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IMPROVED SCANNING PROBE MICROSCOPE

Technical Field

The present invention relates to a scanning probe microscope.

Background Art

The Scanning Probe Microscope (SPM) is a powerful instrument in the nanometer scale science and technology. Among the many variations of SPM, the Atomic Force Microscope (AFM) is the most widely used and the most fundamental version. One prior art AFM is described in an article by G. Binnig, C. Quate, and Ch. Gerber in Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 930 (1986). AFM has evolved since then, refining its capabilities and conveniences. In a commonly used configuration, a prior art AFM has a micro-machined cantilever with a sharp tip on its edge, and the AFM scans the sample or the cantilever with a piezoelectric tube. The deflection of the cantilever is measured by the AFM casting a laser beam on the cantilever and detecting the reflected beam with a position sensitive photo detector (PSPD). (See the article by G. Meyer and N. M. Amer, in Appl. Phys. Lett. 53, 2400 (1988).)

In such a configuration, the AFM has a high vertical sensitivity and is relatively easy to implement. In order to adjust the incident laser beam to fall on the cantilever and make the reflected beam hit the center of PSPD, an aligning mechanism with fine screws is used. A probing unit, including such an aligning mechanism plus the laser, PSPD,
and cantilever, has considerable mass and it is difficult for the AFM to scan the probing unit at sufficiently high speed while maintaining accuracy. In the prior art, typical x scan speed is in the range of 0.1Hz - 4Hz and necessary z servo bandwidth is 100Hz - 1kHz. Such scan speed is acceptable but not satisfactory for the reasons discussed below. Therefore in certain conventional AFMs, the probing unit was kept stationary and the sample was scanned along x, y and z axes. See U.S. Patents 5,157,251 granted to Albrecht et al. and 5,237,859 granted to Elings et al., both of which are incorporated by reference herein in their entirety.

However, large samples, such as large silicon wafers, cannot be scanned fast enough e.g. 1KHz in z direction for sufficient vertical servo frequency response. For a description of this problem, see for example, U.S. Patent 5,463,897 column 2, line 19- 24. See also an article by P. K. Hansma, B. Drake, D. Grigg, C. B. Prater, F. Yashar, G. Gurley, and V. Elings, S. Feinstein, and R. Lal, J. Appl. Phys. 76, 796 (1994). The cantilever may be scanned while ensuring that the laser beam follows the cantilever motion to solve this problem. A simple method is miniaturizing the aligning mechanism, and scanning the whole probing unit. Such a scanning probe is implemented in the "AutoProbe M5" scanning probe microscope available from TM Microscopes, Veeco Metrology Group, 1171 Borregas Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA 94089, USA and described on the Internet at www.tmmicro.com.

However, such a miniaturized probing unit still has a
considerable mass and it degrades the z servo response. It is also inconvenient to align the laser beam with tiny screws and a special tool had to be used. Another method is attaching lenses on the tube scanner such that the laser beam follows the cantilever motion and the reflected beam hits the same point on the PSPD. Such a tube scanner is implemented in the “Dimension 3100” microscope available from Digital Instruments, Veeco Metrology Group, 112 Robin Hill Road, Santa Barbara, CA 93117 and described on the Internet at www.di.com. See also U.S. Patent 5,463,897 granted to Prater et al. which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety. See also the article by P. K. Hansma, B. Drake, D. Grigg, C. B. Prater, F. Yashar, G. Gurley, and V. Elings, S. Feinstein, and R. Lal, J. Appl. Phys. 76, 796 (1994). However in this method, the laser beam does not perfectly follow the cantilever and the reflected beam does not remain on the exact same point on the PSPD, causing measurement errors and tracking force variations during x-y scan.

In addition, most AFMs have the common problems of scanning errors and slow scanning speed. A piezoelectric tube-based scanner commonly used in the prior art is not an orthogonal 3-dimensional actuator that can be moved in any of the three dimensions x, y and z independent of one another. Since the x-y motion relies on the bending of the tube, there is non-linearity and cross talk between x-y and z axes. AFMs can use position sensors to correct the intrinsic non-linearity of the piezoelectric tube as described in U.S. Patent 5,210,410 granted to Barrett, and incorporated by reference herein in its entirety; see also
an article by R. Barrett in Rev. Sci. Instrum. 62, 1393 (1991). However, z cross talk from flexing the tube cannot be eliminated and it causes background curvature effect and measurement errors. Using a tripod scanner does not improve the non-linearity and cross talk problem much. Furthermore, the piezoelectric tube-based scanner has low resonance frequency (typically below 1 kHz) and does not have high force to drive a conventional probing unit at high speed.

