04 μm or more.

[0045] The present invention also includes a pearlitic rail containing other trace elements in place of part of the balance Fe in a composition according to the present invention to the extent that the effect of the present invention is not substantially affected. Here, examples of impurities include P and O. A P content of up to 0.035% by mass is allowable as described above. An O content of up to 0.004% by mass is allowable. Furthermore, in the present invention, a Ti content of up to 0.0010% is allowable, Ti being contained as an impurity. In particular, Ti forms an oxide to reduce rolling contact fatigue resistance, which is a basic property of the rail. Thus, the Ti content is preferably controlled so as to be up to 0.0010%.

[0046] The internal high hardness type pearlitic rail of the present invention is preferably produced by hot-rolling a steel material with a composition according to the present invention to form a rail shape in such a manner that the finishing rolling temperature is in the range of 850°C to 950°C, and slack-quenching at least the head of the rail article from a temperature equal to or higher than a pearlite transformation starting temperature to 400°C to 650°C at a cooling rate of 1.2 to 5 °C/s. The reason for a finishing rolling temperature (roll finishing temperature) of 850°C to 950°C, a cooling rate of the slack quenching of 1.2 to 5 °C/s, and a cooling stop temperature of 400°C to 650°C is described below.

Finishing rolling temperature: 850°C to 950°C

[0047] In the case of a finishing rolling temperature of less than 850°C, rolling is performed to a low-temperature austenite range. This not only introduces processing strain in austenite grains but also causes a significantly high degree of extension of austenite grains. The introduction of dislocation and an increase in austenite grain boundary area result in an increase in the number of pearlite nucleation sites. Although the pearlite colony size is reduced, the increase in the number of pearlite nucleation sites increases a pearlite transformation starting temperature, thereby increasing the lamellar spacing of the pearlite layer to cause a significant reduction in wear resistance. Meanwhile, a finishing rolling temperature exceeding 950°C increases the austenite grain size, thereby increasing the final pearlite colony size to cause a reduction in rolling contact fatigue resistance. Thus, the finishing rolling temperature is preferably in the range of 850°C to 950°C.

Cooling rate from temperature equal to or higher than pearlite transformation starting temperature: 1.2 to 5 °C/s

[0048] A cooling rate of less than 1.2 °C/s results in an increase in pearlite transformation starting temperature, thereby increasing the lamellar spacing of the pearlite layer to cause a significant reduction in wear resistance and rolling contact fatigue resistance. Meanwhile, a cooling rate exceeding 5 °C/s results in the formation of a martensitic structure, thereby reducing ductility and toughness. Thus, the cooling rate is preferably in the range of 1.2 to 5 °C/s and more preferably 1.2 to 4.6 °C/s. Although the pearlite transformation starting temperature varies depending on the cooling rate, the present invention, the pearlite transformation starting temperature is referred to as an equilibrium transformation temperature in the present invention. In the composition range of the present invention, the cooling rate within the above range may be used at 720°C or higher.

Cooling stop temperature: 400°C to 650°C

[0049] In the case of the composition and the cooling rate according to the present invention, in order to obtain a uniform pearlitic structure at a cooling rate of 1.2 to 5 °C/s, it is preferable to ensure a cooling stop temperature of at least about 70°C lower than the equilibrium transformation temperature. A cooling stop temperature of less than 400°C, however, results in an increase in cooling time, leading to an increase in the cost of the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail. Thus, the cooling stop temperature is preferably in the range of 400°C to 650°C and more preferably 450°C to 650°C.

[0050] Next, methods for measuring and evaluating wear resistance, rolling contact fatigue resistance, delayed fracture properties, the internal hardness of the rail head, and the lamellar spacing will be described.

(Wear resistance)

[0051] With respect to wear resistance, most preferably, the internal high hardness type pearlitic rail is actually placed and evaluated. In this case, disadvantageously, it takes a long time to conduct a test. In the present invention, thus, evaluation is made by a comparative test performed under simulated real conditions of rail and wheel contact with a Nishihara-type rolling contact test machine that can evaluate wear resistance in a short time. A Nishihara-type rolling contact test piece 1 having an external diameter of 30 mm is taken from the rail head. The test is performed by contacting the test piece 1 with a tire specimen 2 and rotating them as shown in Fig. 1. Arrows in Fig. 1 indicate rotational directions of the Nishihara-type rolling contact test piece 1 and the tire specimen 2. With respect to the tire specimen, a round bar with a diameter of 32 mm is taken from the head of a standard rail (Japanese industrial standard rail) described in JIS E1101. The round bar is subjected to heat treatment so as to have a Vickers hardness of 390HV (load: 98 N) and a tempered martensitic structure. Then the round bar is processed so as to have a shape shown in Fig. 1, resulting in the tire specimen. Note that the Nishihara-type rolling contact test piece 1 is taken from each of two portions of a rail head 3 as shown in Fig. 2. A piece taken from a surface layer of the rail head 3 is referred to as a Nishihara-type rolling contact test piece 1a. A piece taken from the inside is referred to as a Nishihara-type rolling contact test piece 1b. The center of the Nishihara-type rolling contact test piece 1b, which is taken from the inside of the rail head 3, in the longitudinal direction is located at a depth of 24 to 26 mm (mean value: 25 mm) below the top face of the rail head 3. The test is performed in a dry state at a contact pressure of 1.4 GPa, a slip ratio of -10%, and a rotation speed of 675 rpm (750 rpm for the tire specimen). The wear amount at 100,000 rotations is measured. A heat-treated pearlitic rail is employed as reference steel used in comparing wear amounts. It is determined that the wear resistance is improved when the wear amount is at least 10% smaller than that of the reference steel. Note that the rate of improvement in wear resistance is calculated from {(wear amount of reference steel - wear amount of test piece)/(wear amount of reference steel)} × 100.

