

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
3 November 2005 (03.11.2005)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2005/102723 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **B41M 1/14**, B41J
2/21, B41F 1/10, 1/56, 3/42, 3/44, 3/82, 13/00, 33/00,
G03G 13/01, 15/01

(72) Inventor; and

(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): **BOLL, Harold**
[US/US]; 36 Grayson Road, Winchester, MA 01890 (US).

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/CA2005/000623

(74) Agents: **CRAMER, Owen, W.** et al.; Smart & Biggar, Box
11560 Vancouver Centre, 650 West Georgia Street, Suite
2200, Vancouver, British Columbia V6B 4N8 (CA).

(22) International Filing Date: 22 April 2005 (22.04.2005)

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every
kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM,
AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN,
CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI,
GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE,
KG, KM, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA,
MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OM,
PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SY,
TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU,
ZA, ZM, ZW.

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:


10/830,026 23 April 2004 (23.04.2004) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **CREO**
INC. [CA/CA]; 3700 Gilmore Way, Burnaby, British Co-
lumbia V5G 4M1 (CA).

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every
kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH,

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: N-COLORANT GAMUT CONSTRUCTION



(57) Abstract: A method for constructing a color gamut for a plurality of colorants used in a color image reproduction system involves establishing a forward model for the color image reproduction system, the forward model characterizing the correspondence between combinations of the plurality of colorants and resulting colors in color space. The method also involves generating a set of inkvectors defining combinations of colorants in colorant space and using the forward model to map the set of inkvectors into said color space. The method further involves selecting a subset of the mapped set of inkvectors that define boundaries of the color gamut.

WO 2005/102723 A1



GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Declaration under Rule 4.17:

— *of inventorship (Rule 4.17(iv)) for US only*

Published:

— *with international search report*

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

N-COLORANT GAMUT CONSTRUCTION

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of Invention

5 **[0001]** The invention relates to the field of color reproduction and more particularly to a method and apparatus for constructing a color gamut for a color expressing medium or device.

2. Description of Related Art

10 **[0002]** The perception of color in human vision results from combinations of spectral distributions of light radiation being sensed by red, green, and blue photoreceptors on the retina. The photoreceptors provide corresponding encoded signals, referred to as a color stimulus, to the brain which interprets the signals causing the perception of color. However, different spectral distributions
15 can result in similar encoded signals, a phenomenon known as metamerism. Many color reproduction systems take advantage of the principle of metamerism to present spectral distributions to the retina that result in the perception of a particular desired color, even if the original spectral distribution was different to that in the reproduction.

20 **[0003]** In color science the encoded signals are referred to as tristimulus values, being the amounts of the three primary colors that specify the color stimulus. The Commission Internationale de l'Eclairage (CIE) has standardized many aspects of color science and the 1931 CIE tristimulus values are called X, Y, and Z, respectively. The need for a uniform color space led to a number of
25 non-linear transformations of the CIE 1931 XYZ space and finally resulted in the specification of one of these transformations as the CIE 1976 (L^* a^* b^*) color space. The L^* coordinate represents lightness and extends along an axis from 0 (black) to 100 (white). The other two coordinates a^* and b^* represent redness-greenness and yellowness-blueness respectively. Samples for which $a^* = b^* =$

0 are achromatic and thus the L*-axis represents the achromatic scale of grays from black to white.

[0004] In color reproduction systems color images are generally reproduced using a combination of three or more color components such as Cyan, Magenta and Yellow (CMY) or Red, Green and Blue (RGB). For example, in color printing, Black (K) is also often added to the CMY set to improve rendering of dark tones (CMYK). Advances in digital imaging and computers have lead to a proliferation in the availability of digital images and corresponding advances in color printing have made possible the accurate representation of a real scene using a digital image.

[0005] Color management is a significant challenge, particularly in the printing industry wherein the need for precise rendering of color is well established and tools that assist a printer in achieving such precision have been available for some time. Color printing processes involve a range of different output devices including, but not limited to, offset lithographic, flexographic, and gravure printing presses, inkjet printers, xerographic printers, laser printers etc. In particular, matching a color proof sheet to the press sheet has always been a key objective, since the customer typically approves the color proof and will not accept a final print job that does not match the signed-off color proof.