In order to improve the orthogonality of the scanner, United States Patents 6,310,342, 6,057,546 and 5,854,487 all granted to Braunstein, et al. (each of which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety) describe the prior art use of a flexure stage for x-y scanning. However, since the z scanner described by Braunstein et al. is attached to the x-y scanner, the z scanner cannot move faster than the resonance frequency of the x-y scanner, which is about 100 Hz.

As mentioned above, the scanning speed of AFM is important. The scanning speed of AFM is usually limited by the z servo frequency response as described below. The z scanner needs to follow the sample features with appropriate feedback controller. As the scan speed in x direction is increased, the z scanner has to move up and down faster, requiring higher bandwidth in z servo system. However, the vertical servo frequency response cannot be higher than the resonance frequency of the z scanning system. z-scanning system means the z scanner and the supporting structure for the z scanner plus whatever the z scanner has to move in z direction. The
resonance frequency of the z scanning system is reduced as more mass is loaded on to the z scanner. If the z scanner has higher push-pull force, the resonance frequency is reduced less.

Typical AFM has a few hundred Hz bandwidth in z servo system. Let's consider the case of 512Hz. If we want to take a 256x256 pixel image, we can scan 1Hz in x direction. (forward 256 plus backward 256) Of course, we can scan faster if the sample is smooth so that there is not much height variation between adjacent data points. Since we need to collect 256 lines of data, it takes 256 seconds (about 4 min.) to finish one scan. 4 min is a long time, and it is important to increase the scan speed. Typically, x-y scan speed is not limited by the x-y scanner bandwidth but limited by the z servo frequency response.

In AFMs, it is necessary to replace the cantilever frequently. The micro-machined cantilever is attached on a small chip (2x4 mm), and it is difficult to handle even with a tweezers. In order to improve the handling, the cantilever chip was mounted on an aluminum plate in the prior art. See U.S. Patent 5,376,790 granted to Linker et al.; See also TM Microscopes CP, M5. Such a prior art plate had three slots, whose angles are 120° apart. These slots make contact with three balls. A spring clip was used to hold the chip mount. In another prior art (see TM Microscopes Explorer; see also US Patent 5,319,960 granted to Gamble et al.), a magnet was used to hold the chip mount but the chip mount sit directly on the magnet, which does not ensure
the same cantilever position after replacement. Young et al. (see US Patent 5,705,814) have used a complicated method to align the cantilever.

Furthermore, the AFM head needs to be removed from the AFM frame from time to time. For convenient mount and un-mount of the AFM head, a dovetail groove has been made on the AFM head and a dovetail rail has been attached on the frame in the prior art. See, for example, TM Microscopes, CP; Digital Instruments, Dimension 3100. Since the AFM head needs to be firmly mounted on the frame, a fastening screw was used to tighten the dovetail.

**Disclosure of the Invention**

A scanning probe microscope in accordance with the invention uses two different scanners that are completely detached each from the other, and are physically mounted at separate locations on a stationary frame. One scanner (called “x-y scanner”) scans a sample in a plane (also called “x-y plane”), while the other scanner (called “z scanner”) scans a probe tip (which is supported at a free end of a cantilever) in a direction (also called “z direction”) perpendicular to the plane. Detachment of the two scanners from one another ensures that each scanner can be moved (relative to the frame) without affecting the other scanner.

Depending on the embodiment, the scanning probe microscope may include a light source to illuminate a cantilever that supports the probe tip, and a photodetector to receive a portion of light reflected by the cantilever,
thereby to sense the deflection of the cantilever. The light source and the photodetector may be supported at locations horizontally separated from a vertical line of movement of the probe tip. In one embodiment, a reflective element (such as a prism) is located along the vertical line of movement of the probe tip, and the light source is horizontally aimed at the reflective element, so that the same spot on the cantilever is illuminated (in the downward direction in this embodiment), regardless of vertical movement of the cantilever by the scanner.

In such an embodiment, light reflected by the cantilever may be directed to the photodetector via one or more optical elements. For example, a mirror may be used to reflect light from the cantilever horizontally on to the photodetector. In this example, an error in the position detected by the photodetector due to the z scanner movement may be corrected by software. As another example, two parallel mirrors located horizontally across from one another may be used between the cantilever and the photodetector, so that light reflected by the cantilever is at the same angle as light incident on the photodetector. Therefore, in this example, the same spot on the photodetector (e.g. the center) is illuminated, regardless of vertical movement of the z scanner (on which the cantilever and photodetector are mounted).

