



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : E21B 4/14, B25D 17/06	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 97/42392 (43) International Publication Date: 13 November 1997 (13.11.97)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/SE97/00754 (22) International Filing Date: 6 May 1997 (06.05.97) (30) Priority Data: 9601762-9 9 May 1996 (09.05.96) SE (71) Applicant: SANDVIK AB (publ) [SE/SE]; S-811 81 Sandviken (SE). (72) Inventor: BECCU, Rainer; 12920 Steepleway Boulevard #22, Houston, TX 77065 (US). (74) Agent: CARLSSON, Lars-Åke; Sandvik AB, Patent Dept., S-811 81 Sandviken (SE).		(81) Designated States: AU, CA, FI, JP, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE). Published <i>With international search report.</i>
(54) Title: HAMMER DEVICE		
(57) Abstract The present invention relates to a hammer device, preferably a down-the-hole hammer, including a drill bit (11) and a piston (10) reciprocating therebehind to periodically strike the drill bit. The drill bit (11) includes front (11a) and rear (11b) portions of different impedance, and the piston (10) includes front (10b) and rear (10a) portions of different impedance. In the drill bit, the front portion (11a) has a larger impedance than the rear portion (11b). In the piston, the rear portion (10a) has a larger impedance than the front portion (10b). The length of the drill bit front portion (11a) is about twice the length of the drill bit rear portion (11b). The length of the piston rear portion (10a) is about twice the length of the piston front portion (10b). The invention further relates to a drill bit (11) and a piston (10), per se.		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece			TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia			SG	Singapore		

HAMMER DEVICE

Background of the invention

5 The present invention relates to a hammer device, preferably a down-the-hole hammer, including a casing, a piston, a drill bit and means for activating the piston to frequently strike the drill bit. The invention also relates to a piston and a drill bit per se.

10 In down-the-hole hammers the kinetic energy of the piston is transmitted by elastic waves through the drill bit and finally to the rock. However, said transmission is not carried out in an optimal way since the piston is not related to the drill bit in terms of length and mass. Also the drill bit does not cooperate with the rock in the best mode.

15 In prior art down-the-hole hammers very little attention has been paid to the adaptation of the piston to the drill bit when said drill bit has a mass concentration at the end directed towards the rock.

20 In applicants US-A-5,305,841, however, the importance of choosing appropriate impedance on the cooperating drill bit and piston, is discussed. The document discloses a down-the-hole hammer, wherein the drill bit includes front and rear portions of different impedance, and the piston includes front and rear portions of different impedance. In the drill bit, the front portion has a larger impedance than the rear portion. In the piston, the rear portion has a larger impedance than the front
25 portion. However the rear portion of the drill bit and the front portion of the piston include relatively small masses, which negatively affects the degree of efficiency during drilling. Furthermore, the known hammer device has been complicated to manufacture due to the requirements for guiding the elongated portions of the bit and the piston.

30

The objects of the present invention are to further improve the energy transmission from the piston to the rock via the drill bit and to facilitate the manufacture of the hammer device. This is realized by paying attention also to the distribution of the impedance in the piston and the drill bit of a hammer device as defined in the
35 appending claims.

CONFIRMATION COPY

Brief description of the drawings

Below an embodiment of a down-the-hole hammer according to the present invention is described, reference being made to the accompanying drawings, where

5 Fig. 1 schematically discloses the piston and the drill bit of a down-the-hole hammer according to the present invention; Fig. 2 discloses the relationship between the applied force versus the penetration for a drill bit working a rock surface; Fig. 3 discloses in a graph the relationship between the degree of efficiency versus the relationship Z_M/Z_T ; Fig. 4 discloses in a graph the relationship between

10 the degree of efficiency versus the relationship L_M/L_T or T_M/T_T ; Fig. 5 discloses in a graph the relationship between the degree of efficiency versus the parameter β ; and Fig. 6 discloses a graph showing the compressive and tensile stresses in the piston and the drill bit.