(Rolling contact fatigue resistance)

[0052] With respect to rolling contact fatigue resistance, the Nishihara-type rolling contact test piece 1 having an external diameter of 30 mm and a curved contact surface with a radius of curvature of 15 mm is taken from the rail head. A test is performed by contacting the test piece 1 with the tire specimen 2 and rotating them as shown in Fig. 3. Arrows in Fig. 3 indicate rotational directions of the Nishihara-type rolling contact test piece 1 and the tire specimen 2. Note that the Nishihara-type rolling contact test piece 1 is taken from each of two portions of a rail head 3 as shown in Fig. 2. The tire specimen and each portion where the Nishihara-type rolling contact test piece 1 is taken are the same as above; hence, the description is omitted. The test is performed under an oil-lubricated condition at a contact pressure of 2.2 GPa, a slip ratio of -20%, and a rotation speed of 600 rpm (750 rpm for the tire specimen). The surface of each test piece is observed every 25,000 rotations. The number of rotations at the occurrence of a crack with a length of 0.5 mm or more is defined as rolling contact fatigue life. A heat-treated pearlitic rail is employed as reference steel used in comparing rolling contact fatigue life. It is determined that the rolling contact fatigue resistance is improved when the rolling contact fatigue life is at least 10% longer than that of the reference steel. Note that the rate of improvement in rolling contact fatigue resistance is calculated from {(number of rotations at occurrence of fatigue damage of test piece - number of rotation at occurrence of fatigue damage of reference steel)/(number of rotations at occurrence of fatigue damage of reference steel)} × 100.

(Delayed fracture property)

[0053] As shown in Fig. 4, a slow strain rate technique (SSRT) test piece 4 having the center 25.4 mm below the top face of the rail head 3 is taken. The SSRT test piece 4 has dimensions and a shape shown in Fig. 5. The test piece is subjected to three triangle mark finish, except for screw sections and round sections. Parallel sections are polished with emery paper (up to #600). The SSRT test piece is mounted on an SSRT test apparatus and then subjected to an SSRT

test at a strain rate of 3.3×10^{-6} /s and a temperature of 25°C in the atmosphere, obtaining elongation E_0 of the SSRT test piece in the atmosphere. An SSRT test piece is subjected to an SSRT test in a 20 mass% ammonium thiocyanate (NH_4SCN) solution at a strain rate of 3.3×10^{-6} /s and a temperature of 25°C, obtaining elongation E_1 of the SSRT test piece in the ammonium thiocyanate solution. Delayed fracture susceptibility (i.e., DF) used as an index to evaluate delayed fracture properties is calculated from DF (%) = $100 \times (1 - E_1/E_0)$. It is determined that the delayed fracture properties are improved when the rate of improvement in delayed fracture susceptibility is at least 10% higher than that of a reference steel (i.e., a heat treatment-type pearlitic rail having a C content of 0.68% by mass). Note that the rate of improvement in delayed fracture susceptibility is calculated from {(delayed fracture susceptibility of test piece - delayed fracture susceptibility of reference steel)/(delayed fracture susceptibility of reference steel} $\times$ 100.

(Internal hardness of rail head)

[0054] The Vickers hardness of a portion located from the surface layer of the rail head of to a depth of 25 mm is measured at a load of 98 N and a pitch of 1 mm. Among all hardness values, the minimum hardness value is defined as the internal hardness of the rail head.

(Lamellar spacing)

[0055] Random five fields of view of each of a portion (at a depth of about 1 mm) close to the surface layer of the rail head and a portion located at a depth of 25 mm are observed with a scanning electron microscope (SEM) at a magnification of 7,500X. In the case where a portion with the minimum lamellar spacing is present, the portion is observed at a magnification of 20,000X, and the lamellar spacing in the field of view is measured. In the case where no small lamellar spacing is observed in a field of view at a magnification of 7,500X or where the cross-section of a lamellar structure is not perpendicular to a lamellar plane but is obliquely arranged, the measurement is performed in another field of view. The lamellar spacing is evaluated by the mean value of the lamellar spacing measurements in the five fields of view.

EXAMPLES

(Example 1)

[0056] Steel materials with compositions shown in Table 1 were subjected to rolling and cooling under conditions shown in Table 2 to produce pearlitic rails. Cooling was performed only at heads of the rails. After termination of the cooling, the pearlitic rails were subject to natural cooling. The resulting pearlitic rails were evaluated for Vickers hardness, lamellar spacing, wear resistance, rolling contact fatigue resistance, and delayed fracture properties. Table 3 shows the results. The finishing rolling temperature shown in Table 2 indicates a value obtained by measuring a temperature of the surface layer of a side face of each rail head on the entrance side of a final roll mill with a radiation thermometer. The cooling stop temperature indicates a value obtained by measuring a temperature of the surface layer of a side face of each rail head on the exit side of a cooling apparatus with a radiation thermometer. The cooling rate was defined as the rate of change in temperature between the start and end of cooling.