Also depending on the embodiment, the light source, the photodetector and any intermediate optical elements in a path therebetween may be located vertically close to the cantilever, to accommodate an objective lens and a camera
along a line of movement of the probe tip, thereby to provide a direct on-axis view of a sample under evaluation.

**Brief Description of the Drawings**

FIG. 1 illustrates, in a conceptual diagram, a scanning probe microscope with a z scanner separated from an x-y scanner in accordance with one aspect of the invention.

FIGs. 2-4 illustrate, in side elevation views, the locations of a laser, a prism, a cantilever beam, a steering mirror and a photodetector all used in the scanning probe microscope FIG. 1, in three different embodiments.

FIG. 5A illustrates, in a side elevation view, a scanning probe microscope including optical elements for direct on-axis view of the sample under evaluation, in accordance with another aspect of the invention.

FIG. 5B illustrates, in a cross-sectional view in the direction 5B-5B in FIG. 5A, flexible mounting of an on-axis optics module on to a focusing stage by a bracket of one embodiment.

FIGs. 5C, 5D and 5E illustrate, in a side view, a front view, and a top view respectively, an assembly of a scanning probe microscope of the type illustrated in FIGs. 5A and 5B, including the x-y scanner and the stationary frame.

FIGs. 6A-6C illustrate, in a side elevation view, an enlarged bottom view and a side view of the bottom, respectively, an end of a probe arm configured for kinematic mounting of
a cantilever chip mount.

FIG. 6D illustrates, in a plan view, a cantilever chip mount for use with the probe arm of FIGs. 6A-6C.

FIGs. 6E and 6F illustrate, in a side elevation view and a bottom plan view respectively, an assembly of the cantilever chip mount with the probe arm.

FIGs. 7A-7C illustrate, in a side elevation view, a top view, and a front elevation view respectively an assembly of a probe head to a z stage.

FIG. 7D illustrates, in an enlarged view, a use of a screw in the assembly of FIG. 7B to attach a handle.

**Best Mode for Carrying Out the Invention**

A scanning probe microscope 30 in accordance with the invention physically separates a z scanner 10 from an x-y scanner 20, as shown in FIG. 1. Scanners 10 and 20 are mounted on a common frame 35 that is stationary, although in an alternative embodiment, they may be mounted on different frames. There is also a z-stage 73 between scanner 10 and scanner 20. The z-stage 73 is a one dimensional translation stage with a stepper motor and is used to bring a probe tip (mounted on a cantilever that in turn is mounted on a z scanner) close enough to a sample on a sample chuck 50 so that the sample surface can be reached within z scanner range. Regardless of how they are mounted, the two scanners 10 and 20 are physically detached from one another (i.e. are not
mechanically coupled, except that both are coupled to a stationary frame 35 and each provides motion relative to the frame independent of the other).

Such physical separation of x-y scanner 20 from z scanner 10, in a scanning probe microscope of the type described above, has a number of advantages over integration of both scanners into a single head as described by Braunstein, et al. in United States Patents 6,310,342, 6,057,546 and 5,854,487. In contrast to use of Braunstein et al.'s head carrying the two scanners, z scanner 10 (FIG. 1) can be moved much faster and more accurately.

One embodiment of microscope 30 uses a two-dimensional flexure stage 20 to scan a sample chuck 50 (e.g. holding a silicon wafer) in x-y direction only, and a one dimensional piezoelectric actuator 10 to scan a probe cantilever 34 in the z direction only.

An example of flexure stage 20 is model P-730 stage available from PI, http://www.pi.ws. Such a flexure stage 20 has a high orthogonality, and can scan large samples (~2 kg) up to 100 Hz in x-y direction. This scan speed is sufficient because the bandwidth requirement for x-y axes is much lower than for z axis. In one embodiment, a stacked piezoelectric actuator 10 used as z scanner has a high resonance frequency (> 10 kHz) with high push-pull force when appropriately pre-loaded.