15 In Fig. 1 the piston 10 and the drill bit 11 are schematically shown. As is evident from Fig. 1 the piston 10 and the drill bit 11 have a substantially reversed design relative to each other.

The piston 10 has two portions 10a and 10b. The portion 10a has the length L_{M1} and the impedance Z_{M1} while the portion 10b has the length L_{T1} and the impedance Z_{T1} . The drill bit 11 has two portions 11a and 11b. The portion 11a, i.e. the head of the drill bit, has the length L_{M2} and the impedance Z_{M2} while the portion 11b, i.e. the shaft of the drill bit, has the length L_{T2} and the impedance Z_{T2} .

25 When stress wave energy is transmitted through pistons and drill bits it has been found that the influence by variations in the cross-sectional area A , the Young's modulus E and the density δ can be summarized in a parameter Z named impedance. The impedance $Z = AE/c$, where $c = (E/\delta)^{1/2}$, i.e. the elastic wave speed. Any combinations of A , E and δ that corresponds to a certain value of the

30 impedance Z gives the same result in respect of stress wave energy transmission.

It should be pointed out that the impedance Z is determined in a certain cross-section transverse to the axial direction of the piston 10 and the drill bit 11, i.e. the

impedance Z is a function along the axial direction of the piston 10 and the drill bit 11.

Therefore, within the scope of the present invention it is of course possible that the impedances Z for the different portions 10a, 10b, 11a and 11b may vary slightly, i.e. Z_{M1} , Z_{T1} , Z_{T2} and Z_{M2} do not need to have a constant value within each portion but can vary in the axial direction of said portions 10a, 10b, 11a and 11b. In the practical design of the piston 10 and the drill bit 11 the provision of e.g. circumferential grooves and/or splines are quite frequent. Also the provision of e.g. a circumferential shoulder may be necessary.

It should also be pointed out that even if e.g. the portions 10a and 10b must have different impedances Z_{M1} and Z_{T1} respectively it is possible to design the piston 10 with a generally constant cross-sectional area by using different materials in the portions 10a and 10b.

It is also necessary to define a further parameter, namely a time parameter T . The definition is $T = L/c$, where L is the length of the portion in question and c is the elastic wave speed in the portion in question. Thus for the portion 10a $T_{M1} = L_{M1}/c_{M1}$, for the portion 11a $T_{M2} = L_{M2}/c_{M2}$, for the portion 10b $T_{T1} = L_{T1}/c_{T1}$ and for the portions 11b $T_{T2} = L_{T2}/c_{T2}$. The reason why it is necessary to have the time parameter T instead of the length L is that different portions may consist of different materials that have different values regarding the elastic wave speed c .

Within the scope of the present invention it is also possible that e.g. the portion 10a can consist of several sub-portions having different elastic wave speed c . In such a case the time parameter T is calculated for each sub-portion and the total value of the time parameter T for the entire portion 10a is the sum of the time parameters T for each sub-portion.

Fig. 2 shows the relationship between the force F applied to the rock versus the penetration u into the rock. The line k_1 illustrates the relation between the force F and the penetration u when a force F is loaded to the rock. Thus $k_1 = F/u$ during the loading sequence and k_1 is a constant. The force F_1 corresponds to the

penetration u_1 . The unloading of the force F is illustrated by the line k_2 . Thus $k_2 = F/u$ during the unloading sequence and k_2 is a constant. When complete unloading has taken place there is a remaining penetration u_2 which means that a certain work has been carried out upon the rock, said work being illustrated by the triangular dotted area. The amount of work that said area represents is defined as W .

The kinetic energy of the piston 10 when moving towards the drill bit 11 is defined as W_k .

10

As stated above the aim of the present invention is to maximize the degree of efficiency, which is defined as the relationship W/W_k .