[0057] The values of [%V]/[%N] were calculated from the V content and the N content in 1-B to 1-N shown in Table 1. Fig. 6 shows the relationship between the resulting [%V]/[%N] values and the rate of improvement in delayed fracture susceptibility shown in Table 3.

[0058] The results demonstrated the following: In the case where the [%Mn]/[%Cr] value was greater than or equal to 0.3 and less than 1.0 and where the [%V]/[%N] value was in the range of 8.0 to 30.0, the portion located from the surface layer of the rail head to a depth of at least 25 mm had a hardness greater than or equal to 380Hv and less than 480Hv, so that the wear resistance and the rolling contact fatigue resistance were improved, and the delayed fracture properties are improved by 10% or more. In each of 1-F and 1-I, the [%V]/[%N] value exceeded 30. In this case, further significant improvement in delayed fracture properties was not achieved.

(Example 2)

[0059] Steel materials with compositions shown in Table 4 were subjected to rolling and cooling under conditions shown in Table 5 to produce pearlitic rails. Cooling was performed only at heads of the rails. After termination of the cooling, the pearlitic rails were subject to natural cooling. Like Example 1, the resulting pearlitic rails were evaluated for Vickers hardness, lamellar spacing, wear resistance, rolling contact fatigue resistance, and delayed fracture properties. Table 6 shows the results.

[0060] The results demonstrated the following: In each of 2-B to 2-L and 2-V to 2-X, in the case where the amounts of Si, Mn, Cr, V, and N added were optimized, the [%Mn]/[%Cr] value was greater than or equal to 0.3 and less than

1.0, the [%V]/[%N] value was in the range of 8.0 to 30.0, and one or two or more components selected from Cu, Ni, Nb, and Mo were added in proper amounts, the wear resistance, rolling contact fatigue resistance, and delayed fracture properties were improved. Among these examples, in each of 2-B to 2-H and 2-V to 2-X, i.e., in the case where of a DI value of 5.6 to 8.6 and a C_{eq} of 1.04 to 1.27, the wear resistance and the rolling contact fatigue resistance were improved compared with 2-I to 2-L. In 2-U, i.e., in the case of adding Ti, the rolling contact fatigue resistance was reduced.

[0061] According to the present invention, a pearlitic rail having excellent wear resistance, rolling contact fatigue resistance, and delayed fracture properties compared with pearlitic rails in the related art can be stably produced. This contributes to longer operating life of pearlitic rails used for high-axle load railways and to the prevention of railway accidents, providing industrially beneficial effects.

Industrial Applicability

[0062] According to the present invention, a pearlitic rail having excellent wear resistance, rolling contact fatigue resistance, and delayed fracture properties compared with pearlitic rails in the related art can be stably produced. This contributes to longer operating life of pearlitic rails used for high-axle load railways and to the prevention of railway accidents, providing industrially beneficial effects.

TABLE 1 (mass% excluding mass ratio, DI, and Ceq)

Steel No.	C	Si	Mn	P	S	Cr	V	N	[%Mn]/[%Cr]	[%V]/[%N]	DI	Ceq	[%Si]+[%Mn]+[%Cr]	Remarks
1-A	0.68	0.18	1.00	0.014	0.016	0.20	0.000	0.0024	5.0	0.0	3.8	0.87	1.38	Reference material
1-B	0.81	0.52	0.71	0.011	0.006	0.82	0.073	0.0035	0.9	20.9	8.5	1.17	2.05	Example
1-C	0.84	0.53	0.53	0.011	0.003	0.79	0.061	0.0056	0.7	10.9	6.7	1.16	1.85	
1-D	0.84	0.61	0.66	0.012	0.004	0.88	0.017	0.0021	0.8	8.1	8.2	1.16	2.15	
1-E	0.83	0.51	0.68	0.010	0.004	0.84	0.092	0.0031	0.8	29.7	8.6	1.21	2.03	
1-F	0.81	0.51	0.71	0.012	0.005	0.8	0.089	0.0020	0.9	44.5	8.5	1.18	2.02	
1-G	0.82	0.51	0.73	0.013	0.004	0.79	0.011	0.0039	0.9	2.8	7.7	1.12	2.03	Comparative example
1-H	0.81	0.66	0.69	0.011	0.005	0.83	0.015	0.0022	0.8	6.8	8.1	1.13	2.18	
1-I	0.82	0.59	0.68	0.010	0.006	0.77	0.072	0.0022	0.9	32.7	8.2	1.18	2.04	
1-J	0.76	0.51	0.69	0.011	0.003	0.82	0.072	0.0042	0.8	17.1	8.0	1.12	2.02	
1-K	0.83	0.70	0.63	0.013	0.003	0.79	0.055	0.0050	0.8	11.0	8.1	1.17	2.12	Example
1-L	0.84	0.69	0.59	0.010	0.004	0.99	0.030	0.0030	0.6	10.0	8.6	1.19	2.27	
1-M	0.82	0.52	0.46	0.011	0.004	1.20	0.063	0.0035	0.4	18.0	8.0	1.20	2.18	
1-N	0.85	0.70	0.55	0.011	0.003	0.83	0.090	0.0045	0.7	20.0	8.1	1.23	2.08	