[0006] It is well established that particular output devices have a color gamut determined by the colorants used to reproduce an image (e.g. inks on a printer). The color gamut demarcates that portion of color space in which a color expressing system can produce colors. The color gamut may be defined by a matrix of values defining the boundaries of the demarcated portion of color space.

[0007] In managing color it is very useful to know the limits or boundaries of the color gamut for a particular device. These limits are typically defined in color

space by a gamut boundary descriptor (GBD). The GBD can be used to predict the range of achievable colors for a given set of colorants. Where the GBD indicates that colors in an original image are not achievable on an output device; steps may be taken to bring "out-of-gamut" colors "into gamut". Such steps may include a gamut mapping process that maps out-of-gamut colors to colors on or within the GBD. The particular gamut mapping process used may depend on the image type or viewing conditions and will typically involve repositioning out-of-gamut colors on or within the gamut boundary and may also involve applying tonal correction to colors that were on or inside the boundary to preserve overall tonal graduation.

[0008] A color gamut may be constructed by considering all possible interactions between the available colorants. Invariably, as the number of colorants is increased, the construction of a color gamut becomes much more complex and computationally inefficient due to the rapidly increasing number of possible interactions between colorants. Earlier color gamut construction techniques have often traded off speed for accuracy and vice-versa. Convex Hull based algorithms, such as that described in published US patent application serial no. 2002/0140701A1 to Guyler, approximate the shape of the color gamut by operating on a set of points, which are derived from the measurement of color patches. It should be noted that in those parts of the color gamut where the boundary assumes a concave curvature, the convex hull description results in significant error.

[0009] Other techniques that employ more rigorous boundary detection algorithms are often quite slow. This results from the need to for matrix inversion operations on the forward model using such techniques as Newton-Raphson or simplex optimization to iteratively search for solutions. The forward model is a mathematical construct that relates ink combinations to color. In addition, these techniques are prone to converging on local minima, thereby creating inaccuracies.

5 [0010] US patent 5,563,724 describes the characterization of a seven-ink printing process by decomposing the problem into six four-ink groupings. A separate subgamut is constructed from each of the six forward models. A super gamut is then constructed from the six subgamuts. A disadvantage of the process is that it is limited to inking each color with a maximum of four inks.

[0011] Accordingly there is a need for a system and method for constructing a color gamut boundary for a set of N-colorants, which mitigates some of the above disadvantages.

10 SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

15 [0012] In one aspect of the invention there is provided a method for constructing a color gamut for a plurality of colorants used in a color image reproduction system. The method involves establishing a forward model for the color image reproduction system, the forward model characterizing the correspondence between combinations of the plurality of colorants and resulting colors in color space. The method also involves generating a set of inkvectors defining combinations of colorants in colorant space and using the forward model to map the set of inkvectors into said color space. The method further involves selecting a subset of the mapped set of inkvectors that define
20 boundaries of the color gamut.

[0013] The method may involve identifying at least one color in a color image to be reproduced that is outside the boundaries of the color gamut.

[0014] The method may involve mapping the at least one identified color to produce a color that is on or inside the boundaries of the color gamut.

25 [0015] The method may involve reproducing the color image on a color image reproduction system.

[0016] Establishing the forward model may involve generating a plurality of test patches on a medium, each test patch corresponding to a specific combination of colorants and measuring a color value for each of the test patches and fitting the color values to a suitable basis function.

5 **[0017]** The color value may be measured using a spectrophotometer.

[0018] The color value may be measured in CIELAB color space.

[0019] The colorants may include printing inks.

[0020] The colorants may include xerographic toners.

10 **[0021]** Generating the set of inkvectors may involve generating a set of inkvectors having two colorants that are allowed to vary freely between their respective minimum and maximum values, the remaining colorants being fixed at one of a maximum or a minimum value.

[0022] The set of inkvectors may include all possible combinations of the plurality of colorants.

15 **[0023]** The boundaries of the color gamut may be constructed for each of a plurality of planes of constant lightness in said color space.

[0024] The method may involve identifying a plurality of boundary nodes for each plane of constant lightness and determining a plurality of intervening points between adjacent pairs of the plurality of boundary nodes.

20 **[0025]** The method may involve establishing a lightness range for each of the of the mapped set of inkvectors in said color space and identifying a subset of the mapped inkvectors that have an intersection with a plane of constant lightness. The method may also involve establishing a set of nodes in color

space corresponding to said intersection and selecting a subset of the set of nodes that define boundaries of the color gamut.