The present invention is based on the idea that the mass distribution of the piston 10 is such that initially a smaller mass, i.e. the portion 10b is contacting the drill bit 11. Subsequently, a larger mass, i.e. the portion 10a, follows. It has turned out that by such an arrangement almost all of the kinetic energy of the piston is transmitted into the rock via the drill bit.

The most important parameter is the impedance ratios Z_{M1}/Z_{T1} and Z_{M2}/Z_{T2} . Said parameter should be in a certain interval. In order to have an optimum degree of efficiency it is also important that the time parameter ratios T_{M1}/T_{T1} and T_{M2}/T_{T2} are in a certain interval.

In Fig. 3 a graph shows the relationship between the degree of efficiency W/W_k versus the impedance ratio Z_M/Z_T , said ratio being valid for both the piston 10 and the drill bit 11. When setting up the graph in Fig. 3, $T_M/T_T = 2$ and $\beta = 0.5$, see below concerning definition of β . As can be learnt from Fig. 3 the efficiency peak is within the interval 3.5 - 5.8, preferably 4.0 - 5.3 of Z_M/Z_T . In said preferred interval the degree of efficiency W/W_k is higher than 96 %. The highest degree of efficiency W/W_k in said interval is achieved when Z_M/Z_T is about 4.6.

Since the degree of efficiency W/W_k has its peak when Z_M/Z_T is about 4.6 it can be concluded that the theoretically preferred design is when the different portions 10a, 10b and 11a, 11b of the piston 10 and drill bit 11, respectively, each have a

35

constant impedance Z in their axial directions. Also the portions 10a and 11a should have the same impedance and the portions 10b and 11b should have the same impedance. However, this is not likely to happen in the practical embodiments, see above. Therefore, it should again be emphasized that the impedances Z_{M1} , Z_{T1} , Z_{T2} and Z_{M2} need not have constant values but can vary in the axial direction of the corresponding portions 10a, 10b, 11a and 11b, respectively. The only restriction is that the ratios Z_{M1}/Z_{T1} and Z_{M2}/Z_{T2} are in the intervals specified in the appending claims.

In Fig. 4 a graph shows the relationship between the degree of efficiency W/W_k versus the length ratio L_M/L_T or the time ratio T_M/T_T , said ratios being valid for both the piston 10 and the drill bit 11. When setting up the graph in Fig. 4, $Z_M/Z_T = 4.6$ and $\beta = 1$, see below for definition of β . As can be learnt from Fig. 4 the first peak A of W/W_k is within the interval 0,4 - 0,6 of L_M/L_T or T_M/T_T . In said interval the degree of efficiency W/W_k is well over 90 %. The highest degree of efficiency is achieved, according to our prior patent to benefit from the first peak A, when L_M/L_T or $T_M/T_T = 0,5$.

So far, the present description coincides with the state of the art as disclosed in US-A-5,305,841. We have, however, searched for and found a second peak B, outside the borders of the graph in Fig. 4 of said prior patent. The second peak B can be somewhat lower than the first peak A but peak B is much wider than peak A. The large width of peak B makes the manufacturing of the hammer device according to the present invention less sensitive to the provision of grooves, shoulder and/or splines. For example if the efficiency shall be 96 % or more, the ratio between L_M and L_T (or between T_M and T_T) can vary within only 0.43 to 0.60 for the peak A area, while it can vary between 1.34 to 2.61 for the peak B area. That is, the peak B area is at least about 7 times the peak A area at a degree of efficiency not less than 96 %, which makes the hammer device efficiency less sensitive to disturbing additions, such as grooves, etc. The optimum design is when T_{M1} is equal to T_{M2} and T_{T1} is equal to T_{T2} . A further advantage with increasing the lengths of the portions 10a and 11a is that the total kinetic mass will increase, i.e. will give more power in each impact, compared to the hammer device of the prior patent.