TABE 2

Steel No.	Finishing rolling temperature (°C)	Cooling stop temperature (°C)	Cooling rate (°C/s)	Remarks
1-A	900	500	2.0	Reference material
1-B	900	500	1.6	Example
1-C	950	550	2.3	
1-D	900	450	2.2	
1-E	850	600	3.2	
1-F	900	550	1.4	Comparative example
1-G	950	500	2.2	
1-H	950	550	1.9	
1-I	850	500	1.6	
1-J	900	500	2.6	Example
1-K	950	550	3.2	
1-L	900	500	2.3	
1-M	850	450	2.5	
1-N	900	550	3.3	

TABLE 3

Steel No.	Surface layer of rail							Inside of rail 25 mm									Remarks
	Hardness of rail (HV)	Lamellar spacing (μm)	Micro-structure	Wear amount (g)	Rate of improvement in wear resistance (%)	Number of rotations at occurrence of rolling contact fatigue defect ($\times 10^5$ rotations)	Rate of improvement in rolling contact fatigue resistance (%)	Hardness of rail (HV)	Lamellar spacing (μm)	Micro-structure	Wear amount (g)	Rate of improvement in wear resistance (%)	Number of rotations at occurrence of rolling contact fatigue defect ($\times 10^5$ rotations)	Rate of improvement in rolling contact fatigue resistance (%)	Delayed fracture susceptibility (%)	Rate of improvement in delayed fracture susceptibility (%)	
1-A	370	0.16	P	1.37	-	8.10	-	340	0.23	P	1.40	-	7.65	-	85.0	0.0	Reference material
1-B	431	0.06	P	1.10	19.7	9.90	22.2	410	0.08	P	1.16	17.1	9.23	20.7	73.7	13.3	Example
1-C	420	0.08	P	1.14	16.8	9.68	19.5	403	0.09	P	1.18	15.7	9.00	17.6	75.0	11.8	
1-D	433	0.06	P	1.12	18.2	9.90	22.2	399	0.10	P	1.17	16.4	9.00	17.6	76.1	10.5	
1-E	442	0.05	P	1.09	20.4	10.13	25.1	415	0.08	P	1.16	17.1	9.00	17.6	72.6	14.6	
1-F	430	0.06	P	1.10	19.7	9.90	22.2	412	0.08	P	1.17	16.4	9.23	20.7	72.4	14.8	
1-G	409	0.09	P	1.16	15.3	9.23	14.0	382	0.14	P	1.26	10.0	8.55	11.8	78.1	8.1	Comparative example
1-H	411	0.08	P	1.14	16.8	9.23	14.0	384	0.14	P	1.26	10.0	8.55	11.8	76.9	9.5	
1-I	436	0.05	P	1.10	19.7	9.90	22.2	402	0.09	P	1.19	15.0	9.23	20.7	72.3	14.9	Example
1-J	435	0.06	P	1.12	18.2	9.90	22.2	402	0.09	P	1.19	15.0	9.00	17.6	73.9	13.1	
1-K	440	0.06	P	1.11	19.0	9.90	22.2	401	0.10	P	1.18	15.7	9.00	17.6	75.2	11.5	
1-L	439	0.06	P	1.12	18.2	9.68	19.5	403	0.10	P	1.19	15.0	9.00	17.6	75.6	11.1	
1-M	441	0.05	P	1.12	18.2	9.90	22.2	409	0.09	P	1.17	16.4	9.00	17.6	75.3	11.4	
1-N	433	0.06	P	1.14	16.8	9.68	19.5	399	0.10	P	1.19	15.0	9.00	17.6	74.8	12.0	

※ P represents pearlite, and M represents martensite.

TABEL 4

(mass% excluding mass ratio, DI, and Ceq)