[0026] The plurality of colorants may include at least three colorants.

[0027] The plurality of colorants may include less than eight colorants.

5 **[0028]** In accordance with another aspect of the invention there is provided a computer readable medium encoded with codes for instructing a computer processor to perform the method and any of its variations above.

10 **[0029]** In accordance with another aspect of the invention there is provided a computer readable signal encoded with codes for instructing a computer processor to perform the method and any of its variations above.

15 **[0030]** In accordance with another aspect of the invention there is provided a color image reproduction apparatus having a plurality of colorants. The apparatus includes an output device for expressing color on a medium and provisions for establishing a forward model for the color image reproduction system, the forward model characterizing the correspondence between combinations of the plurality of colorants and resulting colors in color space. The apparatus also includes provisions for generating a set of inkvectors defining combinations of colorants in colorant space and provisions for using the forward model to map the set of inkvectors into said color space. The apparatus
20 further includes provisions for selecting a subset of the mapped set of inkvectors that define boundaries of a color gamut for the color image reproduction system.

[0031] The apparatus may include provisions for identifying at least one color in a color image to be reproduced that is outside the boundaries of the color gamut.

[0032] The output device may include an inkjet printer and the colorants may be inkjet printing fluids.

[0033] The output device may include a xerographic printer and the colorants may be toners.

5 **[0034]** The output device may include a printing press and the colorants may be printing inks.

[0035] The output device may include provisions for producing a color proof of a color image.

10 **[0036]** In accordance with another aspect of the invention there is provided a computer program product for constructing a color gamut for a plurality of colorants used in a color image reproduction system. The computer program product includes a computer readable storage medium having a computer program stored thereon for instructing a computer processor to perform the steps of:

15 establishing a forward model for the color image reproduction system, the forward model characterizing the correspondence between combinations of the plurality of colorants and resulting colors in color space;

20 generating a set of inkvectors defining combinations of colorants in colorant space;

 using the forward model to map the set of inkvectors into said color space; and

25 selecting a subset of the mapped set of inkvectors that define boundaries of the color gamut.

[0037] Other aspects and features of the present invention will become apparent to those ordinarily skilled in the art upon review of the following description of specific embodiments of the invention in conjunction with the accompanying figures.

5

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0038] In drawings which illustrate embodiments of the invention,

10 Figure 1-A is a perspective view of a CMY color cube;

Figure 1-B is a perspective view of a CMY color gamut;

15 Figure 2-A and Figure 2-B are a series of perspective views showing the development of a CMY color cube into a CMYK color cube;

Figure 3 is a process flow diagram in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

20 Figure 4-A and 4-B are graphical depictions of the L^* range for a 4-colorant and a 6-colorant set respectively;

Figure 5-A is a graphical depiction of the colorant values of an CMYK inkvector at $L^*=70$;

25

Figure 5-B is a graphical depiction of a inkvector path in color space at $L^*=70$;

Figure 6-A is a graphical depiction of the endpoints of a set of inkvectors for a CMYK colorant set;

Figure 6-B is a graphical depiction of the endpoints of a set of inkvectors for a CMYK colorant set with corresponding endpoints joined;

5 Figure 6-C is a graphical depiction of the endpoints of a set of inkvectors for a 6-colorant set with corresponding endpoints joined;

Figure 7 is a schematic view of a boundary node;

10 Figure 8 is a graphical depiction of a gamut boundary for a 6-colorant system at $L^*=50$; and

Figure 9 is schematic view of an embodiment of the present invention.

15 DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0039] For an understanding of the invention, reference will now be made by way of example to a following detailed description in conjunction with the accompanying drawings in which like numerals refer to like structures.

20 [0040] Figure 1-A shows a color cube 10 for a 3-ink CMY hardcopy rendering inkset. Each of the inks is represented on one of an orthogonal set of axes, termed a colorant space. The outer surfaces of the cube represent planes 11 along which two of the inks are allowed to vary in density while the third is fixed at a maximum or minimum density value. The cube 10 is represented in colorant space and defines the physically achievable ink or colorant values for
25 the CMY inkset. The plane 11 in colorant space may be described by an inkvector. The inkvector designates which two inks are free to vary and which inks are set at their maximum or minimum values. Table 1 shows a set of six inkvectors for the 3-colorant CMY example in Figure 1-A. The "X's" in Table 1

denote inks that are free to vary while the "0's" and "1's" denote inks held at minimum or maximum, respectively.