In one embodiment, microscope 30 includes a laser 31,
laser beam aligning mechanism (such as a prism) 32, and a photodetector (such as a position sensitive photo detector (PSPD)) 33. Photodetector 33 is supported by z scanner 10 that also supports cantilever 34. This arrangement in microscope 30 is provided to ensure that a laser beam falls on the same point on a cantilever 34, and the reflected beam hits the same point on the PSPD 33 regardless of the motion of z scanner 10. Therefore, only the deflection of cantilever 34 is monitored on photodetector 33. In the embodiment of FIG. 2, laser 31 and laser beam aligning mechanism 32 are fixed on the probing head.

The laser beam from laser 31 is reflected by prism 32, both of which are mounted on a glass plate 37. The angle of glass plate 37 (and therefore of prism 32) relative to cantilever 34 can be adjusted by two screws 38A and 38B located on the two diagonal corners of a glass plate holder 39. Since the laser beam is falling on cantilever 34 from the vertical direction, the beam always hits the same point on cantilever 34, regardless of motion of z scanner 10.

The reflected beam from cantilever 34 is bounced by a steering mirror 40 and hits photodetector 33. Mirror 40 of FIG. 2 is supported by the probing head 36, at a position offset from the vertical line passing through prism 32. The angle of steering mirror 40 can be slightly adjusted by two screws 41 and 42 on its diagonal edges such that the bounced beam hits the center of PSPD 33. Since the steering mirror 40 is vertically mounted, the bounced beam always hits the same point on PSPD 33, regardless of z scanner motion, and
therefore only the deflection of the cantilever is detected by PSPD 33.

In one embodiment, to accommodate an optical microscope, a clearance is provided above the cantilever 34. For this purpose, the position of PSPD 33 is lowered relative to laser 31 as shown in FIG. 3. Moreover, the steering mirror 40 is mounted at an angle to the vertical (e.g. 45°) such that the path of bounced laser beam becomes horizontal as shown in FIG. 3. However, in this configuration, the spot formed by the bounced laser beam on the PSPD 33 changes, as z-scanner 10 moves. When z scanner 10 moves a distance \( h \), there is an error of \( h(1-\sin 2\theta) \) in the position of the laser beam spot on the PSPD 33 as shown in FIG. 3. This amount of error is very small compared to the amount of the laser beam spot displacement when the cantilever 34 is deflected by \( h \), because changing the angle of the reflected laser beam causes much greater displacement of the laser beam spot on PSPD (typically 500 times more). Please note that \( h \) is exaggerated very much in the drawing to illustrate the beam path change. For example, \( h \) is a very small amount like a few nm to a few \( \mu \)m, while the length of the cantilever is about 100\( \mu \)m.

Of course, this error disappears in some embodiments wherein the steering mirror 40 is attached to z scanner. However, scanning only the cantilever 34 and PSPD 33 with the z scanner in certain embodiments increases bandwidth as compared to also scanning the steering mirror 40 which significantly increases mass and reduces the z-bandwidth.
Since z scanner motion is a known quantity, it is possible to compensate for error \( h(1 - \sin \theta) \) in software. An alternative method is to eliminate such error by introducing another mirror 43 (FIG. 4) whose angle is parallel to the angle of steering mirror 40 and the PSPD 33 is aimed at mirror 43. In the configuration of FIG. 4, second mirror 43 exactly compensates the effect of first mirror 40, and therefore the laser beam hits the same point on PSPD 33 regardless of z scanner motion.

Therefore, the space above prism 32 (FIG. 4) is now available for installation of a direct on-axis optical microscope, as shown in FIG. 5A. The optical path from the sample held by a sample chuck (not shown in FIG. 5A) to the camera is on a vertical line passing through cantilever 34. In this embodiment, an upper part 44 of this optical microscope is flexibly mounted (via a flexible mount 51) to a focusing stage 45 and a lower part 46 is held by a U-shaped bracket 47 (FIG. 5B), which has two screws 48 and 49 on two of its sides. Two spring plungers (not labeled in FIG. 5B) that push against part 46 opposite to screw 48, and two additional spring plungers in focusing stage 45 push against part 46 opposite to screw 49. This arrangement permits the optical microscope to be panned by manually adjusting just two screws 48 and 49. The distance from the pivoting point to the focal plane is over 200mm, while the panning distance is less than \( \pm 0.5 \) mm. Therefore the maximum variation of the focal plane during panning is less than 1 \( \mu \)m, which is within the focal depth of the optical microscope.
In contrast to the embodiment illustrated in FIGs. 5A and 5B, in some conventional large sample AFMs (such as TM Microscopes, M5; Digital Instruments, Dimension 3100; see also US Patents 5,463,897 granted to Prater et al. and 5,705,814 granted to Young et al. both incorporated by reference herein in their entirety), an oblique mirror is inserted between the cantilever and the objective lens. Since an oblique mirror may have defects and does not fully cover the light path, the quality of such an optical microscope in the prior art is degraded. In order to pan the view with such a prior art optical microscope, the objective lens had to be moved out of its optical axis, introducing significant blurring. Such blurring is avoided by the embodiment illustrated in FIGs. 5A and 5B, due to the fact that all optical elements – objective lens, tube lens, and CCD camera – are fixed on a single body, and move together during panning, and because of the above-described minimal change in focal depth during panning.