When using the findings according to this invention as regards the impedance ratio Z_M/Z_T and the time ratio T_M/T_T in dimensioning work it is also necessary to introduce a parameter named β . Said parameter $\beta = 2L_H k_1 / A_{T2} E_{T2}$, where $L_H = L_{T2} + L_{M2}$; k_1 is the constant illustrated in Fig. 2; A_{T2} is the cross-sectional area of the portion 11b; and E_{T2} is the Young's' modulus for the portion 11b.

In Fig. 5 the relationship of the degree of efficiency W/W_k versus the parameter β is shown. When setting up the graph of Fig. 5 $Z_M/Z_T = 4.6$ and $T_M/T_T = 2$. From Fig. 5 it can be learnt that the degree of efficiency W/W_k decreases for an increasing value of β . Therefore it is important that proper matching values for L_H and A_{T2} are chosen and also that a material having a proper Young's' modulus E_{T2} is chosen. For practical reasons it is not possible to give β a too small value although the degree of efficiency W/W_k increases for a decreasing value of β .

A very important favorable feature of the present invention is that the piston and the drill bit of a hammer device according to the present invention are not subjected to any tensile stresses worth mentioning during the rock crushing work period of the stress wave. Thus the original stress wave can be reflected several times within the system without generating any tensile stress waves worth mentioning. In Fig. 6 the highest positive (tensile) stress and the highest negative (compressive) stress in every cross-section of the piston 10 and drill bit 11 are shown. In the graph the shown stresses are dimensionless since they are related to a reference stress. From Fig. 6 it can be seen that generally only the piston front portion 10b and the drill bit rear portion 11b are subjected to tensile stresses and that the values of said stresses are negligible. It should be pointed out that since tensile stresses are almost absent in the piston and drill bit according to the present invention said details will have a longer life than corresponding details in a conventional down-the-hole hammer. It is the tensile stresses that give rise to fatigue of details of that kind.

The graphs according to Figs. 3, 4, 5 and 6 have been set up by using a computer program simulating percussive rock drilling. However, the computer program has only been used to verify the theories of the present invention, namely to have a reversed design of the piston 10 and the drill bit 11.

It should be pointed out that the present invention is in no way restricted to a down-the-hole hammer but is also applicable in e.g. so called impact breakers and hard rock excavating machines. Generally speaking the invention can be used in a piston-drill bit system where the piston is acting directly upon the drill bit. Also
5 there is no limitation concerning the activation of the piston. This means that such activation can be effected by e.g. a hydraulic medium, by air or by any other suitable means.

Although the present invention has been described in connection with a preferred
10 embodiment thereof, it will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that additions, deletions, modifications, and substitutions not specifically described may be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention as defined in the appended claims.

Claims

1. In a hammer device comprising a drill bit (11) disposed at a front end of the device, and a piston (10) mounted longitudinally behind said drill bit (11) for reciprocation in a longitudinal direction to repeatedly strike said drill bit, said drill bit (11) including front (11a) and rear (11b) portions of different impedance, and said piston (10) including front (10b) and rear (10a) portions of different impedance, wherein
- 5 Z_{M1}/Z_{T1} is in the range of 3.5-5.8, and Z_{M2}/Z_{T2} is in the range of 3.5-5.8, where Z_{M1} is the impedance of said piston rear portion (10a), where Z_{T1} is the impedance of said piston front portion (10b), where Z_{M2} is the impedance of said drill bit front portion (11a), and
- 10 where Z_{T2} is the impedance of said drill bit rear portion (11b), characterized in that L_{M1}/L_{T1} or T_{M1}/T_{T1} is in the range of 1.0-3.0, preferably 1,5-2.5, and L_{M2}/L_{T2} or T_{M2}/T_{T2} is in the range of 1.0-3.0, preferably 1,5-2.5 where:
- 15 L_{M1} is the length and T_{M1} is the time parameter of said piston rear portion (10a), L_{T1} is the length and T_{T1} is the time parameter of said piston front portion (10b), L_{M2} is the length and T_{M2} is the time parameter of said drill bit front portion (11a), L_{T2} is the length and T_{T2} is the time parameter of said drill bit rear portion (11b).
- 20 2. Hammer device according to claim 1, characterized in that the ratios Z_{M1}/Z_{T1} and Z_{M2}/Z_{T2} are in the interval 4.0 - 5.3, preferably in the magnitude of 4.6, and that the ratio L_{M2}/L_{T2} or T_{M2}/T_{T2} is about 2 and that Z_{M1} is equal to Z_{M2} and Z_{T1} is equal to Z_{T2} .
- 25 3. Hammer device according to claim 1 or 2, characterized in that the piston (10) and the drill bit (11) have a reversed design relative each other in respect of the time parameter (T) or the length parameter (L).
- 30