5 **[0041]** Each inkvector in Table 1 thus corresponds to a particular surface plane **11** of the cube **10** in Figure **1-A**. In general, a set of such inkvectors, corresponding to the planes in a particular colorant space and combinatorially following the above definition, constitutes a complete set of inkvectors for a given N-ink system where N is an integer. For an output device such as a printing press, in most cases N will range between 3 and 7, although printing is not limited to a maximum of seven colorants.

10

Table 1

Inkvector Set		
C	M	Y
X	X	0
X	0	X
0	X	X
X	X	1
X	1	X
1	X	X

15

20

[0042] Figure **1-B** shows the mapping of CMY colorant space to a color gamut **12** in CIE L*a*b* color space. One aspect of the 3-ink cube **10** that simplifies the gamut construction in color space is that all points on the surface planes **11** map directly to the surface of the color gamut **12**. Determining the set of inkvectors that exclusively map to the surface of the color gamut and completely define it can aid in the construction in color space. Since all the inkvector combinations for a CMY inkset correspond to exterior planes of the color cube **10**, the mapping of these inkvectors via the forward model into color space defines the color gamut in color space.

[0043] The addition of black ink (K) to a CMY system creates a 4-ink system (CMYK). Conceptually the addition of black ink may be thought of as transforming the CMY cube into a 12-sided dodecahedron. Referring to Figure 2-A, this transformation of the CMY cube **14** can be viewed as the extrusion of the top three planes **14'** from the bottom three planes **14''**, forming a dodecahedron **16** shown in Figure 2-B. Six parallelograms **18** form the midsection of dodecahedron **16** and K varies along the vertical lines of the parallelograms. Note that dodecahedron **16** is a colorant space construct – the construction of the color gamut in color space follows. As is the case for the cube **10** in a CMY system, all inkings on the surface planes of dodecahedron **16** map on to the surface of the color gamut (not shown) and completely define it. Rigorously speaking a 4-ink system combinatorially contains a total of 24 inkvectors, as listed in Table 2.

Table 2

	Surface inkvectors				Interior inkvectors			
	C	M	Y	K	C	M	Y	K
3 Top planes of CMY Cube (K=0)	X	X	0	0	X	X	1	0
	X	0	X	0	X	1	X	0
	0	X	X	0	1	X	X	0
Intermediate faces of dodecahedron- K and 1 chromatic ink varies	0	1	X	X	X	X	0	1
	1	0	X	X	X	0	X	1
	0	X	1	X	0	X	X	1
	1	X	0	X	0	0	X	X
	X	1	0	X	1	1	X	X
	X	0	1	X	0	X	0	X
3 Bottom planes of CMY extruded by K	X	X	1	1	1	X	1	X
	X	1	X	1	X	0	0	X
	1	X	X	1	X	1	1	X

[0044] For CMYK inks only half of the inkvectors map directly to the surface of the color gamut. The remaining inkvectors specify colors that map to points inside the color gamut volume. Clearly when constructing the color gamut boundary it is necessary to determine which inkvectors map to the boundary and which inkvectors map to the interior of the color gamut. For the specific example of a CMYK inkset one can make an assumption that the black ink has a physical interaction with the other inks that uniformly darkens these colors. Using this assumption the subset of twelve inkvectors that map to the surface of the gamut and completely define may be identified.

[0045] In this case, the identification of the subset of twelve inkvectors comprising the dodecahedron **16** is possible because of the specific nature of the assumed interaction of black ink with the other three chromatic inks. However, in general, when $N > 3$ and the added ink or colorant is chromatic in nature, there is no general way of unambiguously determining a subset of inkvectors which completely define and only map to the surface of the gamut in color space. Additional chromatic inks have complex interactions with other inks especially in darker tonal regions, which consequently are not easily modelled using physical considerations. CMYK is thus a special case of an $N > 3$ colorant set, in that black ink interacts with all inks in a consistent manner at all tonal levels – i.e. it darkens all colors.

[0046] By constructing a table of all combinations it can be seen that the number of inkvectors increases geometrically as N increases. Table **3** lists the number of inkvectors for a number of different N -colorant systems.