Steel No.	C	Si	Mn	P	S	Cr	V	N	Nb	Cu	Ni	Mo	Ti	[%Mn]/[%Cr]	[%V]/[%N]	DI	Ceq	[%Si]+[%Mn]+[%Cr]	Remarks
2-A	0.68	0.18	1.00	0.014	0.016	0.20	0.000	0.0032						5.0	0.0	3.8	0.87	1.38	Reference material
2-B	0.84	0.55	0.55	0.012	0.004	0.77	0.050	0.0021	0.03					0.7	23.8	6.8	1.15	1.87	Example
2-C	0.84	0.65	0.39	0.011	0.008	0.78	0.051	0.0055	0.01	0.05	0.05			0.5	9.3	5.7	1.14	1.82	
2-D	0.84	0.55	0.33	0.011	0.004	1.09	0.120	0.0043		0.05	0.05	0.05		0.3	27.9	6.9	1.25	1.97	
2-E	0.82	0.52	0.66	0.015	0.003	0.83	0.050	0.0051	0.02			0.03		0.8	9.8	7.9	1.15	2.01	
2-F	0.82	0.54	0.77	0.013	0.005	0.83	0.029	0.0031	0.02			0.03		0.9	9.4	8.6	1.15	2.14	
2-G	0.82	0.66	0.47	0.016	0.002	1.15	0.013	0.0016	0.01		0.05			0.4	8.1	7.9	1.16	2.28	
2-H	0.81	0.53	0.72	0.012	0.007	0.86	0.055	0.0051		0.03	0.07	0.15		0.8	10.8	8.6	1.16	2.11	
2-I	0.83	0.51	0.51	0.010	0.005	0.65	0.031	0.0032	0.02					0.8	9.7	5.4	1.09	1.67	
2-J	0.81	0.52	0.44	0.011	0.003	0.69	0.062	0.0044	0.01			0.08		0.6	14.1	5.4	1.10	1.65	
2-K	0.81	0.52	0.44	0.012	0.004	0.75	0.042	0.0032	0.02					0.6	13.1	5.5	1.09	1.71	
2-L	0.79	0.51	0.31	0.011	0.003	0.70	0.033	0.0019	0.01					0.4	17.4	4.1	1.03	1.52	
2-M	0.78	0.31	0.72	0.011	0.004	1.08	0.044	0.0048	0.04	0.03	0.03			0.7	9.2	8.7	1.14	2.11	
2-N	0.70	0.62	0.81	0.012	0.004	0.89	0.031	0.0022	0.02					0.9	14.1	9.0	1.06	2.32	
2-P	1.05	0.51	0.58	0.009	0.003	0.63	0.027	0.0031	0.02			0.04		0.9	8.7	6.5	1.31	1.72	
2-Q	0.84	0.94	0.63	0.009	0.008	0.81	0.044	0.0042	0.02	0.05	0.05			0.8	10.5	8.9	1.20	2.38	
2-R	0.83	0.62	0.31	0.007	0.003	1.25	0.021	0.0045	0.01			0.16		0.2	4.7	6.3	1.17	2.18	
2-S	0.76	0.53	1.16	0.011	0.004	0.50	0.081	0.0042				0.05		2.3	19.3	9.2	1.14	2.19	Comparative example
2-T	0.80	0.51	0.36	0.013	0.007	1.35	0.051	0.0041		0.05	0.05	0.03		0.3	12.4	7.3	1.18	2.22	
2-U	0.79	0.73	0.55	0.011	0.004	0.75	0.055	0.0055	0.02				0.01	0.7	10.0	7.0	1.12	2.03	
2-V	0.77	0.62	0.61	0.010	0.004	0.72	0.025	0.0031	0.01					0.8	8.1	6.6	1.06	1.95	
2-W	0.84	0.51	0.51	0.014	0.003	0.71	0.053	0.0055				0.01		0.7	9.6	6.1	1.13	1.73	Example
2-X	0.82	0.70	0.31	0.013	0.004	1.11	0.048	0.0059		0.01	0.01			0.3	8.1	6.4	1.17	2.12	

TABLE 5

Steel No.	Finishing rolling temperature (°C)	Cooling stop temperature (°C)	Cooling rate (°C/s)	Remarks
2-A	900	500	2.0	Reference material
2-B	900	500	2.3	Example
2-C	900	500	1.9	
2-D	950	550	1.3	
2-E	900	500	2.2	
2-F	900	500	1.9	
2-G	950	500	2.3	
2-H	900	600	2.0	
2-I	950	500	2.0	
2-J	850	550	2.1	
2-K	950	450	2.8	
2-L	950	550	2.0	
2-M	900	500	2.1	Comparative example
2-N	900	550	2.0	
2-P	950	500	2.2	
2-Q	900	500	2.3	
2-R	850	450	3.1	
2-S	850	650	2.4	
2-T	850	550	3.2	
2-U	900	600	2.2	
2-V	850	550	2.6	Example
2-W	900	500	2.4	
2-X	900	600	2.6	