The specific embodiment illustrated in FIGs. 5A and 5B has the following advantages (although other embodiments may have fewer or greater advantages): 1) Scan accuracy: there is no cross talk between the x-y and z axes, and we can achieve high scan accuracy. 2) Sample size: since the sample is scanned only in x-y direction, large samples as well as small samples can be scanned at sufficiently high speed. 3) Scan speed: since z scanner has high resonance frequency with high force, while it need to scan only the cantilever and PSPD, the z servo frequency response is much greater than in the prior art. 4) Convenience: the laser
beam aligning mechanism is fixed on a probing head, to allow such mechanism to be sufficiently large for convenient and precise adjustment without any tools. 5) Optical vision: since there is enough clearance above the cantilever, it is possible to accommodate a direct on-axis optical microscope. 6) Panning: by using a single body optical microscope with a bracket, the image of optical microscope remains at high quality.

In one embodiment, a kinematic probe mounting mechanism, uses magnets to hold a cantilever chip as shown in FIGs. 6A-6F. A probe arm 61 is attached to z scanner 10. Three balls 62-64 and two magnets 65 and 66 are mounted at the end of probe arm 61 as shown in FIG. 6B. One example of this embodiment uses two ruby balls 62 and 64 and one hardened stainless steel ball 63 for wear resistance. The stainless steel ball is for the electrical contact of the cantilever with a signal line 68. The height of the steel ball 63 is slightly lower than the ruby balls 62 and 64 and the height of the magnets 65 and 66 is slightly lower than the steel ball 63 as shown in FIG. 6C. The magnets 65 and 66 are small disk shaped neodymium and mounted in opposite polarity for stronger holding force. The cantilever chip 69 is attached on a chip mount 70, which is a thin mu metal plate with a hole 71 and a slot 72 as shown in FIG. 6D.

When the chip mount 70 is inserted into an appropriate location at the end of probe arm 61, the two ruby balls 64 and 62 contact with the hole 71 and the slot 72 respectively, while the steel ball 63 contacts a flat surface of the chip
mount 70 to provide kinematic mounting in a reliable manner. The heights of three balls and the magnets are arranged in one implementation such that only the balls make contact with the chip mount, while the magnets do not contact the chip mount but come close enough to hold the chip mount with the magnetic force. The width of the chip mount 70 is slightly wider than the probe arm 61. This design of greater chip mount width allows easy replacement of chip mount 70 (and therefore cantilever chip 69) by holding the side of the chip mount with bare hand.

The embodiment described above in relation to FIGS. 6A-6D has the following advantages: 1) With the magnetic holder, it is easier to replace the chip mount and does not require any tools. 2) The position of the chip mount is determined by the two ruby balls. Since the span of the two ruby balls is wider than in the case of 3 slot/3 ball arrangement for a given chip mount dimension, this particular design has a superior reproducibility in the probe position. 3) In non-contact mode AFM, the cantilever is normally vibrated by a modulator 67. In this design, the vibration from the modulator is directly delivered to the cantilever chip through the stainless steel ball, which is just above the cantilever chip. This is advantageous compared to the 3 slot/3 ball arrangement, where the cantilever is mounted between two slots. The vibration is delivered to the cantilever through the thin chip mount plate itself, which can cause spurious vibration modes.

A tightening mechanism of a dovetail assembly of the probe
head 36 with z stage 73 is illustrated in FIGs. 7A-7D. A bottom dovetail rail 74 is rigidly mounted on the z stage 73. A top dovetail rail 75 has a flexure structure 76 as shown in FIG. 7C. The upper portion 77 of top dovetail rail 75 is rigidly mounted on the z stage but the lower portion 78 can be pushed down by the two screws on each end of the top rail. The screw on the left has a normal right-handed thread, while the screw on the right side has a left-handed thread. Each screw has a removable handle, which can be slid out and re-inserted in any of twelve possible angles as shown in FIG. 7D. A user can select any appropriate angle such that the last 90° turn makes firm clamping (or releasing) of the top dovetail rail 78 against the head 36.