Table 3

N	Number of Inkectors
3	6
4	24
5	80
6	240
7	672

[0047] A robust N-colorant gamut construction algorithm should work for any combination of N-colorants including N chromatic inks or colorants without the need to make assumptions about the physical interaction of inks as was done in analyzing the CMYK situation.

[0048] Figure 3 shows a process flowchart for constructing the N-colorant gamut, according to an embodiment of the invention. At a first step 20 the system is characterized by establishing a forward model, relating colorant to color. For the case where colorants are inks, the forward model may be obtained by printing test patches of various ink combinations covering the range of device control values. The CIELAB color values for each of the test patches may then be measured using a color measuring device such as a spectrophotometer or colorimeter. Finally, the CIELAB color values are fitted utilizing a suitable basis function to create the forward model which relates colorants to resulting color. The basis function may be a high order polynomial. All combinations of colorants, when inputted into the forward model, sweep out a volume in color space that represents the color gamut of the device or medium although it does not directly enable the identification of the color gamut boundaries.

[0049] At step 21 a combinatorially complete set of inkectors for a given N-colorant system is generated. Since each inkvector defines a planar surface in

the colorant space, the complete set of ink inkvectors can be obtained by identifying all combinations of two free inks with other inks held at all combinations of maximum or minimum ink

5 **[0050]** Next at step **22**, the tonal range (L^* range) of each inkvector in the set is determined. For each inkvector the freely varying colorants are alternately set to their maximum and minimum values and then evaluated through the forward model to obtain the tonal range. The tonal range of the 24 inkvectors for a 4-ink CMYK system is plotted in the graph shown on Figure 4-A, and for a 6-ink system in Figure **4-B**. Note that more inkvectors bracket L^* values in the shadows (low L^* value) than in the lighter tonal regions (high L^* value) since the perceptual darkening diminishes incrementally as one adds ink to increasing amounts of ink already on paper.

10 **[0051]** At step **24** a convenient quantization interval is selected for the L^* axis. This choice may be made a priori, based on the maximum and minimum L^* values characteristic for the process. From this point, a plane by plane construction of the gamut may commence, each plane of constant lightness corresponding to a specific L^* quantization level. It is not necessary to choose any particular L^* as a first value but conventionally one would start at the lowest or highest L^* and work toward the opposite end of the range.

15 **[0052]** At step **26** the number of inkvectors that intersect a particular L^* plane is determined. Figure **4-A** shows that for a 4-colorant CMYK system, **15** inkvectors out of **24** intersect the plane **40** at $L^*=35$. If an inkvector intersects an L^* plane, it implies that there exists some range of values of its free inks which generate a limited set of points in color space whose L^* values are that of the selected L^* plane. Otherwise put, since a inkvector defines a plane, the intersection of this plane with plane **40** will be a line having two endpoints.

[0053] Clearly for the L^* value to remain constant the two free inks must vary in inverse proportion i.e. as the tone of one increases the tone of the other decreases. Figure **5-A** graphically depicts a inkvector path on the $L^* = 70$ plane for a typical CMYK system. The inkvector plotted is $[0\ X\ X\ 0]$, which indicates that M & Y vary while C & K are fixed at their minimum (0 in this case). As the ink plot in Figure **5-A** shows, the free inks vary inversely to one another in order to achieve colors of constant L^* . At each endpoint of the path, one of the varying inks is at its relative minimum and the other ink is at its relative maximum and for each abscissa the inking yields a L^* value of 70. The endpoints are indicated as **50** and **52**. For each inkvector that intersects a particular L^* plane, the endpoints of the inkvector path may be computed in the a^*b^* plane via the forward model. In Figure **5-B** the endpoints **50'** and **52'**, corresponding to endpoints **50** and **52** in Figure **5-A** are plotted on the a^*b^* plane in color space. Endpoints **50'** and **52'** are the terminus points for a path **54** that each inkvector traces out at constant L^* in the a^*b^* plane. Note that the path **54** between endpoints **50'** and **52'** in the a^*b^* plane of $L^*a^*b^*$ color space is generally not a straight line **56** since in color space the physical interaction of the inks is often non-linear.