TABLE 6

Steel No.	Surface layer of rail							Inside of rail 25 mm									Remarks
	Hardness of rail (HV)	Lamellar spacing (μm)	Micro-structure	Wear amount (g)	Rate of improvement in wear resistance (%)	Number of rotations at occurrence of rolling contact fatigue defect (×10⁵ rotations)	Rate of improvement in rolling contact fatigue resistance (%)	Hardness of rail (HV)	Lamellar spacing (μm)	Micro-structure	Wear amount (g)	Rate of improvement in wear resistance (%)	Number of rotations at occurrence of rolling contact fatigue defect (×10⁵ rotations)	Rate of improvement in rolling contact fatigue resistance (%)	Delayed fracture susceptibility (%)	Rate of improvement in delayed fracture susceptibility (%)	
2-A	370	0.16	P	1.37	-	8.10	-	340	0.23	P	1.40	-	7.65	-	85.0	0.0	Reference material
2-B	425	0.08	P	1.10	19.7	9.68	19.5	399	0.10	P	1.17	16.4	9.00	17.6	72.4	14.8	Example
2-C	429	0.07	P	1.11	19.0	9.68	19.5	395	0.11	P	1.18	15.7	9.00	17.6	72.2	15.1	
2-D	431	0.06	P	1.10	19.7	9.68	19.5	401	0.10	P	1.17	16.4	9.00	17.6	73.1	14.0	
2-E	428	0.07	P	1.11	19.0	9.90	22.2	400	0.10	P	1.17	16.4	9.00	17.6	74.2	12.7	
2-F	434	0.06	P	1.10	19.7	9.90	22.2	398	0.10	P	1.18	15.7	9.00	17.6	74.2	12.7	
2-G	459	0.05	P	1.07	21.9	10.58	30.6	417	0.09	P	1.15	17.9	9.45	23.5	75.1	11.6	
2-H	438	0.05	P	1.10	19.7	10.35	27.8	412	0.09	P	1.16	17.1	9.45	23.5	75.1	11.6	
2-I	419	0.11	P	1.15	16.1	9.23	14.0	381	0.15	P	1.25	10.7	8.55	11.8	74.8	12.0	
2-J	422	0.12	P	1.14	16.8	9.00	11.1	381	0.15	P	1.25	10.7	8.55	11.8	74.5	12.4	
2-K	425	0.08	P	1.10	19.7	9.90	22.2	383	0.14	P	1.25	10.7	8.55	11.8	74.1	12.8	
2-L	411	0.09	P	1.14	16.8	9.23	14.0	380	0.15	P	1.26	10.0	8.55	11.8	73.9	13.1	Comparative example
2-M	411	0.11	P	1.17	14.6	9.00	11.1	377	0.17	P	1.31	6.4	8.33	8.9	74.3	12.6	
2-N	409	0.11	P	1.14	16.8	9.00	11.1	373	0.19	P	1.33	5.0	8.33	8.9	74.3	12.6	
2-P	432	0.05	P+θ	1.11	19.0	8.78	8.4	378	0.17	P	1.31	6.4	8.33	8.9	74.0	12.9	
2-Q	488	-	P+M	1.12	18.2	8.55	5.6	421	0.08	P	1.14	18.6	9.45	23.5	76.2	10.4	
2-R	483	-	P+M	1.12	18.2	8.55	5.6	432	0.07	P	1.10	21.4	9.68	26.5	76.2	10.4	
2-S	495	-	P+M	1.18	13.9	8.55	5.6	440	0.06	P	1.08	22.9	9.68	26.5	76.5	10.0	
2-T	500	-	P+M	1.18	13.9	8.55	5.6	437	0.06	P	1.10	21.4	9.45	23.5	76.3	10.2	
2-U	412	0.11	P	1.17	14.6	8.78	8.4	388	0.15	P	1.19	15.0	7.88	3.0	76.3	10.2	Example
2-V	421	0.09	P	1.13	17.5	9.68	19.5	395	0.11	P	1.21	13.6	8.78	14.8	73.9	13.1	
2-W	435	0.08	P	1.11	19.0	9.68	19.5	403	0.10	P	1.17	16.4	9.00	17.6	74.1	12.8	
2-X	439	0.07	P	1.10	19.7	9.68	19.5	409	0.09	P	1.15	17.9	9.23	20.7	74.1	12.8	

※ P represents pearlite, θ represents proeutectoid cementite, and M represents martensite.

Claims

1. An internal high hardness type pearlitic rail comprising a composition consisting of 0.73% to 0.85% by mass C, 0.5% to 0.75% by mass Si, 0.3% to 1.0% by mass Mn, 0.035% by mass or less P, 0.0005% to 0.012% by mass S, 0.2% to 1.3% by mass Cr, 0.005% to 0.12% by mass V, 0.0015% to 0.0060% by mass N, 0.004% by mass or less O, 0.0010% by mass or less Ti, and optionally one or two or more selected from 1.0% by mass or less Cu, 1.0% by mass or less Ni, 0.001% to 0.05% by mass Nb, and 0,5% by mass or less Mo, the balance being Fe and incidental impurities, wherein the value of [%Mn]/[%Cr] is greater than or equal to 0.3 and less than 1.0, where [%Mn] represents the Mn content, and [%Cr] represents the Cr content, and the value of [%V]/[%N] is in the range of 8.0 to 30.0, where [%V] represents the V content, and [%N] represents the N content, and wherein the internal hardness of a rail head is defined by the Vickers hardness of a portion located from a surface layer of the rail head to a depth of at least 25 mm and is greater than or equal to 380Hv and less than 480Hv.

2. The internal high hardness type pearlitic rail according to Claim 1, wherein the value of DI calculated from expression (1) is in the range of 5.6 to 8.6, and the value of Ceq calculated from expression (2) is in the range of 1.04 to 1.27,

$$DI = (0.548\ [\%C]^{1/2}) \times (1 + 0.64\ [\%Si]) \times (1 + 4.1\ [\%Mn]) \times (1 + 2.83\ [\%P]) \times (1 - 0.62$$
$$[\%S]) \times (1 + 2.23\ [\%Cr]) \times (1 + 1.82\ [\%V])\quad (1);$$

    and

$$C_{eq} = [\%C] + ([\%Si]/11) + ([\%Mn]/7) + ([\%Cr]/5.8) + [\%V]\quad (2)$$

    where [%C] represents the C content, [%Si] represents the Si content, [%Mn] represents the Mn content, [%P] represents the P content, [%S] represents the S content, [%Cr] represents the Cr content, and [%V] represents the V content of the composition.