4. Piston for use in a hammer device for being reciprocated longitudinally into striking engagement with a drill bit located in the front of the piston, said piston (10) including front (10b) and rear (10a) portions of different impedance wherein
- 5 Z_{M1}/Z_{T1} is in the range of 3.5-5.8,
where Z_{M1} is the impedance of said piston rear portion (10a),
where Z_{T1} is the impedance of said piston front portion (10b),
c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n t h a t
 L_{M1}/L_{T1} or T_{M1}/T_{T1} is in the range of 1.0-3.0, preferably 1,5-2.5,
10 where:
 L_{M1} is the length and T_{M1} is the time parameter of said piston rear portion (10a), L_{T1} is the length and T_{T1} is the time parameter of said piston front portion (10b).
5. Piston according to claim 4,
15 c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n t h a t t h e r a t i o L_{M1}/L_{T1} or T_{M1}/T_{T1} is about 2.
6. Drill bit for use in a hammer device, preferably a down-the-hole hammer, for being repeatedly struck by a longitudinally reciprocating piston located behind said drill bit (11), said drill bit (11) including front (11a) and rear (11b) portions of
20 different impedance, wherein
 Z_{M2}/Z_{T2} is in the range of 3.5-5.8,
where Z_{M2} is the impedance of said drill bit front portion (11a),
where Z_{T2} is the impedance of said drill bit rear portion (11b),
c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n t h a t
25 L_{M2}/L_{T2} or T_{M2}/T_{T2} is in the range of 1.0-3.0, preferably 1,5-2.5,
where:
 L_{M2} is the length and T_{M2} is the time parameter of said drill bit front portion (11a),
 L_{T2} is the length and T_{T2} is the time parameter of said drill bit rear portion (11b).
- 30 7. Drill bit according to claim 6,
c h a r a c t e r i z e d i n t h a t t h e r a t i o L_{M2}/L_{T2} or T_{M2}/T_{T2} is about 2.




Fig. 1

2/5

Fig. 2





Fig. 5



3/5






Fig. 3

4/5

*Fig. 4*

5/5

Fig. 6



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/SE 97/00754

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC6: E21B 4/14, B25D 17/06

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC6: E21B, B25D

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

SE,DK,FI,NO classes as above

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

WPIL, CLAIMS, INSPEC, COMPENDEX

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	WO 9115652 A1 (SANDVIK AB), 17 October 1991 (17.10.91), figure 4 -----	1

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.☒ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

13 August 1997

Date of mailing of the international search report

15 -08- 1997

Name and mailing address of the ISA/
Swedish Patent Office
Box 5055, S-102 42 STOCKHOLM
Facsimile No. +46 8 666 02 86

Authorized officer

Henrik Bodin
Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
Information on patent family members

06/08/97

International application No.
PCT/SE 97/00754

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9115652 A1	17/10/91	AU 660611 B	06/07/95
		AU 7742891 A	30/10/91
		CA 2079605 A	12/10/91
		DE 69114280 D,T	15/05/96
		EP 0524259 A,B	27/01/93
		SE 0524259 T3	
		FI 97564 B,C	30/09/96
		IE 71218 B	12/02/97
		SE 504828 C	12/05/97
		SE 9001319 A	12/10/91
		US 5305841 A	26/04/94