[0054] In the Figure **4-A** CMYK example, 15 inkvectors out of the complete set of 24 intersect the $L^*=35$ plane, which one would expect to yield 30 endpoints. However, in plotting these endpoints the a^*b^* plane as shown in Figure **6-A** only 10 unique points appear. If instead of plotting the points individually, paired endpoints are connected by a solid line as depicted in Figure **6-B**, it is immediately apparent there are only 10 unique endpoints for the CMYK colorant set and that each point has 3 lines originating therefrom. These points will be referred to as nodes. These nodes are identified in step **28** shown in Figure **3**.

[0055] For the CMYK case each node has 3 lines emanating from it connecting it to neighbouring nodes. In general, a given node in an N-colorant

system will have $N-1$ connections to other nodes. The $N-1$ connections each node makes with its surrounding nodal neighbours reflect the interactions of an ink with the other $N-1$ inks in forming paths of constant L^* in color space. Figure 6-C shows a similar plot to that shown in Figure **6-B** for a set of six colorants. Note that each node now has 5 lines emanating from it. The rough outline of the gamut boundary is already discernable in Figure's **6-B** and **6-C** (in this case for an L^* value of 50). The nodes may be grouped into 2 categories: those that form the exterior skeleton of the gamut and those that are interior to it.

[0056] Once all the nodes have been identified, the subset of nodes that lie on the boundary of the gamut are then identified. The inter-connectivity of these nodes simplifies this task. At step **30** in Figure **3**, a point is determined in the a^*b^* plane which is interior to the gamut boundary. An interior point can be obtained by averaging all nodes, which must intuitively be an interior point.

[0057] Referring now to Figure **6-C**, having established an interior point **60**, the first identification of a node that lies on the gamut boundary may take place. The distance between each node and the average point is computed. It is presumed that the node which lies furthest from the average point must be in the subset of nodes which define the gamut boundary. This furthest node is identified in step **32** in Figure **3** and shown at reference numeral **62** in Figure **6-C**.

[0058] Once the first boundary node **62** has been identified, the remaining boundary nodes and their connectivities may be established in step **34**. Figure **7** shows how successive boundary nodes are identified for the example of 6-ink system. Each node **70** has 5 connecting lines **72** to neighbouring nodes a, b, c, d and e. A line **74** is drawn connecting an interior point **76** to node **70** (interior point **76** may be the average point). Another line **78** is drawn perpendicular to line **74**. The search for the next connected node occurs in a counter-clockwise direction and only those connected nodes that lie in the 1st & 4th quadrants (**80**

and **82**) are examined. The quadrants **80** and **82** are defined by the mutually perpendicular lines **74** and **78**. Therefore, only nodes c, d and e will be considered. The angle between perpendicular line **78** and each connecting line **72** (corresponding to points c, d and e) is computed. The connection to node "e" in this case exhibits the minimum angle θ with respect to perpendicular line **78** and thus node "e" is selected as being a boundary node.

[0059] This process is repeated for each successively identified node until the starting node **70** is again encountered, at which point the gamut boundary has been completely defined. For robustness, the same identification procedure may be performed in a clockwise direction to verify the integrity of the set of gamut boundary nodes. Should they not agree, then an error condition exists and other remedial action must be taken.

[0060] At this point in the process the skeletal outline of the gamut boundary can be viewed when the set of boundary nodes is plotted and connected via straight lines **90** as shown in Figure **8**. Each successive pair of nodes represent inkvector path endpoints as described earlier. Equivalently, each nodal pair maps to a unique inkvector. Note however that the actual boundary is not necessarily described by straight lines **90** since up to now we have been only using inkvector endpoints. The full path is computed in step **36** with as many intervening points as deemed necessary by the user. This calculation is aided by the fact that one knows the initial and final values for each of the two freely varying inks. The searches are one-dimensional in character and accurate seeds are obtained using linear approximations. Convergence usually occurs within 2 to 4 evaluations of the forward model. The resulting boundary **92** defines the color gamut for a specific L^* value.

[0061] To complete the gamut, the next L^* is selected in step **38** and steps **26** to **38** are repeated until a full color gamut is constructed.

[0062] Advantageously the methods of the present invention are completely general in nature and make no implicit assumptions regarding either the behaviour of an individual ink or the overall interaction among inks. It is computationally lightweight in scope since no computationally expensive inversion machinery is employed. The gamut is rendered quite accurately in that the major structural features are identified and extracted. It is only afterwards that the intervening points are computed to whatever density level the user deems necessary.