3. The internal high hardness type pearlitic rail according to Claim 1 or 2, wherein the value of [%Si] + [%Mn] + [%Cr] is in the range of 1.55% to 2.50, where [%Si] represents the Si content, [%Mn] represents the Mn content, and [%Cr] represents the Cr content of the composition.

4. The internal high hardness type pearlitic rail according to any one of Claims 1 to 3, wherein the lamellar spacing of a pearlite layer in the portion located from the surface layer of the rail head to a depth of at least 25 mm is in the range of 0.04 to 0.15 μm.

5. A method for producing an internal high hardness type pearlitic rail according to any one of claims 1 to 4, the method comprising hot-rolling a steel material having the composition according to any one of Claims 1 to 3 to form a rail in such a manner that the finishing rolling temperature is in the range of 850°C to 950°C, and then slack-quenching the surface of the rail head from a temperature equal to or higher than a pearlite transformation starting temperature to 400°C to 650°C at a cooling rate of 1.2 to 5 °C/s.

Patentansprüche

1. Perlitische Schiene mit innerer hoher Härte, die eine Zusammensetzung aufweist, die besteht aus: 0,73 bis 0,85 Massen-% C, 0,5 bis 0,75 Massen-% Si, 0,3 bis 1,0 Massen-% Mn, 0,035 Massen-% oder weniger P, 0,0005% bis 0,012 Massen-% S, 0,2% bis 1,3 Massen-% Cr, 0,005% bis 0,12 Massen-% V, 0,0015% bis 0,0060 Massen-% N, 0,0004 Massen-% oder weniger O, 0,0010 Massen-% oder weniger Ti und wahlweise ein oder zwei oder mehr Elemente, die aus 1,0 Massen-% oder weniger Cu, 1,0 Massen-% oder weniger Ni, 0,001 bis 0,05 Massen-% Nb und 0,5 Massen-% oder weniger Mo gewählt sind, wobei der Rest Fe und zufällige Verunreinigungen sind, wobei der Wert von [%Mn]/[%Cr] größer oder gleich 0,3 und kleiner als 1,0 ist, wobei [%Mn] den Mn-Gehalt darstellt und [%Cr] den Cr-Gehalt darstellt und der Wert von [%V]/[% N] im Bereich von 8,0 bis 30,0 liegt, wobei [%V] den V-Gehalt darstellt und [% N] den N-Gehalt darstellt und die innere Härte eines Schienenkopfes durch die Vickers-Härte eines von einer Oberflächenschicht des Schienenkopfes befindlichen Abschnitts bis zu einer Tiefe von wenigstens 25 mm definiert ist und größer oder gleich 380 Hv und kleiner als 480 Hv ist.

2. Perlitische Schiene mit innerer hoher Härte nach Anspruch 1, wobei der aus dem Ausdruck (1) berechnete Wert von DI im Bereich von 5,6 bis 8,6 liegt und der aus dem Ausdruck (2) berechnete Wert von Ceq im Bereich von 1,04 bis 1,27 liegt,

$$DI = (0{,}548\ [\%C]^{1/2}) \times (1 + 0{,}64\ [\%Si]) \times (1 + 4{,}1\ [\%Mn] \times (1 + 2.83\ [\%P] \times (1 - 0{,}62\ [\%S] \times (1 + 2{,}23\ [\%Cr]) \times (1 + 1{,}82\ [\%V] \quad (1);$$

und

$$C_{eq} = [\%C] + ([\%Si]/11) + ([\%Mn]/7) + ([\%Cr]/5{,}8) + [\%V] \quad (2),$$

wobei [%C] für den C-Gehalt, [%Si] für den Si-Gehalt, [%Mn] für den Mn-Gehalt, [%P] für den P-Gehalt, [%S] für den S-Gehalt, [%Cr] für den Cr-Gehalt und [%V] für den V-Gehalt der Zusammensetzung steht.

3. Perlitische Schiene mit innerer hoher Härte nach Anspruch 1 oder 2, bei der der Wert von [%Si] + [%Mn] + [%Cr] im Bereich von 1,55% bis 2,50 liegt, wobei [%Si] für den Si-Gehalt, [%Mn] für den Mn-Gehalt und [%Cr] für den Cr-Gehalt der Zusammensetzung steht.

4. Perlitische Schiene mit innerer hoher Härte nach einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 3, bei der der lamellare Abstand einer Perlitschicht in dem von der Oberflächenschicht des Schienenkopfes zu einer Tiefe von wenigstens 25 mm liegenden Abschnitts im Bereich von 0,04 bis 0,15 μm liegt

5. Verfahren für die Herstellung einer perlitischen Schiene mit innerer höher Härte nach einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 4, wobei das Verfahren das Warmwalzen eines Stahlmaterials mit der Zusammensetzung nach einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 3, um eine Schiene derart auszubilden, dass die Fertigwalztemperatur im Bereich Von 850°C bis 950°C liegt, und das anschließende Abschrecken der Oberfläche des Schienenkopfes von einer Temperatur größer oder gleich einer Perlit-Umwandlungs-Starttemperatur auf 400°C bis 650°C mit einer Kühlrate von 1,2 bis 5°C/sek umfasst.