**Industrial Applicability**

Numerous modifications and adaptations of embodiments described herein will be apparent to the skilled artisan in view of the disclosure.

Accordingly, numerous modifications and adaptations of the embodiments described herein are encompassed by the attached claims.
Claims

1. A scanning probe microscope comprising:
   a stationary frame;
   a first scanner attached to the stationary frame, and
   a sample chuck attached to the first scanner, the sample chuck being movable by the first scanner in a plane;
   a second scanner physically detached from the first scanner and attached to the stationary frame;
   a cantilever supported by the second scanner, and a probe tip attached to a free end of the cantilever, the cantilever being movable by the second scanner along a line perpendicular to the plane of movement of the sample chuck.

2. The scanning probe microscope of Claim 1 further comprising:
   a reflector located on the cantilever;
   a light source aimed at the reflector; and
   a photodetector positioned to receive a portion of light from the light source reflected by the reflector.

3. The scanning probe microscope of Claim 2 wherein:
   the photodetector is mounted on the second scanner.

4. The scanning probe microscope of Claim 2 wherein:
   the photodetector is mounted on a stage, and the stage is mounted on the stationary frame;
   the light source is mounted on the stage; and
   the second scanner is mounted on the stage.
5. The scanning probe microscope of Claim 1 further comprising:
   an objective lens and a camera supported by the stationary frame, along the line of movement of the probe tip.

6. The scanning probe microscope of Claim 1 wherein:
   the second scanner comprises a stacked piezoelectric actuator.

7. The scanning probe microscope of Claim 1 further comprising:
   a mirror supported by the stationary frame, at a fixed location relative to movement of the probe tip provided by the second scanner.

8. The scanning probe microscope of Claim 7 wherein:
   the mirror is oriented parallel to the line of movement of the probe tip.

9. The scanning probe microscope of Claim 7 wherein:
   the mirror is oriented at an angle relative to the line of movement of the probe tip.

10. The scanning probe microscope of Claim 9 wherein the mirror is hereinafter "first mirror," and the microscope further comprises:
    a second mirror supported by the stationary frame, parallel to the first mirror.
11. The scanning probe microscope of Claim 10 wherein:
   each of the first mirror and the second mirror are located
on opposite sides of the predetermined straight line.

12. The scanning probe microscope of Claim 11 further
   comprising:
   a photodetector positioned opposite to the second mirror,
to receive light from the light source reflected by a reflector
located on the cantilever, the first mirror and the second
mirror.

13. The microscope of Claim 1 wherein:
   the first scanner comprises an x-y flexure stage.

14. The microscope of Claim 1 wherein:
   the sample chuck is movable by the first scanner only
in a plane; and
   the fixed end of the cantilever is movable by the second
scanner only in a direction perpendicular to the plane of
movement of the sample chuck by the first scanner.

15. A microscope arrangement comprising:
   a scanner mounted on a stage, the scanner being limited
to linear motion in the direction of motion of the stage;
a cantilever supported by the scanner, the cantilever
having a free end; and
   an objective lens supported by the stage, the lens having
an axis parallel to the direction of motion of the stage.

16. The microscope arrangement of Claim 15 further
comprising:

   a reflector supported by the stage between the
cantilever and the objective lens, along a line parallel
to the axis of the objective lens, the line passing through
the free end of the cantilever; and

   a source of light aimed at the reflector and laterally
offset from the axis, the source of light being supported
by the stage.

17. The microscope arrangement of Claim 15 wherein:
the axis of the lens is coincident with the line.

18. The scanning probe microscope of Claim 15 further
comprising:

   a reflector located on the cantilever;
   a light source aimed at the reflector; and
   a photodetector positioned to receive a portion of light
from the light source reflected by the reflector.

19. A method for evaluating a sample, the method comprising:
moving a probe tip attached to a free end of a cantilever
in a first direction;
moving a sample in a plane perpendicular to the first
direction, without moving the probe tip; and
measuring deflection of the cantilever during at least
one of the acts of moving.

20. The method of Claim 19 further comprising:
moving a photodetector simultaneously with moving of
the probe tip, wherein a distance of movement of the
photodetector is identical to the distance of movement of the probe tip;

wherein a steering mirror is kept stationary during movement of each of the probe tip and the photodetector.
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