[0063] In the foregoing description the usage of the term "ink" is used in the context of hardcopy rendition of color images using ink colorants. However the present invention is not limited to constructing a color gamut for ink colorants, but may be used in the context of any medium on which color can be visually expressed. This includes, but is not limited to, devices where the colorants are associated with the medium itself and the medium reacts in response to some stimulus to express color. The term "inkvector" should thus also be understood to encompass cases where the colorant is not an ink

[0064] Those of skill in the art will realize that the invention may be conveniently embodied in a system such as that shown in Figure 9. A computer **100** is able to receive a color image representation **102** and to output the image to output device **104**. Computer **100** is also programmed with the functionality to cause output device **104** to print test sheet **106** having a plurality of test patches **107**. Each test patch **107** represents a particular combination of colorants. A color measuring device such as a spectrophotometer **108** is coupled to the computer. The spectrophotometer is used to quantify the color values on test patches **107** and input these to the computer **100**. The coupling between spectrophotometer **108** and computer **100** may be a direct interface, an indirect data transfer such as a computer readable medium or even a keyboard for manual input by an operator. Once the output device has been

characterized in accordance with the invention, the system may be used to make image reproductions **110** of image **102** on output device **104**.

[0065] Computer **100** has a memory **122**, a Central Processing Unit (CPU) **124** and typical storage devices such as a disk unit **120** (Hard drive and/or a CD ROM drive), allowing the invention to be implemented as a programmed product or downloaded from a network connection **126** into the memory **122**. The image **102** is received from a input device such as a scanner or digital camera (not shown) or it may be received as data encoded on a removable storage device such as a CD ROM. Color image representation **102** may be in any of a large variety of graphic image representation formats such as jpeg, png, tiff or any other format.

[0066] While specific embodiments of the invention have been described and illustrated, such embodiments should be considered illustrative of the invention only and not as limiting the invention as construed in accordance with the accompanying claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A method for constructing a color gamut for a plurality of colorants used in a color image reproduction system, the method comprising:

5

establishing a forward model for the color image reproduction system, the forward model characterizing the correspondence between combinations of the plurality of colorants and resulting colors in color space;

10

generating a set of inkvectors defining combinations of colorants in colorant space;

15

using the forward model to map the set of inkvectors into said color space; and

selecting a subset of the mapped set of inkvectors that define boundaries of the color gamut.

20

2. The method of claim 1 further comprising identifying at least one color in a color image to be reproduced that is outside the boundaries of the color gamut.

25

3. The method of claim 2 further comprising mapping the at least one identified color to produce a color that is on or inside the boundaries of the color gamut.

4. The method of claim 3 further comprising reproducing the color image on a color image reproduction system.

30

5. The method of claim 1 wherein establishing the forward model comprises:

generating a plurality of test patches on a medium, each test patch corresponding to a specific combination of colorants;

measuring a color value for of each of the test patches; and

fitting the color values to a suitable basis function.

6. The method of claim 5 wherein the color value is measured using a spectrophotometer.

7. The method of claim 6 wherein the color value is measured in CIELAB color space.

8. The method of claim 1 wherein the colorants comprise printing inks.

9. The method of claim 1 wherein the colorants comprise xerographic toners.

10. The method of claim 1 wherein generating the set of inkvectors comprises generating a set of inkvectors having two colorants that are allowed to vary freely between their respective minimum and maximum values, the remaining colorants being fixed at one of a maximum or a minimum value.

11. The method of claim 10 wherein the set of inkvectors comprise all possible combinations of the plurality of colorants.

- 12.** The method of claim **1** wherein the boundaries of the color gamut are constructed for each of a plurality of planes of constant lightness in said color space.
- 5 **13.** The method of claim **12** further comprising identifying a plurality of boundary nodes for each plane of constant lightness and determining a plurality of intervening points between adjacent pairs of the plurality of boundary nodes.
- 10 **14.** The method of claim **1** further comprising:
- establishing a lightness range for each of the of the mapped set of inkvectors in said color space:
- 15 identifying a subset of the mapped inkvectors that have an intersection with a plane of constant lightness;
- establishing a set of nodes in color space corresponding to said intersection; and
- 20 selecting a subset of the set of nodes that define boundaries of the color gamut.
- 25 **15.** The method of claim **1** wherein the plurality of colorants comprise at least three colorants.
- 16.** The method of claim **1** wherein the plurality of colorants comprise less than eight colorants.
- 30 **17.** A computer readable medium encoded with codes for instructing a computer processor to perform the method of claim **1**.