Revendications

1. Rail perlitique à dureté interne élevée comprenant une composition constituée de 0,73 % à 0,85 % en masse de C, 0,5 % à 0,75 % en masse de Si, 0,3 % à 1,0 % en masse de Mn, 0,035 % en masse ou moins de P, 0,0005 % à 0,012 % en masse de S, 0,2 % à 1,3 % en masse de Cr, 0,005 % à 0,12 % en masse de V, 0,0015 % à 0,0060 % en masse de N, 0,004 % en masse ou moins d'O, 0,0010 % en masse ou moins de Ti, et éventuellement un ou deux éléments ou plus choisis parmi 1,0 % en masse ou moins de Cu, 1,0 % en masse ou moins de Ni, 0,001 % à 0,05 % en masse de Nb et 0,5 % en masse ou moins de Mo, le reste étant composé de Fe et d'impuretés inévitables, la valeur de [%Mn]/[%Cr] étant supérieure ou égale à 0,3 et inférieure à 1,0, où [%Mn] représente la teneur en Mn et [%Cr] représente la teneur en Cr, et la valeur de [%V]/[%N] étant dans une fourchette de 8,0 à 30,0, où [%V] représente la teneur en V et [%N] représente la teneur en N, et la dureté interne d'une tête de rail étant définie par la dureté Vickers d'une partie située entre une couche de surface de la tête de rail et une profondeur d'au moins 25 mm et étant supérieure ou égale à 380 Hv et inférieure à 480 Hv.

2. Rail perlitique à dureté interne élevée selon la revendication 1, dans lequel la valeur de DI calculée à partir de l'expression (1) est dans la fourchette de 5,6 à 8,6, et la valeur de Ceq calculée à partir de l'expression (2) est dans la fourchette de 1,04 à 1,27,

$$DI = (0{,}548\ [\%C]^{1/2})\ x\ (1 + 0{,}64\ [\%Si])\ x\ (1 + 4{,}1\ [\%Mn])\ x\ (1 + 2{,}83\ [\%P])\ x\ (1 - 0{,}62\ [\%S])\ x\ (1 + 2{,}23\ [\%Cr])\ x\ (1 + 1{,}82\ [\%V]) \quad (1)\ ;$$

et

$$C_{eq} = [\%C] + ([\%S]/11) + ([\%Mn]/7) + ([\%Cr]/5,8) + [\%V] \qquad (2)$$

où [%C] représente la teneur en C, [%Si] représente la teneur en Si, [%Mn] représente la teneur en Mn, [%P] représente la teneur en P, [%S] représente la teneur en S, [%Cr] représente la teneur en Cr et [%V] représente la teneur en V de la composition.

3. Rail perlitique à dureté interne élevée selon la revendication 1 ou 2, dans lequel la valeur [%Si] + [%Mn] + [%Cr] est dans la fourchette de 1,55 % à 2,50, où [%Si] représente la teneur en Si, [%Mn] représente la valeur en Mn et [%Cr] représente la teneur en Cr de la composition.

4. Rail perlitique à durée interne élevée selon l'une quelconque des revendcations 1 à 3, dans lequel l'espacement lamellaire d'une couche de perlite dans la partie située entre la couche de surface de la tête de rail et une profondeur d'au moins 25 mm est dans la fourchette de 0,04 à 0,15 μm.

5. Procédé de fabrication d'un rail perlitique à dureté interne élevée selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 4, le procédé comprenant le laminage à chaud d'un matériau d'acier ayant la composition selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 3 afin de former un rail de telle manière que la température de laminage de finissage soit dans la fourchette de 850 °C à 950 °C, puis une trempe incomplète de la surface de la tête de rail depuis une température supérieure ou égale à une température de début de transformation de la perlite jusqu'à une température de 400 °C à 650 °C à une vitesse de refroidissement de 1,2 à 5 °C/s.

FIG. 1A

FIG. 1B

FIG. 2

EP 2 196 552 B1

FIG. 3A

1

2

FIG. 3B

1

16mm

30mm

2

16mm

30mm

8mm

FIG. 4

4

25.4mm

3

22

FIG. 5

FIG. 6

REFERENCES CITED IN THE DESCRIPTION

This list of references cited by the applicant is for the reader's convenience only. It does not form part of the European patent document. Even though great care has been taken in compiling the references, errors or omissions cannot be excluded and the EPO disclaims all liability in this regard.

Patent documents cited in the description

- JP 8109439 A **[0003] [0006]**
- JP 8144016 A **[0003] [0006]**
- JP 8246100 A **[0003] [0006]**
- JP 8246101 A **[0003] [0006]**
- JP 2002069585 A **[0004] [0006]**
- JP 10195601 A **[0005] [0006]**
- JP 2003293086 A **[0005] [0006]**
- JP 3648192 B **[0007]**

- JP 5287450 A **[0007]**
- JP 2000328190 A **[0008]**
- JP 6279928 A **[0008]**
- JP 3323272 B **[0008]**
- JP 6279929 A **[0008]**
- CN 1793403 A **[0008]**
- JP H05171268 A **[0008]**