18. A computer readable signal encoded with codes for instructing a computer processor to perform the method of claim 1.

5 **19.** A color image reproduction apparatus having a plurality of colorants, the apparatus comprising:

an output device for expressing color on a medium;

10 means for establishing a forward model for the color image reproduction system, the forward model characterizing the correspondence between combinations of the plurality of colorants and resulting colors in color space;

15 means for generating a set of inkvectors defining combinations of colorants in colorant space;

means for using the forward model to map the set of inkvectors into said color space; and

20 means for selecting a subset of the mapped set of inkvectors that define boundaries of a color gamut for the color image reproduction system.

25 **20.** The apparatus of claim **19**, further comprising means for identifying at least one color in a color image to be reproduced that is outside the boundaries of the color gamut.

30 **21.** The apparatus of claim **19**, wherein the output device comprises an inkjet printer and the colorants are inkjet printing fluids.

22. The apparatus of claim **19**, wherein the output device comprises a xerographic printer and the colorants are toners.

5 **23.** The apparatus of claim **19**, wherein the output device comprises a printing press and the colorants are printing inks.

24. The apparatus of claim **19**, wherein the output device may include means for producing a color proof of a color image.

10 **25.** A computer program product for constructing a color gamut for a plurality of colorants used in a color image reproduction system, the computer program product comprising a computer readable storage medium having a computer program stored thereon for instructing a computer processor to perform the steps of:

15
establishing a forward model for the color image reproduction system, the forward model characterizing the correspondence between combinations of the plurality of colorants and resulting colors in color space;

20
generating a set of inkvectors defining combinations of colorants in colorant space;

25
using the forward model to map the set of inkvectors into said color space; and

selecting a subset of the mapped set of inkvectors that define boundaries of the color gamut.




FIG. 1-A




FIG. 1-B




FIG. 2-A

FIG. 2-B




FIG. 3




FIG. 4-A




FIG. 4-B




FIG. 5-A




FIG. 5-B




FIG. 6-A




FIG. 6-B




FIG. 6-C




FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/CA2005/000623

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC(7): B41M 1/14, B41J 2/21, B41F 1/10, B41F 1/56, B41F 3/42, B41F 3/44, B41F 3/82, B41F 13/00, B41F 33/00, G03G 13/01, G03G 15/01

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
B41M, B41J, B41F

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic database(s) consulted during the international search (name of database(s) and, where practicable, search terms used)
Canadian Patent Database, US Patent Database using Delphion, Esp@cenet:
Search Terms: Gamut, colorant, N colorant, color, image, reproduction, method, forward, ink vector, model,

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5 563 724 A (EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY) 8 October 1996 (8-10-1996) *Abstract; Col. 2, lines 8-14; Col. 4, line 66 - Col. 5, line 62; Claims	1-3, 5-7, 16 and 25
Y		4, 8, 9, 12, 13, 15 and 17-23
Y	US 6 229 626 B1 (EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY) 8 May 2001 (8-05-2001) *Abstract; Col. 1, lines 33-41; Col. 2, lines 11-21, lines 30-33; Col. 3, lines 5-19; Col. 7, lines 50-58; Col. 9, lines 37-50, line 66- Col. 10 line 5; Claims	4, 8, 9, 12, 13, 15 and 17-23

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

☒ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"&" document member of the same patent family
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search

27 July 2005, (27-07-2005)

Date of mailing of the international search report

16 August 2005 (16-08-2005)

Name and mailing address of the ISA/CA
Canadian Intellectual Property Office
Place du Portage I, C114 - 1st Floor, Box PCT
50 Victoria Street
Gatineau, Quebec K1A 0C9
Facsimile No.: 001(819)953-2476

Authorized officer

Nadia Lappa (819) 934-4265

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
Information on patent family members

International application No.
PCT/CA2005/000623

Patent Document Cited in Search Report	Publication Date	Patent Family Members	Publication Date
---	---------------------	--------------------------	---------------------

US5563724	08-10-1996	none	
-----------	------------	------	--

US6229626	08-05-2001	EP0912041 A2	28-04-1999
		JP11215391 A	06-08-1999
